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#### Abstract

Proteins of the bromodomain and extra-terminal (BET) family are epigenetics "readers" and promising therapeutic targets for cancer and other human diseases. We describe herein a structureguided design of 1,4 -oxazepines as a new class of BET inhibitors and our subsequent design, synthesis and evaluation of proteolysis-targeting chimeric (PROTAC) small-molecule BET degraders. Our efforts have led to the discovery of extremely potent BET degraders, exemplified by QCA570, which effectively induces degradation of BET proteins and inhibits cell growth in human acute leukemia cell lines even at low picomolar concentrations. QCA570 achieves complete and durable tumor regression in leukemia xenograft models in mice at well-tolerated dose-schedules. QCA570 is the most potent and efficacious BET degrader reported to date.


## Graphical Abstract

An Exceptionally Potent and Efficacious BET Degrader


## INTRODUCTION

Bromodomain-containing proteins function as epigenetic "readers". ${ }^{1-3}$ Among them, the bromodomain and extra-terminal (BET) family of proteins, which includes BRD2, BRD3, BRD4, and testis-specific BRDT, have emerged as therapeutic targets for cancer, inflammation, HIV infection, CNS disorders, cardiovascular diseases, and male contraception. ${ }^{4-10}$

All the members of the BET protein family contain two bromodomains (BD1 and BD2) that bind the acetylated lysine residues in histone tails and thus regulate gene transcription. In recent years, potent and specific small-molecule BET inhibitors such as JQ-1, ${ }^{11}$ I-BET762
(GSK525762A) ${ }^{12}$ and I-BET151 ${ }^{13}$ which bind to these bromodomains, have been discovered. ${ }^{14-27}$ A number of BET inhibitors, including I-BET762 (GSK525762), ${ }^{12}$ OTX-015 (MK-8628), ${ }^{28}$ and TEN-010 (RO6870810) ${ }^{29}$, have advanced into clinical development as a new class of therapeutics for the treatment of human cancers.

The Proteolysis Targeting Chimera (PROTAC) concept was formally proposed by Deshaies and Crews in $2001{ }^{30}$ and the PROTAC strategy has recently gained attention for its promise in the discovery and development of completely new classes of small-molecule therapeutics. 31-48 PROTAC degrader molecules are designed to contain a ligand for the target protein of interest tethered to a linker, which is attached to a second ligand capable of binding to and recruiting an E3 ligase complex to ubiquitinate and degrade the target protein. A number of laboratories, including ours, have employed the PROTAC strategy for the design of smallmolecule degraders of BET proteins. ${ }^{43-45, ~ 47-49}$ Recently, we reported the discovery of ZBC246 and ZBC260 as highly potent PROTAC BET degraders that are capable of inducing complete degradation of BET proteins in breast cancer and leukemia cells at low to subnanomolar concentrations. ${ }^{44,45} \mathrm{ZBC} 246$ and ZBC260 are >100-times more potent than the corresponding BET inhibitors in inhibition of cell growth in the majority of leukemia and breast cancer cell lines evaluated. ${ }^{44,45}$ These two BET degraders are also very effective in inhibition of tumor growth in breast cancer xenograft models ${ }^{44,45}$ and ZBC260 induces tumor regression in acute leukemia xenograft models in mice. Our data on ZBC246 and ZBC260 suggest that PROTAC BET degraders may have a promising therapeutic potential for the treatment of leukemia and solid tumors.

In the present study, we describe the design, synthesis and evaluation of novel PROTAC BET degraders based upon a new class of BET inhibitors that we have designed. Our efforts have yielded the discovery of QCA570 as an extremely potent and highly efficacious BET degrader, capable of degrading BET proteins at low picomolar (pM) concentrations in leukemia cells and achieving complete and durable tumor regression in mice at welltolerated dose-schedules. QCA570 is the most potent and efficacious BET degrader reported to date.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Structure-guided design of 1,4-oxazepines as a new class of BET inhibitors

Our goal was to discover new classes of BET inhibitors that target BET bromodomains and then use them to design novel BET degraders with improved potency and efficacy.

Since BRD4 BD1 domain, but not BRD4 BD2 domain, has been shown to regulate gene expression, ${ }^{50}$ we focused our design of new classes of BET inhibitors to target the BRD4 BD1 domain. Our modeling showed that 2,9-dimethyl- $4 H, 6 H$-thieno[2,3-e] [1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-c][1,4]oxazepine (2, Figure 1) can closely mimic the 2,3,4,9-tetramethyl- 6 H -thieno[3,2-f][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a][1,4]diazepine core in JQ-1 when interacting with the BRD4 BD1 domain protein (Figure 2). We synthesized compound 2 and determined that it binds to BRD4 BD1 protein with a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of $1.61 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Table 1) in our fluorescence polarization (FP) assay. ${ }^{26,} 27$

The $p$-chlorophenyl group in JQ-1 is critical for the high binding affinity of JQ-1 to the BRD4 BD1 protein through its interaction with the Trp-Pro-Phe (WPF) shelf in BRD4 BD1 but compound 2 lacks the corresponding $p$-chlorophenyl group present in JQ-1 (Figure 1). To capture the interactions of the $p$-chlorophenyl group in JQ-1 with BRD4, we modeled compounds with a substituent at the 3-position of the thiophene in compound 2. Modeling suggested that a benzyl group, but not a phenyl group, at the 3-position of the thiophene, could effectively interact with the WPF shelf in BRD4, and this led to the design of compound $\mathbf{3}$ (Figure 1). Our FP assay showed that compound $\mathbf{3}$ binds to BRD4 BD1 protein with a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of 33.0 nM (Table 1) and is therefore $>40$-times more potent than 2.

In the co-crystal structure of JQ-1 complexed with BDR4 BD1 protein, ${ }^{10,} 11$ the 2-methyl group on the thiophene group enjoys van der Waals interactions with the Trp81, Gln85 and Leu92 residues in the protein. Accordingly, we performed further modification of $\mathbf{3}$ to improve its binding affinity to the protein. To assess the contribution of the corresponding methyl group in compound $\mathbf{3}$ to the BRD4 BD1 binding, we eliminated this methyl group, producing compound 4 . In our FP binding assay, compound 4 has a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of 823 nM to the protein, and is thus 25 -times less potent than compound $\mathbf{3}$ (Table 1 ). The significant reduction in binding affinity of compound 4 to the protein when compared to compound 3 clearly reveals the important contribution of this methyl group to the binding affinity and suggests that further modifications of the methyl group in $\mathbf{3}$ may improve the binding affinity to BRD4.

Analysis of the co-crystal structure of JQ-1 in a complex with BRD4 BD1 ${ }^{10,11}$ and our modeled structure of compound $\mathbf{3}$ in a complex with BRD4 BD1 (Figure 2) showed that there is a channel formed by Trp81, Pro82, Gln85, Asp88, Lys91 and Leu92, which is adjacent to the methyl group on the thiophene. We explored this channel by further modification of the methyl group in compound 3 .

Replacement of the methyl group in $\mathbf{3}$ with a hydrophobic and bulkier isopropyl group yielded compound $\mathbf{5}$, which is >50-times less potent than $\mathbf{3}$ in binding to BRD4 BD1. Replacement of the methyl by a 4-tetrahydro-2 H -pyran group generated compound $\mathbf{6}$, which is also $>50$-times less potent than $\mathbf{3}$ in binding to BRD4 BD1 (Table 1). The reduced binding affinities of $\mathbf{5}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ to BRD4 BD1 when compared to that of $\mathbf{3}$ are consistent with our modeling analysis, which showed that the channel around the methyl group is fairly narrow and cannot accommodate groups much bulkier than the methyl group. We therefore decided to focus on groups smaller than isopropyl or 4-tetrahydro- 2 H -pyran.

Replacement of the methyl by cyclopropyl gave compound 7, which is $>10$-times more potent than 5 but still $>5$-times less potent than 3 in binding to BRD4 BD1 protein. Next, we replaced the methyl group with a linear ethynyl group, producing compound 8 . Compound 8 binds to BRD4 BD1 with a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of 70 nM , and is therefore 2-times less potent than compound 3. Changing the ethynyl group to nitrile, a linear and polar group, yielded compound 9 , which is 3 -times less potent than 8 , or 6 -times less potent than 3 (Table 1 ).

The ethynyl group in compound $\mathbf{8}$ provided us with an opportunity to further explore this channel in BRD4 BD1. Addition of a hydroxyethyl to the ethynyl group gave compound 10,
which is 2-times more potent than 3. Replacing the $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ in $\mathbf{1 0}$ with a dimethylamino group gave compound $\mathbf{1 1}$ which has a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of 91.7 nM and thus a potency similar to that of $\mathbf{3}$. We synthesized compounds with additional hydrophobic substituents, such as isopropyl, $t$-butyl, cyclopropyl, cyclobutyl, cyclopentyl and cyclohexyl groups on the ethynyl group, yielding $\mathbf{1 2}, 13,14,15,16$ and 17 , respectively (Table 1). Among these compounds, $\mathbf{1 4}$ has a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of 3.7 nM and is thus 10 -times more potent than $\mathbf{3}$.

We next synthesized 18 containing a piperidine substituent, 19 containing a methylpiperidine substituent and $\mathbf{2 0}$ with a tetrahydropyran substituent on the ethynyl group. Compounds 18, 19 and 20 bind to BRD4 BD1 with $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values of $68.4 \mathrm{nM}, 27.7 \mathrm{nM}$ and 9.6 nM , respectively. The binding affinities of $\mathbf{1 9}$ and $\mathbf{2 0}$ are stronger than that of $\mathbf{1 7}$ and this shows that a polar atom at the tip of the cyclohexyl substituent in $\mathbf{1 7}$ is tolerated well.

Several compounds containing a heteroaromatic substituent on the ethynyl group were synthesized, including 21 containing 1-methyl-1H-imidazole, 22 (QCA276) containing a 1-methyl- $1 H$-pyrazole, and 23, 24 and 25 each containing a pyridine ring in different orientations. With the exception of $\mathbf{2 1}$, which has a $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of 15.2 nM , compounds $\mathbf{2 2} \mathbf{- 2 5}$ all have $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values to BRD4 BD1 protein below 14 nM (Table 1). In our binding assay, OTX-015 has a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of 5.7 nM to BRD4 BD1 protein and compounds 22-25 are thus equally potent binders to BRD4 BD1, as compared to OTX-015.

We further evaluated compound $\mathbf{2 2}$ for its binding affinities to BRD4 BD2, and BRD2-3 BD1 and BD2 proteins in our FP assays, ${ }^{24}$ and the data are presented in Table 2. Compound 22 binds to BRD2 BD1 and BRD3 BD1 with $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ values of 1.7 nM and 2.5 nM , respectively. It also binds to $\mathrm{BRD} 2 \mathrm{BD} 2, \mathrm{BRD} 3 \mathrm{BD} 2$ and BRD 4 BD 2 with high binding affinities, giving $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values of $8.5 \mathrm{nM}, 6.5 \mathrm{nM}$ and 18.5 nM , respectively. Accordingly, compound 22 was selected as the lead BET inhibitor in our subsequent design of a new class of PROTAC BET degraders, described below.

## Design of a new class of PROTAC BET degraders based upon the BET inhibitor 22 (QCA-276)

Our modeling of $\mathbf{2 2}$ (QCA-276) complexed with BRD4 BD1 protein suggested that the 1-methyl-1 $H$-pyrazole group is exposed to the solvent environment, making it a suitable site at which to anchor a chemical link to a ligand for an E3 ligase complex for the design of PROTAC BET degraders.

Cereblon is an adaptor protein for the cullin 4A RING E3 ligase complex ${ }^{51}$, and thalidomide ${ }^{51,52}$ and lenalidomide ${ }^{53}$ are known to be cereblon ligands. In our previous studies, we successfully employed thalidomide and lenalidomide in our design of potent and efficacious BET degraders ZBC246 and ZBC260.44, 45 We hence chose to employ thalidomide and lenalidomide as the ligands for cereblon/cullin 4A and compound 22 as the BET inhibitor for the design of new PROTAC BET degraders.

We first synthesized compounds $26-31$ as potential BET degraders, by linking one of the nitrogen atoms in the pyrazole group of 22 to the C 4 atom of the isoindoline ring in thalidomide (Table 3). As shown in our earlier study, ${ }^{44}$ the MV4;11, RS4;11 and MOLM-13
acute leukemia cell lines are very responsive to both BET inhibitors and degraders, and accordingly we evaluated our newly synthesized BET degraders for their activity in inhibition of cell growth in these three acute leukemia cell lines, and the data obtained are summarized in Table 3. As a control, we also evaluated the BET inhibitor 22 for its inhibition of cell growth in these leukemia cell lines.

Consistent with its high binding affinities to BET proteins, the BET inhibitor (22) is an effective inhibitor of cell growth, with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $55.8 \mathrm{nM}, 207.3 \mathrm{nM}$ and 173.4 nM in the MV4;11, MOLM-13 and RS4;11 cell lines, respectively (Table 3).

The potential BET degrader (26), in which the pyrazole group in the BET inhibitor portion is directly linked to thalidomide, failed to show significant cell growth inhibitory activity at concentrations up to $1,000 \mathrm{nM}$ in any of these three leukemia cell lines (Table 3). Western blotting analysis consistently showed that at concentrations up to $1,000 \mathrm{nM}$, compound 26 failed to induce degradation of BRD2, BRD3 and BRD4 proteins in the RS4;11 cells even after a 24 h treatment (Figure 3 and S1).

Compound 27, which possesses a $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ - linker between the pyrazole group in the BET inhibitor portion and the thalidomide, achieves $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $19.7,312$ and 20.8 nM in the MV4;11, MOLM-13 and RS4;11 cell lines, respectively (Table 3). In contrast to 26, western blotting showed that $\mathbf{2 7}$, at concentrations as low as 10 nM , is very effective in inducing degradation of BRD2, BRD3 and BRD4 proteins in the RS4;11 cells in a time- and dosedependent manner (Figure 3 and S1). Interestingly, 27 induces degradation of BRD2 and BRD3 proteins more effectively than the BRD4 protein (Figure 3 and S1).

Our previous study showed that the nature of the PROTAC linker between the BET inhibitor portion and thalidomide plays a key role in the potency of a BET degrader in degrading BET proteins and therefore, in inhibition of cell growth. ${ }^{44}$ Accordingly, we synthesized and evaluated a number of BET degraders with linkers longer than that in 27. Compound 28 containing a - $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ - linker is a highly potent BET degrader, achieving $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $0.47 \mathrm{nM}, 2.2 \mathrm{nM}$ and 0.38 nM in cell growth inhibition in MV4;11, MOLM-13 and RS4;11 cell lines, respectively (Table 3 ). Thus $\mathbf{2 8}$ is $\mathbf{> 5 0}$-times more potent than $\mathbf{2 7}$ in each of these three cell lines.

Increasing the linker length in $\mathbf{2 8}$ by one additional methylene yielded $\mathbf{2 9}$, which shows further improved potency in cell growth inhibition in each of these three cell lines. Compound 29 achieves $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $0.14 \mathrm{nM}, 0.56 \mathrm{nM}$ and 0.038 nM in cell growth inhibition in MV4;11, MOLM-13 and RS4;11 cell lines respectively, and is therefore 3-10 times more potent than 28.

Increasing the linker length in 29 by an additional methylene led to $\mathbf{3 0}$, which is $2-3$ times more potent than 29 in cell growth inhibition in each of the three leukemia cell lines. However, a further increase in the linker length in $\mathbf{3 0}$ by one more methylene groups, resulting in for example 31, fails to improve the potency in cell growth inhibition in any of the three cell lines. Compounds $\mathbf{3 0}$ and $\mathbf{3 1}$ in fact have very similar potencies in all the three cell lines, with the data indicating that the linker in $\mathbf{3 0}$ is optimal. Indeed, compound $\mathbf{3 0}$
achieves extremely high potencies in all three leukemia cell lines with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 0.065 , 0.19 and 0.02 nM in inhibition of cell growth (Table 3).

We evaluated compounds $\mathbf{2 7} \mathbf{- 3 1}$ for their ability to induce degradation of BET proteins in the RS4;11 cells (Figure 3 and S1). Our western blotting data showed that 29, and especially 30 and $\mathbf{3 1}$ are extremely potent inducers of degradation of BET proteins and are in fact very effective at concentrations as low as 0.1 nM after a 3 h treatment. Consistent with their reduced potencies in inhibition of cell growth in the RS4;11 cell line, compounds 27 and 28 are much less potent than compounds $\mathbf{2 9}, \mathbf{3 0}$ and $\mathbf{3 1}$ in inducing degradation of BET proteins.

Since c-Myc protein expression is regulated by BET proteins ${ }^{54}$, we evaluated the effect of our BET degraders on $\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{Myc}$. Consistent with their high potency in inducing complete degradation of BET proteins, the potent BET degraders $\mathbf{3 0}$ and $\mathbf{3 1}$ are also very effective in reducing the levels of c-Myc protein in in the RS4;11 cells (Figure 3 and S1). For example, both $\mathbf{3 0}$ and $\mathbf{3 1}$ reduce the levels of c-Myc protein in a 3 h treatment time at concentrations as low as 0.1 nM (Figure 3A). These data further confirm that $\mathbf{3 0}$ and $\mathbf{3 1}$ are highly potent BET degraders. Moreover, compounds 28, 29, 30, and $\mathbf{3 1}$ all potently induce apoptosis at 1 and 10 nM after a 24 h treatment as revealed by cleavage of PARP (Figure 3B), while the inhibitor 22 at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ induces PARP cleavage only marginally.

We next synthesized $\mathbf{3 2}$ and $\mathbf{3 3}$ by converting the 3-carbonyl group in the thalidomide moiety of $\mathbf{2 9}$ and $\mathbf{3 0}$ to a methylene group in an effort to further improve potency. Both $\mathbf{3 2}$ and $\mathbf{3 3}$ have $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values in the low picomolar to sub-nanomolar range in cell growth inhibition in these cell leukemia cell lines and are therefore highly potent BET degraders (Table 3).

To further examine the effect of the linking group, we synthesized and evaluated compounds 34 and 35 . Changing the NH linking group in 33 to a methylene group yielded 34, which has picomolar $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values in inhibition of cell growth in each of the three leukemia cell lines. Replacing the $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}$ linker in 33 with an ethynyl group gave 35 (QCA570), which inhibits cell growth in MV4;11, MOLM-13 and RS4; 11 cell lines with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $8.3 \mathrm{pM}, 62 \mathrm{pM}$ and 32 pM , respectively (Table 3). Converting the 3-carbonyl group in the thalidomide moiety to a methylene group gave 36 , which is $10-100$ times less potent than 35 .

The amino group of the piperidine-2,6-dione in thalidomide and lenalidomide forms a strong hydrogen bond with cereblon which is revealed in the co-crystal structures. ${ }^{52,53}$
Consistently, methylation of the amino group of the piperidine-2,6-dione in thalidomide and lenalidomide completely abolishes their binding to cereblon. ${ }^{37}$ To facilitate our mechanistic investigations for this class of BET degraders, we synthesized compound 37, in which the amino group of the piperidine-2,6-dione in $\mathbf{3 5}$ is methylated. Compound $\mathbf{3 7}$ is a fairly potent inhibitor of cell growth in all the three leukemia cell lines but it is $>10,000$-times less potent than $\mathbf{3 5}$ in each of these three leukemia cell lines, supporting that the high potency of $\mathbf{3 5}$ as a BET degrader is dependent on binding to cereblon. Compound 37 and the corresponding BET inhibitor $\mathbf{2 2}$ have similar $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values in inhibition of cell growth in the MV4;11, MOLM-13 and RS4;11 cell lines (Table 3).

We evaluated a number of previously published BET degraders such as dBET1, ${ }^{43}$ ARV-825, ${ }^{37}$ ARV- $771^{47}$ and $\mathrm{ZBC} 260{ }^{44}$ for their cell growth inhibitory activity in these three leukemia cell lines (Table 3). Consistent with the previous studies, these BET degraders all potently inhibit cell growth in these leukemia cell lines (Table 3). In direct comparison, $\mathbf{3 5}$ is $>1,000$-times more potent than dBET1 and at least $>10$-times more potent than ARV-825 and ARV-771 in each of these three cell lines. Although ZBC260 and $\mathbf{3 5}$ have similar potencies in the RS4; 11 cell line, $\mathbf{3 5}$ is $>10$-times more potent than ZBC 260 in the MV4;11 and MOLM-13 cell lines. Based on these data, $\mathbf{3 5}$ is the most potent BET degrader reported to date.

We next investigated the ability of $\mathbf{3 5}$ to induce degradation of BET proteins in the MV4;11 and RS4;11 cell lines, and its suppression of c-Myc protein expression (Figure 4). At concentrations as low as $10 \mathrm{pM}, \mathbf{3 5}$ is very effective in reducing the levels of BRD3 and BRD4 proteins and that of the BRD2 protein at $30-100 \mathrm{pM}$ in both leukemia cell lines with a 3 h treatment (Figure 4A and 4B). Consistently, compound 35, at concentrations as low as 10 pM in the RS4;11 cell line and 30 pM in the MV4; 11 cell line, is very effective in reducing the levels of c-Myc protein. The corresponding BET inhibitor (22) fails to reduce the levels of BRD2, BRD3 and BRD4 proteins in the MV4;11 cell line and the levels of BRD2 and BRD4 proteins in the RS4;11 cell line. Interestingly, the level of BRD3 protein was reduced by 22 in the RS4;11 cell line but not in the MV4;11 cell line.

We investigated the mechanism of action for the degradation of BET proteins induced by 35. Compound 35 at a concentration of 0.5 nM induced complete degradation of BRD2, BRD3 and BRD4 proteins in the RS4;11 cell line with a 3 h treatment time (Figure 5). Degradation of BRD2, BRD3 and BRD4 proteins by 35 is completely blocked by pre-treatment with lenalidomide, a ligand for cereblon; or with MLN4924, an E1 neddylation inhibitor; or MG-132 and carfizomib, two proteasome inhibitors. Therefore, these data clearly demonstrate that 35 induces degradation of BRD2, BRD3 and BRD4 proteins through cereblon-, neddylation- and proteasome-dependent mechanisms, consistent with its PROTAC design.

A previous study showed that an analogue of lenalidomide can elicit strong antitumor activity by inducing degradation of GSPT1. ${ }^{55}$ To further investigate the mechanism of action for $\mathbf{3 5}$, we examined the effect of $\mathbf{3 5}$ on GSPT1 protein in both the MV4;11 and RS4;11 cells. Our western blotting data showed that while 35 is highly potent and effective in inducing degradation of BRD2, BRD3 and BRD4 proteins, it has no effect on GSPT1 protein (SI, Figure S2).

We used flow cytometry to investigate the ability of $\mathbf{3 5}$ to induce apoptosis in the MV4;11, MOLM-13 and RS4;11 cell lines, and found that $\mathbf{3 5}$ is highly potent and effective in inducing apoptosis in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 6). In both the MOLM-13 and MV4;11 cell lines, it induces $>60 \%$ of the cells to undergo apoptosis at concentrations as low as 1 nM upon a 24 h treatment (Figure 6A and 6B). It is interesting that $\mathbf{3 5}$ has slower kinetics of apoptosis induction in the RS4;11 cell line than that in the MOLM-13 and MV4; 11 cell lines. With 24 h treatment time, $\mathbf{3 5}$ has a minimal effect at 0.1 nM and induces only $15-30 \%$ of the RS4;11 cells to undergo apoptosis at $0.3-10 \mathrm{nM}$ (Figure 6C). However,
with a 48 h treatment time, $\mathbf{3 5}$ is highly effective in inducing apoptosis at concentrations as low as $0.1 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathbf{3 5}$ induces $>40 \%$ of the RS4;11 cells to undergo apoptosis at 0.1 nM (Figure 6 D ). In direct comparison, the corresponding BET inhibitor 22, at concentrations of 1-10 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ has only a minimal to modest effect in induction of apoptosis in these three cell lines.

Consistent with the strong effect on apoptosis induction by $\mathbf{3 5}$ determined in the flow cytometry assay, our western blotting analysis showed that it induces robust cleavage of PARP at concentrations as low as $0.1-0.3 \mathrm{nM}$ in the MV4;11 and RS4;11 cell lines after 24 h treatment (Figures 4C and 4D). Also in agreement with our flow cytometry data, 35 induces caspase 3 activation at concentrations as low as 0.3 nM in the MV4;11 cell line. Caspase 3 cleavage was not detected in the RS4;11 cell line with the 24 h treatment, consistent with the slow kinetics in apoptosis induction by $\mathbf{3 5}$ in this cell line that was revealed by flow cytometry analysis.

Next, we investigated the effect of $\mathbf{3 5}$ in vivo in a pharmacodynamics experiment using RS4;11 xenograft tumors in mice (Figure 7). SCID mice bearing the RS4;11 tumors were administered a single, intravenous dose of $\mathbf{3 5}$ at 1 or $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and tumor tissues were harvested for analysis at 1, 6 and 24 h after drug treatment. Western blotting analysis showed that $\mathbf{3 5}$ dramatically reduces the levels of BRD2, BRD3 and BRD4 proteins at the 1 h and 6 h time-points at both 1 and $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ doses and is more effective in reducing the BRD4 protein level at the 24 h time-point with $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ than with $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. Consistent with the profound reduction of BRD2, BRD3 and BRD4 proteins in the RS4;11 tumor tissue, $\mathbf{3 5}$ with both 1 and $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ treatments reduces c-Myc protein levels dramatically at all the time points examined. Compound 35 is also very effective in inducing cleavage of PARP at the 6 h and 24 h time-points, indicating strong apoptosis induction in the tumor tissue.

We performed tissue distribution analysis of compound 35 in mice bearing RS4;11 xenograft tumors, with the data summarized in Table 4 . Our data showed that compound 35 is extensively distributed into all the tissues collected and analyzed. Compound 35 has good exposure in all tissues at 1 h time-point. The concentration of 35 is rapidly deceased at 3 hr time-point and becomes undetectable at 6 h time-point, with the exception in the xenograft tumor tissue. Since 35 is capable of inducing rapid degradation of BET proteins in cells even at concentration of $<1 \mathrm{nM}$, our tissue distribution data suggest that a single dose of 35 at 5 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ can achieve sufficient exposure to induce degradation of BET proteins in all tissues.

We tested 35 for its anti-tumor efficacy in the RS4;11 and MV4; 11 xenograft models and the data are summarized in Figure 8. In the RS4;11 xenograft model, 35 achieved complete and long-lasting tumor regression with doses of $1,2.5$ and $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}, 3$ times per week for 3 weeks (Figure 8A). Compound 35 at $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ weekly was also very effective, achieving complete tumor growth inhibition but not tumor regression (Figure 8A). Compound 35 induced minimal animal weight loss (Figure 8B) and there were no other signs of toxicity in all the treatment groups.

In the MV4; 11 xenograft model, 35 achieved complete tumor regression at $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}, 3$ times per week for 2 weeks (Figure 8C). At $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, 3 times per week for 2 weeks, compound 35 achieves complete and long-lasting tumor regression (Figure 8C). It caused a maximum

## Chemistry

The synthesis of the BET inhibitor $\mathbf{2 2}$ is shown in Scheme 1. Briefly, $\mathrm{FmocCl}(\mathbf{3 7})$ was converted to FmocNCS (38). Cyclization with methyl 4-chloro-3-oxobutanoate followed by bromination with phosphorus(V) oxybromide resulted in Fmoc-protected methyl 2-amino-4-bromothiophene-3-carboxylate (40), and removal of the protecting groups afforded 41. The amino group of $\mathbf{4 1}$ was reacted with 2-(OTBDPS) acetic chloride to give the amide (42), which was transformed into the sulfamide (43) using Lawesson's reagent. A subsequent three-step cyclization generated the triazole (44), whose methyl carboxylate group was reduced, then chlorinated to generate a methylene chloride group, which linked with the unprotected hydroxyl group to form the seven-membered oxygen-containing ring in 46. A benzyl group was introduced into the thiophene through a palladium-catalyzed Suzuki coupling reaction giving the benzyl-substituted thiophene (4). Bromination of $\mathbf{4}$ gave compound 47 and finally, Sonogashira coupling of $\mathbf{4 7}$ with 4 -ethynyl-1-methyl-1 H -pyrazole gave compound 22 (QCA276).

The synthetic route to the BET degrader (35) is shown in Scheme 2. Introduction of 4-ethynyl-1H-pyrazole to compound 47 produced compound $\mathbf{4 8}$, alkylation of which with 5-iodopent-1-yne generated 71. Sonogashira coupling of $\mathbf{7 1}$ with 3-(4-iodo-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)piperidine-2,6-dione gave the final compound $\mathbf{3 5}$.

## CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we present our design, synthesis and evaluation of novel PROTAC degraders of BET proteins using a new class of BET inhibitors we have designed and known cereblon ligands. Our study has led to the discovery of a set of extremely potent and highly efficacious BET degraders, exemplified by 35 (QCA570). QCA570 is capable of effectively inducing degradation of BRD2, BRD3 and BRD4 proteins at low picomolar concentrations in human leukemia cell lines and has picomolar $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values in inhibition of cell growth in the MV4;11, RS4;11 and MOLM-13 human leukemia cell lines. Significantly, QCA570 achieves complete and long-lasting tumor regression in both the MV4; 11 and RS4;11 acute leukemia xenograft models in mice at well-tolerated dose-schedules. Our data demonstrate that QCA570 is the most potent and efficacious BET degrader reported to date and warrants extensive further investigation as a potential therapy for the treatment of acute leukemia and other types of human cancer.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

## Chemistry. General Methods

Unless otherwise noted, all purchased reagents were used as received without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Advance 400 MHz and 300 MHz spectrometers. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were reported in parts per million ( ppm )
referenced to 7.26 ppm of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ or referenced to the center line of a septet at 2.50 ppm of DMSO- $d_{6}$ or 3.31 ppm of $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$. All ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were reported in ppm and obtained with ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ decoupling. In the reported spectral data, the format ( $\delta$ ) chemical shift (multiplicity, $J$ values in Hz, integration) was used with the following abbreviations: $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet. MS analyses were carried out on a Waters UPLC-Mass instrument. The final compounds were all purified by C 18 reverse phase preparative HPLC column with solvent $\mathrm{A}\left(0.1 \%\right.$ TFA in $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ and solvent $\mathrm{B}(0.1 \%$ TFA in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) as eluents. The purities of all the final compounds were confirmed to be $>95 \%$ by UPLC-MS or UPLC.

## General Procedure for Synthesis of Compound 3 and Compounds 5-7

NBS ( $716 \mathrm{mg}, 4.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of compound $4(0.8 \mathrm{~g}, 2.68 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{HOAc}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 6 h . If compound 4 was not consumed completely, more NBS was added. All volatiles were removed and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel ( DCM and MeOH ) to afford compound 47 (950 mg, 84\%).

A Shlenk tube was charged with compound 47 ( 10 mg ), the corresponding boronic acid pinacol ester ( 2.0 eq ), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(2.7 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{PCy}_{3}(1.7 \mathrm{mg})$, dioxane $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution ( $2 \mathrm{M}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The tube was then sealed and heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an oil bath for 4 h . The reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc and the organic layer was washed with brine, dried and concentrated. The residue was purified through HPLC to afford compound 3 and compounds 5-7.

## 3-Benzyl-2,9-dimethyl-4H,6H-thieno[2,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-c][1,4]oxazepine (3)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.51-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.72(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. ESI-MS [M+H] ${ }^{+}$: 312.20. UPLC: 4.2 min.

## 3-Benzyl-9-methyl-4H,6H-thieno[2,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-c][1,4]oxazepine (4)

Compound $37(52 \mathrm{~g}, 200 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{EtOAc}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to a suspension of anhydrous KSCN $(21.4 \mathrm{~g}, 2200 \mathrm{mmol})$ in EtOAc $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to r.t. and stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was filtered and the filtrate was evaporated under vacuum. NMR analysis of the crude showed a small amount of the solvent EtOAc. The crude product was treated with DCM ( 100 mL ) and hexanes (100 mL ) and the resulting solution was evaporated under vacuum to facilitate removal of the small amount of EtOAc. The yield of crude compound $\mathbf{3 8}$ was $52 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$.

A solution of methyl 4-chloro-3-oxobutanoate ( $30.1 \mathrm{~g}, 200 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in THF ( 50 mL ) was added dropwise to a suspension of $\mathrm{NaH}(60 \%$ in mineral oil, $8.8 \mathrm{~g}, 220 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in THF ( 200 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the addition was complete, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to r.t. and stirred for 20 min . Then the reaction mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of crude FmocNCS ( $52 \mathrm{~g}, 184 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 50 mL ) was added dropwise. After the addition was complete, the reaction mixture was quenched at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by the addition of 50 mL of saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution and 50 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Then the majority of the solvent was
evaporated under vacuum when, at this time point a precipitate started to form. Then 150 mL $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and 150 mL EtOAc were added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature until it became a suspension. The crude mixture was filtered and ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ casional stirring of the filter cake was used to speed up the filtration process. The filter cake was further rinsed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{EtOAc}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. Again the filter cake was stirred ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Cc}$ casionally during the washing process to facilitate filtration. The filter cake ( 56.3 g ) was transferred to a flask. This crude product was further dried under high vacuum overnight to remove $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and the organic solvent. After drying, the crude compound (39) weighed 44.9 g ( $62 \%$ yield) as light yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3$ ) $\delta 11.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.37-$ $4.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
$\mathrm{POBr}_{3}(39 \mathrm{~g}, 137 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq})$ was added to a suspension of compound 39, methyl 2-((((9H-fluorene-9-yl)methoxy)carbonyl)amino)-4-oxo-4,5-dihydrothiophene-3-carboxylate $(44.9 \mathrm{~g}, 114 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dioxane $(250 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction mixture was heated to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was monitored by TLC which showed all the starting material to have been consumed in less than 1 h . The reaction mixture was cooled and poured into the mixture of ice-water. The reaction mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 \times 300 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine twice, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The crude product compound (40) was used directly in the next step.

The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{DCM}(75 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Morpholine ( $52 \mathrm{~mL}, 5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added slowly and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature then stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was filtered and rinsed with a small amount of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The filtrate was evaporated under vacuum and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel (pure DCM) to afford 2-amino-4-bromothiophene-3carboxylate (41), as off-white solid ( $14 \mathrm{~g}, 52 \%$ over two steps).

2-((tert-Butyldiphenylsilyl)oxy)acetic acid ( $6 \mathrm{~g}, 19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in DCM ( 60 mL ) and the solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Oxalyl chloride ( $1.3 \mathrm{eq}, 25 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.1 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added followed by the addition of DMF $(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was allowed to warm to r.t. and stirred for a further 1 h . All the volatiles were removed under vacuum and the residue was dissolved in DCM ( 10 mL ). This solution was added to a solution of compound 41 (2.36 $\mathrm{g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ and DIPEA $(5.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 30 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to r.t. and stirred for 1 h prior to being quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{DCM}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and then, dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and then concentrated. The oil was chromatographed on silica gel (1:16 to 1:8 EtOAc/hexanes) to give compound $\mathbf{4 2}$ as an oil: ( $5.3 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%$ ).

Lawesson's reagent ( $2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 6 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.6 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added to a solution of compound 42 ( 5.5 g , $10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dioxane $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction mixture was heated at reflux. The reaction was monitored by TLC and all the starting material was consumed in 4-6 h . The reaction mixture was cooled and diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and EtOAc. After extraction, the organic layers were combined and washed with brine twice. The organic layer was dried and removed
under vacuum. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel (1:16 to $1: 8 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes $)$
to give compound 43 as an oil: ( $3.2 \mathrm{~g}, 57 \%$ ).
Hydrazine monohydrate ( $0.55 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added at r.t. to a solution of compound $43(3.2 \mathrm{~g}, 5.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h and then concentrated in vacuum. The residue was taken up in DCM and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and brine. The organic layer was separated, dried and concentrated. The residue was taken up in EtOH ( 10 mL ) and THF ( 2 mL ), and triethyl orthoacetate ( $3.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added. The reaction mixture was heated at reflux for 1 h . All volatiles were removed under vacuum and the residue was treated with $\mathrm{AcOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was heated at reflux for 1 h prior to the removal of the solvent under vacuum. The residue was treated with EtOAc, washed with 1 M NaOH , saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and brine. The organic layer was dried and concentrated. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel (1:2 EtOAc/hexanes followed by EtOAc, then DCM/MeOH 15:1) to give compound 44 ( $1.9 \mathrm{~g}, 58 \%$ ).

A solution of $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4}$ ( 2 M in THF, $3.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.6 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2 eq ). $\mathrm{MeOH}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a solution of compound $44(1.9 \mathrm{~g}, 3.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to r.t. then stirred for 12 h . All volatiles were removed and the residue was taken up in EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and brine prior to being dried and concentrated. The residue was dissolved in DCM $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Thionyl chloride ( $0.72 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to r.t. After 1 h , all the volatiles were removed and the residue was taken up in EtOAc and washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and brine, dried and concentrated to give crude compound $45(1.75 \mathrm{~g})$.

A solution of TBAF ( $3.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF) was added to a solution of compound $45(1.75 \mathrm{~g}, 3.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was stirred for 1 h prior to being added to a heated solution of $t$ - $\mathrm{BuONa}(595 \mathrm{mg}, 6.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{eq})$ in $t-\mathrm{BuOH}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 min and then cooled. All the solvent was removed under vacuum and the residue was taken up in EtOAc and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried and concentrated. The residue was purified by HPLC to afford the TFA salt of the compound 46 ( $667 \mathrm{mg}, 51 \%$ over four steps).

A solution of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\left(2 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 10 \mathrm{~mL}\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a solution of compound $46(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}($ ally $) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \% \mathrm{mmol})$, sodium 2'-dicyclohexylphosphino-2,6-dimethoxy-1,1'-biphenyl-3-sulfonate hydrate, (sSPhos) (20\% $\mathrm{mmol})$, and potassium benzyltrifluoroborate $(1.39 \mathrm{~g}, 7.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min and then heated to $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 3-4 h. All volatiles were removed and the residue was dissolved in EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with water and brine prior to being dried and concentrated. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel $(\mathrm{DCM}$ and MeOH$)$ to afford compound $\mathbf{4}$, (800 $\mathrm{mg}, 77 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.40-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. Retention time: 4.37 min ; ESI-MS [M+H]+: 298.10. UPLC: 3.4 min.

## 3-Benzyl-2-isopropyl-9-methyl-4H,6H-thieno[2,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-c][1,4]oxazepine (5) <br> ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13$ (d, $J=$ $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.34$ (d, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ). ESI-MS: 340.02 . UPLC: 5.9 min .

## 3-Benzyl-9-methyl-2-(tetrahydro-2H-pyran-4-yl)-4H,6H-thieno[2,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[3,4c] [1,4]oxazepine (6)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta 7.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.01-3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65-3.42(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. ESI-MS: 382.09. UPLC: 4.9 min .

3-Benzyl-2-(tert-butyl)-9-methyl-4H,6H-thieno[2,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-c][1,4]oxazepine (7)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta 7.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18$ (d, $J=$ $7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.22-2.10(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.11$ (q, $J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.79(\mathrm{q}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. ESI-MS: M+H 338.18.

## General Procedure for Synthesis of Compounds 8, 10-25

The corresponding alkyne ( 2.0 eq ), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4.2 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{CuI}(2.3 \mathrm{mg})$, THF ( 1 mL ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ to a flask charged with $47(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . The reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc and the organic layer was washed with brine, dried and concentrated. The residue was purified through HPLC to afford compound 8, 10-25.

3-Benzyl-2-ethynyl-9-methyl-4H,6H-thieno[2,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-c][1,4]oxazepine (8) was obtained by coupling of $\mathbf{4 7}$ with TMS-acetylene followed by treatment with TBAF to remove the TMS group. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta 7.37-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.69(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. ESI-MS: 322.20. UPLC: 4.9 min.

3-Benzyl-9-methyl-4H,6H-thieno[2,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-c][1,4]oxazepine-2-carbonitrile (9)
A flask was charged with compound $47(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}(7 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{CuI}(2$ mg ), 1-methyl- $1 H$-imidazole ( 0.5 mL ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . The reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc and the organic layer was washed with brine, dried and concentrated. The residue was purified through HPLC to afford compound 9 ( $45 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta 7.35(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28$ (d, $J$ $=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.77(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. ESI-MS: 323.20.

4-(3-Benzyl-9-methyl-4H,6H-thieno[2,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-c][1,4]oxazepin-2-yl)but-3-yn-1ol (10)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta 7.29(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.69(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.75-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$. ESI-MS: 366.11.

## 3-(3-Benzyl-9-methyl-4H,6H-thieno[2,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-c][1,4]oxazepin-2-yl)-N,N-dimethylprop-2-yn-1-amine (11)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta=7.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{~s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 2.77$ (s, 3H), ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, MeOD) 146.4, 138.9, 132.6, 132.3, 129.9, 129.2, $127.9,116.1,87.0,81.9,69.0,62.8,48.4,42.8,34.6,12.5$. ESI-MS: 379.33. UPLC: 1.6 min .

## 3-Benzyl-9-methyl-2-(3-methylbut-1-yn-1-yl)-4H,6H-thieno[2,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-c][1,4]oxazepine (12)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta=7.31-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.69$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $142.9,139.5$, 132.4, 129.7, 129.33, 129.30, 127.7, 119.7, 105.5, 71.9, 69.2, 62.7, 34.5, 23.1, 22.7, 12.4. ESI-MS: 364.33. UPLC: 4.88 min .

## 3-Benzyl-2-(3,3-dimethylbut-1-yn-1-yl)-9-methyl-4H,6H-thieno[2,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-c] [1,4]- oxazepine (13)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta=7.32-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.70$ (s, 2H), $4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) 142.9,139.6$, $132.4,129.7,129.3,129.1,127.7,119.6,108.4,71.5,69.2,62.7,34.5,31.0,29.6,12.4$. ESIMS: 378.38. UPLC: 5.24 min .

## 3-Benzyl-2-(cyclopropylethynyl)-9-methyl-4H,6H-thieno[2,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-c] [1,4]oxazepine (14)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta=7.32-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.67$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.02-0.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.81-0.73(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) 155.4, 153.0, 143.1, 139.6, 132.2, 129.7, 129.3, 127.7, $119.8,103.8,69.0,67.4,62.7,34.5,12.4,9.6,1.0$. ESI-MS: 362.40. UPLC: 4.77 min.

## 3-Benzyl-2-(cyclobutylethynyl)-9-methyl-4H,6H-thieno[2,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-c] [1,4]oxazepine (15)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta=7.30-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.69$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.33-3.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.42-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.26-2.12(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 2.25-1.90 (m, 2H), ESI-MS: 376.42. UPLC: 5.41 min .

3-Benzyl-2-(cyclopentylethynyl)-9-methyl-4H,6H-thieno[2,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-c] [1,4]oxazepine (16)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta=7.31-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.68$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.97-2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.58(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) 155.4, 153.1, 142.8, 139.6, 132.3, 129.7, 129.3, 129.0, 127.7, 119.9, 104.7, 72.2, 69.1, 62.7, 34.8, 34.5, 32.2, 25.9, 12.4. ESI-MS: 390.37. UPLC: 5.50 min .

## 3-Benzyl-2-(cyclohexylethynyl)-9-methyl-4H,6H-thieno[2,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-c] [1,4]oxazepine (17) <br> ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta=7.32-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.68$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.77-2.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.67(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) 155.3, 153.1, $142.9,139.6,132.3,129.7,129.32,129.28,127.7,119.7,104.3,72.9,69.1,62.7,34.5,33.5$, 31.0, 26.9, 25.6, 12.4. ESI-MS: 404.48. UPLC: 6.40 min .

3-Benzyl-9-methyl-2-(piperidin-4-ylethynyl)-4H,6H-thieno[2,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-c][1,4]oxazepine (18)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta=7.35-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.69$ (s, 2H), $3.99(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.22-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.12-2.97(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.08-2.01 (m, 2H), 1.82-1.69 (m, 2H), ESI-MS: 405.23. UPLC: 2.3 min .

3-Benzyl-9-methyl-2-((1-methylpiperidin-4-yl)ethynyl)-4H,6H-thieno[2,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[3,4c][1,4]oxazepine (19)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta=7.36-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.67$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.57-3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.09-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-$ $2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, ESI-MS: 419.51. UPLC: 1.77 min .

3-Benzyl-9-methyl-2-((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-4-yl)ethynyl)-4H,6H-thieno[2,3-e] [1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-c][1,4]oxazepine (20)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) \delta=7.32-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.69$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.03-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) 155.4, 153.1, $143.3,139.4,132.4,129.8,129.5,129.2,127.7,119.2,102.4,73.6,69.2,67.2,62.7,34.5$, 33.1, 28.2, 12.4. ESI-MS: 406.40. UPLC: 4.27 min .

3-Benzyl-9-methyl-2-((1-methyl-1H-imidazol-5-yl)ethynyl)-4H,6H-thieno[2,3-e] [1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-c][1,4]oxazepine (21)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta=7.32-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.69$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.03-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) 155.4, 153.1, 143.3, 139.4, 132.4, 129.8, 129.5, 129.2, 127.7, 119.2, 102.4, 73.6, 69.2, 67.2, 62.7, 34.5, 33.1, 28.2, 12.4. ESI-MS: 406.40. UPLC: 4.27 min .

## 3-Benzyl-9-methyl-2-((1-methyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)ethynyl)-4H,6H-thieno[2,3-e] [1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-c][1,4]oxazepine (22)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta=7.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.16$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) 141.9,141.4,138.2$, 133.8, 130.7, 129.4, 128.4, 127.9, 126.3, 117.5, 102.0, 88.5, 80.2, 67.5, 61.4, 37.8, 33.3, 11.1. ESI-MS: 402.38. UPLC: 3.27 min .

3-Benzyl-9-methyl-2-(pyridin-4-ylethynyl)-4H,6H-thieno[2,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-c] [1,4]oxazepine (23)<br>${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta 8.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31$ (dd, $J$ $=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.78-4.73(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H). ESI-MS: M+H 399.41. UPLC: 3.52 min .

## 3-Benzyl-9-methyl-2-(pyridin-3-ylethynyl)-4H,6H-thieno[2,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-c] [1,4]oxazepine (24)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta 8.88-8.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76-7.70(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.18 (s, 2H), 2.80 (s, 3H). ESI-MS: M+H 399.44. UPLC: 3.50 min .

## 3-Benzyl-9-methyl-2-(pyridin-2-ylethynyl)-4H,6H-thieno[2,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-c] [1,4]oxazepine (25)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta=8.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.17$ (m, 5H), 4.73 (s, 2H), 4.72 (s, 2H), $4.20(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.76$ (s, 3H), ESI-MS: 399.31. UPLC: 3.44 min .

## 4-(4-((3-Benzyl-9-methyl-4H,6H-thieno[2,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-c][1,4]oxazepin-2-yl)ethynyl)-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)-2-(2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)isoindoline-1,3-dione (26)

4-Ethynyl-1Hpyrazole ( $9.2 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{eq}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4.2 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{CuI}(2.3 \mathrm{mg})$, THF ( 1 mL ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ to a flask charged with $47(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h . The reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc and the organic layer was washed with brine, dried and concentrated. The residue was purified through HPLC to afford 2-((1H-pyrazol-4-yl)ethynyl)-3-benzyl-9-methyl-4H, 6H-thieno[2,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-c][1,4]oxazepine (48) in 70\% yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d6) $\delta=13.3$ (brs, 1 H ), $8.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO-d6) 163.1, 150.6, 141.7, 138.4, 129.74, 129.65, 128.8, 128.3, 126.6, $115.8,100.3,89.7,80.8,67.6,62.0,33.3,12.3$. ESI-MS: 388.22.

2-(2,6-Dioxopiperidin-3-yl)-4-fluoroisoindoline-1,3-dione (49) (14 mg, 1 eq$), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and DMSO ( 2 mL ) were added to a flask charged with compound $48(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h . The reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc and the organic layer was washed with brine, dried and concentrated. The residue was purified through HPLC to afford compound 26 (20\% yield).

4-((4-((3-Benzyl-9-methyl-4H,6H-thieno[2,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-c][1,4]oxazepin-2-yl)ethynyl)-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)methoxy)-2-(2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)isoindoline-1,3-dione (27)

Compound 51 2-(2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)-4-hydroxyisoindoline-1,3-dione ( $274 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0$ mmol ) in DMF ( 2 mL ) was added to a solution of compound $\mathbf{5 0}$ ( 1 H -pyrazol-1-yl) methyl methanesulfonate ( $193 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{KHCO}_{3}(200 \mathrm{mg})$ and $\mathrm{KI}(10 \mathrm{mg})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h prior to being taken up in EtOAc and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic layer was separated, dried, and evaporated. The residue was purified by chromatography to
afford 4-((1H-pyrazol-1-yl)methoxy)-2-(2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)isoindoline-1,3-dione 52 (180 mg, 51\%). ESI-MS: 355.12.
$N$-Iodosuccinimide (113 mg) was added to a solution of $\mathbf{5 2}(180 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in AcOH (2 $\mathrm{mL})$. The reaction was stirred for 1 h prior to being concentrated. The residue was purified by HPLC to afford 2-(2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)-4-((4-iodo-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)methoxy)isoindoline-1,3-dione (53). ESI-MS: 481.02.
$\mathrm{CuI}(1 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3.5 \mathrm{mg}), 53(33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.069 \mathrm{mmol})$, and ethynyltrimethylsilane ( 20 $\mathrm{mg})$, THF ( 2 mL ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was placed in a Schlenk tube. The reaction mixture was heated at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The reaction mixture was cooled and treated with EtOAc and brine. The organic layer was separated, dried, and evaporated. The residue was purified by chromatography (DCM:MeOH 9:1) to afford crude product, which was dissolved in THF and a solution of TBAF in THF ( $1 \mathrm{M}, 0.1 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added. After 5 min , the reaction mixture was evaporated and the residue was purified by HPLC to afford 2-(2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)-4-((4-ethynyl-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)methoxy)isoindoline-1,3-dione (54) ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ ). ESIMS: 379.12.
$\mathrm{CuI}(0.45 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(1.38 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{HP}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{BF}_{4}(1.39 \mathrm{mg}), 54(19 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 47 $(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{THF}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{HN}^{\prime} \mathrm{Pr}_{2}(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ were placed in a Schlenk tube. The reaction mixture was heated at $60-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The reaction mixture was cooled and treated with EtOAc and brine. The organic layer was separated, dried, and evaporated. The residue was purified by HPLC to afford compound $27\left(6.5 \mathrm{mg}, 32 \%\right.$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) \delta=8.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79-7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70-7.56(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.29(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.15-5.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.69(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.77-2.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. ESI-MS: 674.22 .

## General Procedure for Synthesis of Compounds 28-31

$\mathrm{MsCl}(1 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a solution of compound 55 4-iodo- 1 H pyrazole ( $2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine ( $1.85 \mathrm{~mL}, 13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 20 mL ). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to r.t. and stirred for a further 1 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with a saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution and extracted with DCM. The organic layer was separated, washed with brine, dried, and evaporated. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(70 \mathrm{~mL})$ and tert-butyl(4-hydroxybutyl) carbamate ( $1.89 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(3.9 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added. The reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 12 h. After the reaction was cooled, the mixture was filtered and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue was taken up in EtOAc and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic layer was separated, washed with brine, dried, and evaporated. The residue was purified by chromatography ( $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}$ : 1:2) to afford crude tert-butyl(4-(4-iodo-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)butyl)carbamate ( $2.3 \mathrm{~g}, 53 \%$ ), which was treated with DCM $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and TFA $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. This reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h . All the volatiles were removed under vacuum and the residue was subject to HPLC purification to afford 4-(4-iodo-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)butan-1-amine (56).

DIPEA ( $0.52 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of the TFA salt of compound $\mathbf{5 6}$ (378 $\mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-(2, 6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)-4-fluoroisoindoline-1,3-dione (276 mg, 1
$\mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 1 mL ). The reaction mixture was heated at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The reaction mixture was cooled and treated with EtOAc and brine. The organic layer was separated, dried, and evaporated. The residue was subject to HPLC purification to afford compound 57, 2-(2, 6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)-4-((4-(4-iodo-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)butyl)amino)isoindoline-1,3dione ( $122 \mathrm{mg}, 23 \%$ yield).
$\mathrm{CuI}(5.3 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{mg})$, compound $57(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, ethynyltrimethylsilane ( $39.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), THF ( 4 mL ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ were placed in a Schlenk tube. The reaction mixture was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h and then cooled and treated with EtOAc and brine. The organic layer was separated, dried, and evaporated. The residue was purified by chromatography ( EtOAc ) to afford crude product, which was dissolved in THF and a solution of TBAF in THF ( $1 \mathrm{M}, 0.2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added. After 5 min , the reaction mixture was evaporated and the residue was subjected to HPLC purification to afford 2-(2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)-4-((4-(4-ethynyl-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)butyl)-amino)isoindoline-1,3-dione (58) (50mg, 60\% yield). ESI-MS: 420.13.
$\mathrm{CuI}(3.8 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(7 \mathrm{mg})$, compound $58(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$, compound 47 ( 42 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$, THF $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were placed in a Schlenk tube. The reaction mixture was heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The reaction mixture was cooled and treated with EtOAc and brine. The organic layer was separated, dried, and evaporated. The residue was subjected to HPLC purification to afford compound $\mathbf{3 0}$. Following the procedures used to prepare compound 30 from tert-butyl(4-hydroxybutyl) carbamate, compounds 28, 29 and 31 with different alkynyl chains were obtained in same methods.

## 4-((2-(4-((3-Benzyl-9-methyl-4H,6H-thieno[2,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-c][1,4]oxazepin-2-yl)ethynyl)-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)ethyl)amino)-2-(2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)isoindoline-1,3-dione

 (28)${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3$ ) $\delta=7.97$ (brs, 1H), $7.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94-4.83(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.79-2.66 (m, 4H), 2.73 (s, 3H), ESI-MS: 687.37. UPLC: 3.74 min .

4-((3-(4-((3-Benzyl-9-methyl-4H,6H-thieno[2,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-c] [1,4]oxazepin-2yl)ethynyl)-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)propyl)amino)-2-(2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)isoindoline-1,3-dione (29)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3$ ) $\delta=7.97$ (brs, 1H), 7.71 (s, 1H), 7.58 ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.46$ (dd, $J=7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94-4.83(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.79-2.66 (m, 4H), 2.73 (s, 3H), ESI-MS: 701.40. UPLC: 4.01 min .

4-((4-(4-((3-Benzyl-9-methyl-4H,6H-thieno[2,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-c]<br>[1,4]oxazepin-2yl)ethynyl)-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)butyl)amino)-2-(2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)isoindoline-1,3-dione (30)<br>${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO-d6) $\delta=11.09$ (brs, 1H), 8.19 (s, 1H), 7.75 ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.55(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.08$ (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08-5.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.69(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.94-2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-$ $2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, ${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO-d6) 172.9, 170.2, 168.9, 167.4, 115.1, 150.6, 146.4, 141.8, $141.5,138.3,136.3,133.7,132.3,129.8,129.7,128.8,128.3,126.6,117.3,115.7,110.5$, 109.2, 100.6, 89.3, 80.8, 67.6, 62.0, 51.2, 48.6, 41.3, 33.3, 31.1, 27.0, 25.7, 22.2, 12.3. ESIMS: 715.39. UPLC: 4.31 min .

## 4-((5-(4-((3-Benzyl-9-methyl-4H,6H-thieno[2,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-c][1,4]oxazepin-2-yl)ethynyl)-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)pentyl)amino)-2-(2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)isoindoline-1,3-dione

 (31)${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3) \delta=8.23(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18$ (d, $J$ $=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95-4.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-3.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.65(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.33(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), ESI-MS: 729.40. UPLC: 4.33 min .

## General Procedure for Synthesis of Compounds 32 and 33

Compound 60, 4-chlorobutan-1-ol ( $3.3 \mathrm{~g}, 1.3 \mathrm{eq}$ ), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $16.4 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{NaI}(600$ mg ) were added to a solution of compound 59 and 4-iodo- $1 H$-pyrazole ( $3.88 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(140 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added The reaction mixture was heated at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The reaction mixture was filtered and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue was purified by chromatography (EtOAc/hexanes: 1:1 to EtOAc) to afford compound 61, 4-(4-iodo-1H-pyrazol-1-yl) butan-1-ol (4 g, 75\%).

The $\mathrm{SO}_{3} \cdot$ pyridine complex $(7.1 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of compound $\mathbf{6 1}(4 \mathrm{~g}$, $15 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMSO $(24 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(16 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h prior to being quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was separated, washed with brine, dried, and evaporated. The residue was purified by chromatography (EtOAc/hexanes: $1: 2$ to EtOAc ) to afford compound 62, 4-(4-iodo-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)butanal ( $2.8 \mathrm{~g}, 73 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.76$ (s, 1H), 7.52 $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

AcOH ( 0.06 mL ) was added to a solution of compound $62(526 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and compound 63 (lenalidomide) ( $520 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCE ( 20 mL ). The reaction was stirred for 20 min prior to the addition of $\mathrm{NaHB}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(848 \mathrm{mg})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h prior to being quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The reaction mixture was extracted with DCM. The organic layer was separated, washed with brine, dried, and evaporated. The residue was purified by HPLC to afford 3-(4-((4-(4-iodo-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)butyl)amino)-1-
oxoisoindolin-2-yl)piperidine-2,6-dione (64) ( $420 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta$ $7.73-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80-6.75$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16-5.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.22-4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.24-3.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.84-2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.48-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.58$ (m, 2H). ESI-MS: 508.95.
$\mathrm{CuI}(9.5 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(35 \mathrm{mg})$, compound $64(267 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, and ethynyltrimethylsilane ( $98 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), THF ( 4 mL ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ were placed in a Schlenk tube. The reaction mixture was heated at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h then cooled and treated with EtOAc and brine. The organic layer was separated, dried, and evaporated. The residue was purified by chromatography (EtOAc) to afford crude product ( $215 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ), which was dissolved in THF and a solution of TBAF in THF ( $1 \mathrm{M}, 0.45 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added. After 5 minutes, the reaction mixture was evaporated and the residue was purified by chromatography ( EtOAc ) to afford crude product, which was further purified by HPLC to afford 3-(4-((4-(4-ethynyl-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)butyl)amino)-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)piperidine-2,6-dione compound (65) (100 mg, 55\% yield). ESI-MS: 406.24.
$\mathrm{CuI}(1.9 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(18.3 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{HP}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{BF}_{4}(11.6 \mathrm{mg})$, compound $65(81 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and compound $47(39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{THF}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{HN}^{\prime} \operatorname{Pr}_{2}(0.14 \mathrm{~mL})$ were placed in a Schlenk tube. The reaction mixture was heated at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The reaction mixture was cooled and treated with EtOAc and brine. The organic layer was separated, dried, and evaporated. The residue was purified by by HPLC to afford compound 33. Following the procedures used to prepare compound $\mathbf{3 3}$ from 4-chlorobutan-1-ol, compounds $\mathbf{3 2}$ with different alkynyl chains were obtained in same methods.

3-(4-((3-(4-((3-Benzyl-9-methyl-4H,6H-thieno[2,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-c][1,4]oxazepin-2-yl)ethynyl)-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)propyl)amino)-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)piperidine-2,6-dione (32)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO-d6) $\delta=11.01$ (brs, 1H), 8.21 (s, 1H), 7.77 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.31 (dd, $J$ $=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15-5.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.22-4.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.15-3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.68-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-$ 2.05 (m, 2H), ESI-MS: 687.42. UPLC: 3.59 min .

## 3-(4-((4-(4-((3-Benzyl-9-methyl-4H,6H-thieno[2,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-c][1,4]oxazepin-2-yl)ethynyl)-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)butyl)amino)-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)piperidine-2,6-dione (33)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta=7.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.16$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19-5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.69(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{t}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.23-4.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.16-3.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.53-2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.22-2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, ESI-MS: 701.43. UPLC: 3.79 min .

3-(4-(5-(4-((3-Benzyl-9-methyl-4H,6H-thieno[2,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-c][1,4]oxazepin-2-yl)ethynyl)-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)pentyl)-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)piperidine-2,6-dione (34)
$\mathrm{CuI}(5.3 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 20 mg ), compound 66 3-(4-bromo-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)piperidine-2,6-dione ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 1-(pent-4-yn-1-yl)-1H-pyrazole ( 50 mg ,
$0.37 \mathrm{mmol})$, DMF ( 4 mL ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ were placed in a Schlenk tube. The reaction mixture was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The reaction mixture was cooled and treated with EtOAc and brine. The organic layer was separated, dried, and evaporated. The residue was purified by chromatography $(\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM})$ to afford the desired product $(67), 3-(4-(5-(1 \mathrm{H}-$ pyrazol-1-yl)pent-1-yn-1-yl)-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)piperidine-2,6-dione ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ yield). ESI-MS: 377.15.
$10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ was added to a solution of the compound $67(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.266 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(2$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The reaction was stirred under an $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ balloon for 4 h and then was filtered. The organic solvent was removed to afford compound 68, 3-(4-(5-(1H-pyrazol-1-yl)pentyl)-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)piperidine-2,6-dione (97 mg, 95\%).

NIS ( 56 mg ) was added to compound $68(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetic acid ( 2 mL ). The reaction was stirred for 6 h prior to being concentrated. The residue was purified by HPLC to afford compound 69 3-(4-(5-(4-iodo-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)pentyl)-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)piperidine-2,6-dione (118 mg, 90\%). ESI-MS: 507.19.
$\mathrm{CuI}(5.3 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{mg})$, compound $69(101 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, ethynyltrimethylsilane ( $39.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), THF ( 4 mL ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ were placed in a Schlenk tube. The reaction mixture was heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The reaction mixture was cooled and treated with EtOAc and brine. The organic layer was separated, dried, and evaporated. The residue was purified by chromatography ( EtOAc ) to afford a crude product, which was dissolved in THF and a solution of TBAF in THF (1M, 0.2 mL ) was added. After 5 min , the reaction mixture was evaporated and the residue was subjected to HPLC purification to afford compound 70, 3-(4-(5-(4-ethynyl-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)pentyl)-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)piperidine-2,6-dione ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%$ yield). ESI-MS: 405.19.
$\mathrm{CuI}(3.8 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(7 \mathrm{mg})$, compound $47(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$, compound 70 ( 40 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$, THF $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were placed in a Schlenk tube. The reaction mixture was heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The reaction mixture was cooled and treated with EtOAc and brine. The organic layer was separated, dried, and evaporated. The residue was subjected to HPLC purification to afford compound $34\left(35.7 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%\right.$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3) \delta=8.01(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43$ (dd, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.18 (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.33-5.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.49-4.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.14 (t, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.93-2.73(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.97-1.83 (m, 2H), 1.75-1.62 (m, 2H), 1.49-1.23 (m, 2H), ESI-MS: 700.40. UPLC: 5.43 min.

# 3-(4-(5-(4-((3-Benzyl-9-methyl-4H,6H-thieno[2,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-c][1,4]oxazepin-2-yl)ethynyl)-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)pent-1-yn-1-yl)-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)piperidine-2,6-dione (35) 

$\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(4.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of compound $48(0.6 \mathrm{~g}, 1.55 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 5-iodopent-1-yne ( $776 \mathrm{mg}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 10 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . All volatiles were removed and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel (DCM and MeOH ) to afford compound 71, 3-benzyl-9-methyl-2-((1-(pent-4-yn-1-yl)-1H-
pyrazol-4-yl)ethynyl)-4H,6H-thieno[2,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-c][1,4]oxazepine (560 mg, $80 \%$ ).
$\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.124 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{CuI}(0.062 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added to a solution of compound 71 ( $0.56 \mathrm{~g}, 1.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and compound 72 3-(4-iodo-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl) piperidine-2,6-dione ( $600 \mathrm{mg}, 1.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 5 mL ). The reaction mixture was degassed completely and stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . All volatiles were removed and the residue was subjected to HPLC purification to afford the compound 35 (QCA570) ( $509 \mathrm{mg}, 59 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d} 6$ ) $\delta=11.00($ brs, 1 H$), 8.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71$ (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31$ (dd, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.18-5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.53-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.00-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.66-2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.55-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d6) 172.9, 171.0, 167.7, 153.2, 150.6, 144.0, 141.8, 141.6, 138.3, 134.2, 133.9, 133.7, 132.0, 129.8, 128.8, 128.6, 128.3, 126.6, 122.8, 118.7, 115.7, 100.8, 94.9, 89.2, 80.8, 77.0, 67.6, 62.0, 51.7, 50.7, 47.1, 31.3, 28.7, 22.5, 16.2, 12.3. ESI-MS: 696.41. UPLC: 4.00 min .

## 4-(5-(4-((3-Benzyl-9-methyl-4H,6H-thieno[2,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-c][1,4]oxazepin-2-yl)ethynyl)-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)pent-1-yn-1-yl)-2-(2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)isoindoline-1,3-dione (36)

was obtained by the coupling of compound 71 with 2-(2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)-4-iodoisoindoline-1,3-dione. The synthetic procedure is the same as was used for compound 35. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77-$ $7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.0,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.77 (s, 2H), 4.64 (s, 2H), 4.56-4.48 (m, 2H), 4.04 (s, 2H), 2.89-2.70 (m, 6H), 2.50-2.43 (m, 2H), 2.25-2.17 (m, 4H). ESI-MS: 710.18.

## 3-(4-(5-(4-((3-Benzyl-9-methyl-4H,6H-thieno[2,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-c][1,4]oxazepin-2-yl)ethynyl)-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)pent-1-yn-1-yl)-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)-1-methylpiperidine-2,6dione (37)

was obtained by coupling of compound 71 with 3-(4-iodo-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)-1-methyl-piperidine-2,6-dione. The synthetic procedure is the same as was used for compound $35 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3$ ) $\delta=7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.24 (d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18$ (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.26-5.15$ (m, 1H), 4.74 (s, 2H), 4.61 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.52-4.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.04$ (s, 2H), 3.19 (s, 3H), 3.06-2.77 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, ESI-MS: 710.40. UPLC: 4.37 min.

## Molecular modeling

The co-crystal structure of BRD4 BD1/(+)-JQ-1 (PDB entry: 3MXF) ${ }^{11}$ was used to model the binding poses of compounds $\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{2 2}$ with BRD4 BD1. The chain A of BRD4 BD1 from the crystal structure was extracted and the missing side chain of K141 was rebuilt using the $\mathrm{MOE}^{56}$ program. For the amino acids where different conformations were determined,
the rotamer A conformations were selected. Protons were then added to BRD4 BD1 using the "protonate 3D" module in MOE by setting at the pH 7.0 condition. All water molecules from the crystal structure were saved. Structures of the compounds were constructed and optimized using MOE. Fifteen binding poses of each compound to BRD4 BD1 were generated by the GOLD program (version 5.0.2) ${ }^{57,58}$ in which the highest ranked pose was selected as the binding model for each compound. In the docking simulation, we set the binding site centered at F129 in BRD4 BD1 with a radius of $12 \AA$. In the binding site, water molecules W9, W12, W18, W15, W33 and W209 of BRD4 BD1 were included during the docking simulations with the flags on. PLP fitness function in Gold 5.0.2 was used to evaluate the docked poses and default parameters for the genetic algorithm (GA) run were used. The top pose of each compound ranked by PLP was selected as its binding mode to BRD4 BD1. Figures were prepared using the PyMOL program (www.pymol.org).

## Binding Affinities of Compounds to BET proteins

Human BRD2 BD1 (residues 72-205), BRD2 BD2 (residues 349-460), BRD3 BD1 (residues 24-144), BRD3 BD2 (residues 306-417), BRD4 BD1 (residues 44-168), BRD4 BD2 (residues 333-460) recombinant proteins were used in the binding assays. A fluorescence polarization (FP) binding assay was used to determine the binding affinities of the BET inhibitors to BRD2 (BD1 and BD2), BRD3 (BD1 and BD2), and BRD4 (BD1 and BD 2 ) proteins, as described previously. ${ }^{26,27}$

## Cell Growth Inhibition, Apoptosis Analysis and Western Blotting

RS4;11 (CRL-1873) and MV4;11 (CRL-9591) human acute leukemia cell lines were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection. The MOLM13 (ACC554) human acute leukemia cell line was purchased from the DSMZ German cell bank. All the experiments were performed within two months of thawing fresh vials of the cells. RS4;11 and MOLM-13 cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 and MV4;11 cells were cultured in IMDM media supplemented with $10 \%$ FBS and $50 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{ml}$ penicillin- $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ streptomycin. Cells were maintained at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a humidified atmosphere containing $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in air.

For cell growth experiments, compounds were diluted in the corresponding medium and then 3-fold serially diluted in a 96 -well tissue culture plate to a final volume of $100 \mu \mathrm{l} /$ well. 20,000 cells/well in $100 \mu$ were added to each well containing compound to a final volume of $200 \mu \mathrm{l} /$ well. Cells were incubated for 4 days at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an atmosphere of $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Cell growth was evaluated utilizing a lactate dehydrogenase-based WST-8 assay (Dojindo Molecular Technologies, MD). An adequate volume of WST-8 reagent was added to each well, incubated for at least 1 h in the cell culture incubator, and read at 450 nm in a Tecan Infinite M1000 multimode microplate reader (Tecan, Morrisville, NC). The readings were normalized to the DMSO-treated cells and fitted using a nonlinear regression analysis with the GraphPad Prism 6 software to obtain the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value for each compound.

Apoptosis induced by the BET degrader (35) and BET inhibitor (22) was evaluated using flow cytometry. Cells were treated with compounds at indicated concentrations for 24 h or 48 h, collected, washed with cold PBS, and stained with Annexin V Alexa Fluor ${ }^{\text {TM }} 488$ and

Propidium Iodide (PI) following manufacturer's instructions (ThermoFisher Scientific, CA). Stained cells were analyzed in the Attune NxT Flow Cytometer (ThermoFisher Scientific).

For Western blot analysis, $1.5-2 \times 10^{6}$ cells/well were plated in 12 well-plates and treated with compounds at the indicated concentrations and times. Cells were collected, washed with cold PBS, and lysed in cold RIPA buffer containing Halt protease inhibitors. Twenty micrograms of lysate were run in each lane of $4-20 \%$ or $4-12 \%$ Novex gels and blotted into Polyvinylidene Difluoride membranes. Antibodies for immunoblotting were rabbit polyclonal antibodies for BRD2 (A302-583A), BRD3 (A302-368A) and BRD4 (A301985A100) purchased from Bethyl Laboratories (Montgomery, TX,); c-Myc (D84C12), cleaved PARP (D64E10), and cleaved Caspase 3 (D3E9) from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA); GSPT1 polyclonal antibody (ab126090) from Abcam (Cambridge, MA) and HRP-GAPDH from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Dallas, TX). The BIO-RAD Clarity Western Enhanced Chemiluminescence Substrate was used for signal development. Blots were imaged in an iBright Imaging System (ThermoFisher).

## Efficacy and Pharmacodynamics Studies in the RS4;11 and MV4;11 Xenograft Models in Mice

All animal experiments were performed under the guidelines of the University of Michigan Committee for Use and Care of Animals and using an approved animal protocol (PI, Shaomeng Wang).

Xenograft tumors were established by injecting $5 \times 10^{6}$ RS4;11 or MV4; 11 cells in $50 \%$ Matrigel subcutaneously on the dorsal side of severe combined immunodeficient (SCID) mice, obtained from Charles River, one tumor per mouse. When tumors reached $\sim 100 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$, mice were randomly assigned to treatment and vehicle control groups. Animals were monitored daily for any signs of toxicity and weighed 2-3 times per week during the treatment period and at least weekly after the treatment ended. Tumor size was measured utilizing electronic calipers $2-3$ times per week during the treatment period and at least weekly after the treatment ended. Tumor volume was calculated as $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{L} \times \mathrm{W} 2 / 2$, where L is the length and W is the width of the tumor.

For pharmacodynamic analysis, resected control and treated RS4;11 xenograft tumor tissues were ground into powder in liquid nitrogen and lysed in CST lysis buffer with halt proteinase inhibitors. Twenty micrograms of whole tumor clarified lysates were separated on $4-20 \%$ or $4-12 \%$ Novex gels. Western blots were performed as detailed in the previous section.

## Methods for tissue distribution study in RS4;11 tumor-bearing mice

Female SCID mice bearing RS4;11 xenograft tumors were dosed with a single intravenous dose of compound 35 (QCA570) at $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. QCA570 was dissolved in the vehicle containing $20 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ PCP and $80 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). The dosed animals were sacrificed at 1,3 and 6 hr for collecting different tissue and plasma samples. Isolated RS4;11 tumor samples, small intestinal, kidney, liver, heart and lung were immediately frozen and placed in tared Precellys ${ }^{\circledR} 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ hard tissue tubes with
homogenizing ceramic beads 16859 (Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI), weighed, then stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for homogenization and analysis. Blood samples ( $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) from each SCID mice were collected in 1.5 mL microfuge tubes containing Heparin and centrifuged at 15000 rpm for 10 min . Then the plasmas were also stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until analysis.

To precipitate the proteins in mice plasma, $160 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of acetonitrile containing $50 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ of an internal standard was added to $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of mouse plasma samples and vortexed for 10 minutes. The extracts were centrifuged at 15000 rpm and the supernatant was transferred to the autosampler vials for LC-MS/MS analysis. Blank mouse plasma was used to prepare spiked calibration standards. The concentrations of the calibration standards were $2,10,50,100$, 250,500 and $2000 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$. Tumor and different tissue samples were homogenized by Precellys tissue homogenizer with the addition of a diluent solution, with a ratio of 5:1 (volume to $20 \%$ Acetonitrile in water) ( mL ) to weight of tissue (g). Then the homogenized tissue solution was treated using the same procedure as that for the plasma samples to extract the compound for LC-MS/MS analysis.

Plasma and tissue concentrations of the compound were determined by the LC-MS/MS method developed and validated for this study. The LC-MS/MS method consisted of a Shimadzu LC-20AD HPLC system (Kyoto, Japan), and chromatographic separation of the tested compound was achieved using a Waters XBridageTM C18 column ( $50 \times 2.1 \mathrm{~mm}, 3.5$ $\mu \mathrm{m})$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the supernatant was injected. The flow rate of gradient elution was 0.4 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$ with mobile phase A ( $0.1 \%$ formic acid in water) and mobile phase $\mathrm{B}(0.1 \%$ formic acid in Acetonitrile). An AB Sciex QTrap 5500 mass spectrometer equipped with an electrospray ionization source (ABI-Sciex, Toronto, Canada) in the positive-ion multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode was used for detection. Protonated molecular ions and its respective ion products were monitored at the transitions of $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 696.2>678.2$ for QCA570 and $455.2>425.2$ for the internal standard. We adjusted the instrument settings to maximize analytical sensitivity and specificity of detection. Total analysis time per sample was 5.7 min. Data were processed with software Analyst (version 1.6).
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Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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## ABBREVIATIONS USED

| BRD2 | Bromodomain-containing protein 2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| BRD3 | Bromodomain-containing protein 3 |
| BRD4 | Bromodomain-containing protein 4 |
| HIV | human immunodeficiency virus |
| CNS | Central nervous system |
| WPF | Trp81, Pro82 and Phe83 |
| PARP | poly ADP ribose polymerase |
| FP | Gluorescence polarization |
| GAPDH | Pharmacodynamics |
| PD | G1 To S Phase Transition 1 |
| GSPT1 | Proteolysis Targeting Chimera |
| PROTAC | Severe Combined Immunodeficiency mice |
| SCID mice | tert-Butyldiphenylsilyl |
| TBDPS |  |
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Figure 1.
Scaffold hopping and structure-based design of 1,4-oxazepines as a new class of BET inhibitors.


Figure 2.
(A) Previously published co-crystal structure of (+)-JQ-1 in complex with the BRD4 BD1 domain protein (PDB ID: 3MXF); (B-D) Predicted binding models of compounds 2, 3 and 22 (QCA276) in a complex with BRD4 BD1. Hydrogen bonds are depicted by cyan dashed lines. Water molecules are shown as red spheres.

## A. RS4;11 cells (3 hr treatment)



Figure 3.
Western blotting analysis of BRD2, BRD3, and BRD4 proteins in RS4; 11 cells treated with BET inhibitor 22 (QCA276), 26 and BET degraders 27, 28, 29, 30, and 31. RS4;11 cells were treated for $3 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{~A})$ or $24 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{~B})$ with each individual compound at the indicated concentrations. BRD2, BRD3, and BRD4 proteins, c-Myc and cleaved PARP were probed by western blot with specific antibodies and GAPDH was used as the loading control.

B. MV4;11 cells ( 3 hr treatment)


## C. RS4;11 cells ( 24 hr )



Figure 4.
Western blotting analysis of BRD2, BRD3, BRD4 proteins, c-Myc and cleaved PARP in RS4;11 (A and C) and MV4;11 (B and D) leukemia cells treated with BET degrader 35 (QCA570) and the corresponding BET inhibitor 22 (QCA276). RS4;11 or MV4;11 cells were treated for 3 h ( A and B ) or 24 h ( C and D ) at indicated concentrations with 35 or 22 . Proteins were probed using specific antibodies.


Figure 5.
Evaluation of the mechanism of action of BET degradation induced by $\mathbf{3 5}$ (QCA570). RS4;11 cells were pre-treated for 2 h with DMSO, BET inhibitor $22(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$, cereblon ligand Lenalidomide ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), E1 neddylation inhibitor MLN4924 ( $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), or proteasome inhibitors MG-132 $(20 \mu \mathrm{M})$ and Carfilzomib $(0.1 \mu \mathrm{M})$. Cells were then treated for 3 h with BET degrader 35 (QCA570) at 0.5 nM , a concentration that induces complete degradation of all BET-BRD proteins and downregulation of c-Myc.


Figure 6.
Flow cytometry analysis of apoptosis induction by 35 (QCA570) in MOLM13 (A), MV4;11 (B), and RS4;11 (C and D) leukemia cells. Cells were treated with the BET degrader 35 (QCA570) or the corresponding BET inhibitor (22) at the indicated concentrations for 24 h (A, B, C) or $48 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{D})$. Apoptosis was assessed by flow cytometry using Annexin V and propidium iodine (PI) double staining.


Figure 7.
Pharmacodynamic analysis of compound 35 in RS4; 11 xenograft tumors. SCID mice bearing RS4;11 tumors were treated with a single intravenous dose of compound $\mathbf{3 5}$ at 1 or 5 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. At the indicated time points ( 1,6 and 24 h ), mice were sacrificed and tumors were harvested. Tumors were lysed for western blotting analysis of BRD2, BRD3, BRD4, c-Myc, and cleaved PARP. GAPDH was used as the loading control. Two mice were used for each time-point with each mouse bearing one tumor.


Figure 8.
In vivo anticancer efficacy of BET degrader (35) in the RS4;11 and MV4;11 xenograft models in mice. (A, B) Mice bearing RS4;11 tumors were treated with BET degrader 35 at different dose-schedules. (A) Tumor growth and (B) Animal weights. (C, D) Mice bearing MV4; 11 tumors were treated with BET degrader (35) at 1 or $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ three times a week for two weeks by intravenous dosing. (C) Tumor growth and (D) Animal weights.


Scheme 1.
Synthetic route to compound 22 (QCA276). ${ }^{a}$
${ }^{a}$ Reaction Conditions: (a) KNCS, EtOAc, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$-r.t., $92 \%$; (b) NaH, THF, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 62 \%$; (c) $\mathrm{POBr}_{3}$; (d) morpholine, $52 \%$ yield over two steps; (e) DIPEA, DCM, 99\%; (f) Lawesson's reagent, dioxane, $57 \%$; (g) $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (h) $\mathrm{MeC}(\mathrm{OEt})_{3}$; (i) $\mathrm{AcOH}, 58 \%$ yield over three steps; (j) $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4}$; (k) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}, 77 \%$ yield over two steps; (1) TBAF; (m) $\mathrm{NaO} t$ - $\mathrm{Bu}, 66 \%$ yield over two steps; (n) $\mathrm{Pd}($ allyl $) \mathrm{Cl}_{2},{ }^{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{SPhos}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, toluene, $77 \%$; (o) NBS, HOAc, $84 \%$; (p) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{CuI}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 92 \%$.


Scheme 2.
Synthesis of BET degrader 35 (QCA570). ${ }^{a}$
${ }^{a}$ Reaction Conditions: (a) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$, CuI , THF, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 70 \%$; (b) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, 80 \%$; (c) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{CuI}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 54 \%$.

Table 1.
Binding affinities of our newly designed BET inhibitors and reference compounds (+)-JQ-1 and OTX-015 to BRD4 BD1 protein, as determined in our FP-based binding assay.

| Compound | R | BRD4 BD1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})$ | $K_{i}(\mathbf{n M})$ |
| 1 (JQ-1) |  | $22 \pm 3$ | $5.3 \pm 1.0$ |
| 2 |  | $4400 \pm 700$ | $1500 \pm 200$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $100 \pm 10$ | $33 \pm 4$ |
| 4 | -H | $2300 \pm 600$ | $820 \pm 210$ |
| 5 | -isopropyl | $9400 \pm 600$ | $3300 \pm 200$ |
| 6 |  | $8100 \pm 700$ | $2800 \pm 300$ |
| 7 | -cyclopropyl | $550 \pm 110$ | $190 \pm 40$ |
| 8 |  | $210 \pm 10$ | $70 \pm 1$ |

9



12

13

$18 \pm 2 \quad 3.7 \pm 0.7$
15

$34 \pm 3 \quad 9.5 \pm 1.1$
16


$$
63 \pm 10 \quad 20 \pm 3
$$

17


$$
200 \pm 24 \quad 66 \pm 9
$$




20

$34 \pm 2 \quad 9.6 \pm 0.9$

21


22 (QCA276)

$10 \pm 3 \quad 2.3 \pm 1.0$

23


24


25


OTX-015

$$
23 \pm 1 \quad 5.7 \pm 0.5
$$

Table 2.
Binding affinities of 22 (QCA276) to recombinant human BRD2, BRD3 and BRD4 BD1 and BD2 proteins as determined in our FP-based assays.

|  | BRD2 |  |  | BRD3 |  |  | BRD4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BD1 | BD2 |  | BD1 | BD2 |  | BD1 | BD2 |
| $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})$ | $3.5 \pm 0.3$ | $40.6 \pm 4.6$ |  | $16.4 \pm 2.8$ | $35.1 \pm 8.2$ |  | $10.7 \pm 2.8$ | $66.6 \pm 11.2$ |
| $K_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{nM})$ | $1.7 \pm 0.1$ | $8.5 \pm 0.8$ |  | $2.5 \pm 0.9$ | $6.5 \pm 0.9$ |  | $2.3 \pm 1.0$ | $18.5 \pm 5.2$ |

Table 3.
Chemical structures of designed PROTAC BET degraders and their $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values in inhibition of cell growth in three acute leukemia cell lines MV4;11, MOLM13 and RS4;11. Cells were treated with compounds for 96 hours and viability was assessed with a Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) assay.
32 (BET inhibitor)


Table 4.
Tissue distribution of compound 35 (QCA570) in mice bearing RS4;11 xenograft tumors. Tissue distribution of compound $\mathbf{3 5}$ in mice bearing RS4;11 xenograft tumors. Each mouse had one tumor and was dosed with a single, intravenous dose of compound 35 . Mice were sacrificed at 1,3 and 6 hr time-points and different tissues were collected for analysis of drug concentration by LC/MS/MS. BLQ, below the limit of quantification.

|  | Concentrations of QCA570 in plasma (ng/ml) and tissues (ng/g) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (IV, 5mg/kg) | Mouse 1 (1h) | Mouse 2 <br> $(\mathbf{1 ~ h})$ | Mouse 3 <br> $\mathbf{( 3 ~ h )}$ | Mouse 4 <br> $(\mathbf{3 ~ h})$ | Mouse 5 <br> $\mathbf{( 6 ~ h ) ~}$ | Mouse 6 (6h) | Mean (1h) | Mean (3h) | Mean (6 h) |
| plasma | 1575 | 2360 | 47 | 263 | BLQ | BLQ | 1968 | 155 | BLQ |
| Tumor | 642 | 405 | 177 | 199 | 87.9 | 68.7 | 524 | 188 | 78 |
| Liver | 1227 | 1720 | 57 | 209 | BLQ | BLQ | 1473 | 133 | BLQ |
| Heart | 373 | 288 | 17 | 22 | BLQ | BLQ | 331 | 19 | BLQ |
| Kidney | 649 | 675 | 40 | 49 | BLQ | BLQ | 662 | 44 | BLQ |
| Lung | 1041 | 696 | 35 | 89 | BLQ | BLQ | 868 | 62 | BLQ |
| Intestine | 1283 | 1009 | 50 | 92 | BLQ | BLQ | 1146 | 71 | BLQ |
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