

## *Distinguished Preduals of Spaces of Holomorphic Functions*

CHRISTOPHER BOYD

**ABSTRACT.** For  $U$  open in a locally convex space  $E$  it is shown in [13] that there is a complete locally convex space  $G(U)$  such that  $G(U)' = (\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\delta)$ . We will show that when  $U$  is balanced there is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -absolute decomposition for  $G(U)$  in terms of the preduals of the spaces of homogeneous polynomials. For  $U$  balanced open in a Fréchet space we investigate necessary and sufficient conditions for  $(\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\delta)$  to be equal to  $G(U)'_b$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $U$  be an open subset of a locally convex space  $E$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $\mathcal{H}(U)$  be the space of holomorphic functions from  $U$  into  $\mathbb{C}$ . We will denote by  $\tau_c$  the compact-open topology on  $\mathcal{H}(U)$ . A semi-norm  $p$  on  $\mathcal{H}(U)$  is said to be *ported* by the compact subset  $K$  of  $U$  if for each open  $V, K \subset V \subset U$ , there is  $C_V > 0$  such that

$$p(f) \leq C_V \|f\|_V$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{H}(U)$ . The  $\tau_\omega$ -topology on  $\mathcal{H}(U)$  is the topology generated by all semi-norms ported by compact subsets of  $U$ .

If  $K$  is a compact subset of  $E$  we denote by  $\mathcal{H}(K)$  the space of holomorphic germs on  $K$ . The  $\tau_c$  and  $\tau_\omega$  topologies are defined by

$$(\mathcal{H}(K), \tau_o) = \lim_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ K \subset U}} (\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_o)$$

and

$$(\mathcal{H}(K), \tau_\omega) = \lim_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ K \subset U}} (\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\omega)$$

Let  $U$  be an open subset of a locally convex space  $E$ . We say that a seminorm  $p$  on  $\mathcal{H}(U)$  is  $\tau_\delta$ -continuous, if for each countable increasing open cover  $\{U_n\}_n$  of  $U$  there is an integer  $n_o$  and  $C > 0$  such that

$$p(f) \leq C \|f\|_{U_{n_o}}$$

for every  $f$  in  $\mathcal{H}(U)$ . The  $\tau_\delta$ -topology on  $\mathcal{H}(U)$  is the topology generated by all  $\tau_\delta$  continuous semi-norms.

In [10], Mazet shows that there is a locally convex space  $G(U)$  and a holomorphic map  $\delta_U$  from  $U$  into  $G(U)$  with the following universal property: Given any complete locally convex space  $F$  and  $f \in \mathcal{H}(U, F)$  there is a unique  $T_f \in \mathcal{L}(G(U), F)$  such that  $f = T_f \circ \delta_U$ . In particular if we take  $F = \mathbb{C}$ , we see that  $G(U)$  is a predual of  $\mathcal{H}(U)$ . Mujica and Nachbin [13] give a new proof of this theorem and show that  $G(U)$  is also a topological predual and the inductive dual of  $G(U), G(U)'_i$ , is equal to  $(\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\delta)$ . In §2 we show that the spaces  $(P(^n E), \tau_\omega)$  and  $(\mathcal{H}(K), \tau_\omega)$  also have topological preduals which we denote by  $Q(^n E)$  and  $G(K)$  respectively. We show that the spaces  $\{Q(^n E)\}_n$  are an  $\mathcal{L}$ -absolute decomposition for  $G(U)$  when  $U$  is balanced and therefore many of the topological properties of  $G(U)$  can be obtained from the topological properties of  $Q(^n E)$ .

In the final section we assume  $U$  is a balanced open subset of a Fréchet space. We show that we can construct  $(\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_o)'_b$  from the  $G(K)$ 's, and use this result to show that  $G(U)'_b = (\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\delta)$  if and only if  $(\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\delta)$  is the bidual of  $(\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_o)$ .

If  $E$  is a locally convex space and  $n$  a positive integer,  $\widehat{\otimes}_{n,\pi} E$  will denote the  $n$ -fold tensor product of  $E$  with itself completed with respect to and endowed with the  $\pi$  or projective topology. We denote by  $\widehat{\otimes}_{s,n,\pi} E$  the completion of the subspace generated by the symmetric tensors.

We refer the reader to [6] for further reading on infinite dimensional holomorphy and to [9] for further reading on locally convex spaces.

## 2. PREDUALS OF HOMOGENEOUS POLYNOMIALS AND SPACES OF GERMS

Just as the space of holomorphic functions on each open subset of a locally convex space  $E$  has a predual, the space of  $n$ -homogeneous polynomials on  $E$ , for each integer  $n$ , and the space of holomorphic germs on each compact subset  $K$  of  $E$  will also have a predual. In fact, for  $n$ -homogeneous polynomials, by taking  $Q(^nE)$  to be the space of all linear forms on  $P(^nE)$  which when restricted to each locally bounded set is  $\tau_o$ -continuous, the proof of Theorem 2.1 of [13] is easily adapted to show the following:

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $E$  be a locally convex space, then for each positive integer  $n$ , there is a complete locally convex space  $Q(^nE)$  and an  $n$ -homogeneous polynomial  $\delta_n \in P(^nE, Q(^nE))$  with the property that given any complete locally convex space  $F$  and any  $P \in P(^nE, F)$  there is a unique  $L_p \in \mathcal{L}(Q(^nE), F)$  such that  $P = L_p \circ \delta_n$ .*

This result has previously been proved by Mujica, [12], for Banach spaces and Ryan in [14] with  $Q(^nE)$  replaced by  $\widehat{\otimes}_{s,n,\pi} E$ . By the uniqueness of  $L_p$  it will follow that  $Q(^nE)$  is topologically isomorphic to  $\widehat{\otimes}_{s,n,\pi} E$ .

Let us define  $G(K)$  to be the space of linear maps from  $\mathcal{H}(K)$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  which are  $\tau_o$ -continuous on each set of holomorphic germs which are defined and uniformly bounded on some neighbourhood of  $K$ . Applying Theorem 1.1 of [13] to the inductive limit  $(\mathcal{H}(K), \tau_\omega) = \lim_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ K \subset V}} \mathcal{H}^\infty(V, \|\cdot\|_V)$

we get:

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $K$  be a compact subset of a locally convex space  $E$ , then  $G(K)' = (\mathcal{H}(K), \tau_\omega)$ .*

This result had been previously proved by Mujica [11] for  $E$  a Fréchet space. In [11], Mujica points out that in this special case  $G(K) = (\mathcal{H}(K), \tau_o)'_b$ .

The concept of  $\mathcal{S}$ -absolute decomposition ([5]) allows us to obtain topological properties of  $(\mathcal{H}(U), \tau)$  from the corresponding properties of  $(P(^nE), \tau)$ ,  $\tau = \tau_o, \tau_w$  or  $\tau_\delta$  and  $U$  balanced. To show that  $\{Q(^nE)\}_n$  is an  $\mathcal{S}$ -absolute decomposition for  $G(U)$  we require the following lemma. (See Proposition 3.15 of [6] for a related result.) We let  $\mathcal{S} = \{(\alpha_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} :$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\alpha_n|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq 1\}.$$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $U$  a balanced open subset of a locally convex space  $E$ ,  $(\alpha_n)_n \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $\{f_\beta\}_\beta$  be a family of functions in  $\mathcal{H}(U)$  uniformly bounded on some neighbourhood of a compact balanced set  $K$ . Then there is an  $M > 0$  such that*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_n| \left\| \frac{\hat{d}^n f_\beta(0)}{n!} \right\|_V \leq M$$

for every  $\beta$  and some neighbourhood  $V$  of  $K$ .

For  $U$  open in any locally convex space  $E$  it can be shown that, for each  $n$ , the map  $\tilde{\phi} : Q(^nE) \rightarrow G(U)$  defined by  $\tilde{\phi}(f) = \phi\left(\frac{\hat{d}^n f(0)}{n!}\right)$  for  $\phi \in Q(^nE)$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{H}(U)$  identifies  $Q(^nE)$  with a closed subspace of  $G(U)$ .

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $U$  be a balanced open subset of a locally convex space  $E$ ; then  $\{Q(^nE)\}_n$  is an  $\mathcal{S}$ -absolute decomposition for  $G(U)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $B = \{f_\beta\}$  be a family of locally bounded function in  $\mathcal{H}(U)$ . Recall that the topology on  $G(U)$  is the topology of uniform convergence on locally bounded subsets of  $\mathcal{H}(U)$ . As

$$(1, 2^2, \dots, n^2, \dots) \in \mathcal{S}$$

it follows by Lemma 3 that for each  $x$  we can choose a neighbourhood  $V_x$  of  $\Gamma_x$ , the balanced hull of  $\{x\}$ , such that

$$\sup_{\beta} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^2 \left\| \frac{\hat{d}^n f_\beta(0)}{n!} \right\|_{V_x} = M_x < \infty.$$

Therefore for every  $m$  and every  $\beta$  we have,

$$\left\| m^2 \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{d}^n f_{\beta}(0)}{n!} \right\|_{V_x} \leq \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} n^2 \left\| \frac{\hat{d}^n f_{\beta}(0)}{n!} \right\|_{V_x} \leq M_x.$$

Thus the set

$$\tilde{B} = \left\{ m^2 \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{d}^n f_{\beta}(0)}{n!} \right\}_{m, \beta}$$

is locally bounded.

For  $\phi \in G(U)$ , let  $\phi_n = \phi|_{P^n(E)}$ . For each semi-norm  $\alpha$  let  $U_{\alpha} = \{x \in E: \alpha(x) \leq 1\}$  and  $B_{\alpha}^n = \{P \in P^n(E): \|P\|_{U_{\alpha}} \leq 1\}$ . Then  $B_{\alpha}^n$  is a locally bounded set of holomorphic functions on  $\mathcal{H}(U)$  and  $\phi_n|_{B_{\alpha}^n} = \phi|_{B_{\alpha}^n}$  is  $\tau_{\alpha}$ -continuous and  $\phi_n \in Q^n(E)$ . Since  $\phi$  is  $\tau_{\alpha}$ -continuous on  $\tilde{B}$  and the Taylor series expansion of  $f_{\beta}$  about 0 converges to  $f_{\beta}$  in the  $\tau_{\alpha}$ -topology we have that,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \phi - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \phi_k \right\|_{\tilde{B}} &= \sup_{\beta} \left| \left( \phi - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \phi_k \right) (f_{\beta}) \right| \\ &= \sup_{\beta} \left| \phi \left( \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{d}^n f_{\beta}(0)}{n!} \right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{m^2} \|\phi\|_{\tilde{B}} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus  $\phi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n$  in  $G(U)$ .

As

$$(\alpha_1, 2^2\alpha_2, \dots, n^2\alpha_n, \dots) \in \mathcal{S}$$

for  $(\alpha_n)_n \in \mathcal{S}$ , it follows by Lemma 3 that for every  $x \in U$ , we can find  $N_x > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{\beta} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^2 \alpha_n \left\| \frac{\hat{d}^n f_{\beta}(0)}{n!} \right\|_{W_x} \leq N_x$$

for some neighbourhood  $W_x$  of  $\Gamma_x$ . In particular the set

$$B' = \left\{ n^2 \alpha_n \frac{\hat{d}^n f_{\beta}(0)}{n!} \right\}_{n, \beta}$$

is locally bounded. Let  $\phi_\eta \rightarrow 0$  in  $G(U)$ . As every locally bounded subset of  $P({}^n E)$  is locally bounded in  $\mathcal{H}(U)$  and

$$\|(\phi_\eta)_n\|_{\left\{\frac{\hat{d}^n f_\beta(0)}{n!}\right\}_\beta} = \sup_\beta \left| \phi_\eta \left( \frac{\hat{d}^n f_\beta(0)}{n!} \right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{n^2 \alpha_n} \|\phi_\eta\|_{B'},$$

$(\phi_\eta)_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $Q({}^n E)$  for every  $n$ . This shows that  $\{Q({}^n E)\}_n$  is a Schauder decomposition for  $G(U)$ . For  $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \alpha_n \phi_n \in G(U)$  and  $(\alpha_n)_n \in \mathcal{S}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{n=k}^\infty \alpha_n \phi_n \right\|_B &\leq \sum_{n=k}^\infty |\alpha_n| \|\phi_n\|_B \\ &= \sum_{n=k}^\infty \sup_\beta \left| \phi \left( \alpha_n \frac{\hat{d}^n f_\beta(0)}{n!} \right) \right| \\ &= \sum_{n=k}^\infty \frac{1}{n^2} \sup_\beta \left| \phi \left( n^2 \alpha_n \frac{\hat{d}^n f_\beta(0)}{n!} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \|\phi\|_{B'} \sum_{n=k}^\infty \frac{1}{n^2}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $\{Q({}^n E)\}_n$  is an  $\mathcal{S}$ -decomposition for  $G(U)$ , and taking  $k=0$ , we see that the decomposition is  $\mathcal{S}$ -absolute.  $\square$

In a way similar to that in which each  $Q({}^n E)$  can be identified with a closed subspace of  $G(U)$  it can be shown that each  $Q({}^n E)$  can be identified with a closed subspace of  $G(K)$  for  $K$  a compact subset of  $E$  and  $E$  any locally convex space. By a modification of Proposition 4 we have:

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $K$  be a balanced compact subset of a locally convex space  $E$ , then  $\{Q({}^n E)\}_n$  is an  $\mathcal{S}$ -absolute decomposition for  $G(K)$ .*

**Corollary 6.** *If  $E$  is a Fréchet space then  $Q({}^n E) = (P({}^n E), \tau_o)'_b$ .*

**Proof.** Both  $\{Q({}^n E)\}_n$  and  $\{(P({}^n E), \tau_o)'_b\}_n$  are  $\mathcal{S}$ -absolute decompositions for  $G(K) = (\mathcal{H}(K), \tau_o)'_b$ .  $\square$

### 3. DISTINGUISHED PREDUALS OF SPACES OF HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

In this section we investigate conditions for  $G(U)'_b$  to be equal to  $(\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\delta)$ . We begin by relating  $(\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\delta)'_b$  to the  $G(K)$ 's when  $U$  is a balanced open subset of a Fréchet space. We denote by  $R_{K,U}$  the map from  $\mathcal{H}(U)$  to  $\mathcal{H}(K)$  which assigns to each  $f$  in  $\mathcal{H}(U)$  its restriction to  $K$ .

**Proposition 7.** *Let  $U$  be a balanced open subset of a Fréchet space  $E$ , then  $(\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\delta)'_b = \lim_{\substack{\vec{K \subset U} \\ K \text{ balanced}}} G(K)$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $(\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\delta)$  and  $(\mathcal{H}(K), \tau_\delta)$  are semi-Montel, we have that  $(\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\delta)'_b = (\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\delta)'_\tau$  and  $(\mathcal{H}(K), \tau_\delta)'_\tau = (\mathcal{H}(K), \tau_\delta)'_b = G(K)$ , where  $\tau$  denotes the Mackey topology. If  $K$  is a balanced compact subset of  $U$  then the Taylor series of  $f$  about 0 converges to  $f$  in  $(\mathcal{H}(K), \tau_\delta)$ . Since  $\mathcal{H}(U)$  contains all polynomials,  $R_{K,U}((\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\delta))$  is dense in  $(\mathcal{H}(K), \tau_\delta)$ . Therefore the inductive limit

$$(\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\delta) = \lim_{\substack{\vec{K \subset U} \\ K \text{ balanced}}} (\mathcal{H}(K), \tau_\delta)$$

is reduced. Therefore by IV.4.4 of [15] we have that

$$(\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\delta)'_b = \lim_{\substack{\vec{K \subset U} \\ K \text{ balanced}}} (\mathcal{H}(K), \tau_\delta)'_b = \lim_{\substack{\vec{K \subset U} \\ K \text{ balanced}}} G(K).$$

□

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $U$  be a balanced open subset of a Fréchet space  $E$ , then  $G(U)'_b = ((\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\delta)'_b)'_b$ .*

**Proof.** It follows by Grothendieck's Completeness Theorem, Theorem 3.11.1 of [9], that  $G(U)$  is the completion of  $(\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\delta)'_b$ . Therefore,  $((\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\delta)'_b)'_b = G(U)'_b = \mathcal{H}(U)$ . To show that these two topologies agree

it is sufficient to prove that each bounded subset of  $G(U)$  is contained in the closure, in  $G(U)$ , of a bounded subset of  $(\mathcal{H}(U, \tau_o)'_b)$ . Let  $B$  be a bounded subset of  $G(U)$ . Define  $\tilde{B}$  by

$$\tilde{B} := \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^m \phi_n : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n \in B, m \in \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

where  $\phi_n = \phi|_{P(^nE)}$ . By the proof of Proposition 3.13 of [6],  $\tilde{B}$  is bounded and as  $\phi_n \in Q(^nE) = (P(^nE), \tau_o)'_b$ ,  $\tilde{B} \subset (\mathcal{H}(U, \tau_o)'_b)$ . Since  $\sum_{n=0}^m \phi_n \rightarrow \phi$  on the locally bounded (and therefore  $\tau_o$ -bounded) subsets of  $\mathcal{H}(U)$ ,  $B$  is contained in the closure of  $\tilde{B}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

The space  $G(U)$  is not in general a Fréchet space when  $U$  is an open subset of a Fréchet space  $E$ . However, our next result shows that  $G(U)$  behaves very like a Fréchet space vis-a-vis necessary and sufficient conditions needed in order to show it is distinguished. We will denote by  $\tau_d$  the topology on  $\mathcal{H}(U)$  defined by  $(\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_d) = G(U)'_b$ .

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $U$  be a balanced open subset of a Fréchet space  $E$ , then the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $G(U)'_b = G(U)'_i = (\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\delta)$ ,
- (b)  $G(U)$  is distinguished ( $G(U)'_b$  is barrelled),
- (c)  $G(U)'_b$  is infrabarrelled,
- (d)  $G(U)'_b$  is bornological.

**Proof.** Since  $(\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\delta)$  is barrelled and bornological we see that (a) will imply (b), (c) and (d).

It follows from Lemma 8 and the fact that  $G(U)'_i = (\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\delta)$ , that  $\tau_d$  is a topology on  $\mathcal{H}(U)$  which satisfies  $\tau_o \leq \tau_d \leq \tau_\delta$ . It now follows from Corollary 3 of [1] that (b) implies (a). Since  $G(U)$  is barrelled, see Theorem 4.4 of [13], it follows by Theorem 3.6.1. of [9], that  $G(U)'_b$  is quasi-complete and so (b) is equivalent to (c). Finally, we note that (d) always implies (c).  $\square$

Conditions (a) and (b) of the above Theorem are also true in the case where  $U$  is a balanced open subset of a DF space where we replace Corollary 3 of [1] by Corollary 5 of [1].

From Lemma 8 and Theorem 9 we see that if  $U$  a balanced open subset of a Fréchet space  $E$ , then  $G(U)'_b = (\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\delta)'_b$  if and only if the bidual of  $(\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\alpha)$  is equal to  $(\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\delta)$ . The question of when  $((\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\alpha)'_b)'_b$  is equal to  $(\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\delta)$ , was investigated by Dineen and Isidro in [8]. There they proved the following Proposition.

**Proposition 10.** (Dineen-Isidro) *Let  $U$  be a balanced open subset of a locally convex space  $E$ , then  $((\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\alpha)'_b)'_b = (\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\delta)$  if and only if  $(\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\delta)$  has a basis of absolutely convex  $\tau_\alpha$ -closed neighbourhoods of 0.*

Thus, in the case where  $U$  is a balanced open subset of a Fréchet space  $E$ , we see that the sufficient condition given in [13] Theorem 1.1 for  $G(U)'_b$  to be equal to  $(\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\delta)$  is in fact also necessary.

As a complemented subspace of a distinguished space is distinguished, and  $Q(^n E)$  is complemented in  $G(U)$  for every integer  $n$ , we see that a necessary condition for  $\tau_\alpha$  to equal  $\tau_\delta$  is that each  $Q(^n E)$  is distinguished. This gives us a means of obtaining Fréchet spaces  $E$  such that  $G(U)'_b \neq G(U)'_i$  for any balanced open subset  $U$  of  $E$ . We begin with the observation that  $Q(^1 E) = E$ , and therefore we have that  $G(U)'_b \neq (\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\delta)$  for any balanced open subset of a non-distinguished Fréchet space.

Taskinen, [16], constructs a Fréchet-Montel space  $F_\alpha$ , such that  $Q(^2 F_\alpha) = F_\alpha \widehat{\otimes}_{s,\pi} F_\alpha$  is not distinguished. Thus we see that  $G(U)'_b \neq (\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\delta)$  for any balanced open subset  $U$  of  $F_\alpha$ . This also means that there are Fréchet-Montel spaces such that  $G(U)$  is not distinguished.

To apply Theorem 9 and obtain examples of open subsets of Fréchet spaces where  $G(U)'_b = (\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\delta)$  is very difficult. This is because it is hard to show that an arbitrary locally convex space is distinguished. A necessary condition for  $\tau_\alpha$  to be equal to  $\tau_\delta$  is that each  $Q(^n E)$  is distinguished. When this holds we have the following Proposition showing that  $\tau_\alpha$  is finer than  $\tau_\delta$ .

**Proposition 11.** *Let  $E$  be a Fréchet space such that  $Q(^n E)$  is distinguished for every integer  $n$ , then, for every balanced open set  $U$  in  $E$ ,  $\tau_\alpha$  is finer than  $\tau_\delta$  on  $\mathcal{H}(U)$ .*

**Proof.** For every balanced compact subset  $K$  of  $E$ ,  $G(K)$  is a Fréchet space, and it follows by Proposition 2 of [3], that  $G(K)$  is distinguished. Therefore  $G(K)'_i = G(K)'_b$ , for every balanced compact set  $K$  of  $E$ . Hence

$$(\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\omega) = \lim_{\substack{\leftarrow \\ K \subset U}} (\mathcal{H}(K), \tau_\omega) = \lim_{\substack{\leftarrow \\ K \subset U}} G(K)'_i = \lim_{\substack{\leftarrow \\ K \subset U}} G(K)'_b$$

By the definition of projective limit,  $\lim_{\substack{\leftarrow \\ K \subset U}} G(K)'_b$  is weaker than

$$(\lim_{\substack{\leftarrow \\ K \subset U}} G(K))'_b = ((\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\omega)'_b)'_b = G(U)'_b.$$

□

If  $E$  is a Banach space,  $Q^n(E)$  will also be a Banach space for every integer  $n$ . In particular, each  $Q^n(E)$  is distinguished and therefore by Proposition 11 we have that  $\tau_\omega \leq \tau_d \leq \tau_\delta$  on  $\mathcal{H}(U)$  for every balanced open subset  $U$  of  $E$ . Therefore if we know that  $\tau_\omega = \tau_\delta$  on  $\mathcal{H}(U)$ , we can conclude that  $G(U)'_b = (\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\delta)$ . For examples of Banach spaces and products of Banach spaces with nuclear spaces with this property we refer to [4,2,7].

### Acknowledgements

The results in this paper were proved in my Doctoral thesis submitted to the National University of Ireland in August 1992. I would like to thank my supervisor Professor S.Dineen for his assistance and encouragement and Professor J.Mujica for his comments.

### References

- [1] ANSEMIL, J. M. & PONTE, S.: *Topologies associated with the compact open topology on  $\mathcal{H}(U)$* , Proc. R.Ir.Acad., Vol. 82A No.1 (1982), 121-128.
- [2] CŒURÉ G.: *Functionnelles analytiques sur certains espaces de Banach*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, 21 2, (1971), 15-21.
- [3] DÍAZ, J. C. & MIÑARRO, A. M.: *Distinguished Fréchet spaces and projective tensor products*, DOGA Turk. J.Math., 14 (1990), 191-208.
- [4] DINEEN, S.: *Holomorphic function on  $(C_o, X_b)$  Modules*, Math. Ann., 196 (1972), 106-116.

- [5] DINEEN, S.: *Holomorphic function on locally convex topological vector spaces: I. Locally convex topologies on  $\mathcal{H}(U)$* . Ann. Inst. Fourier, **23** (1973), 19-54.
- [6] DINEEN, S.: *Complex analysis on locally convex spaces*, North-Holland Math. Studies, **57** (1981).
- [7] DINEEN, S.: *Holomorphic function and Banach-Nuclear decompositions of Fréchet spaces*, preprint.
- [8] DINEEN, S., & ISIDRO, J.: *On some topological properties of the algebra of holomorphic functions*, Unpublished Manuscript.
- [9] HORVÁTH, J.: *Topological vector spaces and distributions*, Vol. 1, Addison-Wesley, Massachusetts (1966).
- [10] MAZET, P.: *Analytic sets in locally convex spaces*, North-Holland Math. Studies, **89**, 1989.
- [11] MÚJICA, J.: *A completeness criterion for inductive limits of Banach spaces*, *Functional Analysis, Holomorphy and Approximation Theory II* (Ed. G.I. Zapata), North-Holland Math. Studies, **68** (1984), 319-329.
- [12] MÚJICA, J.: *Linearization of bounded holomorphic mappings on Banach spaces*, Trans Amer. Math. Soc., **324** (1991), 867-887.
- [13] MÚJICA, J., & NACHBIN, L.: *Linearization of holomorphic mappings on locally convex spaces*, J. Math. Pures Appl, **71**, (1992), 543-560.
- [14] RYAN, R.: *Applications of topological tensor products to infinite dimensional holomorphy*, Thesis, Trinity College Dublin (1980).
- [15] SCHAEFER, H. H.: *Topological vector spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Third Printing corrected (1971).
- [16] TASKINEN, J.: *Examples of non-distinguished Fréchet spaces*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Série A.I. **14** (1989), 75-88.

Department of Mathematics  
University College Dublin  
Belfield  
Dublin 4  
Ireland

Recibido: 27 de octubre de 1992  
Revisado: 29 de enero de 1993