UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Differential motor unit changes after endurance or high-intensity interval training

Martinez Valdes, Eduardo; Falla, Deborah; Negro, Francesco; Mayer, Frank; Farina, Dario

DOI: 10.1249/MSS.000000000001209

License: None: All rights reserved

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Martinez Valdes, E, Falla, D, Negro, F, Mayer, F & Farina, D 2017, 'Differential motor unit changes after endurance or high-intensity interval training', *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*. https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000001209

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

Publisher Rights Statement:

Final Version of Record available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000001209 Checked 30/1/2017

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

• Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

• Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

• User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?) • Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.



The Official Journal of the American College of Sports Medicine

. . . Published ahead of Print

Differential Motor Unit Changes after Endurance or High-Intensity Interval Training

Eduardo Martinez-Valdes¹, Deborah Falla², Francesco Negro³, Frank Mayer¹, and Dario Farina⁴

¹Department of Sports Medicine and Sports Orthopaedics, University of Potsdam, Potsdam, Germany; ²Centre of Precision Rehabilitation for Spinal Pain (CPR Spine), School of Sport, Exercise and Rehabilitation Sciences, College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom; ³Department of Clinical and Experimental Sciences, Università degli Studi di Brescia, Brescia, Italy; ⁴Department of Bioengineering, Imperial College London, Royal School of Mines, London, United Kingdom

Accepted for Publication: 12 January 2017

Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise Published ahead of Print contains articles in unedited manuscript form that have been peer reviewed and accepted for publication. This manuscript will undergo copyediting, page composition, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered that could affect the content.

Differential Motor Unit Changes after Endurance or High-Intensity Interval Training

Eduardo Martinez-Valdes¹, Deborah Falla², Francesco Negro³, Frank Mayer¹, and Dario Farina⁴

¹Department of Sports Medicine and Sports Orthopaedics, University of Potsdam, Potsdam,

Germany; ²Centre of Precision Rehabilitation for Spinal Pain (CPR Spine), School of Sport,

Exercise and Rehabilitation Sciences, College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of

Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom; ³Department of Clinical and Experimental

Sciences, Università degli Studi di Brescia, Brescia, Italy; ⁴Department of Bioengineering,

Imperial College London, Royal School of Mines, London, United Kingdom

Running title: Motor Unit Changes after HIIT and Endurance

Correspondence to:

Eduardo Martinez-Valdes Department of Sports Medicine and Sports Orthopaedics University of Potsdam Am Neuen Palais 10, Haus 12, 14469 Potsdam, Germany Tel: +49 331 9771439 Fax: +49 331 9771296 Email: emartine@uni-potsdam.de E. Martinez-Valdes was supported by a PhD scholarship from the University of Potsdam, based on the postgraduate funding regulations of the federal state of Brandenburg, Germany. No conflicts of interests are reported. The results of the present study do not constitute endorsement by ACSM. The authors declare that the results of the present study are presented clearly, honestly, and without fabrication, falsification, or inappropriate data manipulation.

ABSTRACT

Purpose: Using a novel technique of high-density surface electromyography (HDEMG) decomposition and motor unit (MU) tracking, we compared changes in the properties of vastus medialis (VM) and vastus lateralis (VL) MUs following endurance (END) and high-intensity interval training (HIIT). Methods: Sixteen men were assigned to an END or HIIT group (n=8 each) and performed six training sessions over 14 days. Each session consisted of 8-12×60s intervals at 100% peak power output (PPO) separated by 75s of recovery (HIIT) or 90-120min continuous cycling at ~65% VO_{2peak} (END). Pre and post intervention, participants performed: 1) incremental cycling to determine VO_{2peak} and PPO and 2) maximal (MVC), submaximal (10, 30, 50 and 70% MVC) and sustained (until task failure at 30% MVC) isometric knee extensions while HDEMG signals were recorded from the VM and VL. EMG signals were decomposed (submaximal contractions) into individual MUs by convolutive blind source separation. Finally, MUs were tracked across sessions by semi-blind source separation. Results: After training, END and HIIT improved VO_{2peak} similarly (by 5.0 and 6.7%, respectively). The HIIT group showed enhanced maximal knee extension torque by ~7% (p=0.02) and was accompanied by an increase in discharge rate for high-threshold MUs (\geq 50% knee extension MVC) (p<0.05). In contrast, the END group increased their time to task failure by ~17%, but showed no change in MU discharge rates (p>0.05). Conclusions: HIIT and END induce different adjustments in MU discharge rate despite similar improvements in cardiopulmonary fitness. Moreover, the changes induced by HIIT are specific for high-threshold motor units. For the first time we show that HIIT and END induce specific neuromuscular adaptations, possibly related to differences in exercise load intensity and training volume. **Key Words:** High-density surface electromyography, motor unit decomposition, motor unit tracking, motor unit discharge rate, motor unit adaptation, neuromuscular adaptation.

INTRODUCTION

High intensity interval training (HIIT) describes physical exercise that is characterized by brief, intermittent bursts of vigorous physical activity, interspersed by periods of rest or lowintensity exercise (15). Subjects perform short periods of training (from 30 seconds to 1 minute) at intensities from 90% of maximum heart rate and above, interspersed with a passive or active rest, achieving a maximum exercise volume of 10 to 20 min/session (30-60 min/week). In comparison to traditional high-volume endurance training (END), HIIT induces similar changes in a range of physiological (e.g., enhanced aerobic metabolism), performance (e.g., faster completion of a certain amount of work), and health-related markers (e.g., increased flow-mediated dilation) (4, 16, 22, 31), but with a much lower time commitment. Therefore, HIIT is typically offered as an alternative to END. However, no study has evaluated the neuromuscular adaptations induced by HIIT. Since neuromuscular adaptations to training are highly specific and vary according to the training regime (35), differences in neuromuscular adaptations to HIIT and END might be expected since the training protocols differ in load intensity and exercise volume.

Recordings of motor units provide a window to the central nervous system, allowing analysis of the way in which the central nervous system controls muscle force (13). In one of the few studies assessing motor unit adaptations following training, Vila-Cha et al. (35) observed different changes in low-threshold motor unit discharge rates (average discharge rate and discharge rate variability) between END and strength training. These findings suggested a specific adaptation in motor unit discharge rate according to the training regime applied. However, these differences could not be assessed for high threshold motor units due to previous technical limitations. Indeed, there is a lack of knowledge about changes in discharge rate of high threshold motor units (9), since classic methods for electromyography (EMG) signal decomposition are limited to the identification of a few motor units concurrently, at low forces (8). Nonetheless, high-density surface electromyography (HDEMG) has recently emerged as an alternative to overcome this limitation. The availability of many (tens) observation sites allows for automatic methods of source separation to reliably identify a large number of motor units, for a wide range of forces (close to the maximum voluntary contraction, MVC, force) (10, 24, 29). Moreover, several observation channels can be used to track the same motor units across different sessions, therefore allowing longitudinal studies of the same motor units in humans over long periods of time (weeks) (25). This achievement has opened new possibilities to study the neuromuscular adaptions to training.

The purpose of the study was to evaluate, for the first time, changes in muscle activity and motor unit properties (discharge rate, discharge rate variability and recruitment threshold) of synergistic knee extensor muscles, following short-term low-volume HIIT and high-volume END training interventions, utilizing a novel technique of HDEMG motor unit tracking. It was hypothesized that, despite similar increases in cardiorespiratory fitness parameters (e.g., peak oxygen uptake, VO_{2peak} (26)), these two training protocols will induce different changes in motor output (maximal strength, rate of torque development, time to task failure) that will be related to different adjustments in motor unit discharge rates. Moreover, we hypothesized that these adjustments will vary across the motor unit pool, with low-threshold motor units showing different changes compared to high threshold motor units, given the differences in load intensity and training volume between the two types of training.

METHODS

Participants

Eighteen healthy men (mean (SD) age: 29 (3) years, height: 178 (6) cm, mass: 79 (9) kg) participated. All subjects were physically active and took part in some form of recreational exercise at least two to three times per week (e.g. soccer, running, etc.). None of the subjects were engaged in regular training for a particular sporting event or competition. Exclusion criteria included any neuromuscular disorder as well as any current or previous history of knee pain and age < 18 or > 35 years. Participants were asked to avoid any strenuous activity 24 h prior to the measurements. Nine subjects were randomly assigned to a HIIT group and the other nine were assigned to an END group. A control group was not implemented since we previously reported no changes in motor output and vasti muscles motor unit properties, in control subjects measured in the space of two weeks (24). The ethics committee of the Universität Potsdam approved the study (approval number 26/2015), in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki (2004). All participants gave written, informed consent.

Experimental protocol

The experimental protocol consisted of baseline measurements (i.e., isometric knee extension torque, EMG recordings, peak oxygen uptake (VO_{2peak}) determination), a 2-week intervention of END or HIIT training and post-training measurements.

Baseline measurements (Torque and EMG measurements). The participant was seated in an isokinetic dynamometer (CON-TREX MJ, PHYSIOMED, Regensdorf, Switzerland), with the trunk reclined to 15° in an adjustable chair while the hip and distal thigh were secured to the chair. The rotational axis of the dynamometer was aligned with the lateral femoral epicondyle of the dominant leg and the lower leg was secured to the dynamometer lever arm above the lateral malleolus. Maximal and submaximal isometric knee extensions were exerted with the knee flexed to 90°. After placement of the surface electrodes (as described in *Data acquisition* below), subjects performed three maximal voluntary contractions (MVC) of knee extension each over a period of 5 s. These trials were separated by 2 min of rest. The highest MVC value was used as a reference for the definition of the submaximal torque levels. Five minutes of rest were provided after the MVC measurement. In each of the baseline and post-intervention sessions, the submaximal torques were expressed as a percent of the MVC measured during the same session. After the MVCs, the participants performed three maximal-ballistic isometric contractions, each separated by 30 s of rest. They were encouraged to exert their maximal torque as fast as possible in response to a visual signal shown on a computer monitor. Then, after 5 minutes of rest, and following a few familiarization trials at low torque levels (10 and 30% MVC), subjects performed submaximal isometric knee extension contractions at 10, 30, 50 and 70% MVC in a randomized order. The contractions at 10-30% were sustained for 20 s, while the contractions at 50 and 70% MVC lasted 15 and 10 s respectively. In each trial, the subjects received visual feedback of the torque applied by the leg to the dynamometer, which was displayed as a trapezoid (5 s ramps with hold-phase durations as specified above). Each contraction level was performed twice per session and 2 minutes of rest were allowed after each contraction. The randomization order of these contractions was kept the same for each subject in the pre and post intervention sessions, to minimize the possible influence of cumulative fatigue in the results of the motor unit data when studying the training-induced adaptations. Finally, the subjects performed a further isometric knee extension contraction at 30% MVC, maintaining the torque level for as long as possible. Time to task failure was defined as the time instant when the subject

exerted a force 10% MVC below the target force for an interval of time of 2 s (5).

Then, 24 h after these measurements, the subjects returned to the laboratory to perform an incremental test to exhaustion on an electronically braked cycle ergometer (Lode Excalibur Sport V2.0, Groningen, the Netherlands). VO_{2peak} and the submaximal ventilation thresholds were determined using a gas analysis system (ZAN 600, Nspire Health, Oberthulba, Germany), which was calibrated before each test with known values of oxygen (O₂), carbon dioxide (CO₂), and volume. Following a 3-min warm-up at 30 W, the test began with the workload increasing by 6 W every 12 s until volitional exhaustion. The revolutions per minute were maintained between 80 and 90 throughout the incremental test and training sessions. The value used for VO_{2peak} corresponded to the highest value achieved over a 30 s collection period. Peak power output was defined as the maximal power (W) achieved at the end of the ramp VO_{2peak} cycle-ergometer test. Finally, the first ventilatory threshold (VT1) was identified by the ventilatory equivalent method, where VT1 corresponded to the power output and VO2 value at which the ventilatory equivalent for O₂ (VE/VO₂) exhibited a systematic increase without a concomitant increase in the ventilatory equivalent for CO₂ (VE/VCO₂) (37). The respiratory compensation point (VT2) was identified by using the criterion of an increase in both VE/VO2 and VE/VCO2 and by using the first decrease in the end-tidal pressure of CO_2 (PETCO₂) as a confirmatory indicator (37).

Training Protocols. The training interventions were performed using two protocols that have shown similar improvements in cardio-respiratory fitness (VO_{2peak}) and aerobic capacity, despite differences in total training volume and intensity (16, 22). The training protocol commenced approximately 72 h after the incremental test and consisted of six training sessions over 14 days. Each session was performed on Mondays, Wednesdays, and Fridays. An investigator of the study (E.M-V) supervised all training sessions. For the END group, training

consisted of 90-120 min of continuous cycling at 65% of VO_{2peak} using a protocol described previously (16). The duration of exercise increased from 90 min during sessions 1 and 2 to 105 min during sessions 3 and 4, and finally to 120 min during sessions 5 and 6. For the HIIT group, training consisted of 60 s bouts of high-intensity cycling at 100% peak power output as described previously (22). These bouts were interspersed by 75 s of cycling at 30 W for recovery (22). Participants completed 8 high-intensity intervals during sessions 1 and 2, 10 intervals during sessions 3 and 4, and 12 intervals on the final two sessions. A warm-up period of 3 min at 30 W was performed each session prior to training.

In summary, the HIIT group performed the exercise at an intensity of ~335 W, with a total training commitment of 8-12 min per session (18-27 min including recovery). The total training commitment for HIIT over the two weeks was 60 min (135 min including recovery), reaching a total exercise volume of ~1205 kJ (~1375 kJ including recovery). In contrast, the END group performed the exercise at an intensity of ~165 W, with a total training commitment of 90-120 min per session. The total training commitment for END over the two weeks was 630 min, achieving a total exercise volume of ~6250 kJ.

Post-training measurements. The post-training sessions (torque, EMG recordings and incremental test) were identical to the baseline-testing procedures and were performed approximately 72 h post training.

Data Acquisition

EMG signals were acquired from the vastus medialis (VM), vastus lateralis (VL) and biceps femoris (BF) muscles during maximal and submaximal isometric contractions as described above. For the VM and VL, surface EMG signals were recorded in monopolar

derivation with a two-dimensional (2D) adhesive grid (SPES Medica, Salerno, Italy) of 13×5 equally spaced electrodes (each of 1 mm diameter, with an inter-electrode distance of 8 mm), with one electrode absent from the upper right corner. The electrode grids were positioned as described previously (21, 24). EMG signals were initially recorded during a brief voluntary contraction during which a linear non-adhesive electrode array was moved over the skin to detect the location of the innervation zone and tendon regions (23). After skin preparation (shaving, abrasion and water), the electrode cavities of the grids were filled with conductive paste (SPES Medica, Salerno, Italy) and the grids positioned between the proximal and distal tendons of the VL and VM muscles with the electrode columns (comprising 13 electrodes) oriented along the muscle fibers. Reference electrodes were positioned over the malleoli and patella of the dominant leg. Signals from the BF were recorded in bipolar mode with Ag-AgCl electrodes (Ambu Neuroline 720, Ballerup, Denmark; conductive area 28 mm²) and were positioned according to guidelines (2). The location of the electrodes was marked on the skin of the participants using a surgical pen (subjects were instructed to re-mark the electrode zone daily). Also, the position of the electrodes was further reported on a transparent sheet by using anatomical landmarks. These procedures allowed a similar electrode positioning across sessions.

Torque and EMG signals were sampled at 2048 Hz, converted to digital data by a 12-bit analogue to digital converter (EMG-USB 2, 256-channel EMG amplifier, OT Bioelettronica, Torino, Italy, 3dB, bandwidth 10-500 Hz). EMG signals were amplified by a factor of 2000, 1000, 500, 500 and 500 for the 10, 30, 50, 70 and 100% MVC contractions, respectively. Data were stored on a computer hard disk and analyzed in Matlab offline (The Mathworks Inc., Natick, Massachusetts, USA). Finally, before decomposition, the 64-monopolar EMG channels were re-referenced offline to form 59 bi-polar channels using the difference between the adjacent

electrodes in the direction of the muscle fibers.

Signal analysis

Torque. The torque signal was low-pass filtered offline at 15 Hz. The coefficient of variation (CoV) of torque (SD torque/mean torque) was calculated from the stable-torque region during the submaximal contractions. Rate of torque development (RTD) was calculated from the ballistic contractions as the maximum slope of the torque-time curve (Δ torque/ Δ time) as presented previously (35). Briefly, for RTD calculation, the torque signal that was originally sampled at 2048 Hz was low pass filtered at 15 Hz and then resampled at 30 Hz, the peak slope was detected from the derivative of this torque signal. The onset of torque during the ballistic contractions was defined as the time instant when torque exceeded 7.5 Nm (1).

Interference EMG. The average rectified values (ARV) obtained from submaximal, maximal and explosive contractions, were averaged over all channels of the electrode grid to increase its repeatability between pre-post intervention trials (14). During the submaximal isometric contractions, the ARV was computed from the HDEMG and bipolar (for BF) signals in intervals of 1 s. These values were extracted from the stable-torque region of the contractions (e.g., hold-phase of 20 seconds at 30% MVC). ARVs of the maximal (MVC) contractions were analyzed in a time window of 250 ms centered at the peak EMG activity. During the explosive contractions, ARV was calculated in a 50 ms interval centered at the time instant of the maximal slope in torque (35). Finally, co-activation was quantified as the average of VM and VL ARV divided by the BF ARV (33).

Motor unit analysis. The EMG signals recorded during the submaximal isometric contractions (from 10 to 70% MVC) were decomposed offline with a method that has been

extensively validated (29). The signals were decomposed throughout the whole duration of the submaximal contractions and the discharge times of the identified motor units were converted in binary spike trains (24). The mean discharge rate and discharge rate variability (coefficient of variation of the inter-spike-interval, CoV_{isi} , see below for details), were calculated during the stable plateau torque region. Recruitment thresholds for each motor unit were defined as the knee extension torque (Nm) at the times when the motor unit began discharging action potentials. Discharge times that were separated from the next by > 200 ms were excluded from the estimation of recruitment thresholds to avoid aligning the thresholds with noise-generated discharges. Only motor units with a coefficient of variation for the inter-spike interval (CoV_{isi}) <30% which satisfied the constrains described in (29), during the stable torque portion of the contraction were considered for further analysis. Finally, discharges that were separated from the next by <33.3 ms or >200 ms (30 and 5 Hz, respectively) were excluded from the mean discharge rate and CoV_{isi} estimates because these discharges are likely due to decomposition errors (24).

Motor unit tracking. A motor unit tracking procedure was applied using a method that has been recently presented (25). The motor unit identification and tracking method is an extension of the convolutive blind source separation technique described by Negro et al. (29) and it was adapted to extract motor units with multi-channel action potential shapes maximally similar across sessions. After the full blind HDEMG decomposition was performed on the baseline recording session, we applied a semi-blind separation procedure on the post-training session, focusing on finding only the sources that had de-whitened projection vectors (original multichannel filters or motor unit action potential profiles) similar to the ones extracted from session 1. The normalized cross-correlation between the extended projection vectors was used as a measure of similarity. For each motor unit identified in the pre-intervention trial, we ran the semi-blind algorithm on the post-intervention trial until a motor unit with normalized cross-correlation >0.8 was found. The algorithm maximized the probability to find the matched motor units across different trials (25). In this study, we used an extension factor of 16 for the decomposition iteration and 50 samples for computing the similarity measures between dewhitened projection vectors (motor unit action potential profiles). These parameters have been validated in (25).

Statistical Analysis

Before comparisons, all variables were tested for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test. The assumption of sphericity was checked by Mauchley's test and, if violated, the Greenhouse-Geisser correction was made to the degrees of freedom. Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05. Results are expressed as mean and standard deviation (SD) unless stated otherwise.

The effects of the two training programs on peak torque (MVC), RTD, time to task failure, CoV of torque and co-activation, as well as cardiopulmonary fitness parameters (VO_{2peak} , peak power output, VT1 and VT2) were assessed with a two-way repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) with factors group (END and HIIT) and time (pre and post). Changes in ARV parameters during MVC, RTD and the submaximal contractions as well as mean discharge rate and CoV_{isi}, were evaluated with three-way repeated measures ANOVA with factors group (END and HIIT), time (pre and post) and muscle (VM and VL) at each torque level (10, 30, 50 and 70% MVC) independently. Pairwise comparisons were made with the Student-Newman-Keuls post hoc test when ANOVA was significant. A four-way repeated measures ANOVA was performed [(factors: group, time, muscle and torque level (10, 30, 50, 70% MVC)] to check

whether the recruitment thresholds (knee extension torque at which motor units began discharging action potentials) of the identified motor units, at each submaximal MVC level, increased with torque and also to evaluate if this parameter changed after the intervention. The intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC_{2,1}) was also computed in each of the groups (HIIT and END) at all submaximal torque levels, in order to check the consistency of the recruitment thresholds from the motor units tracked between pre and post training sessions. Finally, the partial eta-squared (η_p^2) for ANOVA was used to examine the effect size of changes in all the aforementioned parameters after the training intervention. A η_p^2 less than 0.06 was classified as "small", 0.07-0.14 as "moderate", and greater than 0.14 as "large" (6).

RESULTS

The two groups initially consisted of 9 subjects each; however, 1 subject from the END group and 1 subject from the HIIT group did not complete the full training protocol and were excluded from the analysis. Therefore, results are presented for 8 participants in the END group (mean (SD) age: 29 (2) years, height: 177 (6) cm, mass: 77 (8) kg) and 8 participants in the HIIT group (mean (SD) age: 29 (3) years, height: 177 (7) cm, mass: 79 (7) kg). No differences were observed between groups for age, height and weight (P > 0.51). Moreover, there were no differences between the groups for any of the motor output (peak torque, time to task failure, rate of torque development and CoV of torque), cardiopulmonary fitness (VO_{2peak}, peak power output and submaximal ventilation thresholds) or electrophysiological (surface EMG amplitude, vasti-BF co-activation, motor unit discharge rate, CoV_{isi} and recruitment threshold) parameters assessed during the baseline sessions (prior to training) (P > 0.32 in all cases).

Cardiorespiratory fitness

Table 1 summarizes cardiorespiratory fitness changes assessed pre and post intervention for the HIIT and END protocols. Overall, all the variables changed similarly in both groups and none of the parameters showed a between-group interaction effect (P > 0.56). VO_{2peak} increased after training by 6.7 (4.1)% and 5.0 (7.8)% in HIIT and END group, respectively (main effect for time; p=0.001, η_p^2 = 0.54). Peak power output also increased by 7.4 (3.3)% in HIIT and by 6.3 (3.0)% in END (main effect for time; p<0.001, η_p^2 = 0.88). Regarding the submaximal ventilation thresholds, HIIT and END training only induced a significant increase of VT2 work intensity (W) of 9.1 (8.3)% and 9.0 (8.2)% in HIIT and END, respectively (main effect for time; p<0.001, η_p^2 = 0.58). Further results for the cardiorespiratory fitness parameters and post-hoc tests can be found in **Table 1.**

Motor output

HIIT and END training induced specific changes in motor performance after the intervention (Figure 1). Two weeks of HIIT produced a significant increase in peak torque (MVC) of 6.7 (6.6)% that contrasted to the response of END, which showed similar peak torques across pre and post testing sessions (interaction: time × group; p=0.01, η_p^2 = 0.38). On the contrary, END showed a significant increase in time to task failure of 16.9% (14.4) that contrasted to the response of HIIT, which showed similar times to task failure across testing sessions (interaction: time x group; p=0.01, η_p^2 = 0.33). Neither HIIT nor END induced any significant change in RTD (interaction: time × group; p=0.09, η_p^2 = 0.087). Finally, CoV of torque increased significantly from 2.2 (0.4)% to 2.5 (0.6)% after training for the submaximal contractions at 10% MVC in the HIIT group (interaction: time × group; p=0.033, η_p^2 = 0.28).

Conversely, CoV of torque at the other torque levels (30, 50 and 70% MVC) showed no significant changes after the intervention for either group (P > 0.25) (see figure, supplemental digital content (SDC) 1, CoV of torque for HIIT and END groups across all force levels pre and post intervention, http://links.lww.com/MSS/A850).

Surface EMG

Figure 2 shows the EMG amplitude (ARV) of the VM and VL during submaximal (10, 30, 50 and 70% MVC), maximal (MVC) and ballistic isometric knee extension contractions for each testing session (pre-post). Overall, both vasti muscles showed similar changes of EMG amplitude over the training period (interaction: time \times muscle; P > 0.15 for all isometric contractions). Regarding submaximal contractions (Fig. 2a and 2b), EMG amplitude at 10 and 30% MVC did not change after the intervention for any training group or muscle (VM, VL) (P > 0.14). However, the ARV of VM and VL during the 50% MVC contractions increased significantly for HIIT [11.4 (7.6)% and 11.3 (5.2)% increase in VM and VL, respectively] but not for END (interaction: time × group; P=0.007, η_p^2 = 0.44). These differences were maintained at 70% MVC (interaction: time × group; P=0.02, η_p^2 = 0.35), where ARV from the HIIT group increased by 13.0 (10.9)% and 14.1 (10.6)% in VM and VL, respectively. A similar result was observed for ARV during the maximal contractions (Fig. 2c), since VM and VL activity only increased in the HIIT group by 17.3 (12.6)% and 14.1 (10.2)%, respectively (interaction: time × group; P=0.001, η_p^2 = 0.55). Neither HIIT nor END training induced any significant change in ARV during the ballistic contractions (Fig. 2d) (P > 0.16). Finally, the amount of vasti-BF coactivation did not differ across sessions in either group (P > 0.50 for all isometric contractions).

Motor unit decomposition and tracking

The total number of decomposed motor units across the different torque levels and sessions was between [mean (range)] 134 (116 - 154) and 122 (95 - 141) for VM and VL, respectively. An example of the motor unit tracking procedure is reported in **Figure 3**. Figure 3a shows three motor units of the VM muscle that were identified at 70% MVC (upper left corner). A de-whitened projection vector (motor unit action potential profile) from motor unit 1 (MU 1 PRE, blue) was extracted. This vector was then used to find a source that was maximally similar after the intervention (MU 1 POST, red). Finally, both projection vectors were visually inspected and matched by cross-correlation in order to confirm that the automatic tracking was correct (cross correlation between both projected vectors was 0.86, Figure 3a, right). This procedure was then repeated for motor units 2 and 3 (not shown in the figure). Figure 3b shows instantaneous discharge rates during the stable force part of the isometric contraction at 70% MVC (motor unit firings were low-pass filtered at 2 Hz) from the same 3 tracked motor units presented in Figure 3a PRE (left) and POST (right) HIIT. A clear increase from 19.0 (1.7) to 22.0 (2.1) pulses per second (pps) was observed for these units after the intervention (see Motor unit properties results). Following this procedure, the number of tracked motor units across pre and post intervention testing sessions varied between 60 (46 - 69) and 50 (33 - 74) for VM and VL, respectively (across all submaximal force levels, in all 16 subjects). Therefore, 44.8 (39.5 -50.9% and 41.0(33.7 - 49.7)% of motor units from those identified by decomposition could be tracked across sessions (average number of tracked motor units per subject was 4 (1) and 3 (1), for the VM and VL, respectively). The cross correlation values from the projecting vectors of the tracked motor units (from VM and VL) ranged between 0.80 and 0.96 (average: 0.86).

Motor unit properties

Figure 4 depicts the mean motor unit discharge rate for the VM and VL during the submaximal contractions at 10, 30, 50 and 70% MVC. No differences in the mean motor unit discharge rate were observed between the VM and VL in each testing session (interaction: time × muscle; P > 0.30 for all submaximal contractions). However, VM showed significantly greater mean motor unit discharge rates at 50 and 70% MVC (effect: muscle; P=0.006, η_p^2 = 0.45 and P=0.016, η_p^2 = 0.37, at 50 and 70% MVC, respectively). For the contractions at 10 and 30% MVC (low threshold motor units, Fig. 4a), the average discharge rate for both vasti muscles was not influenced by either training (interaction: time × group; P=0.30 and 0.1, at 10 and 30% MVC, respectively). However, at both 50 and 70% MVC (high threshold motor units, Fig. 4b), the VM and VL increased their discharge rates (by 8.5 (9.0) and 9.5 (7.1)% at 50% MVC and by 12.1 (7.6) and 9.5 (6.6)% at 70% MVC in VM and VL, respectively) in the HIIT group but not in the END group (interaction: time × group; P=0.036, η_p^2 = 0.29 and P=0.015, η_p^2 = 0.38, at 50 and 70% MVC, respectively). The recruitment thresholds of the identified motor units increased with torque (effect: torque; P<0.001, $\eta_p^2 = 0.88$), similarly for both muscles (interaction: torque x muscle; P=0.2, η_p^2 = 0.12) and did not change after the intervention (interaction: time x group x torque; P=0.16, $\eta_p^2 = 0.14$). These results are confirmed by the high ICCs found for the recruitment thresholds pre and post intervention at all force levels (average ICCs of 0.90 and 0.95 for HIIT and END, respectively) (Figure 5). Finally, neither training induced change in CoV_{isi} (P > 0.57) (see table, SDC 2, VM and VL CoV_{isi} results for HIIT and END groups across all force levels pre and post intervention, http://links.lww.com/MSS/A851).

DISCUSSION

This is the first study to show that HIIT and END training elicit distinct adjustments in motor output and motor unit behavior despite similar changes in cardio-respiratory fitness. HIIT determined an increase in MVC peak torque, with an increase in EMG amplitude and motor unit discharge rate at the highest force levels (from 50% MVC and above). Conversely, END induced an increase in time to task failure for a sustained contraction at 30% MVC and no changes in isometric knee extension strength or motor unit discharge rate. Taken together, these findings suggest that HIIT and END induce specific neuromuscular adaptations, which likely relate to their differences in exercise intensity and training volume.

Training protocols and motor output

Previous studies have reported that HIIT can be used as an alternative to endurance training. Studies comparing short-term low-volume HIIT and high-volume END have found similar physiological adaptations in aerobic metabolism (16, 22), exercise performance (16, 26) and cardiorespiratory fitness (15, 26), despite large differences in exercise volume and exercise intensity. Therefore, we used previously validated protocols that differed in both time commitment and intensity, but were known to induce similar metabolic and cardiorespiratory fitness adaptations (16, 22). These protocols were selected in order to verify whether similar adaptations were also observed at the neuromuscular level, despite the divergent nature of both training regimes (HIIT: low-volume, high-load vs. END: high-volume, low-load). As expected, the two trainings resulted in a similar increase in VO_{2peak} , peak power output and submaximal ventilation thresholds (Table 1), in agreement with previous reports (15, 26). However, HIIT and END induced different changes in motor performance that can be related to their different

training characteristics (Fig. 2). Currently there are no other studies that have detailed changes in neuromuscular performance following HIIT. In the only study that examined changes in muscle function, the authors did not observe changes in isometric knee extension strength after a 4-week HIIT intervention (7), in contrast with our results. However, the training consisted of lower loads (average peak power output of 236 W vs. 335 W, in the current study). Moreover, peak power output was estimated with a stepwise incremental cycling protocol with relatively long steps of 3 min, which is known to underestimate the peak power (38). The current results suggest that HIIT training must be performed at the maximum (or supra maximum) power output achieved during an incremental ramp test in order to induce a significant increase in knee extensor strength. Indeed, the repetitive muscle activity at high loads was presumably responsible for the increase in MVC peak torque after HIIT.

Previous studies have also reported a significant increase in isometric knee extension endurance time (time to task failure) during low-level submaximal contractions after an END training intervention (34, 35). For instance, Vila-Cha et al. (35) observed a 30% increase in time to task failure after a 6-week END cycling intervention. In the same study, the authors did not find any increase in time to task failure following strength training. These results are comparable to our findings. Again, these different adaptations are presumably due to the differences in training volume and exercise intensity between the two interventions (HIIT: short periods of activity at high intensity vs. END: long periods of activity at moderate intensity).

Even though HIIT was associated with increased MVC peak torque, no change in RTD was observed (Fig. 1c). Small to moderate increases in knee extensor strength (approximately 7% in the current study) are not typically associated with increased RTD. Both Vila-Cha et al. (35) and Aagaard et al. (1) only observed an increase in RTD after the isometric knee extension

strength (following resistance training) increased by 18% and 17%, respectively. Nevertheless, it is possible that more ballistic HIIT protocols, such as the Wingate-based sprint interval training, may induce changes in RTD.

Maximal and submaximal contractions and global EMG parameters

Changes of VM and VL EMG amplitude showed similar behavior in the HIIT and END groups at the lowest torque levels (10 and 30% MVC), where no significant change in EMG amplitude was observed. However, only HIIT showed a significant increase in EMG amplitude for both vasti muscles in contractions at 50, 70 and 100% MVC (Fig. 2). Previous studies have documented that both increases in muscle cross sectional area and neural factors are responsible for increases in maximal muscle strength (9). Since changes in muscle-fiber architecture have not been documented after only two weeks of training, the surface EMG results in the current study strongly suggest that the observed changes in maximal isometric muscle torque after HIIT are mainly of neural origin. Increased agonist muscle activation and decreased antagonist activation have been suggested as important factors influencing increases in muscle strength (9). However, we did not identify changes in vasti/BF co-activation (at all torque levels). Therefore, the increased maximal torque was presumably due to factors that also influenced the EMG-torque relation in the agonist, such as changes in motor unit discharge rates or peripheral factors (e.g., muscle fiber conduction velocity), as also shown in a recent study (35). These early adaptations likely involve changes in supraspinal excitability, spinal pathways or changes in the membrane properties in the motoneurons (9). Nevertheless, the exact nature of these early neural adaptations is not yet known (9). Regarding the submaximal contractions, the observed changes in surface EMG amplitude in HIIT were markedly greater among the highest contraction levels

(Fig. 2a), which ultimately suggest a preferential change in the discharge rates of high threshold motor units *(see Submaximal contractions and motor unit properties)*. Indeed, it is likely that the high loads placed on the subjects during HIIT increased the activity of these units. In support of this observation, Vila-Cha et al. (35) previously reported an increase in EMG amplitude at 30 and 100% MVC, but not at 10% MVC, after 3 weeks of resistance training. However, this earlier work also showed an increase of EMG amplitude at 10 and 30% MVC after 3 weeks of END training. Since a decrease in motor unit discharge rate was simultaneously observed after END training, this result was interpreted as an increase of motor unit recruitment at these force levels, although EMG amplitude depends on multiple influencing factors (11, 12). In this study, we attempted to limit the variability in EMG amplitude estimates by averaging across all electrodes of the grid (14, 24).

Submaximal contractions and motor unit properties

In accordance with the surface EMG results, the HIIT and END groups showed similar motor unit discharge rates pre- and post-training for VM and VL at 10 and 30% MVC. However, only HIIT induced an increase in motor unit discharge rate at 50 and 70% MVC, which is also in agreement with surface EMG results (Fig. 4). Together, these findings suggest that changes in motor unit discharge rate are not only specific to the training protocol, but also to the size (18) and threshold of the motor units recruited during the exercise. Indeed, the main differences between HIIT and END are the volume of training and the loads at which the subjects perform the exercise. Even though we did not measure motor unit recruitment during cycling (this is not technically possible), the HIIT protocol, that involved short exercise bouts at the maximal power output, likely required the recruitment of most motor units (20, 36) whereas the END training,

that was performed at a much lower load, likely involved lower threshold units with greater aerobic capacity (20, 36). In accordance with size-specific adjustments in motor units, Kamen and Knight (19) previously observed an increase in VL discharge rates at 100% MVC, but not at 10 or 50% MVC, after 6 weeks of resistance training involving maximal knee-extension isometric contractions. For END, we did not find training-induced changes in motor unit discharge rates in the torque range investigated (Fig. 4). This observation is in accordance with Mettler et al. (27) but contrasts with the results of Vila-Cha et al. (35). However, the latter study differed with respect to ours for training intensity (50 to 75% of heart rate reserve vs. 65% VO_{2peak}), volume (60 to 150 min/week vs. 285 to 345 min/week), and duration (3 to 6 weeks vs. two weeks) (35). Collectively, these findings suggest that END would lead to either maintained or decreased discharge rates, since MVC torque is not expected to change after this type of training (17). Maintained or decreased motor unit discharge rates after END training interventions (at the same relative torque level) are thought to be important factors for longer times to task failure during submaximal, isometric fatiguing contractions (27, 34, 35).

The tracking technique applied in this study allowed for the first time to compare individual motor unit recruitment thresholds before and after training. The recruitment thresholds of the tracked motor units were similar before and after the intervention for both muscles and groups (Fig. 5), suggesting that the observed changes in discharge rate after HIIT were mainly due to an increased neural drive to the muscle, and not to changes in intrinsic motor neuron properties. Previous studies documenting changes in motor unit discharge rates have used unmatched population samples to infer adaptations to a particular motor unit pool (19, 27, 30, 32, 35). However, these previous approaches are limited by the possibility of comparing different motor units, with different recruitment thresholds, in the pre and post training sessions.

Conversely, we could record and follow the same motor units across sessions, providing an accurate interpretation of changes in discharge rate and recruitment threshold. Finally, no change in discharge rate variability (CoV_{isi}) was observed for any of the groups after the intervention, despite that there was a significant increase in CoV of torque for the HIIT group at 10% MVC. A recent study showed that 6 weeks of resistance training increases force/torque steadiness (reduction in CoV of force/torque) and reduces motor unit discharge rate variability (CoVisi) in submaximal contractions at 20 and 30% MVC (33). However, an increase in force steadiness following resistance training has not been observed in all studies (3) and the association between enhanced force steadiness and the reduction of CoV_{isi} is poor (28). Therefore, the increase in CoV of torque at 10% MVC for the HIIT group in the present study could be related to other factors rather than an increase in CoV_{isi}. Although the high loads performed during HIIT might have induced a reduction in the accuracy to maintain the required steadiness at low torque levels, torque steadiness remained similar at all torque levels following END training despite of the low to moderate loads used for this type of training. Therefore, the observations of training-induced changes in torque steadiness require further investigation.

Methodological implications

In this study, for the very first time, we applied motor unit tracking across sessions to study training interventions (25). With this approach, all differences in motor unit discharge rate between END and HIIT groups had a large effect size and showed a clear intervention effect. Previous investigations of this type but without motor unit tracking have shown contradicting results (19, 27, 32, 35). Some studies have even failed to report an effect in discharge rates despite clear increases in muscle strength and surface EMG amplitude (30). We suggest that

these changes could have been masked because of the low number of identified motor units (usually low-threshold) and the unmatched motor units across sessions. Accordingly, we have previously shown that the effect size in longitudinal investigations is substantially increased with our technique (25), which opens new possibilities for further research.

CONCLUSION

Two weeks of HIIT and END showed similar improvement in cardiorespiratory fitness but different adjustments in motor unit behavior. HIIT enhanced maximum torque output and was accompanied by an increase in motor unit discharge rate at the highest torque levels (50 and 70% MVC). In contrast, END increased the time to task failure, but did not influence motor unit discharge rates. These findings reveal that HIIT and END induce differential adaptations among low and high threshold motor units. The study also shows the first results on training-induced changes in motor unit discharge rate by tracking the same individual units before and after training. This methodology may open new perspectives in the study of neural adaptations to training.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

E. Martinez-Valdes was supported by a PhD scholarship from the University of Potsdam, based on the postgraduate funding regulations of the federal state of Brandenburg, Germany. No conflicts of interests are reported. The results of the present study do not constitute endorsement by ACSM. The authors declare that the results of the present study are presented clearly, honestly, and without fabrication, falsification, or inappropriate data manipulation.

REFERENCES

- Aagaard P, Simonsen EB, Andersen JL, Magnusson P, Dyhre-Poulsen P. Increased rate of force development and neural drive of human skeletal muscle following resistance training. *J Appl Physiol (1985)*. 2002;93(4):1318-26.
- Barbero M, Merletti R, Rainoldi A. Atlas of muscle innervation zones : understanding surface electromyography and its applications. Milan ; New York: Springer; 2012. p. 131-133.
- Beck TW, DeFreitas JM, Stock MS, Dillon MA. Effects of Resistance Training on Force Steadiness and Common Drive. *Muscle & Nerve*. 2011;43(2):245-50.
- 4. Burgomaster KA, Hughes SC, Heigenhauser GJ, Bradwell SN, Gibala MJ. Six sessions of sprint interval training increases muscle oxidative potential and cycle endurance capacity in humans. *J Appl Physiol (1985)*. 2005;98(6):1985-90.
- Castronovo AM, Negro F, Conforto S, Farina D. The proportion of common synaptic input to motor neurons increases with an increase in net excitatory input. *J Appl Physiol* (1985). 2015;119(11):1337-46.
- Cohen J. Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences. 2nd ed. Hillsdale, N.J.: L. Erlbaum Associates; 1988. 567 p.
- de Oliveira MF, Caputo F, Corvino RB, Denadai BS. Short-term low-intensity blood flow restricted interval training improves both aerobic fitness and muscle strength. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 2016 Sep;26(9):1017-25.
- 8. Duchateau J, Enoka RM. Human motor unit recordings: origins and insight into the integrated motor system. *Brain Res.* 2011;1409:42-61.

- 9. Duchateau J, Semmler JG, Enoka RM. Training adaptations in the behavior of human motor units. *J Appl Physiol (1985)*. 2006;101(6):1766-75.
- Farina D, Holobar A. Characterization of Human Motor Units From Surface EMG Decomposition. *Proc. IEEE*. 2016;104(2):353-73.
- Farina D, Merletti R, Enoka RM. The extraction of neural strategies from the surface EMG. J Appl Physiol (1985). 2004;96(4):1486-95.
- Farina D, Merletti R, Enoka RM. The Extraction of Neural Strategies from the Surface Emg: An Update. J Appl Physiol (1985). 2014: jap 00162 2014.
- Farina D, Negro F, Muceli S, Enoka RM. Principles of Motor Unit Physiology Evolve With Advances in Technology. *Physiology (Bethesda)*. 2016;31(2):83-94.
- Gallina A, Pollock CL, Vieira TM, Ivanova TD, Garland SJ. Between-day reliability of triceps surae responses to standing perturbations in people post-stroke and healthy controls: A high-density surface EMG investigation. *Gait Posture*. 2016;44:103-9.
- 15. Gibala MJ, Little JP, Macdonald MJ, Hawley JA. Physiological adaptations to low-volume, high-intensity interval training in health and disease. *J Physiol*. 2012;590(5):1077-84.
- 16. Gibala MJ, Little JP, van Essen M et al. Short-term sprint interval versus traditional endurance training: similar initial adaptations in human skeletal muscle and exercise performance. *J Physiol.* 2006;575(Pt 3):901-11.
- Glowacki SP, Martin SE, Maurer A, Baek W, Green JS, Crouse SF. Effects of resistance, endurance, and concurrent exercise on training outcomes in men. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2004;36(12):2119-27.

- Henneman E, Somjen G, Carpenter DO. Excitability and inhibitability of motoneurons of different sizes. *J Neurophysiol*. 1965;28(3):599-620.
- 19. Kamen G, Knight CA. Training-related adaptations in motor unit discharge rate in young and older adults. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2004;59(12):1334-8.
- 20. Krustrup P, Soderlund K, Mohr M, Bangsbo J. The slow component of oxygen uptake during intense, sub-maximal exercise in man is associated with additional fibre recruitment. *Pflugers Arch.* 2004;447(6):855-66.
- Laine CM, Martinez-Valdes E, Falla D, Mayer F, Farina D. Motor Neuron Pools of Synergistic Thigh Muscles Share Most of Their Synaptic Input. *J Neurosci*. 2015;35(35):12207-16.
- 22. Little JP, Safdar A, Wilkin GP, Tarnopolsky MA, Gibala MJ. A practical model of lowvolume high-intensity interval training induces mitochondrial biogenesis in human skeletal muscle: potential mechanisms. *J Physiol*. 2010;588(Pt 6):1011-22.
- Martinez-Valdes E, Guzman-Venegas RA, Silvestre RA et al. Electromyographic adjustments during continuous and intermittent incremental fatiguing cycling. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 2016;26(11):1273-82.
- 24. Martinez-Valdes E, Laine CM, Falla D, Mayer F, Farina D. High-density surface electromyography provides reliable estimates of motor unit behavior. *Clin Neurophysiol*. 2016;127(6):2534-41.
- 25. Martinez-Valdes E, Negro F, Laine CM, Falla D, Mayer F, Farina D. Tracking motor units longitudinally across experimental sessions with high-density surface electromyography. *J Physiol.* 2016. *doi: 10.1113/JP273662*

- 26. McKay BR, Paterson DH, Kowalchuk JM. Effect of short-term high-intensity interval training vs. continuous training on O2 uptake kinetics, muscle deoxygenation, and exercise performance. *J Appl Physiol (1985)*. 2009;107(1):128-38.
- 27. Mettler JA, Griffin L. Muscular endurance training and motor unit firing patterns during fatigue. *Exp Brain Res.* 2016;234(1):267-76.
- Negro F, Holobar A, Farina D. Fluctuations in isometric muscle force can be described by one linear projection of low-frequency components of motor unit discharge rates. J Physiol. 2009;587(Pt 24):5925-38.
- Negro F, Muceli S, Castronovo AM, Holobar A, Farina D. Multi-channel intramuscular and surface EMG decomposition by convolutive blind source separation. *J Neural Eng.* 2016;13(2):026027.
- 30. Pucci AR, Griffin L, Cafarelli E. Maximal motor unit firing rates during isometric resistance training in men. *Exp Physiol*. 2006;91(1):171-8.
- 31. Rakobowchuk M, Tanguay S, Burgomaster KA, Howarth KR, Gibala MJ, MacDonald MJ. Sprint interval and traditional endurance training induce similar improvements in peripheral arterial stiffness and flow-mediated dilation in healthy humans. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol*. 2008;295(1):R236-42.
- 32. Stock MS, Thompson BJ. Effects of barbell deadlift training on submaximal motor unit firing rates for the vastus lateralis and rectus femoris. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(12):e115567.
- 33. Vila-Cha C, Falla D. Strength training, but not endurance training, reduces motor unit discharge rate variability. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol*. 2016;26:88-93.
- 34. Vila-Cha C, Falla D, Correia MV, Farina D. Adjustments in motor unit properties during fatiguing contractions after training. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2012;44(4):616-24.

- 35. Vila-Cha C, Falla D, Farina D. Motor unit behavior during submaximal contractions following six weeks of either endurance or strength training. *J Appl Physiol (1985)*. 2010;109(5):1455-66.
- 36. Vollestad NK, Blom PC. Effect of varying exercise intensity on glycogen depletion in human muscle fibres. *Acta Physiol Scand*. 1985;125(3):395-405.
- 37. Wasserman K. Principles of exercise testing and interpretation: including pathophysiology and clinical applications. 5th ed. Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer Health/Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2012. p. 1-61.
- 38. Zuniga JM, Housh TJ, Camic CL et al. Metabolic parameters for ramp versus step incremental cycle ergometer tests. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab*. 2012;37(6):1110-7.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Results show changes [mean (SD)] in motor performance across the 2-wk training intervention. A: peak torque assessed during isometric maximal voluntary contractions (MVC). B: time to task failure assessed during sustained isometric contractions at 30% MVC. C: rate of torque development during maximal isometric ballistic contractions (maximum slope). *P<0.05.

Figure 2. Values are means (SE) for the average rectified value (ARV) of the vastus medialis (VM) and vastus lateralis (VL) obtained during submaximal [10, 30, 50 and 70% of the maximum voluntary contraction (MVC)], maximal (MVC) and ballistic isometric knee extension contractions before and after training (pre-post). A: high intensity interval training (HIIT) submaximal ARVs. B: endurance (END) training submaximal ARVs. C: ARV values during MVC for HIIT and END. D: ARV values during explosive contractions for HIIT and END. ARV was assessed during a time interval of 50 ms centered at the time instant of the maximum slope. *P<0.05.

Figure 3. Procedure for motor unit tracking from one representative subject in the HIIT group. A) Three vastus medialis (VM) motor unit spike trains decomposed with convolutive blind source separation at 70% of the maximum voluntary contraction (MVC) before (PRE) the intervention can be seen in the left half of the figure. A de-whitened projection vector (motor unit action potential shapes across the electrode grid in 59 single differential channels) from the first motor unit is shown in blue. Semi-blind source separation was applied after the intervention to extract the source that was maximally similar to the projecting vector of motor unit one (center half of the figure, red). Finally, these two projecting vectors were compared by cross-correlation (right half of the figure), and were regarded as the same motor unit since they had a cross correlation of 86%. This procedure was repeated for motor units 2 and 3 (not shown). B) Instantaneous firing rates (motor unit firings were low pass filtered at 2Hz) from the same three motor units presented in A, during the stable force region before (PRE, left half of the figure) and after (POST, right half of the figure) the intervention. This subject increased peak toque by 5% (298.0 vs. 313.6 Nm) after the intervention. The recruitment thresholds of these units were 192.2 vs. 192.2 Nm (64.5 vs. 61.3% MVC, motor unit 1), 175.5 vs. 178.5 Nm (58.9 vs. 56.9% MVC, motor unit 2) and 168.4 vs. 177.0 Nm (56.0 vs. 56.4% MVC, motor unit 3), pre and post intervention respectively. Note the increase in firing rates from 19 (1.7) pulses per second (pps) to 22.0 (2.1) pps.

Figure 4. Values are means (SE) for motor unit discharge rates (in pulses per second, PPS) of the vastus medialis (VM) and vastus lateralis (VL) obtained during submaximal [10, 30, 50 and 70% of the maximum voluntary contraction (MVC)] contractions. A: Low threshold motor units discharge rate results (10 and 30% MVC) of endurance (END) and high intensity interval training (HIIT). B: High threshold motor unit discharge rate results (50 and 70% MVC) of END and HIIT. *P<0.05.

Figure 5. Motor unit recruitment threshold individual values (whiskers represent the 95% confidence interval) for vastus medialis (VM) and vastus lateralis (VL), before (PRE, filled circles) and after (POST, open circles) high intensity interval training (HIIT) and endurance training (END) at all force levels (10, 30, 50 and 70% MVC).





Copyright © 2017 by the American College of Sports Medicine. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.











PRE Intervention VM discharge rate

POST Intervention VM discharge rate









Copyright © 2017 by the American College of Sports Medicine. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

Figure 5



	HIIT			END			
Parameter	Pre	Post	P-value	Pre	Post	P-value	
VO _{2peak} (ml/kg/min)	44.2 (7.1)	47.5 (8.0)*	0.02	44.9 (6.3)	47.2 (4.9)*	0.03	
Peak power output (W)	334.8 (57.8)	360.3 (53.1)*	<0.001	339.6 (62.5)	361.5 (58.3)*	<0.001	
VT1 (ml/kg/min)	28.0 (6.9)	32.5 (7.8)	0.14	28.7 (6.6)	32.0 (4.9)	0.17	
VT1 (W)	198.5 (38.9)	222.4 (43.6)	0.07	196.8 (40.5)	227.5 (36.3)	0.05	
VT 2 (ml/kg/min)	38.0 (6.0)	41.2 (6.8)	0.07	38.4 (6.9)	41.4 (5.9)	0.10	
VT2 (W)	267.8 (39.3)	295.0 (35.4)*	0.03	269.3 (53.3)	294.0 (41.4)*	0.01	

Table 1. Training response for aerobic parameters assessed during incremental cycling in the HIIT and END training groups

Values are means (SD). VT1, first ventilatory threshold; VT2, second ventilatory threshold or respiratory compensation point. Pre, pre-training; Post, post-training. There were no significant differences for any variable between HIIT and END (no interaction effects P>0.05).*Significant difference from Pre (P<0.05), according to post hoc analysis (Student-Newman-Keuls test).

Supplemental Digital Content 1.tiff

Supplemental Digital Content 2.docx



Figure. Values are means (SD) of the coefficient of variation of torque (CoV of torque) at 10, 30, 50 and 70% of the maximum voluntary contraction (MVC). A: CoV of torque values for the high intensity interval-training group (HIIT). B: CoV of torque values for the endurance (END) group. *P<0.05.

		HI	IT		END				
	PRE		POST		PRE		POST		
Force level %MVC	VM	VL	VM	VL	VM	VL	VM	VL	
10%	16.8 (1.4)	17.7 (2.9)	16.9 (3.7)	18.9 (5.8)	18.8 (1.9)	15.9 (3.4)	18.6 (3.4)	16.2 (4.5)	
30%	20.5 (4.1)	24.4 (5.5)	21.2 (2.6)	23.0 (5.2)	24.4 (5.5)	23.2 (6.1)	22.9 (5.3)	24.2 (7.7)	
50%	25.4 (3.6)	27.1 (6.9)	26.3 (4.2)	28.2 (4.4)	26.5 (4.5)	21.5 (5.2)	26.5 (3.6)	22.8 (6.6)	
70%	28.3 (7.3)	29.0 (4.2)	27.3 (5.2)	28.8 (3.2)	27.4 (4.8)	27.5 (4.8)	27.2 (4.2)	26.1 (6.8)	

Table. Coefficient of variation for inter-spike interval (CoVisi)% for motor units identified in each group, muscle, load and session

Coefficient of variation for the inter-spike interval $(CoV_{isi})\%$ of motor units from each group, muscle [vastus medialis (VM) and vastus lateralis (VL)], force level [10, 30, 50 and 70% of the maximum voluntary contraction (MVC)], and session (pre and post). All comparisons were non-statistically significant (P>0.57).