

Dielectric Embedded ESPAR (DE-ESPAR) Antenna Array for Wireless Communications

J. LU, *Member IEEE*, D. IRELAND and R. SCHLUB *Member IEEE*

Abstract— A novel dielectric embedded ESPAR (DE-ESPAR) antenna array for mobile wireless communication terminal is developed by FEM based numerical modeling technique. The size reduction of the seven-element monopole antenna was accomplished by embedding the array in a cylindrical rod of FIK ceramic complex with a relative permittivity around $\epsilon_r=4.5$. Dielectric embedded prototypes of a seven-element ESPAR antenna array were modeled and experimentally characterized. Experimental and numerical results are in good agreement. The optimized antenna produced a horizontal directivity of 5.1dBi and return loss of -19dB at 2.48GHz. An overall volume reduction of 80% and footprint reduction of 50% were achieved.

Index Terms—Adaptive Antennas, Dielectric Embedded Antenna array, Smart Antennas, Parasitic Radiator.

I. INTRODUCTION

In mobile terminals the size of the antenna is important, as portability is a key requirement. The rapid growth of the wireless communications market has resulted in new technologies being investigated to improve performance and usage of the available spectrum in the most efficient way. Smart antennas with controllable directionality are one promising candidate as they allow higher re-use of channels and increased system performance. A host of electrically beam steerable adaptive antenna arrays have existed for some time. Typically, each element is excited with different phases of the information signal to form radiation beams and nulls. However, inherent complexity has restricted the use of conventional adaptive arrays in massively produced wireless communications products, like mobile computing terminals. To address this, electrically steerable or switchable parasitic antenna arrays have been introduced [1][2]. Phased array antennas can also be considered, however they typically require an inter-element spacing of greater than $0.5\lambda_0$. In Electronically Steerable Passive Array Radiator (ESPAR) antenna arrays [3][4], the separation distances between elements can be reduced to $0.25\lambda_0$.


Manuscript sent December 16, 2004; revised March 25, 2005.

J. Lu and D. Ireland are with the school of Microelectronic Engineering, Griffith University, Nathan, Brisbane, QLD 411 Australia. (telephone: +61-7-38755118, e-mail: j.lu@griffith.edu.au and telephone: +61 7 3875 5721, e-mail: d.island@griffith.edu.au).


R. Schlub is with Antenova Ltd, Cambridge, CB5 8RN, UK (e-mail: Robert.Schlub@antenova.com).

The ESPAR antenna can direct radiation at intended recipients and steer radiation nulls toward the interfering signals. The radiation nulls and main lobe gains complement each other to maximize the system signal to interference noise ratio (SINR). Furthermore, the antenna's main lobe gain dramatically decreases the required transmission power for a set range. Directed radiation also helps alleviate health concerns that might accompany such a device.

To further reduce the ESPAR antenna size, the DE-ESPAR antenna is developed and the physical size can be significantly reduced. Like ESPAR antenna the DE-ESPAR antenna consists of a single feed element surrounded by a ring of reactively loaded parasitic elements and is embedded into a high dielectric constant material as shown in Fig. 1.a. By electrically controlling the loading reactances (Fig. 1.b), directional beams and nulls can be formed and steered throughout the azimuth. Thus the physical structure of the antenna is simple and requires relatively little power to operate when compared to its phased array counterparts. However, the strong electromagnetic coupling between elements in the dielectric material, and the interface matching between air and high dielectric materials, and finite ground structure make the antenna's analytical solution very complex. Accordingly, computational modeling is required to determine the antenna characteristics without experimental testing. Due to this complexity, much effort is needed to determine the optimum structure of the antenna for best performance.



(a) Configuration of DE-ESPAR antenna array



(b) Beamforming control circuits

Fig. 1. DE-ESPAR Antenna Structure

In this paper, the work extends our earlier research work on ESPAR and DE-ESMB antenna arrays for mobile communications [4-6]. The size reduction was achieved by embedding the ESPAR antenna array in a homogeneous dielectric material. Small antenna design processes and analysis concepts are developed to analyze the problem based on computer modeling and optimization techniques. In order to optimize the DE-ESPAR antenna structure, a genetic algorithm (GA) was employed in conjunction with an FEM based cost function. The genetic optimization focused on improving the main-lobe horizontal gain of the antenna, and DE-ESPAR antenna structure. In doing so, both radiation sensitivity at side angles and transmitter power are reduced. In the majority of signal environments, it is conceivable that the transmitting and receiving antennas will be operating with positive gain in their propagation direction.

II. CONFIGURATION OF DE-ESPAR ANTENNA AND LOAD

Unlike conventional adaptive arrays, the DE-ESPAR antenna's beam forming elements are all passive in nature and do not require complex feeding networks and control systems. Typically, the parasitic elements are base-loaded with varactor diodes whose voltage controlled reactances produce different antenna radiation patterns [3][4].

Similar to conventional adaptive arrays, the DE-ESPAR antenna is an adaptive solution that can create and steer both radiation lobes and nulls in arbitrary directions. A 7-element DE-ESPAR antenna is considered where a single feed monopole is encircled by six, equally spaced, parasitic monopole elements are embedded by high dielectric constant material and reside on a hollowed cylindrical ground structure, under which the parasitic elements are loaded. While this ground configuration consumes substantially more volume than would be required for the complementary dipole array, it provides a practical, mechanically sound solution for antenna feeding and control circuitry. The ground skirting is used to reduce the radiation lobe elevation that exists due to the finite ground plane dimensions. Reducing the main-lobe elevation not only increases the azimuth gain and improve the communication performance of the wireless terminal devices, but also reduces the chances of multi-path interference.

DC voltage controlled varactors are the common component for implementing dynamic reactive loads. However for simulation verification, static loads consisting of a segment of microstrip transmission line (TL) were used for prototype testing. As the monopole elements were held in position by a FR4 Fiberglass printed circuit board (PCB), the microstrip lines were placed on the bottom side of the PCB while the topside obviously being the ground plane. The required lengths of the transmission lines were derived by a combination of analytical transmission line equations and manual simulation optimization. The Beamforming control circuit with combined load configuration using microstrip TL. Since varactors based load is a capacitive load, the maximum antenna efficiency (the function of structure detention and antenna gain) observed was only 79% in contrast to inductive based load, where 98% was observed. It can be seen that the antenna efficiency is influenced by load configuration. Therefore the DE-ESPAR antenna designed with inductive loads (Fig. 3) can significantly improve the antenna efficiency.




Fig. 2. Beamforming control circuit with combined load configuration



Fig. 3. Antenna static loads structure (for a fix beam direction)

Although the inductive load can provide many benefits, such as wide bandwidth of frequency and increased gain, the high frequency and low loss variable inductors cannot be easily found. Therefore the combination of a quarter wave microstrip line in series with varactor is utilized for beam forming control circuit as shown in Fig. 3. With such configuration, the impedance changes at 2.4 GHz from $j17\ \Omega$ to $j170\ \Omega$, in contrast to capacitive load the impedance changes from $-j14.73\ \Omega$ to $-j147\ \Omega$. Due to combined $\frac{1}{4}$ wave length transmission line, the DC controlled varactors allow simple electrically formed radiation with minimal power expense (Fig. 4). Its uncomplicated structure and low-power operation make the antenna an ideal candidate for massively produced user terminals in wireless communications network.



Fig. 4. Dynamically controlled load (varactor combined with equal length of microstrip TL).

III. OPTIMIZATION PROCEDURE IN SIZE REDUCTION

It is well known that by embedding a wire in a dielectric medium, the electrical length of a wire antenna can be reduced. If the antenna elements are embedded in a high permittivity medium (non-magnetic material) of infinite extent, the wavelength in the medium can be rewritten as $\lambda_r = \lambda_0 / \sqrt{\epsilon_r}$ and the size reduction factor F_r for the length of antenna elements will be $F_r = \sqrt{\epsilon_r}$.

To reduce the total volume and weight of the DE-ESPAR array, the radius of the dielectric from outer element to air interface should be smaller than the height of the dielectric. In a practical antenna design, the reduction factor will not be as great as F_r due to the embedding dielectric will not be of infinite extent. Clearly, the reduction factor will be a function of the shape, size, electrical characteristics of the dielectric material and the location of each wire element in the material. Thus the actual reduction factor, F_{ra} , for the size of antenna will be

$$F_{ra} = f(\sqrt{\epsilon_r}, R_a, R_b, H_a, H_b, H_c) \quad (1)$$

where the parameters R_a , R_b , H_a , H_b and H_c are defined in Figure 1a.

This inherent nature makes the formulation of an analytical solution quite arduous. Therefore the design methodology employed featured a Finite Element Method (FEM) based simulating engine in conjunction with an automated Genetic Algorithm as the optimization tool. The choice of the Genetic Algorithm as an optimization technique was based around its recent success in optimizing similar antennas [4] and its resilience to being trapped in local minima. The FEM solution engine was used due to the complex structural nature of the DE-ESPAR antenna where a non-uniform mesh was implemented allowing efficient but accurate simulations.

A. Structural Optimization

The original structure (Fig. 1) was designed using size reduction factor F_r and fundamental design techniques in conjunction with heuristic experience and therefore, it was not known whether all dimensions were optimal. While the structure is relatively simple, the electrical analysis of the antenna is complex, and hard to optimize analytically. Therefore, the finite element based commercial software package High Frequency Structure Simulator (HFSS)[7] was used together with a genetic algorithm (GA) to simulate and optimize the structure.

Optimal design of the DE-ESPAR antenna includes two components, namely the structural and load optimization. Both components couple together to formulate the complete electromagnetic characteristics of the DE-ESPAR antenna array. Structural optimization involves the element heights, element array radius as well as the dielectric radius and height. To reduce optimization time, element structural variables were kept within limits that were determined by available material and the overall size of the antenna. The monopole elements were kept at 1mm radius while the ground skirt was assumed a 2-dimensional shape (0 mm thickness) in the simulation process as this parameter was assumed not to significantly influence the behavior of the antenna [8].

B. Reactive Load Optimization

DC voltage controlled varactor diodes are the typical component for implementing a dynamic reactive load. However for simulation verification it is more convenient to realize static loads consisting of a segment of open or short circuited microstrip transmission line (TL). Elementary transmission line theory states the input impedance of a lossless transmission line is obtained by the characteristic impedance R_o , the terminating impedance Z_L , the phase constant β and the length of the transmission line ℓ .

In the case of an open circuited transmission line $Z_L = \infty$ the resultant input impedance is given as, $Z_{in} = -jR_o / \tan(\beta\ell)$. In contrast the corresponding short circuit transmission line is derived by substituting $Z_L = 0$ resulting in $Z_{in} = jR_o \tan(\beta\ell)$.

By selecting either a short or open circuit transmission line and substituting in R_o , Z_{in} and β the required length of the transmission line will provide the desired load impedance during the optimization process.

C. The Genetic Algorithm

It was anticipated that the optimization process would result in a large amount of suboptimal solutions calling upon a robust and global optimizer algorithm. The genetic algorithm was the obvious choice as it exhibits such trade characteristics and also has recently proven successful in optimizing similar antenna structures [9-11].

A conventional genetic algorithm was applied with the exception of an adaptive mutation parameter. Typically the mutation parameter in a GA is the amount of the random altering of the evolved population of solutions, promoting a non-homogenous population. It is often the case when this parameter is fixed usually at a small amount (e.g. 1%) the dispersion of the evolving population will decrease per iteration resulting in inefficient optimization. In contrast if the mutation rate is higher the optimization search then becomes random and the genetic method is loss. A compromise can be reached by adaptively varying the mutation value based upon the statistical dispersion of the evolved population P_d , normalized with the dispersion of the initial randomly created population P_o . The mutation parameter or rate P_m is updated according to equation (2),

$$P_m = \left(1 - \frac{P_d^2}{P_o}\right) \times 100\% \quad (2)$$

The two defining parameters of an antenna are its efficiency $(1 - |S_{11}|^2)$ (comprised of the return loss S_{11}) and directivity (D), assuming negligible losses in the antenna structure, the product of these two components formulates the overall gain of the antenna [4]. In the case of a wireless or mobile network it is conceivable to postulate an increase in horizontal gain will promote the most direct route of radiation energy to the intended receiver. With this in mind the implemented fitness function shown in equation (3), consisted simply of the horizontal gain with the objective of the optimizer to maximize this value.

$$FitnessFunction = (1 - |S_{11}|^2) D \quad (3)$$

Over 3000 simulations were performed by the optimizer resulting in 60 generations of evolved populations of solutions. The total optimization time was approximately one week with the use of two parallel processors. The optimized results for the reactive loads and structure dimensions at 2.4GHz are given in tables 1 and 2 respectively. The optimized antenna structure revealed a significant size reduction in the element heights H_a and radius of the element

array R_a with lengths of 0.124λ and 0.192λ respectively. The dielectric material height H_b and radius R_b were also found to be 0.16λ and 0.24λ respectively. The cylindrical ground structure H_c was found to have the largest dimension of 0.248λ . The measured S_{11} at 2.4GHz revealed a value of -11.5dB while the optimized antenna exhibited a resonant frequency of approximately 2.48GHz.

Table 1 Optimized Load Values ($j\Omega$)

x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5	x_6
46	22	-16	-25	-16	22

Table 2: Optimized ESPAR antenna dimensions

Length	H_a	H_b	H_c	R_a	R_b
(mm)	15.5	20	31	24	30
λ	0.124	0.16	0.248	0.192	0.24

Figure 5 show the constructed DE-ESPAR antenna (right side) compared with free space ESPAR antenna (left side). Obviously, the goal of size reduction has been significantly achieved. An overall volume reduction of 80% and footprint reduction of 50% were obtained. Like free space ESPAR antenna, DE-ESPAR antenna structure can be also simply made by hand within a short time. Dual plated PCB formed the antenna ground base on the topside and microstrip TL based inductive load mounted on the other side of the PCB while flexible copper sheeting made the skirt and the radiating elements were covered by dielectric block.



Fig. 5. Comparison of constructed free space ESPAR and DE-ESPAR antenna arrays

IV. OPTIMIZED DE-ESPAR ANTENNA RESULTS

Figure 6 shows the radiation pattern produced at 60° intervals through the azimuth due to the antenna's symmetry. Since this prototype DE-ESPAR antenna was the hand-made product which did not meet the industry standard, therefore, the error between measured and simulated results for each

radiation pattern can be clearly seen. Such manufacture errors do not effect the antenna performance and they are acceptable for conceptual prototype development.




Fig. 6. Radiation beam in 6 directions (at 60° intervals for each step) with inductive load and compared with experiment results, where the red thin line is experimental results and the blue rough line is simulated results.

Figure 7 shows the statically loaded DE-ESPAR return loss S_{11} . The measured return loss S_{11} at 2.4GHz revealed a value of -11.5dB while the optimized antenna exhibited a resonant frequency of approximately 2.48GHz and a 10dB bandwidth of approximately 450MHz. It was found that the use of a double-sided PCB substrate and microstrip transmission line based components as the fixed impedances in the experimental model resulted in the shift in resonant frequency to 2.48GHz. The simulated return loss compared with the measured result demonstrated some deference due to several reasons, namely the simulation model assumed the value of the impedance loads were constant over the frequency sweep where in fact they are quite dependent on frequency. Also the dielectric constant of the materials may not of been accurately defined in the simulation model as their values tend to vary.






Fig. 7. DE-ESPAR return loss S_{11} , where ---- line indicates the simulation result of DE-ESPAR, and — line indicates experiment result of DE-ESMB antenna.



(a)



(b)

Fig 8. E plane radiation ($\phi=90^\circ$), H plane radiation ($\theta=0^\circ$) Radiation characteristics of the optimized DE-ESPAR antenna

at 2.4GHz; (a) simulated E plane radiation ($\phi=90^\circ$), (b) H plane radiation ($\theta = 0^\circ$) where - measured, -- simulated.

The resultant radiation patterns of the statically loaded antenna at 2.4GHz can be seen in revealing a directivity of 5.1dBi and a beamwidth of 80° . Fig 8 also shows a good agreement between the simulated and experimental results. Due to the symmetrical structure of the parasitic loaded elements the produced radiation pattern of the antenna can be rotated at 60° intervals through the azimuth plane by interchanging the load values.

V. CONCLUSION

A dielectric embedded ESPAR (DE-ESPAR) antenna was presented with microstrip transmission line loads producing a maximum gain of 5.1dBi. The embedded dielectric material with a ϵ_r of 4.5 was found to effectively reduce the size of the monopole elements and array radius. An overall volume reduction of 80% and footprint reduction of 50% were achieved. Size reduction and the simplistic control system of this antenna make it a suitable candidate for commercialization in mobile wireless communications system.

The GA employed a FEM based cost function in order to obtain accurate modeling of the antenna. In this work both physical antenna structure and loading reactances were optimized. As a result, the optimized antenna structure revealed a significant size reduction in the element heights H_a and radius of the element array R_a with lengths of 0.124λ and 0.192λ respectively. The dielectric material height H_b and radius R_b were also found to be 0.16λ and 0.24λ respectively. The cylindrical ground structure H_c was found to have the largest dimension of 0.248λ .

VI. REFERENCES

- [1] S. Preston, D. Thiel and J.Lu: "A multibeam antenna using switched parasitic and switched active elements for space-division multiple access applications". IEICE Transactions, Japan, E82 (7), 1999, pp 1202-1210.
- [2] D. V. Thiel, and S. L. Smith, Switched Parasitic Antennas for Cellular Communications. Norwood MA: Artech House, 2002.
- [3] T. Ohira, and K. Gyoda, "Electronically Steerable Passive Array Radiator Antennas for Low-Cost Analog Adaptive Beamforming", *Proc. IEEE International Conference on Phased Array Systems & Technology*, pp. 101-104, May 2000.
- [4] R. Schlub, Junwei Lu and T. Ohira, Seven Element Ground Skirt Monopole ESPAR Antenna Design using a Genetic Algorithm and the Finite Element Method, *IEEE Trans. on Antenna and Propagation*, Vol. 51, No. 11, Nov. 2003, pp3033-3039.
- [5] J. Lu, D. Thiel, B. Hanna and S. Saario: Dielectric Embedded Electronically Switched and Multiple Beam Antenna Arrays for Wireless Communications Systems, *Electronics Letters*, July 2001, Vol. 37, No. 14, 2001, pp871-872.
- [6] J. Lu, D. Thiel and S. Saario: FD-TD Analysis of Dielectric Embedded Electronically Switched Multiple-Beam Antenna Array, *IEEE Trans. On Magnetism*, Vol. 38, No. 2, March 2002, pp701-704.
- [7] HFSS v9.0. Developed by Ansoft Corp. Further information is available at <http://www.ansoft.com>, Feb 2004.

- [8] Y. Ojira, H. Kawakami, K. Gyoda, and T. Ohira, "Improvement of Elevation Directivity for ESPAR Antennas with Finite Ground Plane", in *Proc. IEEE 2001 Antennas Propagat. Soc. Symp.*, pp. 18-19.
- [9] E. E. Altshuler, and D. S. Linden, "Design of a Loaded Monopole Having Hemispherical Coverage Using a Genetic Algorithm", *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.*, vol. 45, pp. 1-4, Jan. 1997.
- [10] Z. Altman, R. Mittra, and A. Boag, "New Designs of Ultra Wide-Band Communication Antennas Using a Genetic Algorithm", *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagation*, vol. 45, pp. 1494-1505, Oct. 1997.
- [11] D.S. Weile, and E. Michielssen, "Genetic Algorithm Optimization Applied to Electromagnetics: A Review", *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagations*, vol. 45 pp. 343-353, March 1997.