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# Direct Computation of the PEC Body of Revolution Modal Green Function for the Electric Field Integral Equation 

Fahimeh Sepehripour, Martijn C. van Beurden, Senior Member, IEEE, Bastiaan P. de Hon


#### Abstract

We propose a five-term recurrence relation for the direct computation of the modal Green function (MGF) arising in the electric field integral equations (EFIE), when solving the scattering of PEC bodies of revolution. It is shown that, by considering it as an infinite penta-diagonal matrix, the proposed five-term recurrence relation can be solved in a stable manner in $O(M)$ steps for $M$ modes with high and controllable accuracy. By evaluating the performance of the proposed five-term recurrence relation for several scatterers of different geometries, we show that the proposed approach enables an accurate computation with a simple algorithm.


Index Terms-Electric field integral equation, Recurrence relation, Body of revolution, Modal Green function, Singularity extraction.

## I. Introduction

The electromagnetic scattering by a perfectly electric conducting (PEC) object is a classic, yet important, problem in computational electromagnetics [1], [2]. When the shape of the PEC scatterer exhibits spatial symmetry, the computational costs in evaluating the scattering can sometimes be significantly reduced. This is particularly the case for rotationally symmetric objects, called bodies of revolution (BORs) [3][6]. The scattering by PEC BORs has been studied since the 1960s [7]-[10]. The geometry of a BOR can be characterized using a parametric curve, known as the generating curve. The symmetry property of a BOR allows one to reduce the computational domain from a three-dimensional problem to an infinite series of decoupled two-dimensional ones.

Several approaches have been proposed to solve the scattering of PEC BORs, among which the so-called electric field integral equation (EFIE) is one of the most common methods [11], [12]. In this approach, the scattering by the BOR is formulated based on the boundary condition of the electric field at the surface of the PEC boundary, leading to a particular integral equation. EFIEs for BORs involve singular kernels, which are called modal Green functions (MGFs). While closed-form analytical solutions have been proposed for the evaluation of such singular integrals in specific cases, for instance, when the body has a slim geometry [13], the associated MGFs need to be numerically computed in general situations. Due to its singular nature, the computation of the MGFs is the most time-consuming part of the computation.

[^0]Various approaches have been proposed to mitigate the computational complexities caused by such singularities. In [14], four cases are distinguished, and handled for low-order modes using a tailored combination of trapezoidal, steepest-descentpath Gauss-Hermite quadrature, Gauss-Laguerre quadrature and singularity extraction. In [15], another approach has been proposed to improve the computational efficiency of the MGF for the EFIE. The method is based on regularizing the pertaining singular integral representation for the MGF by extracting the singularity and evaluating it separately from the regular part. In particular, the regular part of the MGF is calculated utilizing the fast Fourier transform (FFT) for all modes simultaneously. The remaining singular part is then individually calculated based on a three-term recurrence relation, which leads to a significant speed up. However, this threeterm term recurrence relation suffers from loss of accuracy when the source and observation points approach the axis of rotation. This issue was addressed in [16], [17] by proposing an alternative three-term recurrence relation approach that leads to more accurate results, especially when the source and observation points are close to the axis of rotation.

Despite the advantages of these singularity extraction approaches in providing high speed and accuracy, none of these methods provides a direct solution for the MGF. In fact, in all of these approaches the MGF is composed from two (or more) constituents, obtained using different methods. While there exist some direct solutions for the MGF arising in the EFIE, for example, based on various kinds of quadrature rules [10], [18], [19], these solutions are not sufficiently accurate and fast in general cases when many modes are involved.

We propose a five-term recurrence relation that allows the direct computation of all of the required MGFs arising in the EFIE at once. The proposed five-term recurrence relation is computed using a simple matrix operation that not only provides stability, but also reduces the associated computational complexity. The accuracy of the proposed technique is demonstrated by analyzing the scattering by various BORs.

## II. DERIVATION OF A 5-TERM RECURRENCE RELATION TO compute the MGF

We assume an arbitrary PEC body of revolution with an axially symmetric geometry, as shown in Fig. 1(a). Considering the symmetry of the body, embedded in a homogeneous medium, the BOR can be characterized by a planar curve (black curve in the figure), called the generating curve.


Fig. 1. Scattering analysis of PEC BOR. (a) An arbitrarily shaped PEC BOR, (b) corresponding generating curve of the BOR, (c) Top path: Indirect computational approach based on singularity extraction method involving a FFT and a three-term recurrence relation [16]. Bottom path: the proposed direct approach based on a five-term recurrence relation given in (17).

Fig. 1(b) represents the parameters of the incident field with respect to the generating curve of the BOR. The aim is to characterize the scattering of this PEC BOR based on the EFIE. One approach to compute the singular integrals arising in the MGF is based on the singularity extraction method (top path in the flow chart of Fig. 1(c)). In this method [16], the regular part of the MGF is computed based on an FFT, whereas the singular part is calculated using a three-term recurrence relation. An alternative strategy, investigated in this paper, is based on a five-term recurrence relation that avoids the decomposition of the MGF kernel (bottom path in Fig. 1(c)). In the following, the derivation of this five-term recurrence relation is explained.

We start our analysis by considering the MGF of the EFIE, denoted by $g_{m}$, expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{m}=\int_{0}^{\pi} \cos \left(m \alpha^{\prime}\right) \frac{e^{-j k R\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)}}{R\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)} d \alpha^{\prime}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m \in Z$ is the mode index of the Fourier expansion in the azimuthal direction, $k$ is the wave number and $R\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)$ is the distance between the source and observation points, defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
& R\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)=\sqrt{\rho^{2}+\rho^{\prime 2}-2 \rho \rho^{\prime} \cos \alpha^{\prime}+\left(z-z^{\prime}\right)^{2}} \\
& \alpha^{\prime}=\phi-\phi^{\prime} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

By defining variables $w$ and $\alpha$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& w=\frac{4 \rho \rho^{\prime}}{\left(\rho+\rho^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(z-z^{\prime}\right)^{2}}, \quad 0 \leq w \leq 1  \tag{3}\\
& \alpha=\frac{\alpha^{\prime}-\pi}{2}
\end{align*}
$$

we can express $g_{m}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{m}=(-1)^{m} \sqrt{\frac{w}{\rho \rho^{\prime}}} F_{m}\left(w, k^{\prime}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{m}\left(w, k^{\prime}\right)=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \cos (2 m \alpha) G_{w, k^{\prime}}^{E}(\alpha) d \alpha \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{w, k^{\prime}}^{E}(\alpha)=\frac{e^{-j k^{\prime} \sqrt{1-w \sin ^{2} \alpha}}}{\sqrt{1-w \sin ^{2} \alpha}}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{\prime}=2 k \sqrt{\frac{\rho \rho^{\prime}}{w}} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By considering the trigonometric identity

$$
\begin{align*}
\cos (2 m \alpha)= & 2\left(1-2 \sin ^{2} \alpha\right) \cos (2(m-1) \alpha)  \tag{8}\\
& -\cos (2(m-2) \alpha)
\end{align*}
$$

one can write $F_{m}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{m}\left(w, k^{\prime}\right)=2 F_{m-1}\left(w, k^{\prime}\right)-F_{m-2}\left(w, k^{\prime}\right)- \\
& \quad 4 \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \cos (2(m-1) \alpha) \sin ^{2} \alpha G_{w, k^{\prime}}^{E}(\alpha) d \alpha \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

The remaining integral on the right-hand side in (9) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \cos (2(m-1) \alpha) \sin ^{2} \alpha G_{w, k^{\prime}}^{E}(\alpha) d \alpha \\
& =-\frac{1}{w} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \cos (2(m-1) \alpha)\left(1-w \sin ^{2} \alpha-1\right) G_{w, k^{\prime}}^{E}(\alpha) d \alpha \\
& =-\frac{1}{w} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \cos (2(m-1) \alpha)\left(1-w \sin ^{2} \alpha\right) G_{w, k^{\prime}}^{E}(\alpha) d \alpha \\
& +\frac{1}{w} F_{m-1} \\
& =-\frac{1}{w} I_{m-1}+\frac{1}{w} F_{m-1} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{m}\left(w, k^{\prime}\right)=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \cos (2 m \alpha)\left(1-w \sin ^{2} \alpha\right) G_{w, k^{\prime}}^{E}(\alpha) d \alpha \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By substituting (10) in (9), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{m}\left(w, k^{\prime}\right)= & \left(2-\frac{4}{w}\right) F_{m-1}\left(w, k^{\prime}\right)-F_{m-2}\left(w, k^{\prime}\right)+ \\
& \frac{4}{w} I_{m-1}\left(w, k^{\prime}\right) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

For $m \neq 0$, we use integration by parts (see Appendix A) to calculate $I_{m}$, leading to the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{m}\left(w, k^{\prime}\right)= & \frac{w}{8 m}\left[F_{m-1}\left(w, k^{\prime}\right)-F_{m+1}\left(w, k^{\prime}\right)\right]-  \tag{13}\\
& j k^{\prime} \frac{w}{8 m}\left[J_{m-1}\left(w, k^{\prime}\right)-J_{m+1}\left(w, k^{\prime}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{m}\left(w, k^{\prime}\right)=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \cos (2 m \alpha) \sqrt{1-w \sin ^{2} \alpha} G_{w, k^{\prime}}^{E}(\alpha) d \alpha \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, by applying integration by parts to $J_{m}(w)$ (see Appendix A) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{m}\left(w, k^{\prime}\right)=j k^{\prime} \frac{w}{8 m}\left[F_{m+1}\left(w, k^{\prime}\right)-F_{m-1}\left(w, k^{\prime}\right)\right] \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

by substituting (15) and (13) in (12) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{w k^{\prime 2} m}{4(m-1)(m+1)}+4 m \frac{w-2}{w}\right) F_{m}=\frac{w k^{\prime 2}}{8(m-1)} F_{m-2}+ \\
& (2 m-1) F_{m-1}+(2 m+1) F_{m+1}+\frac{w k^{\prime 2}}{8(m+1)} F_{m+2} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

According to (4), the recurrence relation for $g_{m}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\frac{w k^{\prime 2}}{16(m-1)(m+1)}+\frac{w-2}{w}\right) g_{m}=\frac{w k^{\prime 2}}{32 m(m-1)} g_{m-2} \\
-\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{4 m}\right) g_{m-1}-\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4 m}\right) g_{m+1}+\frac{w k^{\prime 2}}{32 m(m+1)} g_{m+2} \tag{17}
\end{gather*}
$$

which is a five-term recurrence relation that holds for $m \geq 2$. Note that $m=0$ and $m=1$ serve as initial values. It is also worth mentioning that, since the MGF is an even function with respect to the parameter $m$, the relation given in (17) holds for negative integer values of $m$ as well.

## III. EXPloitation of the proposed recurrence RELATION

It is tempting to try to exploit the 5-term recurrence relation (17) using a forward (or backward) algorithm, as is customary for three-term recurrence relations, see e.g. [20]. Fig. 2(a) and (b) illustrate the absolute error in $g_{m}(w)$ corresponding to the forward and backward algorithms for various values of $w$. Note that, for the backward recurrence relation, the difference index $\Delta m$ represents the starting point of $g_{m+\Delta m}(w)$ to obtain an accurate answer for $g_{m}(w)$ for a fixed $m=20$. Note further that, we used $(0,1+j, 1-j, 1)$ as the initial points. For the purpose of numerical integration, Mathematica's NIntegrate method [21] has been used as a reference to assess the error in the modal Green functions. As observed in these figures, neither of these approaches gives rise to a stable solution for $g_{m}(w)$. Hence, we use a different strategy, based on a matrix equation approach [22]-[24], to compute $g_{m}(w)$ in (17) in a stable manner. To this end, we first represent the five-term recurrence relation as a semi-infinite penta-diagonal matrix,
starting from (17) from $m=2$, as

$$
C\left[\begin{array}{c}
g_{2}  \tag{18}\\
g_{3} \\
g_{4} \\
g_{5} \\
g_{6} \\
\vdots \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
-a_{2} g_{0}-b_{2} g_{1} \\
-a_{3} g_{1} \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right]
$$

in which the vector with elements $g_{m}$ on the left represent the unknowns and the first two modal Green functions, i.e. $g_{0}$ and $g_{1}$ are computed using global adaptive quadrature method for all values of w , except for w close to 1 , i.e. $(1-w)<$ $10^{-12}$. For $(1-w)<10^{-12}$, we first subtracted the integral representation of the first-kind complete elliptic integral from the MGF. Then, we computed the resulting integral using a global adaptive quadrature method.

The matrix $C$ in (18) has the following form

$$
C=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
c_{2} & d_{2} & e_{2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots  \tag{19}\\
b_{3} & c_{3} & d_{3} & e_{3} & 0 & \ddots \\
a_{4} & b_{4} & c_{4} & d_{4} & e_{4} & \ddots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
0 & \ldots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots
\end{array}\right]
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{m}=-\frac{w k^{\prime 2}}{32 m(m-1)}, \quad b_{m}=\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{4 m}\right) \\
& c_{m}=\frac{w-2}{w}+\frac{w k^{\prime 2}}{16(m-1)(m+1)}  \tag{20}\\
& d_{m}=\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4 m}\right), \quad e_{m}=-\frac{w k^{\prime 2}}{32 m(m+1)}
\end{align*}
$$

In the following, we show that the infinite matrix system given in (18) can be computed in $O(M)$ steps, where $M$ is the dimension of the corresponding truncated $C$ matrix, i.e. $M \times$ $M$. To this end, we decompose $C$ into three separate matrices
as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& C=\frac{w-2}{w} I+\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
{\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\cdots \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & \ddots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots
\end{array}\right.} & \ddots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots
\end{array}\right]+ \\
& \frac{1}{4}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
{\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 / 2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 / 3 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 / 4 \\
0 & \ddots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots
\end{array}\right]} \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \ddots
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots \\
-1 & 0 & 1 & \ddots \\
0 & -1 & 0 & \ddots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
0 & \cdots & \ddots & \ddots
\end{array}\right]+ \\
& w k^{\prime 2} \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
c_{2,2}^{\prime} & 0 & e_{2}^{\prime} & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & c_{3,2}^{\prime} & 0 & e_{3}^{\prime} & \ddots \\
a_{4}^{\prime} & 0 & c_{4,2}^{\prime} & 0 & \ddots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \ddots
\end{array}\right]}_{C_{2}} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

in which $I$ is the identity matrix and

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{m}^{\prime} & =-\frac{1}{32 m(m-1)}, \\
c_{m, 2}^{\prime} & =\frac{1}{16(m-1)(m+1)},  \tag{22}\\
e_{m}^{\prime} & =-\frac{1}{32 m(m+1)}
\end{align*}
$$

In (21), $C_{0}, C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are all independent of $w$ and $k^{\prime}$ and can be set up once and for all once a fixed finite dimension has been chosen. Below, we explain why the infinite matrix can be truncated to a finite dimension.

## A. Solvability of the static part of the MGF

We note that the matrix $[(w-2) / w] I+C_{0}+C_{1}$ corresponds to the recurrence relation for the static part of the modal Green function obtained in [16]. For $0<w<1$, this matrix is diagonally dominant and, as a result, non-singular and invertible. In [16], a combined forward/backward threeterm recurrence relation algorithm was proposed, enabling fast, accurate and stable computation of the static part of the MGF. For the special case $w=1$, we first concentrate on $[(w-2) / w] I+C_{0}$, i.e. without $C_{1}$, that can be represented
(a)

(b)

(c)


Fig. 2. Computation error of $g_{m}(w)$ for $w=0.2,0.5,0.7,0.9,0.99, k=$ $2 \pi, \rho=1.1$ and $\rho^{\prime}=1.7$ using, (a) forward recurrence relation, (b) backward recurrence relation, (c) penta-diagonal matrix approach.
as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
-1 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots  \tag{23}\\
\frac{1}{2} & -1 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \ddots \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & -1 & \frac{1}{2} & \ddots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
g_{2} \\
g_{3} \\
g_{4} \\
g_{5} \\
\vdots \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
-\frac{1}{2} g_{1} \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right]
$$

This is a familiar matrix that arises from a finite-difference approximation of a second-order derivative. In this matrix the diagonal elements are equal to -1 . At the same time, the row-wise sums of the absolute values from the lower and upper diagonal elements are equal to 1 . Therefore, the matrix is no longer diagonally dominant. By analysing the matrix equation in (23) from the second row onward by means of a $z$ transformation, which is feasible owing to the constant coefficients along the diagonal of $[(w-2) / w] I+C_{0}$, one
obtains the following two eigenvectors with eigenvalue zero

$$
v_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1  \tag{24}\\
1 \\
1 \\
\vdots \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right], \quad v_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
2 \\
\vdots \\
m \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right]
$$

which is consistent with the discretization of a second orderderivative. The vector $v_{2}$ is not a desired solution since its elements are linearly increasing with $m$ and is therefore not a minimal solution. Consequently, $v_{1}$ is the solution of interest. Additionally, we note that $v_{1}$ is also an eigenvector of $C_{1}$ with eigenvalue zero, apart from the first row. In spite of the singular nature of the functions $g_{m}(w)$ for $w=1$, it is still possible to solve for the coefficients $g_{m} / g_{1}$ with the help of $v_{1}$ and the first row of $[(w-2) / w] I+C_{0}+C_{1}$, i.e.

$$
\frac{1}{g_{1}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
g_{2}  \tag{25}\\
g_{3} \\
g_{4} \\
g_{5} \\
\vdots \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right]=\frac{3}{4} v_{1}
$$

which leads to an acceptable and stable solution for the static case for $w=1$, in part owing to the particular form of the right-hand side of the equation.

## B. Solvability of the entire MGF

The last two matrices in (21), namely $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$, are Hilbert-Schmidt and therefore compact (see Appendix B). Therefore, for $0 \leq w<1$, the penta-diagonal matrix $C$ in (18) is the summation of the invertible matrix $[(w-2) / w] I+C_{0}$ and the compact matrix $C_{1}+C_{2}$. For such a case, it is known that the infinite matrix can be truncated to finite dimension, $M$, as a special case of a projection method [26], [27], to yield a convergent algorithm. The resulting finite matrix equation can then be solved in $O(M)$ steps, owing to the banded nature of the matrix.

Fig. 2(c) indicates the corresponding absolute error for several values of $w$, when the matrix relation of (18) is used to compute the sequence $g_{m}(w)$, where the infinite matrix in (18) was truncated to a $100 \times 100$ matrix. For computing the associated integrals as an independent reference, Mathematica's NIntegrate method was employed. It is observed that, as opposed to the forward and backward algorithms, the latter approach provides a stable solution with an error level that is around machine precision.

## IV. Numerical Results

To evaluate the applicability of the proposed five-term recurrence relation method for characterizing the scattering by PEC BORs, we analyze the scattering of PEC objects with two different shapes namely a sphere and a torus. We
(a)
(b)



Fig. 3. Scattering analysis of a perfectly conducting sphere with the radius $r / \lambda=1$, for a $\theta$-polarized incident wave. (a) Surface current in tangential direction in the plane $\phi=0$, obtained from the proposed five-term recurrence relation (black) and from the singularity extraction method [16] (orange). The induced currents are plotted over the perimeter of the generating curve, normalized to the wavelength (i.e. the parameter perimeter $/ \lambda$ ). (b) Same as (a) but for $\phi$-directed surface current in the plane $\phi=\pi / 2$.
discretized the surface currents on the generating curve of BOR in the tangential direction, indicated by $\sigma$ in Fig. 1 (a) using basis functions that are piece-wise linear for $\sigma$ directed currents and piecewise constant for the $\phi$ directed currents. The computation was performed using MATLAB 2019b on a laptop with 16 GB of RAM and an Intel core i7-8850H processor. We start by considering the case of a PEC sphere, embedded in free space. The radius of the sphere is assumed to be $r / \lambda=1$, where $\lambda$ is the free-space wavelength. In the computation, the generating curve is discretized with 40 segments. We assume that the angle of incidence is $\theta_{i}=0$ and $\phi_{i}=0$.

Fig. 3 (a) and (b) illustrate the induced tangential $\left(I_{\sigma}\right)$ and $\phi$-directed $\left(I_{\phi}\right)$ surface currents in the planes $\phi=0$ and $\phi=\pi / 2$ respectively, for a $\theta$-polarized incident plane wave. The induced currents are plotted versus the perimeter


Fig. 4. Bistatic RCS of a PEC sphere with radius of $r / \lambda=1$, and $k=2 \pi$. (a) $\theta \theta$ component of the RCS in $\phi_{o b s}=0$, obtained from the proposed method (solid black curve) and Mie series (dashed orange curve) [25]. (b) Same as (a) but for the $\phi \phi$ component of the RCS.
of the generating curve, normalized to the wavelength (i.e. the parameter perimeter $/ \lambda$ ). The results are calculated based on the proposed direct method (black) and the singularity extraction method (orange) [16]. As seen, the results match each other in both cases (the maximum absolute error between the results is $10^{-8}$ ), confirming the accuracy of the proposed method. It should be noted that, when using the three-term recurrence relation method proposed in [16] (the indirect approach), it is only the singular part of MGF that is computed and the computation of the remaining part, i.e. the regular part of the MGF, remains as extra work that includes the sampling of the 3D Green function. By contrast, the proposed five-term recurrence relation directly yields the entire sequence of MGFs. For further verification of the proposed approach, we calculate the bistatic radar cross-section (BRCS) corresponding to the $\theta$ and $\phi$ polarizations at the observation plane $\phi=0$. Fig. 4 represents $\sigma_{\theta \theta}$ and $\sigma_{\phi \phi}$, obtained from our method (solid black curve) and compared to an independent reference, namely Mie scattering solution (dashed orange line) [25]. The results of this figure, which are also consistent with the previously reported results in the literature [28], provides more evidence for the validity of the proposed method (the maximum relative error in panels (a) and (b) are 0.03 and 0.003 , respectively).

The proposed approach can also be employed to analyze the scattering by other kinds of bodies. As an example, we consider a closed PEC BOR of torus shape with the minor and major radii of $r_{1} / \lambda=3.33 \times 10^{-5}$ and $r_{2} / \lambda=10^{-4}$ as depicted in Fig. 5(a). We assume the frequency and the incident angle of the incident field to be $f=100 \mathrm{kHz}$ and $\theta_{i}=0$, respectively. Similar to the previous case, the number of discretized segments over the generating curve is considered to be 40 . Shown in Fig. 5(b) is the associated $\theta \theta$ component of the bistatic RCS (black solid curve), which is compared to the result provided in the literature [28] (orange


Fig. 5. Far-field analysis of a perfectly conducting torus for a $\theta$-polarized incident wave at the frequency $f=100 \mathrm{kHz}$. (a) Torus with the minor and major radii of $r_{1} / \lambda=3.33 \times 10^{-5}$ and $r_{2} / \lambda=10^{-4}$. (b) $\theta \theta$ component of the bistatic RCS of the PEC torus discussed before, obtained from the proposed method (solid back curve) and the literature [28] (dashed orange curve).
dashed line). As a third example, we consider a PEC circular cylinder of radius $r / \lambda=2$ and height $h / \lambda=2$. Fig. 6(a) shows the current in the tangential direction in the plane $\phi=0$, upon considering a $\theta$-polarized incident field with an angle of incidence $\theta_{i}=\pi / 4$. The results are obtained based on the proposed direct five-term recurrence relation (black), and the three-term recurrence relation approach (orange). The corresponding $\phi$-directed currents at $\phi=\pi / 2$ are illustrated in Fig. 6(b).

The comparison of the proposed five-term recurrence relation with the three-term recurrence relation cross validates the performance of the proposed method. It is more interesting to compare the computational characteristics of the two different approaches. In Table I, we have compared the computational characteristics (computation time, maximum difference between direct and indirect approaches, and number of required modes) of the proposed method with the singularity extraction method proposed in [16]. For calculating the number of required modes, we assumed an oblique incidence angle of $\theta_{i}=\pi / 4$, while neglecting the spectral content of incident fields possessing amplitudes less than $10^{-14}$. As observed in this table, the difference between the indirect and direct approaches is small, yet, the proposed direct method offers a


Fig. 6. Scattering analysis of a perfectly conducting cylinder with the radius $r / \lambda=2$, and $h / \lambda=2$ for a $\theta$-polarized incident wave. (a) Surface current in tangential direction in the plane $\phi=0$, obtained from the proposed fiveterm recurrence relation (black), from the singularity extraction method [16]. (b) Same as (a) but for $\phi$-directed surface current in the plane $\phi=\pi / 2$.
shorter computation time for both sphere and cylinder cases.
Finally, it is worth investigating the effect of the BOR size on the computational characteristics. To this end, we consider the scattering by PEC spheres of different sizes, namely $r / \lambda=5, r / \lambda=10, r / \lambda=20$. Table II provides the information regarding the computational features corresponding to all of the aforementioned cases, including the computation time, the minimum number of modes required for convergence, and the corresponding computational error, i.e. maximum difference in computing the MGF between the proposed method and Integral of Matlab. It is observed that, by increasing the size of the BOR, one also needs to increase the number of modes that is taken into account to maintain the same accuracy, which in turn increases the computation time. In addition, the computation times depend quadratically on the number of segments due to the dense matrix method we employ in our current implementation. The computation time of the MGF using the proposed five-term recurrence

TABLE I
COMPUTATIONAL CHARACTERISTICS OF DIFFERENT BORS INVESTIGATED IN THE PAPER

| Object | Sphere <br> (Fig. 3) | Cylinder <br> (Fig. 6) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Perimeter of generating curve | $\pi \lambda$ | $6 \lambda$ |
| Number of segments | 40 | 60 |
| Number of modes | 22 | 30 |
| Total computation time (direct method) (sec) | 224 | 380 |
| Total computation time (indirect method) (sec) | 250 | 398 |
| Maximum difference of the induced currents <br> between the direct and indirect methods | $10^{-8}$ | $7 \times 10^{-8}$ |

relation is also compared with the indirect method (three-term recurrence relation approach together with FFT for the regular part) proposed in [16]. As can be seen in Tables I-II, for small bodies the direct method results in shorter computation times. Yet, by increasing the size of the BOR, the computation time of the direct approach becomes longer than for the indirect one. The main reason is that in the direct method, the computational costs of the initial values (computation of integrals for the dynamic Green function) become dominant for larger bodies. On the other hand, in the indirect method, the computation of the initial values is faster owing to the static Green function being used.

## V. Conclusion

We have proposed a direct approach for computing the MGF arising in the EFIE, when solving the electromagnetic scattering of bodies of revolution. To this end we derived a five-term recurrence relation for the MGF. It turns out that forward or backward evaluation of the recurrence relation are not stable procedures. However, we have shown that a pentadiagonal matrix approach is demonstrably stable, and for $M$ MGFs can be performed in $O(M)$ complexity. Moreover, our proposed direct method is simple, as opposed to the indirect (heterogeneous) methods involving the extraction of the static Green function. We have validated our approach numerically, through scattering simulations for a PEC sphere and a PEC torus. The maximum absolute error in computing the MGFs through the five-term recurrence relation was $10^{-14}$.

## Appendix A

## Integration by parts to $I_{m}\left(w, k^{\prime}\right)$

Here, we derive (13) using the integration by parts

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{m}\left(w, k^{\prime}\right)=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \cos (2 m \alpha)\left(1-w \sin ^{2} \alpha\right) G_{w, k^{\prime}}^{E}(\alpha) d \alpha \\
& =\frac{1}{2 m}\left[\sin (2 m \alpha)\left(1-w \sin ^{2} \alpha\right) G_{w, k^{\prime}}^{E}(\alpha)\right]_{0}^{\pi / 2} \\
& +\frac{w}{4 m} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \sin 2 \alpha \sin (2 m \alpha) G_{w, k^{\prime}}^{E}(\alpha) d \alpha \\
& -j k^{\prime} \frac{w}{4 m} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \sin 2 \alpha \sin (2 m \alpha) \sqrt{1-w \sin ^{2} \alpha} G_{w, k^{\prime}}^{E}(\alpha) d \alpha \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

TABLE II
COMPUTATIONAL CHARACTERISTICS OF SCATTERING OF PEC SPHERES WITH DIFFERENT SIZES

| Object | Sphere 1 | Sphere 2 | Sphere 3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Perimeter of generating curve | $5 \pi \lambda$ | $10 \pi \lambda$ | $20 \pi \lambda$ |
| Number of segments | 40 | 80 | 160 |
| Number of modes |  |  |  |
| Computation time of MGF us- <br> ing Integral of Matlab (sec) | 1093 | 7579 | 58331 |
| Total computation time of MGF <br> using indirect method (sec) <br> Computation time of initial val- <br> ues (the first and last two <br> modes) for MGF using direct <br> method (sec) | 101 | 358 | 1875 |
| Computation time of setting up <br> and solving the penta-diagonal <br> matrix for MGF using direct <br> method (sec) | 3 | 347 | 1889 |
| Total computation time of MGF <br> using direct method (sec) | 83 | 38 | 363 |
| Total computation time of scat- <br> tering problem (sec) <br> Maximum difference in com- <br> puting MGF, between the pro- <br> posed method and Integral of <br> Matlab | $6 \times 10^{-12}$ | $7 \times 10^{-12}$ | $7 \times 10^{-11}$ |

and the trigonometry formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin 2 \alpha \sin (2 m \alpha)=\frac{1}{2}(\cos (2(m-1) \alpha)-\cos (2(m+1) \alpha)) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

This results in (13).

## Integration by parts to $J_{m}\left(w, k^{\prime}\right)$

Similar to $I_{m}\left(w, k^{\prime}\right)$, we also subject $J_{m}\left(w, k^{\prime}\right)$ to integration by parts

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{m}\left(w, k^{\prime}\right) & =\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \cos (2 m \alpha) \sqrt{1-w \sin ^{2} \alpha} G_{w, k^{\prime}}^{E}(\alpha) d \alpha \\
& =\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \cos (2 m \alpha) e^{-j k^{\prime} \sqrt{1-w \sin ^{2} \alpha}} d \alpha \\
& =\frac{1}{2 m}\left[\sin (2 m \alpha) e^{-j k^{\prime} \sqrt{1-w \sin ^{2} \alpha}}\right]_{0}^{\pi / 2}- \\
& j k^{\prime} \frac{w}{4 m} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \sin 2 \alpha \sin (2 m \alpha) G_{w, k^{\prime}}^{E}(\alpha) d \alpha \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

and use (27) to arrive at (15).

## Appendix B

For an infinite matrix operator in $\ell^{2}$, the sufficient condition for compactness of a matrix with $\left[a_{i j}\right]$ elements is [29]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{i j}\right|^{2}<\infty \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

In $C_{1}$ in (21), only the first lower and upper diagonal elements are non-zero, being equal to $-\frac{1}{4 m}$ and $\frac{1}{4 m}$, respectively. As
a results, it can be easily shown that this matrix satisfies the sufficient condition of compactness in (29)

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{i j}\right|^{2}= & \frac{1}{8}+\sum_{m=3}^{\infty}\left|a_{m, m-1}\right|^{2}+\left|a_{m, m+1}\right|^{2}  \tag{30}\\
& =\frac{1}{8}\left(1+\sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{2}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

which is convergent according to Basel problem [30], proving the compactness of $C_{1}$.
Now we investigate the compactness of $C_{2}$. We show that the matrix $C_{2}$ in (21) satisfies (29).

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{i j}\right|^{2}=\left|c_{2,2}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\left|e_{2}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\left|c_{3,2}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\left|e_{3}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+  \tag{31}\\
\sum_{m=4}^{\infty}\left|a_{m}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\left|c_{m, 2}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\left|e_{m}^{\prime}\right|^{2}
\end{array}
$$

in which $a_{m}^{\prime}, c_{m, 2}^{\prime}$ and $e_{m}^{\prime}$ are defined in (22). The first four terms in the right hand side of (31) are finite. It can be shown that the last term in this equation (the series) is convergent. By employing the parameters defined in (22), the series can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{m=4}^{\infty}\left|a_{m}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\left|c_{m, 2}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\left|e_{m}^{\prime}\right|^{2}= \\
& \frac{2}{32^{2}} \sum_{m=4}^{\infty}\left[\frac{1}{(m-1)^{2}}+\frac{1}{m^{2}}+\frac{1}{(m+1)^{2}}-\frac{3}{(m-1)(m+1)}\right] \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

in which the first three terms are convergent according to Basel problem. The last term of the series can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m=4}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{(m-1)}-\frac{1}{(m+1)}\right)=\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{4} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is again a convergent series.These features represent that $C_{2}$ in (21) satisfy the sufficient condition of compactness. As such, $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are Hilbert-Schmidt operators with finite absolute norm [31], enabling the truncation of each of them to an $M \times M$ matrix [26], [27].
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