# DIVISOR CLASS GROUPS AND GRADED CANONICAL MODULES OF MULTISECTION RINGS

### KAZUHIKO KURANO

**Abstract**. We describe the divisor class group and the graded canonical module of the multisection ring  $T(X; D_1, \ldots, D_s)$  for a normal projective variety X and Weil divisors  $D_1, \ldots, D_s$  on X under a mild condition. In the proof, we use the theory of Krull domain and the equivariant twisted inverse functor.

### §1. Introduction

We will describe the divisor class groups and the graded canonical modules of multisection rings associated with a normal projective variety.

Suppose that  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{N}_0$ , and  $\mathbb{N}$  are the set of integers, nonnegative integers, and positive integers, respectively.

Let X be a normal projective variety over a field k with the function field k(X). We always assume that dim X > 0. We denote by  $C^1(X)$  the set of closed subvarieties of X of codimension 1. For  $V \in C^1(X)$  and  $a \in k(X)^{\times}$ , we define

$$\operatorname{ord}_{V}(a) = \ell_{\mathcal{O}_{X,V}}(\mathcal{O}_{X,V}/\alpha\mathcal{O}_{X,V}) - \ell_{\mathcal{O}_{X,V}}(\mathcal{O}_{X,V}/\beta\mathcal{O}_{X,V}),$$
$$\operatorname{div}_{X}(a) = \sum_{V \in C^{1}(X)} \operatorname{ord}_{V}(a) \cdot V \in \operatorname{Div}(X) = \bigoplus_{V \in C^{1}(X)} \mathbb{Z} \cdot V,$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are elements in  $\mathcal{O}_{X,V}$  such that  $a = \alpha/\beta$ , and  $\ell_{\mathcal{O}_{X,V}}()$  denotes the length as an  $\mathcal{O}_{X,V}$ -module.

We call an element in Div(X) a Weil divisor on X. For a Weil divisor  $D = \sum n_V V$ , we say that D is effective, and we write  $D \ge 0$  if  $n_V \ge 0$  for any  $V \in C^1(X)$ . For a Weil divisor D on X, we put

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) = \left\{ a \in k(X)^{\times} \mid \operatorname{div}_X(a) + D \ge 0 \right\} \cup \{0\}.$$

Received December 31, 2010. Revised April 14, 2012. Accepted August 29, 2012. First published online September 5, 2013.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14C20; Secondary 13C20.

The author's work was partially supported by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science KAKENHI grant 21540050.

<sup>© 2013</sup> by The Editorial Board of the Nagoya Mathematical Journal

Here we note that  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D))$  is a k-vector subspace of k(X).

Let  $D_1, \ldots, D_s$  be Weil divisors on X. We define the multisection rings  $T(X; D_1, \ldots, D_s)$  and  $R(X; D_1, \ldots, D_s)$  associated with  $D_1, \ldots, D_s$  as follows:

$$T(X; D_1, \dots, D_s)$$

$$= \bigoplus_{(n_1, \dots, n_s) \in \mathbb{N}_0^s} H^0 \Big( X, \mathcal{O}_X \Big( \sum_i n_i D_i \Big) \Big) t_1^{n_1} \cdots t_s^{n_s}$$

$$(1.1) \qquad \subset k(X)[t_1, \dots, t_s] R(X; D_1, \dots, D_s)$$

$$= \bigoplus_{(n_1, \dots, n_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^s} H^0 \Big( X, \mathcal{O}_X \Big( \sum_i n_i D_i \Big) \Big) t_1^{n_1} \cdots t_s^{n_s}$$

$$\subset k(X)[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_s^{\pm 1}].$$

We want to describe the divisor class groups and the graded canonical modules of the above rings.

For a Weil divisor F on X, we set

$$M_F = \bigoplus_{(n_1,\dots,n_s)\in\mathbb{Z}^s} H^0\left(X, \mathcal{O}_X\left(\sum_i n_i D_i + F\right)\right) t_1^{n_1}\cdots t_s^{n_s} \subset k(X)[t_1^{\pm 1},\dots,t_s^{\pm 1}];$$

that is,  $M_F$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded reflexive  $R(X; D_1, \ldots, D_s)$ -module with

$$[M_F]_{(n_1,\ldots,n_s)} = H^0\left(X, \mathcal{O}_X\left(\sum_i n_i D_i + F\right)\right) t_1^{n_1} \cdots t_s^{n_s}.$$

We denote by  $\overline{M_F}$  the isomorphism class of the reflexive module  $M_F$  in  $\operatorname{Cl}(R(X; D_1, \ldots, D_s))$ .

For a normal variety X, we denote by Cl(X) the class group of X, and for a Weil divisor F on X, we denote by  $\overline{F}$  the residue class represented by the Weil divisor F in Cl(X).

In the case where  $\operatorname{Cl}(X)$  is freely generated by  $\overline{D_1}, \ldots, \overline{D_s}$ , the ring  $R(X; D_1, \ldots, D_s)$  is usually called the *Cox ring* of X and is denoted by  $\operatorname{Cox}(X)$ .

REMARK 1.1. Assume that D is an ample divisor on X. In this case, T(X;D) coincides with R(X;D), and it is a Noetherian normal domain by a famous result of Zariski (see [6, Lemma 2.8]). It is well known that  $\operatorname{Cl}(T(X;D))$  is isomorphic to  $\operatorname{Cl}(X)/\mathbb{Z}\overline{D}$ . Mori in [8] constructed a lot of examples of non-Cohen-Macaulay factorial domains using this isomorphism.

It is well known that the canonical module of T(X; D) is isomorphic to  $M_{K_X}$  and that the canonical sheaf  $\omega_X$  coincides with  $\widetilde{M_{K_X}}$ . Watanabe proved a more general result in [12, Theorem 2.8].

We want to establish the same type of the above results for multisection rings.

For  $R(X; D_1, \ldots, D_s)$ , we had already proven the following.

THEOREM 1.2 ([2, Theorem 1.1], [5, Theorem 1.2]). Let X be a normal projective variety over a field such that dim X > 0. Assume that  $D_1, \ldots, D_s$  are Weil divisors on X such that  $\mathbb{Z}D_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}D_s$  contains an ample Cartier divisor. Then, we have the following.

- (1) The ring  $R(X; D_1, \ldots, D_s)$  is a Krull domain.
- (2) The set  $\{P_V | V \in C^1(X)\}$  coincides with the set of homogeneous prime ideals of  $R(X; D_1, \ldots, D_s)$  of height 1, where  $P_V = M_{-V}$ .
- (3) We have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \sum_{i} \mathbb{Z}\overline{D_{i}} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Cl}(X) \xrightarrow{p} \operatorname{Cl}(R(X; D_{1}, \dots, D_{s})) \longrightarrow 0$$

such that  $p(\overline{F}) = \overline{M_F}$ .

(4) Assume that  $R(X; D_1, ..., D_s)$  is Noetherian. Then  $\omega_{R(X;D_1,...,D_s)}$  is isomorphic to  $M_{K_X}$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded module. Therefore,  $\omega_{R(X;D_1,...,D_s)}$  is  $R(X; D_1, ..., D_s)$ -free if and only if  $\overline{K_X} \in \sum_i \mathbb{Z}\overline{D_i}$  in Cl(X).

Suppose that Cl(X) is a finitely generated free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module generated by  $\overline{D_1}, \ldots, \overline{D_s}$ . By the above theorem, the Cox ring Cox(X) is factorial, and

$$\omega_{\operatorname{Cox}(X)} = M_{K_X} = \operatorname{Cox}(X)(K_X),$$

where we regard Cox(X) as a Cl(X)-graded ring.

The main result of this paper is the following.

THEOREM 1.3. Let X be a normal projective variety over a field k such that  $d = \dim X > 0$ . Assume that  $D_1, \ldots, D_s$  are Weil divisors on X such that  $\mathbb{N}D_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{N}D_s$  contains an ample Cartier divisor. Put

$$U = \{ j \mid \text{tr.deg}_k T(X; D_1, \dots, D_{j-1}, D_{j+1}, \dots, D_s) = d + s - 1 \}.$$

Then, we have the following.

(1) The ring  $T(X; D_1, \ldots, D_s)$  is a Krull domain.

(2) The set

$$\left\{Q_V \mid V \in C^1(X)\right\} \cup \left\{Q_j \mid j \in U\right\}$$

coincides with the set of homogeneous prime ideals of  $T(X; D_1, \ldots, D_s)$ of height 1, where

$$Q_V = P_V \cap T(X; D_1, \dots, D_s)$$

and

$$Q_j = \bigoplus_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_s \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ n_j > 0}} T(X; D_1, \dots, D_s)_{(n_1, \dots, n_s)}.$$

(3) We have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \sum_{j \notin U} \mathbb{Z}\overline{D_j} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Cl}(X) \xrightarrow{q} \operatorname{Cl}(T(X; D_1, \dots, D_s)) \longrightarrow 0$$

such that  $q(\overline{F}) = \overline{M_F \cap k(X)[t_1, \dots, t_s, \{t_j^{-1} \mid j \notin U\}]}.$ (4) Assume that  $T(X; D_1, \dots, D_s)$  is Noetherian. Then  $\omega_{T(X; D_1, \dots, D_s)}$  is iso-

morphic to

$$M_{K_X} \cap t_1 \cdots t_s k(X) \left[ t_1, \dots, t_s, \{ t_j^{-1} \mid j \notin U \} \right]$$

as a  $\mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded module. Further, we have

$$q\left(\overline{K_X + \sum_i D_i}\right) = \overline{\omega_{T(X;D_1,\dots,D_s)}}.$$

Therefore,  $\omega_{T(X;D_1,\ldots,D_s)}$  is  $T(X;D_1,\ldots,D_s)$ -free if and only if

$$K_X + \sum_i D_i \in \sum_{j \notin U} \mathbb{Z}\overline{D_j}$$

in  $\operatorname{Cl}(X)$ .

Here,  $\mathrm{tr.deg}_k\,T$  denotes the transcendence degree of the fractional field of T over a field k.

REMARK 1.4. With notation as in Theorem 1.3,  $ht(Q_j) = 1$  if and only if  $j \in U$ . This will be proved in Lemma 3.3. Since  $\mathbb{N}D_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{N}D_s$  contains an ample Cartier divisor,  $Q_j \neq (0)$  for any j. Therefore,  $ht(Q_j) \geq 2$  if and only if  $j \notin U$ .

#### §2. Examples

EXAMPLE 2.1. Let X be a normal projective variety with dim X > 0. Assume that all  $D_i$  are ample Cartier divisors on X. Then,  $T(X; D_1, \ldots, D_s)$  is Noetherian by a famous result of Zariski (see [6, Lemma 2.8]).

Assume that s = 1. By definition,  $U = \emptyset$  since dim X > 0. By Theorem 1.3(3),  $\operatorname{Cl}(T(X; D_1))$  is isomorphic to  $\operatorname{Cl}(X)/\mathbb{Z}\overline{D_1}$ . By Theorem 1.3(4),  $\omega_{T(X;D_1)}$  is a  $T(X; D_1)$ -free module if and only if

$$\overline{K_X} \in \mathbb{Z}\overline{D_1}$$

in Cl(X) (see Remark 1.1).

Next, assume that  $s \ge 2$ . In this case,  $U = \{1, 2, ..., s\}$ . By Theorem 1.3(3), Cl(X) is isomorphic to  $Cl(T(X; D_1, ..., D_s))$ . By Theorem 1.3(4),  $\omega_{T(X;D_1,...,D_s)}$  is a  $T(X; D_1, ..., D_s)$ -free module if and only if

$$\overline{K_X} = \overline{-D_1 - \dots - D_s}$$

in Cl(X). When this is the case,  $-K_X$  is ample; that is, X is a Fano variety.

EXAMPLE 2.2. Set  $X = \mathbb{P}^m \times \mathbb{P}^n$ . Let  $p_1$  (resp.,  $p_2$ ) be the first (resp., second) projection.

Let  $H_1$  be a hyperplane of  $\mathbb{P}^m$ , and let  $H_2$  be a hyperplane of  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . Put  $A_i = p_i^{-1}(H_i)$  for i = 1, 2. In this case,  $\operatorname{Cl}(X) = \mathbb{Z}\overline{A_1} + \mathbb{Z}\overline{A_2} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$ , and  $K_X = -(m+1)A_1 - (n+1)A_2$ .

We have

$$Cox(X) = R(X; A_1, A_2) = k[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m, y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n].$$

Cox(X) is a  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -graded ring such that  $x_i$  (resp.,  $y_j$ ) are of degree (1,0) (resp., (0,1)).

Let a, b, c, d be positive integers such that  $ad - bc \neq 0$ . Put  $D_1 = aA_1 + bA_2$ , and put  $D_2 = cA_1 + dA_2$ . Then, both  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are ample divisors. Consider the multisection rings

$$R(X; D_1, D_2) = \bigoplus_{p,q \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Cox}(X)_{p(a,b)+q(c,d)},$$
$$T(X; D_1, D_2) = \bigoplus_{p,q \ge 0} \operatorname{Cox}(X)_{p(a,b)+q(c,d)}.$$

Here, both  $R(X; D_1, D_2)$  and  $T(X; D_1, D_2)$  are Cohen-Macaulay rings.

By Theorem 1.2(4), we know that

$$R(X; D_1, D_2)$$
 is a Gorenstein ring  $\iff \overline{K_X} \in \mathbb{Z}\overline{D_1} + \mathbb{Z}\overline{D_2}$  in  $\operatorname{Cl}(X)$   
 $\iff (m+1, n+1) \in \mathbb{Z}(a, b) + \mathbb{Z}(c, d).$ 

In this case, we have  $U = \{1, 2\}$  since all of a, b, c, and d are positive. By Theorem 1.3(4), we have

$$T(X; D_1, D_2)$$
 is a Gorenstein ring  $\iff \overline{K_X + D_1 + D_2} = 0$  in  $Cl(X)$   
 $\iff m + 1 = a + c$  and  $n + 1 = b + d$ .

EXAMPLE 2.3. Let a, b, c be pairwise coprime positive integers. Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be the kernel of the k-algebra map  $S = k[x, y, z] \to k[T]$  given by  $x \mapsto T^a$ ,  $y \mapsto T^b, z \mapsto T^c$ .

Let  $\pi: X \to \mathbb{P} = \operatorname{Proj}(k[x, y, z])$  be the blowup at  $V_+(\mathfrak{p})$ , where  $a = \operatorname{deg}(x)$ ,  $b = \operatorname{deg}(y)$ ,  $c = \operatorname{deg}(z)$ . Put  $E = \pi^{-1}(V_+(\mathfrak{p}))$ . Let A be a Weil divisor on Xsatisfying  $\pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) = \mathcal{O}_X(A)$ . In this case, we have  $\operatorname{Cl}(X) = \mathbb{Z}\overline{E} + \mathbb{Z}\overline{A} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$ , and  $K_X = E - (a + b + c)A$ .

Then, we have

$$Cox(X) = R(X; -E, A) = R'_{s}(\mathfrak{p}) := S[t^{-1}, \mathfrak{p}t, \mathfrak{p}^{(2)}t^{2}, \mathfrak{p}^{(3)}t^{3}, \ldots] \subset S[t^{\pm 1}],$$
$$T(X; -E, A) = R_{s}(\mathfrak{p}) := S[\mathfrak{p}t, \mathfrak{p}^{(2)}t^{2}, \mathfrak{p}^{(3)}t^{3}, \ldots] \subset S[t].$$

By Theorem 1.2(4), we have

$$\omega_{R'_s(\mathfrak{p})} = M_{K_X} = R'_s(\mathfrak{p})(\overline{K_X}) = R'_s(\mathfrak{p})(-1, -a-b-c).$$

In this case,  $U = \{1\}$ . By Theorem 1.3(4), we have

$$\begin{split} \omega_{R_s(\mathfrak{p})} &= M_{K_X} \cap t_1 t_2 k(X)[t_1, t_2^{\pm 1}] \\ &= \omega_{R'_s(\mathfrak{p})} \cap t_1 t_2 k(X)[t_1, t_2^{\pm 1}] \\ &= R'_s(\mathfrak{p})(-1, -a - b - c) \cap t_1 t_2 k(X)[t_1, t_2^{\pm 1}] \\ &= R_s(\mathfrak{p})(-1, -a - b - c). \end{split}$$

Therefore, both of  $R'_{s}(\mathfrak{p})$  and  $R_{s}(\mathfrak{p})$  are quasi-Gorenstein rings that were first proved by Simis and Trung [11, Corollary 3.4]. The Cohen–Macaulayness of such rings are deeply studied by Goto, Nishida, and Shimoda [3].

Divisor class groups of ordinary and symbolic Rees rings were studied by, for example, Shimoda [10] and Simis and Trung [11].

## §3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Throughout this section, we assume that X is a normal projective variety over a field k such that  $d = \dim X > 0$ , and we assume that  $D_1, \ldots, D_s$  are Weil divisors on X such that  $\mathbb{N}D_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{N}D_s$  contains an ample Cartier divisor.

We need the following lemmas. They are well known, but the author could not find a reference.

LEMMA 3.1. Let G be an integral domain containing a field k. Let P be a prime ideal of G. Assume that both  $\operatorname{tr.deg}_k G$  and  $\operatorname{tr.deg}_k G/P$  are finite. Then, the height of P is less than or equal to

$$\operatorname{tr.deg}_k G - \operatorname{tr.deg}_k G/P.$$

*Proof.* Assume the contrary. Then there exists a ring G' which satisfies the following five conditions:

• 
$$k \subset G' \subset G;$$

- G' is finitely generated (as a ring) over k;
- $\operatorname{tr.deg}_k G = \operatorname{tr.deg}_k G';$
- tr.deg<sub>k</sub> G/P = tr.deg<sub>k</sub>  $G'/(G' \cap P)$ ; and
- $\operatorname{tr.deg}_k G \operatorname{tr.deg}_k G/P < \operatorname{ht}(G' \cap P).$

However, using the dimension formula (e.g., [7, p. 119]), we have

$$\operatorname{ht}(G' \cap P) = \operatorname{tr.deg}_k G' - \operatorname{tr.deg}_k G' / (G' \cap P) = \operatorname{tr.deg}_k G - \operatorname{tr.deg}_k G / P.$$

This is a contradiction.

LEMMA 3.2. Let r be a positive integer. Let  $F_1, \ldots, F_r$  be Weil divisors on X. Let S be the set of all nonzero homogeneous elements of  $T(X; F_1, \ldots, F_r)$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) There exist nonnegative integers  $q_1, \ldots, q_r$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^r q_i F_i$  is linearly equivalent to a sum of an ample Cartier divisor and an effective Weil divisor.
- (2) There exist positive integers  $q_1, \ldots, q_r$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^r q_i F_i$  is linearly equivalent to a sum of an ample Cartier divisor and an effective Weil divisor.
- (3) We have  $S^{-1}(T(X; F_1, \dots, F_r)) = k(X)[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_r^{\pm 1}].$
- (4) We have  $Q(T(X; F_1, ..., F_r)) = k(X)(t_1, ..., t_r)$ , where Q() denotes the field of fractions.
- (5) We have  $\operatorname{tr.deg}_k T(X; F_1, \dots, F_r) = \dim X + r$ .

Using [1, Theorem 1.5.5], it is easy to see that  $T(X; F_1, \ldots, F_r)$  is Noetherian if and only if  $T(X; F_1, \ldots, F_r)$  is finitely generated (as a ring) over the field  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . Therefore, if  $T(X; F_1, \ldots, F_r)$  is Noetherian, then condition (5) is equivalent to stating that the Krull dimension of  $T(X; F_1, \ldots, F_r)$ is dim X + r.

*Proof.* Here  $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ , and  $(3) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (5)$  are trivial. First we will prove that  $(1) \Rightarrow (3)$ . Suppose that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} q_i F_i \sim D + F,$$

where  $q_i$  are nonnegative integers, D is a very ample Cartier divisor, and F is an effective divisor. We put

(3.1) 
$$C = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus_{(n_1, \dots, n_r) \in \mathbb{N}_0^r} H^0 \Big( X, \mathcal{O}_X \Big( \sum_i n_i F_i + mD \Big) \Big) t_1^{n_1} \cdots t_r^{n_r} t_{r+1}^m \Big) \\ \subset k(X)[t_1, \dots, t_r, t_{r+1}^{\pm 1}].$$

We regard C as a  $\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}$ -graded ring with

$$C_{(n_1,...,n_r,m)} = H^0\Big(X, \mathcal{O}_X\Big(\sum_i n_i F_i + mD\Big)\Big)t_1^{n_1} \cdots t_r^{n_r} t_{r+1}^m.$$

Then, we have

$$T(X; F_1, \dots, F_r) = \bigoplus_{(n_1, \dots, n_r) \in \mathbb{N}_0^r} C_{(n_1, \dots, n_r, 0)},$$

so  $T(X; F_1, \ldots, F_r)$  is a subring of C. Thus,  $S^{-1}C$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}$ -graded ring such that

$$S^{-1}T(X; F_1, \dots, F_r) = \bigoplus_{(n_1, \dots, n_r) \in \mathbb{N}_0^r} (S^{-1}C)_{(n_1, \dots, n_r, 0)}.$$

Since  $\sum_{i=1}^{r} q_i F_i - D$  is linearly equivalent to an effective divisor F, there exists a nonzero element a in

$$H^0\Big(X, \mathcal{O}_X\Big(\sum_i q_i F_i - D\Big)\Big).$$

For any  $0 \neq b \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D))$ ,

$$(at_1^{q_1}\cdots t_r^{q_r}t_{r+1}^{-1})(bt_{r+1})$$

is contained in S. Therefore,  $S^{-1}C$  contains  $(bt_{r+1})^{-1}$ . Hence, k(X) is contained in  $S^{-1}C$ . Since  $k(X) = (S^{-1}C)_{(0,\ldots,0)}$ , k(X) is contained in  $S^{-1}T(X; F_1,\ldots,F_r)$ .

By assumption (1), there exists a positive integer  $\ell$  such that

$$(S^{-1}C)_{(\ell q_1,\dots,\ell q_r,0)} \neq 0$$

and

$$(S^{-1}C)_{(\ell q_1+1,\ell q_2,\dots,\ell q_r,0)} \neq 0.$$

Then, it is easy to see that  $t_1 \in S^{-1}C$ . Therefore,  $S^{-1}C$  contains  $k(X)[t_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, t_r^{\pm 1}]$ . Hence,  $S^{-1}T(X; F_1, \ldots, F_r)$  coincides with  $k(X)[t_1^{\pm}, \ldots, t_r^{\pm}]$ .

Next, we will prove  $(5) \Rightarrow (2)$ . Let D be a very ample divisor. Consider the ring

$$R(X;F_1,\ldots,F_r,D).$$

First, assume that

$$H^0\left(X, \mathcal{O}_X\left(\sum_i u_i F_i - vD\right)\right) \neq 0$$

for some integers  $u_1, \ldots, u_r$ , v such that v > 0. By assumption (5), there exist positive integers  $u'_1, \ldots, u'_r$  such that

$$H^0\left(X, \mathcal{O}_X\left(\sum_i u'_i F_i\right)\right) \neq 0.$$

Therefore, we may assume that there exist positive integers  $u_1, \ldots, u_r$  and v such that

$$H^0\left(X, \mathcal{O}_X\left(\sum_i u_i F_i - vD\right)\right) \neq 0.$$

Here, we have

$$\sum_{i} u_i F_i = vD + \left(\sum_{i} u_i F_i - vD\right).$$

Therefore,  $\sum_{i} u_i F_i$  is the sum of an ample divisor vD and the divisor  $\sum_{i} u_i F_i - vD$ , which is linearly equivalent to an effective divisor.

Next, assume that for any integers  $u_1, \ldots, u_r$  and v,

(3.2) 
$$H^0\left(X, \mathcal{O}_X\left(\sum_i u_i F_i - vD\right)\right) = 0$$

if v > 0. We put

$$P = \bigoplus_{\substack{(n_1, \dots, n_r, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} \\ m > 0}} R(X; F_1, \dots, F_r, D)_{(n_1, \dots, n_r, m)}.$$

By assumption (5), P is a prime ideal of  $R(X; F_1, \ldots, F_r, D)$  of height 1 by Lemma 3.1. (Here, since D is an ample divisor,  $\operatorname{tr.deg}_k R(X; F_1, \ldots, F_r, D) =$  $\dim X + r + 1$ . Note that P is an ideal of  $R(X; F_1, \ldots, F_r, D)$  by (3.2) above. By (5),  $\operatorname{tr.deg}_k R(X; F_1, \ldots, F_r, D)/P = \dim X + r$ .) However,  $R(X; F_1, \ldots, F_r, D)$  has no homogeneous prime ideal of height 1 that contains

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D))t_{r+1}$$

by Theorem 1.2(2). This is a contradiction.

Put  $A = k(X)[t_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, t_s^{\pm 1}]$ , and put  $B = k(X)[t_1, \ldots, t_s]$ . Recall that  $D_1$ ,  $\ldots, D_s$  are Weil divisors on a normal projective variety X such that  $\mathbb{N}D_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{N}D_s$  contains an ample Cartier divisor. We denote  $T(X; D_1, \ldots, D_s)$  and  $R(X; D_1, \ldots, D_s)$  simply by T and R, respectively.

Since

$$T = R \cap B,$$

T is a Krull domain. We have proved Theorem 1.3(1).

By Theorem 1.2(2), we have

$$R = \left(\bigcap_{V \in C^{1}(X)} R_{P_{V}}\right) \cap A,$$
$$A = \bigcap_{P \in \text{NHP}^{1}(R)} R_{P},$$

where  $\text{NHP}^1(R)$  is the set of nonhomogeneous prime ideals of R of height 1.

It is easy to see that  $R_P = T_{P \cap T}$  for  $P \in \text{NHP}^1(R)$ . Therefore, we have

$$A = \bigcap_{P \in \mathrm{NHP}^1(R)} T_{P \cap T}.$$

Since  $T_{P \cap T}$  is a discrete valuation ring,  $P \cap T$  is a nonhomogeneous prime ideal of T of height 1.

148

For  $V \in C^1(X)$ , put  $Q_V = P_V \cap T$ . Then,  $R_{P_V} = T_{Q_V}$ , since  $\sum_i \mathbb{N}D_i$  contains an ample divisor. Therefore,  $Q_V$  is a homogeneous prime ideal of T of height 1.

On the other hand, we have  $Q_i = T \cap t_i B_{(t_i)}$  and  $T_{Q_i} \subset B_{(t_i)}$ . Note that

$$B = A \cap \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{s} B_{(t_j)}\right).$$

Then, we have

$$T = R \cap B$$

$$(3.3) \qquad = \left(\bigcap_{V \in C^{1}(X)} R_{P_{V}}\right) \cap A \cap B$$

$$= \left(\bigcap_{V \in C^{1}(X)} T_{Q_{V}}\right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{P \in \mathrm{NHP}^{1}(R)} T_{P \cap T}\right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{s} B_{(t_{j})}\right).$$

Put

$$T_{j} = \bigoplus_{(n_{1},\dots,n_{j-1},n_{j+1},\dots,n_{s})\in\mathbb{N}_{0}^{s-1}} H^{0}\left(X,\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(\sum_{i\neq j}n_{i}D_{i}\right)\right) t_{1}^{n_{1}}\cdots t_{j-1}^{n_{j-1}}t_{j+1}^{n_{j+1}}\cdots t_{s}^{n_{s}}.$$

We need the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.3. With notation as above, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $T_{Q_j} = B_{(t_j)};$
- (2) the height of  $Q_j$  is 1;
- (3) the height of  $Q_j$  is less than 2; and
- (4)  $j \in U$ , that is, tr.deg<sub>k</sub>  $T_j = d + s 1$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.2, we have Q(T) = Q(B). It is easy to see that  $B_{(t_j)}$  is a discrete valuation ring. Since  $Q_j$  is a nonzero prime ideal of a Krull domain T, the equivalence of (1), (2), and (3) is easy to see.

Here, we will prove  $(1) \Rightarrow (4)$ . Note that  $T/Q_j = T_j$ . Then, we have

$$Q(T_j) = T_{Q_j}/Q_j T_{Q_j} = B_{(t_i)}/(t_i)B_{(t_i)} = k(X)(t_1, \dots, t_{j-1}, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_s).$$

The implication that  $(4) \Rightarrow (3)$  immediately follows from

$$\operatorname{ht}(Q_j) \leq \operatorname{tr.deg}_k T - \operatorname{tr.deg}_k(T_j) = 1.$$

This inequality follows from Lemma 3.1 and from the fact that  $T_j = T/Q_j$ .

By (3.3), Lemma 3.3, and [7, Theorem 12.3], we know that

$$\left\{Q_V \mid V \in C^1(X)\right\} \cup \{Q_j \mid j \in U\}$$

is the set of homogeneous prime ideals of T of height 1, and that

$$\left\{P \cap T \mid P \in \mathrm{NHP}^1(R)\right\}$$

is the set of nonhomogeneous prime ideals of  ${\cal T}$  of height 1. Further, we obtain

$$T = \left(\bigcap_{V \in C^1(X)} T_{Q_V}\right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{P \in \mathrm{NHP}^1(R)} T_{P \cap T}\right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{j \in U} T_{Q_j}\right).$$

The proof of Theorem 1.3(2) is completed.

Let

$$\operatorname{Div}(X) = \bigoplus_{V \in C^1(X)} \mathbb{Z} \cdot V$$

be the set of Weil divisors on X. Let

$$\operatorname{HDiv}(T) = \left(\bigoplus_{V \in C^1(X)} \mathbb{Z} \cdot \operatorname{Spec}(T/Q_V)\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j \in U} \mathbb{Z} \cdot \operatorname{Spec}(T/Q_j)\right)$$

be the set of homogeneous Weil divisors of Spec(T).

Here, we define

$$\phi : \operatorname{Div}(X) \longrightarrow \operatorname{HDiv}(T)$$

by  $\phi(V) = \operatorname{Spec}(T/Q_V)$  for each  $V \in C^1(X)$ . Then, it satisfies the following.

• For each  $a \in k(X)^{\times}$ , we have

$$\phi(\operatorname{div}_X(a)) = \operatorname{div}_T(a) \in \bigoplus_{V \in C^1(X)} \mathbb{Z} \cdot \operatorname{Spec}(T/Q_V) \subset \operatorname{HDiv}(T).$$

• If  $j \in U$ , then

$$\operatorname{div}_T(t_j) = \operatorname{Spec}(T/Q_j) + \phi(D_j).$$

• If  $j \notin U$ , then

$$\operatorname{div}_T(t_j) = \phi(D_j).$$

They are proven essentially in the same way as in [2, pp. 631–632]. Then, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \sum_{j \notin U} \mathbb{Z}\overline{D_j} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Cl}(X) \xrightarrow{q} \operatorname{Cl}(T) \longrightarrow 0$$

such that  $q(\overline{F}) = \overline{\phi(F)}$  in Cl(T). Here, remember that Cl(T) coincides with HDiv(T) divided by the group of homogeneous principal divisors (see, e.g., [9, Proposition 7.1]).

It is easy to see that the class of the Weil divisor  $q(\overline{F})$  corresponds to the isomorphism class of the reflexive module

$$M_F \cap \left(\bigcap_{j \in U} T_{Q_j}\right) = M_F \cap A \cap \left(\bigcap_{j \in U} T_{Q_j}\right)$$
$$= M_F \cap k(X) [t_1, \dots, t_s, \{t_j^{-1} \mid j \notin U\}].$$

The proof of Theorem 1.3(3) is completed.

REMARK 3.4. It is easy to see that

$$t_1^{d_1} \cdots t_s^{d_s} M_{F + \sum_i d_i D_i} = M_F$$

for any integers  $d_1, \ldots, d_s$ . Therefore, we have

$$M_F \cap t_1^{d_1} \cdots t_s^{d_s} k(X) [t_1, \dots, t_s, \{t_j^{-1} \mid j \notin U\}]$$
  
=  $t_1^{d_1} \cdots t_s^{d_s} (M_{F + \sum_i d_i D_i} \cap k(X) [t_1, \dots, t_s, \{t_j^{-1} \mid j \notin U\}]).$ 

Hence,

$$M_F \cap t_1^{d_1} \cdots t_s^{d_s} k(X) \big[ t_1, \dots, t_s, \{ t_j^{-1} \mid j \notin U \} \big]$$

is isomorphic to

(3.4) 
$$M_{F+\sum_{i} d_{i}D_{i}} \cap k(X) \left[ t_{1}, \dots, t_{s}, \{ t_{j}^{-1} \mid j \notin U \} \right]$$

as a *T*-module. Note that this is not an isomorphism as a  $\mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded module. The isomorphism class to which module (3.4) belongs coincides with  $q(\overline{F+\sum_i d_i D_i})$ .

In the rest, we assume that T is Noetherian. We will prove that  $\omega_T$  is isomorphic to

$$M_{K_X} \cap t_1 \cdots t_s k(X) \left[ t_1, \dots, t_s, \{ t_j^{-1} \mid j \notin U \} \right]$$

as a  $\mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded module. (Suppose that it is true. If we forget the grading, it is isomorphic to

$$M_{K_X + \sum_i D_i} \cap k(X) [t_1, \dots, t_s, \{t_j^{-1} \mid j \notin U\}]$$

by Remark 3.4, that is, corresponding to  $q(\overline{K_X + \sum_i D_i})$  in  $\operatorname{Cl}(T)$ . Therefore, we know that  $\omega_T$  is *T*-free if and only if

$$\overline{K_X + \sum_i D_i} \in \sum_{j \notin U} \mathbb{Z}\overline{D_j}$$

in  $\operatorname{Cl}(X)$ .)

Put  $X' = X \setminus \text{Sing}(X)$ . We choose positive integers  $a_1, \ldots, a_s$  and sections  $f_1, \ldots, f_t \in H^0(X, \sum_i a_i D_i)$  such that

- $\sum_{i} a_i D_i$  is an ample Cartier divisor,
- $X' = \bigcup_k D_+(f_k)$ , and
- all of the  $D_i$  are principal Cartier divisors on  $D_+(f_k)$  for  $k = 1, \ldots, t$ .

Put  $W = \{\underline{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s \mid n_i \ge 0 \text{ if } i \in U\}$ . Put  $D'_i = D_i|_{X'}$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, s$ . Consider the morphism

$$Y = \operatorname{Spec}_{X'} \left( \bigoplus_{\underline{n} \in W} \mathcal{O}_{X'} \left( \sum_{i} n_i D'_i \right) t_1^{n_1} \cdots t_s^{n_s} \right) \xrightarrow{\pi} X'.$$

Further, we have the natural map

$$\xi: Y \longrightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(T).$$

The group  $\mathbb{G}_m^s$  naturally acts on  $\operatorname{Spec}(T)$  and Y and trivially acts on X'. Both  $\pi$  and  $\xi$  are equivariant morphisms.

CLAIM 3.5. There exists an equivariant open subscheme Z of both Y and Spec(T) such that

- the codimension of  $Y \setminus Z$  in Y is greater than or equal to 2, and
- the codimension of  $\operatorname{Spec}(T) \setminus Z$  in  $\operatorname{Spec}(T)$  is greater than or equal to 2.

*Proof.* For  $u \in U$ , there exist integers  $c_{1u}, \ldots, c_{su}$  such that

- $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\sum_i c_{iu}D_i)) \neq 0,$
- $c_{uu} = -a_u$ , and
- $c_{iu} > 0$  if  $i \neq u$ .

In fact, if  $u \in U$ , there exist positive integers  $q_1, \ldots, q_{u-1}, q_{u+1}, \ldots, q_s$  such that

$$\sum_{i \neq u} q_i D_i$$

is a sum of an ample divisor D and a Weil divisor F, which is linearly equivalent to an effective divisor by Lemma 3.2. Then,

$$H^0\left(X, \mathcal{O}_X\left(q\left(\sum_{i\neq u} q_i D_i\right) - a_u D_u\right)\right) = H^0\left(X, \mathcal{O}_X\left(q(D+F) - a_u D_u\right)\right) \neq 0$$

for  $q \gg 0$ .

For each  $u \in U$ , we set

$$(b_{1u},\ldots,b_{su}) = (c_{1u},\ldots,c_{su}) + (a_1,\ldots,a_s).$$

Here, note that  $b_{uu} = 0$  and  $b_{iu} > 0$  if  $i \neq u$ .

We choose

$$0 \neq g_u \in H^0\left(X, \mathcal{O}_X\left(\sum_i c_{iu} D_i\right)\right)$$

for each  $u \in U$ .

Consider the closed set of  $\operatorname{Spec}(T)$  defined by the ideal J generated by

$$\{f_k t_1^{a_1} \cdots t_s^{a_s} \mid k = 1, \dots, t\}$$

and

$$\{g_u f_k t_1^{b_{1u}} \cdots t_s^{b_{su}} \mid k = 1, \dots, t; u \in U\}.$$

By Theorem 1.3(2), we know that the height of J is greater than or equal to 2 since there is no prime ideal of T of height 1 which contains J.

We choose  $d_{ki} \in k(X)^{\times}$  satisfying

$$H^0(D_+(f_k),\mathcal{O}_X(D_i)) = d_{ki}H^0(D_+(f_k),\mathcal{O}_X)$$

for each k and i. Then

(3.5) 
$$Y = \bigcup_{k=1}^{t} \pi^{-1} (D_+(f_k))$$
 and  $\pi^{-1} (D_+(f_k)) = \operatorname{Spec}(C_k),$ 

where

$$C_{k} = H^{0}(D_{+}(f_{k}), \mathcal{O}_{X})[d_{k1}t_{1}, \dots, d_{ks}t_{s}, \{(d_{kj}t_{j})^{-1} \mid j \notin U\}].$$

We put

$$Z = \operatorname{Spec}(T) \setminus V(J).$$

Then we have

(3.6)  
$$Z = \bigcup_{k=1}^{t} \left[ \operatorname{Spec} \left( T \left[ (f_k t_1^{a_1} \cdots t_s^{a_s})^{-1} \right] \right) \\ \cup \left\{ \bigcup_{u \in U} \operatorname{Spec} \left( T \left[ (g_u f_k t_1^{b_{1u}} \cdots t_s^{b_{su}})^{-1} \right] \right) \right\} \right].$$

Here, we have

(3.7) 
$$T\left[(f_k t_1^{a_1} \cdots t_s^{a_s})^{-1}\right] = H^0\left(D_+(f_k), \mathcal{O}_X\right)\left[(d_{k_1} t_1)^{\pm 1}, \dots, (d_{k_s} t_s)^{\pm 1}\right]$$
$$= C_k\left[\left(\prod_{j \in U} (d_{k_j} t_j)\right)^{-1}\right].$$

On the other hand,

$$T[(g_u f_k t_1^{b_{1u}} \cdots t_s^{b_{su}})^{-1}]$$

$$= \bigoplus_{(\underline{n}) \in \mathbb{Z}^s} T[(g_u f_k t_1^{b_{1u}} \cdots t_s^{b_{su}})^{-1}]_{(n_1, \dots, n_s)}$$

$$= \bigoplus_{(\underline{n}) \in \mathbb{Z}^s} R[(g_u f_k t_1^{b_{1u}} \cdots t_s^{b_{su}})^{-1}]_{(n_1, \dots, n_s)}$$

$$= \bigoplus_{(\underline{n}) \in \mathbb{Z}^s \atop n_u \ge 0} R[(f_k t_1^{a_1} \cdots t_s^{a_s})^{-1}, (g_u f_k t_1^{b_{1u}} \cdots t_s^{b_{su}})^{-1}]_{(n_1, \dots, n_s)}$$

$$= C_k [\{(d_{kj} t_j)^{-1} \mid j \neq u\}, (g_u f_k t_1^{b_{1u}} \cdots t_s^{b_{su}})^{-1}].$$

Let  $\beta_{ku}$  be an element in  $H^0(D_+(f_k), \mathcal{O}_X)$  such that

$$g_u f_k t_1^{b_{1u}} \cdots t_s^{b_{su}} = \beta_{ku} (d_{k1} t_1)^{b_{1u}} \cdots (d_{ks} t_s)^{b_{su}}$$

for  $k = 1, \ldots, t$  and  $u \in U$ . Then,

(3.8)  

$$C_{k} \Big[ \{ (d_{kj}t_{j})^{-1} \mid j \neq u \}, (g_{u}f_{k}t_{1}^{b_{1u}}\cdots t_{s}^{b_{su}})^{-1} \Big] \\
= C_{k} \Big[ \Big( \beta_{ku} \prod_{\substack{j \in U \\ j \neq u}} (d_{kj}t_{j}) \Big)^{-1} \Big].$$

By (3.5), (3.6), (3.7), and (3.8), we know that Z is an open subscheme of Y. The ideal of  $C_k$  generated by

$$\prod_{j \in U} (d_{kj}t_j) \quad \text{and} \quad \left\{ \beta_{ku} \prod_{\substack{j \in U \\ j \neq u}} (d_{kj}t_j) \mid u \in U \right\}$$

is the unit ideal or of height 2. (If  $U = \emptyset$ , then Z = Y by the construction. If  $U = \{u\}$  and if  $\beta_{ku}$  is a unit element, then this ideal is the unit. In other cases, this ideal is of height 2.) Therefore, the codimension of  $Y \setminus Z$  in Y is greater than or equal to two.

We can define the graded canonical module as in [5, Definition 3.1] using the theory of the equivariant twisted inverse functor (see [4]).

By Claim 3.5 above and [5, Remark 3.2], we have  $\omega_T = H^0(Y, \omega_Y)$ . On the other hand, we have

$$\omega_Y = \bigwedge^s \Omega_{Y/X'} \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{X'}(K_{X'})$$
  
=  $\pi^* \mathcal{O}_{X'} \Big( \sum_i D'_i \Big) (-1, \dots, -1) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{X'}(K_{X'})$   
=  $\pi^* \mathcal{O}_{X'} \Big( \sum_i D'_i + K_{X'} \Big) (-1, \dots, -1),$ 

where  $(-1, \ldots, -1)$  denotes the shift of degree (see [4, Theorem 28.11]).

Then, we have

$$H^{0}(Y,\omega_{Y}) = H^{0}\Big(X', \pi_{*}\pi^{*}\mathcal{O}_{X'}\Big(\sum_{i} D'_{i} + K_{X'}\Big)(-1, \dots, -1)\Big).$$

By the projection formula (see [4, Lemma 26.4]),

$$\pi_* \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{X'} \left( \sum_i D'_i + K_{X'} \right) (-1, \dots, -1)$$
  
=  $\left( \mathcal{O}_{X'} \left( \sum_i D'_i + K_{X'} \right) \otimes \pi_* \mathcal{O}_Y \right) (-1, \dots, -1)$   
=  $\left( \mathcal{O}_{X'} \left( \sum_i D'_i + K_{X'} \right) \otimes \left[ \bigoplus_{\underline{n} \in W} \mathcal{O}_{X'} \left( \sum_i n_i D'_i \right) \right] \right) (-1, \dots, -1)$ 

$$= \left(\bigoplus_{\underline{n}\in W} \mathcal{O}_{X'}\left(\sum_{i} (n_i+1)D'_i + K_{X'}\right)\right)(-1,\ldots,-1)$$
$$= \bigoplus_{\underline{n}\in W+(1,\ldots,1)} \mathcal{O}_{X'}\left(\sum_{i} n_i D'_i + K_{X'}\right).$$

Therefore, we have

$$H^{0}(Y,\omega_{Y}) = H^{0}\left(X', \bigoplus_{\underline{n}\in W+(1,\ldots,1)} \mathcal{O}_{X'}\left(\sum_{i} n_{i}D'_{i} + K_{X'}\right)\right)$$
$$= \bigoplus_{\underline{n}\in W+(1,\ldots,1)} H^{0}\left(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}\left(\sum_{i} n_{i}D'_{i} + K_{X'}\right)\right)$$
$$= \bigoplus_{\underline{n}\in W+(1,\ldots,1)} H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(\sum_{i} n_{i}D_{i} + K_{X}\right)\right)$$
$$= M_{K_{X}} \cap t_{1} \cdots t_{s}k(X)\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s}, \{t_{j}^{-1} \mid j \notin U\}\right].$$

We have completed the proof of Theorem 1.3.

#### References

- W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. 39, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993. MR 1251956.
- [2] E. J. Elizondo, K. Kurano, and K.-i. Watanabe, The total coordinate ring of a normal projective variety, J. Algebra 276 (2004), 625–637. MR 2058459. DOI 10.1016/j. jalgebra.2003.07.007.
- [3] S. Goto, K. Nishida, and Y. Shimoda, The Gorensteinness of symbolic Rees algebras for space curves, J. Math. Soc. Japan 43 (1991), 465–481. MR 1111598. DOI 10. 2969/jmsj/04330465.
- [4] M. Hashimoto, "Equivariant twisted inverses" in Foundations of Grothendieck Duality for Diagrams of Schemes, Lecture Notes in Math. 1960, Springer, Berlin, 2009, 261–478. MR 2490558. DOI 10.1007/978-3-540-85420-3.
- [5] M. Hashimoto and K. Kurano, *The canonical module of a Cox ring*, Kyoto J. Math.
   51 (2011), 855–874. MR 2854155. DOI 10.1215/21562261-1424884.
- [6] Y. Hu and S. Keel, Mori dream spaces and GIT, Michigan Math. J. 48 (2000), 331–348. MR 1786494. DOI 10.1307/mmj/1030132722.
- [7] H. Matsumura, Commutative Ring Theory, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. 8, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986. MR 0879273.
- [8] S. Mori, On affine cones associated with polarized varieties, Jpn. J. Math. (N.S.) 1 (1975), 301–309. MR 0439859.
- [9] P. Samuel, Lectures on unique factorization domains, Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math. 30, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1964. MR 0214579.
- Y. Shimoda, The class group of the Rees algebras over polynomial rings, Tokyo J. Math. 2 (1979), 129–132. MR 0541902. DOI 10.3836/tjm/1270473564.

- [11] A. Simis and N. V. Trung, The divisor class group of ordinary and symbolic blow-ups, Math. Z. 198 (1988), 479–491. MR 0950579. DOI 10.1007/BF01162869.
- [12] K.-i. Watanabe, Some remarks concerning Demazure's construction of normal graded rings, Nagoya Math. J. 83 (1981), 203–211. MR 0632654.

Department of Mathematics School of Science and Technology Meiji University Kawasaki 214-8571 Japan

kurano@isc.meiji.ac.jp