Dongil Kim¹, Yunhee Lee¹*, Juyoung Lee¹, JeeEun Karin Nam¹, Yeoju Chung²

1 Department of Education, Seoul National University, Seoul, South Korea, 2 Department of Education, Korea National University of Education, CheongJu, South Korea

Abstract

This study developed a Smartphone Addiction Proneness Scale (SAPS) based on the existing internet and cellular phone addiction scales. For the development of this scale, 29 items (1.5 times the final number of items) were initially selected as preliminary items, based on the previous studies on internet/phone addiction as well as the clinical experience of involved experts. The preliminary scale was administered to a nationally representative sample of 795 students in elementary, middle, and high schools across South Korea. Then, final 15 items were selected according to the reliability test results. The final scale consisted of four subdomains: (1) disturbance of adaptive functions, (2) virtual life orientation, (3) withdrawal, and (4) tolerance. The final scale indicated a high reliability with Cronbach's α of .880. Support for the scale's criterion validity has been demonstrated by its relationship to the internet addiction scale, KS-II (r = .49). For the analysis of construct validity, we tested the Structural Equation Model. The results showed the four-factor structure to be valid (NFI = .943, TLI = .902, CFI = .902, RMSEA = .034). Smartphone addiction is gaining a greater spotlight as possibly a new form of addiction along with internet addiction. The SAPS appears to be a reliable and valid diagnostic scale for screening adolescents who may be at risk of smartphone addiction. Further implications and limitations are discussed.

Citation: Kim D, Lee Y, Lee J, Nam JK, Chung Y (2014) Development of Korean Smartphone Addiction Proneness Scale for Youth. PLoS ONE 9(5): e97920. doi:10. 1371/journal.pone.0097920

Editor: Amanda Bruce, University of Missouri-Kansas City, United States of America

Received December 19, 2013; Accepted April 16, 2014; Published May 21, 2014

Copyright: © 2014 Kim et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: The authors have no support or funding to report.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: yuniizzang@gmail.com

Introduction

The propagation of personal computers in the 1990's gave birth to a digital revolution. Personal desktops soon evolved into PMPs, tablet PCs, and smartphones – devices that have become increasingly common in people's lives. In particular, the distribution rate of smartphones is in an upward trend worldwide since 2000 [1]. Such widespread use of smartphones has been named "Smart Revolution," and has been bringing dramatic changes in people's daily lives. Although smartphone usage has made life more convenient for many people, it has also brought about adverse effects in the realms of psychological well-being, interpersonal relationships, and physical health. For instance, due to easy access to online environment through smartphones, negative consequences of *online disinhibition effect* characterized by lowered behavioral inhibitions [2] [3] are becoming more rampant, particularly in forms of cyber violence.

Today's adolescents are highly receptive of new forms of media such as smartphones [4] as they are the first generation to have grown up surrounded by various forms of high-tech media [5]. This could mean that youths are more susceptible to the adverse effects of smart media than older age groups. In South Korea, youths addicted to smartphone have reached 11.4% of the population, with the top 2.2% facing difficulty living out their everyday lives due to their addiction [6]. Before the spread of smartphones, cell phones took up a huge part of adolescents' lives to the point where some reported experiencing high levels of anxiety when their phone is not always on [4]. Cellular phone addiction and age seem to be inversely proportional, with younger people using their phones more frequently [8], and two times more likely to admit to being a "cellular phone addict" than adults [9]. For adolescents, phone-based communication is an important way to maintain their social relationships [7]. As smartphone addiction is becoming a major issue amongst youths, developing a scale that can estimate the levels and conditions of smartphone addiction among adolescents seems urgent in order to protect them from the addiction's adverse effects.

Because the distribution of smartphones is a relatively recent phenomenon, studies that have defined the unique symptoms of smartphone addiction are rare. The closest concept to smartphone addiction may be cellular phone addiction, which is considered to be a type of behavioral addiction characterized by problems with impulse control. Reported symptoms of cellular phone addiction include withdrawal, tolerance, disturbance of adaptive functions, compulsion, and pathological immersion [12] and abstinence, lack of control and problems derived from the use, and tolerance and interference with other activities [13]. Existing cellular phone addiction scales [47] [48] [49] have been developed based on Young [10]'s Internet Addiction Test (IAT) and Goldberg [11]'s diagnostic criteria for internet addiction.

However, smartphones are different to cellular phones in four major ways. First, smartphone users are more dynamically involved with the device than regular cell phone users. Smartphone users actively engage with the device itself and the contents (applications) simultaneously, and may play a role of producer by creating personalized applications. Since applications allow smartphone users to give immediate, mutual feedback, smartphone users tend to be active, participatory, relational,

PLOS ONE

Line Name Line Name Line Name Line Name Condition Direct Name Condition Condition					Scale Mean if Item	Scale Variance if Item	Corrected Item-Total	
Dictactionation of Adaption	Subdomain	ltem No.	Average	SD	Deleted	Deleted	Correlation	Cronbach's a if Item Deleted
9-10 1/54 8/20 1/610 1/52 6/5 6/6 6/2 6-17 16.86 2/366 4/405 1/846 6/6 6/0 6/0 6-17 15.86 2/364 4/405 1/846 6/6 6/0 6/0 9-15 15/30 2/347 4/405 1/846 6/0 6/0 6/0 6/0 9-15 2/473 8/173 1/847 1/847 1/840 6/0 6/0 9-15 2/473 8/173 1/847 1/840 7/41 6/0 6/0 6/0 9-15 2/473 8/174 1/840 1/840 1/840 6/0	Disturbance of Adaptive Functions	SP 21	1.6321	.75269	14.7310	17.868	.714	.796
917 1480 705 1463 1437 1836 61 82 919 1736 6134 1405 1784 69 69 919 1731 1475 1847 69 69 69 914 1619 7731 1475 1847 69 69 69 914 1202 9817 1475 1847 56 99 924 2032 1335 14319 1846 66 89 924 2032 1335 14319 164 74 89 924 1010 683 14319 204 71 89 924 1030 543 12305 12436 71 71 924 1040 734 12305 12436 71 73 924 1040 283 1134 1236 71 73 924 1230 284 1236 1234 73 73		SP 20	1.7524	.82749	14.6107	17.792	.645	.802
(1) $(1,2)$ $(1,3)$ $(1,4)$ <th< td=""><th></th><td>SP 17</td><td>1.6869</td><td>.72636</td><td>14.6762</td><td>18.336</td><td>.661</td><td>.802</td></th<>		SP 17	1.6869	.72636	14.6762	18.336	.661	.802
(1) (1) <th></th> <td>SP 19</td> <td>1.7536</td> <td>.82134</td> <td>14.6095</td> <td>17.864</td> <td>.639</td> <td>.803</td>		SP 19	1.7536	.82134	14.6095	17.864	.639	.803
91 101 7731 14.45 18.47 586 60 61 2024 3817 14.337 18.85 9.47 30 92 2.024 3817 14.337 18.85 9.43 36 82 2.142 313 14.319 18.80 9.43 36 36 8 91 2.042 9.63 14.319 20.46 36 32 91 2.030 3834 12.416 214 23 37 37 91 2.030 3834 12.416 214 23 37 91 2.031 3836 12.436 043 37 37 92 2.943 2.436 12.436 053 37 37 91 2.032 3890 17.346 053 37 37 82 2.943 2.946 10.34 053 37 37 82 2.940 2.947 2.94 2.94		SP 15	1.5964	.67845	14.7667	18.644	.661	.804
$g14$ 2024 8171 14357 1880 74 822 $F2$ 1432 9100 14179 1880 390 331 $F2$ 1432 9132 14379 12420 910 333 $F2$ 1435 14379 12420 9637 399 319 $F2$ 1335 7344 12020 9637 9637 579 539 $F2$ 12326 5334 12326 12345 1657 579 579 $F2$ 2321 5900 17346 10270 579 579 579 F_6 2341 1206 1736 1736 1736 579 579 579 579 F_6 2341 1236 1736 1736 579 579 579 F_6 2341 1236 1736 1736 570 570 570		SP 18	1.6179	.77531	14.7452	18.471	.586	.809
K_2 2.442 5.360 4.17 8.60 3.33 4.17 5.60 3.33 Kh thdrad R_2 5.143 5.373 5.47 5.206 5.37 5.66 5.66 5.66 5.66 5.66 5.66 5.66 5.734 5.734 5.734 5.734 5.734 5.734 5.736 5.76 5.66 5.736		SP 14	2.0274	.83171	14.3357	18.865	.474	.822
k_{c} β_{1} (s) j_{c} j_{1} (s) Withdrawit j_{c} (s) j_{c		SP 22	2.1452	.93806	14.2179	18.850	.399	.833
Withdrawi g^2 1833 7734 12.002 613 710 700 g^4 1600 8334 12.026 6437 653 710 700 g^4 16010 5882 12.436 10272 590 710 710 g^7 1600 7882 12.436 10275 590 710 710 g^7 22370 2380 11734 1076 366 710 710 g^7 22370 2380 11734 1076 366 710 710 g^7 2376 2382 11734 1076 366 710 710 g^7 2376 2387 10760 266 710 710 g^7 g^7 1134 1076 236 710 710 g^7 g^7 11346 11236 11346 10366 710 710 <th></th> <td>Re_SP 16</td> <td>2.1512</td> <td>.95163</td> <td>14.2119</td> <td>20.146</td> <td>.225</td> <td>.854</td>		Re_SP 16	2.1512	.95163	14.2119	20.146	.225	.854
β 100 3334 1001 3334 1001 3334 1001 333 312	Withdrawal	SP 2	1.8355	.77344	12.2062	9.613	.711	.706
μ_4 1.001 6.832 1.2436 1.012 5.9 7.4 F_7 1.601 7.388 1.2446 10.27 5.9 7.4 F_8 2.327 8940 11.755 10.456 5.9 7.4 F_8 2.326 8940 11.755 10.456 5.6 7.6 F_8 2.321 8940 11.734 10.76 3.6 7.6 F_8 2.321 8920 11.696 11.334 2.6 7.6 F_8 1.76 83274 10.704 9.275 617 7.7 F_8 1.736 83274 10.704 9275 617 7.7 F_8 1.736 83274 10.704 9275 617 7.7 F_8 1.33 2.3256 9225 9611 617 7.6 F_8 1.247 10.307 10.307 10.307 10.6		SP 1	2.0203	.83384	12.0215	9.637	.635	.719
β <th></th> <td>SP 4</td> <td>1.6019</td> <td>.68832</td> <td>12.4398</td> <td>10.512</td> <td>.590</td> <td>.734</td>		SP 4	1.6019	.68832	12.4398	10.512	.590	.734
β 2.387 3.40 1.745 1.64 3.60 3.77 3.77 Delence SP 1.236 3.836 1.636 1.636 3.60 3.60 3.60 3.60 3.76 3.76 Delence SP 1.236 3.837 0.742 0.76 3.60 3.76 3.76 Provide SP 3.2356 3.8370 0.76 3.76 3.76 3.76 Provide SP 3.2356 3.926 0.010 3.60 3.76 3.76 Provide SP 3.77 3.76 3.76 3.76 3.76 3.76		SP 7	1.6961	.73888	12.3456	10.327	.579	.734
(e, g, g) $(2, g, g)$ $(2, g)$		SP 5	2.2872	.89401	11.7545	10.458	.409	.769
R_{c} fro 2.321 2.326 1.6396 1.134 2.66 2.9 Potence 911 2.108 3938 0.5542 8.646 691 70 $Per 10$ 1.968 3838 0.5742 8.646 691 70 70 $Per 10$ 1.7366 3872 0.704 9.275 617 72 $Per 10$ 2.3576 3872 0.0261 0.702 546 72 $Per 12$ 2.3776 38056 0.03051 0.712 467 76 $Re_s P 9$ 2.0238 38056 0.6329 0.0101 416 773 $Re_s P 1$ 1.374 6562 0.6329 0.610 579 579 579 579 579 579 579 579 579 570 570 570 $Re_s P 24$ 1.533 1.474 0.870 0.870 580 570 570 570 <th></th> <td>Re_SP 3</td> <td>2.2586</td> <td>.86903</td> <td>11.7831</td> <td>10.776</td> <td>.366</td> <td><i>TTT.</i></td>		Re_SP 3	2.2586	.86903	11.7831	10.776	.366	<i>TTT.</i>
Tolerance $\beta 11$ 1066 $\beta 938$ 10542 666 691 70 $\beta 10$ 19583 82874 107044 9275 617 72 $\beta 10$ 17366 84812 107044 9275 546 72 $\beta 11$ 23576 23232 103051 9611 457 72 $\beta 12$ 24720 89222 101007 9511 457 76 $\beta 24$ 24720 89622 10107 9751 450 76 $\beta 24$ 1317 6502 100307 8516 610 77 $\beta 24$ 1317 6502 100307 8517 594 773 $\gamma 24$ 1317 6502 10930 8517 594 610 $\gamma 24$ 1317 6502 10930 8517 594 610 $\gamma 24$ 1317 6592 10930 8516 594 610 $\gamma 24$ 1317 6592 10930 8517 594 610 $\gamma 24$ 1312 1780 108639 8646 539 619 $\gamma 246$ 16335 10812 8646 539 619 $\gamma 246$ 11206 11206 8720 610 610 $\gamma 2469$ 11206 11206 9263 9261 599 619 $\gamma 24695$ 11206 11206 102010 10010 10010 10000 $\gamma 24695$ 112006 112006 100000 1000000 10		Re_SP 6	2.3421	.82966	11.6996	11.334	.286	.791
β 10 1.953 $$	Tolerance	SP 11	2.1085	.89358	10.5542	8.646	.691	.703
F8 1.7366 84812 10.9261 550 546 742 F9 13 2.3576 9.2223 10.3051 9611 457 765 Re_ SP 12 2.4720 89622 10.107 9751 450 765 Re_ SP 12 2.4720 89622 10.107 9.71 76 765 Re_ SP 9 2.0298 85056 10.6329 10.101 716 76 Vitualife orientation SP 24 1.5317 0.6329 10.101 716 76 Vitualife orientation SP 24 1.5317 0.0930 8519 594 76 Vitualife orientation SP 24 1.5317 0.6329 10.1010 716 610 Vitualife orientation SP 24 1.5680 10.6329 8.579 594 591 610 Vitualife orientation SP 24 1.6580 10.8659 8.646 577 619 SP 24 1.633 0.612 0.8659 8.646 579 <		SP 10	1.9583	.82874	10.7044	9.275	.617	.725
F) 13 2.3576 .9223 10.3051 9611 457 .765 R_S P (12) 2,470 .8962 10.1907 9.71 450 .765 R_S P (2) 2,028 .85056 10.6329 10.101 .416 .765 R_S P (2) 1,317 .65692 10.6329 8.515 .594 .773 Vitual life orientation SP (2) 1,475 .65640 10.9039 8.515 .594 .610 Vitual life orientation SP (2) 1,475 .5679 10.9039 8.579 .577 .610 Vitual life orientation SP (2) 1,7870 10.8659 8.466 .537 .619 SP (2) 1,633 .71870 10.8659 8.466 .537 .619 SP (2) 1,633 .71870 10.8659 8.466 .539 .619 R (2) SP (2) 1.633 .71870 10.8012 8.466 .539 .619 R (2) SP (2) .1348 .138 </td <th></th> <td>SP 8</td> <td>1.7366</td> <td>.84812</td> <td>10.9261</td> <td>9.500</td> <td>.546</td> <td>.742</td>		SP 8	1.7366	.84812	10.9261	9.500	.546	.742
Re_SP 12 24720 39622 10.1907 9751 450 766 Nitual life orientation Re_SP 9 20298 85056 10.0329 10.101 416 773 Vitual life orientation SP 24 15317 65692 10.9030 8515 594 573 SP 23 1.4754 65540 10.9030 8579 579 517 610 SP 24 1.5689 71870 10.9030 8746 535 619 SP 29 1.5689 71870 10.8659 8466 535 619 SP 26 16335 69760 10.8012 8466 539 619 SP 28 1628 77484 10.8012 8546 599 619 Re_SP 27 21329 29126 10.3018 8520 599 652 Re_SP 28 16228 210312 8546 599 563 563 563		SP 13	2.3576	.92223	10.3051	9.611	.457	.765
Re_SP9 2.0298 85056 10.6329 10.101 416 773 Vitual life orientation SP 24 1,317 5592 10.9030 8515 594 610 Vitual life orientation SP 23 1,4754 55540 10.9593 8,579 577 614 SP 24 1,5689 7,1870 10.8659 8,466 535 614 SP 26 1,6335 69760 10.8012 8,466 535 619 Re_SP 28 1,6238 7,1484 10.8012 8,646 509 619 Re_SP 29 2,1329 2,9172 10.3018 8,520 597 619 Re_SP 25 2,1329 2,9172 10.3018 8,520 2,97 636 Re_SP 25 2,4695 1,2096 9,053 9,261 1,03 636		Re_SP 12	2.4720	.89622	10.1907	9.751	.450	.766
Virtual life orientation SP 24 1.5317 .65692 10.9030 8515 .594 .610 SP 23 1.4754 .65540 10.9593 8.579 .577 .610 SP 29 1.5689 .71870 10.8659 8.466 .535 .619 SP 26 1.6335 .69760 10.8612 8.466 .535 .619 SP 26 1.6335 .69760 10.8012 8.466 .509 .619 Re_SP 27 1.6238 .71484 10.8120 8.738 .467 .636 Re_SP 27 2.1329 .99172 10.3018 8.520 .297 .636 Re_SP 25 2.4695 1.12096 9.563 .103 .103 .103 .575 .575		Re_SP 9	2.0298	.85056	10.6329	10.101	.416	.773
SP 23 1.4754 .65540 10.9593 8.579 .577 .614 SP 29 1.5689 .71870 10.8659 8.466 .535 .619 SP 26 1.6335 .69760 10.8012 8.646 .535 .619 SP 26 1.6335 .71484 10.8120 8.646 .509 .619 Re_SP 27 1.6228 .71484 10.8120 8.738 .467 .636 Re_SP 27 2.1329 .9172 10.3018 8.520 .297 .688 Re_SP 25 2.4695 1.12096 9.9653 9.261 .103 .768	Virtual life orientation	SP 24	1.5317	.65692	10.9030	8.515	.594	.610
SP 29 1.5689 .71870 10.8659 8.466 .535 .619 SP 26 1.6335 .69760 10.8012 8.646 .509 .627 SP 28 1.6228 .71484 10.8120 8.738 .467 .636 Re_SP 27 2.1329 .9172 10.3018 8.520 .297 .688 Re_SP 25 2.465 1.12096 9.9653 9.261 .103 .765		SP 23	1.4754	.65540	10.9593	8.579	.577	.614
SP 26 1.6335 .69760 10.8012 8646 .509 .627 SP 28 1.6228 .71484 10.8120 8.738 .467 .636 Re_SP 27 2.1329 .99172 10.3018 8.520 .297 .688 Re_SP 25 2.4695 11.2096 9.9653 9.261 .103 .103 .520 .597 .688		SP 29	1.5689	.71870	10.8659	8.466	.535	.619
SP 28 1.6228 .71484 10.8120 8.738 .467 .636 Re_SP 27 2.1329 .9172 10.3018 8.520 .297 .688 Re_SP 25 2.4695 1.12096 9.9653 9.261 .103 .103		SP 26	1.6335	.69760	10.8012	8.646	.509	.627
Re_SP 27 2.1329 .99172 10.3018 8.520 .297 .688 Re_SP 25 2.4695 1.12096 9.9653 9.261 .103 .765		SP 28	1.6228	.71484	10.8120	8.738	.467	.636
Re_SP 25 2.4695 1.12096 9.9653 9.261 .103 .765		Re_SP 27	2.1329	.99172	10.3018	8.520	.297	.688
		Re_SP 25	2.4695	1.12096	9.9653	9.261	.103	.765

Table 1. Selection of Final Items through Reliability Analysis on Subscales.

Table 2. Final Items.

Subdomain	Items	No.
Disturbance of Adaptive Functions	My school grades dropped due to excessive smartphone use.	1
	I have a hard time doing what I have planned (study, do homework, or go to afterschool classes) due to using smartphone.	5
	People frequently comment on my excessive smartphone use.	9
	Family or friends complain that I use my smartphone too much.	12
	My smartphone does not distract me from my studies.	13*
Virtual Life Orientation	Using a smartphone is more enjoyable than spending time with family or friends.	2
	When I cannot use a smartphone, I feel like I have lost the entire world.	6
Withdrawal	It would be painful if I am not allowed to use a smartphone.	3
	I get restless and nervous when I am without a smartphone.	7
	I am not anxious even when I am without a smartphone.	10*
	I panic when I cannot use my smartphone.	14
Tolerance	l try cutting my smartphone usage time, but l fail.	4
	I can control my smartphone usage time.	8*
	Even when I think I should stop, I continue to use my smartphone too much.	11
	Spending a lot of time on my smartphone has become a habit.	15

* Reverse-coded items.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0097920.t002

competent, and productive [15]. Consequently, smartphone usage has been shown to be directly proportional to application usage [14]. Second, smartphones place a greater importance on the sensory features that stimulate users' expressive side [16]. Smartphone's distinctive user interface system, which includes touch screen operation, keyboard arrangements, icons, sensible design, and other components, enables its user to reveal his or her individuality [17]. The importance of the expressive aspect of smartphone applications can also be seen in the fact that users prefer applications that allow multiple users to have fun together and to be socially expressive over applications that can only be enjoyed alone [18]. Third, smartphones provide a convergence of services such as the camera, MP3, GPS, web browsing, calling, email, gaming, and social networking services (SNS) [19] [20] on one portable device. Also called the "handheld Internet," smartphones' portability allows for real-time and personalized services anywhere which could not be fulfilled on a typical desktop computer. Moreover, smartphone's "Push Service" notifies the users with relevant updates, such as newest emails or Facebook replies, even before the user asks for them [21]. Such personalized services provided by smartphones can be helpful, but may also induce people to overuse their smartphones [22] [23]. Finally, people of different age groups show varying smartphone usage

patterns. Teens mainly use their smartphones for the camera, MP3, and other entertainment functions; people in their 20's mainly use SNS; and the people in their 30's and 40's typically manage their schedules, contacts list, e-mail, and other business related functions [24] [25].

Despite smartphones' distinctive characteristics as mentioned above, many of the existing smartphone addiction scales were identical to the cellular phone addiction scale, with the word "cellular phone" simply replaced with "smartphone". One of the most recent, Casey [26] 's smartphone addiction scale had also extracted items from scales that measure other types of media addiction such as the Mobile Phone Problem Use Scale [27], Internet Addiction Test [10], and Television Addiction Scale [28]. Besides, since cellular phone addiction was also seen as a type of behavioral addiction due to impulse control problems, it was usually comprised with elements from internet addiction.

Therefore, the current study developed the Korean Smartphone Addiction Proneness Scale (SAPS) for Youth by adding items that reflect unique characteristics of smartphones to the Internet Addiction Proneness Scale (IAPS) for Youth [29]. The IAPS is a 20-item scale that has been used to check the level of internet addiction among youth in South Korea since 2007. The SAPS developed through current study will be a useful tool for

Table 3. Correlation analysis between SAPS and the Mental Health Problems Scale.

	1	2	3	4	5
Total Score (SAPS)	.85**	.70**	.81**	.87**	.43**
Disturbance of Adaptive Functions		.55**	.49**	.67**	.36**
Virtual Life Orientation			.55**	.47**	.34**
Withdrawal				.63**	.37**
Tolerance					.36**

1, Disturbance of Adaptive Functions; 2, Virtual Life Orientation; 3, Withdrawal; 4, Tolerance; 5, Mental Health Problems Scale. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0097920.t003

examining the phenomenon of smartphone overuse among youths, and will ultimately contribute to preventing smartphone addiction.

Method

Participants

This study is a secondary data analysis on the national survey data from the National Information Agency of Korea's project on smartphone addiction conducted in 2012 [34]. The researchers of this study had participated in the project as the principal investigator and assistant researchers. Because this project was conducted at a national level, the resulting data was from a largescale sample that is representative in terms of region, age, and gender. The distributed survey explicitly stated the purpose of the project and notified the participants that they are consenting to participate by filling out the survey. In proportion to the actual population distribution across Korea, 795 elementary, middle, and high school students (461 male and 324 female) had completed the survey. Regional agencies were randomly selected from each of the four areas: Seoul Metropolitan area, Chungcheong/Gangwon area, Honam (including Jeju) area, and Yeongnam area. Many (44.7%) were middle school students, followed by high school students (37.7%) and upper elementary school students (17.6%).

Measures

Demographic Questionnaire. A demographic questionnaire that included items pertaining to student's personal information, extent and nature of smartphone use, and academic performance was included in the survey packet.

Smartphone Addiction Proneness Scale Items. Based on the previously developed diagnostic scales and research findings, as well as clinical experiences of numerous specialists, items that theoretically and empirically represent the distinct characteristics of smartphone addiction were selected to comprise the scale. The preliminary scale was composed of twenty-nine items, and each item was scored on a 4-point Likert scale (1 = strongly disagree, 2 = disagree, 3 = agree, 4 = strongly agree). The twenty-nine preliminary items were structured around four subdomains: disturbance of adaptive functions (9 items), withdrawal (7 items), tolerance (6 items), and virtual life orientation (7 items).

Mental Health Problems Scale. To check the validity of the SAPS, a measure that assesses mental health problems related to smartphone addiction was developed. Psychological difficulties that could accompany smartphone addiction include anxiety, depression, impulsiveness, and aggression [50]. Thus, NEO Youth Personality Test [30] items related to these problems (factors) were modified and included in the current scale. The scale consists of 32 items, 8 items for each factor. Items are rated on a 4-point scale (1 = strongly disagree, 2 = disagree, 3 = agree, 4 = strongly agree). The inter-item consistency for the scale is high with a Cronbach's alpha of .944 overall and .865, .870, .820, .878 for each factor.

Internet Addiction Proneness Scale for Youth (KS-II). To compare smartphone addiction with internet addiction, the 15item KS-II was used. KS-II developed by the National Information Society Agency [31] has gone through the standardization process in Korea through a nation-wide field survey. KS-II is structured around the four factors: (1) disturbance of adaptive functions, (2) withdrawal, (3) tolerance, and (4) virtual life orientation. Items are rated on a 4-point scale (1 = strongly disagree, 2 = disagree, 3 = agree, 4 = strongly agree). The interitem consistency for the scale is high with a Cronbach's alpha of .87.

		SAPS				KS-II				
		-	2	ß	4	ß	-	2	в	4
SAPS	Total Score	.84**	.78**	.83**	.86**	.49**	.48**	.34**	.21**	.32**
	Disturbance of Ad Functions	laptive	.53**	.50**	.68**	.49**	.51**	.31**	.16**	.38**
	Virtual Life Orient	ation		.51**	.47**	.26**	.25**	.30**	.22**	.23**
	Withdrawal				.59**	.42**	.43**	.30**	.22**	.23**
	Tolerance					.53**	.49**	.27**	.12**	.41**
KS-II	Total Score						.85**	.68**	.57**	.81**
	Disturbance of Ad Functions	laptive						.53**	.25**	.68**
	Virtual Life Orienta	ation							.19**	.50**
	Withdrawal									.15**
1, Disturbance doi:10.1371/jo	of Adaptive Function urnal.pone.0097920.00	is; 2, Virtual Life Orientat 04	ion; 3, Withdrawal; ²	4, Tolerance; 5, Total	Score.					

KS-I

4. Correlation analyses between SAPS and

Table .



Figure 1. The factor structure of SAPS. The structural model of the four subdomains of smartphone addiction (disturbance of adaptive functions, virtual life orientation, withdrawal, and tolerance) and their pertinent items appeared valid. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0097920.g001

Procedure

First, upon reviewing the related scales that were previously developed and examining their theoretical backgrounds, specialists selected items for a preliminary questionnaire. This initial pool had about twice as many items as the final scale. The preliminary scale was administered to students and data were collected. Then, final items were selected according to the reliability test results for each subscale. Finally, the construct validity model for each subdomain was validated on AMOS. A more detailed description of each step of the procedure is as follows.

Preliminary Smartphone Addiction Proneness Scale for Youth. A pool of preliminary items for Smartphone Addiction Proneness Scale (SAPS) for youth was developed based on the findings from previous literature on internet addiction, mobile phone addiction, and digital media addiction. Since smartphone is a mobile device that enables internet use, existing internet addiction scales were used for reference. The characteristics of digital media addiction suggested by Young [38] and Greenfield [44] were also reflected in the developed items. Considering that smartphones can be seen as advanced versions of regular mobile phones, existing mobile phone scales [12] [8] were examined as well. Consequently, the subdomains of SAPS came to include disturbance of adaptive functions, withdrawal, tolerance, and virtual life orientation. Finally, experts (education specialists, psychiatrists) created 29 preliminary items that reflect these four subdomains of smartphone addiction.

Scale Administration. The SAPS was distributed in randomly selected elementary, middle, and high schools so that participants can be selected in proportion to the actual population distribution across Korea. **Item Selection through Reliability Analysis.** Reliability analyses on the 29 preliminary items were conducted by subdomain. A total of 15 items that seem adequate were selected. Finally, the Cronbach's alpha for the final scale with 15 items was calculated.

Construct Validity Model for Each Subdomain. To confirm the construct validity of SAPS, the construct validity model for each subdomain was validated on AMOS.

Results

Selection of Final Items through Reliability Analyses on Subdomains

From the original 29 items, items that seemed unsuitable for each subdomain were deleted or revised based on the results of reliability analyses. To verify the reliability of the items in each subdomain, Cronbach's alphas were examined. The items that lowered the overall reliability of the subdomain if deleted as well as the items with the highest reliability were selected for the final scale. Also, to detect careless or inconsistent responders, reversecoded items with high reliability were included. Table 1 below displays the reliability results of each subdomain, and Table 2 displays the final 15 items selected.

Reliability

The reliability of SAPS was verified with a Cronbach's alpha of 0.88.

Validity

Criterion Validity Analysis. To confirm the criterion validity of SAPS, the scores from SAPS and Mental Health

Problems Scale were compared. Table 3 shows the Pearson correlation results of the two scales. As a result, the correlation coefficient came out to be 0.43. Furthermore, the correlations among the subscales of SAPS and the Mental Health Problems Scale were all in the $0.49 \sim 0.67$ range, confirming a certain degree of correlation.

The correlation between SAPS and the KS-II were analyzed; Table 4 shows the results of Pearson's correlation analysis. The correlation coefficient of 0.49 showed that if the score on SAPS was high, the KS-II score was probably high as well. Additionally, the correlations among the subscales of the KS-II and SAPS were between 0.12 and 0.51, again showing a certain degree of correlation.

Construct Validity Analysis. Confirmatory factor analysis was conducted using AMOS 7.0 to confirm the factor structure of SAPS. For this, the factor structure model was set as follows (Figure 1).

First, the model fit indices NFI, TLI, CFI, and RMSEA were .943, .902, .962, and .034 respectively, showing that the pertinent model was well suited to the data. Therefore, the structural model of the four subdomains of smartphone addiction (disturbance of adaptive functions, virtual life orientation, withdrawal, and tolerance) and their pertinent items appeared valid.

Also, to figure out how comprehensively each item explains the related factors, the regression coefficient of each observable variable and its degree of statistical significance were examined. In all observable variables except for "virtual life orientation," the standardized coefficients were greater than .5 on average, which was statistically significant (p < .001). Table 5 displays these statistics.

Discussion

As a part of the National Information Agency of Korea's project on youth smartphone addiction conducted in 2012 [34], this study sought to lay foundations for prevention/intervention efforts for youth smartphone addiction. Specifically, the study developed a brief 15-item smartphone addiction proneness scale that could be used in nation-wide data collection efforts. The developers paid a particular attention to the simplicity of scale items and the ease of use in scale administration in order to facilitate actual utilization.

Cronbach's alpha of the final SAPS was .880, demonstrating the scale to be reliable. Existing internet addiction or smartphone scales have also been reported to be reliable with Cronbach's alphas of above .7. However, it may be unwise to trust their reliability values because their data collection process was not standardized or their sample size was small. For instance, Beard and Wolf [37] attempted to improve on Young [38] 's Diagnostic Criteria for Internet Addiction, but their scale development process was not standardized. Widyanto and McMurren [39], on the other hand, did follow a standardized procedure for scale development, but failed to collect enough data (n = 86). Moreover, they collected data online, which could mean that their data collection was biased. Similar limitations are present among existing smartphone addiction scales as well. Kwon et al. [36] had developed a scale based on the K-scale items and the smart device characteristics, and reported the scale to have a Cronbach's alpha of .91. However, it must be noted that their data collection took place at two schools located in one particular region in Korea, thus raising questions about their scale's reliability value. Thus, SAPS of this study can be considered more reliable compared to existing scales as it was developed based on the data collected from 795 students across Korea in proportion to the actual population distribution of the nation.

			Unstandardized Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients	S.E.	C.R.	ď
A disturbance b	\downarrow	-	1.000	.691			
A disturbance a	Ļ	-	1.052	.920	.102	10.349	***
B virtual b	\downarrow	2	1.000	.874			
B virtual a	Ļ	2	.851	.651	.102	8.345	***
B withdrawal b	\downarrow	e	1.000	.675			
B withdrawal a	Ļ	e	1.428	.816	.166	8.604	***
B tolerance b	\downarrow	4	1.000	.819			
B tolerance a	\downarrow	4	.814	.657	.087	9.358	***
1, Disturbance of Adaptive Functic ***p < 0.001. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0097920.1	ons; 2, Virtu :005	al Life O	rientation; 3, Withdrawal; 4, Tolerance; 5, Mental Health Proble	ems Scale.			

Regression coefficients of observable variables regarding each factor

ŝ

Table

SAPS appeared to be validly structured around four subdomains (adaptive functions, withdrawal, tolerance, and virtual life orientation) of smartphone addiction. In order to decide on the scale's subdomains, previous research with a particular attention to studies on internet addiction scales and the diagnostic criteria for other behavioral addictions were examined. Factors that commonly appear among these studies as well as factors that reflect the characteristics of smartphones were included. A confirmatory factor analysis was conducted using AMOS 7.0 to verify the construct validity of the scale. Finally, the correlations between SAPS and KS-II (an internet addiction scale) as well as between SAPS and the Mental Health Problems Scale were checked in order to confirm the criterion validity of SAPS.

Internet addiction scales developed and validated in various countries vary in their factor structures. Canan et al. [40] developed an internet addiction scale for Turkish adolescents and found that its items were grouped as one factor. Similarly, Khazaal et al. [41] developed an internet addiction scale for French adults and found that its items were grouped as one single factor. However, other studies have reported that their internet addiction scale items were grouped into various factors, such as obsession, neglect, and control disorder [42] [43]. Korea's most commonly used K-scale is also composed of many factors, such as adaptive functions, withdrawal, tolerance, and virtual life orientation. As such, scholars seem to disagree on the subdomains of internet addiction scales, implying that the factor structure of internet addiction scales may not be quite stable.

The limitations of this study and suggestions for future studies are as follows.

First, 'tolerance,' a subdomain of SAPS as well as the internet addiction scales, is not a core factor of addiction according to Charlton and Danforth [45]. In other words, using the internet for many hours itself cannot be a criterion for addiction until such

References

- Chen J, Yen D, Chen K (2009) The acceptance and diffusion of the innovative smartphone use. Information and Management 46:241–248.
- Lapidot-Lefler N, Barak A (2012) Effects of anonymity, invisibility, and lack of eye-contact on toxic online disinhibition. Computers in Human Behavior 28:434–443.
- Suler J (2004) The online disinhibition effect. CyberPsychology & Behavior 7:321–326.
- Sohn S (2005) Competition and Substitution of Digital Media: Usage Patterns of News, Sports, and Adult Content. Journal of Cybercommunication 16:273–308.
- Song Y, Oh S, Kim E, Na E, Jung H, Park S (2007) Media User Patterns of Adolescents in a Multimedia Environment: An Assessment of Gender and Income Differences. Journal of Communication Research 46(2):33–65.
- National Information Society Agency (2011) Report on the Development of Korean Smartphone Addiction Proneness Scale for Youth and Adults.
- Skierkowski D, Wood RM (2012) To text or not to text? The importance of text messaging among college-aged youth. Computers in Human Behavior 28:744– 756.
- Lee H (2008) Exploration of the Predicting Variables Affecting the Addictive Mobile Phone Use. The Korean Journal of Social and Personality Psychology 22(1):133–157.
- National Information Society Agency (2010) Report: Improvement Plan for Legislation on Internet Addiction Prevention and Solution.
- Young KS (1998) Psychology of computer use: Addictive use of the internet: A case that breaks the stereotype. Psychological Reports 79:899–902.
- Goldberg I (1996). Internet addiction disorder. Electronic message posted to research discussion list. http://users.rider.edu/~suler/psycyber/supportgp.html (accessed April 20, 2011).
- Kang H, Son C (2009) Development and Validation of the Mobile Phone Addiction Scale for Adolescents. Korean Journal of Health Psychology 14(3):497–510.
- Choliz M (2010) Mobile phone addiction: A point of issue. Addiction 105(2):373–375.
- Zsolt D, Beatrix S, Sandor R (2008) The three-factor model of internet addiction: The development of the problematic Internet Use Questionnaire. Behavior Research Methods 40(2):563–574.
- Kim D, Tae J (2010) A Study on the Mediation Experiences of Smart Phone Users. Journal of Korea Humanities Content Society 19:373–394.

behavior results in negative consequences [35]. Since smartphones are devices that people carry around and use everywhere, tolerance may be unfit as the core factor of smartphone addiction. This calls for additional nationwide survey and data analyses on this topic. Moreover, the validation of the scale could be improved by, for example, administering the scale to populations of addicted and non-addicted youth to examine the scale's discriminant validity.

Next, SAPS for youth can widely used in smartphone addiction research that is gaining momentum these days. Today's digital media devices have been rapidly developed from PC-based forms to smartphones and various tablet PCs. In other words, existing media and recent media are going through competition as well as substitution process. Since youths these days are considered as digital natives [46] who actively accept and use the most up-todate media [32], investigating the possible side effects of their media use on their mental health seems urgent. Excessive use of digital media can bring negative consequences in physical, psychological, and social aspects of adolescents' lives, and may even trigger delinquent behaviors. For instance, Kross et al. [33] found that Facebook use is not helpful for social interaction and is associated with low levels of subjective psychological well-being. Therefore, research into symptoms of smartphone addiction as well as effects of smartphone addiction on adolescent mental health is necessary, and SAPS can be well utilized in such endeavor.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: DK YHL. Analyzed the data: JYL YJC. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: DK YHL. Wrote the paper: DK YHL JYL JEKN YJC.

- Kim D, Lee C (2010) Technology Trends of Smartphone User Interface. Korea Information Science Society Review 28(5):15–26.
- Hwang H, Sohn S, Choi Y (2011) Exploring Factors Affecting Smart-Phone Addiction - Characteristics of Users and Functional Attributes. Korean Journal of Broadcasting 25(2):277–313.
- Kim M (2011) Study on Online and Offline Relationship of Smart Phone SNS Users: Centering on Twitter. The Graduate School of Ewha Women's University. Master's Thesis.
- Noh M, Kim J, Lee J (2010) Analysis of Smartphone and Convergence of Functions through Association Analysis. Journal of the Korea Society of Management Information Systems 1:254–259.
- Apple Pty Ltd. (2011). iPhone Features. http://www.apple.com/au/iphone/ features/ Accessed Mar 19 2011.
- Kim J (2010) The Evolution of Mobile Internet Service. OSIA Standards & Technology Review 38(1):4–12.
- Park I, Shin D (2010) Using the Uses and Gratifications Theory to Understand the Usage and the Gratifications of Smartphones. Journal of Communication Science 10(4):192–225.
- Choi WS (2010) Study on the importance of functional characteristic of smartphones. Journal of Information Technology Application & Management 1:289–297.
- Digieco Reports (2010) An analysis of iPhone's socioeconomic effect. KT Management Economics Research Institute.
- Koh Y, Lee H (2010) A Study on the Changes of Behavior Patterns in Smartphone Users by Shadowing Early iPhone Users. Journal of Commodity Science 28(1):111–120.
- Casey BM (2012) Linking Psychological Attributes to Smart Phone Addiction, Face-to-Face Communication, Present Absence and Social Capital. Graduation Project, Graduate School of the Chinese University of Hong Kong.
- Bianchi A, Phillips JG (2005). Psychological Predictors of Problem Mobile Phone Use. CyberPscyhology, Behavior and Social Networking 8(1):2152–2715.
- Horvath CW (2004) Measuring Television Addiction. Journal of Broadcasting and Electronic Media 48(3):378–398.
- Kim DI, Chung Y, Lee E, Kim DM, Cho Y (2008) Development of Internet Addiction Proneness Scale – Short Form. The Korean Journal of Counseling 9(4):1703–1722.

- Kim DI (2005). Big 5 Personality Test for Children and Adolescents. Scoul, Korea: Hakjisa.
- National Information Society Agency (2011) Report: Third Standardization of Korean Internet Addiction Proneness Scale.
- 32. Kim DI, Lee YH, Lee JY, Kim MC, Keum CM, et al. (2012) New Patterns in Media Addiction: Is Smartphone a Substitute or a Complement to the Internet? The Korean Journal of Youth Counseling 20(1):71–88.
- Kross E, Verduyn P, Demiralp E, Park J, et al. (2013) Facebook use predicts declines in subjective well-being in young adults. PLoS ONE 8(8): e69841.
- Shin K, Kim DI, Chung Y (2011) Report: Development of Korean Smartphone Addiction Proneness Scale For Youth and Adults. National Information Society Agency.
- Griffiths MD (2010) The use of online methodologies in data collection for gambling and gaming addictions. International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction 8:8–20.
- Kwon M, Kim DJ, Cho H, Yang S (2013) The smartphone addiction scale: development and validation of a short version for adolescents. PLoS ONE 8(12): e83558. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0083558.
- Beard KW, Wolf EM (2001) Modification in the Proposed Diagnostic Criteria for Internet Addiction.CyberPsychology & Behavior 4(3):377–383.
- Young KS (1996) Addictive use of the internet: a case that breaks the stereotype. Psychological Reports 79:899–902.
- Widyanto L, McMurren M (2004) The Psychometric Properties of the Internet Addiction Test. CyberPsychology & Behavior 7(4):443–450.
- Canan F, Ataoglu A, Nichols LA, Yildirim T, Ozturk O (2010) Evaluation of Psychometric Properties of the Internet Addiction Scale in a Sample of Turkish

High School Students. Cyberpsychology, Behavior and Social Networking 13(3):317–320.

- Khazaal Y, Billieux J, Thorens G, Khan R, Louati Y, Scarlatti E, et al. (2008) French Validation of the Internet Addiction Test. CyberPsychology& Behavior 11(6):703–706.
- Demetrovics Z, Szereredi B, Rozsa S (2008) The three-factor model of Internet Addiction: The development of the Problematic Internet Use Questionnaire. Behavior Research Methods 40(2):563–574.
- Kelley KJ, Gruber EM (2010) Psychometric properties of the Problematic Internet Use Questionaire. Computers in Human Behavior 26:1838–1845.
- Greenfield DN (1999) Psychological characteristics of compulsive Internet use: A preliminary analysis. CyberPsychology & Behavior 8(5):403–412.
- Charlton JP, Danforth IDW (2007) Distinguishing addiction and high engagement in the context of online game playing. Computers in Human Behavior 23(3):1531–1548.
- 46. Prensky M (2001) Digital natives, digital immigrants part 1. On the Horizon $9{:}1{-}6.$
- Park W (2005) Mobile Phone Addiction. Mobile Communications. Computer Supported Cooperative Work Vol. 31:253–272.
- Kang H, Son C (2009) Development and Validation of the Mobile Phone Addiction Scale for Adolescents. Korean journal of health psychology 14(3):497– 510.
- Koo H (2013) Development of a Cell Phone Addiction Scale for Korean Parents of Young Children. Child Health Nursing Research 19(1):29–38.
- Keum C (2013) Research into smartphone addiction proneness and mental health problem for middle and high school student at Korea. Seoul National University Master's Thesis.