Dietary Protein Restriction of Pregnant Rats Induces and Folic Acid Supplementation Prevents Epigenetic Modification of Hepatic Gene Expression in the Offspring¹

Karen A. Lillycrop,* Emma S. Phillips,* Alan A. Jackson,[†] Mark A. Hanson,** and Graham C. Burdge^{†2}

*Development and Cell Biology, [†]Institute of Human Nutrition, and **Developmental Origins of Health and Adult Disease Division, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK

ABSTRACT Environmental constraints during early life result in phenotypic changes that can be associated with increased disease risk in later life. This suggests persistent alteration of gene transcription. DNA methylation, which is largely established in utero, provides a causal mechanism by which unbalanced prenatal nutrition results in such altered gene expression. We investigated the effect of unbalanced maternal nutrition on the methylation status and expression of the glucocorticoid receptor (GR) and peroxisomal proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) genes in rat offspring after weaning. Dams were fed a control protein (C; 180 g/kg protein plus 1 mg/kg folic acid), restricted protein (R; 90 g/kg casein plus 1 mg/kg folic acid), or restricted protein plus 5 mg/kg folic acid (RF) diet throughout pregnancy. Pups were killed 6 d after weaning (n = 10 per group). Gene methylation was determined by methylation-sensitive PCR and mRNA expression by semiquantitative RT-PCR. PPAR α gene methylation was 20.6% lower (P < 0.001) and expression 10.5-fold higher in R compared with C pups. GR gene methylation was 22.8% lower (P < 0.05) and expression 200% higher (P < 0.01) in R pups than in C pups. The RF diet prevented these changes. PPAR γ methylation status and expression did not differ among the groups. Acyl-CoA oxidase expression followed that of PPAR α . These results show that unbalanced prenatal nutrition induces persistent, gene-specific epigenetic changes that alter mRNA expression. Epigenetic regulation of gene transcription provides a strong candidate mechanism for fetal programming. J. Nutr. 135: 1382–1386, 2005.

KEY WORDS: • fetal programming • epigenetic regulation • transcription factor

In humans, poor growth during early life has been associated with increased risk of chronic noncommunicable diseases in later life, in particular diseases characteristic of the metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular disease (1). Environmental constraints, e.g., unbalanced nutrition before birth and in infancy, result in metabolic and structural adaptations that lead to persistent modifications to the phenotype of the offspring, i.e., fetal programming (2). The offspring of laboratory animals in which maternal nutrition was restricted during early life exhibit many of the correlates of disease processes associated with reduced growth in early life in humans (3). It was suggested recently that such modification of the phenotype of the offspring may represent adaptive responses that predict the environment in later life and so confer a deferred survival advantage (4). Incorrect prediction results in an inappropriate phenotype and consequently a greater risk of disease.

Although the adverse effects of environmental insults in early life have been documented extensively in epidemiologic studies (1), in whole animals [reviewed in (3)], specific cell

types (5), and early embryos (6) the underlying molecular by types (5), and early embryos (6) the underlying molecular by the phenotype of the offspring imply stable changes in gene expression. Candidate genes for such effects arise from studies showing altered gene expression in the offspring of laboratory animals fed restricted diets. For example, maternal protein restriction during pregnancy in rats increased glucocorticoid receptor (GR)³ expression and reduced expression of 11 β -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type II (11 β -HSD) in liver, lung, kidney, and brain in the offspring (7) and altered peroxisomal proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) (8) and acetyl-CoA carboxylase and fatty acid synthase expression are associated with impaired lipid homeostasis. These genes are of particular interest because perturbations in their expression are associated with disturbances in cardiovascular and metabolic control in animals and humans. GR and PPARs play important roles in embryogenesis (10,11). In adults, GR activity is important for regulation of blood pressure (12), whereas PPAR activities are central to lipid and carbohydrate homeostasis

Manuscript received 6 December 2004. Initial review completed 24 January 2005. Revision accepted 2 March 2005.

¹ M.A.H. is supported by the British Heart Foundation.

² To whom correspondence should be addressed.

E-mail: g.c.burdge@soton.ac.uk.

 $^{^3}$ Abbreviations used: AOX, Acyl CoA-oxidase; C, maternal control diet; Ct, cycle threshold; GR, glucocorticoid receptor; 11 β -HSD, 11 β -hydroxysteroid de-hydrogenase type II; PPAR, peroxisomal proliferator-activated receptor; R, maternal protein-restricted diet; RF, maternal protein-restricted diet supplemented with folic acid.

^{0022-3166/05 \$8.00 © 2005} American Society for Nutritional Sciences.

(13,14). Increased glucocorticoid exposure during early life has been implicated in the induction of hypertension (3,7,15), and altered PPAR activity is associated with induction of dyslipidemia (8).

One potential mechanism that could bring about long-term changes in gene expression is altered DNA methylation. Methylation at the 5' position of cytosine in CpG dinucleotides is a common modification in mammalian genomes and is associated with stable variations in gene expression. Methylation of CpG rich clusters, termed CpG islands, which often span the promoter regions of genes, is associated with transcriptional repression, whereas hypomethylation of CpGs is associated with transcriptional activity (16). Such epigenetic changes to gene expression are critical for normal cell differentiation and embryogenesis (17,18). Because DNA methylation patterns are largely established in utero, the embryonic and fetal environment may alter DNA methylation, inducing stable changes in gene expression that may be sustained throughout the life span of an individual (19). It was shown recently that maternal grooming behavior results in changes in the methylation status and expression of the GR in the hippocampus of rat offspring, producing permanent changes in their stress response (20). Moreover, reducing uterine blood flow in rats alters the methylation status and expression of p53 in the kidney of the offspring (21).

Much of the current interest in the developmental origins of disease in humans concerns the effects of a nutritional mismatch between prenatal and later life [reviewed in (4)]. However, despite strong evidence for candidacy, the effect of maternal undernutrition on the methylation and expression of specific genes in the offspring has not been reported. In the present study, we employed a well-established model (22) to measure the effect of maternal protein restriction during pregnancy on the methylation status and expression of GR, PPAR α , and PPAR γ in the liver of the offspring after weaning. Moreover, because the rate-limiting enzyme in the peroxisomal β -oxidation pathway acyl-CoA oxidase (AOX) is regulated directly by PPAR α (23), we also measured AOX expression in the liver of the offspring. Because the pathway responsible for supply of 1-carbon groups is dependent upon folate metabolism, we also investigated whether the effects of the protein-restricted diet on the methylation status or expression of GR, PPAR α and γ , and AOX in liver of the offspring were ameliorated by supplementing the maternal diet with folic acid.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Rats and diets. All animal procedures were conducted in accordance with the UK Home Office Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act (1986). The low-protein diet used was described previously (22). Briefly, virgin female Wistar rats were mated and fed 1 of 3 diets from conception until delivery (each group contained 5 females): control (C; 180 g/kg protein plus 1 mg/kg folic acid); restricted (R; 90 g/kg casein plus 1 mg/kg folic acid) or restricted supplemented with additional folic acid (RF; 90 g/kg casein plus 5 mg/kg folic acid). **Table 1** summarizes the nutrient composition of these diets. After spontaneous delivery at 21 d, litters were reduced to 8 pups and dams that consumed the AIN-76A diet (Special Diets Services) throughout lactation. The pups were weaned onto this diet 28 d after birth and killed by asphysiation with CO₂ at 34 d. Livers were removed immediately, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80° C. From each litter, 2 livers were selected for analysis of gene expression (n = 10 livers/maternal dietary group, 5 males and 5 females).

= 10 livers/maternal dietary group, 5 males and 5 females). Methylation-sensitive PCR. The methylation status of genes was determined using methylation-sensitive PCR as described (21). Genomic DNA (5 μ g), isolated from the livers of rats, was treated with the methylation-sensitive restriction enzyme Acil as instructed

TABLE 1

Composition of diets fed to pregnant and lactating rats

	Pre	egnancy di						
	С	R	RF	Lactation diet AIN-76A				
		g/kg						
Casein Folic acid Cornstarch Sucrose Choline DL-Methionine Vitamins ¹ Minerals ² Cellulose Corn oil	180 1 425 213 2 5 5 20 50 100	90 1 482 243 2 5 5 20 50 100	90 5 482 243 2 5 5 20 50 100	200 2 150 500 2 3 5 20 50 50				
Total metabolizable energy, <i>MJ/kg</i>	20.2	19.9	19.9	15.5				

¹ Vitamin mix: Thiamine hydrochloride 2.4 mg/kg; riboflavin 2.4 mg/kg; pyridoxine hydrochloride 2.8 mg/kg; nicotinic acid 12.0 mg/kg; D-calcium pantothenate 6.4 mg/kg; biotin 0.01 mg/kg; cyanocobalbumin 0.003 mg/kg; retinyl palmitate 6.4 mg/kg; DL-α-tocopherol acetate 79.9 mg/kg; cholecalciferol 1.0 g/kg; menaquinone 0.02 mg/kg.

² Mineral mix: Calcium phosphate dibasic 11.3 g/kg; sodium chloride 1.7 g/kg; potassium citrate monohydrate 5.0 g/kg; potassium sulphate 1.2 g/kg; magnesium sulphate 0.5 g/kg; magnesium carbonate 0.1 g/kg; ferric citrate 0.1 g/kg; zinc carbonate 36.2 mg/kg; cupric carbonate 6.8 mg/kg; potassium iodate 0.2 mg/kg; sodium selenite 0.2 mg/kg; chromium potassium sulphate 12.5 mg/kg.

by the manufacturer (New England Biolabs). The resulting DNA was then amplified using real-time PCR, which was performed in a total volume of 25 µL with SYBR® Green Jumpstart ready mix as described by the manufacturer (Sigma). Cycle parameters were $55^{\circ}C \times 5$ min, $95^{\circ}C \times 10$ min, and then 40 cycles of $95^{\circ}C \times 30$ s $\rightarrow 60^{\circ}C \times 60$ s $\rightarrow72^{\circ}\mathrm{C}\times60$ s. Single bands of the appropriate size were verified by gel electrophoresis. For each gene, PCR primers were designed to amplify the 5'CpG island that spans the promoter region. For GR, the PCR primers used amplified the 307-bp fragment, containing 15 Aci1 sites; this targeted segment of DNA spans the exon 110 promoter, which is the predominant promoter used in liver (24). For PPAR γ , the γ 1 promoter region, which is the major promoter used in liver (25), was amplified. A 322-bp segment of the CpG island containing 15 ACi1 sites was amplified. For PPAR α , a 369-bp segment of the CpG island that spans the promoter region of this gene immediately 5' to exon 1, was amplified; this region comprises 17 Aci1 sites. Primer sequences are listed in Table 2. CpG methylation at these sites prevents Aci1 digestion and allows the amplification of the promoter fragment, resulting in a low cycle threshold value (Ct 9 value). In contrast, if the CpG island is not methylated, then Aci1 will cleave the DNA and prevent amplification of the fragment, resulting in a high Ct value. Therefore, this technique is sensitive for ص methylation only within the Acil consensus sequence (CCGG). As an internal control, the promoter region from the rat hexokinase I N gene, which contains no CpGs and no Acil recognition sites, was an internal control, the promoter region from the rat hexokinase I amplified. All C_T values were normalized to the internal control. Each sample was analyzed in triplicate.

Measurement of mRNA expression by RT-PCR. The levels of PPAR α , PPAR γ , GR, and AOX mRNA were determined by RT-PCR amplification and quantified by densitometry (8). Briefly, total RNA was isolated from cells using TRIZOL reagent (InVitrogen), and 0.1 μ g served as a template to prepare cDNA using 100 U Moloney-Murine Leukemia Virus reverse transcriptase. cDNA was amplified using primers specific to PPAR α and γ , GR, and AOX. The PCR conditions in which the input cDNA was linearly proportional to the PCR product were initially established for each primer pair. One tenth of the cDNA sample was amplified for 25 cycles for the housekeeping gene cyclophilin and for 30 cycles for PPAR α and γ ,

TABLE 2

Primer sequences used in methylation-sensitive PCR and semiquantitative RT-PCR analysis of genes in the liver of the offspring 34 d after birth

	Methylation-sensitive PCR			
Gene	Forward primer (5' \rightarrow 3')	Reverse primer (5' \rightarrow 3')		
GR	CGTCTTGTTCCACCCACT	CCTTGCAGTTGCCGACAG		
$PPAR_{\alpha}$	TGTGTCTCGTTCTGAACCG	TCCACCCACCTCACTGTC		
$PPAR\gamma$	CGACTGTGAGGAGCAAGG	CCCAGGTCTCTTCTTCAG		
Hexokinase	GAACCTGGACAGGTGTAGGAGAATC	AGCACTAGTGTGTCCCACTGTCC		
	Analysis of mRNA expression by semic	quantitative RT-PCR		
GR	GGAGAATTATGACCACACTCAAC	GCAGTAGGTAAGGAGATTCTCAA		
$PPAR_{\alpha}$	CTGGTCAAGCTCAGGACACA	AAACGGATTGCATTGTGTGA		
PPARγ	TGCAGATTACAAGTATGAC	TCGATATCACTGGAGATC		
AOX	CCAATCACGCAATAGTTCTGG	CGCTGTATCGTATGGCGAT		
Cyclophilin	TTGGGTCGCGTCTGCTTCGA	GCCAGGACCTGTATGCTTCA		

GR, and AOX. mRNA expression was normalized using the housekeeping gene cyclophilin and quantified by densitometry using the Quantity 1 program (Bio-Rad Laboratories). All PCR products were verified by restriction mapping and by hybridization with PPAR α and γ , GR, AOX, or cyclophilin probes (data not shown).

Statistical analysis. Results are expressed as means \pm SD. Comparisons of mRNA expression or DNA methylation between dietary groups were by 1-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's post hoc test. Differences were considered significant at P < 0.05.

RESULTS

Effects of restricted maternal diet on PPAR and GR gene methylation. Consumption of the R diet during pregnancy resulted in 21% lower CpG methylation in the PPAR α promoter in the livers of the offspring compared with the C group (P < 0.001) (Table 3). In contrast, there was no difference among the offspring of the different maternal dietary groups in the methylation status of the promoter of PPAR γ_1 , the major PPAR γ isoform expressed in liver (Table 3). The methylation

status of the GR exon 1_{10} promoter was 23% lower in the offspring of the R group compared with the C group (P < 0.05) (Table 3).

PPAR α promoter methylation was 17% greater in the RF pups than in the offspring of the R group (P < 0.001) (Table 3). PPAR α methylation did not differ between the C and RF groups. In contrast, feeding the RF diet did not alter the methylation status of PPAR γ_1 compared with the R and C groups (Table 3). The methylation status of the GR was lower in the offspring of the R group compared with the RF group (26%, P < 0.01) (Table 3). The offspring of the C group and the RF group did not differ in GR promoter methylation.

Expression of PPAR α and γ , **GR**, and **AOX mRNA**. Examples of the results of RT-PCR analysis of mRNA expression are shown in **Figure 1**. Feeding the R diet during pregnancy resulted in greater PPAR α mRNA expression in the liver of the offspring compared with the C (945%, P < 0.0001)

TABLE 3

Effects of maternal dietary protein restriction and folic acid supplementation during pregnancy in rats on gene promoter methylation and mRNA expression in the offspring 34 d after birth¹

Gene	Maternal diet			Statistical comparisons ²							
	С	R	RF	ANOVA (P)	C vs. R (<i>P</i>)	C vs. RF (<i>P</i>)	R vs. RF (<i>P</i>)				
	DNA methylation % of control										
GR PPAR α PPAR γ	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 100.0 \pm & 5.8 \\ 100.0 \pm & 6.7 \\ 100.0 \pm & 10.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 77.7 \pm & 5.6 \\ 79.4 \pm & 4.8 \\ 98.3 \pm & 11.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 102.8 \pm & 6.5 \\ 96.5 \pm & 7.7 \\ 101.0 \pm & 6.3 \end{array}$	<0.005 <0.0005	<0.05 <0.001	_	<0.01 <0.01				
	mRNA concentrat	tion % of control									
GR PPAR α PPAR γ	$\begin{array}{c} 100.0 \pm 44.1 \\ 100.0 \pm 63.1 \\ 100.0 \pm 6.9 \\ 100.0 \pm 6.9 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 300.8 \pm 103.8 \\ 1045.0 \pm 161.2 \\ 96.9 \pm 7.9 \\ 217 + 222 \\ 2012 \\$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 155.4 \pm & 59.3 \\ 166.9 \pm 206.4 \\ 96.1 \pm & 18.8 \\ 100.0 \pm & 61.5 \end{array}$	<0.0001 <0.0001	<0.001 <0.001		<0.01 <0.001				

¹ Values are mean \pm SD, n = 10 offspring/maternal dietary group.

² Probabilities are reported when P < 0.05.



FIGURE 1 mRNA expression by semiquantitative RT-PCR of products from each of 3 livers from the offspring of rats fed control (C), protein-restricted (R), and protein-restricted with folic acid supplementation (RF) diets during pregnancy. Numbers 1 to 9 indicate individual livers. Cyclophilin was used as a housekeeping gene.

and RF groups (526%, P < 0.0001) (Table 3). In contrast, PPAR α expression did not differ between the offspring of C and RF dams. PPAR γ expression did not differ among the offspring of the 3 maternal dietary groups (Table 3). GR receptor expression was greater in the offspring of the R compared with offspring of the C (300%, P < 0.001) and RF groups (94%, P < 0.01), which did not differ from one another (Table 3).

Because PPAR α methylation and expression in the liver of the offspring was influenced by the protein content of the maternal diet, we also investigated whether the expression of AOX, which is regulated directly by PPAR α , was altered in this model. AOX expression was greater in the liver of the offspring of the R compared with offspring of the C (217%, *P* < 0.001) and RF dams (199%, *P* < 0.001) (Table 3). However, AOX expression did not differ between the C and RF offspring (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

This study shows for the first time that maternal dietary protein restriction during pregnancy leads specifically to a decrease in the methylation status of PPAR α and GR genes in the liver of the offspring after weaning. Because the hypomethylation of the GR and PPAR α persisted after weaning, when direct influence of the maternal dietary restriction had ceased, this suggests stable modification to the epigenetic regulation of the expression of these transcription factors. In contrast, methylation of CpG islands in the PPAR γ_1 promoter, the major hepatic PPAR γ , was not altered by prenatal undernutrition. This suggests that the effects of the maternal diet on the regulation of gene expression in the offspring in rats are gene specific. The observation that maternal undernutrition during pregnancy alters DNA methylation in the offspring is consistent with 2 recent reports. First, experimental reduction of uterine blood flow led to changes in the methylation status of the p53 gene in the kidney of the adult offspring (21). This is important because p53 is involved in the control of apoptosis, which is in turn relevant to renal devel-

opment, and reduction in nephron number induced in early life has been implicated as a mechanism underlying the early origins of adult hypertension (26). Second, differences in maternal grooming and nursing of the pups in the neonatal period were shown to be associated with permanent changes in the expression of nerve growth factor-1, a transcription factor for GR in the hippocampus of the adult offspring (20). These effects were associated with changes in the adult response to stress, also implicated in cardiovascular and metabolic disease (27). In addition, the maternal behavior-induced effects on the pups could be prevented by administration of the histone deacetylase inhibitor, trichostatin A, to their brains in early life (20). The overall implication of these studies and ours is that changes to the methylation of specific genes in specific tissues underlie the induction of phenotypic changes by environmental cues in early development.

The lower methylation status of the GR and PPAR α promoters was associated with substantially greater mRNA expression. This is consistent with previous studies on GR and PPAR α expression in this model (7,8). Moreover, because methylation of CpG islands has been firmly established as playing a critical role in transcriptional repression (16), these data suggest a causal relation between hypomethylation of the 5' CpG islands and increased GR and PPAR α expression. However, it should be noted that there is no direct evidence β that methylation of the Aci1 sites within the promoters of GR and PPAR α suppresses the transcription of these genes. Up-regulation of GR activity is associated with DNA demethyl-ation and increased PPAR α expression (28). Thus, hypomethation and increased PPAR α expression (28). Thus, hypomethvlation of the GR promoter resulting in greater GR expression $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{G}}$ may in part explain the reduced methylation of the PPAR α \bigcirc may in part explain the reduced mean, in promoter and increased PPAR α expression. However, the $\overline{\omega}$ mechanism by which GR methylation status was reduced is unclear. Furthermore, because PPAR α suppresses 11 β HSD activity (29), the likely overall effect of impaired regulation of GR and PPAR α expression is increased corticosteroid action.

Increased PPAR α activity is associated with increased AOX expression (23). In our study, the pattern of AOX mRNA expression mirrored that of PPAR α , consistent with reports that AOX is a direct target gene of PPAR α . One mRNA upregulated AOX expression, thus producing changes to the activity of an effector pathway, peroxisomal β -oxidation. This supports the suggestion that dysregulation of PPAR α expression alters the activities of target genes and, potentially, their associated metabolic pathways. However, this does not exclude the possibility that the methylation status of the AOX promoter may also have been modified by the maternal diet. PPAR α activity suppresses hepatic $\Delta 6$ -desaturase expression (30). Induction of hepatic $\Delta 6$ -desaturase expression (31) and lower hepatic docosahexaenoic acid concentration (32) in the offspring of the dams fed a protein-restricted diet.

Overall, these changes to the epigenetic regulation of hepatic GR and PPAR α expression are consistent with previous reports of induction of later hypertension, and impaired fat and carbohydrate metabolism by maternal protein restriction. Because modifications to the methylation status of gene promoters produce stable alterations in gene expression, this represents a potential mechanism by which insults in early life may lead to persistent changes to the phenotype of the offspring. If so, this mechanism may be directly applicable to understanding the association between patterns of growth in early life and subsequent tissue dysfunction and risk of disease in humans. We should note that the specific effects on indi-

vidual genes in rats would not necessarily be replicated in humans. The results of this study do not indicate whether altered gene methylation occurred prenatally or was due to a persistent effect of undernutrition during pregnancy on the capacity of the dam to satisfy the demands of the offspring during lactation. It remains to be established whether these effects on gene methylation and expression persist throughout the lifespan of the offspring. Because modifications to gene methylation can be passed between generations (33), altered epigenetic regulation of gene expression may also represent one mechanism for intergenerational effects of fetal phenotype induction (34).

Supplementation of the restricted diet with folic acid prevented hypomethylation of GR and PPAR α , and the associated increase in the expression of GR, PPAR α , and AOX. The observation that maternal folic acid supplementation prevented hypomethylation and the induction of increased GR and PPAR α expression suggests that the change in DNA methylation may reflect the impaired supply of folic acid from the mother. There was no effect of supplementation of the restricted diet with folic acid on the methylation status or expression of PPAR γ . This suggests the action of homeostatic mechanisms, which allow methylation of hypomethylated genes but prevent hypermethylation of other gene promoters.

Together, these data suggest that 1-carbon metabolism is central to the mechanism of fetal phenotype induction. This also raises the possibility of therapeutic strategies to increase availability of methyl groups and thus prevent or ameliorate the effects of environmental insults in early life. This is supported by the observations that induction of impaired vascular function in rats was prevented by supplementation of the maternal diet with folic acid or glycine (35-37). There is already widespread fortification of foods and the use of folic acid supplements among the population. In view of the fundamental nature and complexity of the effects of folate on the regulation of critical metabolic pathways in the short and longer term, there is an urgent need for more research in this area.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank Miss R. L. Dunn for technical assistance.

LITERATURE CITED

1. Godfrey, K. M. & Barker, D. J. (2001) Fetal programming and adult health. Public Health Nutr. 4: 611-624.

2. Bateson, P., Barker, D., Clutton-Brock, T., Deb, D., D'Udine, B., Foley, R. A., Gluckman, P., Godfrey, K., Kirkwood, T. et al. (2004) Developmental plasticity and human health. Nature (Lond.) 430: 419-421.

3. Bertram, C. E. & Hanson, M. A. (2001) Animal models and programming of the metabolic syndrome. Br. Med. Bull. 60: 103-121.

4. Gluckman, P. D. & Hanson, M. A. (2004) Living with the past: evolution, development, and patterns of disease. Science (Washington, DC) 305: 1733–1736.

5. Heywood, W. E., Mian, N., Milla, P. J. & Lindley, K. J. (2004) Programming of defective rat pancreatic beta-cell function in offspring from dams fed a low-protein diet during gestation and the suckling periods. Clin. Sci. (Lond.) 107: 37-45.

6. Kwong, W. Y., Wild, A. E., Roberts, P., Willis, A. C. & Fleming, T. P. (2000) Maternal undernutrition during the preimplantation period of rat development causes blastocyst abnormalities and programming of postnatal hypertension. Development 127: 4195-4202.

7. Bertram, C., Trowern, A. R., Copin, N., Jackson, A. A. & Whorwood, C. B. (2001) The maternal diet during pregnancy programs altered expression of the glucocorticoid receptor and type 2 11beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase: potential molecular mechanisms underlying the programming of hypertension in utero. Endocrinology 142: 2841-2853.

8. Burdge, G. C., Phillips, E. S., Dunn, R. L., Jackson, A. A. & Lillycrop, K. A. (2004) Effect of reduced maternal protein consumption during pregnancy in the rat on plasma lipid concentrations and expression of peroxisomal proliferatoractivated receptors in the liver and adipose tissue of the offspring. Nutr. Res. 24: 639-646

9. Maloney, C. A., Gosby, A. K., Phuyal, J. L., Denyer, G. S., Bryson, J. M. & Caterson, I. D. (2003) Site-specific changes in the expression of fat-partitioning genes in weanling rats exposed to a low-protein diet in utero. Obes. Res. 11: 461-468.

10. Cole, T. J., Blendy, J. A., Schmid, W., Strahle, U. & Schutz, G. (1993) Expression of the mouse glucocorticoid receptor and its role during development. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 47: 49-53.

11. Michalik, L., Desvergne, B., Dreyer, C., Gavillet, M., Laurini, R. N. & Wahli, W (2002) PPAR expression and function during vertebrate development. Int. J. Dev. Biol. 46: 105-114.

12. Yang, S. & Zhang, L. (2004) Glucocorticoids and vascular reactivity. Curr. Vasc. Pharmacol. 2: 1-12.

13. Djouadi, F., Weinheimer, C. J., Saffitz, J. E., Pitchford, C., Bastin, J., Gonzalez, F. J. & Kelly, D. P. (1998) A gender-related defect in lipid metabolism and glucose homeostasis in peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha- deficient mice. J. Clin. Investig. 102: 1083-1091.

14. Desvergne, B. & Wahli, W. (1999) Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors: nuclear control of metabolism. Endocr. Rev. 20: 649-688.

15. Phillips, D. I., Barker, D. J., Fall, C. H., Seckl, J. R., Whorwood, C. B., Wood, P. J. & Walker, B. R. (1998) Elevated plasma cortisol concentrations: a link between low birth weight and the insulin resistance syndrome? J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 83: 757-760.

16. Razin, A. (1998) CpG methylation, chromatin structure and gene silencing-a three-way connection. EMBO J. 17: 4905-4908.

17. Razin, A. & Shemer, R. (1995) DNA methylation in early development. Hum. Mol. Genet. 4: 1751-1755.

18. Ehrlich, M. (2003) Expression of various genes is controlled by DNA methylation during mammalian development. J. Cell Biochem. 88: 899-910.

19. Wu, G., Bazer, F. W., Cudd, T. A., Meininger, C. J. & Spencer, T. E (2004) Maternal nutrition and fetal development. J. Nutr. 134: 2169-2172.

20. Weaver, I. C., Cervoni, N., Champagne, F. A., D'Alessio, A. C., Sharma, S., Seckl, J. R., Dymov, S., Szyf, M. & Meaney, M. J. (2004) Epigenetic programming by maternal behavior. Nat. Neurosci. 7: 847-854.

21. Pham, T. D., MacLennan, N. K., Chiu, C. T., Laksana, G. S., Hsu, J. L. & Lane, R. H. (2003) Uteroplacental insufficiency increases apoptosis and alters p53 gene methylation in the full-term IUGR rat kidney. Am. J. Physiol. 285: R962-R970.

22. Langley, S. C. & Jackson, A. A. (1994) Increased systolic blood pressure in adult rats induced by fetal exposure to maternal low protein diets. Clin. Sci. (Lond.) 86: 217-222.

23. Tugwood, J. D., Issemann, I., Anderson, R. G., Bundell, K. R., McPheat, W. L. & Green, S. (1992) The mouse peroxisome proliferator activated receptor recognizes a response element in the 5' flanking sequence of the rat acyl CoA oxidase gene. EMBO J. 11: 433-439.

24. McCormick, J. A., Lyons, V., Jacobson, M. D., Noble, J., Diorio, J., Nyirenda, M., Weaver, S., Ester, W., Yau, J.L.W., Meaney, M. J., Scaki, J. R. & Chapman, K. E. (2000) 5' heterogeneity of glucocorticoid receptor messenger RNA is tissue specific: differential regulation of variant transcripts by early life events. Mol. Endocrinol. 14: 506-517.

25. Zhu, Y., Alvares, K., Huang, Q., Rao, M. S., & Reddy, J. K. yd (1993)Cloning of a new member of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gene \subseteq family from mouse liver. J. Biol. Chem. 268: 26817-26820.

26. Ingelfinger, J. R. (2004) Pathogenesis of perinatal programming. Curr. Opin. Nephrol. Hypertens. 13: 459-464.

27. Seckl, J. R. (2001) Glucocorticoid programming of the fetus; adult phenotypes and molecular mechanisms. Mol. Cell Endocrinol. 185: 61-71.

28. Lemberger, T., Staels, B., Saladin, R., Desvergne, B., Auwerx, J. & Wahli, W (1994) Regulation of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha gene by glucocorticoids. J. Biol. Chem. 269: 24527-24530.

ġ 29. Hermanowski-Vosatka, A., Gerhold, D., Mundt, S. S., Loving, V. A., Lu, Justice M., Chen, Y., Elbrecht, A., Wu, M., Doebber, T., et al. (2000) PPARα agonists reduce 11β -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 in the liver. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 279: 330-336.

user 30. Tang, C., Cho, H. P., Nakamura, M. T. & Clarke, S. D. (2003) Regulation of human delta-6 desaturase gene transcription: identification of a functional on direct repeat-1 element. J. Lipid Res. 44: 686-695.

31. Ozanne, S. E., Martensz, N. D., Petry, C. J., Loizou, C. L. & Hales, C. N. 6 (1998)Maternal low protein diet in rats programmes fatty acid desaturase ⊳ activities in the offspring. Diabetologia 41: 1337-1342.

lgust 32. Burdge, G. C., Delange, E., Dubois, L., Dunn, R. L., Hanson, M. A., Jackson, A. A. & Calder, P. C. (2003) Effect of reduced maternal protein intake 202 in pregnancy in the rat on the fatty acid composition of brain, liver, plasma, heart and lung phospholipids of the offspring after weaning. Br. J. Nutr. 90: 345-352.

33. Kelly, T. L. & Trasler, J. M. (2004) Reproductive epigenetics. Clin. Genet. 65: 247-260.

34. Drake, A. J. & Walker, B. R. (2004) The intergenerational effects of fetal programming: non-genomic mechanisms for the inheritance of low birth weight and cardiovascular risk. J. Endocrinol. 180: 1-16.

35. Jackson, A. A., Dunn, R. L., Marchand, M. C. & Langley-Evans, S. C. (2002) Increased systolic blood pressure in rats induced by a maternal low-protein diet is

reversed by dietary supplementation with alvcine. Clin. Sci. (Lond.) 103: 633-639. 36. Dunn, R. L., Burdge, G. C. & Jackson, A. A. (2003) Folic acid reduces blood pressure in rat offspring from maternal low protein diet but increases blood

pressure in offspring of the maternal control diet. Pediatr. Res. 53: 2A. 37. Brawley, L., Torrens, C., Anthony, F. W., Itoh, S., Wheeler, T., Jackson, A. A., Clough, G. F., Poston, L. & Hanson, M. A. (2004) Glycine rectifies vascular dysfunction induced by dietary protein imbalance during pregnancy.

J. Physiol. 554: 497-504.

. ഗ

Trom