

**Dialogues as a Dynamic Framework for Logic** Rückert, H.

## Citation

Rückert, H. (2007, June 26). *Dialogues as a Dynamic Framework for Logic*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/12099

Version:	Corrected Publisher's Version
License:	<u>Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the</u> <u>Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden</u>
Downloaded from:	https://hdl.handle.net/1887/12099

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

## Stellingen

- 1) Dialogical Logic is an ideal framework for the combination of different logics.
- 2) Concerning the formulation of non-classical logics dialogical logic offers a fruitful compromise between Došen's principle and Girard's principle.
- 3) In the dialogical framework it can be shown what is wrong with logical particles like *tonk*.
- 4) With the help of dialogical logic one can give two distinct readings of anti-realist talk of meaning in terms of assertibility conditions.
- 5) There are two important aspects of knowledge, one of which is neglected in standard epistemic logics.
- 6) An adequate logical analysis reveals that the existence of 'intensional contexts' is a myth.
- 7) Williamson's new proposal of reference as knowledge maximising is a an interesting alternative to standard approaches to reference.
- 8) Kripke's distinction between rigid and non-rigid designators is flawed.
- 9) Kripke's conception of analyticity in *Naming and Necessity* is unconvincing.
- 10) If Wittgenstein had followed through the main line of thought of the *Tractatus* this should have led him to a language without tautologies and contradictions.
- 11) It is misleading to call Hume an irrationalist concerning induction.
- 12) The most famous doctor from Leiden by far often found himself in philosophically relevant circumstances.