Does sub-Saharan Africa truly defy the forecasts of the COVID-19 pandemic? Response from population data — **Source link** ⬈

Fokoua Maxime Christophe Dongmo, Amor Ndjabo Monique, Ankobil Amandus, Kiyung Victor Momah ...+2 more authors

Related papers:

- The toll of COVID-19 on African children: A descriptive analysis on the COVID-19-related morbidity and mortality among the pediatric population in Sub-Saharan Africa

- A Data Driven Analysis on Growth Factor Of COVID-19 and Its Correlation with Malaria Cases in India

- Continent-Wide Analysis of COVID 19: Total Cases, Deaths, Tests, Socio-Economic, and Morbidity Factors Associated to the Mortality Rate, and Forecasting Analysis in 2020–2021

- Estimation of Tunisia COVID-19 infected cases based on mortality rate

- Number of International Arrivals Predicts Severity of the first Global Wave of the COVID-19 Pandemic

Does sub-Saharan Africa truly defy the forecasts of the COVID-19 pandemic? Response from population data

Dongmo Christophe Fokoua-Maxime[1,2], Monique Amor-Ndjabo[3], Amandus Ankobil[1,2,4], Momah Victor-Kiyung[3], Steve Franck-Metomb[5], Simeon Pierre Choukem[6,7,8]

[1]University of New York State – University at Albany School of Public Health, Albany, USA

[2]New York State Department of Health, Albany, USA

[3]School of Health Sciences, Catholic University of Central Africa, Yaoundé, Cameroon

[4]University of Cape Coast, Cape Coast, Ghana

[5]COVID-19 Response Task Force, Regional Delegation of Public Health for the Center Region, Ministry of Public Health, Yaoundé, Cameroon

[6]Department of Internal Medicine and Specialties, Faculty of Medicine and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Dschang, Dschang, Cameroon

[7]The University of Dschang Taskforce for the Elimination of COVID-19 (UNITED#COVID-19), Dschang, Cameroon

[8]Health and Human Development (2HD) Research Network, Douala, Cameroon

Address correspondence to:

Dr. Christophe Maxime Fokoua Dongmo
University of New York State - University at Albany School of Public Health
New York State Department of Health
Albany, NY, USA
Phone: (518) 253-3204
E-mail: fokouamaxime@yahoo.fr

Abstract

Introduction. Since its identification, the COVID-19 infection has caused substantial mortality and morbidity worldwide, but sub-Saharan Africa seems to defy the predictions. We aimed to verify this hypothesis using strong statistical methods.

Methods. We conducted a cross-sectional study comparing the projected and actual numbers as well as population proportions of COVID-19 cases in the 46 sub-Saharan African countries on May 1st, May 29th (4 weeks later) and June 26th (8 weeks later). The source of the projected number of cases was a publication by scientists from the Center for Mathematical Modeling of Infectious Diseases of the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, whereas the actual number of cases was obtained from the WHO situation reports. We calculated the percentage difference between the projected and actual numbers of cases per country. Further, "N-1" chi-square tests with Bonferroni correction were used to compare the projected and actual population proportion of COVID-19 cases, along with the 95% confidence interval of the difference between these population proportions. All statistical tests were 2-sided, with 0.05 used as threshold for statistical significance.

Results. On May 1st, May 29th and June 26th, respectively 40 (86.95%), 45 (97.82%) and 41 (89.13%) of the sub-Saharan African countries reported a number of confirmed cases that was lower than the predicted number of 1000 cases for May 1st and 10000 for both May 29th and June 26th. At these dates, the population proportions of confirmed Covid-19 cases were significantly lower (p-value <0.05) than the projected proportions of cases. Across all these dates, South-Africa always exceeded the predicted number and population proportion of COVID-19 infections.

Conclusion. Sub-Saharan African countries did defy the dire predictions of the COVID-19 burden. Preventive measures should be further enforced to preserve this positive outcome.

Key words: COVID-19, coronavirus, sub-Saharan Africa, predictions/forecasts, comparison

Introduction

On December 12th, 2019, an epidemic caused by the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) emerged in Wuhan, China [1]. The epidemic developed rapidly and spread to many countries. On March 11th, 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) declared the COVID-19 outbreak a pandemic [2].

The first case of COVID-19 on the African continent was detected in Egypt on February 12th, 2020 [3,4]. Since then, the pandemic has substantially progressed and as of July 4th, 2020, African countries reported a total of 342 415 confirmed cases and 6 628 deaths [5]. The pandemic did catch the continent off guard and has since obliged political leaders to take important decisions constantly. The rapid progression of the sanitary crisis constrains those stakeholders to make these decisions based on information of the highest accuracy possible, with regards to the number of lives at stake. Since history is the best teacher, historic data are usually utilized as reference for decision making purposes. However, COVID-19 is a novel disease and thus no historic data exist on the pandemic. Therefore, to raise alarms and build public health strategies, public health officials can only rely on predictive models. These models are informed by the existing knowledge about population features and habits as well as the growing evidence on the COVID-19 infection.

Sub-Saharan African populations are very dense and one of the key characteristics of their social life is the presence of quasi-constant human contacts (handshakes, hugs, accolades), which make it very difficult to apply social distancing. Furthermore, potable water is not readily available, and poverty prevents most of these populations from affording either the face masks or the materials necessary to implement and enforce ideal hygiene sanitation measures. Finally, a great percentage of sub-Saharan African subjects rely on a daily income to sustain a living; as such, it is not possible to maintain confinement measures for long periods of time. Based on these facts, dire predictions were made about the evolution of the COVID-19 infection in Africa. A statistical model built by Pearson et al. (2020), from the Center for Mathematical Modeling of Infectious Diseases of the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine (LSHTM) predicted that most African countries would report 1000 cases by May 1st and 10,000 cases few weeks later [6].

The World Health Organization alerted on April 17th, 2020 that Africa would become the next epicenter of the COVID-19 pandemic [7,8]. As the pandemic has evolved, several press releases have highlighted that sub-Saharan African countries seemed to have defied the odds of such predictive models [9–11]. Although seemingly positive, such news have left most researchers dissatisfied because there has not been any scientific appraisal of the difference between these predictions and the actual data. In effect, to the best of our knowledge, there exists no quantitative assessment of the difference between the actual and projected number of COVID-19 cases in sub-Saharan Africa. Further, no studies have attempted to determine if there is a statistically significant difference between the projected and actual prevalence of the COVID-19 infection and explain any pattern. Our research therefore aimed to use the predictions made by Pearson et al. (2020), to (1) determine the percent difference between the actual and projected number of COVID-19 cases in sub-Saharan African countries at the indicated dates, (2) assess if there is a statistically significant difference between the projected and actual population proportion of COVID-19 at these dates and (3) discuss any pattern.

Methods

Data sources

We conducted a cross-sectional study analyzing the projected and actual number as well as population proportion of COVID-19 cases in the 46 countries of sub-Saharan Africa. The date of May 1st was the date indicated by Pearson et al. (2020), [6] to describe the day at which, on average, most African countries were projected to have reached 1000 cases. They further stated that most African countries would have reached 10000 cases "couple of weeks" after May 1st. Because the timeline to reach the 10000 cases was not specifically indicated, we choose to make comparisons with the actual number of confirmed cases reported on May 29th (4 weeks after May 1st) and June 26th (8 weeks after May 1st).

Pearson et al. (2020), obtained these predictions from robust statistical models built around established epidemiologic parameters like the average number of additional cases that each case produces [12] and the average time between the onset of a case and the onset of a subsequent case infected by that case [13]. The starting date for these projections was the date when each country had 25 confirmed cases reported in the World Health Organization Situation Reports (SITREPs); for countries who had not reached this number by March 24th, the projections were made from March 25th.

To perform the comparisons, we extracted data on the actual number of confirmed COVID-19 cases from the SITREPs of May 1st [14], May 29th [15] and June 26th [16]. The 2019 total population estimates were obtained from the United Nations World Population Prospects 2019 [17].

Our study was exempt from Institutional Review Board approval since we used data already collected.

Data Analysis

We first determined the percentage difference between the projected and actual number of COVID-19 cases by country on May 1st, May 29th and June 26th. To do so, we calculated the difference between the actual and projected number of cases, divided it by the projected number of cases and converted the results to percentages. Further, we computed the projected and actual population proportion of COVID-19 infection by country on May 1st, May 29th and June 26th; we did it by dividing the total number of confirmed cases reported in the SITREPs by the total population count of each country indicated the United Nations World Population Prospects 2019. Thereafter, we compared the values of the projected and actual proportions of COVID-19 cases using the two-sided "N-1" Chi-squared test as recommended by Campbell [18] and Richardson [19]. Further, we performed Bonferroni correction to reduce the risk of type 1 error while performing multiple statistical tests [20]. The 95% confidence interval of this difference was estimated following the recommended method given by Altman et al. (19). The value 0.05 was used as threshold of statistical significance for all the statistical tests performed. All the analyses were done in the statistical software R version 3.6.1.

Results

On May 1st, May 29th and June 26th, respectively 40 (86.95%), 45 (97.82%) and 41 (89.13%) sub-Saharan African countries had a number of confirmed cases that was below the predicted number of 1000 cases for May 1st, and 10000 for both May 29th and June 26th (table 1).

the population proportions of confirmed Covid-19 cases were significantly lower (p-value <0.05) than the projected proportions of cases. (table 2).

On May 1st and May 29th, all the confidence intervals of the difference between the projected and actual proportions of cases excluded 0. On June 26th, 41 (75.92%) of the confidence intervals of the difference between these proportions excluded 0.

Across all these dates, South-Africa always exceeded the predicted number and population proportion of Covid-19 infections.

Table 1. Percentage difference between the projected and actual number of COVID-19 cases in sub-Saharan African countries on May 1st, May 29th, and June 26th

Country	May 1st			May 29th			June 26th		
	Projected	Actual	Percent difference	Projected	Actual	Percent difference	Projected	Actual	Percent difference
Angola	1000	27	-97.3	10000	73	-99.27	10000	212	-97.88
Benin	1000	84	-91.6	10000	218	-97.82	10000	10147	+1.47*
Botswana	1000	23	-97.7	10000	35	-99.65	10000	125	-98.75
Burkina Faso	1000	645	-35.5	10000	847	-91.53	10000	934	-90.66
Burundi	1000	15	-98.5	10000	42	-99.58	10000	144	-98.56
Cabo Verde	1000	121	-87.9	10000	390	-96.1	10000	1003	-89.97
Cameroon	1000	1832	+83.2*	10000	5436	-45.64	10000	12592	+25.92*
CAR	1000	64	-93.6	10000	755	-92.45	10000	3244	-67.56
Chad	1000	73	-92.7	10000	726	-92.74	10000	863	-91.37
Comoros	1000	1	-99.9	10000	43	-99.57	10000	272	-97.28
Congo	1000	220	-78	10000	571	-94.29	10000	1204	-87.96
Côte d'Ivoire	1000	1275	+27.5*	10000	2641	-73.59	10000	8334	-16.66
DRC	1000	572	-42.8	10000	2832	-71.68	10000	6410	-35.9
Equatorial Guinea	1000	315	-68.5	10000	1043	-89.57	10000	1043	-89.57
Eritrea	1000	39	-96.1	10000	39	-99.61	10000	144	-98.56
Eswatini	1000	100	-90	10000	279	-97.21	10000	706	-92.94
Ethiopia	1000	131	-86.9	10000	831	-91.69	10000	5175	-48.25
Gabon	1000	276	-72.4	10000	2431	-75.69	10000	5087	-49.13
Gambia	1000	12	-98.8	10000	25	-99.75	10000	43	-99.57
Ghana	1000	2074	+107.4*	10000	7303	-26.97	10000	15473	+54.73*
Guinea	1000	1495	+49.5*	10000	3553	-64.47	10000	5211	-47.89
Guinea-Bissau	1000	201	-79.9	10000	1195	-88.05	10000	1556	-84.44
Kenya	1000	396	-60.4	10000	1618	-83.82	10000	5384	-46.16

Lesotho	1000	0	-100	10000	2	-99.98	10000	20	-99.8
Liberia	1000	141	-85.9	10000	269	-97.31	10000	681	-93.19
Madagascar	1000	132	-86.8	10000	656	-93.44	10000	1829	-81.71
Malawi	1000	37	-96.3	10000	203	-97.97	10000	960	-90.4
Mali	1000	490	-51	10000	1194	-88.06	10000	2039	-79.61
Mauritania	1000	8	-99.2	10000	346	-96.54	10000	3519	-64.81
Mauritius	1000	332	-66.8	10000	334	-96.66	10000	341	-96.59
Mozambique	1000	76	-92.4	10000	233	-97.67	10000	788	-92.12
Namibia	1000	16	-98.4	10000	22	-99.78	10000	102	-98.98
Niger	1000	719	-28.1	10000	955	-90.45	10000	1059	-89.41
Nigeria	1000	1932	+93.2*	10000	8915	-10.85	10000	22614	+126.14*
Rwanda	1000	243	-75.7	10000	349	-96.51	10000	850	-91.5
ST & P	1000	16	-98.4	10000	295	-97.05	10000	392	-96.08
Senegal	1000	933	-6.7	10000	3348	-66.52	10000	6233	-37.67
Seychelles	1000	11	-98.9	10000	11	-99.89	10000	16	-99.84
Sierra Leone	1000	124	-87.6	10000	812	-91.88	10000	1381	-86.19
South Africa	1000	5647	+464.7*	10000	27403	+174.03*	10000	118375	+1083.75*
South Sudan	1000	35	-96.5	10000	994	-90.06	10000	1942	-80.58
Togo	1000	116	-88.4	10000	422	-95.78	10000	588	-94.12
Uganda	1000	81	-91.9	10000	410	-95.9	10000	821	-91.79
URT	1000	480	-52	10000	509	-94.91	10000	509	-94.91
Zambia	1000	106	-89.4	10000	1057	-89.43	10000	1497	-85.03
Zimbabwe	1000	32	-96.8	10000	132	-98.68	10000	551	-94.49

*Percent difference greater than 1
**Central African Republic
***Democratic Republic of Congo
****Sao Tome and Principe
*****United Republic of Tanzania

Table 2. P-value of the N-1 chi-square test comparing the projected and actual proportions of COVID-19 infection in African countries on May 1st, May 29th, and June 26th, along with the 95% confidence interval of the difference between these proportions

Countries	May 1st		May 29th		June 26th	
	p-value	95% CI	p-value	95% CI	p-value	95% CI
Angola	<0.0001	-0.00298 to -0.00294	< 0.0001	-0.03026 to -0.03015	< 0.0001	-0.02984 to 0.03113**
Benin	<0.0001	-0.00761 to -0.00750	< 0.0001	-0.08085 to -0.08053	< 0.0001	0.00099 to 0.16641
Botswana	<0.0001	-0.04180 to -0.04128	< 0.0001	-0.42432 to -0.42305	< 0.0001	-0.42049 to 0.43112**
Burkina Faso	<0.0001	-0.00174 to -0.00166	< 0.0001	-0.04388 to -0.04369	< 0.0001	-0.04347 to 0.05240**
Burundi	<0.0001	-0.00834 to -0.00823	< 0.0001	-0.08390 to -0.08359	< 0.0001	-0.08305 to 0.08547**
Cabo Verde	<0.0001	-0.15917 to -0.15701	< 0.0001	-0.016931 to -0.017643	< 0.0001	-0.015817 to -0.016550
Cameroon	<0.0001	0.00310 to 0.00317	< 0.0001	-0.01728 to -0.01710	< 0.0001	0.00966 to 0.08521
CAR***	<0.0001	-0.01951 to -0.01925	< 0.0001	-0.19179 to -0.19103	< 0.0001	-0.14030 to 0.27463**
Chad	<0.0001	-0.00568 to -0.00560	< 0.0001	-0.05658 to -0.05634	< 0.0001	-0.05575 to 0.06625**
Comoros	<0.0001	-0.11550 to -0.11416	< 0.0001	-0.01122 to -0.01167	< 0.0001	-0.01095 to -0.01141
Congo	<0.0001	-0.01426 to -0.01401	< 0.0001	-0.17121 to -0.17054	< 0.0001	-0.15975 to 0.20339**
Côte d'Ivoire	<0.0001	0.00101 to 0.00108	< 0.0001	-0.02798 to -0.02782	< 0.0001	-0.00641 to 0.06960**
DRC****	<0.0001	-0.00049 to -0.00047	< 0.0001	-0.00803 to -0.00798	< 0.0001	-0.00404 to 0.01835**
Equatorial Guinea	<0.0001	-0.04932 to -0.04833	< 0.0001	-0.63928 to -0.63755	< 0.0001	-0.63928 to 0.78796**
Eritrea	<0.0001	-0.02728 to -0.02693	< 0.0001	-0.28138 to -0.28044	< 0.0001	-0.27842 to 0.28654**
Eswatini	<0.0001	-0.07812 to -0.07705	< 0.0001	-0.83870 to -0.83733	< 0.0001	-0.80197 to 0.92369**
Ethiopia	<0.0001	-0.00076 to -0.00075	< 0.0001	-0.00799 to -0.00796	< 0.0001	-0.00422 to 0.01322**
Gabon	<0.0001	-0.03283 to -0.03222	< 0.0001	-0.34080 to -0.33926	< 0.0001	-0.22156 to 0.67862**
Gambia	<0.0001	-0.04113 to -0.04062	< 0.0001	-0.41332 to -0.41208	< 0.0001	-0.41258 to 0.41614**
Ghana	<0.0001	0.00342 to 0.00349	< 0.0001	-0.00876 to -0.00860	< 0.0001	0.01751 to 0.08208
Guinea	<0.0001	0.00369 to 0.00384	< 0.0001	-0.04926 to -0.04892	< 0.0001	-0.03664 to 0.11600**
Guinea-Bissau	<0.0001	-0.04094 to -0.04026	< 0.0001	-0.44818 to -0.44663	< 0.0001	-0.42986 to 0.58799**
Kenya	<0.0001	-0.00114 to -0.00111	< 0.0001	-0.01563 to -0.01555	< 0.0001	-0.00863 to 0.02866**

Lesotho	<0.0001	-0.04697 to -0.04640	< 0.0001	-0.46743 to -0.46609	< 0.0001	-0.46659 to 0.46846**
Liberia	<0.0001	-0.01711 to -0.01685	< 0.0001	-0.19274 to -0.19204	< 0.0001	-0.18460 to 0.21153**
Madagascar	<0.0001	-0.00316 to -0.00311	< 0.0001	-0.03382 to -0.03367	< 0.0001	-0.02958 to 0.04279**
Malawi	<0.0001	-0.00507 to -0.00500	< 0.0001	-0.05131 to -0.05111	< 0.0001	-0.04736 to 0.05740**
Mali	<0.0001	-0.00256 to -0.00248	< 0.0001	-0.04358 to -0.04338	< 0.0001	-0.03942 to 0.05955**
Mauritania	<0.0001	-0.02147 to -0.02120	< 0.0001	-0.20799 to -0.20723	< 0.0001	-0.13982 to 0.29118**
Mauritius	<0.0001	-0.05306 to -0.05197	< 0.0001	-0.76067 to -0.75914	< 0.0001	-0.76012 to 0.81374**
Mozambique	<0.0001	-0.00298 to -0.00294	< 0.0001	-0.03131 to -0.03119	< 0.0001	-0.02954 to 0.03458**
Namibia	<0.0001	-0.03897 to -0.03848	< 0.0001	-0.39328 to -0.39208	< 0.0001	-0.39014 to 0.39817**
Niger	<0.0001	-0.00119 to -0.00113	< 0.0001	-0.03745 to -0.03728	< 0.0001	-0.03702 to 0.04577**
Nigeria	<0.0001	0.00045 to 0.00046	< 0.0001	-0.00054 to -0.00051	< 0.0001	0.00610 to 0.01584
Rwanda	<0.0001	-0.00590 to -0.00579	< 0.0001	-0.07466 to -0.07437	< 0.0001	-0.07080 to 0.08392**
ST and P*****	<0.0001	-0.45143 to -0.44720	< 0.0001	-0.04343 to -0.04520	< 0.0001	-0.04298% to -0.04477
Senegal	<0.0001	-0.00045 to -0.00035	< 0.0001	-0.03986 to -0.03960	< 0.0001	-0.02264 to 0.09709**
Seychelles	<0.0001	0.00947 to 0.01074	< 0.0001	0.01000 to 0.01038	< 0.0001	0.09999 to 0.01037
Sierra Leone	<0.0001	-0.01106 to -0.01090	< 0.0001	-0.11542 to -0.11494	< 0.0001	-0.10830 to 0.14292**
South Africa	<0.0001	0.00781 to 0.00786	< 0.0001	0.02928 to 0.02941	< 0.0001	0.18262 to 0.21656
South Sudan	<0.0001	-0.00868 to -0.00856	< 0.0001	-0.08063 to -0.08028	< 0.0001	-0.07217 to 0.10687**
Togo	<0.0001	-0.01076 to -0.01060	< 0.0001	-0.11592 to -0.11546	< 0.0001	-0.11391 to 0.12812**
Uganda	0.17722*	-0.00202 to -0.00200	< 0.0001	-0.02101 to -0.02092	< 0.0001	-0.02011 to 0.02370**
URT******	<0.0001	-0.00088 to -0.00086	< 0.0001	-0.01592 to -0.01586	< 0.0001	-0.01592 to 0.01763**
Zambia	<0.0001	-0.00490 to -0.00483	< 0.0001	-0.04875 to -0.04854	< 0.0001	-0.04636 to 0.06265**

*P-value greater than 0.05
**95% Confidence interval containing 0
***Central African Republic
****Democratic Republic of Congo
*****Sao Tome and Principe
******United Republic of Tanzania

Discussion

This cross-sectional study comparing the projected and actual number of COVID-19 cases in the 46 sub-Saharan African countries found that on the dates specified, most countries did not meet the predictions in terms of number of COVID-19 cases. These results might be explained by the prompt response of African leaders, the distribution of COVID-19 high risk groups in sub-Saharan African countries, the disagreement between the statistical model parameters (or assumptions) and the reality, and the low testing and reporting capacity of sub-Saharan African countries.

From its first description [1], the COVID-19 infection is characterized by a rapid spreading ability. Human hosts of the virus are major carriers that can favor its transmission if they move from place to place. Therefore, the reduction of imported cases was one of the first important preventive measures against the spread of the COVID-19 infection. African leaders were quick to react; on March 11th 2020 the WHO declared the COVID-19 outbreak a global pandemic [2], and as of March 15th, most African countries had closed their borders until further notice [21,22]. Thus, notwithstanding the harsh socioeconomic consequences, these results justify the positive impact of border closures towards limiting the spread of COVID-19. African public health authorities were also quick to implement and enforce hygiene sanitation measures. Finally, quarantine measures were imposed for several weeks in most countries [23,24].

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) identified persons at higher risk of COVID-19 infection as those of older age (above 65years) and/or those with severe medical conditions like chronic kidney disease (CKD), obesity, and immunocompromised states especially chronic stress/anxiety, type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), and HIV/AIDS [25].

The higher risk associated with older age is related to the weakening of the immune system that occurs with aging [26,27]. Furthermore, children have been found to be at lower risk and to present milder symptoms of COVID-19 infection [28–30]. According to the United Nations Population Report [17], in 2019 there were 45 526 000 people aged 65 and older in Africa, which represents 3.5% of the total population. This is quite low compared to the United States (US) and Europe where they represent 16% and 18.8 % of the total population respectively [17]. Furthermore, the African population aged 0 to 14 years makes 40.55% of the inhabitants of the continent. Thus, the natural composition of the sub-Saharan African population might explain part of its resilience to the COVID-19.

The higher risk associated with CKD, obesity, and immunocompromised states that are chronic stress/anxiety, T2DM and HIV/AIDS is also linked to the weakening of the immune system that characterizes these pathologies [31–35]. Despite a relatively higher burden of HIV/AIDS [36] and CKD [37], the African population hosts lower proportions of individuals with chronic stress/anxiety [38], obesity [39] and T2DM [40] as compared to the US and Europe. Obesity is a risk factor for several pathologies [39] which in turn are also favoring conditions for the development of the COVID-19 infection; such a synergistic effect between obesity and these pathologies might account for part of the higher COVID-19 burden seen in the US and Europe as compared to Africa.

The difference observed between the projections and actual figures of the COVID-19 burden in Africa might also be explained by the fact that the epidemic parameters and /or assumptions used in the predictive model did not match with the reality on the ground. The epidemic parameters used considered that each case would produce an average of 2 additional cases [12], and that the average time between the onset of a case and the onset of a subsequent case infected by that case (serial interval) would be 4.7 days [13]. These parameters presented several limitations. First, they were estimated in the Chinese population which substantially differs from the sub-Saharan African population in many aspects that can fundamentally impact the spread of the COVID-19 infection. Furthermore, Abbot et al., did acknowledge the scarcity of data at the time they built their model and they further admitted that their results would be significantly impacted by the availability of new data [12]. In the same line, Bi et al., did point out that their study had "numerous limitations", including the high risk of bias due to the multiplicity of data collection protocols, the fact that it was "impossible to identify every potential contact an individual has", and the fact that asymptomatic travelers were missed [13]. Finally, for such predictions to come true, the living conditions should remain stable in order to agree with the statistical model parameters. However, the COVID-19 pandemic is an ever-changing affection which prevent predictive models from describing it accurately.

It is important to note that the actual figures might be low because all cases might not have been reported. Because of the novelty of the COVID-19 infection, testing kits had to be made from scratch. Countries with technical and infrastructural abilities were the first to dispose of COVID-19 tests. The African continent suffers from a substantial lack of high technical capacities that can produce such quality tests in sufficient amounts; therefore, the continent entirely relies on other countries to scale-up its testing abilities. Because of the high global burden of the COVID-19 infection, countries with testing capacities did put their populations' interests first, leaving dependent countries like African ones on endless waiting lists. This, in addition to the overall weak health system (low financial capacity for purchasing tests; low man power capacity and communication infrastructures to ensure wide geographic coverage of testing teams or decentralization of testing laboratories) hampered the capacity of African countries to massively test their populations early, which might in part explains the low number of reported cases. For instance, the testing capacity measured by the number of tests performed per one million population is for instance 142325 in UK, compared to 672 in Nigeria [41]. Furthermore, African countries do not possess strong public health reporting systems, thus the number presented might not accurately describe current reality.

Finally, whether some specific individual or environmental factors have come into play by for instance reducing the virulence and spread of the virus, is still questioned. Research has suggested that vaccination with Bacillus Calmette-Guérin (BCG) can have protective effects against the COVID-19 infection [42], and BCG vaccination is compulsory at birth in almost all sub-Saharan African countries as part of national enlarged vaccination programs. High temperatures and humidity have also been suggested to be protective against the COVID-19 infection [43], and sub-Saharan African rests in high daily average temperatures and humidity percentages [44]. Research has also hinted at a protective effect of Vitamin-D against the COVID-19 infection [45]; sub-Saharan African countries are under high sun exposure year-round which confer higher levels of Vitamin-D to their populations, compared to Western countries [46]. Studies have also described that African populations have specific

genetic characteristics and adaptations that have modified their susceptibility to various infections [47], thus leading scientists to hypothesize about a potentially stronger natural resistance of sub-Saharan African populations to the COVID-19 infection

Our study has three major limitations. First, there is a risk of ecologic fallacy since we used individual data to do our analyses but rather used population data to explain our findings. Second, statistical tests, p-values and confidence intervals carry their share of limitations and insufficiencies that need to be mentioned and accounted for. Finally, we used secondary data that were already collected, and therefore our study is exposed to the potential biases that might have arisen during the collection of such data.

Conclusion. Our study is the first to provide tangible evidence of the fact that sub-Saharan Africa truly defied the dire predictions of the spread of the COVID-19 infections. Our study can inform African stakeholders about their continent's unique advantageous characteristics that can form the ground for efficient and effective public health strategies against the COVID-19 pandemic, and beyond, against all the health hazards that deprive the continent from a much needed healthy population.

Conflicts of interests. The authors declare no conflicts of interests. The findings and conclusion in this manuscript will be those of the authors and will not necessarily represent the official position of the institutions to which they belong.

References

1   A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin | Nature. https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-020-2012-7 (accessed 11 Jun 2020).

2   WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19 - 11 March 2020. https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020 (accessed 11 Jun 2020).

3   Egypt announces first Coronavirus infection - Egypt Today. https://www.egypttoday.com/Article/1/81641/Egypt-announces-first-Coronavirus-infection (accessed 11 Jun 2020).

4   Coronavirus: Beijing orders 14-day quarantine for returnees - BBC News. https://www.bbc.com/news/world-asia-china-51509248 (accessed 11 Jun 2020).

5   Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19): Situation Report - 166 (4 July 2020) - World. ReliefWeb. https://reliefweb.int/report/world/coronavirus-disease-covid-19-situation-report-166-4-july-2020 (accessed 5 Jul 2020).

6   Pearson CAB. Projection of early spread of COVID-19 in Africa as of 25 March 2020.
     ;:4.

7   Bellamy D. Africa will be next epicentre of coronavirus, says WHO. euronews.
     2020.https://www.euronews.com/2020/04/18/africa-will-be-next-epicentre-of-
     coronavirus-says-who (accessed 12 Jun 2020).

8   Coronavirus: Africa could be next epicentre, WHO warns - BBC News.
     https://www.bbc.com/news/world-africa-52323375 (accessed 11 Jun 2020).

9   COVID-19 Pandemic: Africa Defies Doomsday Prediction of Massive Deaths – Nigerian
     Democratic Report. http://www.ndr.org.ng/covid-19-pandemic-africa-defies-doomsday-
     prediction-of-massive-deaths/ (accessed 11 Jun 2020).

10   Musings of a confined mind: Why does Africa continue to defy Covid-19 predictions?
     Nile Post. 2020.https://nilepost.co.ug/2020/04/27/musings-of-a-confined-mind-why-does-
     africa-continue-to-defy-covid-19-predictions/ (accessed 11 Jun 2020).

11   Nielsen K. The problem with predicting coronavirus apocalypse in Africa.
     https://www.aljazeera.com/indepth/opinion/problem-predicting-coronavirus-apocalypse-
     africa-200505103847843.html (accessed 11 Jun 2020).

12   Abbott S, Hellewell J, Munday J, *et al.* The transmissibility of novel Coronavirus in the
     early stages of the 2019-20 outbreak in Wuhan: Exploring initial point-source exposure
     sizes and durations using scenario analysis. *Wellcome Open Res* 2020;**5**:17.
     doi:10.12688/wellcomeopenres.15718.1

13   Serial interval of novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) infections | medRxiv.
     https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.02.03.20019497v2. (accessed 12 Jun
     2020).

14   Organization WH. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): situation report, 102.
     Published Online First: 1 May 2020.https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/332055
     (accessed 11 Jun 2020).

15   Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19): Situation Report - 130 (29 May 2020) - World.
     ReliefWeb. https://reliefweb.int/report/world/coronavirus-disease-covid-19-situation-
     report-130-29-may-2020 (accessed 27 Jun 2020).

16   COVID-19 Disease Response | Situation Report 21 | 20 - 26 June 2020 - World.
     ReliefWeb. https://reliefweb.int/report/world/covid-19-disease-response-situation-report-
     21-20-26-june-2020 (accessed 27 Jun 2020).

17   World Population Prospects 2019: Highlights | Multimedia Library - United Nations
     Department of Economic and Social Affairs.
     https://www.un.org/development/desa/publications/world-population-prospects-2019-
     highlights.html (accessed 11 Jun 2020).

18   Campbell I. Chi-squared and Fisher-Irwin tests of two-by-two tables with small sample
     recommendations. *Stat Med* 2007;**26**:3661–75. doi:10.1002/sim.2832

19   Richardson JTE. The analysis of 2 × 2 contingency tables--yet again. *Stat Med* 2011;**30**:890; author reply 891-892. doi:10.1002/sim.4116

20   Armstrong RA. When to use the Bonferroni correction. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2014;**34**:502–8. doi:10.1111/opo.12131

21   African nations close borders, cancel flights to contain coronavirus spread - Reuters. https://www.reuters.com/article/us-health-coronavirus-africa/african-nations-close-borders-cancel-flights-to-contain-coronavirus-spread-idUSKBN2120YR (accessed 30 Jun 2020).

22   AfricaNews. Why African nations close borders: Nigeria, Sudan, Rwanda, Kenya, Eritrea. Africanews. 2019.https://www.africanews.com/2019/10/18/why-african-nations-close-borders-nigeria-sudan-rwanda-kenya-eritrea/ (accessed 30 Jun 2020).

23   AfricaNews. African govts using a similar COVID-19 containment rule book. Africanews. 2020.https://www.africanews.com/2020/04/02/african-govts-adopt-copy-and-paste-approach-to-combat-covid-19/ (accessed 30 Jun 2020).

24   Moore J. What African Nations Are Teaching the West About Fighting the Coronavirus. The New Yorker. https://www.newyorker.com/news/news-desk/what-african-nations-are-teaching-the-west-about-fighting-the-coronavirus (accessed 30 Jun 2020).

25   CDC. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2020.https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/need-extra-precautions/people-with-medical-conditions.html (accessed 30 Jun 2020).

26   Weng N. Aging of the Immune System: How Much Can the Adaptive Immune System Adapt? *Immunity* 2006;**24**:495–9. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2006.05.001

27   Montecino-Rodriguez E, Berent-Maoz B, Dorshkind K. Causes, consequences, and reversal of immune system aging. *J Clin Invest* 2013;**123**:958–65. doi:10.1172/JCI64096

28   Wang E, Brar K. COVID-19 in Children: An Epidemiology Study from China. *The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology in Practice* 2020;**8**:2118. doi:10.1016/j.jaip.2020.04.024

29   Y D, X M, Y H, *et al.* Epidemiology of COVID-19 Among Children in China. Pediatrics. 2020;**145**. doi:10.1542/peds.2020-0702

30   Green P. Risks to children and young people during covid-19 pandemic. *BMJ* 2020;**369**. doi:10.1136/bmj.m1669

31   Segerstrom SC, Miller GE. Psychological Stress and the Human Immune System: A Meta-Analytic Study of 30 Years of Inquiry. *Psychol Bull* 2004;**130**:601–30. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.130.4.601

32   Kato S, Chmielewski M, Honda H, *et al.* Aspects of Immune Dysfunction in End-stage Renal Disease. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2008;**3**:1526–33. doi:10.2215/CJN.00950208

33   Andersen CJ, Murphy KE, Fernandez ML. Impact of Obesity and Metabolic Syndrome on Immunity12. *Adv Nutr* 2016;**7**:66–75. doi:10.3945/an.115.010207

34 Ferlita S, Yegiazaryan A, Noori N, *et al.* Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and Altered Immune System Leading to Susceptibility to Pathogens, Especially Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *J Clin Med* 2019;**8**. doi:10.3390/jcm8122219

35 Beck JM. The Immunocompromised Host. *Proc Am Thorac Soc* 2005;**2**:423–7. doi:10.1513/pats.200507-077JS

36 GBD 2017 HIV collaborators. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and mortality of HIV, 1980-2017, and forecasts to 2030, for 195 countries and territories: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors Study 2017. *Lancet HIV* 2019;**6**:e831–59. doi:10.1016/S2352-3018(19)30196-1

37 Bikbov B, Purcell CA, Levey AS, *et al.* Global, regional, and national burden of chronic kidney disease, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *The Lancet* 2020;**395**:709–33. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30045-3

38 Ritchie H, Roser M. Mental Health. *Our World in Data* Published Online First: 20 January 2018.https://ourworldindata.org/mental-health (accessed 5 Jul 2020).

39 Health Effects of Overweight and Obesity in 195 Countries over 25 Years. *N Engl J Med* 2017;**377**:13–27. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1614362

40 Khan MAB, Hashim MJ, King JK, *et al.* Epidemiology of Type 2 Diabetes - Global Burden of Disease and Forecasted Trends. *J Epidemiol Glob Health* 2020;**10**:107–11. doi:10.2991/jegh.k.191028.001

41 Coronavirus Update (Live): 11,082,329 Cases and 526,268 Deaths from COVID-19 Virus Pandemic - Worldometer. https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/ (accessed 3 Jul 2020).

42 (15) (PDF) BCG vaccination may be protective against Covid-19. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/340224580_BCG_vaccination_may_be_protective_against_Covid-19 (accessed 3 Jul 2020).

43 Chan KH, Peiris JSM, Lam SY, *et al.* The Effects of Temperature and Relative Humidity on the Viability of the SARS Coronavirus. Advances in Virology. 2011;**2011**:e734690. doi:https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/734690

44 Climate of Africa. Wikipedia. 2020.https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Climate_of_Africa&oldid=962184093 (accessed 3 Jul 2020).

45 Ali N. Role of vitamin D in preventing of COVID-19 infection, progression and severity. *Journal of Infection and Public Health* Published Online First: 20 June 2020. doi:10.1016/j.jiph.2020.06.021

46 THE GLOBAL EPIDEMIOLOGY OF VITAMIN D STATUS • JARLIFE. https://www.jarlife.net/703-the-global-epidemiology-of-vitamin-d-status.html (accessed 5 Jul 2020).

47 AFRICAN GENETIC DIVERSITY: Implications for Human Demographic History,
    Modern Human Origins, and Complex Disease Mapping.
    https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2953791/ (accessed 3 Jul 2020).