DISTINGUISHED CLASSES OF IDEAL SPACES AND THEIR TOPOLOGICAL PROPERTIES

CARMELO A. FINOCCHIARO, AMARTYA GOSWAMI, AND DARIO SPIRITO

ABSTRACT. We consider the set of all the ideals of a ring, endowed with the coarse lower topology. The aim of this paper is to study topological properties of distinguished subspaces of this space and detect the spectrality of some of them.

0. INTRODUCTION

Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set. The coarse lower topology on X is the topology whose subbasic closed sets are the sets of the type

$$\{x\}^{\uparrow} := \{y \in X \mid x \leq y\},\$$

for x varying in X. On the other hand, any T₀ topology \mathscr{T} on a space S determines a partial order $\preceq_{\mathscr{T}}$, called *the specialization order induced by* \mathscr{T} , defined by setting, for every, $s, t \in S$,

$$s \preceq_{\mathscr{T}} t : \iff t \in \overline{\{s\}}.$$

Thus the coarse lower topology on a partially ordered set (X, \leq) is the coarsest topology on X whose specialization order is \leq . Topologies on posets have intensively been studied, see [1, 2, 13, 15, 16, 10, 12, 14] for a deeper insight on this circle of ideas. A natural setting where to apply this general framework is that of the set Idl(R) of all the ideal of a ring R, partially ordered by inclusion. It is well known that Idl(R), endowed with the coarse lower topology, is a *spectral space*, that is, it is homeomorphic to the prime spectrum of a ring; note that the subspace topology on Spec(R) induced by the coarse lower topology is the classical Zariski topology of the prime spectrum of a ring. In some recent investigation some other examples of spectral subspaces of Idl(R) have been detected (see [5, 6]), by observing that they are closed sets in the constructible topology of Idl(R); the aim of this paper is to find other spectral subspaces of Idl(R) without using the constructible topology. This leads to check explicitly the topological properties of Hochster's criterion for spectrality on distinguished classes of ideals.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 13C05, 54B35, 13A15.

Key words and phrases. coarse lower topology, finitely generated ideal, nilpotent ideal, regular ideal, primary ideal, quasi-compactness, sobriety, spectral space.

Among the other things, a classification of all Noetherian rings for which the space of primary ideals is spectral is provided.

1. TOPOLOGICAL PRELIMINARIES

Let *X* be a topological space, and let $Y \subseteq X$ be a nonempty subset. Then, *Y* is *irreducible* if, whenever $Y \subseteq V_1 \cup V_2$ for some closed subsets of *X*, we have $Y \subseteq V_1$ or $Y \subseteq V_2$. A *generic point* of *Y* is an element $y \in X$ such that *Y* is equal to the closure $\{y\}$ of the point *y*. If every irreducible closed subset of *X* has a generic point, *X* is said to be *sober*.

A *spectral space* is a topological space that is homeomorphic to the prime spectrum of some commutative ring, endowed with the Zariski topology. As it is proved in [9], spectral spaces can be characterized purely in topological terms. Precisely, a topological space X is spectral if and only if it is quasi-compact, sober and it has a basis of quasi-compact open sets that is closed under finite intersections.

Given a spectral space on a set X, it is possible to define from the starting topology two new topologies, the *inverse* and the *constructible* topology; in the former, the specialization order is the opposite of the one of the starting topology, while the latter is Hausdorff (and thus its specialization order is trivial). A subset that is closed with respect to the inverse or the constructible topology is spectral also when seen in the starting topology; in particular, subsets that are closed in the constructible topology (called *proconstructible* subsets) provide many examples of spectral spaces. See [9] and [3, Chapter 1] for the precise definition and for properties of these two topologies.

We end this section with a useful lemma.

Lemma 1.1. Let X be a quasi-compact T_0 space. Then every chain in X has an upper bound.

Proof. Let $C \subseteq X$ be a chain and let $\mathscr{G} := \{\overline{\{c\}} \mid c \in C\}$. Then \mathscr{G} is clearly a chain of nonempty closed sets of *X* and thus it has the finite intersection property. Since *X* is quasi-compact, there is a point $z \in \cap \mathscr{G}$ and, by definition, $c \leq z$, for every $c \in C$. The conclusion follows. \Box

2. The coarse lower topology

All rings considered in this paper are assumed to be commutative and to possess an identity element. We denote the radical of an ideal α by $\sqrt{\alpha}$. An ideal α is called *proper* if α is not equal to *R*.

Let Idl(R) denote the set of all the ideals of R. The coarse lower topology on Idl(R) will be the topology for which the sets of the type

$$\{\mathfrak{a}\}^{\uparrow} := \{\mathfrak{i} \in \mathrm{Idl}(R) \mid \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{i}\}$$

(where a runs among the ideals of R) form a subbasis of closed sets.

We call a subset of Idl(R), endowed with the subspace topology induced by the coarse lower topology, an *ideal space* of R. Some examples of ideal spaces defined in an algebraic way are the following: prime ideals (Spec(R)), maximal ideals (Max(R)), proper ideals (Prp(R)), radical ideals (Rad(R)), minimal ideals (Min(R)), minimal prime ideals (Spn(R)), primary ideals (Prm(R)), nil ideals (Nil(R)), nilpotent ideals (Nip(R)), irreducible ideals (Irr(R)), completely irreducible ideals (Irc(R)) (in the sense of [8]), principal ideals (Prn(R)), regular ideals (Reg(R)), finitely generated ideals (Fgn(R)), strongly irreducible ideals (Irs(R)). We reserve the symbol X(R) to denote an arbitrary ideal space of a ring R.

In view of [3, 7.2.12], Idl(R) is spectral, with the coarse lower topology, since it is a complete algebraic lattice and its inverse topology coincides with the Zariski topology considered in [6, Section 5]. Notice that the subset Prp(R) of Idl(R) consisting of all proper ideals of R is proconstructible in Idl(R) (see the paragraph of [6] afther Proposition 5.1) and thus, in particular, it is spectral as a subspace of Idl(R). For an alternative proof of spectrality of Prp(R), see [7].

Theorem 2.1. [4, Theorem 3.18] Let X be a subspace of Idl(R). For every ideal \mathfrak{a} of R, let

$$\sqrt[X]{\mathfrak{a}} := \cap \left\{ \mathfrak{b} \mid \mathfrak{b} \in \mathrm{X} \cap \{\mathfrak{a}\}^{\uparrow}
ight\}.$$

Then X is a sober space if and only if whenever \mathfrak{a} is an ideal of R and $X \cap {\mathfrak{a}}^{\uparrow}$ is irreducible, then $\sqrt[X]{\mathfrak{a}} \in X$.

The following fact characterizes quasi-compact ideal spaces.

Proposition 2.2. Let *R* be a ring, let $X \subseteq Idl(R)$ and let Max(X) denote the set of maximal elements of X. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) X is quasi-compact;

(ii) for every $\mathfrak{x} \in X$ there is an $\mathfrak{y} \in Max(X)$ such that $\mathfrak{x} \subseteq \mathfrak{y}$, and Max(X) is quasi-compact.

Proof. (ii) \Rightarrow (i). Let \mathscr{U} be an open cover of X. Then, \mathscr{U} also covers Max(X), and thus there is a finite subcover \mathscr{U}' of Max(X). Take $\mathfrak{x} \in X$: by hypothesis, there is an $\mathfrak{y} \in Max(X)$ such that $\mathfrak{x} \subseteq \mathfrak{y}$, and a $U \in \mathscr{U}'$ such that $\mathfrak{y} \in U$. Then, $\mathfrak{x} \in U$, and thus \mathscr{U}' is a finite subcover also for the whole X. Hence X is compact.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $\mathfrak{x} \in X$, and consider $X' := {\mathfrak{x}}^{\uparrow} \cap X$. Then, X' is a closed subset of X, and thus it is quasi-compact; by Lemma 1.1, every ascending chain in X' is bounded, and hence by Zorn's Lemma X' has maximal elements, i.e., \mathfrak{x} is contained in some $\mathfrak{n} \in Max(X)$.

Let now \mathscr{U} be an open cover of Max(X). Then, \mathscr{U} is also a cover of X, and thus it admits a finite subcover, which will be also a finite subcover of Max(X). Thus, Max(X) is quasi-compact.

As a particular case of the previous criterion we get the following known fact.

Corollary 2.3. [4, Theorem 3.11] *Let R be a ring and let* $X \subseteq Idl(R)$ *such that* $Max(R) \subseteq X$. *Then* X *is quasi-compact.*

Corollary 2.4. The ideal spaces Max(R), Spec(R), Irs(R), Prm(R), Irr(R), Irc(R), Rad(R), Prp(R) are quasi-compact.

In case *R* is a Noetherian ring then the situation regarding quasi-compactness of ideal spaces is much simpler.

Proposition 2.5. For a ring *R*, the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) *R* is a Noetherian ring.
- (ii) Idl(*R*) is a Noetherian space.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). It is enough to show that every subset *X* of Idl(*R*) is quasi-compact. Take a collection $\mathscr{G} := \{X \cap \{\mathfrak{a}_i\}^{\uparrow} \mid i \in I\}$ of subbasic closed sets of *X* with the finite intersection property. By assumption, the ideal $\mathfrak{b} := \sum_{i \in I} \mathfrak{a}_i$ is finitely generated, say $\mathfrak{b} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$. For every $1 \leq j \leq n$, there exists a finite subset H_j of *I* such that $\alpha_j \in \sum_{i \in H_j} \mathfrak{a}_i$. Thus, if $H := \bigcup_{j=1}^n H_j$, it immediately follows that $\mathfrak{b} = \sum_{i \in H} \mathfrak{a}_i$. Hence we have

$$\cap \mathscr{G} = X \cap \{\mathfrak{b}\}^{\uparrow} = X \cap \left\{\sum_{i \in H} \mathfrak{a}_i\right\}^{\uparrow} = \bigcap_{i \in H} X \cap \{\mathfrak{a}_i\}^{\uparrow} \neq \emptyset,$$

since *H* is finite and \mathscr{G} has the finite intersection property. Then the conclusion follows by the Alexander's subbasis Theorem.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Assume that Idl(*R*) is Noetherian and that there exists an ideal \mathfrak{a} of *R* that is not finitely generated. Then the subspace $X := \{\mathfrak{b} \in \operatorname{Fgn}(R) \mid \mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{a}\}$ is not quasi-compact. As a matter of fact, the collection of closed sets $\mathscr{G} := \{X \cap \{aR\}^{\uparrow} \mid a \in \mathfrak{a}\}$ of *X* clearly has the finite intersection property, but has empty intersection.

The following corollary is now immediate.

Corollary 2.6. Let *R* be a Noetherian ring and let $X \subseteq Idl(R)$. Then X is spectral if and only if it is sober.

Proposition 2.7. Let X be a spectral space, and let $Z \subseteq Y \subseteq X$ be subsets such that Z is lower directed (in the order induced by the topology). If Y is sober, then $\inf Z \in Y$.

Proof. Since *X* is a spectral space, *Z* has an infimum *z* in *X* [3, Proposition 4.2.1]. Consider $Y' := \{z\}^{\uparrow} \cap Y$: then, *Y'* is a closed subset of *Y*. Suppose that *Y'* is not irreducible: then, there

are closed subsets V_1, V_2 of X such that $V_1 \cap V_2 \cap Y = Y'$ and such that Y' is not contained in either V_1 or V_2 . If $Z \subseteq V_1$, then $z = \inf Z \in V_1$ and $Y' \subseteq V_1$, a contradiction (and analogously for V_2); thus, there are $v_1 \in (V_1 \cap Z) \setminus V_2$ and $v_2 \in (V_2 \cap Z) \setminus V_1$. Since Z is lower directed, there is a $y \in Z$ such that $y \leq v_1$ and $y \leq v_2$; by construction, y belongs to one of the V_i , say V_1 . Since V_1 is closed, $y \leq v_2$ implies that $v_2 \in V_1$, a contradiction.

Therefore, Y' is irreducible. Since Y is sober, Y' has a generic point z'; moreover, $z' \leq z''$ for every $z'' \in Z$, and thus $z' \leq z$. Since $y \geq z$ for every $y \in Y'$, we also have $z' \geq z$. Hence $z' = z \in Z$, as claimed.

Remark 2.8. Proposition 2.7 applies, in particular, when Z is a chain.

3. Some classes of ideal spaces

We now discuss some relevant topological properties of some classes of ideal spaces.

3.1. Strongly irreducible ideals and their subclasses. It follows from Corollary 2.3 that the space of strongly irreducible ideals Irs(R) is quasi-compact and so are Max(R) and Spec(R). Since Spec(R) is spectral, it is also sober. It has been proved in [4, Proposition 3.19] that Irs(R) is sober.

3.2. Finitely generated ideals. Given a ring R, let Fgn(R) be the space of proper finitely generated ideals of R, endowed with the subspace topology induced by the coarse lower topology of the space Idl(R) of all the ideals of R.

Proposition 3.1. For a ring R the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) $\operatorname{Fgn}(R)$ is quasi-compact.
- (ii) $Max(R) \subseteq Fgn(R)$.

Proof. It is clear that (ii) implies (i), in view of Corollary 2.3. Conversely, assume that there exists a maximal ideal m of R that is not finitely generated, and consider the collection of closed subspaces

$$\mathscr{G} := \left\{ \{aR\}^{\uparrow} \cap \operatorname{Fgn}(R) \mid a \in \mathfrak{m} \right\}.$$

Clearly \mathscr{G} has the finite intersection property, but $\cap \mathscr{G} = \emptyset$: indeed, if there exists an ideal $b \in \cap \mathscr{G}$, then b is finitely generated and contains m. Since m is not finitely generated, $b \supseteq m$ and thus b = R, against the fact that Fgn(R) consists of proper ideals.

Example 3.2. We now observe that Fgn(R) can fail to be sober. Let *p* be any prime number and let $R := \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} + T\mathbb{Q}[T]_{(T)}$, where *T* is an indeterminate over \mathbb{Q} . Then *R* is a two-dimensional

valuation domain and

$$\operatorname{Spec}(R) = \{(0), \mathfrak{p} := T\mathbb{Q}[T]_{(T)}, \mathfrak{m} := pR\}.$$

It is well known that \mathfrak{p} is not a finitely generated ideal of *R*. Now consider the nonempty closed subset $C := \{\mathfrak{p}\}^{\uparrow} \cap \operatorname{Fgn}(R)$ and notice that *C* is irreducible. Indeed if

$$U := \operatorname{Fgn}(R) \setminus \{\mathfrak{a}\}^{\uparrow}, U' = \operatorname{Fgn}(R) \setminus \{\mathfrak{b}\}^{\uparrow}$$

are subbasic open sets of $\operatorname{Fgn}(R)$ (\mathfrak{a} , \mathfrak{b} are ideals of R) and $U \cap C, U' \cap C \neq \emptyset$, then $U \cap U' \cap C \neq \emptyset$, because since all ideals of R are comparable then U, U' are comparable. Since every nonzero non maximal prime ideal of a valuation domain is divisorial, it follows that $\operatorname{Fgn}(R)/\overline{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p} \notin \operatorname{Fgn}(R)$. It follows that $\operatorname{Fgn}(R)$ is not sober.

3.3. Nilpotent ideals. Recall that an ideal \mathfrak{a} of a ring *R* is *nilpotent* if $\mathfrak{a}^k = 0$, for some positive integer *k*. The following example will show that the space Nip(*R*) of nilpotent ideals of *R* can easily fail to be quasi-compact.

Example 3.3. Let us consider the ring $R := \prod_{i \ge 2} \mathbb{Z}/2^i \mathbb{Z}$ and set

$$f_1 := (\overline{2}, 0, 0, 0, \ldots), f_2 := (\overline{2}, \overline{2}, 0, 0, \ldots), f_3 := (\overline{2}, \overline{2}, \overline{2}, 0, \ldots),$$

and so on. For every positive integer *i*, consider the nilpotent ideal $a_i := f_i R$ (notice that $a_i^{i+1} = 0$ and that $a_i^i \neq 0$). Thus we get the ascending chain $a_1 \subset a_2 \subset a_3 \subset \cdots$ in the space Nip(*R*) and such a chain has no upper bounds: indeed, if b is any nilpotent ideal and k is the minimum positive integer n such that $b^n = 0$ then $a_k \not\subseteq b$, since $f_k^k \neq 0$. Then the conclusion immediately follows from Lemma 1.1.

3.4. **Regular ideals.** Let Reg(R) denote the subspace of Idl(R) consisting of all regular ideals. First notice that Reg(R) is closed under specialization in the spectral space Prp(R).

Proposition 3.4. Let *R* be a ring satisfying at least one of the following conditions.

- (i) *R* is Noetherian.
- (ii) R is local.
- (iii) Every maximal ideal of R is regular.

Then $\operatorname{Reg}(R)$ is quasi-compact.

Proof. Cases (i) and (iii) immediately follows from Proposition 2.5 and Corollary 2.3, respectively. Now suppose *R* is local with maximal ideal m. If m is regular, the conclusion follows again by Proposition 2.3. In case m consists of zero-divisors, then every regular element is invertible, and thus $\text{Reg}(R) = \emptyset$ is quasi-compact.

We now give two example showing that Reg(R) can fail to be quasi-compact.

Example 3.5. Let *D* be a one-dimensional domain such that Spec(D) is non Noetherian, and let \mathfrak{m}_{∞} be a maximal ideal of *D* that is not the radical of any finitely generated ideal of *D*. Let $K := D/\mathfrak{m}_{\infty}$, consider the *D*-module $X := K^{(\mathbb{N})}$ and let $R := D \times X$ endowed with the following multiplication:

$$(a,k)(b,l) := (ab,al+bk+kl),$$

for every $(a,k), (b,l) \in R$. Then $\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}_{\infty}} := \mathfrak{m}_{\infty} \times X$ is a maximal ideal of R consisting of zerodivisors, by [11, Theorem 8.3(f)]. Now consider elements $(a_1, \varphi_1), \ldots, (a_n, \varphi_n) \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{m}_{\infty}}$. By assumption, there exist a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{n} \neq \mathfrak{m}$ such that $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathfrak{n}$. In particular, the elements $(a_1, \varphi_1), \ldots, (a_n, \varphi_n)$ belong to the maximal ideal $\widetilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ of R and the fact that $\mathfrak{m} \neq \mathfrak{n}$ implies that $\widetilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ is regular, again by [11, Theorem 8.3(f)]. It immediately follows that the space $\operatorname{Reg}(R)$ of regular proper ideals of R is not quasi-compact. Indeed, the collection of closed sets

$$\mathscr{G} := \{\operatorname{Reg}(R) \cap \{fR\}^{\uparrow} \mid f \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{m}_{\infty}}\}$$

has the finite intersection property and empty intersection.

Example 3.6. Let *D* be a one-dimensional domain such that Spec(D) is non Noetherian, and let \mathfrak{m}_{∞} be a maximal ideal of *D* that is not the radical of any finitely generated ideal of *D*. Let $R := D[X]/(X\mathfrak{m}_{\infty})$, and let $\pi : D[X] \to R$ be the quotient map.

Consider the collection

$$\mathscr{G} := \left\{ \operatorname{Reg}(R) \cap \{\pi(f)R\}^{\uparrow} \mid f \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{m}_{\infty}} \right\} \cup \left\{ \operatorname{Reg}(R) \cap \{\pi(X)R\}^{\uparrow} \right\}$$

of closed subsets of Reg(R). The intersection of all elements of \mathscr{G} is empty: indeed, if a contains all $\pi(f)$ and $\pi(X)$, then it must be $\mathfrak{b} := \pi(X, \mathfrak{m}_{\infty})$, which is a maximal ideal containing only zero-divisors. On the other hand, if \mathscr{G}' is a finite subset of \mathscr{G} , say

$$\mathscr{G}' := \left\{ \operatorname{Reg}(R) \cap \{\pi(f_i)R\}^{\uparrow} \mid i = 1, \dots, n \right\} \cup \left\{ \operatorname{Reg}(R) \cap \{\pi(X)R\}^{\uparrow} \right\},$$

then there is an ideal \mathfrak{n} of D containing f_1, \ldots, f_n , and thus $\cap \mathscr{G}'$ contains the ideal $\pi(\mathfrak{n}[X])$, which is regular (every $g \in \mathfrak{n} \setminus \mathfrak{m}_{\infty}$ becomes regular in R). Hence, $\operatorname{Reg}(R)$ is not quasi-compact.

Example 3.7. We now prove that Reg(R) can fail to be sober. Clearly if $R = \mathbb{Z}$ then $\text{Reg}(R) = \text{Prp}(R) \setminus \{(0)\}$. If *n*, *m* are nonzero integers and *p* is a prime number that does not divide nor *n* and *m*, then

 $p\mathbb{Z} \in \operatorname{Reg}(R) \setminus (\{n\mathbb{Z}\}^{\uparrow} \cup \{m\mathbb{Z}\}^{\uparrow}).$

This proves that Reg(R) is an irreducible space. Since clearly

$$\sqrt[\operatorname{Reg}(R)]{(0)} = (0) \notin \operatorname{Reg}(R)$$

from Theorem 2.1 we immediately infer that Reg(R) is not a sober space.

3.5. Primary ideals.

Lemma 3.8. Let R be a zero-dimensional ring that is not local. Then Prm(R) is not irreducible.

Proof. Since *R* is zero-dimensional and not local, there are rings R_1 , R_2 such that *R* is isomorphic to the direct product $R_1 \times R_2$. In the latter, every primary ideal contains either (1,0) or (0,1), and thus

$$\Pr(R_1 \times R_2) = (\{(1,0)\}^{\uparrow} \cap \Pr(R_1 \times R_2)) \cup (\{(0,1)\}^{\uparrow} \cap \Pr(R_1 \times R_2)).$$

Hence Prm(R) is not irreducible.

Proposition 3.9. Let *R* be a ring that is either:

- a zero-dimensional ring;
- a one-dimensional integral domain.

Then Prm(R) is sober.

Proof. Let a be a non-primary ideal of *R*. Then, $R' := R/\mathfrak{a}$ is zero-dimensional under both hypothesis (if *R* is a one-dimensional domain, (0) is primary), and the quotient map $R \to R'$ induces a homeomorphism $\{\mathfrak{a}\}^{\uparrow} \cap \operatorname{Prm}(R) \to \operatorname{Prm}(R')$; by Lemma 3.8, the latter is not irreducible, and thus neither $\{\mathfrak{a}\}^{\uparrow} \cap \operatorname{Prm}(R)$ is irreducible. Therefore, if $\{\mathfrak{a}\}^{\uparrow} \cap \operatorname{Prm}(R)$ is irreducible then a is primary; thus, $\operatorname{Prm}(R)\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} = \mathfrak{a} \in \operatorname{Prm}(R)$. By Theorem 2.1, $\operatorname{Prm}(R)$ is sober.

Lemma 3.10. Let R be a ring such that Prm(R) is sober. Then $Prm(R_m)$ is sober, for every maximal ideal m of R.

Proof. Given a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of R, it is immediate that the localization mapping $R \to R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ induces a homeomorphism of $\operatorname{Prm}(R_{\mathfrak{m}})$ and $X := \{\mathfrak{a} \in \operatorname{Prm}(R) \mid \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}\}$. Take and ideal \mathfrak{i} of R such that $X \cap \{\mathfrak{i}\}^{\uparrow}$ is irreducible. Then the closure Γ of $X \cap \{\mathfrak{i}\}^{\uparrow}$ in $\operatorname{Prm}(R)$ is irreducible too and thus, by assumption, there exists a primary ideal \mathfrak{a}_0 of R such that $\Gamma = \{\mathfrak{a}_0\}^{\uparrow} \cap \operatorname{Prm}(R)$. The inclusion $X \cap \{\mathfrak{i}\}^{\uparrow} \subseteq \Gamma$ immediately implies that $\mathfrak{m} \supseteq \sqrt[X]{\mathfrak{i}} \supseteq \mathfrak{a}_0$ (in particular, $\mathfrak{a}_0 \in X$). Conversely take an element $\alpha \in \sqrt[X]{\mathfrak{i}}$. Then $C := \{\alpha R\}^{\uparrow} \cap \operatorname{Prm}(R)$ is a closed set of $\operatorname{Prm}(R)$ containing $X \cap \{\mathfrak{i}\}^{\uparrow}$ and thus C contains Γ . In particular, $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}$. This proves that $\sqrt[X]{\mathfrak{i}} = \mathfrak{a}$ and thus the conclusion follows from Theorem 2.1.

Proposition 3.11. Let R be a Noetherian local ring. Then Prm(R) is sober if and only if Prm(R) = Prp(R).

and $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$ be the maximal ideals of the local rings *R* and *R*/i, respectively. Since *R*/i is Noetherian we get $\bigcap_{n \ge 1} \overline{\mathfrak{n}}^n = (0)$, that is, $\bigcap_{n \ge 1} (\mathfrak{n}^n + \mathfrak{i}) = \mathfrak{i}$. Since each ideal of the type $\mathfrak{n}^n + \mathfrak{i}$ is n-primary and Prm(*R*) is sober, then $\mathfrak{i} = \inf{\{\mathfrak{n}^n + \mathfrak{i} \mid n \ge 1\}}$ is primary, by virtue of Proposition 2.7, a contradiction.

Corollary 3.12. Let *R* be a Noetherian ring. If Prm(R) is sober, then $dim(R) \le 1$.

Proof. Suppose, by contradiction, that there exists a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of R such that dim $(R_{\mathfrak{m}}) \ge 2$. It follows that the local ring $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ has proper ideals that are not primary and thus $Prm(R_{\mathfrak{m}})$ is not sober, by Proposition 3.11. This is a contradiction, by Lemma 3.10.

Corollary 3.13. Let R be a one-dimensional Noetherian local ring such that Prm(R) is sober. Then R is an integral domain.

Proof. If *R* is not an integral domain, then $Prm(R) \subsetneq Prp(R)$. The conclusion follows again by Proposition 3.11.

Corollary 3.14. Let R be a one-dimensional Noetherian ring with a unique minimal prime ideal and such that Prm(R) is sober. Then R is an integral domain.

Proof. Let \mathfrak{p} be the unique minimal prime ideal of R. Take any maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of R. By Lemma 3.10, $\operatorname{Prm}(R_{\mathfrak{m}})$ is sober and thus $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is an integral domain, by Corollary 3.13. It follows $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$ and this holds for every maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of R. Thus $\mathfrak{p} = 0$ and the conclusion follows.

Theorem 3.15. Let R be a Noetherian ring. Then, the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) The space Prm(R) is sober.
- (2) The space Prm(R) is spectral.
- (3) *R* is a direct product of zero-dimensional rings and of one-dimensional domains.

Proof. The equivalence of conditions (1) and (2) immediately follows by Corollary 2.6.

Suppose that $R = R_1 \times ... \times R_n$, where each R_i is either a zero-dimensional ring or a onedimensional domain. Then Prm(R) is homeomorphic to the disjoint union of the sober spaces $Prm(R_i)$, for $1 \le i \le n$ (Proposition 3.9). Thus Prm(R) is sober since it is the disjoint union of finitely many sober spaces.

Conversely, assume that Prm(R) is sober. Then $dim(R) \le 1$, by Corollary 3.12. In case dim(R) = 0 there is nothing to prove. Then we can assume that dim(R) = 1. In case *R* has a

unique minimal prime ideal, then *R* is an integral domain, by virtue of Corollary 3.14, and thus there is nothing to prove. Thus we can assume that *R* is one-dimensional with $r \ge 2$ minimal prime ideals, say $\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_r$. We claim that every maximal ideal of *R* contains exactly one minimal prime ideals: indeed if m is a maximal ideal of *R* and $\mathfrak{p}_i \ne \mathfrak{p}_j$ are contained in m, then $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ would be a one-dimensional Noetherian local ring, and not an integral domain, such that $\operatorname{Prm}(R_{\mathfrak{m}})$ is sober (Lemma 3.10), contradicting Corollary 3.13. The claim immediately implies that the union $\operatorname{Spec}(R) = \bigcup_{i=1}^r V(\mathfrak{p}_i)$ is disjoint. In particular the minimal primes are paiwise comaximal. Let n be the nilradical of *R* and let *h* be a positive integer such that $\mathfrak{n}^h = 0$. Then the Chinese Remainder Theorem easily implies that *R* is isomorphic to $\prod_{i=1}^r R/\mathfrak{p}_i^h$, and clearly each ring R/\mathfrak{p}_i^h is either a one-dimensional domain or a zero-dimensional ring. The conclusion follows.

References

- D. E. Dobbs, M.Fontana, I. Papick, On certain distinguished spectral sets. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 128 (1981), 227–240.
- [2] D. E. Dobbs, Posets admitting a unique order-compatible topology, Discrete Math., 41(3) (1982), 235-240.
- [3] M. Dickmann, N. Schwartz, and M. Tressal, Spectral spaces, Cambridge Univ. Press., 2019.
- [4] T. Dube and A. Goswami, Ideal spaces: An extension of structure spaces of rings, J. Alg. Appl. (online ready).
- [5] C. A. Finocchiaro, M. Fontana, D. Spirito, A topological version of Hilbert Nullstellensatz, J. Algebra 461 (2016), 25–41.
- [6] C. A. Finocchiaro, D. Spirito, Suprema in spectral spaces and the constructible closure, *New York J. Math.* 26 (2020) 1064–1092.
- [7] A. Goswami, Proper spaces are spectral, arXiv:2203.10967v3.
- [8] L. Fuchs, W. Heinzer, and B. Olberding, Commutative ideal theory without finiteness conditions: completely irreducible ideals, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 358(7) (2006), 3113–3131.
- [9] M. Hochster, Prime ideal structure in commutative rings, Trans. Am. Math. Soc., 142 (1969), 43-60.
- [10] H. Li, and Q. Li, Lower topological poset models of T_1 topological spaces, *Topology Appl.*, **271** (2020), 106992, 12 pp.
- [11] T. Lucas, Krull rings, Prüfer v-multiplication rings and the ring of finite fractions. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 35 (2005), no. 4, 1251–1325.
- [12] N. Mundlik, V. Joshi, and R. Halaš, The hull-kernel topology on prime ideals in posets, *Soft Comput*, 21 (2017), 1653–1665.
- [13] S. Niefield, Exponentiable morphisms: posets, spaces, locales, and Grothendieck toposes, *Theory Appl. Categ.*, 8 (2001), 16–32.
- [14] M. L. Wachs, Poset topology: tools and applications in *Geometric combinatorics*, 497–615, 2007.
- [15] X. Xu, and W. Zhang, The upper topology and interval topology on quasi-hypercontinuous posets, *Topology Appl.*, **230** (2017), 539–549.

[16] T. Yokoyama, On some classes of spectral posets, *Tsukuba J. Math.*, **34**(2) (2010), 213–219.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI CATANIA, 95125 CATANIA, ITALY *Email address*: cafinocchiaro@unict.it

Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of Johannesburg, Auckland Park Kingsway Campus, P.O. Box 524, Auckland Park 2006, South Africa.

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR THEORETICAL AND COMPUTATIONAL SCIENCES (NITHECS), SOUTH AFRICA. *Email address*: agoswami@uj.ac.za

DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE MATHEMATICHE, INFORMATICHE E FISICHE, UNIVERSITY OF UDINE, VIA DELLE SCIENZE 206, 33100 UDINE, ITALY

Email address: dario.spirito@uniud.it