Dietary Inadequacy of Micronutrients in Adolescent Girls of Urban Varanasi: Call for Action

Jaya Krishna and C.P. Mishra

Department of Community Medicine, Institute of Medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi-221005, India

Abstract: *Background*: Adolescent girls are vulnerable to dietary inadequacy in general and micronutrients (viz, Iron, Calcium, Vitamin A and C etc) inadequacy in particular due to variety of reasons including their own food preferences. Lack of protective foods in their diet can have serious consequences.

Objective: To assess dietary inadequacy of micronutrients in urban adolescent girls and to pinpoint their correlates.

Methodology: A community based cross sectional study was undertaken on 400 adolescent girls (10-19 years) of urban Varanasi, selected by adopting multistage sampling technique. Their socio-demographic and personal characteristics were obtained by interviewing parents or other responsible family member. Dietary intake of subjects was assessed by 24 hours recall oral questionnaire method and their micronutrients intake was computed by using nutritive value of Indian foods.

Result: In case of 72.8%, 71.2%, 88.2% and 6.2% subjects calcium, iron, Vitamin A and Vitamin C intakes were <50% of Recommended Dietary Allowances. Taking 10-14 years as reference risk of less iron intake was more (AOR; 3.66 CI: 1.30-10.30) in subjects aged 18-19 years. When Scheduled Caste was taken as reference category, risk of less iron intake was more in subjects from other caste category (AOR; 2.91, CI: 1.07-7.91). In comparison to subjects having sibling \leq 4 risk of less calcium intake was more (AOR; 4.37 CI: 1.10-17.39) in subjects having sibling >7.With reference to vegetarians, odds of less vitamin C intake was more in nonvegetarian (AOR=2.01: CI-1.10-3.65) and eggitarian (AOR=2.53: CI-1.03-6.19).

Conclusion: Micronutrients deficiency in urban adolescents is quiet predominant and calls for community based interventions to streamline micronutrients supplementation and therapeutic strategies.

Keywords: Dietary habit, Dietary reference intakes, Recommended dietary allowances, Socioeconomic status, Under nutrition.

INTRODUCTION

Adolescents are defined by the World Health Organization (WHO) as persons aged 10-19 years [1]. It is the period of rapid physical and psychosocial growth. During the teen years, adolescents experience changes in their physical development at a rate of speed unparalleled since infancy [2]. From third year onward the expected growth velocity (weight) is 2.25 to 2.75 kg per year and gain in height is 5.0 to 6.0 cm per year [3]. During adolescence period, 50% of adult weight, 20% of adult height and 50% adult skeletal mass are acquired [4]. Adolescent girls have the potential to gain approximately 8.3 kg per year during peak rates of weight gain, (12.5 years of age on average). Average weight gains during puberty among females are between 7-25 kg, with a mean gain of 17.5 kg [5,6]. During one-year they are likely to gain 8.89 cm height on an average. Besides genetic factors, nutritional intake determines the extent of growth and maturation at this stage. Poor nutrition is often cited as a major reason for the delay in the onset of puberty in

Indian adolescents. Malnutrition has low visibility during childhood but the damage it can cause due to cumulative effect on puberty is often not appreciated. The additional requirements for iron, calcium and zinc for increments in skeletal mass, body size and bone density make 'nutrition' a critical factor in growth and development of adolescents.

In general adolescent girls are the worst sufferers of the ravages of various forms of malnutrition because of their increased nutritional need and low social power. Among modifiable factors of nutritional status dietary adequacy in terms of micronutrients is a paramount importance in general and in adolescent girls in particular. Quantity and quality of food strongly determine nutritional status of an individual which is more valid in case of adolescent girls. Any nutritional intervention for adolescent girls must give due to consideration to micronutrients intake.

In India, 22% babies born each year have low birth weight, which has been linked to maternal undernutrition and anaemia among other causes [7] Diets of Indian adolescent girls are inadequate both in terms of quality and quantity [8]. Physical health of adolescent girls is basically determined by their nutrition. Eating

^{*}Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Community Medicine, Institute of Medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi-221005, India; Tel: :+91-9451885964; E-mail: drcpmishra@gmail.com

habits and dietary behaviour picked up during adolescence have lifelong impact. Adolescence is the last chance to correct the growth lag and malnutrition [9].

Adolescent health has been a neglected area so far. Government initiatives only would not be sufficient to address their problems. Health of adolescents ultimately depends on their own actions, choices and behaviour. However, families, communities, governmental and nongovernmental organizations can not shrink from their responsibilities. Besides initiating several health and nutrition programmes empowerment of adolescents through family life and nutrition education is desired [10].

Several studies on diet surveys have shown that the diets of low income group population are inadequate when compared to recommended standards [11-14]. The common causes of malnutrition among adolescents in the poor community are less access to food and inadequate knowledge about dietary requirements [15].

According to a report of National Institute of Nutrition, in both the sexes, the proportions of adolescents consuming inadequate amounts were higher in case of micronutrients than that of protein, energy and total fat. More than two third of adolescents were consuming <70 per cent of RDA for vitamin A and riboflavin. Extent of deficiency with respect to iron was higher in boys than in girls [16].

The consumption of sweetened dairy products was positively associated with calcium intakes for children and adolescents. Consumption of presweetened cereals increased the likelihood of the children and meeting recommendations for adolescents the essential shortfall micronutrients calcium, folate, and iron, whereas the consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages, sugars and sweets, and sweetened grains decreased the likelihood of meeting the Dietary Reference Intakes (DRI) for these nutrients. Only children who were non consumers of sugar-sweetened beverages had a mean calcium intake that met the adequate intakes. Consumption of sweetened dairy products and presweetened cereals was positively associated with the number of dairy servings consumed per day for both age groups [17].

According to a study conducted on urban adolescent girls of Bangladesh [18] compared with the national dietary intake, the cereal intake was lower but protein containing foods like pulse and nuts, meat, fish, milk and milk products were found very much near to the national intake. The intake of pulse and nut, meat, milk and milk product were 11.0 ± 4.5 , 8.0 ± 4.0 , 10.0 ± 4.0 gm per capita per day respectively, whereas corresponding national averages were 10.0, 6.0 and 13.0 gm per day. On the average, 95 % of calorie, 93.5 % of protein and 96.5 % of fat requirement were met. For micronutrient requirement, very low intake was observed with calcium (62 %) and iron (63 %).

In a study on adolescents of Vadodara city, it was seen that nutrient intake of the adolescent children was grossly inadequate in relation to energy, protein, iron and fiber which was more pronounced in the rural children than urban [19].

Mean nutrient intake of the selected government school girls was significantly lower when compared with Recommended Dietary Allowance of Indians. Nutritional inadequacy is one of the main causes of prevalence of malnutrition that can lead to higher incidence of diseases among adolescents [20].

The adolescent girls were consuming excess of energy, protein and fat but inadequate micronutrients like iron and beta-carotene [21].

With this background this study was contemplated on adolescent girls in urban Varanasi with following objectives: [A] To assess dietary inadequacy of micronutrients in urban adolescent girls [B] To pinpoint the correlates of micronutrients inadequacy in urban adolescent girls.

METHODS

Setting

This study was conducted in urban areas of Varanasi district having a population of 1599260 which is 43.43% of total population of Varanasi (3682194). Gender ratio of the district was 909 (Rural: 926; Urban: 887). Overall literacy rate was 77.08%: corresponding value for male and female subjects was 85.12% and 68.2%, respectively [22].

Study Design

A community based cross sectional design was adopted for this study.

Study Sample

Adolescent girls age group 10-19 years were considered for this study. This group was selected on

Journal of Nutritional Therapeutics, 2015, Vol. 4, No. 4 151

the consideration that adolescents in general and girls in particular are vulnerable because of variety of reasons [23] and least priority was accorded in planning and execution of nutrition services for adolescent girls. Even there is paucity of data on intake of micronutrients in this age group.

Sample Size

Sample size estimation for this study was based on the consideration of prevalence of under nutrition in adolescent girls to the extent of 60 percent and permissible level of error as 10 percent. This worked out to be 256. Taking design effect of 1.5 the required sample size became 384. Thus the total sample size was fixed to 400.

Inclusion Criteria

All subjects with assent of subjects and consent of parents were considered as study subjects.

Exclusion Criteria

Subjects not available even after 3 visits were excluded from this study.

Sampling Methodology

Selection of sample was done adopting multistage sampling as given below:

Step 1

Varanasi city comprises of five zones (*Kotwali, Dashaswamedh, Bhelupur, Adampur* and *Varunapar*). Out of these zones of Varanasi city, two zones (*Bhelupur* and *Varunapar*) were selected by simple random sampling teqenique.

Step 2

In the second stage, in each selected zone one ward was selected by the same procedure.

Step 3

In each selected ward two *mohallas* were selected by simple random procedure. The selected mohallas were *Sunderpur, Naria, Teliabag* and *Englisialine,* respectively.

Step 4

In the selected *mohallas* household were selected according to their probability proportion to size adopting simple random sampling. In a household with more than one family, a family was selected by random technique. From each selected family one adolescent girl was selected. If a family had more than one adolescent girl, Lottery Method was used to select one of them. In case of non availability of adolescent girl in the selected family a nearby family having adolescent girl was taken.

Tools of Study

Predesigned and pretested proforma was used for this study. Information pertaining to socio -demographic and personal characteristics was recorded on this proforma. This comprised of following sections:

(A) Family Schedule

Included information pertaining to religion, caste, area of residence, type of family, total members in the family, number of adolescent girls and boys in the family, family income etc.

(B) Information Regarding Socio Economic Status

The major information given in this section for computing Socio Economic Status were per capita income, maximum education in the family, housing, family amenities, and consumption pattern of various items.

(C) Individual Interview Schedule

Included information pertaining to name, age, marital status, age at marriage of adolescent girl, literacy status and occupation of subject, father, mother and husband, number of siblings, personal history regarding menstruation.

(D) Information Regarding Dietary Assessment

<u>a) Dietary Assessment through "24 Hour Dietary Recall</u> <u>Method"</u>

Questionnaire contained different columns to note dietary intake during last 24 hours.

b) Dietary Practices

This section contained information regarding nature of diet, timing of meal, frequency of meal.

Techniques of Study

This study had approval of the Academic Bodies of Banaras Hindu University. Prior assent of study subjects and consent of her parents was taken before interviewing them. Socio-demographic information of study subjects was assessed by Interview technique. Measurement of Socio Economic Status was done through composite indicator [24] Weight for different variables and sub variables were assigned for each item and maximum cut of levels (weight) for different categories. The following five broad categories of social and economic proxy variables were considered for the computation of SES of a family:

- (i) Monthly per capita income.
- (ii) Maximum education in the family.
- (iii) Type of house including number of rooms in the house.
- (iv) Family amenities and possession of prestigious durable goods.
- (v) Use/consumption pattern of various items.

Data for total family income was calculated by interviewing head or any responsible member of the family. If family had more than one income source, all sources were pooled together to get total family income. Separate procedures were adopted to estimate activities and the income from the other sources. Dietary intake of study subjects was assessed by 24 Hours Dietary Recall Method. Micronutrients intake was estimated by using Nutritive value of Indian Foods [25]. and their adequacy was assessed with respect to Recommended Dietary Allowances (RDA). Information about nature of diet, frequency of meal and timing of meal was also elicited by interviewing them using predesigned and pretested proforma.

Analysis of Data

Data thus generated were analyzed with the help of Microsoft excel 2007 and SPSS version 16^{th} software. Appropriate tables were generated. Statistical tests X², and logistic regression were applied; AOR and CI were computed for inference.

RESULTS

Average daily consumption of calcium was 41.75% of estimated RDA. Mean (mg/day) iron intake of study subjects was 11.04 ± 5.19 ; this was 44.16% of estimated RDA. Vitamin A and C intake were 25.52% and 89.8% of respective RDAs (Table 1 and Figure 1).

Nutrient	Nutrient intake Mean <u>+</u> SD	Estimated RDA	% of RDA
Calcium (mg/day)	334.05 <u>+</u> 180.30	800	41.75
Iron (mg/day)	11.04 <u>+</u> 5.19	25.13	44.16
Vitamin A (µg/day)	1225 <u>+</u> 1162.8	4800	25.52
Vitamin C (mg/day)	35.92 <u>+</u> 10.58	40	89.80



Figure 1: Average micronutrients intake of study subjects as percentage of RDA.

Table 1: Average Micronutrient Intake of Study Subjects

Age group(years)	Ν	Mean intake (mg/day)	RDA	Percentage intake	Range
10-12	94	289.64 <u>+</u> 186.59	800	36.20	68.8-900
13-15	104	308.99 <u>±</u> 153.06	800	38.62	65-873.4
16-17	93	352.44 <u>+</u> 182.88	800	44.05	97.1-886.2
18-19	109	380.56 <u>+</u> 185.72	800	47.50	75.7-889.68
Overall	400	334.05 <u>+</u> 180.30	800	41.75	65-900

Table 2: Age Wise Calcium Intake of S	Study Subjects
---------------------------------------	----------------

Average calcium intake was 289.64 ± 186.59 , 308.99 ± 153.06 , 352.44 ± 182.88 and 380.56 ± 185.72 mg/day in 10.12, 13-15, 16-17 and 18-19 years age groups, respectively. In all age groups percentage intake of calcium was <50% of the RDA (Table **2**).

Age wise iron intake of study subjects is given in Table **3**. Mean iron intake of adolescent girls belonging to 18-19 years was 12.04 ± 4.94 which was 57.33% of RDA value. Mean intake of iron as percentage of RDA was 36.70% in 10-12 years, 38.51% in 13-15 years and 45.11% in 16-17 years.

Vitamin A is an important nutrient required for optimum growth, integrity of mucus membrane and skin as well as vision. There existed wide variation in consumption of substances rich in vitamin A by adolescent girls. Average intake of vitamin A was least $(1155\pm 921.5 \text{ mg/day})$ in the age group 10-12 years and maximum (1166 ± 1306.4) in the age group 18-19

years. In all age groups vitamin A intake as percentage
of RDA was less than 30% (Table 4).

Vitamin C plays a significant role in skeletal growth and for maintenance of healthy gums etc. Average intake of vitamin C in different age groups was compared with RDA and results are given in Table **5**. Average vitamin C intake was least (33.23 ± 10.92) in the age group 13-15 years age group and maximum (37.49 ± 10.69) in 16-17 years age group. Vitamin C intake was more than 80.0% in all age groups.

In order to have an insight in the level of consumption of different micronutrients, intake by adolescent girls were expressed as percentage of RDA and the same is given in Table **6**. As much as 72.8%, 71.2%, 88.2% and 6.2% subjects had calcium, iron, vitamin A and vitamin C intake \leq 50% of RDA, respectively. In case of 7%, 9.5%, 7.2% and 64.85%

Age group(years)	Ν	Mean intake (mg/day)	RDA	Percentage intake	Range
10-12	94	9.91 <u>+</u> 5.33	27	36.70	2.86-27.55
13-15	104	10.40 <u>+</u> 4.96	27	38.51	2.90-28.09
16-17	93	11.73 <u>+</u> 5.36	26	45.11	2.90-29.11
18-19	109	12.04 <u>+</u> 4.94	21	57.33	2.77-24.34
Overall	400	11.04 <u>+</u> 5.19	25.13	44.16	2.77-29.11

Table 3:	Age Wise Iron	Intake of Stud	ly Subjects

 Table 4:
 Age Wise Vitamin A intake of Study Subjects

Age group(years)	N	Mean intake (ug/day)	RDA	Percentage intake	Range
10-12	94	1155 <u>+</u> 921.5	4800	24.06	177.03-4900.2
13-15	104	1230 <u>+</u> 1054.4	4800	25.62	153.35-5118.15
16-17	93	1359 <u>+</u> 1315.5	4800	28.31	201.8-4919.74
18-19	109	1166 <u>+</u> 1306.4	4800	24.49	153.15-5208.45
Overall	400	1225 <u>+</u> 1162.8	4800	25.52	153.15-5208.45

Age group(years)	Ν	Mean intake (mg/day)	RDA	Percentage intake	Range
10-12	94	35.56 <u>+</u> 9.75	40	88.87	11.5-57.7
13-15	104	33.23 <u>+</u> 10.92	40	83.07	10.5-63.5
16-17	93	37.49 <u>+</u> 10.69	40	93.70	10-60.7
18-19	109	37.47 <u>+</u> 10.42	40	93.67	10.9-65
Overall	400	35.92 <u>+</u> 10.58	40	89.8	10-65

Table 5: Age Wise Vitamin C Intake of Study Subjects

Table 6:	Micronutrients	Intake of	Adolescent	Girls as	Percentage	of Recomm	ended Diet	ary Allov	wances ((400))
----------	----------------	-----------	------------	----------	------------	-----------	------------	-----------	----------	-------	---

Percentage	Calc	ium	Iron		Vitan	nin A	Vitamin C		
Intake of RDA	No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%	
<u><</u> 50	291	72.8	285	71.2	353	88.2	25	6.2	
50.1-60	31	7.8	39	9.8	0	0.0	36	9.0	
60.1-70	28	7.0	23	5.8	4	1.0	39	9.8	
70.1-80	22	5.5	15	3.8	14	3.5	41	10.2	
80.1-90	10	2.5	17	4.2	10	2.5	46	11.5	
90.1-100	7	1.8	6	1.5	10	2.5	56	14.0	
>100	11	2.8	15	3.8	9	2.2	157	39.2	

subjects calcium, Iron, vitamin A and vitamin C intake was more than 80% of RDA, respectively.

In case of 78.2% subjects belonging to age group 10-14 years calcium consumption was <50% of the RDA; corresponding value for subjects in the age group 15-17 years and 18-19 years were 74.6% and 59.6%, respectively (Table 7). Calcium consumption as percentage of RDA differed significantly (p<0.05) in different age groups. There existed significant (p<0.01) association between calcium consumption and caste of the study subjects. As much as 85.9% SC, 75.5% OBC and 64.1% other caste category subjects had calcium consumption <50 percent of RDA. As much as 78.9% primary, 79.2% middle, 76.5% high school, 68.8% intermediate and 46.9% subjects undergoing graduation had calcium consumption <50percent of RDA. There existed no significant (p>0.05) association between religion, type and size of family, number of siblings, menstrual status of study subjects, total income of family, per capita income, socio economic status, literacy status and occupation of parents. Calcium consumption of study subjects expressed as percentage of RDA did not differ significantly (p>0.05) in vegetarian, nonvegetarian and eggetarian subjects. As much as 83.9%, 70.3% and 72.7% subjects having frequency of meal twice, thrice, four times a day, respectively, had calcium consumption <50% of the RDA (p>0.05). In case of 72.2% subjects having fixed timings of meal calcium consumption was<50percent of RDA; corresponding value for subjects with irregular time of meal 71.7% (p>0.05).

In comparison to subjects having sibling ≤ 4 risk of less calcium intake was more (AOR 4.37; CI: 1.10-17.39) in subjects having sibling >7. Effect of significant association of age, caste, subjects literacy on less calcium intake in univariate analysis got eliminated in logistic model (Table 8). The appropriateness of the model for correct prediction of calcium intake was 78.8% which is acceptable.

In case of 82.4%, 73.8% and 50.0% subjects belonging to age group 10-14, 15-17 and 18-19 years iron consumption was <50% of the RDA(p<0.01). As much as 68.0% subjects from nuclear family and 76.4% subjects from joint family had iron consumption <50 percent of RDA. Iron consumption was significantly (p<0.01) more in menstruating than nonmenstruating girls; 32.8% menstruating and 13% nonmenstruating girls had iron consumption \geq 50 percent of RDA. Iron consumption directly and 13% nonmenstruating girls had iron consumption \geq 50 percent of RDA. Iron consumption as percentage of RDA was also significantly associated with literacy status of subjects and nature of diet (Table **9**).

In comparison to age group 10-14 years risk of less iron intake was more (AOR; 3.64;CI: 1.30-10.30) in

Table 7: Calcium Consumption of Adolescent Girls According to their Sociodemographic and Personal Characteristics

<table-container>ParametersnSb-0%shnnnnAGE (vers)AGE (vers)10 -1416512978.22716.495.5<t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th colspan="6">Calcium consumption as percentage of RDA</th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<></table-container>			Calcium consumption as percentage of RDA													
No.%No.%No.%No.%AGE (vers)10-1416512978.22716.495.51.14495.515-171269474.62318.397.11.214640.016161-191096555.63431.2102.21.214640.016Religion231460.9626.13.01.9423.89SC645585.969.434.71.9423.69OGC15511775.52.314.8155.72.071540.000OGC15511775.52.314.8155.72.071540.000OGEC15511775.52.314.8155.72.071540.000OGEC1551777.52.314.8155.72.071540.000Jaint14470.75.72.2.318.816.55.0622.07153.012.071540.000Jaint14468.6502.4.213.66.35.0623.027.0102.07.0102.07.0102.07.0102.07.0102.07.0102.07.0105.0623.02410.03.02410.03.02410.03.02410.03.02410.0 <td< th=""><th>Parameters</th><th>n</th><th><</th><th>50%</th><th>50-8</th><th>)%</th><th>>8</th><th>0%</th><th>x²</th><th>df</th><th>р</th></td<>	Parameters	n	<	50%	50-8)%	>8	0%	x ²	df	р					
AGE (Years) Image: Second secon			No.	%	No.	%	No.	%								
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	AGE (Years)		·													
15-171269474.62318.397.112.14640.01616.191096559.634.431.2109.21.111.1440.016Religion2312772.77820.7256.61.99420.369Case2311772.77820.7256.61.99420.369Case645585.969.434.74.99420.369Others18111664.16530.4105.55.721187.77.722.31887.00.71027.007	10 – 14	165	129	78.2	27	16.4	9	5.5								
18-19 109 65 59.6 34 31.2 10 9.2 Religion Hindu 377 27.4 72.7 78 20.7 25 6.6 1.994 2 0.369 Case U U 60.9 6 26.1 3 3 4.7 0.90 2.0369 OBC 155 117 75.5 23 14.8 15 9.7 0.000 Others 181 116 64.1 55 30.4 10 5.5 Type of tamily U V 2.3 18 7.0 6.7 9.710 2 0.701 2 0.701 Joint 144 107 74.3 27 18.8 10 6.7 5.062 4 0.281 Sibing T 114 69.6 50 21.9 18 6.7 6.67 Sibing T 69.6 7.7 20.75 31.1 6.7	15 – 17	126	94	74.6	23	18.3	9	7.1	12.146	4	0.016					
Peligion Number of the second s	18 - 19	109	65	59.6	34	31.2	10	9.2								
Hindu 377 274 72.7 78 20.7 25 6.6 1.994 2 0.369 Muslim 23 14 60.9 6 26.1 3 13.0 1.994 2 0.369 Case SC 64 55 86.9 6 9.4 3 4.7 20.715 4 0.000 OBC 155 117 75.5 23 14.8 15 9.7< 20.715 4 0.000 Others 181 10 7.5 23 18.8 10 57 20.715 4 0.000 Type of amily 256 181 70.7 57 23.3 18.8 70.0 0.710 2 0.701 Joint 144 69.6 50 24.2 13 6.3 5.062 4 0.281 Sibing 21 44 29 65.9 21.9 18 6.7 8.699 4 0.69	Religion									ų.						
Muslim 23 14 60.9 6 26.1 3 13.0 1.994 2 0.368 Case	Hindu	377	274	72.7	78	20.7	25	6.6	1 001	0	0.000					
CasteVertical and the set of t	Muslim	23	14	60.9	6	26.1	3	13.0	1.994	2	0.369					
SC 64 55 85.9 6 9.4 3 4.7 A.7 OBC 155 117 75.5 23 14.8 15 9.7 20.715 4 0.000 Type of amily 116 64.1 55 30.4 10 5.5 7 18.8 10 6.9 7.01 2 0.710 2 0.710 3 2 0.710 3 2 0.710 3 3 4 0.210 2 0.710 2 0.710 3 3 4 0.281 0.710 3 2 0.701 3 0.710 3 0.710 3 0.712 14 0.61 0.712 13 6.3 0.711 14 0.715 59 21.9 18 6.7 8.699 4 0.69 5 7 116 89 76.7 20 17.2 7 6.0 7.8 2.597 2 0.257 0.2597 2.597	Caste									ų.						
OBC 155 117 75.5 23 14.8 15 9.7 20.715 4 0.000 Others 181 116 64.1 55 30.4 10 5.5 7 Type of amily 256 181 70.7 57 22.3 188 70.0 6.9 0.710 2 0.701 Joint 144 107 74.3 277 18.8 10 6.9 0.710 2 0.701 Family size 3 3 114 105 77.2 24 16.1 10 6.3 3 5.62 4 2 Sibling 3 71.2 14 6 42.9 5 35.7 3 21.4 8.699 4 6.63 S-7 116 89 76.7 20 17.2 7 6.60 2.597 2 0.273 Monmenstruating 32 28 70.6 77.9 11 14.3 6	SC	64	55	85.9	6	9.4	3	4.7		4						
Others18111664.15530.4105.5IType of tamilyNuclear25618170.75722.318700.71020.7111000 <t< td=""><td>OBC</td><td>155</td><td>117</td><td>75.5</td><td>23</td><td>14.8</td><td>15</td><td>9.7</td><td>20.715</td><td>0.000</td></t<>	OBC	155	117	75.5	23	14.8	15	9.7	20.715		0.000					
Type of familyNuclear25618170.75722.3187.00.71020.701Joint14410774.32718.8106.90.71020.701Family size $\leq 3-6$ 20714469.65024.2136.36.35.06240.281 $\leq 3-6$ 20714469.65024.2136.36.35.06240.281 $> >12$ 442965.91022.7511.406.75.06240.281Sibling ≤ 4 27019371.55921.9186.76.08.69940.699 >7 14642.9535.7321.48.69940.699Menstruating776007.82.59720.273Monenstruating7760077.91114.367.82.59720.273Status statusSiduo1309774.62821.553.83.84.001-8000.617.82.59720.2730.2130.2140.2150.2130.2140.2150.2130.2140.2150.2140.2140.2150.2140.2140.2150.2140.2140.2150.2140.2160.2150.2140.2150.214 <t< td=""><td>Others</td><td>181</td><td>116</td><td>64.1</td><td>55</td><td>30.4</td><td>10</td><td>5.5</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Others	181	116	64.1	55	30.4	10	5.5								
Nuclear25618170.75722.3187.00.71020.7100.71020.7110.71020.7110.7100.71020.7110.71020.7110.71020.7110.71020.7110.71020.7110.71020.7110.7100.71<	Type of family									ų.						
Joint14410774.32718.8106.90.71020.70120.701Family size ≤ 3.6 20714469.65024.2136.35.06240.281 7.12 14911577.22416.1106.75.06240.281 >12 1442965.91022.751.45.06240.281Sibling ≤ 4 27019371.55921.9186.78.69940.069 >7 14642.9535.7321.40.6940.069 >7 14677.91114.367.82.59720.273Menstrual status776077.91114.367.82.59720.273Total income of family (Rs. / Month)74.62821.553.82.59720.273 ≤ 4000 1309773.61018.947.51.611.034.5666 24000 1637867.22622.41210.31.83120.400Powerly line Criteria ≤ 4000 16312375.53119.095.51.83120.400Sciole economic statusLinex (status field < 780 63123 <td< td=""><td>Nuclear</td><td>256</td><td>181</td><td>70.7</td><td>57</td><td>22.3</td><td>18</td><td>7.0</td><td>0 740</td><td>0</td><td>0 704</td></td<>	Nuclear	256	181	70.7	57	22.3	18	7.0	0 740	0	0 704					
Family size $\leq 3^{3.6}$ 20714469.65024.2136.36.76.067.1214911577.22416.1106.75.06240.281 > 12 442965.91022.7511.406.75.06240.281SiblingSiblingSiblingSibling00.10.662 <th <<="" colspan="5" td=""><td>Joint</td><td>144</td><td>107</td><td>74.3</td><td>27</td><td>18.8</td><td>10</td><td>6.9</td><td>0.710</td><td>2</td><td>0.701</td></th>	<td>Joint</td> <td>144</td> <td>107</td> <td>74.3</td> <td>27</td> <td>18.8</td> <td>10</td> <td>6.9</td> <td>0.710</td> <td>2</td> <td>0.701</td>					Joint	144	107	74.3	27	18.8	10	6.9	0.710	2	0.701
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Family size	1	1			1		4		1						
7.12 149 115 77.2 24 16.1 10 6.7 5.062 4 0.281 >12 44 29 65.9 10 22.7 5 11.4 0.67 Sibling 22.7 5 11.4 6.7 20.9 18.8 6.7 8.699 4 0.09 5.7 116 89 76.7 20 17.2 7 6.0 8.699 4 0.09 5.7 14 6 42.9 5.5 35.7 3.2 2.597 2 0.73 Menstruating 77 60 77.9 11 14.3 6 7.8 2.597 2 0.73 Menstruating 37 60 77.9 11 14.3 6 7.8 2.597 2 0.73 Monestruating 37 60 77.9 74.6 28 21.5 5.8 <th< td=""><td><u><</u> 3-6</td><td>207</td><td>144</td><td>69.6</td><td>50</td><td>24.2</td><td>13</td><td>6.3</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	<u><</u> 3-6	207	144	69.6	50	24.2	13	6.3								
>12442966.91022.7511.4Sibling ≤ 4 27019371.55921.9186.75.71168976.72017.276.08.69940.069>714642.9535.7321.4	7-12	149	115	77.2	24	16.1	10	6.7	5.062	4	0.281					
Sibiling ≤ 4 27019371.55921.9186.7 5.7 1168976.72017.276.08.69940.069 >7 14642.9535.7321.400.069Menstrual status76077.91114.367.82.59720.273Menstruating776077.91114.367.82.59720.273Menstruating776077.91114.367.82.59720.273Total income of family (Rs. / Montrating)7322.821.553.82.59720.27340001017473.32019.876.94.5669.618001-12000533973.61018.947.59.6112001->160001167867.22622.41210.39.61Socio economic status ≤ 780 16312.375.53119.095.51.8312 0.400 $50cio economic status1007777.01717.066.09.210.400Upper Middle High1308766.93123.8129.20.400Upper Middle High1308766.93123.8129.20.400Literacy s$	>12	44	29	65.9	10	22.7	5	11.4								
≤ 4 27019371.55921.9186.7 A <th< td=""><td>Sibling</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td>4</td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td></th<>	Sibling	1	1			1		4		1						
5-7 116 89 76.7 20 17.2 7 6.0 8.699 4 0.069 >7 14 6 42.9 5 35.7 3 21.4 6 6.97 8.697 4 0.069 Menstruating 77 60 77.9 11 14.3 6 7.8 2.597 2 0.273 Menstruating 32 228 70.6 73 22.6 22 6.89 2.597 2 0.273 Menstruating 130 97 74.6 28 21.5 5 3.8 $4001-8000$ 101 74 73.3 20 19.8 7 6.93 4.566 3.8 $4001-8000$ 101 74 73.3 20 19.8 4 7.55 $8001-12000$ 53 39 73.6 67.2 22.4 10.8 7.5 6.978 <	<u><</u> 4	270	193	71.5	59	21.9	18	6.7		4						
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c } >7 & 14 & 6 & 42.9 & 5 & 35.7 & 3 & 21.4 \\ \hline \begin{tabual}{ c c c c } Menstruating & 77 & 60 & 77.9 & 11 & 14.3 & 6 & 7.8 \\ \hline \begin{tabual}{ c c c c } 323 & 228 & 70.6 & 73 & 22.6 & 22 & 6.8 \\ \hline \begin{tabual}{ c c c } 323 & 228 & 70.6 & 73 & 22.6 & 22 & 6.8 \\ \hline \begin{tabual}{ c c } Total income of family ($$ /$ Monthermally ($$ /$ /$ /$ /$ /$ /$ /$ /$ /$ /$ /$ /$ /$	5-7	116	89	76.7	20	17.2	7	6.0	8.699		0.069					
Menstrual status Nonmenstruating 77 60 77.9 11 14.3 6 7.8 2.597 2 0.273 Menstruating 323 228 70.6 73 22.6 22 6.8 2.597 2 0.273 Total income of family (Rs. / Month) 97 74.6 28 21.5 5 3.8 4.666 9.9 4.566 9.9 4.566 9.9 4.566 9.9 4.566 9.601 9.601 9.601 9.9 5.5 3.8 4.566 9.601 9.615 9.610 9.616 9.610 <	>7	14	6	42.9	5	35.7	3	21.4								
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline Nonmenstruating & 77 & 60 & 77.9 & 11 & 14.3 & 6 & 7.8 & 2.597 & 2 & 0.273 \\ \hline Menstruating & 323 & 228 & 70.6 & 73 & 22.6 & 22 & 6.8 & 2.597 & 2 & 0.273 \\ \hline Menstruating & 323 & 228 & 70.6 & 73 & 22.6 & 22 & 6.8 & 2.597 & 2 & 0.273 \\ \hline Total income of family (\mathbb{R}. / \mathbb{M} with the set of t$	Menstrual status									ų.						
Menstruating 323 228 70.6 73 22.6 22 6.8 2.597 2 0.273 Total income of family (Rs. / MOO 130 97 74.6 28 21.5 5 3.8 4.566 4.566 6.9 4.566 6.9 4.566 6.9 4.566 6.9 6.9 4.566 6.9 4.566 6.9 4.566 6.9 6.9 4.566 6.9 4.566 6.9 7.5 7.0 17 17.0 9 5.5 1.831 2 0.400 Socio economic status Image: and finite	Nonmenstruating	77	60	77.9	11	14.3	6	7.8	0.507	0	0.070					
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline Total income of family (Rs. / Month) \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \leq 4000 & 130 & 97 & 74.6 & 28 & 21.5 & 5 & 3.8 \\ \hline \\ 4001-8000 & 101 & 74 & 73.3 & 20 & 19.8 & 7 & 6.9 \\ \hline \\ 8001-12000 & 53 & 39 & 73.6 & 10 & 18.9 & 4 & 7.5 \\ \hline \\ 12001->16000 & 116 & 78 & 67.2 & 26 & 22.4 & 12 & 10.3 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ Per capita income rupe= per month) as per Poverty line Criteria \\ \hline \\ $	Menstruating	323	228	70.6	73	22.6	22	6.8	2.597	2	0.273					
≤ 4000 1309774.62821.553.8 $_{A564}$ $_{A$	Total income of family	(Rs. / Mo	onth)							ų.						
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	<u><</u> 4000	130	97	74.6	28	21.5	5	3.8								
8001-12000 53 39 73.6 10 18.9 4 7.5 4.566 6 0.601 12001->16000 116 78 67.2 26 22.4 12 10.3 10.6 10 10.8 10.8 10.3 10.8 1.831 2 0.400 Socio economic status 237 165 69.6 53 22.4 19 8.0 1.831 2 0.400 Socio economic status 100 77 77.0 17 17.0 6 6.0 3.470 4 0.482 Upper Middle + High 130 87 66.9 31 23.8 12 9.2 9.4 0.482 <t< td=""><td>4001-8000</td><td>101</td><td>74</td><td>73.3</td><td>20</td><td>19.8</td><td>7</td><td>6.9</td><td>4 5 00</td><td>6</td><td>0.001</td></t<>	4001-8000	101	74	73.3	20	19.8	7	6.9	4 5 00	6	0.001					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	8001-12000	53	39	73.6	10	18.9	4	7.5	4.566	6	0.601					
Per capita income rupes per worth) as per Poverty line Criteria 163 123 75.5 31 19.0 9 5.5 1.831 2 0.400 >780 237 165 69.6 53 22.4 19 8.0 1.831 2 0.400 Socio economic status Low+ Lower middle 100 77 77.0 17 17.0 6 6.0 3.470 4 0.482 Upper Middle + High 130 87 66.9 31 23.8 12 9.2 3.470 4 0.482 Upper Middle + High 130 87 66.9 31 23.8 12 9.2 3.470 4 0.482 Upper Middle + High 130 87 66.9 31 23.8 12 9.2 6.978 6.978 6.978 6.978 6.978 6.978 6.978 6.978 6.978 6.978 6.978 6.978 6.978 6.978 6.978 6.	12001->16000	116	78	67.2	26	22.4	12	10.3								
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Per capita income rupe	es per m	nonth) as per	Poverty line Cr	iteria			4		1						
>780 237 165 69.6 53 22.4 19 8.0 1.831 2 0.400 Socio economic status	<u><</u> 780	163	123	75.5	31	19.0	9	5.5	1 001	0	0.400					
Socio economic status Incomplete Section Socio economic status Socio economic status <t< td=""><td>>780</td><td>237</td><td>165</td><td>69.6</td><td>53</td><td>22.4</td><td>19</td><td>8.0</td><td>1.831</td><td>2</td><td>0.400</td></t<>	>780	237	165	69.6	53	22.4	19	8.0	1.831	2	0.400					
Low+ Lower middle 100 77 77.0 17 17.0 6 6.0 Alternative	Socio economic status	I	1			1		1	L	1	I					
Middle 170 124 72.9 36 21.2 10 5.9 3.470 4 0.482 Upper Middle+ High 130 87 66.9 31 23.8 12 9.2 9.2 0.482 Literacy status of Father Illiterate 6 3 50.0 2 33.3 1 16.7 6.978 6.978 6 9.23 Up to middle 79 64 81.0 10 12.7 5 6.3 6.978 6 9.23 0.323 0.31 0.323 0.333 1 16.7 6.978 6 0.323 0.323 0.323 0.323 0.323 0.323 0.323 0.323 0.323 0.323 0.323 0.323 0.323 0.323 0.323 0.323 0.323 0.323 0.323 0.324 0.324 0.324 0.324 0.323 0.323 0.323 0.323 0.323 0.323 0.324 0.324 0.324 0.324 0.324 0.324	Low+ Lower middle	100	77	77.0	17	17.0	6	6.0								
Upper Middle+ High 130 87 66.9 31 23.8 12 9.2 Literacy status of Father Illiterate 6 3 50.0 2 33.3 1 16.7 Up to middle 79 64 81.0 10 12.7 5 6.3 Up to intermediate 226 156 69.0 55 24.3 15 6.6	Middle	170	124	72.9	36	21.2	10	5.9	3.470	4	0.482					
Literacy status of Father Illiterate 6 3 50.0 2 33.3 1 16.7	Upper Middle+ High	130	87	66.9	31	23.8	12	9.2	-							
Illiterate 6 3 50.0 2 33.3 1 16.7 Up to middle 79 64 81.0 10 12.7 5 6.3 Up to intermediate 226 156 69.0 55 24.3 15 6.6	Literacy status of Fathe	ər	11			1					1					
Up to middle 79 64 81.0 10 12.7 5 6.3 6.978 6 0.323 Up to intermediate 226 156 69.0 55 24.3 15 6.6 6.978 6 0.323	Illiterate	6	3	50.0	2	33.3	1	16.7								
Up to intermediate 226 156 69.0 55 24.3 15 6.6 6.978 6 0.323	Up to middle	79	64	81.0	10	12.7	5	6.3		_	0.000					
	Up to intermediate	226	156	69.0	55	24.3	15	6.6	6.978	6	0.323					
Graduation to above 89 65 73.0 17 19.1 7 7.9	Graduation to above	89	65	73.0	17	19.1	7	7.9	1							

(Table 7). Continued.

		Calcium consumption as percentage of RDA								
Parameters	n	<50%		50-80	%	>8	0%	x ²	df	р
		No.	%	No.	No.	%	No.			
Mother Literacy				1						
Illiterate	109	79	72.5	21	19.3	9	8.3			
Just literate to middle	138	88	63.8	40	29.0	10	7.2	10 702		
High school to intermediate	135	107	79.3	21	15.6	7	5.2	- 10.792	6	0.095
Graduation and above	18	14	77.8	2	11.1	2	11.1			
Literacy status of subje	ect									I
Primary	76	60	78.9	10	13.2	6	7.9			
Middle	77	61	79.2	15	19.5	1	1.3		8	
High school	102	78	76.5	17	16.9	7	6.9	25.327		0.001
intermediate	96	66	68.8	21	21.9	9	9.4			
Graduation	49	23	46.9	21	42.9	5	10.2			
Father occupation		1	1	1	-		-1	1		1
Dead	15	10	66.7	4	26.7	1	6.7		6	
Job	171	128	74.9	32	18.7	11	6.4	0.400		0070
Business	167	115	68.9	40	24.0	12	7.2	- 2.466		.0072
Farmer/labour	47	35	74.5	8	17.0	4	8.5			
Mother occupation		1	1	1	-		-1	1		1
Dead	14	11	78.6	2	14.3	1	7.1			
Job	28	19	67.9	6	21.4	3	10.7	0.071	0	0.913
Business	20	13	65.0	6	30.0	1	5.0	2.071	6	
House wife	338	245	72.5	70	20.7	23	6.8			
Nature of diet		1	1	1	-		-1	1		1
Vegetarian	137	99	72.3	26	19.0	12	8.8			
Non-Vegetarian	193	139	72.0	40	20.7	14	7.3	3.359	4	0.500
Eggetarian	70	50	71.4	18	25.7	2	2.9			
Frequency of meal			I	I	1		1	L.		
2 times	31	26	83.9	4	12.9	1	3.2			
3times	259	182	70.3	59	22.8	18	6.9	3.123	4	0.537
4times	110	80	72.7	21	19.1	9	8.2			
Timing of meal	1		1	1	1	1		1	. <u> </u>	
Fixed	241	174	72.2	52	21.6	15	6.2	0.001	0	0.700
Irregular	159	114	71.7	32	20.1	13	8.2	0.621	2	0.733

subjects aged 18-19 years. Taking SC as reference category risk of less iron intake was more in subjects from other caste category (AOR; 2.91,CI: 1.07-7.91). The appropriateness of the model for correct prediction of iron intake was 81.0% which is acceptable (Table **10**).

Vitamin A consumption of adolescent girls according to their socio demographic and personal characteristics is given in Table **11**. In case of 18-19 years subjects vitamin A consumption as percentage of RDA was >80% in 11.0% subjects; corresponding value for 10-14 and 15-17years were 5.5% and 6.3%,

Particulars	variables	Percentage of observations	Beta	p-value	AOR	95.0% C.I.
	10-14	40.2	-	-	-	-
Age (years)	15-17	31.5	0.30	0.49	1.35	0.57-3.22
	18-19	27.2	0.47	0.34	1.60	0.60-4.28
	SC	16.0	-	-	-	-
Caste	OBC	38.7	0.54	0.27	1.72	0.65-4.54
	Others	45.3	0.74	0.12	2.10	0.81-5.44
	Primary	19.0	-	-	-	-
	Middle	19.2	0.01	0.98	0.97	0.36-2.71
Subject Literacy	High school	25.5	0.25	0.66	1.29	0.42-3.92
	Intermediate	24.0	0.58	0.32	1.79	0.57-5.62
	Graduation	12.0	0.82	0.23	2.26	0.59-8.67
	<u><</u> 4	67.5		0.06		
Sibling	5-7	29.0	0.25	0.45	0.77	0.40-1.50
	>7	3.5	1.48	0.04	4.37	1.10-17.39

Table 8: Result of Logistic Regression Analysis (Calcium Intake Status Verses Socio-Demographic Characteristics)

Table 9: Iron Consumption of Adolescent Girls According to their Sociodemographic and Personal Characteristics

			Iron consu	Imption as perc	entage of	RDA				
Parameters	n	~	<50%	50-80	%	>8	0%	x ²	df	р
		No.	%	No.	%	No.	%			
AGE (Years)										
10 – 14	165	136	82.4	20	12.1	9	5.5			
15 – 17	126	93	73.8	25	19.8	8	6.3	35.858	4	0.000
18 - 19	109	55	50.0	33	30.3	21	19.3			
Religion										
Hindu	377	267	70.8	73	19.4	37	9.8	0.774	2	0.670
Muslim	23	17	73.9	5	21.7	1	4.3	0.774	2	0.079
Caste										
SC	64	50	78.1	10	15.6	4	6.2			
OBC	155	112	72.3	32	20.6	11	7.1	5.047	4	0.283
Others	181	122	67.4	36	19.9	23	12.7			
Type of family			• •							
Nuclear	256	174	68.0	60	23.4	22	8.6	7 1 9 0	0	0.027
Joint	144	110	76.4	18	12.5	16	11.1	7.109	2	0.027
Family size										
<u><</u> 3-6	207	140	67.6	48	23.2	19	9.2			
7-12	149	113	75.8	23	15.4	13	8.7	4.730	4	0.316
>12	44	31	70.5	7	15.9	6	13.6			

(Table 9). Continued.

			Iron consumption as percentage of RDA							
Parameters	n		<50%	50-8	0%	>8	80%	x ²	df	р
		No.	%	No.	No.	%	No.			
Sibling	1									I
<u><</u> 4	270	185	68.5	54	20.0	31	11.5			
5-7	116	90	77.6	21	18.1	5	4.3	5.951	4	0.203
>7	14	9	64.3	3	21.4	2	14.3	-		
Menstrual status										
Nonmenstruating	77	67	87.0	4	5.2	6	7.8			
Menstruating	323	217	67.2	74	22.9	32	9.9	13.743	2	0.001
Total income of family	(Rs. / Mo	onth)								
<u>≤</u> 4000	130	99	76.2	25	19.2	6	4.6			
4001-8000	101	67	66.3	20	19.8	14	13.9			
8001-12000	53	40	75.5	10	18.9	3	5.7	8.750	6	0.188
12001->16000	116	78	67.2	23	19.8	15	12.9	-		
Per capita income rupe	es per n	nonth) as pe	er Poverty line C	riteria						
<780	163	124	76.1	28	17.2	11	6.7			
>780	237	160	67.5	50	21.1	27	11.4	3.951	2	0.139
Socio economic status										
Low + Lower middle	100	79	79.0	17	17.0	4	4.0			
Middle	170	115	67.6	36	21.2	19	11.2	6.054	4	0.195
Lipper Middle+ High	130	90	69.2	25	19.2	15	11.5	-		000
Literacy status of Fath	er	00	00.2	20	10.2	10	11.0			
	6	5	83.3	0	0.0	1	16.7			
Lip to middle	79	60	75.9	11	13.9	8	10.1			
	226	156	69.0	46	20.4	24	10.1	5.872	6	0.438
Graduation to above	89	63	70.8	21	23.6	5	5.6	-		
Mother Literacy	00	00	10.0	<u></u>	20.0	0	0.0			
	109	81	74.3	16	14.7	12	11.0			
lust literate to middle	138	90	65.2	35	25.4	12	94	-		
High school to	100	50	00.2	00	20.4	10	5.4	5.584	6	0.471
intermediate	135	100	74.1	24	17.8	11	8.1			
Graduation and above	18	13	72.2	3	16.7	2	11.1			
Literacy status of subje	ect	1	1			1	1	1	1	
Primary	76	64	84.2	7	9.2	5	6.6			
Middle	77	64	83.1	9	11.7	4	5.2			
High school	102	74	72.5	21	20.6	7	6.9	37.347	8	0.000
intermediate	96	62	64.6	23	24.0	11	11.5			
Graduation	49	20	40.8	18	36.7	11	22.4			
Father occupation	1	Γ	T	Γ	1	Γ		1		
Dead	15	11	73.3	1	6.7	3	20.0			
Job	171	119	69.6	38	22.2	14	8.2	4 695	6	0.584
Business	167	119	71.3	32	19.2	16	9.6	1.000	Ŭ	
Farmer/labour	47	35	17.5	7	14.9	5	10.6			

									(Table 9).	Continued
			Iron co	nsumption a	s perce	ntage of R	DA			\top	
Parameters	n		<50%	5	50-80%		>	×80%	x ²	df	р
		No.	%	No.		No.	%	No.			
Mother occupation		<u> </u>									<u> </u>
Dead	14	9	64.3	3		21.4	2	14.3			
Job	28	20	71.4	5		17.9	3	10.7	6 460		0.070
Business	20	10	50.0	8		40.0	2	10.0	6.469	ю	0.373
House wife	338	245	72.5	62		18.3	31	9.2			
Nature of diet	4	1			ı			I			-1
Vegetarian		137	100	73.0	17	12.4	20	14.6			
Non-Vegetarian		193	135	69.9	45	23.3	13	6.7	11.206	4	0.024
Eggetarian		70	49	70.0	16	22.9	5	7.1			
Frequency of meal		11	I					L I		11	
2 times		31	24	77.4	7	22.6	0	0.0			
3times		259	189	73.0	46	17.8	24	9.3	6.273	4	0.180
4times		110	71	64.5	25	22.7	14	12.7			
Timing of meal		L	I		1	<u>_</u>		ι <u> </u> ι		.11	
Fixed		241	177	73.4	47	19.5	17	7.1	4 0 0 0		0.115
Irregular		159	107	67.3	31	19.5	21	13.2	4.329	2	0.115

Table 10: Result of Logistic Regression Analysis (Iron Intake Status Verses Sociodemographic Characteristics)

Particulars	Variables	Percentage of observation	Beta	Sig.	AOR	95.0% C.I.
	10-14	40.2	-	-	-	-
Age (years)	15-17	31.5	0.49	0.30	1.63	0.65-4.06
	18-19	27.2	1.30	0.01	3.66	1.30-10.30
	SC	16.0	-	-	-	-
Caste	OBC	38.7	0.69	0.19	1.10	0.71-5.58
	Others	45.3	1.07	0.04	2.91	1.07-7.91
	Primary	19.0	-	-	-	-
	Middle	19.2	0.05	0.91	1.06	0.39-2.89
Subject Literacy	High school	25.5	0.08	0.89	0.92	0.29-2.90
,	Intermediate	24.0	0.42	0.50	0.66	0.20-2.20
	Graduation	12.0	0.13	0.85	0.88	0.22-3.53
	<u><</u> 4	67.5	-	-	-	-
Sibling	5-7	29.0	0.54	0.13	0.58	0.29-1.18
	>7	3.5	0.96	0.17	2.61	0.66-10.31

respectively. None of other socio-demographic and personal variables (viz. religion, caste, type and size of family, number of siblings, menstrual status, total income of family, per capita income, SES, literacy

status of self and parents, occupation of parents, nature of diet, frequency and timing of meal) were significantly associated with vitamin A consumption expressed as percentage of RDA.

Table 11: Vitamin A Consumption of Adolescent Girls According to their Socio Demographic and Personal Characteristics

	Vitar		Vitamin A con	sumption as p	ercentage	of RDA				
Parameters	n	<	:50%	50-80	%	>8	0%	x ²	df	р
		No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	-		
AGE (Years)				•						
10 – 14	165	151	91.5	5	3.0	9	5.5			
15 – 17	126	107	84.9	11	8.7	8	6.3	10.895	4	0.028
18 - 19	109	95	87.2	2	1.8	12	11.0			
Religion					1					
Hindu	377	335	88.9	16	4.2	26	6.9	0.000	0	0.007
Muslim	23	18	78.3	2	8.7	3	13.0	2.300	2	0.307
Caste					1					
SC	64	61	95.3	1	1.6	2	3.1			
OBC	155	130	83.9	9	5.8	16	10.3	6.412	4	0.170
Others	181	162	89.5	8	4.4	11	6.1			
Type of family					1					
Nuclear	256	221	86.3	15	5.9	20	7.8	2 5 2 9	2	0 1 7 1
Joint	144	132	91.7	3	2.1	9	6.2	3.520	2	0.171
Family size										
<u><</u> 3-6	207	177	85.5	12	5.8	18	8.7			
7-12	149	138	92.6	5	3.4	6	4.0	5.968	4	0.202
>12	44	38	86.4	1	2.3	5	11.4			
Sibling					1					
<u><</u> 4	270	237	87.8	13	4.8	20	7.4			
5-7	116	104	89.7	4	3.4	8	6.9	0.634	4	0.959
>7	14	12	85.7	1	7.1	1	7.1			
Menstrual status										
Nonmenstruating	77	71	92.2	3	3.9	3	3.9	1 706	0	0 4 2 2
Menstruating	323	282	87.3	15	4.6	26	8.0	1.720	2	0.422
Total income of family	(Rs. / Mo	onth)			1					
<u><</u> 4000	130	114	87.7	7	5.4	9	6.9			
4001-8000	101	92	91.1	4	4.0	5	5.0	4 7 4 0	6	0.577
8001-12000	53	45	84.9	1	1.9	7	13.2	4./40	0	0.577
12001->16000	116	102	87.9	6	5.2	8	6.9			
Per capita income rupe	es per n	nonth) as per	r Poverty line C	riteria	-		1	1		
<u><</u> 780	163	144	88.3	7	4.3	12	7.4	0.021	2	0.085
>780	237	209	88.2	11	4.6	17	7.2	0.031	2	0.965
Socio economic status					1					
Low	100	90	90.0	3	3.0	7	7.0			
+ Lower middle	100	00	50.0	Ŭ	0.0		7.0	0.841	4	0 933
Middle	170	149	87.6	9	5.3	12	7.1	0.041	4	0.305
Upper Middle+ High	130	114	87.7	6	4.6	10	7.7			
Literacy status of Fathe	er	1	I			[1	1		
Illiterate	6	5	83.3	0	0.0	1	16.7	-		
Up to middle	79	70	88.6	4	5.1	5	6.3	3,861	6	0.696
Up to intermediate	226	200	88.5	12	5.3	14	6.2			
Graduation to above	89	78	87.6	2	2.2	9	10.1			

			Vitamin A cor	nsumption as	percentage	of RDA				
Parameters	n	۲	:50%	50-80)%	>8	0%	x ²	df	р
		No.	%	No.	No.	%	No.			
Mother Literacy	<u></u>			1	-	1	4			<u> </u>
Illiterate	109	97	89.0	5	4.6	7	6.4			
Just literate to middle	138	120	87.0	8	5.8	10	7.2			
High school to intermediate	135	118	87.4	5	3.7	12	8.9	3.752	6	0.710
Graduation and above	18	18	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0			
Literacy status of subje	ect		1			1	_1		1	L.
Primary	76	71	93.4	2	2.6	3	3.9			
Middle	77	70	90.9	4	5.2	3	3.9			
High school	102	84	82.4	7	6.9	11	10.8	7.640	8	0.469
intermediate	96	84	87.5	4	4.2	8	8.3			
Graduation	49	44	89.8	1	2.0	4	8.2			
Father occupation					I					
Dead	15	14	93.3	0	0.0	1	6.7			
Job	171	153	89.5	6	3.5	12	7.0	0.766	6	0 0 0 0 0
Business	167	144	86.2	9	5.4	14	8.4	2.700	o	0.030
Farmer/labour	47	42	89.4	3	6.4	2	4.3			
Mother occupation										
Dead	14	12	85.7	2	14.3	0	0.0			
Job	28	28	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.654	6	0.140
Business	20	16	80.0	1	5.0	3	15.0	9.004	0	0.140
House wife	338	297	87.9	15	4.4	26	7.7			
Nature of diet			• •							
Vegetarian	137	120	87.6	7	5.1	10	7.3			
Non-Vegetarian	193	170	88.1	10	5.2	13	6.7	2.051	4	0.726
Eggetarian	70	63	90.0	1	1.4	6	8.6	-		
Frequency of meal			I	L	1	1				
2 times	31	28	90.3	3	9.7	0	0.0			
3times	259	227	87.6	10	3.9	22	8.5	5.037	4	0.284
4times	110	98	89.1	5	4.5	7	6.4			0.204
Timing of meal										
Fixed	241	207	85.9	12	5.0	22	9.1			
Irregular	159	146	91.8	6	3.8	7	4.4	3.643	2	0.162

Vitamin C consumption of study subjects as percentage of RDA was not significantly associated with their age, religion, caste, type and size of family, number of siblings, menstrual status, literacy status of self and parents, father's occupation, nature of diet, frequency and timing of meal. Vitamin C intake of adolescent girls having mother's occupation as house wife was >80% of RDA in 65.7%; corresponding value for subjects with mother's occupation as job and business were 53.6% and 75.0%, respectively (p<0.05) (Table **12**).

Krishna and Mishra

Table 12: Vitamin C Consumption of Adolescent Girls According to their Socio Demographic and Personal Characteristics

		Vitamin C consumption as percentage of RDA								
Parameters	n	<	50%	50-809	%	>80	%	x ²	df	р
		No.	%	No.	%	No.	%			
AGE (Years)										
10 – 14	165	14	8.5	47	28.5	104	63.0			
15 – 17	126	6	4.8	41	32.5	79	62.7	3.793	4	0.435
18 - 19	109	5	4.6	28	25.7	76	69.7			
Religion					1					
Hindu	377	24	6.4	106	28.1	247	65.5	0.504	•	0.000
Muslim	23	1	4.3	10	43.5	12	52.2	2.501	2	0.286
Caste										
SC	64	6	9.4	20	31.2	38	59.4			
OBC	155	9	5.8	46	29.7	100	64.5	1.866	4	0.760
Others	181	10	5.5	50	27.6	121	66.9			
Type of family										
Nuclear	256	17	6.6	71	27.7	168	65.6	0.650	0	0 700
Joint	144	8	5.6	45	31.2	91	63.2	0.650	2	0.722
Family size										
<u><</u> 3-6	207	15	7.2	54	26.1	138	66.7			
7-12	149	9	6.0	44	29.5	96	64.4	4.772	4	0.311
>12	44	1	2.3	18	40.9	25	56.8			
Sibling										
<u>< 4</u>	270	17	6.3	69	25.6	184	68.1			
5-7	116	6	5.2	40	34.5	70	60.3	8.751	4	0.068
>7	14	2	14.3	7	50.0	5	35.7			
Menstrual status										
Nonmenstruating	77	6	7.8	16	20.8	55	71.4	3 2/2	2	0 1 9 8
Menstruating	323	19	5.9	100	31.0	204	63.2	5.242	2	0.190
Total income of family	(Rs. / Mo	onth)								
<u><</u> 4000	130	10	7.7	43	33.1	77	59.2			
4001-8000	101	10	9.9	28	27.7	63	62.4	10 010	6	0.046
8001-12000	53	1	1.9	20	37.7	32	60.4	12.012	0	0.040
12001->16000	116	4	3.4	25	21.6	87	75.0			
Per capita income rupe	es per n	nonth) as pe	r Poverty line (Criteria	1	1				
<u><</u> 780	163	12	7.4	57	35.0	94	57.7	6.055	2	0.048
>780	237	13	5.5	59	24.9	165	69.6	0.000	2	0.040
Socio economic status	;									
Low+ Lower middle	100	4	4.0	38	38.0	58	58.0			
Middle	170	17	10.0	47	27.6	106	62.4	13.067	4	0.011
Upper Middle+ High	130	4	3.1	31	23.8	95	73.1			
Literacy status of Fath	er	I	I	I	1	I	1	T	1	
Illiterate	6	1	16.7	1	16.7	4	66.7			
Up to middle	79	5	6.3	18	22.8	56	70.9	7 328	6	0 292
Up to intermediate	226	17	7.5	72	31.9	137	60.0	1.020	0	0.232
Graduation to above	89	2	2.2	25	28.1	62	69.7			

			Vitamin C co	nsumption as	percentag	e of RDA				
Parameters	n		<50%	50-80)%	>80	%	x ²	df	р
		No.	%	No.	No.	%	No.	-		
Mother Literacy	1	1		I				1		
Illiterate	109	7	6.4	26	23.9	76	69.7			
Just literate to middle	138	6	4.3	46	33.3	86	62.3	-		
High school to intermediate	135	12	8.9	41	30.4	82	60.7	8.192	6	03.224
Graduation and above	18	0	0.0	3	16.7	15	83.3			
Literacy status of Subj	ect									
Primary	76	5	6.6	19	25.0	52	68.4			
Middle	77	9	11.7	25	32.5	43	55.8			
High school	102	7	6.9	34	33.3	61	59.8	11.678	8	0.166
intermediate	96	4	4.2	25	26.0	67	69.8			
Graduation	49	0	0.0	13	26.5	36	73.5			
Father occupation										
Dead	15	3	20.0	3	20.0	9	60.0		6	
Job	171	9	5.3	47	27.5	115	67.3	8.254		0.000
Business	167	8	4.8	51	30.5	108	64.7	0.234		0.220
Farmer/ labour	47	5	10.6	15	31.9	27	57.4			
Mother occupation										
Dead	14	1	7.1	6	42.9	7	50.0			
Job	28	6	21.4	7	25.0	15	53.6	14 624	6	0.022
Business	20	0	0.0	5	25.0	15	75.0	14.024	0	0.023
House wife	338	18	5.3	98	29.0	222	65.7			
Nature of diet										
Vegetarian	137	14	10.2	45	32.8	78	56.9			
Non-Vegetarian	193	8	4.1	52	26.9	133	68.9	8.284	4	0.082
Eggitarian	70	3	4.3	19	27.1	48	68.6	-		
Frequency of meal	I.	1	1				-			
2 times	31	1	3.2	8	25.8	22	71.0			
3times	259	20	7.7	80	30.9	159	61.4	4.739	4	0.315
4times	110	4	3.6	28	25.5	78	70.9	4.739		
Timing of meal	1	1	1	1		1				
Fixed	241	12	5.0	75	31.1	154	63.9	0.574	~	0.070
Irregular	159	13	8.2	41	25.8	105	66.0	2.574	2	0.276

Taking subjects without mother as reference odds of less vitamin C intake was less (AOR 0.09; CI:0.01-0.92) in subjects whose mother were engaged in job. In comparison to vegetarians, odds of less vitamin C intake was more in nonvegetarian (AOR 2.01; CI: 1.10-3.65) and eggitarian (AOR 2.53; CI:1.03-6.19). The appropriateness of the logistic model for correct prediction of vitamin C intake was 84.8% which is acceptable (Table **13**).

DISCUSSION

In the post independent era of the planning process India primarily concentrated on maternal and child health. However, recently the focus is shifted on adolescents in general and girls in particular. By sheer number adolescents account for one fifth in the population. Seventy percent of the deaths in adulthood is linked to habits picked up during adolescence. Due

Particulars	Variables	Percentage of observations	Beta	Sig.	AOR	95.0% C.I.
	Dead	3.5	-	-	-	-
Mother occupation	Job	7.0	-2.39	0.04	0.09	0.01-0.92
	Business	5.0	-1.23	0.24	0.29	0.04- 2.26
	House wife	84.5	-2.15	0.05	0.12	0.01-1.04
	Vegetarian	34.3	-	-	-	-
Nature of diet	Non- Vegetarian	48.2	0.70	0.02	2.01	1.10-3.65
	Eggetarian	17.5	0.93	0.04	2.53	1.03-6.19

Table 13: Result of Logistic Regression Analysis (Risk of Less Vitamin C Intake Status Verses Sociodemographic Characteristics)

to the impact of urbanization and globalization the indigenous dietary practices are changing very fast and fast food culture is a potential threat in adolescents. Adolescents are sufferers of macronutrients deficiency [26]. However for their optimum health micronutrients adequacy is of paramount importance. This study amply highlighted that adolescent girls are more victims of micronutrients inadequacy. In conformity with the findings of present study micronutrients deficiency in the urban adolescent girls have been reported in several studies. In conformity with the findings of Bidad et al [27] calcium intake has been less in urban adolescent girls of Varanasi. Vitamin A and C intakes have been below RDA in adolescents factory workers [28]. In the present study average vitamin A consumption has been alarmingly low whereas this was not the case for vitamin C. According to a report [16] more than two third adolescent girls consumed Vitamin A less than 70% of RDA. Ascorbic acid adequacy was also reported in a study conducted in Bangladesh. However both present as well as another study [29] reported average intake of iron less than 50% of RDA. Bangladesh study reported that mean daily iron intake was observed to be less than 50 per cent of the RDA, whereas the ascorbic acid intake was adequate, leading to many adolescent girls having normal haemoglobin levels inspite of iron consumption being less than RDA.

A study on adolescent female factory workers in urban Bangladesh food intake data revealed a mean intake of calcium, iron, vitamin A, thiamin, riboflavin, niacin and vitamin C were below the RDA. Most of the energy and nutrients came from cereal and grains [27].

In a study the micronutrient intake of students, subjects were divided into 2 groups of 11-13 and 14-18 yr of age (to properly compare the intakes with the DRIs). In the first group (11-13 yr), students had mean

intakes lower for folic acid, vitamin E, calcium, magnesium, phosphorus, potassium and sodium. In the second group (14-18 yr), students had mean intakes lower than EAR for niacin, pyridoxine, folic acid, pantothenic acid, vitamin E, calcium, magnesium, phosphorus, potassium, sodium and zinc [26]. Comparing overweight and obese adolescent girls with others, overweight and obese adolescents had less carbohydrate, thiamin, niacin, iron and selenium intake (P< 0.05). In univariate analysis age, caste and literacy status of the subjects influenced significantly calcium intake. Significant association has been also observed between iron consumption and age, type of family, menstrual status, literacy status and nature of diet. Age was the only variable which was significantly associated with vitamin A consumption. The significant variables for consumption of vitamin C have been total income of family (Rs./month), per capita income, socio economic status and mothers occupation. However on logistic regression significant variable(s) were sibling more than 7 for calcium, age and caste for iron and mother occupation and nature of diet for vitamin C .The scenario just described clearly reflect that their has been similarity in dietary pattern of adolescent girls to a considerable extent. The initiatives taken for overcoming micronutrients inadequacy by public sector has been grossly inadequate. Strategies and action at individual, family and community level for overcoming micronutrient inadequacy are particularly nonexistent. There is urgent need to move from strategic and conceptual framework to concerned efforts and action in this direction.

CONCLUSION

Micronutrients deficiency both in terms of average and percentage intake of RDAs prevailed in the study subjects irrespective of age group. This was quite significant for calcium, iron and vitamin A. In contrast to this average vitamin C intake was more than 80% of RDA in all age groups.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Authors acknowledge Prof. G.P. Singh of the Department of Community Medicine, Institute of Medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, for statistical help.

REFERENCES

- [1] World Health Organization. Young people's health-A challenge for society. Report of a WHO Study Group on young people and Health for All by the year 2000. Technical Report Series No. 731. Geneva: WHO 1986.
- [2] Novella R. Adolescent Growth and Development. Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University 2009; 1-5.
- [3] Mishra CP, Reddy DCS, Tiwari IC. Growth pattern among Harijan and tribal preschool children. Indian Ped 1986; 23: 35-40.
- [4] Mulugeta A, Fitsum H, Barbara Sr, Gideon K, Vincent L, Zenebe A, Mekonen Y, Girmay G. Samuel nutritional status of adolescent girls from rural communities of Tigray, Northern Ethiopia. Ethiop J Health Dev 2009; 23(1): 6-11. http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ejhd.v23i1.44831
- Barnes HV. Physical growth and development during puberty. Med Clin North Am 1975; 59: 1305-1317.
- [6] Wong DL, Wilson D, Whaley LF. Whaley & Wong's nursing care of infants and children. 5th ed. St. Louis: Mosby 1995.
- [7] Government of India : A strategic approach to reproductive, maternal, newborn, child and adolescent health(RMNCH+A) in India ; ministry of health & Family Welfare Government of India January 2013.
- [8] Gupta VM, Sen P. Adolescent Health. Indian J of Public Health 2001; 45(1): 3-7.
- [9] Working Group on Youth Affairs and Adolescents. Draft final report of working group on youth affairs and adolescents. The Development for formulation of 11th Five Year Plan (2007-2012) March 2007; Volume-I.
- [10] Ministry of Youth Affairs & Sports. Report of working group on adolescent and youth development, Deptt. of Youth Affairs, M/o YA&S for formulation of 12th Five Year Plan (2012-17).Prepared by Department of Youth Affairs& Sports, Government of India 2011; 1-133.
- [11] Devadas RP, Easwaran PP. Intra-family food intake of selected rural households and food consumption patterns of pregnant women. Indian J Nutr Dietet 1986; 27: 237-245.
- [12] Gopaldas T, Raghavan R, Kanani S. Nutritional impact of antiparasitic drugs, prophylactic vitamin A and iron-folic acid on under privileged school girls in India. Nutr Res 1983; 3: 831-844. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0271-5317(83)80036-0</u>
- [13] Thakore B. A nutrition health status survey and nutritionhealth education intervention for underprivileged adolescent girls in Baroda. M.Sc. Thesis, Department of Foods and Nutrition. M.S. University of Baroda, Baroda 1989.

Received on 28-09-2015

Accepted on 21-10-2015

Published on 02-02-2016

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.6000/1929-5634.2015.04.04.7

- [14] Satyanarayana K, Naidu AN, Swaminathan MC, Rao BSN. Effect of nutrition deprivation in early childhood on later growth-A community study with out intervention. Am J Clin Nutr 1981; 34: 1636-1637.
- [15] Pereira P, Mehta S. Physical growth in adolescent girls of upper socio-economic group in Varanasi. Indian J Med Res 1983, 77: 839-844.
- [16] National Institute of Nutrition. Report on diet and nutritional status of adolescents. NNMB. NNMB Technical Report No.20, NIN ICMR Hyderabad 2000; 20: 1-25.
- [17] Frary CD, Johnson RK, Wang MQSO. Children and adolescents' choices of foods and beverages high in added sugars are associated with intakes of key nutrients and food groups. Adolesc Health 2004; 34(1): 56-60. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1054-139X(03)00248-9</u>
- [18] Kurshed A, Mohammad A, Masud R, Sabina KTM, Alamgir A, Jamila B, Aminul HB. Dietary intake, physical activities and nutritional status of adolescent girls in an urban population of Bangladesh. Ibrahim Med Coll J 2010; 4(2): 78-82. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3329/imcj.v4i2.6502</u>
- [19] Uma M I, Rachana M, Bhoite, Sharmistha R. An exploratory study on the nutritional status and determinants of malnutrition of urban and rural adolescent children (12-16) years of Vadodara city. International Journal Of Applied Biology And Pharmaceutical Technology 2011; 2(1): 102-107.
- [20] Parimalavalli R, Sangeetha M. Anthropometric measurements and nutrient intake of adolescent girls anthropologist 2011; 13(2): 111-115.
- [21] Goel S, Kaur T, Gupta M. Increasing proclivity for junk food among overweight adolescent girls in district Kurukshetra, India. International Research Journal of Biological Sciences 2013; 2(3): 80-84.
- [22] Registrar General and Census Commissioner, India: Census of India, Ministry of Home Affair, Government of India 2011. Available at http://censusindia.gov.in/
- [23] Mishra CP, Jaya Krishna. Turbulence of adolescence, Indian J Prev Soc Med 2014; 45(1): 1-6.
- [24] Mishra CP, Bhatnagar T, Choudhary S, Shukla KP, Mishra RN. Measuring SES through composite indicator: Monogram, Department of Community Medicine, Institute of Medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University 2010.
- [25] Gopalan C, Ramasastry BV, Balasubramanyam SC, Narasinga RBS, Deosthale YG, Pant KC. Nutritive Value of Indian Foods. Hyderabad: National Institute of Nutrition 1989.
- [26] Krishna J, Mishra CP. Correlates of macronutrients intake of adolescent girls in urban Varanasi. IJFANS 2014; 3(3): 67-76.
- [27] Bidad K, Anari SH, Tavasoli S, Nazemi L, Gholami N, Zadhush S, Moayeri H. Dietary intakes of adolescent girls in relation to weight status. Iranian J Publ Health 2008; 37(1): 114-118.
- [28] Khan MR, Ahmed F. Physical status, nutrient intake and dietary pattern of adolescent female factory workers in urban Bangladesh. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr 2005; 14(1): 19-26.
- [29] Shekhar A. Iron status of adolescents girls and its effect on physical fitness. The Indian J Nutr Dietet 2005; 42(10): 451-456.