

Dietary polyphenols are inversely associated with metabolic syndrome in Polish adults of the HAPIEE study

Giuseppe Grosso^{1,2} · Urszula Stepaniak² · Agnieszka Micek² · Denes Stefler³ · Martin Bobak³ · Andrzej Pająk²

Received: 1 September 2015 / Accepted: 8 February 2016 / Published online: 25 February 2016
© The Author(s) 2016. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract

Purpose The aim of this study was to evaluate the association between total and individual classes and subclasses of dietary polyphenol intake and prevalence of metabolic syndrome (MetS) in the Polish arm of the Health, Alcohol and Psychosocial factors In Eastern Europe cohort study.

Methods A cross-sectional population-based survey including 8821 adults (51.4 % female) was conducted in Kraków, Poland. Dietary polyphenol intake was evaluated using food frequency questionnaires and matching food consumption data with the Phenol-Explorer database. MetS was defined according to the International Diabetes Federation definition. Linear and logistic regression models were performed to estimate odds ratios (ORs) and confidence intervals (CIs).

Results Significant differences in age and energy intake among different categories of total dietary polyphenol intake were found. Body mass index (BMI), waist circumference (WC), blood pressure, and triglycerides were significantly lower among individuals in the higher quartiles

of polyphenol intake, but a linear association was found only for BMI and WC. After adjusting for potential confounding factors, individuals in the highest quartile of polyphenol intake were less likely to have MetS (OR 0.80; 95 % CI 0.64, 0.98 and OR 0.70; 95 % CI 0.56, 0.86 for both men and women, respectively). High total polyphenol intake was negatively associated with WC, blood pressure, high lipoprotein cholesterol, and triglycerides in women, and fasting plasma glucose in both genders. Among individual classes of polyphenols, phenolic acids and stilbenes were significantly associated with MetS; lignans and stilbenes with WC; phenolic acids with blood pressure and triglycerides; and flavonoids with fasting plasma glucose. Among specific subclasses of polyphenols, hydroxycinnamic acids, flavanols, and dihydrochalcones had the most relevant role.

Conclusions Total and individual classes and subclasses of dietary polyphenols were inversely associated with MetS and some of its components.

Keywords Dietary polyphenols · Flavonoids · Phenolic acids · Stilbenes · Lignans · Metabolic syndrome · Blood pressure · Waist circumference · Dyslipidemia · Hyperglycemia

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s00394-016-1187-z) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

✉ Giuseppe Grosso
giuseppe.grosso@studium.unict.it

¹ Integrated Cancer Registry of Catania-Messina-Siracusa-Enna, Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria Policlinico Vittorio Emanuele, Via S. Sofia 85, 95123 Catania, Italy

² Department of Epidemiology and Population Studies, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Kraków, Poland

³ Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University College London, London, UK

Introduction

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is a condition characterized by a cluster of cardiovascular risk factors, including impaired glucose metabolism, dyslipidemia, elevated blood pressure, and abdominal obesity [1]. The prevalence of MetS has increased over the last decades up to 30 % among European adults together with rise of obesity trends [2]. MetS has become a major worldwide public health problem

due to its association with increased risk of cardiovascular disease and cancers related to metabolic impairment [3]. Among the main determinants, sedentary lifestyle and overnutrition seem to be mostly responsible for this pathological condition [4]. However, existing knowledge of pathogenic mechanisms associated to MetS is controversial and not uniformly accepted. Findings from current evidence agree that the complex interplay between adipokines and adipocytokines characterizing obesity status occurring in MetS leads to a chronic low-grade inflammation with permanently increased oxidative stress [5]. Overexpression of oxidative stress damages cellular structures, associated with underproduction of antioxidant mechanisms, which are supposed to be key features for the development of obesity-related complications [5]. This may explain why plant-based dietary patterns have been demonstrated to protect against MetS and its individual components [6, 7]. Together with a decreased caloric intake, high consumption of antioxidant compounds has been hypothesized to play an important role in preventing this pathological condition [8–10].

Polyphenols are antioxidant compounds contained in foods and beverages commonly consumed by humans. These compounds are divided into five main classes according to their chemical structure: flavonoids, phenolic acids, stilbenes, lignans, and others [11]. Recently, polyphenol consumption has been the focus of attention as an attractive explanation for the benefits conferred not only by plant foods, but also beverage, such as coffee, tea, and beer [4, 12–16]. Several experimental studies provided the biological plausibility for their potential role in preventing components of MetS [17–20]. Polyphenols have been hypothesized to exert antioxidant and antiinflammatory effects, as well as to explain diet–genes interactions as first indication for the impact of such compounds on metabolic-associated comorbidities [21–23]. Epidemiological investigations are in line with experimental studies, but most of the significant findings are limited to the effects of flavonoids consumption on diabetes [24], whereas results on hypertension are controversial [25–29]. However, there is no universal consensus among studies, as most of them used different nutrient databases that may lead to high variability of estimated dietary intake of compounds. Overall, evidence showing the association of all main polyphenol classes with MetS and its components is scarce. To date, only one study investigated the association between total and individual classes of polyphenols and MetS [30] reporting inconclusive results for overall dietary polyphenol intake although significant associations between flavonoids intake and all MetS components were found. Findings are promising, but comprehensive analyses on

the relation of the main polyphenol groups and metabolic status are lacking.

The aim of this study was to evaluate whether total and individual classes of dietary polyphenol intake was associated with MetS in a large cohort of urban Polish adults. The association of polyphenol intake with components of MetS, including body mass index (BMI), waist circumference (WC), fasting plasma glucose (FPG), total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol (HDL-c), LDL cholesterol (LDL-c), serum triglycerides (TG), and systolic and diastolic blood pressure (SBP and DBP, respectively), was also explored.

Subjects and methods

Study population

Subjects were participants of the Polish arm of the Health, Alcohol and Psychosocial factors In Eastern Europe (HAP-IEE) study, which was a prospective cohort study aimed to investigate the determinants of CVD and other chronic conditions in Central and Eastern Europe. The study protocol with the rationale, design, and methods has been described in detail elsewhere [31]. Briefly, a random sample of 10,728 subjects (aged 45–69 years) was recruited at the baseline survey conducted in 2002–2005 (response ratio of 59 %) in the urban area of Kraków, Poland. The survey involved completion of structured questionnaires and an examination in clinic. The questionnaires covered health, medical history, health behavior, socioeconomic circumstances, psychosocial factors, and diet. The participants provided written informed consent, and the study protocol was approved by the ethics committee at University College London, UK, and by the bioethics committee of the Jagiellonian University (no. KE/99/03/B/284 2).

Among participants who attended the clinical visit ($n = 9050$), those with missing outcome measures with incomplete (more than 50 % of answers missing) or incongruent (energy intake $<500/>4000$ kcal/day for females and $<800/>5000$ kcal/day for males) data regarding dietary information were excluded, resulting in a final sample of 8821 adults (51.4 % female).

Demographic, lifestyle, and clinical measurements

Sociodemographic and lifestyle characteristics included age, gender, educational and occupational level, smoking and alcohol drinking habits. Educational level was categorized as (a) low (primary/secondary), (b) medium (high school), and (c) high (university). Occupational level was categorized as (a) low (unskilled/unemployed workers), (b) medium (partially skilled workers), and (c) high

(skilled workers). Physical activity level was calculated by taking into account energy expenditure from activities both at work and leisure time, frequency (times per week converted in daily), duration (minutes per time), and intensity (expended calories). Intensity was categorized in light [expended energy <16.7 kJ (<4 kcal)/min], moderate [expended energy 16.7–29.3 kJ (4–7 kcal)/min], and high [expended energy >29.3 kJ (7 kcal)/min]. A combined score by multiplying weekly frequency, duration, and intensity of physical activity was calculated and individuals gradated in qualitative terms such as (a) low, (b) moderately, and (c) highly active. Individuals were categorized according to their smoking status as non-smoker or current smoker. Alcohol consumption was categorized as (a) up to or (b) more than 12 g/day.

The physical examination included measurement of height, weight, waist circumference (WC), and blood pressure using standard procedures [31]. BMI was calculated according the formula weight (kg)/height² (m). WC was measured midway between the 12th rib and the iliac crest. Blood pressure was measured three times at the end of the physical examination, and the final value was the mean among the three measurements.

Dietary assessment

Dietary data were collected by using a food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) based on the tool developed by Willett et al. [32] and subsequently adapted in the Whitehall II Study [33]. The FFQs consisted of a 148 food- and drink-item. An instruction manual that included photographs to facilitate the estimation of portion sizes was used. Participants were asked how often, on average, they had consumed that amount of the item during the last 3 months, with nine responses ranging from “never or less than once per month” to “six or more times per day”. Moreover, participants were asked to include additional foods and frequency of consumption by manual entry.

Estimation of polyphenol intake

Data on the polyphenol content in foods were obtained from the Phenol-Explorer database (www.phenol-explorer.eu) [34]. The process of estimation of polyphenol intake has been described in details elsewhere [35]. Briefly, food items of the FFQ containing more food components were separated according to their ingredients, and foods that contained no polyphenols were excluded from the analysis.

The average food consumption was calculated (in gram or milliliter) by following the standard portion sizes used in the study and then converted in 24-h intake. An advanced search was carried out in the Phenol-Explorer database to retrieve mean content values for all polyphenols contained

in the foods obtained, and individual polyphenol intake from each food was calculated by multiplying the content of each polyphenol by the daily consumption of each food. Total polyphenol intake was calculated as the sum of all individual polyphenol intakes from all food sources encountered according to this process.

Definition of MetS

MetS was defined according to the International Diabetes Federation definition [36], as having central obesity (WC \geq 90 cm in men and \geq 80 cm in women) and any two of the following: (a) TG >150 mg/dL (1.7 mmol/L), or specific treatment for this lipid abnormality; (b) HDL-c <40 mg/dL (1.03 mmol/L) in males, <50 mg/dL (1.29 mmol/L) in females, or specific treatment for this lipid abnormality; (c) SBP >130 or DBP >85 mm Hg, or treatment of previously diagnosed hypertension; (d) FPG >100 mg/dL (5.6 mmol/L), or previously diagnosed type 2 diabetes or treatment of previously diagnosed diabetes.

Statistical analysis

Analyses were performed using SPSS software version 17.0 (Chicago, IL, USA). Total and specific classes of polyphenol intake were adjusted for total energy intake (calories) using the residual method, and individuals were divided according quartiles of consumption. Baseline characteristics are presented as means and standard deviations (SDs) for continuous variables and frequencies for categorical variables across quartiles of total polyphenol intake. Variables were examined for normality distribution (Kolmogorov–Smirnov), and differences between categories were tested by ANOVA test for continuous variables and by the Chi square test for categorical variables.

Linear trends across the quartiles of total polyphenol consumption categories were tested by assigning each participant the median of the category and modeling this value as a continuous variable. Multivariable linear regression models were performed to assess the relationship between metabolic parameters [BMI, WC, HDL-c, FPG, SBP, DBP, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-c), and total cholesterol (TC)] as dependent variables and 1-SD increase of total polyphenol intake as continuous variable. Results from the regression models were presented as β -coefficients and SE. Normality of the standardized residuals was assessed using the Shapiro–Wilk test. The assumption of linearity for the continuous independent variables and of the variance of the standardized residuals being constant was assessed through plotting the residuals against the fitted values. Finally, odds ratios (ORs) and 95 % confidence intervals (CIs) assessing the association of both categorized polyphenol intake and 1-SD increase, with having MetS or

its individual components, were calculated by multivariable logistic regression models. Gender-specific analyses were also conducted to take into account the natural differences in body composition and caloric needs between men and women. An additional analysis was performed using quartiles of specific polyphenol classes in order to test whether associations relied on specific groups rather than total consumption. All regression models were adjusted for potential confounders, such as age, gender, education, occupation, physical activity, smoking status, and total energy intake. Further additional adjustments by foods mainly contributing to polyphenol content were performed to test whether dietary factors could influence the retrieved associations. Multivariable regression models including specific polyphenol classes included also adjustment for each other polyphenol class. All reported *P* values were based on two-sided tests and compared to a significance level of 5 %.

Results

Baseline characteristics of the 8821 subjects included in the analysis by quartiles of polyphenol consumption are given in Table 1. Compared with lower quartiles, a higher percentage of individuals in the higher quartile of polyphenol intake had lower age and higher energy intake. Moreover, among women consuming more dietary polyphenols, there was a significantly lower percentage of non-smoker. No significant differences were found regarding educational and occupational status, as well with alcohol consumption habits (Table 1).

The analysis of the association between various metabolic parameters and total dietary polyphenol consumption revealed an association with BMI ($P = 0.023$ and $P < 0.001$ in men and women, respectively), WC ($P = 0.025$ and $P < 0.001$ in men and women, respectively), SBP ($P = 0.034$ and $P < 0.001$ in men and women, respectively), DBP ($P = 0.010$ in women), and TG ($P = 0.001$; Table 2). When the relation was tested as linear association, only BMI and WC remained significant, suggesting that differences in blood pressure and TG among polyphenols quartiles may be stronger among individuals falling into extreme categories (Table 2).

Individuals consuming higher quantities of polyphenols were less likely to have MetS (highest vs. lowest quartile, OR 0.74; 95 % CI 0.64, 0.86; Table 3). The multivariate-adjusted regression analysis revealed that the highest quartile of intake was significantly associated with several components of MetS, such as WC, blood pressure, TG, and FPG (Table 3). When the analysis was stratified by gender, polyphenol consumption was significantly associated with individual components of MetS only in women, although

association with MetS was significant in both genders (highest vs. lowest quartile, OR 0.80; 95 % CI 0.64, 0.98 and OR 0.70; 95 % CI 0.56, 0.86 in men and women, respectively; Table 3). The specific analysis of individual classes of polyphenols revealed certain differences in the effect of various groups toward MetS and its components (Table 4). In fact, only highest intake of phenolic acids and stilbenes was significantly associated with MetS, whereas analysis for each MetS component demonstrated a significant association of highest intake of lignans and stilbenes with WC, phenolic acids with blood pressure and TG, and flavonoids with FPG (Table 4). Overall, the linear association among the various polyphenol classes was maintained only for phenolic acids and, partially, flavonoids, whereas other classes demonstrated no significant linear association (Table 4). Among phenolic acids, hydroxycinnamic acids resulted significantly linearly associated with having MetS and, among its components, WC, blood pressure, and TG (Supplementary Table 1). Among flavonoids, the stronger association with MetS was found for intake of flavanols, which effect was related to FPG and, not linearly, with WC, whereas nonlinear associations were also found between dihydrochalcones and blood pressure (Supplementary Table 2).

In order to relate these findings to foods consumed by the study cohort, the main food sources of total and individual classes and subclasses of polyphenols were finally given in Table 5. Overall, coffee and tea represented the major sources of total dietary polyphenol intake, whereas other foods, such as apples and oranges, were among the main sources of more than one subclass of polyphenols. These foods were present in several of the polyphenol groups that were significantly associated with MetS and thus among the main candidates in this cohort to be mostly responsible for potential protection against metabolic disorders. When analyses to test the association between total polyphenol intake and MetS and its components were further adjusted by the main food contributors to the polyphenol content (Supplementary Table 3), only coffee and tea intake affected the results, despite not significantly.

Discussion

In the present study, we evaluated the relationship between total and individual classes of dietary polyphenol consumption and MetS and its components in a large urban cohort of men and women living in Krakow, Poland. A significant inverse association between high polyphenol intake and MetS was found. The analysis interesting individual classes of polyphenols revealed that those mostly responsible for

Table 1 Background gender-specific characteristics of the study sample by quartiles of total polyphenol intake (Q1–Q4) (*n* = 8821)

| | Total polyphenol intake, men | | | | <i>P</i> | Total polyphenol intake, women | | | | <i>P</i> |
|---|------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------|
| | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | |
| Total population [<i>n</i> (%)] | 1060 (12.0) | 1034 (11.7) | 1106 (12.5) | 1091 (12.4) | | 1109 (12.6) | 1157 (13.1) | 1141 (12.9) | 1123 (12.7) | |
| Age (years) [mean (SD)] | 58.5 (7.0) | 58.5 (6.9) | 58.2 (6.9) | 57.2 (6.93) | <0.001 | 58.1 (7.5) | 57.8 (6.9) | 57.3 (6.9) | 56.4 (6.9) | <0.001 |
| Total energy intake (kcal) [mean (SD)] | 1846.0 (545.3) | 2069.8 (530.1) | 2318.4 (625.3) | 2564.6 (725.1) | <0.001 | 1790.4 (522.4) | 2001.2 (513.7) | 2186.4 (578.7) | 2411.1 (617.5) | <0.001 |
| Educational level [<i>n</i> (%)] | | | | | 0.949 | | | | | 0.077 |
| Low | 95 (9) | 93 (9) | 106 (9.6) | 94 (8.6) | | 160 (14.5) | 163 (14.1) | 156 (13.7) | 121 (10.8) | |
| Medium | 628 (59.3) | 621 (60.1) | 670 (60.6) | 649 (59.5) | | 657 (59.3) | 678 (58.7) | 686 (60.1) | 665 (59.2) | |
| High | 336 (31.7) | 320 (30.9) | 330 (29.8) | 347 (31.8) | | 290 (26.2) | 314 (27.2) | 299 (26.2) | 337 (30.0) | |
| Current smoking (yes) [<i>n</i> (%)] | 718 (68.0) | 701 (67.9) | 722 (65.6) | 688 (63.2) | 0.058 | 843 (76.2) | 862 (74.5) | 842 (73.8) | 777 (69.5) | 0.003 |
| Alcohol drinking (yes) [<i>n</i> (%)] | 54 (5.1) | 47 (4.5) | 69 (6.2) | 75 (6.9) | 0.083 | 27 (2.4) | 38 (3.3) | 38 (3.3) | 31 (2.8) | 0.534 |
| Physical activity level [<i>n</i> (%)] | | | | | 0.410 | | | | | 0.192 |
| Low | 275 (27.8) | 291 (29.6) | 289 (27.3) | 289 (27.5) | | 320 (30.2) | 334 (30.7) | 321 (29.6) | 281 (26.7) | |
| Moderate | 384 (38.8) | 350 (35.6) | 371 (35.1) | 383 (36.5) | | 401 (37.9) | 389 (35.7) | 382 (35.2) | 386 (36.6) | |
| High | 330 (33.4) | 343 (34.9) | 397 (37.6) | 378 (36) | | 338 (31.9) | 366 (36.6) | 382 (35.2) | 387 (36.7) | |

Table 2 Anthropometric characteristics and biomarkers of metabolic syndrome of the study sample by quartiles of total polyphenol intake (Q1–Q4) and multivariate linear regression model with 1-SD increase

| | Total polyphenol intake, men | | | | P | β (SE) ^a | Total polyphenol intake, women | | | | P | β (SE) ^a |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------|---------------------------|
| | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | | | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | | |
| BMI [mean (SD)] | 28.0 (4.0) | 28.1 (4.2) | 27.8 (3.9) | 27.6 (3.9) | 0.001 | -0.13 (0.06)* | 28.9 (5.1) | 28.5 (5.1) | 28.2 (5.0) | 27.7 (4.9) | <0.001 | -0.18 (0.08)* |
| WC (cm) [mean (SD)] | 97.9 (10.5) | 97.7 (10.9) | 97.9 (10.3) | 96.7 (10.4) | 0.001 | -0.38 (0.16)* | 88.9 (12.0) | 88.1 (12.3) | 87.3 (11.8) | 86.4 (12.0) | <0.001 | -0.42 (0.19)* |
| SBP (mmHg) [mean (SD)] | 145.5 (20.7) | 142.3 (19.9) | 141.5 (19.9) | 141.3 (20.3) | 0.017 | -0.47 (0.31) | 135.8 (21.5) | 135.5 (21.4) | 133.9 (21.0) | 132.2 (21.0) | <0.001 | -0.62 (0.32) |
| DBP (mmHg) [mean (SD)] | 88.1 (12.0) | 88.2 (11.4) | 87.7 (11.7) | 87.6 (11.8) | 0.151 | -0.25 (0.18) | 85.1 (11.6) | 85.2 (11.6) | 84.1 (11.5) | 83.8 (11.7) | 0.010 | -0.20 (0.19) |
| FPG (mmol/L) [mean (SD)] | 5.6 (1.6) | 5.5 (1.4) | 5.5 (1.5) | 5.4 (1.4) | 0.052 | -0.03 (0.02) | 5.3 (1.4) | 5.2 (1.3) | 5.2 (1.5) | 5.2 (2.3) | 0.365 | -0.03 (0.02) |
| TC (mmol/L) [mean (SD)] | 5.7 (1.1) | 5.66 (1.0) | 5.70 (1.0) | 5.75 (1.0) | 0.282 | -0.01 (0.02) | 5.9 (1.1) | 5.9 (1.1) | 5.9 (1.0) | 5.9 (1.1) | 0.075 | 0.01 (0.02) |
| HDL-c (mmol/L) [mean (SD)] | 1.32 (0.3) | 1.32 (0.3) | 1.32 (0.3) | 1.31 (0.3) | 0.951 | 0.00 (0.01) | 1.5 (0.4) | 1.6 (0.4) | 1.6 (0.4) | 1.6 (0.4) | 0.546 | 0.00 (0.01) |
| LDL-c (mmol/L) [mean (SD)] | 3.6 (0.4) | 3.6 (0.3) | 3.6 (0.4) | 3.6 (0.4) | 0.212 | 0.01 (0.01) | 3.7 (0.9) | 3.7 (0.9) | 3.7 (0.9) | 3.7 (0.9) | 0.710 | 0.02 (0.01) |
| TG (mmol/L) [mean (SD)] | 1.7 (0.8) | 1.7 (0.8) | 1.7 (0.8) | 1.7 (0.8) | 0.905 | -0.01 (0.01) | 1.6 (0.7) | 1.5 (0.7) | 1.5 (0.7) | 1.4 (0.7) | 0.001 | -0.02 (0.01) |

BMI body mass index, DBP diastolic blood pressure, FPG fasting plasma glucose, HDL-c high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, LDL-c low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, SBP systolic blood pressure, TC total cholesterol, TG triglycerides, WC waist circumference

* $P < 0.05$

^a Adjusted for age, education, occupation, physical activity, smoking status, alcohol drinking, body mass index, and total energy intake

Table 3 Multivariate adjusted odds ratios (95 % confidence interval)^a for metabolic syndrome and its individual components by quartiles of total polyphenol intake (Q1–Q4) and 1-SD increment, overall and by gender

| | Total polyphenol intake | | | | 1-SD increase |
|--|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | |
| Metabolic syndrome | | | | | |
| No. cases (%) | 679 (31.3) | 638 (29.1) | 615 (27.4) | 529 (23.0) | |
| Overall | 1 | 0.89 (0.78–1.03) | 0.85 (0.74–0.98) | 0.74 (0.64–0.86) | 0.90 (0.85, 0.96) |
| Men | 1 | 0.82 (0.67–1.00) | 0.89 (0.73–1.09) | 0.80 (0.64–0.98) | 0.83 (0.96, 0.99) |
| Women | 1 | 0.96 (0.80–1.17) | 0.82 (0.67–0.99) | 0.70 (0.56–0.86) | 0.90 (0.83, 0.97) |
| WC (≥ 90 cm in men, ≥ 80 cm in women) | | | | | |
| No. cases (%) | 880 (40.6) | 857 (39.1) | 851 (37.9) | 753 (34.0) | |
| Overall | 1 | 0.93 (0.82–1.06) | 0.91 (0.79–1.03) | 0.80 (0.69–0.92) | 0.93 (0.88, 0.97) |
| Men | 1 | 0.93 (0.76–1.13) | 1.03 (0.85–1.25) | 0.85 (0.69–1.05) | 0.94 (0.88, 1.01) |
| Women | 1 | 0.94 (0.79–1.12) | 0.81 (0.67–0.97) | 0.76 (0.63–0.92) | 0.93 (0.87, 0.99) |
| SBP (≥ 130 mmHg) or DBP (≥ 85 mmHg or hypertensive treatment) | | | | | |
| No. cases (%) | 1390 (64.1) | 1381 (63.0) | 1315 (58.5) | 1255 (56.7) | |
| Overall | 1 | 0.96 (0.84–1.10) | 0.82 (0.72–0.94) | 0.83 (0.72–0.96) | 0.93 (0.88, 0.97) |
| Men | 1 | 0.94 (0.77–1.15) | 0.85 (0.70–1.04) | 0.92 (0.75–1.13) | 0.95 (0.89, 1.02) |
| Women | 1 | 0.98 (0.82–1.18) | 0.80 (0.66–0.96) | 0.76 (0.63–0.92) | 0.90 (0.84, 0.97) |
| HDL-c (< 40 mg/dl in men, < 50 mg/dl in women) | | | | | |
| No. cases (%) | 490 (22.6) | 459 (20.9) | 530 (23.6) | 476 (21.5) | |
| Overall | 1 | 0.87 (0.75–1.02) | 1.01 (0.87–1.20) | 0.90 (0.77–1.05) | 0.97 (0.91, 1.02) |
| Men | 1 | 0.91 (0.72–1.15) | 1.13 (0.90–1.42) | 1.03 (0.82–1.31) | 0.99 (0.91, 1.07) |
| Women | 1 | 0.84 (0.69–1.02) | 0.92 (0.76–1.13) | 0.80 (0.64–0.99) | 0.94 (0.87, 1.02) |
| TG (≥ 150 mg/dl) | | | | | |
| No. cases (%) | 1038 (47.9) | 1025 (46.8) | 1013 (45.1) | 956 (43.2) | |
| Overall | 1 | 0.97 (0.85–1.09) | 0.90 (0.79–1.02) | 0.87 (0.76–0.99) | 0.97 (0.92, 1.01) |
| Men | 1 | 1.03 (0.86–1.23) | 0.98 (0.82–1.17) | 0.97 (0.80–1.18) | 0.98 (0.92, 1.04) |
| Women | 1 | 0.92 (0.77–1.09) | 0.84 (0.70–1.00) | 0.78 (0.65–0.95) | 0.95 (0.89, 1.02) |
| FPG (≥ 100 mg/dl or diabetes treatment) | | | | | |
| No. cases (%) | 255 (11.8) | 221 (10.1) | 212 (9.4) | 177 (8.0) | |
| Overall | 1 | 0.85 (0.69–1.04) | 0.84 (0.68–1.04) | 0.76 (0.60–0.96) | 0.93 (0.86, 1.02) |
| Men | 1 | 0.84 (0.64–1.10) | 0.85 (0.64–1.12) | 0.80 (0.59–1.08) | 0.95 (0.86, 1.06) |
| Women | 1 | 0.86 (0.64–1.17) | 0.84 (0.61–1.16) | 0.71 (0.49–1.02) | 0.93 (0.82, 1.07) |

DBP diastolic blood pressure, FPG fasting plasma glucose, HDL-c high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, SBP systolic blood pressure, SD standard deviation, TG triglycerides, WC waist circumference

^a Adjusted for age, gender (except when analyses were stratified by sex), education, physical activity, smoking status, alcohol drinking, body mass index, and total energy intake

such association were phenolic acids, flavonoids, and stilbenes. Moreover, association of individual polyphenol classes and MetS components has been found.

Although confounders such as age, educational status, physical activity, and smoking were related, in various ways, to outcomes examined in this study, total polyphenol intake resulted independently associated with MetS and some of its components, including WC, blood pressure, and lipid and glucose alterations. Individual phenolic compounds have been previously associated with reduced risk of metabolic disorders in both cohort and randomized controlled trials conducted on multiple metabolic

outcomes, such as glycaemic control [37–39], blood pressure [40, 41], and lipid profile [42]. However, data on total polyphenol intake and metabolic outcomes are scarce. In the PREDIMED study, total polyphenol urine excretion was related to plasma nitric oxide (NO), which in turn was associated with a reduction in systolic and diastolic blood pressure levels [43]. Total polyphenol intake has been also inversely associated with a score of low-grade inflammation biomarkers in the Moli-sani cohort, which would provide the rationale for the health benefits of these compounds. Nonetheless, only one study specifically explored the association of total polyphenol intake

Table 4 Multivariate adjusted odds ratios (95 % confidence interval)^a for metabolic syndrome and its components by quartiles of individual polyphenol classes intake (Q1–Q4) and 1-SD increment

| | Polyphenol intake | | | | 1-SD increase |
|--|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | |
| Metabolic syndrome | | | | | |
| Phenolic acids | 1 | 0.94 (0.82–1.08) | 0.98 (0.85–1.13) | 0.78 (0.67–0.91) | 0.91 (0.86, 0.97) |
| Flavonoids | 1 | 0.97 (0.83–1.12) | 0.89 (0.76–1.04) | 0.88 (0.73–1.00) | 0.95 (0.89, 1.00) |
| Lignans | 1 | 1.03 (0.89–1.20) | 1.01 (0.87–1.18) | 0.99 (0.84–1.18) | 1.03 (0.98, 1.07) |
| Stilbenes | 1 | 0.92 (0.79–1.06) | 0.91 (0.79–1.05) | 0.83 (0.71–0.96) | 1.02 (0.97, 1.08) |
| Others | 1 | 1.05 (0.91–1.22) | 1.04 (0.89–1.20) | 1.07 (0.92–1.25) | 0.99 (0.95, 1.04) |
| WC (≥90 cm in men, ≥80 cm in women) | | | | | |
| Phenolic acids | 1 | 0.98 (0.86–1.12) | 0.97 (0.85–1.11) | 0.91 (0.79–1.05) | 0.96 (0.91, 1.01) |
| Flavonoids | 1 | 0.97 (0.85–1.11) | 0.95 (0.82–1.10) | 0.87 (0.73–1.04) | 0.91 (0.86, 0.96) |
| Lignans | 1 | 0.93 (0.81–1.06) | 0.87 (0.75–1.00) | 0.82 (0.70–0.96) | 1.04 (0.99, 1.08) |
| Stilbenes | 1 | 0.91 (0.80–1.04) | 0.87 (0.76–0.99) | 0.86 (0.75–0.98) | 1.02 (0.97, 1.07) |
| Others | 1 | 0.99 (0.86–1.14) | 1.02 (0.89–1.18) | 1.05 (0.91–1.21) | 0.99 (0.96, 1.04) |
| SBP (≥130 mmHg) or DBP (≥85 mmHg or hypertensive treatment) | | | | | |
| Phenolic acids | 1 | 0.87 (0.76–0.99) | 0.88 (0.77–1.01) | 0.77 (0.67–0.89) | 0.92 (0.88, 0.97) |
| Flavonoids | 1 | 0.92 (0.80–1.06) | 0.89 (0.76–1.03) | 0.99 (0.83–1.18) | 0.98 (0.93, 1.03) |
| Lignans | 1 | 1.03 (0.89–1.18) | 0.99 (0.86–1.15) | 1.03 (0.88–1.20) | 0.99 (0.96, 1.04) |
| Stilbenes | 1 | 0.98 (0.85–1.12) | 0.93 (0.81–1.06) | 0.95 (0.83–1.09) | 0.99 (0.95, 1.05) |
| Others | 1 | 0.99 (0.86–1.14) | 1.03 (0.89–1.18) | 1.04 (0.91–1.20) | 1.01 (0.97, 1.05) |
| HDL-c (<40 mg/dl in men, <50 mg/dl in women) | | | | | |
| Phenolic acids | 1 | 0.94 (0.81–1.09) | 1.01 (0.87–1.18) | 0.95 (0.81–1.11) | 0.97 (0.91, 1.02) |
| Flavonoids | 1 | 1.03 (0.88–1.21) | 0.88 (0.74–1.05) | 0.93 (0.76–1.13) | 0.98 (0.93, 1.04) |
| Lignans | 1 | 1.06 (0.92–1.24) | 1.11 (0.94–1.31) | 1.13 (0.95–1.36) | 1.01 (0.96, 1.06) |
| Stilbenes | 1 | 1.02 (0.88–1.19) | 1.10 (0.95–1.28) | 0.93 (0.79–1.09) | 0.99 (0.93, 1.05) |
| Others | 1 | 0.92 (0.78–1.07) | 0.92 (0.78–1.08) | 0.96 (0.82–1.13) | 0.98 (0.93, 1.03) |
| TG (≥150 mg/dl) | | | | | |
| Phenolic acids | 1 | 1.08 (0.95–1.22) | 1.06 (0.94–1.21) | 0.87 (0.76–0.99) | 0.95 (0.91, 0.99) |
| Flavonoids | 1 | 1.01 (0.88–1.15) | 0.95 (0.82–1.09) | 1.03 (0.88–1.22) | 1.01 (0.96, 1.06) |
| Lignans | 1 | 1.07 (0.94–1.22) | 0.99 (0.87–1.14) | 0.96 (0.83–1.21) | 1.03 (0.98, 1.07) |
| Stilbenes | 1 | 0.99 (0.87–1.13) | 0.93 (0.82–1.06) | 0.94 (0.83–1.07) | 0.99 (0.95, 1.05) |
| Others | 1 | 1.11 (0.97–1.27) | 1.03 (0.90–1.17) | 1.07 (0.93–1.22) | 1.01 (0.97, 1.05) |
| FPG (≥100 mg/dl or diabetes treatment) | | | | | |
| Phenolic acids | 1 | 0.93 (0.76–1.15) | 1.04 (0.84–1.28) | 0.87 (0.69–1.09) | 0.97 (0.89, 1.05) |
| Flavonoids | 1 | 0.86 (0.69–1.06) | 0.73 (0.57–0.92) | 0.71 (0.54–0.94) | 0.94 (0.86, 1.03) |
| Lignans | 1 | 1.20 (0.96–1.50) | 1.27 (1.01–1.61) | 1.17 (0.90–1.52) | 1.04 (0.99, 1.09) |
| Stilbenes | 1 | 1.10 (0.89–1.37) | 1.08 (0.87–1.34) | 1.07 (0.85–1.33) | 0.98 (0.89, 1.09) |
| Others | 1 | 1.12 (0.89–1.40) | 1.03 (0.82–1.29) | 1.10 (0.88–1.38) | 1.02 (0.95, 1.09) |

DBP diastolic blood pressure, *FPG* fasting plasma glucose, *HDL-c* high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, *SBP* systolic blood pressure, *SD* standard deviation, *TG* triglycerides, *WC* waist circumference

^a Adjusted for age, gender, education, occupation, physical activity, smoking status, alcohol drinking, body mass index, total energy intake, and phenolic acids, flavonoids, lignans, stilbenes, and other polyphenol quartiles of intake

and MetS in a cohort of Iranian adults, finding no significant results, while higher intake of flavonoids, lignans, and stilbenes was inversely associated in various ways with the outcomes investigated [30]. These results are partially in line with those found in the present study although we

also found that increased intake of phenolic acids demonstrated inverse association with blood pressure, glucose and lipid metabolism, as well as the strongest independent association with MetS. Phenolic acids have been reported to exert beneficial effects against metabolic disorders

Table 5 Anthropometric characteristics and biomarkers of metabolic syndrome of the study sample by quartiles of total polyphenol intake and multivariate linear regression model with 1-SD increase

| Polyphenol class | Main food contributors (% contribution to polyphenol class) | | |
|-----------------------|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| Total polyphenols | Coffee (40) | Tea (27) | Chocolate (8) |
| Flavonoids | Tea (48) | Chocolate (18) | Apples (8) |
| Anthocyanins | Black currant (21) | Beans (19) | Strawberries (16) |
| Dihydrochalcones | Apple (93) | Apple juice (7) | |
| Flavanols | Tea (60) | Chocolate (25) | Apples (7) |
| Flavanones | Orange juice (29) | Squash (24) | Oranges (23) |
| Flavones | Flour (51) | Orange juice (23) | Squash (10) |
| Flavonols | Tea (47) | Onion (13) | Spinach (13) |
| Isoflavonoids | Soy meat (85) | Beans (12) | Soy milk (3) |
| Phenolic acids | Coffee (66) | Tea (12) | Vegetable oils (7) |
| Hydroxybenzoic acids | Tea (89) | Apples (3) | Raspberries (2) |
| Hydroxycinnamic acids | Coffee (75) | Vegetable oil (8) | Apples (5) |
| Lignans | Seeds (51) | Tea (27) | Dark bread (8) |
| Stilbenes | Red wine (56) | Strawberries (14) | White wine (12) |
| Others | Beer (33) | Cereals (7) | Coffee (3) |

through their antioxidant properties [44] and direct effects toward endothelial function and NO bioavailability in the arterial vasculature [45], reduced fasting plasma glucose, increased sensitivity to insulin, and slowed the appearance of glucose in circulation after glucose load [46]. Together with phenolic acids, flavonoids showed a significant and independent association with the glycaemic impairment, in line with previous cohort studies [24]. More recent studies conducted in the context of the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) cohort demonstrated similar relations between flavonoids and risk of type 2 diabetes [47, 48]. Also results from the US cohorts Nurses' Health Study and the Health Professionals' Follow-up Study demonstrated a decreased risk of diabetes for higher intakes of flavonoids [49]. Interestingly, in agreement with the aforementioned studies, when analyses were performed on subclasses of flavonoids, the main effect on impaired glucose tolerance was driven by flavanols. Flavonoids have been suggested to influence glucose-regulating enzyme activities, uptake of glucose in the skeletal muscle, and ameliorate inflammatory status that may be cause of insulin resistance [50, 51]. Lignans and stilbenes were found to be inversely associated with WC. The two classes of polyphenols share some antioxidant effects and other properties, such as the capacity of down-regulate proinflammatory cytokines, increase reverse cholesterol transport, increase insulin sensitivity, and increase energy expenditure [52, 53]. Overall, different classes of polyphenols exert their main effects by interacting at genetic level (i.e., gene activation/deactivation), but intracellular pathways involved in their mechanisms of action are only partially described, and the molecular targets of these compounds are not completely elucidated.

The large differences in the absorption of subgroups of polyphenols may explain their different bioactivity and contrasting results among studies. For example, the absorption of flavanols, usually highly consumed in non-Mediterranean populations, is approximately 100-fold higher than proanthocyanidins [54]. Moreover, country-specific food preferences have been suggested to enhance consumption of specific polyphenol classes among different geographical regions [55, 56]. We found that main food contributors to polyphenol intakes were mostly non-alcoholic beverages, such as tea (responsible for certain flavonoids intake) and coffee (responsible for certain phenolic acids intake), which accounted for almost 70 % of the total polyphenol intake. Further adjustments for these foods partially weakened the associations between total polyphenol intake and MetS, high BP and TG, suggesting that the beneficial effects of coffee and tea toward metabolic disorders may be related to their polyphenol content. However, total polyphenol intake was significantly associated with some of the aforementioned outcomes, also including high WC and impaired FPG, demonstrating an independent role of polyphenol intake regardless of their dietary sources. Our results are in line with recently published estimation of flavonoids intake in the EPIC cohort, where polyphenols deriving by consumption of fruit and vegetables are underrepresented in non-Mediterranean compared with Mediterranean countries in favor of non-alcoholic beverages [55]. In contrast, the amount of certain phenolic acids and flavonoids has been reported to be significantly higher in non-Mediterranean compared with Mediterranean countries, accordingly to higher intakes of coffee and tea, respectively, as main food sources [13, 57]. Moreover, dietary intake of polyphenols of individuals living in

the Mediterranean area has been reported to depend on the consumption of certain foods, such as olive oil and nuts, which are rich of specific polyphenols poorly represented in non-Mediterranean dietary patterns [58, 59]. These differences observed in polyphenol bioavailability and food sources could partially explain the differences in chronic disease risk among countries.

Findings of this study should be considered in light of some limitations. First, the cross-sectional nature of the study does not allow attributing conclusions to plausible causes. Moreover, the low response rate (about 60 %) may suggest that information could be representative of subjects with better lifestyle habits and health behaviors. Thus, the results are only indicative, and the association between polyphenols intake and likelihood of having MetS needs to be better investigated throughout prospective studies. Second, the use of a FFQ may lead to recall bias and overestimation of food intake. As well, certain food products rich in polyphenols were lacking (i.e., spices) or grouped (i.e., wine) leading to possible bias in the assessment of the total polyphenol intake. Finally, the intake of polyphenols may correlate with the intake of fruits and vegetables and their constituents, i.e., vitamins, folate, and fiber, which may contribute to the association with chronic diseases. When the correlation is too high, it is difficult to ascertain independent effects of dietary components due to the effect of multicollinearity. Future large-scale dietary component-based epidemiological studies should also take into account other dietary factors (both nutrient and non-nutrient) in order to adjust results and circumvent multicollinearity problems by identifying the effects on metabolic disorders of individual antioxidant compounds.

In conclusion, this study demonstrated that total and individual classes of dietary polyphenol intake are associated with MetS and its components. Although the precise molecular mechanisms of action for food polyphenols are largely unknown, some functional foods may be considered in the early future for safe and effective preventative strategies for metabolic diseases and their cardiovascular and oncologic complications. Nevertheless, studies on specific classes or individual polyphenols may better clarify the potential relation as well as pathways of these compounds' mechanisms of protection.

Acknowledgments The study was funded by the Wellcome Trust (Grants 064947/Z/01/Z and 081081/Z/06/Z), US National Institute on Ageing (Grant 1R01 AG23522-01), and the MacArthur Foundation Initiative on Social Upheaval and Health (award 71208).

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest Authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

1. Grundy SM, Cleeman JI, Daniels SR, Donato KA, Eckel RH, Franklin BA, Gordon DJ, Krauss RM, Savage PJ, Smith SC Jr, Spertus JA, Costa F, American Heart A, National Heart L, Blood I (2005) Diagnosis and management of the metabolic syndrome: an American Heart Association/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Scientific Statement. *Circulation* 112:2735–2752. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.105.169404
2. Hu G, Qiao Q, Tuomilehto J, Balkau B, Borch-Johnsen K, Pyorala K (2004) Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome and its relation to all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in nondiabetic European men and women. *Arch Intern Med* 164:1066–1076. doi:10.1001/archinte.164.10.1066
3. Esposito K, Chiodini P, Colao A, Lenzi A, Giugliano D (2012) Metabolic syndrome and risk of cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetes Care* 35:2402–2411. doi:10.2337/dc12-0336
4. Buscemi S, Sprini D, Grosso G, Galvano F, Nicolucci A, Lucisano G, Massenti FM, Amodio E, Rini GB (2014) Impact of lifestyle on metabolic syndrome in apparently healthy people. *Eat Weight Disord* 19:225–232. doi:10.1007/s40519-014-0117-4
5. Marseglia L, Manti S, D'Angelo G, Nicotera A, Parisi E, Di Rosa G, Gitto E, Arrigo T (2014) Oxidative stress in obesity: a critical component in human diseases. *Int J Mol Sci* 16:378–400. doi:10.3390/ijms16010378
6. Grosso G, Mistretta A, Frigiola A, Gruttadauria S, Biondi A, Basile F, Vitaglione P, D'Orazio N, Galvano F (2014) Mediterranean diet and cardiovascular risk factors: a systematic review. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 54:593–610. doi:10.1080/10408398.2014.596955
7. Grosso G, Mistretta A, Marventano S, Purrello A, Vitaglione P, Calabrese G, Drago F, Galvano F (2014) Beneficial effects of the mediterranean diet on metabolic syndrome. *Curr Pharm Des* 20:5039–5044
8. Kolomvotsou AI, Rallidis LS, Mountzouris KC, Lekakis J, Koutelidakis A, Efstathiou S, Nana-Anastasiou M, Zampelas A (2013) Adherence to Mediterranean diet and close dietetic supervision increase total dietary antioxidant intake and plasma antioxidant capacity in subjects with abdominal obesity. *Eur J Nutr* 52:37–48. doi:10.1007/s00394-011-0283-3
9. Soriano-Maldonado A, Hidalgo M, Artega P, de Pascual-Teresa S, Nova E (2014) Effects of regular consumption of vitamin C-rich or polyphenol-rich apple juice on cardiometabolic markers in healthy adults: a randomized crossover trial. *Eur J Nutr* 53:1645–1657. doi:10.1007/s00394-014-0670-7
10. Koutelidakis AE, Rallidis L, Koniari K, Panagiotakos D, Komaitis M, Zampelas A, Anastasiou-Nana M, Kapsokefalou M (2014) Effect of green tea on postprandial antioxidant capacity, serum lipids, C-reactive protein and glucose levels in patients with coronary artery disease. *Eur J Nutr* 53:479–486. doi:10.1007/s00394-013-0548-0
11. Landete JM (2012) Updated knowledge about polyphenols: functions, bioavailability, metabolism, and health. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 52:936–948. doi:10.1080/10408398.2010.513779

12. Takami H, Nakamoto M, Uemura H, Katsuura S, Yamaguchi M, Hiyoshi M, Sawachika F, Jutta T, Arisawa K (2013) Inverse correlation between coffee consumption and prevalence of metabolic syndrome: baseline survey of the Japan Multi-Institutional Collaborative Cohort (J-MICC) Study in Tokushima, Japan. *J Epidemiol* 23:12–20
13. Grosso G, Stepaniak U, Micek A, Topor-Madry R, Pikhart H, Szafraniec K, Pajak A (2015) Association of daily coffee and tea consumption and metabolic syndrome: results from the Polish arm of the HAPIEE study. *Eur J Nutr* 54:1129–1137. doi:10.1007/s00394-014-0789-6
14. Hino A, Adachi H, Enomoto M, Furuki K, Shigetoh Y, Ohtsuka M, Kumagae S, Hirai Y, Jalalain A, Satoh A, Imaizumi T (2007) Habitual coffee but not green tea consumption is inversely associated with metabolic syndrome: an epidemiological study in a general Japanese population. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 76:383–389. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2006.09.033
15. Vernarelli JA, Lambert JD (2013) Tea consumption is inversely associated with weight status and other markers for metabolic syndrome in US adults. *Eur J Nutr* 52:1039–1048. doi:10.1007/s00394-012-0410-9
16. Grosso G, Marventano S, Galvano F, Pajak A, Mistretta A (2014) Factors associated with metabolic syndrome in a mediterranean population: role of caffeinated beverages. *J Epidemiol* 24:327–333. doi:10.2188/jea.JE20130166
17. Munir KM, Chandrasekaran S, Gao F, Quon MJ (2013) Mechanisms for food polyphenols to ameliorate insulin resistance and endothelial dysfunction: therapeutic implications for diabetes and its cardiovascular complications. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 305:E679–E686. doi:10.1152/ajpendo.00377.2013
18. Meydani M, Hasan ST (2010) Dietary polyphenols and obesity. *Nutrients* 2:737–751. doi:10.3390/nu2070737
19. Rodrigo R, Gil D, Miranda-Merchak A, Kalantzi G (2012) Antihypertensive role of polyphenols. *Adv Clin Chem* 58:225–254
20. Xu Q, Si LY (2012) Resveratrol role in cardiovascular and metabolic health and potential mechanisms of action. *Nutr Res* 32:648–658. doi:10.1016/j.nutres.2012.07.002
21. Barth SW, Koch TC, Watzl B, Dietrich H, Will F, Bub A (2012) Moderate effects of apple juice consumption on obesity-related markers in obese men: impact of diet–gene interaction on body fat content. *Eur J Nutr* 51:841–850. doi:10.1007/s00394-011-0264-6
22. Soyalan B, Minn J, Schmitz HJ, Schrenk D, Will F, Dietrich H, Baum M, Eisenbrand G, Janzowski C (2011) Apple juice intervention modulates expression of ARE-dependent genes in rat colon and liver. *Eur J Nutr* 50:135–143. doi:10.1007/s00394-010-0124-9
23. Giovannelli L, Pitozzi V, Luceri C, Giannini L, Toti S, Salvini S, Sera F, Souquet JM, Cheynier V, Sofi F, Mannini L, Gori AM, Abbate R, Palli D, Dolara P (2011) Effects of de-alcoholised wines with different polyphenol content on DNA oxidative damage, gene expression of peripheral lymphocytes, and haemorrhology: an intervention study in post-menopausal women. *Eur J Nutr* 50:19–29. doi:10.1007/s00394-010-0111-1
24. Liu YJ, Zhan J, Liu XL, Wang Y, Ji J, He QQ (2014) Dietary flavonoids intake and risk of type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Clin Nutr* 33:59–63. doi:10.1016/j.clnu.2013.03.011
25. Cassidy A, O'Reilly EJ, Kay C, Sampson L, Franz M, Forman JP, Curhan G, Rimm EB (2011) Habitual intake of flavonoid subclasses and incident hypertension in adults. *Am J Clin Nutr* 93:338–347. doi:10.3945/ajcn.110.006783
26. Knekt P, Kumpulainen J, Jarvinen R, Rissanen H, Heliövaara M, Reunanen A, Hakulinen T, Aromaa A (2002) Flavonoid intake and risk of chronic diseases. *Am J Clin Nutr* 76:560–568
27. Goodman-Gruen D, Kritz-Silverstein D (2001) Usual dietary isoflavone intake is associated with cardiovascular disease risk factors in postmenopausal women. *J Nutr* 131:1202–1206
28. van der Schouw YT, Sampson L, Willett WC, Rimm EB (2005) The usual intake of lignans but not that of isoflavones may be related to cardiovascular risk factors in U.S. men. *J Nutr* 135:260–266
29. de Kleijn MJ, van der Schouw YT, Wilson PW, Grobbee DE, Jacques PF (2002) Dietary intake of phytoestrogens is associated with a favorable metabolic cardiovascular risk profile in postmenopausal U.S. women: the Framingham study. *J Nutr* 132:276–282
30. Sohrab G, Hosseinpour-Niazi S, Hejazi J, Yuzbashian E, Mirmiran P, Azizi F (2013) Dietary polyphenols and metabolic syndrome among Iranian adults. *Int J Food Sci Nutr* 64:661–667. doi:10.3109/09637486.2013.787397
31. Peasey A, Bobak M, Kubinova R, Malyutina S, Pajak A, Tamosiunas A, Pikhart H, Nicholson A, Marmot M (2006) Determinants of cardiovascular disease and other non-communicable diseases in Central and Eastern Europe: rationale and design of the HAPIEE study. *BMC Public Health* 6:255. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-6-255
32. Willett WC, Sampson L, Stampfer MJ, Rosner B, Bain C, Witschi J, Hennekens CH, Speizer FE (1985) Reproducibility and validity of a semiquantitative food frequency questionnaire. *Am J Epidemiol* 122:51–65
33. Brunner E, Stallone D, Juneja M, Bingham S, Marmot M (2001) Dietary assessment in Whitehall II: comparison of 7 d diet diary and food-frequency questionnaire and validity against biomarkers. *Br J Nutr* 86:405–414
34. Phenol-Explorer: an online comprehensive database on polyphenol contents in foods (Internet Database). <http://www.phenol-explorer.eu>. Accessed last August 2015
35. Grosso G, Stepaniak U, Topor-Madry R, Szafraniec K, Pajak A (2014) Estimated dietary intake and major food sources of polyphenols in the Polish arm of the HAPIEE study. *Nutrition* 30:1398–1403. doi:10.1016/j.nut.2014.04.012
36. Alberti KG, Zimmet P, Shaw J (2006) Metabolic syndrome—a new world-wide definition. A Consensus Statement from the International Diabetes Federation. *Diabet Med* 23:469–480. doi:10.1111/j.1464-5491.2006.01858.x
37. Akilen R, Tsiami A, Devendra D, Robinson N (2012) Cinnamon in glycaemic control: systematic review and meta analysis. *Clin Nutr* 31:609–615. doi:10.1016/j.clnu.2012.04.003
38. Zheng XX, Xu YL, Li SH, Hui R, Wu YJ, Huang XH (2013) Effects of green tea catechins with or without caffeine on glycemic control in adults: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Am J Clin Nutr* 97:750–762. doi:10.3945/ajcn.111.032573
39. Zhang YB, Chen WH, Guo JJ, Fu ZH, Yi C, Zhang M, Na XL (2013) Soy isoflavone supplementation could reduce body weight and improve glucose metabolism in non-Asian postmenopausal women—a meta-analysis. *Nutrition* 29:8–14. doi:10.1016/j.nut.2012.03.019
40. Liu XX, Li SH, Chen JZ, Sun K, Wang XJ, Wang XG, Hui RT (2012) Effect of soy isoflavones on blood pressure: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 22:463–470. doi:10.1016/j.numecd.2010.09.006
41. Onakpoya IJ, Spencer EA, Thompson MJ, Heneghan CJ (2015) The effect of chlorogenic acid on blood pressure: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *J Hum Hypertens* 29:77–81. doi:10.1038/jhh.2014.46
42. Kim A, Chiu A, Barone MK, Avino D, Wang F, Coleman CI, Phung OJ (2011) Green tea catechins decrease total and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Diet Assoc* 111:1720–1729. doi:10.1016/j.jada.2011.08.009
43. Medina-Remon A, Tresserra-Rimbau A, Pons A, Tur JA, Martorell M, Ros E, Buil-Cosiales P, Sacanella E, Covas MI, Corella

- D, Salas-Salvado J, Gomez-Gracia E, Ruiz-Gutierrez V, Ortega-Calvo M, Garcia-Valduez M, Aros F, Saez GT, Serra-Majem L, Pinto X, Vinyoles E, Estruch R, Lamuela-Raventos RM, Investigators PS (2015) Effects of total dietary polyphenols on plasma nitric oxide and blood pressure in a high cardiovascular risk cohort. The PREDIMED randomized trial. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 25:60–67. doi:[10.1016/j.numecd.2014.09.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.numecd.2014.09.001)
44. Godos J, Pluchinotta FR, Marventano S, Buscemi S, Li Volti G, Galvano F, Grosso G (2014) Coffee components and cardiovascular risk: beneficial and detrimental effects. *Int J Food Sci Nutr* 65:925–936. doi:[10.3109/09637486.2014.940287](https://doi.org/10.3109/09637486.2014.940287)
45. Suzuki A, Yamamoto M, Jokura H, Fujii A, Tokimitsu I, Hase T, Saito I (2007) Ferulic acid restores endothelium-dependent vasodilation in aortas of spontaneously hypertensive rats. *Am J Hypertens* 20:508–513. doi:[10.1016/j.amjhyper.2006.11.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjhyper.2006.11.008)
46. Meng S, Cao J, Feng Q, Peng J, Hu Y (2013) Roles of chlorogenic acid on regulating glucose and lipids metabolism: a review. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med* 2013:801457. doi:[10.1155/2013/801457](https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/801457)
47. Zamora-Ros R, Forouhi NG, Sharp SJ, Gonzalez CA, Buijsse B, Guevara M, van der Schouw YT, Amiano P, Boeing H, Bredsdorff L, Clavel-Chapelon F, Fagherazzi G, Feskens EJ, Franks PW, Grioni S, Katzke V, Key TJ, Khaw KT, Kuhn T, Masala G, Mattiello A, Molina-Montes E, Nilsson PM, Overvad K, Perquier F, Quiros JR, Romieu I, Sacerdote C, Scalbert A, Schulze M, Slimani N, Spijkerman AM, Tjonneland A, Tormo MJ, Tumino R, van der AD, Langenberg C, Riboli E, Wareham NJ (2013) The association between dietary flavonoid and lignan intakes and incident type 2 diabetes in European populations: the EPIC-InterAct study. *Diabetes Care* 36:3961–3970. doi:[10.2337/dc13-0877](https://doi.org/10.2337/dc13-0877)
48. Zamora-Ros R, Forouhi NG, Sharp SJ, Gonzalez CA, Buijsse B, Guevara M, van der Schouw YT, Amiano P, Boeing H, Bredsdorff L, Fagherazzi G, Feskens EJ, Franks PW, Grioni S, Katzke V, Key TJ, Khaw KT, Kuhn T, Masala G, Mattiello A, Molina-Montes E, Nilsson PM, Overvad K, Perquier F, Redondo ML, Ricceri F, Rolandsson O, Romieu I, Roswall N, Scalbert A, Schulze M, Slimani N, Spijkerman AM, Tjonneland A, Tormo MJ, Touillaud M, Tumino R, van der AD, van Woudenberg GJ, Langenberg C, Riboli E, Wareham NJ (2014) Dietary intakes of individual flavanols and flavonols are inversely associated with incident type 2 diabetes in European populations. *J Nutr* 144:335–343. doi:[10.3945/jn.113.184945](https://doi.org/10.3945/jn.113.184945)
49. Wedick NM, Pan A, Cassidy A, Rimm EB, Sampson L, Rosner B, Willett W, Hu FB, Sun Q, van Dam RM (2012) Dietary flavonoid intakes and risk of type 2 diabetes in US men and women. *Am J Clin Nutr* 95:925–933. doi:[10.3945/ajcn.111.028894](https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.111.028894)
50. Grosso G, Galvano F, Mistretta A, Marventano S, Nolfo F, Calabrese G, Buscemi S, Drago F, Veronesi U, Scuderi A (2013) Red orange: experimental models and epidemiological evidence of its benefits on human health. *Oxid Med Cell Longev* 2013:157240. doi:[10.1155/2013/157240](https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/157240)
51. Alam MA, Subhan N, Rahman MM, Uddin SJ, Reza HM, Sarker SD (2014) Effect of citrus flavonoids, naringin and naringenin, on metabolic syndrome and their mechanisms of action. *Adv Nutr* 5:404–417. doi:[10.3945/an.113.005603](https://doi.org/10.3945/an.113.005603)
52. Jungbauer A, Medjakovic S (2014) Phytoestrogens and the metabolic syndrome. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* 139:277–289. doi:[10.1016/j.jsbmb.2012.12.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsbmb.2012.12.009)
53. Aguirre L, Fernandez-Quintela A, Arias N, Portillo MP (2014) Resveratrol: anti-obesity mechanisms of action. *Molecules* 19:18632–18655. doi:[10.3390/molecules191118632](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules191118632)
54. Manach C, Donovan JL (2004) Pharmacokinetics and metabolism of dietary flavonoids in humans. *Free Radic Res* 38:771–785
55. Zamora-Ros R, Knaze V, Lujan-Barroso L, Romieu I, Scalbert A, Slimani N, Hjartaker A, Engeset D, Skeie G, Overvad K, Bredsdorff L, Tjonneland A, Halkjaer J, Key TJ, Khaw KT, Mulligan AA, Winkvist A, Johansson I, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Peeters PH, Wallstrom P, Ericson U, Pala V, de Magistris MS, Polidoro S, Tumino R, Trichopoulou A, Dilis V, Katsoulis M, Huerta JM, Martinez V, Sanchez MJ, Ardanaz E, Amiano P, Teucher B, Grote V, Bendinelli B, Boeing H, Forster J, Touillaud M, Perquier F, Fagherazzi G, Gallo V, Riboli E, Gonzalez CA (2013) Differences in dietary intakes, food sources and determinants of total flavonoids between Mediterranean and non-Mediterranean countries participating in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) study. *Br J Nutr* 109:1498–1507. doi:[10.1017/S0007114512003273](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114512003273)
56. Zamora-Ros R, Knaze V, Lujan-Barroso L, Kuhnle GG, Mulligan AA, Touillaud M, Slimani N, Romieu I, Powell N, Tumino R, Peeters PH, de Magistris MS, Ricceri F, Sonestedt E, Drake I, Hjartaker A, Skie G, Mouw T, Wark PA, Romaguera D, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Ros M, Molina E, Sieri S, Quiros JR, Huerta JM, Tjonneland A, Halkjaer J, Masala G, Teucher B, Kaas R, Travis RC, Dilis V, Benetou V, Trichopoulou A, Amiano P, Ardanaz E, Boeing H, Forster J, Clavel-Chapelon F, Fagherazzi G, Perquier F, Johansson G, Johansson I, Cassidy A, Overvad K, Gonzalez CA (2012) Dietary intakes and food sources of phytoestrogens in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) 24-hour dietary recall cohort. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 66:932–941. doi:[10.1038/ejcn.2012.36](https://doi.org/10.1038/ejcn.2012.36)
57. Grosso G, Stepaniak U, Polak M, Micek A, Topor-Madry R, Stefler D, Szafraniec K, Pajak A (2016) Coffee consumption and risk of hypertension in the Polish arm of the HAPIEE cohort study. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 70:109–115. doi:[10.1038/ejcn.2015.119](https://doi.org/10.1038/ejcn.2015.119)
58. Tresserra-Rimbau A, Medina-Remon A, Perez-Jimenez J, Martinez-Gonzalez MA, Covas MI, Corella D, Salas-Salvado J, Gomez-Gracia E, Lapetra J, Aros F, Fiol M, Ros E, Serra-Majem L, Pinto X, Munoz MA, Saez GT, Ruiz-Gutierrez V, Warnberg J, Estruch R, Lamuela-Raventos RM (2013) Dietary intake and major food sources of polyphenols in a Spanish population at high cardiovascular risk: the PREDIMED study. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 23:953–959. doi:[10.1016/j.numecd.2012.10.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.numecd.2012.10.008)
59. Grosso G, Stepaniak U, Micek A, Topor-Madry R, Stefler D, Szafraniec K, Bobak M, Pajak A (2015) A Mediterranean-type diet is associated with better metabolic profile in urban Polish adults: results from the HAPIEE study. *Metabolism* 64:738–746. doi:[10.1016/j.metabol.2015.02.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.metabol.2015.02.007)