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#### Abstract

Examples are given of division algebras with involution (*) of the first kind, one of degree 8 which is not a tensor product of quaternion subalgebras, the other of degree 4 which is not a tensor product of (*)-invariant quaternion subalgebras.


Suppose $D$ is a division algebra with center $F$, and $[D: F]<\infty$. Then $[D: F]$ $=n^{2}$ for suitable $n ; n$ is the degree of $D$, and $D$ is a quaternion $F$-algebra when $\operatorname{deg}(D)=2$. We further assume $D$ has characteristic $\neq 2$, and has an involution (*) of the first kind, i.e. (*) is an anti-automorphism of degree 2 which fixes $F$. This situation is treated in depth in [1, Chapter 10], and it arises if and only if $D$ has exponent 2 in the Brauer group, i.e. $D \otimes D^{\circ p} \approx M_{n^{2}}(F)$, the algebra of $n^{2} \times n^{2}$ matrices over $F$. Thus, in this case, the degree of $D$ is a power of 2 . Until now, the only known such algebras were tensor products of quaternion $F$-subalgebras.

Question 1. Is $D$ necessarily a tensor product of quaternion $F$-subalgebras?
Question 2. Is $D$ necessarily a tensor product of (*)-invariant quaternion $F$-subalgebras?

Question 1 dates back about 60 years; Albert [1] showed it is true when $\operatorname{deg}(D) \leqslant 4$. The main object of this paper is to give a counterexample for degree 8. Also, we shall give a counterexample to Question 2 for degree 4, which is clearly sharp. (Incidentally, for symplectic involutions, question 2 has no counterexample of degree 4 , cf. [3, Theorem B].) Our counterexample makes the following result of Tignol [4] sharp: If $\operatorname{deg}(D)=8$ then $M_{2}(D)$ is a tensor product of quaternion subalgebras. A more detailed description of our methods will appear in the Israel Journal of Mathematics.

The main idea is to use the generic abelian crossed products of [2], modified slightly to account for the presence of an involution. Suppose $R$ is an abelian crossed product, i.e. $D$ has a maximal subfield $K$ Galois over $F$, having Galois group $G=\left\langle\sigma_{1}\right\rangle \oplus \cdots \oplus\left\langle\sigma_{q}\right\rangle$, a direct sum of cyclic groups, and for our purposes we assume that $\sigma_{i}$ has order 2. Then, choosing $z_{i}$ such that $\sigma_{i}(x)=$ $z_{i} x z_{i}^{-1}$ for all $x$ in $K$, we define $u_{i j}=z_{i} z_{j} z_{i}^{-1} z_{j}^{-1}$ and $b_{i}=z_{i}^{2}$, elements of $K$. [2, Lemma 1.2] gives the following conditions for all $i$ (where $N_{i}(x)=x \sigma_{i}(x)$ by definition).

[^0](1) $u_{i i}=1$ and $u_{i j}^{-1}=u_{i i}$ for all $i$;
(2) $\sigma_{i}\left(u_{j k}\right) \sigma_{j}\left(u_{k i}\right) \sigma_{k}\left(u_{i j}\right)=u_{i j} u_{j k} u_{k i}$ for all $i, j, k$;
(3) $N_{i}\left(N_{j}\left(u_{i j}\right)\right)=1$ for all $i, j$;
(4) $\sigma_{j}\left(b_{i}\right) b_{i}^{-1}=N_{i}\left(u_{j i}\right)$ for all $i, j$.

Conversely, these conditions for given elements of a Galois field extension $K$ of $F$ with abelian Galois group $G$, define a simple $F$-algebra $R$ of dimension $G^{2}$ and center $F$.

Theorem 1. Notation as above, $R$ has an involution iff, modifying the $u_{i j}$ and $b_{i}$ suitably, we can satisfy (1)-(4) above, as well as the following extra conditions, where $\tau \in G$ is arbitrarily chosen:
(5) $\tau\left(u_{i j}\right) \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}\left(u_{i j}\right)=1$ for all $i, j$,
(6) $\tau\left(b_{i}\right)=b_{i}$ for all $i$.

Proof. ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Using the proof of [3, Propositions 5.4 and 5.5], one sees easily that $R$ has an involution iff $R$ has some involution (*) whose restriction to $K$ is $\tau$. For all elements $k$ in $K, \mathrm{z}_{i} k=\sigma_{i}(k) z_{i}$, taking (*) on both sides, and substituting, shows $z_{i}^{*} \in z_{i} K$, so we can replace $z_{i}$ by $z_{i} \pm z_{i}^{*}$, (5) and (6) follow easily.
$\Leftrightarrow$ Define ( $*$ ) by $\left(\Sigma k_{\alpha} z_{1}^{\alpha} \cdots z_{q}^{\alpha} q\right)^{*}=\Sigma z_{q}^{\alpha} q \cdots z_{1}^{\alpha}{ }_{1} \tau\left(k_{\alpha}\right), k_{\alpha}$ in $K$, using (5) and (6) to prove (*) is an involution. Q.E.D.

Write $U$ for $\left\{u_{i j} \mid 1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant q\right\}$ and $B$ for $\left\{b_{i} \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3\right\}$. We restrict ourseves to the case $q=3$, i.e. $K$ is a given Galois extension of $F$ with Galois group $\mathbf{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{2}$. Thus, we can write $K=F\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right)$ with $\xi_{i}^{2} \in F, \sigma_{i}\left(\xi_{i}\right)=$ $-\xi_{i}$, and $\sigma_{i}\left(\xi_{j}\right)=\xi_{j}$ for $j \neq i$. Also take $\tau=\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{3}$.

Theorem 2. Given $B$, we can find $U$ satisfying (1)-(6) above iff there are elements $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}$ in $K$ satisfying
(2)' $v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}=1$,
(3) $N_{i}\left(v_{i}\right)=1$,
as well as the following conditions for every permutation $\pi$ of $(1,2,3)$ :
(4)' $\sigma_{\pi 1}\left(b_{\pi 2}\right) b_{\pi 2}^{-1}=\left(N_{\pi 2}\left(v_{\pi 3}\right)\right)^{s g \pi}$;
(5)' $b_{\pi 1} \in F\left(\xi_{\pi 2} \xi_{\pi 3}\right)$.

Proof. Straightforward computations, defining $v_{1}=u_{23}=u_{32}^{-1}, v_{2}=$ $u_{31}=u_{13}^{-1}, v_{3}=u_{12}=u_{21}^{-1}$, and $u_{11}=u_{22}=u_{33}=1$. Q.E.D.

The proof of Theorem 2 also shows (1)-(5) imply (6).
Theorem 3. Given $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}$ satisfying (2)', (3)', there exists $B$ satisfying $(4)^{\prime}$ and (5)' such that, for every permutation $\pi$,

$$
b_{\pi 1}=F\left(\xi_{\pi 2} \xi_{\pi 3}\right) \cap F\left(\xi_{\pi 2}\right) N_{\pi 1}(K) \cap F\left(\xi_{\pi 3}\right) N_{\pi 1}(K)
$$

Proof. Define $u_{i j}$ as in Theorem 2, so that (1), (2), and (3) are satisfied. By [2, equation (14)], which should read $a_{k} \sigma_{i}\left(a_{k}^{-1}\right)$ etc., we obtain the elements
$a_{i}$, which we rename $b_{i}$, satisfying (4). We readily get (2)'-(5)'. By Hilbert's theorem 90, we have $y_{i}$ such that $v_{i}=\sigma_{i}\left(y_{i}\right) y_{i}^{-1} ; b_{1} N_{1}\left(y_{3}\right)$ is fixed under $\sigma_{3}$, so $b_{1} N_{1}\left(y_{3}\right) \in F\left(\xi_{2}\right)$ and $b_{1} \in F\left(\xi_{2}\right) N_{1}\left(y_{3}^{-1}\right)$. Likewise $b_{1} N_{1}\left(y_{2}^{-1}\right) \in F\left(\xi_{3}\right)$ etc. Q.E.D.

Suppose now we are given $b \in K$ satisfying
(7) $b \in F\left(\xi_{2} \xi_{3}\right) \cap F\left(\xi_{2}\right) N_{1}(K) \cap F\left(\xi_{3}\right) N_{1}(K)$.

Then, taking $b=a_{2} N_{1}(w)=a_{3} N_{1}\left(w^{\prime}\right)$, put $v_{2}=w^{-1} \sigma_{2}(w), v_{3}=\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \sigma_{3}\left(w^{\prime}\right)$ and $v_{1}=\left(v_{2} v_{3}\right)^{-1}$. Theorems 3 and 2 then apply, giving $B$ and $U ; b \in F b_{1}$, so we replace $b_{1}$ by $b$. Form the corresponding abelian crossed product $R$. The generic abelian crossed product $R^{\prime}$ (cf. [2]) is a division ring with involution, by Theorem 1. If $R^{\prime}$ is a tensor product of quaternion subalgebras, then $R^{\prime}$ has some set of square-central elements $r_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, r_{64}^{\prime}$, independent over $\operatorname{Cent}\left(R^{\prime}\right)$ with $r_{i}^{\prime} r_{j}^{\prime}= \pm r_{j}^{\prime} r_{i}^{\prime}$ for all $i, j$. An argument based on taking leading monomials (cf. [2, Lemma 2.1]) then shows there is such a set of elements of $R$, each having the form $k_{i} z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{2}} z_{3}^{i_{3}}$, with $k_{i} \in K$. In particular, one of these elements must be of the form $k z_{1}$, implying some $\alpha=\left(k z_{1}\right)^{2}=b N_{1}(k)$, so $b \in F N_{1}(K)$. Thus, to answer Question 1 negatively, we need to find $F, K=F\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right)$, and $b \in K$, such that (7) holds and $b \notin F N_{1}(K)$. (The counterexample will be $R^{\prime}$.)

Take $F=\mathbf{Q}(\lambda)$, the field of rational functions in one indeterminate $\lambda$ and $\xi_{i}$ such that $\xi_{1}^{2}=-1, \xi_{2}^{2}=-\left(\lambda^{2}+1\right)$, and $\xi_{3}^{2}=\lambda$, with $b=\xi_{2} \xi_{3}$. Then (7) holds. If $b \notin F N(K)$ then $\lambda\left(\lambda^{2}+1\right) \in N_{1}\left(F\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right)\left(N\left(F\left(\xi_{1} \xi_{2}\right) / F\right) \cap N\left(F\left(\xi_{2} \xi_{3}\right) / F\right)\right)$, where $N()$ denotes the norm of a field extension. (This step is not easy.) This is impossible, seen by taking polynomials modulo 2 .

Similarly, Question 2 has a counterexample iff there is a field extension $K=F\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$ of $F$ and some $b \in F N_{1}(K)$, with $b \notin F k^{2}$ for all $k$ in $F\left(\xi_{2}\right)$. Take $F=\mathbf{Q}(\lambda), \xi_{1}^{2}=2, \xi_{2}^{2}=\lambda$, and $b=\lambda-1+2 \xi_{2}$.
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