

DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS INVOLVING CIRCULANT MATRICES

ALAN C. WILDE

1. Introduction. This paper develops a theory for the solution of ordinary and partial differential equations whose structure involves the algebra of circulants. Recent interest of circulants is evident in a book by Davis [1]. This paper shows how the algebra of 2×2 circulants relates to the study of the harmonic oscillator, the Cauchy-Riemann equations, Laplace's equation, the Lorentz transformation, and the wave equation. It then uses $n \times n$ circulants to suggest natural generalizations of these equations to higher dimensions.

2. The algebra of circulants. An $n \times n$ circulant is a matrix of the form

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & \cdots & x_{n-2} & x_{n-1} \\ x_{n-1} & x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_{n-3} & x_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & x_5 & \cdots & x_0 & x_1 \\ x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & \cdots & x_{n-1} & x_0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that X has arbitrary entries x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} in the top row and the entries are moved over one place to the right in each succeeding row. Let K denote the circulant with $x_1 = 1$ and $x_j = 0$ for all $j \neq 1$. Then the arbitrary circulant X equals $\sum_{h=0}^{n-1} x_h K^h$, and $K^n = I$. [$K^0 = I$ also.]

Define complex circulants E_0, E_1, \dots, E_{n-1} by

$$(1) \quad E_h = (1/n) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-hj} K^j \text{ for } 0 \leq h \leq n-1,$$

where $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/n}$. Then $\{E_0, E_1, \dots, E_{n-1}\}$ is an idempotent basis for complex circulants since

$$(2.1) \quad E_h^2 = E_h \text{ for } 0 \leq h \leq n-1;$$

$$(2.2) \quad E_h E_j = 0 \text{ if } h \neq j; \text{ and}$$

$$(2.3) \quad E_0 + E_1 + \cdots + E_{n-1} = I. \text{ (See Davis [1]).}$$

One can easily express the basis $\{K^0, K^1, \dots, K^{n-1}\}$ in terms of the basis $\{E_0, \dots, E_{n-1}\}$ by

$$(3) \quad K^h = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{hj} E_j \text{ for } 0 \leq h \leq n-1.$$

Important properties of circulants are that one can easily express the eigenvalues of a circulant in terms of its entries and that all circulants have the same eigenvectors.

The eigenvalues $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}$ of a circulant $\sum_{h=0}^{n-1} x_h K^h$ are given by

$$(4) \quad \lambda_h = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{hj} x_j \text{ for } 0 \leq h \leq n-1, \text{ (see Muir [2]), i.e.,}$$

$\lambda = Vx$ where V is the Vandermonde matrix:

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & \zeta & \zeta^2 & \zeta^3 & \dots & \zeta^{n-1} \\ 1 & \zeta^2 & \zeta^4 & \zeta^6 & \dots & \zeta^{2(n-1)} \\ 1 & \zeta^3 & \zeta^6 & \zeta^9 & \dots & \zeta^{3(n-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \zeta^{n-1} & \zeta^{2(n-1)} & \zeta^{3(n-1)} & \dots & \zeta^{(n-1)^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

It follows that the rows of V are the eigenvectors of X , with row h the eigenvector for eigenvalue λ_h . One can also invert (4) and express the entries of X as linear combinations of its eigenvalues:

$$(5) \quad x_h = (1/n) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-hj} \lambda_j \text{ for } 0 \leq h \leq n-1.$$

Combining (1), (3), (4), and (5) yields

$$(6) \quad \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} x_h K^h = \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} \lambda_h E_h.$$

There is a natural extension from entire functions on \mathbf{C} to entire functions on matrices defined as follows: if f is an entire function with Taylor series $\sum_{h=0}^{+\infty} a_h X^h$, then $f(A)$ is defined to be the matrix $\sum_{h=0}^{+\infty} a_h A^h$. With circulant matrices, one can avoid the use of infinite series; the following formula holds:

$$(7) \quad f\left(\sum_{h=0}^{n-1} \lambda_h E_h\right) = \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} f(\lambda_h) E_h, \text{ (see Davis, [1]).}$$

In terms of the more direct basis $\{K^h\}$, (7) becomes

$$(8) \quad f\left(\sum_{h=0}^{n-1} x_h K^h\right) = \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} \left[(1/n) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-hj} f\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{j} x_j\right) \right] K^h.$$

EXAMPLE FOR $n = 2$.

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } K = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus $K^2 = I$. In this case,

$$E_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } E_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & -1/2 \\ -1/2 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} I \\ K \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E_0 \\ E_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Also, if $x_0, x_1, \lambda_0, \lambda_1, \varepsilon \in \mathbf{C}$ such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_0 \\ \lambda_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \end{bmatrix},$$

then $x_0I + x_1K = \lambda_0E_0 + \lambda_1E_1$. These formulas are developed and used in Leisenring [3].

3. First-order, linear systems involving circulants. One can easily solve a system of first-order, linear differential equations when the matrix has constant entries. In general, a system with variable entries in the matrix is not so easily solved. However, if the matrix is an $n \times n$ circulant with variable entries, the solution can be written explicitly.

We first investigate the case for 2×2 circulant matrices and solve the system:

$$(9) \quad \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f(t) & g(t) \\ g(t) & f(t) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}.$$

First, note that this equation is equivalent to the following:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{x} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f & g \\ g & f \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ y & x \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using the information of Section 2, we can rewrite this in the form $\dot{x}I + \dot{y}K = (fI + gK)(xI + yK)$. Changing to eigenvalues and idempotents, one finds

$$\begin{aligned} & (\dot{x} + \dot{y})E_0 + (\dot{x} - \dot{y})E_1 \\ & = [(f + g)E_0 + (f - g)E_1][(x + y)E_0 + (x - y)E_1] \\ & = (f + g)(x + y)E_0 + (f - g)(x - y)E_1. \end{aligned}$$

When we equate components, we derive first $\dot{x} + \dot{y} = (f + g)(x + y)$, which has as its solution $x + y = c_0e^{F+G}$ where c_0 is a constant, $\dot{F} = f$, and $\dot{G} = g$. The other equation is $\dot{x} - \dot{y} = (f - g)(x - y)$ which has as its solution $x - y = c_1e^{F-G}$ where c_1 is a constant. Thus we get

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} x &= (1/2)(c_0 e^{F+G} + c_1 e^{F-G}), \\ y &= (1/2)(c_0 e^{F+G} - c_1 e^{F-G}). \end{aligned}$$

The solution vectors

$$\begin{bmatrix} e^{F+G} \\ e^{F+G} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} e^{F-G} \\ -e^{F-G} \end{bmatrix}$$

are linearly independent for all t , and so they are a fundamental solution set for equation (9).

The same method can be employed to solve the system

$$(11) \quad \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f(t) & g(t) \\ g(t) & f(t) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} u(t) \\ v(t) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Equation (10) is the general solution of the homogeneous system (9), and a particular solution of the system (11) is

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} x &= (1/2) \left[e^{(F+G)} \int (u + v) e^{(-F-G)} dt + e^{(F-G)} \int (u - v) e^{(-F+G)} dt \right], \\ y &= (1/2) \left[e^{(F+G)} \int (u + v) e^{(-F-G)} dt - e^{(F-G)} \int (u - v) e^{(-F+G)} dt \right]. \end{aligned}$$

In an analogous manner, using the formulae in the previous section, one can solve explicitly linear $n \times n$ differential systems whose matrix is a circulant.

THEOREM 1. *The system of equations*

$$(13) \quad \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_0 \\ \dot{x}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \dot{x}_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} = \left(\sum_{h=0}^{n-1} a_h(t) K^h \right) \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} b_0(t) \\ b_1(t) \\ \vdots \\ b_{n-1}(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

has as its general solution

$$(14) \quad x_h = (1/n) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{hj} e^{F_j} \left(c_j + \int g_j e^{-F_j} dt \right)$$

for $0 \leq h \leq n-1$ where $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/n}$, and

$$f_h = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{hj} a_j \quad (0 \leq h \leq n-1);$$

$$g_h = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-hj} b_j \quad (0 \leq h \leq n-1);$$

$F_j = f_j$ ($0 \leq j \leq n-1$); and c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1} are constants. The expression

$$(15) \quad x_h = (1/n) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{hj} c_j e^{F_j} \quad (0 \leq h \leq n-1)$$

is the general solution of the homogeneous system, and

$$(16) \quad x_h = (1/n) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{hj} e^{F_j} \int g_j e^{-F_j} dt$$

($0 \leq h \leq n-1$) is a particular solution of the nonhomogeneous system.

4. The equation $\mathbf{d}^n \mathbf{x}/\mathbf{d}t^n - \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$. As a special case of equation (13), note that the companion matrix form of the equation $x^{(n)} - x = 0$ is

$$\begin{bmatrix} x^{(1)} \\ x^{(2)} \\ x^{(3)} \\ \vdots \\ x^{(n)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x^{(0)} \\ x^{(1)} \\ x^{(2)} \\ \vdots \\ x^{(n-1)} \end{bmatrix}$$

i.e., it contains the matrix K . Therefore, $\exp tK = \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} (\exp_h t) K^h$ is a Wronskian of solution of the equation $x^{(n)} - x = 0$, where

$$\exp_h t = (1/n) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-hj} \exp \zeta^j t = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} t^{h+nj} / (h + nj)!$$

for $0 \leq h \leq n-1$. (See Rubel and Stolarsky [4].)

5. Generalization of the Lorentz transformation and of the wave equation. In his study of the geometry of relativity, Leisenring [3] used the equation

$$(17) \quad \exp \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \phi \\ \phi & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cosh \phi & \sinh \phi \\ \sinh \phi & \cosh \phi \end{bmatrix}$$

and showed that the matrix on the right is a Lorentz transformation, i.e., it preserves the quadratic form

$$x^2 - y^2 = \det \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ y & x \end{bmatrix}.$$

Indeed, in the physical Lorentz transformations

$$x = (x' + ut') / \sqrt{1 - u^2/c^2}, \quad t = (t' + ux'/c^2) / \sqrt{1 - u^2/c^2}$$

let $u = c \tanh \phi$ and $c = 1$. Then, the equations become

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{ch } \phi & \text{sh } \phi \\ \text{sh } \phi & \text{ch } \phi \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x' \\ t' \end{bmatrix},$$

and it follows that $x^2 - t^2 = x'^2 - t'^2$. The latter fact can also be shown using circulants. If

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{ch } \phi & \text{sh } \phi \\ \text{sh } \phi & \text{ch } \phi \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x' \\ t' \end{bmatrix},$$

then

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & t \\ t & x \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{ch } \phi & \text{sh } \phi \\ \text{sh } \phi & \text{ch } \phi \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x' & t' \\ t' & x' \end{bmatrix},$$

so

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} x & t \\ t & x \end{bmatrix} = \det \begin{bmatrix} \text{ch } \phi & \text{sh } \phi \\ \text{sh } \phi & \text{ch } \phi \end{bmatrix} \det \begin{bmatrix} x' & t' \\ t' & x' \end{bmatrix},$$

or $x^2 - t^2 = 1 \cdot (x'^2 - t'^2)$.

By the chain rule, it also follows that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \partial/\partial x' \\ \partial/\partial t' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{ch } \phi & \text{sh } \phi \\ \text{sh } \phi & \text{ch } \phi \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \partial/\partial x \\ \partial/\partial t \end{bmatrix},$$

so the above circulant linear transformation also preserves the wave operator

$$\partial^2/\partial x^2 - \partial^2/\partial t^2 = \det \begin{bmatrix} \partial/\partial x & \partial/\partial t \\ \partial/\partial t & \partial/\partial x \end{bmatrix}.$$

There are natural generalizations of these properties of 2-dimensional relativity to n dimensions. If $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n-1} \in \mathcal{E}$, then by equation (8)

$$(18) \quad \exp\left(\sum_{h=1}^{n-1} s_h K^h\right) = \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} \left[(1/n) \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-hr} \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \zeta^{rj} s_j\right) \right] K^h;$$

and $\det \exp\left(\sum_{h=1}^{n-1} s_h K^h\right) = 1$. So the linear transformation $\exp\left(\sum_{h=1}^{n-1} s_h K^h\right)$ preserves the n th order form

$$(19) \quad \det \left(\sum_{h=0}^{n-1} x_h K^h \right) = \prod_{h=0}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{hj} x_j \right) \\ = \prod_{h=0}^{n-1} (x_0 + \zeta^h x_1 + \zeta^{2h} x_2 + \dots + \zeta^{(n-1)h} x_{n-1}).$$

By the above method, it also leaves invariant the linear partial differential operator

$$(20) \quad \det \left(\sum_{h=0}^{n-1} (\partial/\partial x_h) K^h \right) = \prod_{h=0}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{hj} (\partial/\partial x_j) \right) \\ = \prod_{h=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} + \zeta^h \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \zeta^{2h} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + \dots \right. \\ \left. + \zeta^{(n-1)h} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n-1}} \right),$$

where the product denotes composition.

6. Solutions of a homogeneous, partial differential equation. The method of circulants which led to the formation of the partial differential equation

$$(21) \quad \left[\prod_{h=0}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{hj} (\partial/\partial x_j) \right) \right] (u) = 0, \text{ where } \zeta = e^{2\pi i/n},$$

also leads to solutions of this equation. This is a natural generalization of the homogeneous wave equation, since for $n = 2$ (21) becomes $\partial^2 u/\partial x_0^2 - \partial^2 u/\partial x_1^2 = 0$. Let z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} be new variables given by $z_h = (1/n) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-hj} x_j$ ($0 \leq h \leq n-1$). [These formulas are like equation (5) in Section 2.] Then $x_h = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{hj} z_j$ ($0 \leq h \leq n-1$); and, by chain rule, $\partial/\partial z_h = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{hj} (\partial/\partial x_j)$ ($0 \leq h \leq n-1$). Thus equation (21) takes the form $(\prod_{h=0}^{n-1} (\partial/\partial z_h))(u) = 0$. Now let F_0, F_1, \dots, F_{n-1} be n C^∞ functions: $\mathbf{C}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and let

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} u(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}) &= F_0(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}) \\ &+ \sum_{h=1}^{n-2} F_h(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{h-1}, z_{h+1}, \dots, z_{n-1}) \\ &+ F_{n-1}(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{n-2}) \end{aligned}$$

i.e., for each h , F_h is independent of z_h . It is easy to verify that u given by (22) is a solution of equation (21). It is a reasonable conjecture that this method constructs all solutions, as it does for $n = 2$.

If in equation (22), the conditions

$$\overline{F_0(\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_{n-2}, \dots, \alpha_1)} = F_0(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$$

and

$$\overline{F_h(\bar{\alpha}_0, \bar{\alpha}_{n-2}, \bar{\alpha}_{n-3}, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_1)} = F_{n-h}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-2}) \quad (1 \leq h \leq n-1)$$

hold for all complex numbers $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}$, then u as a function of x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} maps \mathbf{R}^n into \mathbf{R} .

7. A generalization of the Cauchy-Riemann conditions. Recall that if the Jacobian matrix of functions $u(x, y)$ and $v(x, y)$, namely

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_x & u_y \\ v_x & v_y \end{pmatrix},$$

is of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{bmatrix}$$

(the usual representation of \mathbf{C}), that is $u_x = v_y$ and $u_y = -v_x$, then u and v satisfy Laplace's equation $(\partial^2/\partial x^2 + \partial^2/\partial y^2)(f) = 0$. Note too that

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} \partial/\partial x & \partial/\partial y \\ -\partial/\partial y & \partial/\partial x \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}.$$

An analogous property holds for 2×2 circulants. If the Jacobian

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_x & u_y \\ v_x & v_y \end{bmatrix}$$

is a 2×2 circulant, then $u_x = v_y$ and $u_y = v_x$. If two C^∞ functions u and v satisfy these equations, then there exist two analytic functions f and g such that u and v are of the form

$$\begin{aligned} u &= (1/2)[f(x+y) + g(x-y)], \\ v &= (1/2)[f(x+y) - g(x-y)]. \end{aligned}$$

Also u and v satisfy the wave equation $(\partial^2/\partial x^2 - \partial^2/\partial y^2)(F) = 0$. We notice here too that

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} \partial/\partial x & \partial/\partial y \\ \partial/\partial y & \partial/\partial x \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}.$$

These facts can be generalized to C^n using circulants.

If u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} are entire functions mapping C^n into C , their Jacobian is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \partial u_0/\partial x_0 & \partial u_0/\partial x_1 & \cdots & \partial u_0/\partial x_{n-1} \\ \partial u_1/\partial x_0 & \partial u_1/\partial x_1 & \cdots & \partial u_1/\partial x_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \partial u_{n-1}/\partial x_0 & \partial u_{n-1}/\partial x_1 & \cdots & \partial u_{n-1}/\partial x_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

This matrix is a circulant if and only if

$$(i) \quad \partial u_0/\partial x_0 = \partial u_1/\partial x_1 = \cdots = \partial u_{n-1}/\partial x_{n-1}$$

and

$$(ii) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial u_0/\partial x_h &= \partial u_1/\partial x_{h+1} = \cdots = \partial u_{n-h-1}/\partial x_{n-1} \\ &= \partial u_{n-h}/\partial x_0 = \partial u_{n-h+1}/\partial x_1 = \cdots = \partial u_{n-1}/\partial x_{h-1} \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq h \leq n-1$.

THEOREM 2. *Let u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} be entire functions mapping C^n into C such that their Jacobian is a circulant. Then*

(1) u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} satisfy the partial differential equation

$$\left[\prod_{h=0}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{hj} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) \right] (u) = 0;$$

(2) there exist entire functions g_0, g_1, \dots, g_{n-1} mapping C into C such that u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} are of the form

$$u_j = (1/n) \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-jr} g_r \left(\sum_{h=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{hr} x_h \right);$$

(3) if $\overline{g_0(\bar{z})} = g_0(z)$ and $\overline{g_{n-l}(\bar{z})} = g_{n-l}(z)$ for $1 \leq l \leq n-1$, then u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} all map \mathbf{R}^n into \mathbf{R} .

PROOF OF (1). If the Jacobian of $(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})$ is a circulant, then conditions (i) and (ii) hold. It then follows that

$$\sum_{h=0}^{n-1} (\partial u_j / \partial x_h) K^h = K^j \cdot \left(\sum_{h=0}^{n-1} (\partial u_0 / \partial x_h) K^h \right)$$

for $0 \leq j \leq n-1$. Let

$$L(u) = \left[\prod_{h=0}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{hj} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) \right] (u), \text{ and}$$

let $z_h = (1/n) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-hj} x_j$ for $0 \leq h \leq n-1$. Then $x_h = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{hj} z_j$ and $\partial / \partial z_h = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{hj} (\partial / \partial x_j)$ for $0 \leq h \leq n-1$. Thus $L = \prod_{h=0}^{n-1} (\partial / \partial z_h)$. Using the relationship between the entries and eigenvalues of a circulant matrix as shown in §2,

$$\sum_{h=0}^{n-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_h} K^h = \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{hj} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) E_h = \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_h} E_h.$$

So

$$\sum_{h=0}^{n-1} (\partial u_j / \partial x_h) K^h = \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} (\partial u_j / \partial z_h) E_h$$

for $0 \leq j \leq n-1$. But

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} (\partial u_j / \partial x_h) K^h &= K^j \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} (\partial u_0 / \partial x_h) K^h \\ &= \left(\sum_{h=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{hj} E_h \right) \left(\sum_{h=0}^{n-1} (\partial u_0 / \partial z_h) E_h \right) \\ &= \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{hj} (\partial u_0 / \partial z_h) E_h. \end{aligned}$$

Since the E_h 's form a basis, $\partial u_j / \partial z_h = \zeta^{hj} (\partial u_0 / \partial z_h)$ for $0 \leq h \leq n-1$ and $0 \leq j \leq n-1$.

Now let $v_k = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-kj} u_j$ for $0 \leq k \leq n-1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial v_k / \partial z_h &= (\partial / \partial z_h) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-kj} u_j \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-kj} (\partial u_j / \partial z_h) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-kj} \zeta^{hj} (\partial u_0 / \partial z_h) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{(-k+h)j} (\partial u_0 / \partial z_h). \end{aligned}$$

If $k \neq h$, then $\partial v_k / \partial z_h = [\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{(-k+h)j}] (\partial u_0 / \partial z_h) = 0$. Thus $(\prod_{h=0}^{n-1} (\partial / \partial z_h)) (v_k) = 0 = L(v_k)$.

So,

$$L(v_k) = L\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-kj} u_j\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-kj} L(u_j) = 0,$$

for $0 \leq k \leq n-1$. Since the matrix of coefficients of the $L(u_j)$'s is non-singular, $L(u_0) = L(u_1) = \dots = L(u_{n-1}) = 0$.

PROOF OF (2). In the proof of (1), we showed that $\partial v_k / \partial z_h = 0$ if $k \neq h$. Thus there exists an entire function f_k mapping \mathbf{C} into \mathbf{C} such that $v_k = f_k(z_k)$. Therefore, $u_j = (1/n) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{kj} f_k(z_k)$ for $0 \leq j \leq n-1$. Now write u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} as entries of a circulant, i.e., take $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} u_j K^j$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} u_j K^j &= f_0(z_0) E_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} f_{n-k}(z_{n-k}) E_k \\ &= f_0\left((1/n) \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} x_\ell\right) E_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} f_{n-k}\left((1/n) \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{k\ell} x_\ell\right) E_k. \end{aligned}$$

Now let $g_0(z) = f_0((1/n)z)$ and $g_k(z) = f_{n-k}((1/n)z)$ for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Then

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} u_j K^j = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k\left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{k\ell} x_\ell\right) E_k.$$

Note also that

$$u_j = (1/n) \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-j\ell} g_\ell\left(\sum_{h=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{h\ell} x_h\right)$$

for $0 \leq j \leq n-1$. Since g_0, g_1, \dots, g_{n-1} are entire functions mapping \mathbf{C} into \mathbf{C} , part (2) follows.

PROOF OF (3). The conditions imply that

$$u_j(\overline{x_0}, \overline{x_1}, \dots, \overline{x_{n-1}}) = \overline{u_j(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})}.$$

Now we discuss the concept of derivative of a function on $n \times n$ circulants. To repeat what we said earlier, a complex function $f(x + iy) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$ has a derivative (i.e., is analytic) if the Cauchy-Riemann conditions, $u_x = v_y$ and $u_y = -v_x$, hold; and these conditions hold if and only if the Jacobian matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_x & u_y \\ v_x & v_y \end{bmatrix}$$

is of the form of a matrix representation of \mathbf{C} , i.e.,

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{bmatrix}.$$

Similarly, a function on circulants has a derivative which is a function on circulants if the Jacobian matrix of the original function is in the form of a circulant.

If $u = (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})$ is a function from \mathbf{C}^n into \mathbf{C}^n , u can be ex-

tended to a function \tilde{u} sending complex circulants into complex circulants via

$$\tilde{u}\left(\sum_{h=0}^{n-1} x_h K^h\right) = \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} u_h(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) K^h.$$

The difference quotient of \tilde{u} in the direction of the complex axis K^h is

$$\tilde{u}' = \lim_{\Delta x_h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\tilde{u}[x_0 I + \dots + (x_h + \Delta x_h) K^h + \dots + x_{n-1} K^{n-1}] - \tilde{u}(x_0 I + \dots + x_{n-1} K^{n-1})}{\Delta x_h K^h}.$$

[This definition is well-defined because since $K^n = I$, $I/K^h = K^{n-h}$.] u can be called differentiable if \tilde{u}' is the same in the directions of all the axes I, K, \dots, K^{n-1} . Then it follows that

$$\tilde{u}' = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (\partial u_j / \partial x_0) K^j = \sum_{j=0}^{n-h-1} (\partial u_{j+h} / \partial x_h) K^j + \sum_{j=n-h}^{n-1} (\partial u_{j-n+h} / \partial x_h) K^h$$

for $0 \leq h \leq n-1$. Note that for all these quotients to be equal, conditions (i) and (ii) hold. Also, \tilde{u}' is the transpose of the Jacobian matrix of $u = (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})$ and it is a circulant. (The transpose of a circulant is a circulant.)

Theorem 2 shows that u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} are entire functions: $\mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and satisfy conditions (i) and (ii) if and only if there exist entire functions $g_0, g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ such that

$$u_j = (1/n) \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-j'r} g_r \left(\sum_{h=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{h'r} x_h \right)$$

for $0 \leq j \leq n-1$. One can show that by changing basis,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u} &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left[(1/n) \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-j'r} g_r \left(\sum_{h=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{h'r} x_h \right) \right] K^j \\ &= \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} g_h \left(\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{h'r} x_r \right) E_h, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., \tilde{u} is decomposed into a sum of entire functions on the idempotent axes. Then too,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}' &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (\partial u_j / \partial x_0) K^j \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left[(1/n) \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-j'r} g_r' \left(\sum_{h=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{h'r} x_h \right) \right] K^j \\ &= \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} g_h' \left(\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{h'r} x_r \right) E_h, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., if \tilde{u} satisfies hypothesis of Theorem 2, one can differentiate \tilde{u} by

differentiating the above entire functions g_0, \dots, g_{n-1} on their respective idempotent axes.

In particular, the function

$$\exp\left(\sum_{h=0}^{n-1} x_h K^h\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left[(1/n) \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-j\ell} \exp\left(\sum_{h=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{h\ell} x_h\right) \right] K^j$$

is its own derivative.

Skew-circulants (defined in Davis [1]) also have these differentiation properties. A skew-circulant has entries positioned like those of a circulant except with minus signs below the main diagonal, i.e., for $n = 3$,

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ -x_2 & x_0 & x_1 \\ -x_1 & -x_2 & x_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

If v is the skew-circulant with $x_1 = 1$ and $x_j = 0$ for $j \neq 1$, then $v^n = -I$ and the skew-circulant can be expressed in the form $\sum_{h=0}^{n-1} x_h v^h$. This algebra is isomorphic over \mathbb{C} to regular circulants via the correspondence $v \mapsto \alpha K$ where $\alpha = e^{\pi i/n}$ ($\alpha^n = -1$).

If the Jacobian matrix of $u = (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})$ [where $u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$] is a skew-circulant matrix, then

(iii) $\quad \partial u_0 / \partial x_0 = \partial u_1 / \partial x_1 = \dots = \partial u_{n-1} / \partial x_{n-1}$

and

(iv) $\quad \partial u_0 / \partial x_h = \partial u_1 / \partial x_{h+1} = \dots = \partial u_{n-h-1} / \partial x_{n-1}$
 $\quad \quad \quad = -\partial u_{n-h} / \partial x_0 = -\partial u_{n-h+1} / \partial x_1 = \dots = -\partial u_{n-1} / \partial x_{h-1}$

for $1 \leq h \leq n - 1$.

For $n = 2$, these are exactly the Cauchy-Riemann equations. Using a method similar to the proof of Theorem 2, one can show the following

THEOREM 3. *Let $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/n}$ and $\alpha = e^{\pi i/n}$. Let u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} be entire functions: $\mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that conditions (iii) and (iv) hold. Then there exist entire functions $g_0, g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} are of the form*

$$u_j = \alpha^{-j} (1/n) \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-j\ell} g_\ell \left(\sum_{h=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{h\ell} \alpha^h x_h \right)$$

for $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$.

Since in the algebra of skew-circulants, $E_h = (1/n) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{hj} \alpha^{-j} v^j$ for $0 \leq h \leq n - 1$ is an idempotent basis,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} u_j v^j = \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} g_h \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{h\ell} \alpha^\ell x_\ell \right) E_h$$

Thus differentiation properties here are similar to those of circulants.

See Leisenring [3] for an application of this type of differentiation to the geometry of the bicomplex plane $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. I wish to give thanks to Professors Andreas R. Blass, Lamberto Cesari, and Carl P. Simon of the Department of Mathematics of the University of Michigan for their advice on the research and the writing of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. P. J. Davis, *Circulant Matrices*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1979.
2. T. Muir, *Theory of Determinants*, Volume III.
3. K. B. Leisenring, *The Bicomplex Plane* (University of Michigan manuscript, submitted for publication.)
4. L. Rubel, and K. Stolarsky, *Subseries of the power series for e^z* , *American Mathematical Monthly* **87** (1980), 371–376.

THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ANN ARBOR, MI 48109

