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#### Abstract

We completely classify the pairs of two smooth plane curves with double coverings between them. More precisely, we show that there exist no double coverings between two smooth plane curves except for several special cases.


## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

We consider the following problem on smooth plane curves:
Problem. Classify the pairs of smooth plane curves $\left(C, C^{\prime}\right)$ such that there exists a covering $\pi: C \rightarrow C^{\prime}$ of degree $n \geq 2$.

In this article we completely classify such pairs in the case $n=2$. There exist several trivial pairs: $\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}, \mathbf{P}^{1}\right),\left(E, \mathbf{P}^{1}\right)$ and $\left(E, E^{\prime}\right)$, where $E$ and $E^{\prime}$ are elliptic curves with an isogeny $\pi: E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ of degree 2 . We give another example.

Example 1.1. Let $C$ be a Fermat quartic defined by $x^{4}+y^{4}=1$. It has an involution $\sigma: C \rightarrow C((x, y) \mapsto(-x, y))$. Then $\tau: C \rightarrow B,\left((x, y) \mapsto\left(x^{2}, y\right)\right)$ is a double covering, where $B$ is an irreducible curve defined by $x^{2}+y^{4}=1$. Note that the normalization $E$ of $B$ is an elliptic curve and there exists a lifted double covering $\pi: C \rightarrow E$. Thus we obtain a pair $(C, E)$ of smooth plane curves with a double covering from $C$ to $E$.

In fact, we show that pairs of the above type (a smooth plane quartic with an involution and an elliptic curve) are the only non-trivial ones (see Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.6).

## Notation and Conventions

A curve is a one-dimensional algebraic variety. It is possibly reducible or non-reduced, though it will be smooth and irreducible mainly in this article. A

[^0]$g_{d}^{r}$ is a linear system of degree $d$ and dimension $r$ on a smooth curve. It is said to be simple if the associated rational map to $\mathbf{P}^{r}$ is birational onto its image. Otherwise it is said to be compounded.

For two divisors $D$ and $D^{\prime}$ on a variety, $D \sim D^{\prime}$ denotes that they are linearly equivalent.

## 2. Results

Our main result is the following theorem.
Theorem 2.1. Let $C, C^{\prime}$ be two smooth plane curves of degree $d, d^{\prime}$, respectively. Then there exist no double coverings from $C$ to $C^{\prime}$ except for the following cases:
(1) $C^{\prime}$ is rational $\left(d^{\prime} \leq 2\right)$ and $C$ is rational or elliptic $(d \leq 3)$.
(2) $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are elliptic $\left(d=d^{\prime}=3\right)$.
(3) $C^{\prime}$ is elliptic and $C$ is a bi-elliptic plane quartic $\left(d^{\prime}=3, d=4\right)$.

In particular, a double covering of a smooth plane curve of degree greater than three cannot be a smooth plane curve.

Our proof of this theorem consists of two parts. First we consider the case of small degree.

Lemma 2.2. Let $C, C^{\prime}$ be two smooth plane curves of $d, d^{\prime}$, respectively. Assume that $d^{\prime} \leq 3$ and there exists a double covering $\pi: C \rightarrow C^{\prime}$. Then one of the following holds:
(1) $C^{\prime}$ is rational $\left(d^{\prime} \leq 2\right)$ and $C$ is rational or elliptic $(d \leq 3)$.
(2) $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are elliptic $\left(d=d^{\prime}=3\right)$.
(3) $C^{\prime}$ is elliptic and $C$ is a bi-elliptic plane quartic $\left(d^{\prime}=3, d=4\right)$.

Proof. First assume that $C^{\prime}$ is rational. Then $C$ is hyperelliptic. On the other hand, it is well-known that $\operatorname{gon}(C)=d-1$. Hence we have $d \leq 3$, which implies that $C$ is rational or elliptic. Secondly, assume that $C^{\prime}$ is elliptic. Then $C$ is bi-elliptic. It is known that a bi-elliptic curve of genus $g$ does not have a plane model of degree less than $g+1$ (cf. [CM, 2.2]). Since $C$ is a smooth plane curve of degree $d$, we have

$$
d \geq g(C)+1=\frac{1}{2}(d-1)(d-2)+1
$$

which implies that $(d-1)(d-4) \leq 0$. Hence $d \leq 4$ holds.
Next we show the non-existence in the case of large degree.
Proposition 2.3. Let $C, C^{\prime}$ be two smooth plane curves of $d, d^{\prime}$, respectively. If $d^{\prime} \geq 4$, then there exist no double coverings from $C$ to $C^{\prime}$.

Proof. Assume that $d^{\prime} \geq 4$ and there exists a double covering $\pi: C \rightarrow C^{\prime}$. First note that $C^{\prime}$ has two pencils of degree $d^{\prime}-1$ and $d^{\prime}$. The pull-back of them by $\pi$ are pencils of degree $2\left(d^{\prime}-1\right)$ and $2 d^{\prime}$ on $C$. Since gon $(C)=d-1$, we have $d-1 \leq 2\left(d^{\prime}-1\right)$, i.e., $2 d^{\prime} \geq d+1$. On the other hand, $C$ has no pencils of degree between $d+1$ and $2 d-5$ (cf. [C]). Hence we obtain that $2 d^{\prime} \geq 2 d-4$, i.e., $d^{\prime} \geq d-2$. Since $d>d^{\prime}$ by our assumption $d^{\prime} \geq 4$, we have $d^{\prime}=d-1$ or $d^{\prime}=d-2$.

Case (i) $d^{\prime}=d-1$. We then have

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(C) & =\frac{1}{2}(d-1)(d-2) \quad \text { and } \\
g\left(C^{\prime}\right) & =\frac{1}{2}\left(d^{\prime}-1\right)\left(d^{\prime}-2\right)=\frac{1}{2}(d-2)(d-3)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $g(C) \geq 2 g\left(C^{\prime}\right)-1$ holds by Riemann-Hurwitz formula, we obtain that

$$
\frac{1}{2}(d-1)(d-2) \geq(d-2)(d-3)-1
$$

which implies that $(d-2)(d-5) \leq 2$. Hence we have $d \leq 5$. Thus it suffices to exclude the case $\left(d, d^{\prime}\right)=(5,4)$. In this case there exists a divisor $N$ on $C^{\prime}$ of degree 1 such that $C=\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}}(-N)\right)$. Since $g\left(C^{\prime}\right)=3$, the morphism $C^{\prime 3} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}^{1}\left(C^{\prime}\right)((P, Q, R) \mapsto P+Q-R)$ is surjective. Hence $N \sim P+Q-R$ for some $P, Q, R \in C^{\prime}$. We take an effective divisor $D$ on $C^{\prime}$ linearly equivalent to $K_{C^{\prime}}-R$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
h^{0}\left(C, \mathcal{O}_{C}\left(\pi^{*} D\right)\right) & =h^{0}\left(C^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}}(D)\right)+h^{0}\left(C^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}}(D-N)\right) \\
& =h^{0}\left(C^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}}(D)\right)+h^{0}\left(C^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}}\left(K_{C^{\prime}}-P-Q\right)\right) \\
& =2+1=3 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we obtain a net $\left|\pi^{*} D\right|=g_{6}^{2}$ on $C$. If this is compounded, then $C$ is a bielliptic plane quintic, which leads to a contradiction by Lemma 2.2. If the $g_{6}^{2}$ is simple, then $C$ has a plane model $C_{0}$ of degree 6 with 4 double points as its only singularities because $C$ is a 4 -gonal curve of genus 6 . We count the number of pencils of degree 4. Take a $g_{4}^{1}$ without base points on $C$. Applying the base-point-free pencil trick, we have an exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \rightarrow H^{0}\left(C, \mathcal{\vartheta}_{C}\left(g_{6}^{2}-g_{4}^{1}\right)\right) & \rightarrow H^{0}\left(C, \mathscr{\vartheta}_{C}\left(g_{6}^{2}\right)\right) \otimes H^{0}\left(C, \mathcal{O}_{C}\left(g_{4}^{1}\right)\right) \\
& \rightarrow H^{0}\left(C, \mathscr{\vartheta}_{C}\left(g_{6}^{2}+g_{4}^{1}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $H^{0}\left(C, \mathcal{O}_{C}\left(g_{6}^{2}-g_{4}^{1}\right)\right) \neq 0$, then the $g_{4}^{1}$ corresponds to a double point of $C_{0}$. The number of such pencils are at most four. If $H^{0}\left(C, \mathcal{O}_{C}\left(g_{6}^{2}-g_{4}^{1}\right)\right)=0$, then $\operatorname{dim}\left|g_{6}^{2}+g_{4}^{1}\right| \geq 5$, which implies that $\left|g_{6}^{2}+g_{4}^{1}\right|$ is the canonical linear system of $C$. Hence $g_{4}^{1}=\left|K_{C}-g_{6}^{2}\right|$. Thus we obtain that $C$ has at most five $g_{4}^{1}$ 's. This is again a contradiction, since a smooth plane quintic $C$ has infinitely many $g_{4}^{1}$ 's. Thus we complete the proof in this case.

CASE (ii) $d^{\prime}=d-2$. In this case $C$ has a pencil of degree $2\left(d^{\prime}-1\right)=2 d-6$. Recall that $C$ has no pencils of degree between $d+1$ and $2 d-5$. Hence we have $2 d-6 \leq d$, which implies that $d \leq 6$. Thus we only have to consider the case $\left(d, d^{\prime}\right)=(6,4)$. In this case we take a ramification point $P$ of $\pi: C \rightarrow C^{\prime}$ and set $P^{\prime}:=\pi(P)$. Then one of the following holds for the Weierstrass semigroup $H\left(P^{\prime}\right)$ of $C^{\prime}$ at $P^{\prime}$ :

$$
H\left(P^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\langle 3,4\rangle, \\
\langle 3,5,7\rangle \quad \text { or } \\
\langle 4,5,6,7\rangle .
\end{array}\right.
$$

In the first two cases $H\left(P^{\prime}\right)$ contains 3 and 7 , which implies that $H(P)$, the Weierstrass semigroup of $C$ at $P$, contains 6 and 14. In the third case $H(P)$ contains $8,10,12$ and 14 . Then we obtain a contradiction in each case by using the lemma below. Thus we complete the proof.

Lemma 2.4 ([K], [KK]). Let $C$ be a smooth plane sextic.
(1) If $H(P)$ contains 6 for some point $P \in C$, then $H(P)=\langle 5,6\rangle$.
(2) There exists no point $P \in C$ such that $H(P)$ contains $8,10,12$ and 14 .

Proof. For two plane curves $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ such that no common component of them contains $P$, we denote by $I\left(\Gamma_{1} \cap \Gamma_{2}, P\right)$ the intersection multiplicity of $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ at $P$. Since plane cubics cut out the canonical linear system on $C$, we have

$$
G(P):=\mathbf{N}_{0} \backslash H(P)=\{I(C \cap \Gamma, P)+1 \mid \Gamma \text { is a plane cubic }\} .
$$

Note that $\# G(P)=10$. Let $T$ be the tangent line to $C$ at $P, L_{1}$ a line in $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ that is distinct with $T$ and contains $P$ and $L_{0}$ a line in $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ not containing $P$. For any non-negative integers $\alpha$ and $\beta$ with $\alpha+\beta \leq 3$, we denote by $\Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ the plane cubic $\alpha T+\beta L_{1}+(3-\alpha-\beta) L_{0}$. We can write $\left.T\right|_{C}=v P+D$, where $v$ is an integer such that $2 \leq v \leq 6$ and $D$ is an effective divisor on $C$ whose support does not contain $P$.
(1) First note the following.

If $v=5$, then we have $I(C \cap \Gamma(1,0), P)=5$.
If $v=4$, then we have $I(C \cap \Gamma(1,1), P)=5$.
If $v=3$, then we have $I(C \cap \Gamma(1,2), P)=5$.
If $v=2$, then we have $I(C \cap \Gamma(2,1), P)=5$.
Thus we obtain that $G(P)$ contains 6 if $v \leq 5$. In other words, if $H(P)$ contains 6 , then $v=6$ holds. Then we have $I(C \cap \Gamma(\alpha, \beta), P)=6 \alpha+\beta$ for $0 \leq \alpha+\beta \leq 3$. It follows that

$$
G(P) \supset\{1,2,3,4,7,8,9,13,14,19\} .
$$

Hence we obtain that $G(P)=\{1,2,3,4,7,8,9,13,14,19\}$ by comparing the cardinality of these sets. Equivalently, $H(P)=\langle 5,6\rangle$ holds.
(2) First we exclude the case where $v \geq 3$.

If $v=6$, then we have $I(C \cap \Gamma(1,1), P)=7$.
If $v=5$, then we have $I(C \cap \Gamma(1,2), P)=7$.
If $v=4$, then we have $I(C \cap \Gamma(2,1), P)=9$.
If $v=3$, then we have $I(C \cap \Gamma(2,1), P)=7$.
Thus we obtain that $H(P) \nexists 8$ or $H(P) \nexists 10$ in these cases. Suppose that $v=2$. Then there exists a conic $B$ such that $i_{2}:=I(C \cap B, P) \geq 5$. Note that $i_{2} \leq 12$. If $i_{2}=5$, then $I(C \cap(T+B), P)=7$, which implies that $H(P) \nexists 8$. If $i_{2}$ is even with $i_{2} \leq 12$, then $I\left(C \cap\left(L_{1}+B\right), P\right)=i_{2}+1$, which implies that $H(P) \nexists i_{2}+2$. If $i_{2}$ is odd with $7 \leq i_{2} \leq 11$, then $I\left(C \cap\left(L_{0}+B\right), P\right)=i_{2}$, which implies that $H(P) \nexists i_{2}+1$. Thus we obtain a contradiction in any case.

Remark 2.5. Weierstrass semigroups of smooth plane curves of low degree are classified (cf. $[\mathrm{K}],[\mathrm{KK}]$ ). Our argument in the proof of the above lemma is based on theirs.

In the end, we remark a fact on smooth plane quartics.
Proposition 2.6. Let $C$ be a smooth plane quartic. Then it is bi-elliptic if and only if it has an involution.

Proof. It is clear that a bi-elliptic curve has an involution. Conversely, assume that $C$ has an involution $\sigma$. We then have a double covering $\pi: C \rightarrow C^{\prime}$, where $C^{\prime}$ is the normalization of the (possibly singular) curve $C^{\langle\sigma\rangle}$. Then $g\left(C^{\prime}\right)=0,1$ or 2 . It is known that a curve of genus 3 with a double covering onto a curve of genus 2 is hyperelliptic (cf. [A]). Since $C$ is non-hyperelliptic, we have $g\left(C^{\prime}\right)=1$. Thus $C$ is bi-elliptic.
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