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# Differential equations in Banach spaces 

## E.S. Noussair

Let $H$ be a fixed Hilbert space and $B(H, H)$ be the Banach space of bounded linear operators from $H$ to $H$ with the uniform operator topology. Oscillation criteria are obtained for the operator differential equation

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left(A(t) \frac{d Y}{d t}\right)+C(t, Y) Y=0, t \geq 0,
$$

where the coefficients $A, C$ are linear operators from $B(H, H)$ to $B(H, H)$, for each $t \geq 0$. A solution $Y: R^{+} \rightarrow B(H, H)$ is said to be oscillatory if there exists a sequence of points $t_{i} \in R^{+}$, so that $t_{i} \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, and $Y\left(t_{i}\right)$ fails to have a bounded inverse. The main theorem states that a solution $Y$ is oscillatory if an associated scalar differential equation is oscillatory.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we study those aspects of the qualitative behaviour of solutions of second order differential equations in Banach spaces involving the notions of oscillation and non-oscillation. The basic tool in the analysis is a generalization of Picone's identity [7], [8].

Some other papers pertinent to the subject under consideration here are [4], [5], [6].

Let $H$ be a fixed Hilbert space and $B(H, H)$ be the Banach space of
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bounded linear operators from $H$ to $H$ with the uniform operator topology. Consider the differential equation

$$
T Y=\frac{d}{d t}\left(A(t) \frac{d Y}{d t}\right)+C(t, Y) Y=0
$$

for $t \in R^{+}=\{t: t>0\}$. Solutions $Y(t)$ are functions from $R^{+}$into $B(H, H)$. We assume that $C(t, Y)$ is a linear map from $B(H, H)$ to $B(H, H)$, for each $t \in R^{+}$, and further, that $A(t), C(t, Y)$ are continuous in the uniform operator topology as functions from $R^{+}$to $B(H, H)$, and from $R^{+} \times B(H, H)$ to $B(H, H)$ respectively. We also assume for each $t \geq 0$ and each $Y \in B(H, H)$ that $C(t, Y)$ is selfadjoint $\left(C=C^{*}\right)$, and $A(t)$ is positive definite, that is, $(A(t) e, e)>0$ for all $t>0$ and for all $0 \neq e \in H$, where (, ) is the inner product in $H$.

Derivatives of $Y(t)$ are computed in the uniform operator topology, that is,

$$
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0}\left\|\frac{Y(t+\delta)-Y(t)}{\delta}-Y^{\prime}(t)\right\|=0
$$

Under the above assumptions the initial value problem:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T Y=0 \quad t \in R^{+}, \\
& Y\left(t_{0}\right)=Y_{0}, \\
& \frac{d}{d t} Y\left(t_{0}\right)=Y_{1}, \quad t_{0} \in R^{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

has a unique solution [4]. Motivated by the finite dimensional case we introduce the following definitions.

DEFINITION. A solution $Y(t)$ is said to be nonsingular at $t_{0}$ if $Y\left(t_{0}\right)$ has a bounded inverse. $Y(t)$ is said to be oscillatory if there exists a sequence $\left\{t_{i}\right\} \in R^{+}$such that $t_{i} \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, and each $t_{i}$ is a singular point of $Y$. This definition is a natural extension of the finite dimensional case, where it is customary to say that matrix solutions of ( 1 ) are nonsingular at $t_{0}$ if the determinant of $Y\left(t_{0}\right)$ is not zero.

DEFINITION. A solution $Y(t)$ of equation (1) is said to be prepared
if:
(1) $\quad Y^{*}(t) A(t) \frac{d}{d t} Y(t)=\left(\frac{d}{d t} Y(t)\right)^{*} A(t) Y(t)$ for all $t \leq 0$;
(2) there exists a common nonzero vector $e$ which belongs to the ranges of the operators $\{Y(t): t$ is a nonsingular point $\}$.

This definition ensures, as pointed out by Noussair and Swanson [6], for example, that every solution of the scalar equation $Y^{\prime \prime}+Y=0$ is oscillatory, as is well known [3]. However, the nonprepared matrix solution

$$
Y(t)=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
\cos t & -\sin t \\
\sin t & \cos t
\end{array}\right]
$$

is obviously non-oscillatory. Accordingly, the prepared hypothesis on $Y$ is needed in order that an analog of the classical theory of oscillation $\left[H=R^{1}\right]$ can be developed for operator equations.

Condition (2) above is satisfied in the finite dimensional case since a nonsingular operator is onto. We could replace condition (2) by requiring that $Y(t)$ has a bounded inverse defined on the whole space for every nonsingular point $t$. However, this will restrict the class of oscillatory solutions as the following example shows.

EXAMPLE 1. Let $H=z^{2}$ the Hilbert space of square-summable sequences $x=\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$. Let $A$ be the right shift operator on $i^{2}$, that is $A\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots\right)=\left(0, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots\right)$. In (1) take $A(t)=C(t, Y)=I$, the identity operator, $Y(0)=0$ and $\frac{d}{d t} Y(0)=A$. Then the solution to ( 1 ) is $Y(t)=(\sin t) A$. Now if $\sin t$ is not zero then $Y(t)$ has a bounded inverse. But the range of $Y(t)$ is a proper closed subspace of $Z^{2}$. However, $Y(t)$ is a prepared solution according to the definition above, as can be easily verified.

## 2. Oscillation theorems

Let $I$ be the closed interval $\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]$, and let $D_{0}$ denote the
set of all vector functions $u \in C^{1}(I)$, with range in $H$ such that $u\left(t_{0}\right)=u\left(t_{1}\right)=0$.

THEOREM 1. If
(I) $Y(t)$ is a prepared solution of $Y * T Y \leq 0$, and $e \in H$ is in the range of $Y(t)$ for all $t \in I$; and
(2) there exists a nontrivial function $\phi(t) \in C^{1}(I)$ such that

$$
\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}\left[(A(t), e, e)\left(\frac{d \phi}{d t}\right)^{2}-(c(t, Y) e, e) \phi^{2}\right] d t \leq 0
$$

then
(a) $Y(t)$ has a singular point in $I$; and
(b) either $Y(t)$ has a singular point in the open interval $\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right)$, or $\phi(t)_{e}=Y(t) c$ on $I$ for some constant vector $c \neq 0$.

Proof. If $Y(t)$ has no singular point in $I$, there exists a unique vector $\omega \in D_{0}$ satisfying $\phi(t) e=Y(t) \omega(t)$ identically in $I$. The following identity, a generalization of Picone's identity [8], can be easily verified by differentiation:
(2) $-\frac{d}{d t}\left(A(t)\left(\frac{d Y}{d t}\right) \omega, Y \omega\right)=-(A(t) e, e)\left(\frac{d \phi}{d t}\right)^{2}-(C(t, Y) e, e) \phi^{2}-(Y * T Y \omega, \omega)$ $-\left(\left(Y^{*} A(t) \frac{d Y}{d t}-\left(\frac{d Y}{d t}\right)^{*} A(t) Y\right) \frac{d \omega}{d t}, \omega\right)+\left(A(t) Y \frac{d \omega}{d t}, Y \frac{d \omega}{d t}\right)$.
Since $Y$ is a prepared solution of $Y^{*} T Y \leq 0$ and $\omega\left(t_{0}\right)=\omega\left(t_{1}\right)=0$, integration of (2) over $I$ and use of Green's formula yields
(3) $\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}\left[(A(t) e, e)\left(\frac{d \phi}{d t}\right)^{2}-(C(t, Y) e, e) \phi^{2}\right] d t \geq \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}\left(A(t) y \frac{d \omega}{d t}, y \frac{d \omega}{d t}\right) d t$.

Since $A(t)$ is positive-definite, by the assumptions made on the coefficients of the operator $T$, inequality (3) and hypothesis (2) imply that $\frac{d \omega}{d t}=0$ identically in $I$, that is, $\phi(t) e=Y(t) \omega(t)=Y(t) c$
identically in $I$, for some constant vector $c$, with $c \neq 0$ since $\phi(t)$ is nontrivial by the hypothesis (2). Since $\phi(t)$ and $Y$ are continuous in $I$ and $\phi\left(t_{0}\right)=\phi\left(t_{1}\right)=0$, the equality $\frac{d \omega}{d t}=0$ implies that $t_{0}, t_{1}$ are singular points of $Y$. This proves conclusion ( $\alpha$ ) of the theorem. The proof of the "strong conclusion" (b) is similar to the proof in the finite dimensional case [5].

THEOREM 2. Every prepared solution of $Y^{*} T Y \leq 0$ is oscizlatory in $R^{+}$if the scalar equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{u}=\frac{d}{d t}\left((A(t) e, e) \frac{d u}{d t}\right)+(c(t, Y) e, e) u=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is oscillatory in $R^{+}$for every unit vector $e \in H$, and for every nonsingular operator $Y \in B(H, H)$.

Proof. Let $Y(t)$ be a prepared solution of $Y * T Y \leq 0$. If $Y(t)$ is not oscillatory then there exists a number $r>0$ such that $Y(t)$ has no singular points for $t \geq r$. Since $Y$ is prepared, there exists a vector $e$ in the range of $Y(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$.

By hypothesis, equation (4) is oscillatory in $[r, \infty)$. Hence there exist points $r<t_{0}<t_{1}$, a function $\phi(t)$ defined for $t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{1}$ such that $\phi\left(t_{0}\right)=\phi\left(t_{1}\right)=0$ and $Z \phi=0$ in $\left\lfloor t_{0}, t_{1}\right\rfloor$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}(A(t) e, e)\left(\frac{d \phi}{d t}\right)^{2}-(C(t, y) e, e) \phi^{2} d t=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2 is therefore a consequence of Theorem 1.
Theorem 2 extends all oscillation criteria for ordinary differential equations to equation (1).

COROLLARY 3. Every prepared solution of equation (1) is oscillatory in $[a, \infty)$ if, for every wit vector $e \in H$ and for all operators $Y$ such that $Y(t)$ has a bounded inverse for sufficiently large $t$, one of the following criteria is satisfied:
(1) $\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(A(t) e, e)} d t=\infty, \quad \int_{0}^{\infty}(C(t, Y(t)) e, e) d t=\infty$,
(2) $(A(t) e, e) \leq K,(C(t, Y(t)) e, e) \geq 0$ for Zarge $t$, and $\underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\lim \sup } t \int_{t}^{\infty}(C(t, Y(t)) e, e) d t>1$,
(3) $(A(t) e, e) \leq K, 4 t^{2}(C(t, Y(t)) e, e)>(A(t) e, e)$ for sufficiently large $t$, ardi

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} t\left|(C(t, Y(t)) e, e)-\frac{(A(t) e, e)}{4 t^{2}}\right| d t=\infty
$$

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2 by applying known oscillation criteria, [2], [3], [5], for the ordinary equation (4).

A recent result of Hayden and Howard, [1], is criterion (1) of Corollary 3 when $A(t)=I$, the identity operator.

When $H$ is finite dimensional, the following stronger version of Theorem 2 is valid, and gives a new oscillation theorem for matrix differential inequalities.

THEOREM 4. Every prepared solution of the matrix differential inequality $Y * T Y \leq 0$ is oscillatory in $R^{+}$if there exists a non-zero vector $e \in H$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{u}=\frac{d}{d t}\left((A(t) e, e) \frac{d u}{d t}\right)+(C(t, y) e, e)=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is oscillatory in $R^{+}$, for every nonsingular matrix $y$ in $B(H, H)$.
Proof. If $Y(t)$ is a prepared solution of $Y^{*} T Y \leq 0$ which has no singular point for $t \geq r$, for some $\dot{r}$, then $Y(t)$ has a bounded inverse defined on the whole space $H$ for $t \geq r$. In particular $e$ belongs to the range of $Y$ for all $t \geq r$.

The rest of the proof is identical to the proof of Theorem 2.
We shall give now a simple application of Theorem l. Consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
T Y=\frac{d^{2} y}{d t^{2}}+C(t) Y(t)=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C(t)$ is a self-adjoint operator for each $t \geq 0$. Let $P$ and $Q$ be two bounded operators on $F$ such that $P * Q$ is self-adjoint. Let $Y$
be the solution of the initial value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
T Y & =0 \quad \text { in } R^{+},  \tag{6}\\
Y\left(t_{0}\right) & =P, \frac{d Y}{d t}\left(t_{0}\right)=Q, t_{0} \in R^{+} .
\end{align*}
$$

Then $Y *\left(t_{0}\right) \frac{d}{d t} Y\left(t_{0}\right)=\left(\frac{d}{d t} Y *\left(t_{0}\right)\right) Y\left(t_{0}\right)$. But, from equation (5), it is easy to see that

$$
Y^{*}(t) \frac{d}{d t} Y(t)-\left(\frac{d}{d t} Y^{*}(t)\right) Y(t)=K
$$

where $K$ is a constant operator. Hence

$$
Y^{*}(t) \frac{d}{d t} Y(t)-\left(\frac{d}{d t} Y^{*}(t)\right) Y(t) \equiv 0 \quad \text { for all } \quad t \geq t_{0}
$$

THEOREM 5. If there exists a nonzero vector $e \in H$ such that the scalar equation

$$
\tau u=\frac{d^{2} u}{d t^{2}}+(c(t) e, e) u=0
$$

is oscillatory in $R^{+}$, then the solution $Y$ of the initial value problem (6) has the property that there exists a sequence $\left\{t_{i}\right\}, t_{i} \rightarrow \infty$, such that either:
(a) $Y\left(t_{i}\right)$ has no bounded inverse, or
(b) the range of $Y\left(t_{i}\right)$ is not the whole space.

Proof. If such sequence doesn't exist, then there is a $t_{1}>0$ such that $Y(t)$ has a bounded inverse which is defined on the whole space for $t \geq t_{1}$. Hence $e \in$ range of $Y(t)$ for all $t \geq t_{1}$. Since $l u$ is oscillatory in $\left[t_{1}, \infty\right)$ by hypothesis, there exist points $t^{\prime \prime}>t^{\prime} \geq t_{1}$ and a function $\phi \in C^{\prime}\left(t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}\right)$ such that $Z \phi=0$ and $\phi\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\phi\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=0$. Hence hypotheses (1) and (2) of Theorem 1 are satisfied and we obtain the contradiction that $Y(t)$ has a singular point in $\left[t_{1}, \infty\right)$. This completes the proof.
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