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Materials and Methods. Unless stated otherwise, reactions were conducted under an atmosphere of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ using reagent grade solvents. $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{EtOH}$, and iPrOH were stored over $3 \AA$ molecular sieves. All commercially obtained reagents were used as received. The phenols we prepared are reported in SI-1 to SI-15. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was conducted with E. Merck silica gel 60 F254 pre-coated plates ( 0.25 mm ) and visualized by exposure to UV light (254 nm) or stained with $p$-anisaldehyde or potassium permanganate. Flash column chromatography was performed using normal phase silica gel ( $60 \AA, 0.040-0.063 \mathrm{~mm}$, Geduran). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on Varian spectrometers ( 400,500 , or 600 MHz ) and are reported relative to deuterated solvent signals. Data for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra are reported as follows: chemical shift ( $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ ), multiplicity, coupling constant $(\mathrm{Hz})$ and integration. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on Varian Spectrometers (100, 125, or 150 MHz ). Data for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra are reported in terms of chemical shift ( $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ ). Mass spectra were obtained from the UC Santa Barbara Mass Spectrometry Facility on a (Waters Corp.) GCT Premier high resolution Time-of-flight mass spectrometer with an electron ionization (EI) source.

## General Procedure A: Reaction with 4-substituted phenols

PIDA ( $0.71 \mathrm{~g}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) was suspended in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled on an ice bath. The phenol ( $2.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and added dropwise over 1 min . The ice bath was removed and the reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min or until consumption of the starting material. The reagents $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\left(2.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 18 \mathrm{mmol}, 9\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 1 mL ), and ethyl glycinate hydrochloride ( $1.95 \mathrm{~g}, 14 \mathrm{mmol}, 7$ equiv) were added sequentially and the reaction mixture was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight or until consumption of the quinone. The solvent was evaporated, DCM ( 100 mL ) was added then transferred to a separatory funnel. The organic layer was extracted with $\mathrm{HCl}_{(\mathrm{aq})}(1 \mathrm{M}, 6 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layers were combined, neutralized with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3(\mathrm{aq})}(140 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with DCM ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent removed. The yield was determined through ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using an internal standard.

General Procedure B: Reaction with 4-unsubstituted phenols
PIDA ( $1.35 \mathrm{~g}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.1 equiv) was suspended in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled on an ice bath. The phenol ( $2.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and added dropwise over 1 min . The ice bath was removed and the reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min or until consumption of the starting material. The reagents $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\left(2.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 18 \mathrm{mmol}, 9\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 1 mL ), and ethyl glycinate hydrochloride ( $1.95 \mathrm{~g}, 14 \mathrm{mmol}, 7$ equiv) were added sequentially and the reaction mixture was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight or until consumption of the quinone. The solvent was evaporated, DCM ( 100 mL ) was added then transferred to a separatory funnel. The organic layer extracted with $\mathrm{HCl}_{(\mathrm{aq})}(1 \mathrm{M}, 6 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layers were combined, neutralized with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3(\mathrm{aq})}(140 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with DCM ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent removed. The yield was determined through ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using an internal standard.

General Procedure $\mathbf{C}$ :
PIDA ( $81 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) was suspended in $\mathrm{MeOH}(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled on an ice bath. Phenol ( $0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was added and the reaction mixture stirred at room temperature until consumption of the starting material ( $\sim 30 \mathrm{~min}$ ). Pyridine ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) then hydroxylammonium sulfate ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) were added and the reaction was stirred
until complete consumption of the quinone (overnight to 70 hr ). The reaction was quenched with a saturated ammonium chloride solution and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent removed. The residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (Hexane:EtOAc, 15:1 to 1:1) to yield the nitrosobenzene. Note: some of the nitrosobenzenes were found to be volatile, and care must be taken while removing solvent.

General Procedure for determining yield through ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR:
A known amount of dimethyl terephthalate (DMT) was weighed and added to the crude product. The mixture was dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and a ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR experiment was performed (relaxation delay set to 40 s ). To determine the yield the integration for DMT's aromatic peak ( $8.09 \mathrm{ppm}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ) is set to:
[4 * (mass DMT in mg ) / 194.18] / (scale of reaction in mmol)

## Gram-scale synthesis of 1:

PIDA ( $6.76 \mathrm{~g}, 21.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv) was suspended in $\mathrm{MeOH}(90 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled on an ice bath. 4-Methoxyphenol ( $2.48 \mathrm{~g}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and added dropwise over 2 min . The ice bath was removed and the reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min . The reagents $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\left(11 \mathrm{~mL}, 80 . \mathrm{mmol}, 4\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and ethyl glycinate hydrochloride ( $5.6 \mathrm{~g}, 40 . \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) were added sequentially and the reaction mixture was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The solvent was evaporated until $\sim 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ remained. $\mathrm{DCM}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added and the pH was adjusted to $1-2$ with $\mathrm{HCl}_{\text {(conc) }}(\sim 7 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was transferred to a separatory funnel and the layers separated. The aqueous layer's pH was adjusted to $7-8$ with solid $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ then extracted with $\mathrm{DCM}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent removed. The residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (Hexane:EtOAc, $2: 1 \rightarrow 1: 1$, with $2 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ) to yield $\mathbf{1}(1.41 \mathrm{~g}, 57 \%)$ as a flaky orange solid.


4-Methoxy-2-methylphenol (SI-1): PIDA ( $6.8 \mathrm{~g}, 21 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added to $\mathrm{MeOH}(90$ mL ) and cooled on an ice bath. $o$-Cresol ( $1.08 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was dissolved in MeOH ( 10 mL ) and added dropwise over 2 min with vigorous stirring. The ice bath was removed and the reaction mixture was stirred 30 min . The reaction mixture was cooled on an ice bath and zinc powder ( $0.98 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added in one portion. The reaction mixture was taken off of the ice bath and stirred 1 hr . The solvent was removed, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the solution was transferred to a separatory funnel. The solution was washed with water ( 50 mL ), then extracted with $\mathrm{NaOH}_{(\mathrm{aq})}(3 \mathrm{M}, 2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined basic layers were acidified with $\mathrm{HCl}_{(\mathrm{aq})}(1 \mathrm{M}, 140 \mathrm{~mL})$, then extracted with DCM (3 x 50 mL ). The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent removed. The residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (Hexane:EtOAc, 6:1 $\rightarrow 4: 1$ ) to yield SI-1 ( $0.546 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \%$ ) as white solid. Spectral data matched that of literature reported data. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{Rf}$ (Hexane:EtOAc, 4:1): 0.34; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.74-6.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.7,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35$ (br. s., 1 H), $3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$.


2-Ethyl-4-methoxyphenol (SI-2): PIDA ( $2.1 \mathrm{~g}, 6.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added to MeOH ( 55 mL ) and cooled on an ice bath. 2-Ethylphenol ( $0.72 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was dissolved in MeOH ( 5 mL ) and added dropwise over 5 min with vigorous stirring. The reaction mixture was taken off of the ice bath, stirred for 1 hr , a second portion of PIDA ( $1.9 \mathrm{~g}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added and stirring continued for 30 min . The reaction mixture was cooled on an ice bath and zinc powder $(0.47 \mathrm{~g}, 7.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq})$ was added in one portion. The reaction mixture was taken off of the ice bath and stirred 1 hr . The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ and the solvent removed. EtOAc $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{HCl}_{(\mathrm{aq})}(1 \mathrm{M}, 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added, the solution was transferred to a separatory funnel and the layers separated. The aqueous layer was extracted again with EtOAc $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent removed. The residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (Hexane:EtOAc, 6:1) to yield SI-2 ( $0.517 \mathrm{~g}, 57 \%$ ). Spectral data matched that of literature reported data. ${ }^{2}$ Rf (Hexane:EtOAc, 4:1): 0.41; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.75-6.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.67-6.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), 4.59 (br. s, 1 H ), 3.77 (s, 3 H ), 2.62 (q, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.25 (t, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$.


2-Isopropyl-4-methoxyphenol (SI-3): PIDA ( $5.4 \mathrm{~g}, 17 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added to MeOH ( 70 mL ) and cooled on an ice bath. 2-Isopropylphenol ( $1.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and added dropwise over 2 min with vigorous stirring. The reaction mixture was taken off of the ice bath, stirred for 30 min . The reaction mixture was cooled on an ice bath and zinc powder ( $0.79 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added in one portion. The reaction mixture was taken off of the ice bath and stirred 1 hr . The solvent was removed, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{HCl}_{(\mathrm{aq})}(1 \mathrm{M}, 50$ mL ) were added, the solution was transferred to a separatory funnel, and the layers separated. The aqueous layer was extracted again with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined and extracted with $\mathrm{NaOH}_{(\mathrm{aq})}(1 \mathrm{M}, 4 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The basic aqueous layers were combined, acidified with $\mathrm{HCl}_{(\mathrm{aq})}(1 \mathrm{M}, 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with $\mathrm{DCM}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent removed. The residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (Hexane:EtOAc, 6:1) to yield SI-3 ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 38 \%$ ) as a peach oil. Spectral data matched that of literature reported data. ${ }^{3} \mathrm{Rf}$ (Hexane:EtOAc, 4:1): 0.42; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.8,8.7$ Hz, 1 H), 4.37 (br. s., 1 H ), 3.78 (s, 3 H ), 3.19 ( $\mathrm{spt}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.26 (d, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ) ppm.


4-Methoxy-2,6-dimethylphenol (SI-4): PIDA ( $5.4 \mathrm{~g}, 17 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added to MeOH ( 70 mL ) and cooled on an ice bath. 2,6-Dimethylphenol ( $0.98 \mathrm{~g}, 8.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and added dropwise over 2 min with vigorous stirring. The reaction mixture was taken off of the ice bath, stirred for 30 min . The reaction mixture was cooled on an ice bath and zinc powder ( $0.79 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added in one portion. The reaction mixture was taken off of the ice bath and stirred 1 hr . The solvent was removed, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{HCl}_{(\mathrm{aq})}(1 \mathrm{M}, 50$ mL ) were added, the solution was transferred to a separatory funnel, and the layers separated. The aqueous layer was extracted again with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined and extracted with $\mathrm{NaOH}_{(\mathrm{aq})}(1 \mathrm{M}, 2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The basic aqueous layers were combined, acidified with $\mathrm{HCl}_{(\mathrm{aq})}(1 \mathrm{M}, 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with $\mathrm{DCM}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent removed. The residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (Hexane:EtOAc, $15: 1 \rightarrow 9: 1)$ to yield SI-4 $(0.40 \mathrm{~g}, 33 \%)$ as a white powder. Spectral data matched that of literature reported data. ${ }^{4} \mathrm{Rf}$ (Hexane:EtOAc, 9:1): $0.23 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.56$ (s, 2 H ), 4.23 (br. s, 1 H ), 3.75 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.24 (s, 6 H ) ppm.


4-Methoxy-3,5-dimethylphenol (SI-5): PIDA ( $5.4 \mathrm{~g}, 17 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added to MeOH ( 70 mL ) and cooled on an ice bath. 3,5-Dimethylphenol ( $0.98 \mathrm{~g}, 8.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and added dropwise over 2 min with vigorous stirring. The reaction mixture was taken off of the ice bath, stirred for 30 min . The reaction mixture was cooled on an ice bath and zinc powder ( $0.79 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added in one portion. The reaction mixture was taken off of the ice bath and stirred 1 hr . The solvent was removed, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added, the solution was transferred to a separatory funnel, and the layers separated. The organic layer was extracted with $\mathrm{NaOH}_{(\mathrm{aq})}(1 \mathrm{M}, 2 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The basic aqueous layers were combined, acidified with $\mathrm{HCl}_{(\mathrm{aq})}(1 \mathrm{M}, 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with DCM ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent removed. The residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (Hexane:EtOAc, 6:1) to yield SI-5 ( $0.54 \mathrm{~g}, 44 \%$ ). Spectral data matched that of literature reported data. ${ }^{5} \mathrm{Rf}$ (Hexane:EtOAc, 4:1): 0.31; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.45$ (br. s., 1 H ), 3.68 (s, 3 H ), 2.24 (s, 6 H ) ppm.


4-methoxy-3-methylphenol (SI-6): PIDA ( $6.8 \mathrm{~g}, 21 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added to MeOH ( 100 mL ) and cooled on an ice bath. $m$-Cresol ( $1.05 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added dropwise over 2 min with vigorous stirring. The reaction mixture was taken off of the ice bath and stirred 30 min . The reaction mixture was cooled on an ice bath and zinc powder ( $0.98 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added in one portion. The reaction mixture was taken off of the ice bath and stirred 1 hr . The solvent was removed, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the solution was transferred to a separatory funnel. The solution was washed with water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, then extracted with $\mathrm{NaOH}_{(\mathrm{aq})}(3 \mathrm{M}, 2 \times 20$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The combined basic layers were acidified with $\mathrm{HCl}_{(\mathrm{aq})}(1 \mathrm{M}, 140 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with DCM ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent removed. The residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (Hexane:EtOAc, 4:1) to yield SI-6 ( $0.77 \mathrm{~g}, 56 \%$ ). Spectral data matched that of literature reported data. ${ }^{6} \mathrm{Rf}$ (Hexane:EtOAc, 4:1): 0.26; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.73$ - 6.59 (m, 3 H ), 4.37 (br. s., 1 H), 3.79 (s, 3 H ), 2.19 (s, 3 H ) ppm.


3-Chloro-4-methoxyphenol (SI-7): PIDA ( $5.4 \mathrm{~g}, 17 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added to MeOH ( 70 mL ) and cooled on an ice bath. 3-Chlorophenol ( $1.03 \mathrm{~g}, 8.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and added dropwise over 2 min with vigorous stirring. The reaction mixture was taken off of the ice bath, stirred for 30 min . The reaction mixture was cooled on an ice bath and zinc powder ( $0.79 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added in one portion. The reaction mixture was taken off of the ice bath and stirred 1 hr . Another portion of zinc powder ( $0.26 \mathrm{~g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added and the reaction stirred for 30 min . The solvent was removed, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water ( 50 mL ) were added, the solution was transferred to a separatory funnel, and the layers separated. The organic layer was extracted again with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined and extracted with $\mathrm{NaOH}_{(\mathrm{aq})}(1 \mathrm{M}, 2 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The basic aqueous layers were combined, acidified with $\mathrm{HCl}_{(\mathrm{aq})}(1 \mathrm{M}, 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with DCM ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent removed. The residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (Hexane:EtOAc, 6:1 $\rightarrow 4: 1$ ) to yield SI-7 (0.30 g, 24\%). Spectral data matched that of literature reported data. ${ }^{7} \mathrm{Rf}$ (Hexane:EtOAc, 4:1): 0.24; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $3.1,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.50 (br. s., 1 H ), 3.85 (s, 3 H ) ppm.


3-Bromo-4-methoxyphenol (SI-8): PIDA ( $5.4 \mathrm{~g}, 17 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added to MeOH ( 70 mL ) and cooled on an ice bath. 3-Bromophenol ( $1.38 \mathrm{~g}, 8.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and added dropwise over 2 min with vigorous stirring. The reaction mixture was taken off of the ice bath, stirred for 30 min . The reaction mixture was cooled on an ice bath and zinc powder ( $0.79 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added in one portion. The reaction mixture was taken off of the ice bath and stirred 1 hr . The solvent was removed, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water ( 50 mL ) were added, the solution was transferred to a separatory funnel, and the layers separated. The organic layer was extracted with $\mathrm{NaOH}_{(\mathrm{aq})}(1 \mathrm{M}, 2 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The basic aqueous layers were combined, acidified with $\operatorname{HCl}_{(\mathrm{aq})}(1 \mathrm{M}, 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with DCM ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent removed. The residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (Hexane:EtOAc, 6:1 $\rightarrow 4: 1$ ) to yield SI-8 (0.42 g, 26\%). Spectral data matched that of literature reported data. ${ }^{8} \mathrm{Rf}$ (Hexane:EtOAc, 4:1): 0.23; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83-6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.57$ (br. s., 1 H ), 3.85 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ) ppm.


4-Isopropoxyphenol (SI-9): Sodium metal ( $0.69 \mathrm{~g}, 30$. mmol, 1.5 eq ) was added to EtOH ( 50 mL ). The solution was stirred until complete reaction of the sodium ( 40 min ). Hydroquinone ( 2.2 $\mathrm{g}, 20 . \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq})$ and 2 -chloropropane ( $2.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 30 . \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were added and the reaction was heated to reflux overnight. The solvent was removed, DCM ( 100 mL ) was added, and the solution was transferred to a separatory funnel. The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{HCl}_{(\mathrm{aq})}(0.4$ $\mathrm{M}, 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) then brine ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was extracted with $\mathrm{NaOH}_{(\mathrm{aq})}(1 \mathrm{M}, 3 \times 10$ mL ). The basic aqueous layers were combined, washed with DCM ( 30 mL ), acidified with $\mathrm{HCl}_{(\mathrm{aq})}(1 \mathrm{M}, 40 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with $\mathrm{DCM}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent removed to yield SI-9 (0.89 g, 29\%). Spectral data matched that of literature reported data. ${ }^{9} \mathrm{Rf}$ (Hexane:EtOAc, 2:1): $0.53 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.82-6.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.78-6.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{spt}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$.


4-(4-Methoxybenzyloxy)phenol (SI-10): To a solution of 4-methoxybenzyl alcohol ( 1.25 mL , $10.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added thionyl chloride ( $1.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 20 . \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 hr . Water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added carefully and the reaction mixture was stirred for 5 min then transferred to a separatory funnel. The layers were separated then the aqueous layer was extracted again with DCM ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, washed with water ( 20 mL ), then brine ( 20 mL ). The organic layers was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent removed to yield 4-methoxybenzyl chloride ( $1.52 \mathrm{~g}, 97 \%$ ) as a clear and colorless oil.

The intermediate was suspended in acetone $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, then hydroquinone $(2.2 \mathrm{~g}, 20$. $\mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq})$, and $\mathrm{NaI}(0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1 \mathrm{eq})$ were added. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight, filtered through Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ with acetone, and then the solvent was removed. EtOAc ( 50 mL ) was added then transferred to a separatory funnel. The organic layer was washed with water and brine ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent removed. The residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (Hexane:EtOAc, 3:1) to yield SI-10 ( 0.89 g , 39\% from 4-methoxybenzyl alcohol) as a crystalline peach solid. Spectral data matched that of literature reported data. ${ }^{10} \mathrm{Rf}$ (Hexane:EtOAc, 2:1): 0.38; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 8.90$ (s, 1 H ), 7.36-7.30 (m, 2 H ), 6.96-6.89 (m, 2 H), 6.84-6.76 (m, 2 H ), 6.72-6.61 (m, 2 H ), 4.89 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.75 (s, 3 H ) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 158.8,151.2,151.2,129.4,129.3,115.8,115.7,113.7,69.5,55.0$ ppm.


4-(4-Nitrobenzyloxy)phenol (SI-11): Hydroquinone ( 2.2 g , 20. mmol, 2 eq ) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.4 \mathrm{~g}$, $10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq})$ were added to acetone ( 20 mL ). 4-Nitrobenzyl bromide ( $2.16 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added in portions and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ with acetone, and then the solvent was removed. EtOAc ( 50 mL ) was added then transferred to a separatory funnel. The organic layer was washed with water ( $3 \times 50$ mL ). The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent removed. The residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (Hexane:EtOAc, 2:1 $\rightarrow$ 1:1) to yield SI-11 (0.91 g, $37 \%$ ) as a yellow powder. Rf (Hexane:EtOAc, $1: 1$ ): $0.51 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.25$ (d, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.60 (d, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.88-6.84$ (m, 2 H ), $6.81-6.77$ (m, 2 H ), 5.13 (s, 2 H ), 4.44 (s, 1 H ) ppm; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 9.00$ (br. s., 1 H ), 8.23 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ H), 7.67 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 151.7,150.7,146.9,145.6,128.1,128.0,123.5,115.8,68.6$ ppm; HRMS (EI) Exact mass cald. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{4}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}: 245.0688$, found: 245.0679.


4-(2,2,2-Trifluoroethoxy)phenol (SI-12): Sodium metal ( $2.3 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{~mol}, 10 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added to DMF ( 100 mL ). 2,2,2-Trifluoroethanol ( $7.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.10 \mathrm{~mol}, 10 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added slowly, keeping the temperature $\sim 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with an ice bath. The solution was stirred for 1 hr , and then another portion of 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol ( $3.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 50 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added slowly. The solution was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until complete reaction of the sodium ( 20 min ). $\mathrm{CuI}(3.8 \mathrm{~g}, 20 . \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{eq})$, and 4iodophenol ( $2.2 \mathrm{~g}, 10 . \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 hours. Most of the solvent was removed ( $<30 \mathrm{~mL}$ remained) and water ( 100 mL ) was added. The solution was filtered through Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$, acidified $(\mathrm{pH} \sim 1-2)$ with $\mathrm{HCl}_{(\mathrm{aq})}(1 \mathrm{M}, \sim 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with DCM ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent removed. The residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (Hexane:EtOAc, 4:1 $\boldsymbol{\rightarrow}$ 3:1) to yield SI-12 ( $0.14 \mathrm{~g}, 7 \%$ ). Spectral data matched that of literature reported data. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{Rf}$ (Hexane:EtOAc, 4:1): 0.25; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.90-6.83$ (m, 2 H), 6.83-6.75 (m, 2 H ), 4.58 (br. s., 1 H ), 4.30 (q, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$.


4-Hydroxyphenyl acetate (SI-13): Hydroquinone ( $2.2 \mathrm{~g}, 20 . \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added to AcOH $(5 \mathrm{~mL}) . \mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.47 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq})$ was added dropwise, the reaction mixture stirred for 30 $\min$ at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.47 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq})$ was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred a further 1.5 hr at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solvent was removed and toluene ( 10 mL ) was added. The solution was sonicated for 2 min , stirred for 5 min , filtered, and the solvent removed to yield SI-13 (1.3 g, 85\%). Spectral data matched that of literature reported data. ${ }^{12}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.95-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.80-6.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.04$ (br. s., 1 H ), 2.29 (s, 3 H ) ppm.


4-Ethoxyphenol (SI-14) \& 4-Methoxyphenol (SI-15): PIDA ( $0.71 \mathrm{~g}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added to $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled on an ice bath. 4-Ethoxyphenol ( $0.28 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise over 2 min with vigorous stirring. The reaction mixture was taken off of the ice bath and stirred 30 min . The reaction mixture was cooled on an ice bath and zinc powder ( $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added in one portion. The reaction mixture was taken off of the ice bath and stirred 1 hr . The solvent was removed, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the solution was transferred to a separatory funnel. The solution was washed with water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, then extracted with $\mathrm{NaOH}_{(\mathrm{aq})}(3 \mathrm{M}, 40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The basic layer was acidified with $\mathrm{HCl}_{(\mathrm{aq})}(1 \mathrm{M}, 140 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{DCM}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent removed yielding SI-14 (53\%) and SI-15 (28\%) (determined through ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using an internal standard). Spectral data matched that of authentic samples.


4-Methoxyaniline (2): Obtained using General Procedure A from 4-methoxyphenol (85\%) or General Procedure B from phenol (44\%). Spectral data matched that of literature reported data. ${ }^{13}$ ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.68(\mathrm{td}, J=3.4,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{td}, J=3.4,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.66$ (s, 3 H ), 3.34 (br. s., 2 H ) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 152.1,139.9,115.9,114.3,55.1$ ppm.


4-Methoxy-N-methylaniline (7): Obtained using General Procedure A from 4-methoxyphenol using sarcosine ethyl ester hydrochloride (44\%). The second step was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight, then at reflux for 5 hr . Spectral data matched that of literature reported data. ${ }^{14}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 3.16$ (br. s., 1 H), 2.71 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 151.6,143.4,114.5,113.2,55.4,31.2$ ppm.


4-Methoxy-2-methylaniline (8): Obtained using General Procedure A from SI-1 (93\%) or General Procedure B from 2-methylphenol (56\%). Spectral data matched that of literature reported data. ${ }^{15}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.68-6.53(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.35$ (br. s., 2 H), $2.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 152.0,138.0,123.4,115.8,115.5,111.6$, 55.1, 17.1 ppm .


2-Ethyl-4-methoxyaniline (9): Obtained using General Procedure A from SI-2 (73\%) or General Procedure B from 2-ethylphenol (55\%). Spectral data matched that of literature reported data.$^{16}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62-6.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{br}$. s., 2 H ), $2.47(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 152.5, 137.4, 129.4, 116.0, 114.1, 111.3, 55.2, 23.9, 12.7 ppm.


2-Isopropyl-4-methoxyaniline (10): Obtained using General Procedure A from SI-3 (65\%) or General Procedure B from 2-isopropylphenol (65\%). The second step was performed at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Spectral data matched that of literature reported data. ${ }^{17}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.70(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60-6.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s} ., 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{spt}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$1.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 152.8,136.8,133.6,116.5,111.7$, 110.9, 55.3, 27.6, 22.0 ppm .


4-Methoxy-3,5-dimethylaniline (12): Obtained using General Procedure A from SI-5 (66\%). The second step required 2 days to react to completion. Spectral data matched that of literature reported data. ${ }^{18}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.33$ (s, 2 H ), 3.65 (s, 3 H ), 3.46 (br. s., 2 H ), 2.20 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 149.2,142.0,131.0,115.0,59.6,15.8 \mathrm{ppm}$.


4-Methoxy-3-methylaniline (13): Obtained using General Procedure A from SI-6 (78\%). Spectral data matched that of literature reported data. ${ }^{19}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.63(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.51-6.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s} ., 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 150.4,139.5,126.9,118.0,112.6,111.1,55.4,15.8 \mathrm{ppm}$.


3-Chloro-4-methoxyaniline (14): Obtained using General Procedure A at 1 mmol scale from SI-7 (57\%) or General Procedure B from 3-chlorophenol (20\%). Spectral data matched that of an authentic sample. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.72-6.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.5,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 3.73 (s, 3 H ), 3.38 (br. s., 2 H ) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 147.6,140.8$, 122.6, 116.9, 114.0, 113.7, 56.6 ppm .


3-Bromo-4-methoxyaniline (15): Obtained using General Procedure A from SI-8 (64\%). Spectral data matched that of literature reported data. ${ }^{20} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, J$
$=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.3,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.33$ (br. s., 2 H ) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 148.1,141.1,119.5,114.5,113.2,111.6,56.4 \mathrm{ppm}$.

p-Toluidine (17a) and 2-methoxy-4-methylaniline (17b): Obtained using General Procedure A from $p$-cresol giving 17a (59\%) and 17b (11\%). The second step required 2 days to react to completion. Spectral data matched that of literature reported data. ${ }^{21,22} \mathbf{1 7 a}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69$ (br. s., 2 H ), 2.26 (s, 3 H ) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 143.7, 129.3, 126.9, 114.8, $20.0 \mathrm{ppm} .17 \mathrm{~b}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.65-6.61(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, overlaps with $\mathbf{1 7 a}$ ), $3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.69$ (br. s., 2 H , overlaps with 17a), 2.30 (s, 3 H ) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 146.9,133.2,127.4,120.8,114.6$, 111.1, 54.9, 20.5 ppm .


4-tert-Butylaniline (18a) and 4-tert-butyl-2-methoxyaniline (18b): Obtained using General Procedure A with 1.5 equivalents of PIDA from 4-tert-butylphenol. The residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (Hexane:EtOAc, 4:1, with $1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ) yielding 18a ( $20 \%$ ) as a clear and colorless oil and 18b (19\%) as a white solid. Spectral data matched that of literature reported data. ${ }^{23,}{ }^{24}$ 18a: Rf (Hexane:EtOAc, 4:1): 0.30; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.25-7.21$ (m, 2 H), $6.70-6.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.60\left(\mathrm{~s} ., 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$ ), 1.33 (br. s., 9 H ) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 143.7, 141.3, 126.0, 114.9, 33.8, 31.5 ppm. 18b: Rf (Hexane:EtOAc, 4:1): 0.36; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.1,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 3.89 (s, 3 H ), 3.72 (br. s., 2 H ), 1.33 (s, 9 H ) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{( } 150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 147.0$, $141.8,133.6,117.6,114.7,108.2,55.5,34.2,31.6 \mathrm{ppm}$.

tert-Butyl 4-aminophenylcarbamate (19): Obtained using General Procedure A from tert-butyl 4-hydroxyphenylcarbamate. The residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (Hexane:EtOAc, 2:1, with $1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ) to yield 19 (34\%) as a flaky white solid. Spectral data matched that of literature reported data. ${ }^{25} \mathrm{Rf}$ (Hexane:EtOAc, 2:1): 0.16; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.14$ (br. d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.67-6.61$ (m, 2 H ), 6.26 (br. s., 1 H ), 3.57 (br. s., 2 H ),
1.51 (s, 9 H ) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 153.3,142.3,129.6,120.9,115.5,79.9,28.3$ ppm.


Entry 1: 4-Isopropoxyaniline (24) and 4-methoxyaniline (2): Obtained using General Procedure A from SI-9 giving a mixture of 24 (75\%) ${ }^{26}$ and 2 (10\%). Spectral data matched that of literature reported data. 24: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.72-6.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, overlaps with 2), $6.59-6.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, overlaps with 2), 4.29 ( $\mathrm{spt}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.39 (br. s., 2 H , overlaps with 2), $1.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 150.0,140.1,117.3$, 115.8, 70.5, 21.7 ppm .


Entry 2: 4-Ethoxyaniline (25) and 4-methoxyaniline (2): Obtained using General Procedure A from 4-ethoxyphenol giving a mixture of $\mathbf{2 5}(72 \%)^{27}$ and $2(21 \%)$. Spectral data matched that of literature reported data. 25: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, overlaps with 2), 6.57 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, overlaps with 2), $3.90(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.43 (br. s., 2 H , overlaps with 2), 1.33 (t, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 151.5,139.8,115.9,115.2$, $63.6,14.6 \mathrm{ppm}$.


Entry 3: 4-(4-Methoxybenzyloxy)aniline (26) and 4-methoxyaniline (2): Obtained using General Procedure A from SI-10 giving a mixture of 26 (37\%) ${ }^{28}$ and 2 (45\%). Spectral data matched that of literature reported data. 26: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.34$ (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ H), $6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.67-6.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, overlaps with 2), $4.90(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.32$ (br. s., 2 H , overlaps with 2) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.1,151.7,139.8,129.3,129.1,116.2,115.8,113.7,70.3,55.1 \mathrm{ppm}$.


Entry 4: 4-(Benzyloxy)aniline (27) and 4-methoxyaniline (2): Obtained using General Procedure A from 4-benzyloxyphenol giving a mixture of 27 (33\%) ${ }^{29}$ and 2 (52\%). Spectral data matched that of literature reported data. 27: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.41-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, 6.78 (d, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.56 (d, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, overlaps with 2), 4.92 (s, 2 H ), 3.33 (br. s., 2 H, overlaps with 2) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 151.2,140.1,137.1,128.0,127.3$, 127.0, 115.8, 115.5, 70.1 ppm .


Entry 5: 4-(4-Nitrobenzyloxy)aniline (28) and 4-methoxyaniline (2): Obtained using General Procedure A from SI-11 giving a mixture of $28(5 \%)^{30}$ and 2 (57\%). Spectral data matched that of literature reported data. 19: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.18$ (br. s., 2 H , overlaps with 2) ppm; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 8.23$ (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.67 (d, $J=8.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.54-6.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, overlaps with 1), 5.11 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.64 (s, 2 H) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 151.2,148.4,145.0,140.6,127.5,123.7,116.4,115.9$, 69.3 ppm .


Entry 6: 4-Methoxyaniline (1): Obtained using General Procedure A from SI-12 at 0.5 mmol scale giving $2(76 \%)$ as the sole product. Spectral data matched that of literature reported data.


Entry 7: 4-Methoxyaniline (1): Obtained using General Procedure A from SI-13 giving 2 ( $21 \%$ ) as the sole product. Spectral data matched that of literature reported data.


Entry 8: 4-(Benzyloxy)aniline (27): Obtained using General Procedure A from 4benzyloxyphenol at 0.5 mmol scale. The first step was performed in 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol (TFE), the second step was performed in TFE:methanol (1:1) at room temperature, giving 27 $(44 \%)$ as the sole product. Spectral data matched that of literature reported data.
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Entry 9: 4-Methoxyaniline (2): Obtained using General Procedure A from 4-methoxyphenol at 0.5 mmol scale. Both steps of the reaction were performed in TFE, giving 2 (43\%) as the sole product. Spectral data matched that of literature reported data.


Entry 10: 4-Methoxyaniline (2) \& 4-Ethoxyaniline (25): Obtained using General Procedure A from 4-methoxyphenol with the reaction performed in ethanol giving a mixture of 2 (16\%) and 25 (67\%). Spectral data matched that of literature reported data.


Entry 11: 4-Ethoxyaniline (25): Obtained using General Procedure A from 4-ethoxyphenol with the reaction performed in ethanol giving 25 ( $82 \%$ ). Spectral data matched that of literature reported data.


Entry 12: 4-Methoxyaniline (2) and 4-isopropoxyaniline (24): Obtained using General Procedure A from 4-methoxyphenol with the reaction performed in isopropanol giving a mixture of $24(11 \%)$ and $2(5 \%)$. There were significant solubility issues in both steps of the reaction. Spectral data matched that of literature reported data.


1-Methoxy-4-nitrosobenzene (32): Obtained using General Procedure C from 4-methoxyphenol giving 32 (79\%). Spectral data matched that of literature reported data. ${ }^{31}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 165.6,164.0,124.4,113.9,56.0 \mathrm{ppm}$.


2-Methoxy-1,3-dimethyl-5-nitrosobenzene (34): Obtained using General Procedure C from SI5 giving 34 ( $35 \%$ ). The second step required 48 hr to react to completion. Spectral data matched that of literature reported data. ${ }^{32}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.59(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.39$ (s, 6 H ) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 163.9,163.6,132.0,122.5,59.7,16.3 \mathrm{ppm}$; HRMS (EI) Exact mass cald. for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}: 165.0790$, found: 165.0788.


1-Methoxy-2-methyl-4-nitrosobenzene (35): Obtained using General Procedure C from SI-6 giving $35(77 \%)$. The second step required 70 hr to react to completion. Spectral data matched that of literature reported data. ${ }^{32}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.17$ (br. d, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.39 (br. s., 1 H ), $7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-3.96(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125
$\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 164.1,163.8,127.5,127.0,120.1,109.1,56.1,16.2$ ppm; HRMS (EI) Exact mass cald. for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{NO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$: 151.0633 , found: 151.0632.


2-Chloro-1-methoxy-4-nitrosobenzene (36): Obtained using General Procedure C from SI-7 giving 36 ( $48 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.31$ (br. d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.59 (br. s., 1 H ), $7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 162.3,160.7,127.1$, 124.3, 119.5, 111.0, 56.9 ppm ; HRMS (EI) Exact mass cald. for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{ClNO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}: 171.0087$, found: 171.0091.


2-Bromo-1-methoxy-4-nitrosobenzene (37): Obtained using General Procedure C from SI-8 giving 37 (59\%). The second step required 29 hr to react to completion. Spectral data matched that of literature reported data. ${ }^{32}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.31$ (br. d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.73 $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 162.7$, $161.4,127.5,122.9,113.3,110.9,57.0 \mathrm{ppm}$; HRMS (EI) Exact mass cald. for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{BrNO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$: 214.9582, found: 214.9584 .


1-Isopropoxy-4-nitrosobenzene (38) and 1-methoxy-4-nitrosobenzene (32): Obtained using General Procedure C from SI-9 giving a mixture of 38 ( $47 \%)^{31}$ and 32 (9\%). Spectral data matched that of literature reported data for 38. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.91$ (br. s., 2 H , overlaps with 20), 6.98 (d, $J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.74 ( $\mathrm{spt}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.41 (d, $J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ) $\mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 164.3,163.9,124.4,115.0,71.0,21.9 \mathrm{ppm}$.
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) SI-1

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) SI-3

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) SI-5


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) SI-7


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) SI-9


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) SI-11






${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 13 (with internal standard)


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 17a \& 17b (with internal standard)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 18a \& 18b (with internal standard)



## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 19$ <br> 

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) Entry 1: $\mathbf{1 5} \& 1$ (with internal standard)


NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) Entry 2: 25 \& 2 (with internal standard) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) Entry 3: 26 \& 2 (with internal standard)


## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) Entry 4: 27 \& 2 (with internal standard) <br> 

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) Entry 5: 28 \& 2 (with internal standard)



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) Entry 9: 2 (with internal standard)




${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 34

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 35$




Scheme 1:


Mixed quinone monoketals in the synthesis of anilines:

| Phenol Derivative $20 \text { (R) }$ | pKa ROH in MeCN at $298 K^{33}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sigma_{\mathrm{ROH}} \\ (\mathrm{pKa} \mathrm{MeOH}-\text { pKa ROH) } \end{gathered}$ | 22 (R) (\%) | $23 \text { (Me) }$ <br> (\%) | Alkoxide Retention $22 /(22+23)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| iPr | 16.6 | -1.50 | 75 | 10 | 0.88 |
| Et | 15.9 | -0.80 | 72 | 21 | 0.77 |
| $4-O M e-B n$ | 15.6 | -0.50 | 37 | 45 | 0.45 |
| Bn | 15.4 | -0.30 | 33 | 52 | 0.39 |
| $4-\mathrm{NO}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}$ | 14.9 | 0.20 | 5 | 57 | 0.08 |

Scheme 2:


Mixed quinone monoketals in the synthesis of ortho-chlorophenols (Zheng et. al.) ${ }^{34}$

| Quinone <br> Derivative 39 <br> $(R)$ | pKa ROH in MeCN at <br> $298 K^{33}$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{ROH}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{pKa} \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{pKa} \mathrm{ROH})$ | $\mathbf{4 0 ( R )}$ <br> $(\%)$ | $\mathbf{4 1}(\mathrm{Me})$ <br> $(\%)$ | Alkoxide <br> Retention <br> $\mathbf{4 0} /(\mathbf{4 0}+\mathbf{4 1 )}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| iPr | 16.6 | -1.50 | 75 | 10 | 0.88 |
| Et | 15.9 | -0.80 | 66 | 19 | 0.78 |

Scheme 3:


Mixed quinone monoketals in the synthesis 4-alkoxyphenols:

| Phenol Derivative $42 \text { (R) }$ | pKa ROH in MeCN at $298 K^{33}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sigma_{\mathrm{ROH}} \\ \text { (pKa MeOH - pKa ROH) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44 \text { (R) } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $45 \text { (Me) }$ <br> (\%) | Alkoxide Retention $44 /(44+45)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Et | 15.9 | -0.80 | 53 | 28 | 0.65 |

Modified Hammett Plot:


Optimization Table S1: Initial amine scope.


| Entry | Solvent | Water | Nitrogen Source | Base | Temp | Yield (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | neat | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Decomp |
| 2 | $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}(1.2 \mathrm{eq})$ | $\mathrm{Et}_{x} \mathrm{~N}$ <br> $(2.4 \mathrm{eq})$ | Reflux | No <br> Reaction |
| 3 | $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | Diethyl Aminomalonate $\mathrm{HCl}(2 \mathrm{eq})$ | $\mathrm{Et} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{x}}$ <br> $(2.4 \mathrm{eq})$ | $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | No <br> Reaction |
| 4 | MeOH | $5 \%$ | $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Gly}-\mathrm{OEt} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}(7 \mathrm{eq})$ | $\mathrm{Et} \mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ <br> $(6 \mathrm{eq})$ | Reflux | $76 \%$ |

Optimization Table S2: One-pot scope.



Phenol 4-Methoxyphenol

| Entry | Starting Material | Solvent | PIDA | Water | Nitrogen Source | Base | Temp | Yield (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Phenol | MeOH then $\mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{EtOH}$ (1:1) | 2.1 eq | 5\% | H-Gly-OEt•HCl (3 eq) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3} \\ (2.6 \mathrm{eq}) \end{gathered}$ | Reflux | 35\% |
| 2 | Phenol | MeOH | 2.1 eq | 5\% | H-Gly-OEt•HCl (7eq) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3} \\ (6 \mathrm{eq}) \end{gathered}$ | Reflux | 43\% |
| 3 | Phenol | MeOH | 2.1 eq | 5\% | H-Gly-OEt•HCl (7eq) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \\ (9 \mathrm{eq}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Reflux | 42\% |
| 4* | Phenol | MeOH | 2.1 eq | 5\% | H-Gly-OEt•HCl (7 eq) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \\ (9 \mathrm{eq}) \end{gathered}$ | $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 44\% |
| 5 | 4-Methoxyphenol | MeOH | 1.05 eq | 5\% | H-Gly-OEt•HCl (2 eq) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \\ (4 \mathrm{eq}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 57\% |
| 6* | 4-Methoxyphenol | MeOH | 1.1 eq | 5\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { H-Gly-OEt } \cdot \mathrm{HCl} \\ (7 \mathrm{eq}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} E t_{3} N \\ (9 \mathrm{eq}) \end{gathered}$ | $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 85\% |

*Entries 4 and 6 were used for General Procedures $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{A}$ respectively

