

 Open access • Journal Article • DOI:10.1007/S11692-015-9309-8

Drivers of Population Structure of the Bottlenose Dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*) in the Eastern Mediterranean Sea — [Source link](#)

Stefania Gaspari, Aviad Scheinin, Draško Holcer, Caterina Maria Fortuna ...+5 more authors

Institutions: University of Florence, University of Haifa, American Museum of Natural History, University of Primorska ...+1 more institutions

Published on: 17 Feb 2015 - Evolutionary Biology-new York (Springer US)

Topics: Bottlenose dolphin, Mediterranean sea, Population, Mediterranean Basin and Genetic structure

Related papers:

- [Habitat structure and the dispersal of male and female bottlenose dolphins \(*Tursiops truncatus*\)](#).
- [Social ecology of bottlenose dolphins in the kvarnerić \(northern adriatic sea\)](#)
- [Ecology and conservation of common bottlenose dolphins *Tursiops truncatus* in the Mediterranean Sea](#)
- [Population genetic structure of common bottlenose dolphins \(*Tursiops truncatus*\) in the Adriatic Sea and contiguous regions : implications for international conservation](#)
- [Population Structure and Speciation in the Genus *Tursiops* Based on Microsatellite and Mitochondrial DNA Analyses](#)

Share this paper:    

View more about this paper here: <https://typeset.io/papers/drivers-of-population-structure-of-the-bottlenose-dolphin-4zfntabnzo>

1

Author's Note

2 This is the manuscript version of this paper that was accepted and sent to production in the
3 journal *Evolutionary Biology* (<http://link.springer.com/journal/11692>). Minor corrections
4 were later made during the production phase, which were mostly about formatting and did
5 not affect the results and interpretation. The final publication is available at Springer via
6 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11692-015-9309-8>.

1 Drivers of population structure of the bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*) in the Eastern 2 Mediterranean Sea

3
4
5 *Stefania Gaspari*^a, *Aviad Scheinin*^{b,c}, *Draško Holcer*^{d,e}, *Caterina Fortuna*^f, *Chiara Natali*^a *Tilen Genova*^{g,h},
6 *Alexandros Frantzi*ⁱ, *Guido Chelazzi*^a, *André E. Moura*^j

7
8 ^a*Department of Biology, University of Florence, Florence, Italy*

9 ^b*The Leon Recanati Institute for Maritime Studies and the Department of Maritime Civilizations, the Leon H.*
10 *Charney School for Marine Sciences, University of Haifa, Haifa, Israel*

11 ^c*IMMRAC (Israel Marine Mammal Research and Assistance center)*

12 ^d*Department of Zoology, Croatian Natural History Museum, Demetrova 1, Zagreb, Croatia*

13 ^e*Blue World Institute of Marine Research and Conservation, Kaštel 24, Veli Lošinj, Croatia*

14 ^f*Italian National Institute for Environmental Protection and Research, Rome, Italy*

15 ^g*Morigenos, Slovenian Marine Mammal Society, Piran, Slovenia*

16 ^h*Institute for Biodiversity Studies, Science and Research Centre, University of Primorska, Koper, Slovenia*

17 ⁱ*Pelagos Cetacean Research Institute, Vouliagmeni, Greece*

18 ^j*School of Life Sciences, University of Lincoln, Lincoln, UK*

19
20 *Corresponding author: Andre E. Moura, amoura@lincoln.ac.uk, Tel: +44 (0)1522886805*

21 Abstract

22 The drivers of population differentiation in oceanic dispersal organisms have been crucial for research in
23 evolutionary biology. Adaptation to different environments is commonly invoked as an alternative to geographic
24 isolation, as a driver of differentiation in the oceans. In this study, we investigate the population structure and
25 phylogeography of the bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*) in the Mediterranean Sea, using microsatellite
26 loci and the entire mtDNA control region. By further comparing the Mediterranean populations with the well
27 described Atlantic populations, we addressed the following hypotheses: 1) bottlenose dolphins show population
28 structure within the environmentally complex Eastern Mediterranean Sea; 2) population structure was gained
29 locally or otherwise results from chance distribution of pre-existing genetic structure; 3) strong demographic
30 variations within the Mediterranean basin have affected genetic variation sufficiently to bias detected patterns of
31 population structure. Our results suggest that bottlenose dolphin exhibits population structures that correspond
32 well to the main Mediterranean basins. Furthermore, we found evidence for fine-scale population division
33 within the Adriatic and the Levantine seas. We further describe for the first time, a distinction between
34 populations inhabiting *pelagic* and *coastal* regions within the Mediterranean. Phylogeographic analysis,
35 suggests that current genetic structure results mostly from stochastic distribution of Atlantic genetic variation,
36 resulting from a recent post-glacial expansion. Comparison with Atlantic mtDNA haplotypes, further suggest
37 the existence of a metapopulation across North Atlantic/Mediterranean, with pelagic regions acting as source for
38 coastal environments.

39
40
41 *Key words: population structure, phylogeography, Tursiops truncatus, Mediterranean Sea, gene flow*

42 43 44 Introduction

45 Despite an apparent lack of physical barriers to dispersal, many marine organisms exhibit population
46 structure over scales smaller than their dispersal potential (Norris 2000; Bierne *et al.* 2003). The Mediterranean
47 basin in particular is a global biodiversity hotspot (Almada *et al.* 2001; Bograd *et al.* 2010), and several marine
48 species exhibit complex population structure patterns over relatively short geographic distances (e.g. Ascids:
49 Perez-Portela and Turon 2008; Echinoderms: Zulliger *et al.* 2009; Molluscs: Perez-Losada *et al.* 2007, Calvo *et al.*
50 2009; Marine turtles: Carreras *et al.* 2006; Cetaceans: Natoli *et al.* 2005; Gaspari *et al.* 2007a,b; Fish:
51 Carreras-Carbonell *et al.* 2006; Charrier *et al.* 2006; Domingues *et al.* 2007). The Mediterranean is thus a
52 particularly interesting region to investigate the drivers of population structure in marine organisms.

53 Population structure in the Mediterranean Sea is often suggested to result from differential adaptation
54 to the environmental complexity of the basin (Borsa *et al.* 1997; Naciri *et al.* 1999; Bahri-Sfar *et al.* 2000,
55 Domingues *et al.* 2005; Galarza *et al.* 2009; Zulliger *et al.* 2009). However, alternative mechanisms such as
56 isolation-by-distance (Zulliger *et al.* 2009; Casado-Amezúa *et al.* 2012), or strong regional demographic

1 variations (Rolland *et al.* 2006) have also been proposed. This complexity is further emphasized by the lack of
2 consistent patterns of differentiation across the Strait of Gibraltar for various marine taxa (Patarnello *et al.*
3 2007).

4 The bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*) represents a good model to test different hypotheses
5 regarding the drivers of genetic differentiation. In European waters (Mediterranean, Eastern North Atlantic, and
6 North Sea), its population structure appears to correlate strongly with environmental differences (Natoli *et al.*
7 2005), consistent with suggestions that differences in habitat requirements drive population structure in
8 cetaceans (Mendez *et al.* 2011; Amaral *et al.* 2012). In the Atlantic, populations typically segregate between
9 lineages inhabiting pelagic and coastal environments (Hoelzel *et al.* 1998, Natoli *et al.* 2004), and mitogenomic
10 analysis showed that in European waters, these two ecotypes show incomplete lineage sorting (Moura *et al.*
11 2013b), suggesting recent establishment of the observed population structure patterns. Several communities
12 inhabiting estuarine/bay environments, are genetically differentiated from individuals sampled in open waters,
13 both coastal and pelagic (Parsons *et al.* 2002; Nichols *et al.* 2007; Fernandez *et al.* 2011, Mirimin *et al.* 2011;
14 Louis *et al.* 2014a), which is thought to result from occupation of newly formed habitats after the Last Glacial
15 Maxima (LGM) (Louis *et al.* 2014b).

16 It has also been suggested that the Mediterranean populations of bottlenose dolphin have recently
17 occupied the area from Atlantic populations (Natoli *et al.* 2005). However, preliminary data shows evidence of
18 fine-scale population structure within the Mediterranean basin (Gaspari *et al.* 2013), consistent with
19 morphological variation described between basins (Sharir *et al.* 2011), and the existence of groups with different
20 levels of site fidelity (Bearzi *et al.* 2005; Bearzi *et al.* 2009). Previous analyses have suffered from low power,
21 both in terms of the markers used, and the number and geographic representation of samples. To date, no study
22 has compared fine scale genetic structure within the Mediterranean, with the well described genetic structure in
23 the North Atlantic (Natoli *et al.* 2004; Tezanos-Pinto *et al.* 2008; Louis *et al.* 2014a). This information can thus
24 allow to distinguish between genetic structure that results from random distribution of ancestral variation, from
25 that established within the Mediterranean due to local adaptation.

26 Furthermore, several studies suggest that strong demographic history has confounded population
27 structure patterns in other North Atlantic cetaceans, including common dolphin (*Delphinus delphis*) (Natoli *et al.*
28 2008; Moura *et al.* 2013a), white-beaked dolphin (*Lagenorhynchus albirostris*) (Banguera-Hinestroza *et al.*
29 2010), white-sided dolphin (*Lagenorhynchus acutus*) (Banguera-Hinestroza *et al.* 2014), bowhead whale
30 (*Balaena mysticetus*) (Foote *et al.* 2013), and the killer whale (*Orcinus orca*) (Hoelzel *et al.* 2002; Moura *et al.*
31 2014). Given recent suggestions of population size changes in some Mediterranean regions (Bearzi and
32 Fortuna, 2006, Pleslić *et al.* 2013), further detailed analysis of the patterns and processes of population structure
33 is required to advise appropriate conservation measures, and enable an accurate appreciation of the potential for
34 recolonization of local populations by vagrant individuals.

35 In this study we investigate population structure and phylogeography of the bottlenose dolphin within
36 the Mediterranean Sea, using both nuclear and mitochondrial markers. We employed a comprehensive sample
37 set that covered most of the main Mediterranean basins, namely the Tyrrhenian, Adriatic, Ionian, Aegean and
38 Levantine seas (largely unexplored). These basins all represent unique oceanographic features, characterized by
39 differences in bathymetry, temperature, salinity, and productivity, among others. We evaluated the following
40 key hypotheses: 1) Bottlenose dolphins show fine-scale population structure within the environmentally
41 complex Eastern Mediterranean Sea; 2) Population structure was gained locally or otherwise results from
42 chance distribution of pre-existing genetic structure; 3) Strong demographic variations within the Mediterranean
43 Sea have affected genetic variation sufficiently to bias detected patterns of population structure. Because the
44 bottlenose dolphin is a top predator in the Mediterranean Sea (Bearzi *et al.* 2009), phylogeographic patterns of
45 this species will reflect wider changes in the environment, and thus be crucial in understanding the
46 biogeographic history of the basin.

48 **Materials and Methods**

49 *Sample collection and DNA extraction and amplification*

50 Tissue samples from 194 adult common bottlenose dolphins were collected between 1992 and 2011 from the
51 five main eastern Mediterranean basins (Figure 1) through biopsies of free-ranging animals (fr) and stranded
52 specimens (str). Samples numbers are as follows: Adriatic Sea (Adriatic north: 7 fr and 50 str; Adriatic central-
53 south: 21 fr and 9 str), Ionian Sea (14 str), Aegean Sea (10 str), Tyrrhenian Sea (16 str), and Levantine Basin (68
54 str). One important consideration, is that the Ionian Sea is considerably deeper than all other basins and is, in
55 this respect, similar to pelagic regions. DNA was extracted with phenol/chloroform and ethanol precipitation
56 from tissue samples preserved in salt saturated 20% DMSO or 95% ethanol.

57 Samples were genotyped at 12 microsatellite loci, namely EV37Mn, EV14Pm (Valsecchi and Amos,
58 1996), TtruGT6 (Caldwell *et al.* 2002), D08 (Shinohara *et al.* 1997) and Ttr04, Ttr11, Ttr19, Ttr34, Ttr58, Ttr63,

1 TtrRH1 and TtrRC12 (Rosel *et al.* 2005). Genotypes were determined using an ABI 3100 genetic analyser with
2 Genotyper (Applied Biosystems). A binning procedure was performed to ensure that all alleles were identified
3 correctly across populations. Genotyping accuracy was assessed by randomly re-amplifying 30% of the samples
4 as controls. Gender was determined through differential amplification of the zinc finger gene regions present in
5 the X and Y chromosomes (ZFX and ZFY, respectively), as described by Bérubé and Palsbøll (1996).

6 The mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) control region (920 bp) was amplified and sequenced using the
7 primers TURCRL5483 (5' - GGTCTTGTAACCGGAAAAGG - 3') and TURCRH6379 (5' -
8 GCAGACTTACACATGCAAGCA - 3') designed in this study, as described in Gaspari *et al.* (2013).

9 Raw sequence chromatographs from both strands were edited and aligned using CODONCODE ALIGNER
10 (CodonCode Corporation).

11 *Summary statistics*

12 Duplicate samples were identified using the EXCEL MICROSATELLITE TOOLKIT (Park, 2008), and by calculating
13 probabilities of identity P(ID) and P(ID)sib for each basin (Figure 1) using GENALEX (Peakall and Smouse,
14 2006). In the presence of population substructure or in small populations where related individuals may remain
15 in proximity and be sampled, P(ID)sib provides a more conservative estimator of the probability of finding the
16 same multi locus genotype at random within the population.

17 Genetic diversity in each basin (Figure 1) was assessed by calculating number of alleles, mean number of
18 private alleles, observed and expected heterozygosity, autocorrelation coefficient (r) and inbreeding coefficient
19 (F_{IS}) using GENALEX (Peakall and Smouse, 2006). Allelic Richness was calculated in F_{STAT} (Goudet 2001)
20 based on the minimum sample size. Departure from Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) was tested for each
21 microsatellite locus in each population using the Fisher exact test with 1000 permutations, as implemented by
22 ARLEQUIN (Excoffier *et al.* 2005).

23 For mtDNA, unique haplotypes were identified with ARLEQUIN. Genetic diversity in each basin was
24 assessed by calculating number of polymorphic sites, number of haplotypes, pairwise identity (π) and
25 haplotype diversity (H).

26 *Analysis of genetic differentiation*

27 The presence of fine scale population structure among the main Mediterranean basins (Figure 1) was
28 investigated through the analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA), carried out using ARLEQUIN for both
29 mtDNA and microsatellites using F_{ST} as an estimator.

30 For mtDNA, genetic structure was further analysed by constructing a minimum-spanning network using
31 NETWORK (Bandelt *et al.* 1999). A second network was constructed including sequences from previous studies,
32 in order to assess the relationships between Mediterranean and North Atlantic mtDNA haplotypes. GenBank
33 was queried using the expressions Tursiops + "control region" and Tursiops + D-Loop, while retaining only
34 those entries that were over 500 bp and corresponded to animals sampled in the North Atlantic (accession
35 numbers in Table S1; Western North Atlantic Coastal ecotype was excluded).

36 For microsatellites, we used two methods to determine the most likely number of distinct genetic
37 clusters, without a priori assignment of individuals to populations. We used the Bayesian clustering methods
38 implemented in STRUCTURE (Pritchard *et al.* 2000), using the admixture model with correlated allele
39 frequencies, without specifying sampling locations or geographic origin of samples. The model was run for
40 cluster number (K) from 1 to 15, using a burn-in period of 150,000 Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
41 iterations followed by 1,000,000 iterations. Five independent runs were conducted for each value of K to check
42 for convergence of results, analysed using STRUCTURE-HARVESTER (Earl and vonHoldt, 2012).

43 Correlation between genetic structures and basin of origin, was assessed using the software OBSTRUCT
44 (Gayevskiy *et al.* 2014). This method analyses how much a given pattern of inferred population structure is
45 explained by a predetermined population assignment, by calculating the statistic R^2 , based on the sum of
46 squares. The levels of K with the highest support from the STRUCTURE runs were used as input, and the 5
47 different oceanographic basins were used as the predetermined population assignment.

48 In addition, we used the spatially explicit method implemented in TESS to investigate fine-scale
49 population structure within the Adriatic and the Levantine basins (Tyrrhenian samples were not used to avoid
50 biases resulting from the rectangular shape of the Italian Peninsula, which means the linear distance between
51 Tyrrhenian and Adriatic is much smaller than the distance of the oceanic route separating these two basins,
52 which involves covering the entire coastline), by running the conditional autoregressive (CAR) admixture
53 model, using burn-in of 20,000 steps followed by 120,000 MCMC steps. The number of cluster (K) to test was
54 set from 1 to 10, with 10 replicates run for each K. The spatial interaction parameter was set to 0.6 and the
55 degree of trend to linear (which are the default parameters). The most likely number of clusters was selected by
56 plotting Deviance Information Criterion (DIC) values against K and by examining plots of individual

1 assignment probabilities. When K was defined, the run with the lowest DIC was used and individuals were
2 assigned to clusters based on maximum assignment probabilities.

4 *Analysis of gene flow within the Mediterranean*

5 Recent and asymmetric migration rates among the five main basins were estimated using the Bayesian
6 method implemented in BAYESASS (Wilson and Rannala 2003). Preliminary runs were performed to adjust the
7 MCMC mixing parameters of migrations rates, allele frequencies and inbreeding coefficients, to ensure
8 proposed acceptance rates around 30 %. We then performed 10 runs with a burn in of 1×10^6 iterations followed
9 by 2×10^7 MCMC iterations and a sampling frequency of 1,000. Consistency of the results between the runs
10 was also checked. In addition, sex-biased dispersal was analysed in GENALEX, by calculating gender-specific
11 Assignment Index correction (AIC) and testing difference for statistical significance using a Mann–Whitney U-
12 test (Mossman and Waser 1999).

13 *Historical demography*

14 For microsatellite data, Fu's F_s and Tajima's D neutrality tests were carried out in GENALEX, as well as tests for
15 recent reduction in population size using the software BOTTLENECK (Cornuet and Luikart 1996). Tests were
16 carried out using both the Stepwise Mutation Model (SMM) and the Infinite Allele Model (IAM), as well as a
17 combined model assuming 70% SMM and variance set to 30. This was complemented by testing for a shift in
18 the mode of allele frequency distribution, which is more adequate for identifying recent bottlenecks.

19 For mtDNA, a mismatch distribution was constructed (Rogers and Harpending 1992) using the software
20 ARLEQUIN. Time of expansion was calculated using the formula $T = \tau / 2U$, where U represents the mutation
21 rate over the total length of the sequence used in the mismatch distribution (calculated by multiplying the
22 calibrated mutation rate μ by 918 bp). The mutation rate μ was calculated based on the biogeographical
23 method used in Moura *et al.* (2013b), using the software IMA (Hey and Nielsen 2007). We compared the
24 estimated time of expansion obtained from two sources of mutation rate variation: different values for the
25 closing of the Bosphorus Strait (the biogeographical calibration used in Moura *et al.* (2013b); and inference
26 derived from calculating mutation rate using the whole mtDNA as in Moura *et al.* (2013b), and using the control
27 region only. This was done to provide an idea of the error introduced in our interpretations, resulting from using
28 an inappropriate mutation rate, a well described source of phylogeographic bias (Ho *et al.* 2008).

29 Historical variation in effective population size was reconstructed using the Bayesian skyline method
30 implemented in the software BEAST (Drummond *et al.* 2012), using the mutation rate estimated from cetacean
31 whole mtDNA by independent studies (Ho and Lanfear, 2010; Moura *et al.* 2013b), and an alternative mutation
32 rate devised for control region only using the same method as in Moura *et al.* (2013b).

33 **Results**

34 *Measures of genetic diversity*

35 The test for duplicates yielded two pairs of samples with matching genotypes, but the haplotype
36 sequences were different for both pairs and were therefore kept. Probabilities of identities across microsatellites
37 loci were low in all populations, which suggests enough power to differentiate between individuals. For
38 microsatellites, diversity levels were similar between the different basins analysed. Observed heterozygosity
39 was usually lower than expected, but differences were not significant and samples from all basins did not
40 significantly deviate from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (Table 1). For mitochondrial DNA, pairwise identity
41 (π) was similar between all basins (0.010 ± 0.003), except for Levantine where it was lowest (0.003).
42 Conversely, haplotype diversity (H) was lowest in the Tyrrhenian Sea (0.714), but high overall (Table 1).

43 *Microsatellite genetic structure and gene flow estimates*

44 A significant level of genetic differentiation was detected among all basins in the Eastern Mediterranean
45 Sea. Analyses of Molecular Variance (AMOVA) revealed significant divergence among populations ($F_{ST} =$
46 0.071 $P > 0.001$), although most genetic variation occurred within rather than among populations ($V_{WI} = 75\%$,
47 $V_{AI} = 18\%$, $W_{AP} = 6\%$). No evidence of spatial autocorrelation (r) was found among samples from all basins
48 (Table 1). Pairwise F_{ST} comparisons were also applied to the Adriatic basin, both as a uniform basin, and as a
49 subdivided basin to check for potential sub-structure within the Adriatic, given its environmental heterogeneity.
50 Between basins all were significant, except for comparisons involving the Aegean Sea (Table S2). Within the
51 Adriatic Sea, the significant F_{ST} differences were found between samples from the Gulf of Trieste (GT) and the
52 rest of the Adriatic, and between the East and West coasts, both keeping or excluding the GT area (respectively:
53 $F_{ST} = 0.016$, $P = 0.000$; $F_{ST} = 0.024$, $P = 0.000$). Furthermore, the comparison between the two coasts was also
54 significant when only the North Adriatic was considered, both keeping and excluding the GT area (respectively:
55 $F_{ST} = 0.026$, $P = 0.002$; $F_{ST} = 0.034$, $P = 0.000$).

1 Bayesian clustering analyses collectively suggest genetic differentiation between all main basins,
2 although most clusters include individuals from multiple regions (Figure S1 and S2). From the STRUCTURE
3 analysis, the highest posterior probability was obtained for K=15 (Figure S3), although at K=15 no further
4 resolution was detectable relative to K=9 (Figure S1). ObStruct analyses for K=9 resulted in an average
5 $R^2=0.31$, with pairwise values ranging from 0.03 (between Adriatic and Aegean) to 0.31 (between Adriatic and
6 Levantine). PCA plot revealed some degree of overlap between the different basins cluster assignment, but
7 different basins generally correspond well to genetic clusters. Exceptions are the Aegean and Ionian Seas, which
8 exhibit a high degree of overlap with samples from most basins. Individuals ancestry plots for K=9 clearly
9 separate the main geographic areas analysed (Figure S1), with further subdivision found within the Adriatic and
10 the Levantine regions (Figure S1). Samples from the GT separate clearly from other Adriatic Sea samples, but
11 cluster together with samples from the Aegean Sea (Figure S1). Within the Adriatic Sea, different patterns can
12 be seen between North/ Central/ South regions of the basin (Figure S1), but the pattern is not clear. In the
13 Levantine basin, three well separated clusters can be identified, which roughly segregate between
14 North/Central/South of the basin (Figure S1). However, spatial resolution is low due to all samples resulting
15 from strandings, which limits inference (Bilgmann *et al.* 2011).

16 TESS results were consistent with STRUCTURE (Figure S2) in separating the Gulf of Trieste from the rest
17 of the Adriatic, however this cluster is shared with individuals from North Adriatic, South Adriatic and Aegean
18 Seas. No further structure was identified within the Levantine. The Ionian Sea shared clusters with all other
19 basins. Both STRUCTURE and TESS individual ancestry plots organized by sample origin are included in the
20 supplementary material (Figure S1 and S2).

21 BAYESASS showed generally low recent migration rates between basins. Exceptions are migration rates
22 from the Ionian into Tyrrhenian/Adriatic/Aegean, which are all above 0.10, and from Adriatic into
23 Ionian/Aegean, also all above 0.10 (Table 2). Sex biased dispersal suggested females are the dispersing gender,
24 with negative AIC for females and positive AIC for males (Figure S4). However, Mann-Whitney U test was not
25 significant ($P = 0.7$).

26 *mtDNA Genetic Structure*

27 Analyses of molecular variance (AMOVA) revealed significant divergence among populations ($F_{ST} =$
28 0.285 ; $P > 0.001$), although most genetic variation occurred within rather than among populations ($V_{WP} =$
29 71.47% $W_{AP} = 28.53\%$).

30 The median-joining network showed a main torso composed of well differentiated and equally
31 represented haplotypes (mean 5.4 mutations) with no clear geographic correspondence, and two terminal star
32 shaped sections. In both these sections, the central haplotype is found in multiple basins (Tyrrhenian/Adriatic for
33 one, and Levantine/Aegean/Adriatic for the other), but haplotypes branching from those central ones were
34 generally private to either Tyrrhenian/Adriatic/Aegean in one case, or Levantine in the other (Figure 3).

35 The network for North Atlantic *Tursiops* is characterized by several equally differentiated haplotypes at
36 the centre of star shaped phylogenies, but there is no clear correspondence between network lineages and
37 geographic origin. Haplotypes found in the Ionian Sea (which is considerably deeper than other basins) were
38 generally closely related to haplotypes from the Western North Atlantic Pelagic (WNAP) ecotype (as defined in
39 Hoelzel *et al* 1998), though none was shared (Figure S5). In contrast, haplotypes from Mediterranean basins
40 with depth profiles similar to coastal regions (Tyrrhenian, Adriatic, Aegean and Levantine), were often shared
41 with samples obtained from oceanic locations (Azores and Madeira), as well as open water coastal locations
42 (mainland Portugal, Bay of Biscay, Gulf of Cadiz, Iroise Sea) and the English Channel. Interestingly, only two
43 haplotypes are shared between WNAP and other oceanic locations (Azores and Madeira), although they tend to
44 be separated by a small number of mutational steps. Haplotypes that are shared between multiple locations are
45 generally found at the centre of star shaped phylogenies, while terminal haplotypes are usually private to
46 specific locations, including both Mediterranean coastal basins and Atlantic open water coastal regions.

47 *Historical demography*

48 Summary statistics suggested a recent expansion accompanied by low inbreeding. Tajima's D and Fu's F
49 were both generally negative, consistent with demographic expansion for most basins, except for the Aegean
50 where a positive value suggests contraction. Consistently, F_{IS} values were positive for all basins except the
51 Aegean Sea where it was negative, with only the Tyrrhenian and Levantine being significantly different from
52 zero (Table 1).

53 Mismatch distribution showed a bimodal profile that did not significantly differ from the expected under
54 a spatial expansion model ($P = 0.162$; Figure 4), but it did significantly deviate from expectations under a
55 demographic expansion model ($P = 0.02$). Estimates of expansion time suggest this has occurred recently, likely
56 after the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM; Table 3). Using a mutation rate calibrated for the whole mitogenome,
57 the estimated time of spatial expansion centres around the Eemian interglacial roughly 155 kya (Table 3; note

1 that the most likely time for the opening of the Bosphorus strait is around 5-7 kya). However, using the mutation
2 rate calibrated for control region only (the fragment used in this study), this time moves forward to after the
3 LGM.

4 Bayesian skyline plots also retrieve an increase in effective population size close to the LGM. Using the
5 mutation rate calibrated for the entire mitogenome, the increase in population size starts roughly around 35 kya
6 (Figure S6a), and it shifts to around 5 kya using the mutation rate calibrated for control region only (Figure
7 S6b). Note that the error associated with this calculation is likely to be large, due to the fact that only modern
8 samples were used. Consistently, calculating the time of expansion using $\tau = 1$ for the first modal peak
9 (corresponding to difference between the star-shaped regions of the network), the time of demographic
10 expansion is also after the LGM for both mutation rates (Table 3).

11 Discussion

12 *Population structure within the Mediterranean*

13 The results of our study collectively suggest that the bottlenose dolphin in the Mediterranean Sea exhibits
14 fine-scale population structure. Geographical distribution of the main population groups appears to correspond
15 well to the main Mediterranean basins, namely the Tyrrhenian, Ionian, Adriatic, Aegean and Levantine seas
16 (Figure 2 and S1). The R^2 statistic was not as high as observed in strongly structured populations according to
17 geographic region, but it was still distinctively higher than expected if structure is not organized geographically
18 (Gayeveskiy *et al.* 2014). The OBSTRUCT visual plot (Figure 2) is also consistent with this by showing strong
19 levels of correlation between geographic origin of samples and inferred genetic cluster, although it appears
20 weaker for some geographic regions (i.e. Ionian; see below for more details). Furthermore, we found evidence
21 of fine-scale population division within the Adriatic and the Levantine. However, this pattern is likely
22 confounded by patterns of migration and phylogeographic history (see below for details). In the Adriatic,
23 samples from the Gulf of Trieste (GT) clearly differentiate from other Adriatic samples, and within the Adriatic
24 Sea our results indicate division both between North/Central/South basins, consistent with previous preliminary
25 results (Gaspari *et al.* 2013).

26 Patterns of population structure across such small distances are commonly described for bottlenose
27 dolphins around the world (e.g. Rosel *et al.* 2009; Ansmann *et al.* 2012; Kiszka *et al.* 2012), and our results of
28 fine-scale population structure within the Adriatic Sea are consistent with local reports of strong site fidelity
29 (Bearzi *et al.* 1997; Genov *et al.* 2008; Pleslić *et al.* 2013). However, this fine-scale structure is likely to have
30 been established recently, and it is not clear how stable it will be in the long term.

31 The separation between the GT and the remaining Adriatic is similar to that observed elsewhere in
32 European waters, such as the Moray Firth (Scotland), the Shannon estuary (Ireland), and the Sado estuary
33 (Portugal). In all locations, small populations show strong site-fidelity to semi-enclosed bays, with limited
34 interaction with populations outside the bays (Ingram and Rogan 2002; Augusto *et al.* 2011; Cheney *et al.*
35 2013). Genetically they are differentiated from the closest populations, but are often similar to those found
36 further apart (Parsons *et al.* 2002; Fernández *et al.* 2011; Mirimin *et al.* 2011), just as observed in our study. In
37 our case, the similarity between GT and the Aegean Sea is likely due to the stochastic distribution of genetic
38 variation during a recent colonization of the Mediterranean (see below for details).

41 *Phylogeographic history and Mediterranean invasion*

42 Our study suggests that population structure within the Mediterranean largely results from stochastic
43 distribution of genetic variation, through a series of founder events (either sequential or concurrent) during a
44 recent invasion of the Mediterranean Sea. An Atlantic origin of Mediterranean populations has been proposed
45 earlier (Natoli *et al.* 2005; Moura *et al.* 2013b), and our study confirms this by showing that haplotypes private
46 to individual basins occur in low frequencies and branch off from star shaped phylogenies, whose central
47 haplotypes are shared across the Mediterranean/Atlantic. Our study further estimates a timing for this
48 colonization, which likely occurred after the Last Glacial Maxima (LGM), particularly if a faster mutation rate
49 is used.

50 Several other marine organisms in the Mediterranean exhibit star shaped networks (Natoli *et al.* 2005,
51 Carreras *et al.* 2006; Charrier *et al.* 2006; Perez-Losada *et al.* 2007; Sušnik *et al.* 2007; Perez-Portela and Turon
52 2008; Zulliger *et al.* 2009) similar to those found in our study. In most cases, this expansion has been dated to
53 around the Eemian interglacial (consistent with our older age estimate of ~155 Kya), and never before the
54 Pleistocene (Patarnello *et al.* 2007; Perez-Losada *et al.* 2007; Sušnik *et al.* 2007; Pujolar *et al.* 2010). However,
55 mutation rates used in these earlier studies were not calibrated using biogeographical events, and are thus likely
56 underestimated (Ho *et al.* 2005; Patarnello *et al.* 2007; Ho *et al.* 2008; Calvo *et al.* 2009). Indeed, recent studies
57 on sand smelt (Pujolar *et al.* 2012) and green crab (Marino *et al.* 2011) using mutation rates derived from

1 biogeographical calibrations events, also time the Mediterranean colonization to after the LGM. In our case, due
2 to the control-region being a known mutational hotspot (Stoneking 2000), the rate calculated for the whole
3 mitogenome (Moura *et al.* 2013b) is likely inappropriately slow for our study.

4 Geological data indicates that during the LGM, water exchange through the Strait of Gibraltar was much
5 reduced (Mikolajewicz 2011). This likely led to lower temperatures and oxygen levels, with corresponding
6 increases in salinity compared to present day, particularly in the Eastern Mediterranean basin (Mikolajewicz
7 2011). Because the Mediterranean has a net deficit of water, and limited connectivity with the Atlantic, this
8 further led to changes in the sea level, with a likely drying of the Adriatic Sea, and physical separation between
9 the Eastern and Western Mediterranean basins (Thiede 1978; Hayes *et al.* 2005).

10 High salinity during the LGM could have been a limiting factor for the survival of fish species in the
11 Eastern Mediterranean, especially in combination with high water temperatures (Morris 1960; Gonzalez 2011).
12 Dolphins are large predators with high resting metabolic rates (Williams *et al.* 2001), which require a high
13 energy diet to survive (Spitz *et al.* 2012). Therefore, even if some fish species managed to survive the harsh
14 environmental conditions in the LGM Mediterranean, they might not have been present in sufficient numbers to
15 support a viable bottlenose dolphin population.

16 The differentiation of the population occupying the Levantine basin, together with known morphological
17 differences (Sharir *et al.* 2011), could reflect longer term survival of local refugial population that diversified in
18 isolation. However, the number of unique haplotypes and private alleles was comparable to those found in the
19 Adriatic, where a refugial population was very unlikely. Nevertheless, if present genetic structure patterns
20 reflect two independent colonisations of the Mediterranean, still most of its diversity results from a recent
21 expansion from the Atlantic, as haplotypes from the Adriatic and Aegean seas are more closely related to
22 Ionian/Atlantic haplotypes. Our data thus support the interpretation that bottlenose dolphin colonization of the
23 Mediterranean occurred after the LGM, and that current patterns of populations structure are the result of chance
24 distribution of haplotypes due to founder events during the spatial expansion.

25 Research from other high dispersal animals is also consistent with a post-LGM expansion. Common
26 dolphin (*Delphinus delphis*) mtDNA also shows a pattern consistent with a recent expansion into the
27 Mediterranean from a larger Atlantic population (Natoli *et al.* 2008), but exhibits weak population structure
28 possibly due to a more fluid social structure and lower natal philopatry (Moura *et al.* 2013a). Recent studies on
29 white-sided dolphins (*Lagenorhynchus acutus*, Banguera-Hinestroza *et al.* 2014) and harbour porpoises
30 (*Phocoena phocoena*, Fontaine *et al.* 2014) also found evidence for a post-LGM expansions in the North
31 Atlantic, as well as in killer whales (*Orcinus orca*; Moura *et al.* 2014b) in association with a strong decline
32 during the LGM (Moura *et al.* 2014a). Similarly, the low diversity observed in loggerhead sea turtles in Eastern
33 Mediterranean (Carreras *et al.* 2006), has been linked with excessively low temperature for successful hatching
34 during the LGM (Bowen *et al.* 1993), which would imply that present loggerhead turtles are descendent from
35 post-glacial colonizers. Recently, comparison with simulated datasets also suggested that differentiation of
36 certain estuarine/bay populations of bottlenose in the North Atlantic, has been achieved post LGM (Louis *et al.*
37 2014b). This suggests that the LGM might have had profound effects not only in the Mediterranean marine
38 fauna, but also more broadly in the North Atlantic.

39 40 *Integration with North Atlantic structure*

41
42 Integration of our samples with mtDNA data from the North Atlantic available in the literature, showed
43 significant haplotype sharing not only between Mediterranean and Atlantic, but also more broadly across the
44 Atlantic. In spite of this, shallow water basins within the Mediterranean had high number of private alleles as
45 compared to the deeper Ionian Sea, with mtDNA also showing close resemblance with the Western North
46 Atlantic Pelagic (WNAP) ecotype (Hoelzel *et al.* 1998; Tezanos-Pinto *et al.* 2008). This suggests a pelagic vs
47 coastal differentiation as described elsewhere in the world (Hoelzel *et al.* 1998, Segura *et al.* 2006; Tezanos-
48 Pinto *et al.* 2008; Caballero *et al.* 2012) including the Eastern North Atlantic (Natoli *et al.* 2004; Moura *et al.*
49 2013b). This distinction is described here within the Mediterranean for the first time, and is consistent with
50 reports of vagrant individuals being sighted only occasionally in regions where other groups show strong site
51 fidelity (Bearzi *et al.* 2005; Genov *et al.* 2008; Pleslić *et al.* 2013). It is also ecologically consistent, as the
52 Ionian Sea is the deepest of all basins analysed (Becker *et al.* 2009).

53 However, the sharing of haplotypes indicates a different dynamics to that found in the Western North
54 Atlantic, where genetic differentiation is not only much deeper (Hoelzel *et al.* 1998, Moura *et al.* 2013b), but
55 also accompanied by nearly complete spatial segregation (Torres *et al.* 2003). Instead, vagrant individuals with a
56 fluid social structure are commonly reported in the same sites as coastal populations with tighter social structure
57 (Bearzi *et al.* 2005; Genov *et al.* 2008; Pleslić *et al.* 2013; Martinho *et al.* 2014), and gene flow is detected
58 between the two habitats (Quérouil *et al.* 2007, this study). Instead, population dynamics of bottlenose dolphin
59 in the North Atlantic and Mediterranean Sea are more typical of a metapopulation (Nichols *et al.* 2007; Oremus
60 *et al.* 2007). In such cases, populations that exhibit strong site fidelity and are demographically isolated (e.g., the

1 Tyrrhenian and the Adriatic populations), can appear to be part of a single large population in a mtDNA
2 phylogenetic network (Oremus *et al.* 2007).

3 Recent expansion into unoccupied habitats contributes to the sharing of haplotypes across distant regions,
4 but metapopulations are usually characterized by specific source-sink dynamics, for which there is evidence in
5 our study. Microsatellite data suggest that gene flow is stronger from the Ionian *pelagic* basin to the other
6 *coastal* basins than in the opposite direction, and also low between different *coastal* basins. This implies that
7 gene flow between shallow water basins (e.g. Tyrrhenian, Adriatic and Aegean) might be mediated by the
8 pelagic ecotype, and explains how coastal populations exhibiting strong site fidelity (Bearzi *et al.* 2005; Genov
9 *et al.* 2008; Pleslić *et al.* 2013) can still be genetically similar (e.g. Tyrrhenian and Adriatic seas). Gene flow
10 appears to be female mediated, which would explain why lineage sorting between pelagic and Mediterranean
11 haplotypes is incomplete (Natoli *et al.* 2005; Moura *et al.* 2013b) in spite of the good differentiation seen here in
12 nuclear DNA.

13 A metapopulation dynamics would account for the weak genetic differentiation found between oceanic
14 and coastal open water samples in the Atlantic (Quérrouil *et al.* 2007; Louis *et al.* 2014a). Regardless, our North
15 Atlantic network shows that open water coastal areas still exhibit private alleles at the tips of star shaped
16 phylogenies, suggesting that these regions too are characterized by local specializations following expansion.
17 Populations inhabiting estuarine/bay habitats will differentiate faster due to a low carrying capacity of those
18 environments, meaning large populations of a top predator cannot be supported and new individuals (either
19 migrants or born locally) not readily accepted. Consistently, *coastal* populations found to have strong genetic
20 differentiation, typically inhabit enclosed or semi-enclosed habitats and have low census and effective
21 population sizes (Parsons *et al.* 2002; Fernández *et al.* 2011, Mirimin *et al.* 2011; Louis *et al.* 2014a). Therefore,
22 population differentiation in North Atlantic/Mediterranean bottlenose dolphins is likely the result of the low
23 carrying capacity of coastal environments, which makes them unable to act as sink populations for the larger
24 pelagic source population.

25 26 *Conservation implications*

27
28 From our genetic data, no evidence was found for recent local population contractions in the
29 Mediterranean, except for the Aegean Sea. This inference controverts previous concerns of up to 50% decline of
30 bottlenose dolphins in the Adriatic Sea since the 1950s (Bearzi and Fortuna 2006). However, bottleneck tests
31 often lack power if pre-bottleneck effective population size was low, and if the test is carried out a small number
32 of generations after the bottleneck (Peery *et al.* 2012). A recent study in the Adriatic found evidence for an
33 increase in abundance for one local population (Pleslić *et al.* 2013), suggesting that natural local fluctuations
34 could be interpreted as reductions if quantitative data is limited to a short time period or sparse over a long
35 period. For the Aegean Sea, genetic data support a recent bottleneck (although sample size is low), but no
36 abundance estimates are currently available to corroborate the inference from genetic data. Our results highlight
37 the need for accurate abundance estimates in the region, as it is possible that local declines are occurring
38 undetected.

39 A metapopulation dynamics has important conservation implications. Although coastal populations could
40 be replaced through migration from the source population, local declines likely reflect an inability of the
41 environment to support a viable population, and thus reflect the need for conservation measures. Similarly,
42 pelagic source populations should be seen as a valuable reservoir of individuals and genetic variation, and be the
43 target of conservation measures well before its numbers appear to be depleted.

44 45 46 *Concluding remarks*

47
48 Our study suggests that present bottlenose dolphin genetic structure patterns in the Mediterranean Sea
49 largely result from the stochastic distribution of Atlantic genetic diversity during a recent post-glacial expansion.
50 Furthermore, North Atlantic and Mediterranean populations likely constitute a single metapopulation, with
51 pelagic populations acting as genetic source for coastal ones. Current population differentiation appears to be
52 the result of the combined effects of past climatic variations, local carrying capacity associated with differences
53 in social structure and site fidelity, and potentially ecological differences, particularly between the *pelagic* and
54 *coastal* populations. Adaptation might further contribute to differentiation, but this will likely not be possible to
55 address using only neutral genetic markers.

56 Our results have important implication for the understanding of Mediterranean biodiversity. Previous
57 studies have suggested that Mediterranean biodiversity was the result of endemism from glacial *refugia*. Our
58 study further suggests that the Mediterranean might have also been a sink for many Atlantic species post-LGM.
59 This could explain why some of the Mediterranean oceanographic boundaries do not appear to constitute genetic
60 boundaries for all marine species (e.g. Patarnello *et al.* 2007). Patterns of population structure will likely results

1 from a combination of pre-expansion diversity, dispersal and colonization mechanisms, as well as specific
2 limiting factors and behavioural characteristics in post-colonization environments.

3 **Acknowledgments**

4 This research was funded by the Italian DG Fishery within the research framework of the Italian obligations to
5 the Council Regulation (EC) n. 812/2004 (BYCATCH programme). The authors acknowledge the people that
6 have provided samples: Israeli Marine Mammal Research and Assistance Center (IMMRAC, Dan Karem); Blue
7 World Institute, Croatia, Marine Mammals Tissue Bank, University of Padoa, Italy (Bruno Cozzi, Maristella
8 Giuriso); Università degli Studi di Siena, Italy (Letizia Marsili); Morigenos, Slovenia A.R.C.H.E. Porto
9 Garibaldi, Italy (Carola Vallini); Fondazione Cetacea, Italy (Marco Affronte); Tethys Research Institute, Italy
10 (Ada Natoli); Capitaneria di Porto di Brindisi, Italy (Paola Pino d'Astore). We would also like to thank the
11 researchers and volunteers of IMMRAC, Israel.

12 **References**

- 13 Amaral, A. R., Beheregaray, L. B., Bilgmann, K., Boutov, D., Freitas, L., Robertson, K. M., *et al.* (2012).
14 Seascape genetics of a globally distributed, highly mobile marine mammal: the short-beaked common
15 dolphin (genus *Delphinus*). *PLoS One*, 7, e31482.
- 16 Ansmann, I. C., Parra, G. J., Lanyon, J. M., Seddon, J. M. (2012). Fine-scale genetic population structure in a
17 mobile marine mammal: inshore bottlenose dolphins in Moreton Bay, Australia. *Molecular Ecology*,
18 21, 4472-4485.
- 19 Augusto, J. F., Rachinas-Lopes, P., dos Santos, M. E. (2011). Social structure of the declining resident
20 community of common bottlenose dolphins in the Sado estuary, Portugal. *Journal of Marine Biology*
21 *Association of United Kingdom*, 92, 1773-1782.
- 22 Bahri-Sfar, L., Lemaire, C., Hassine, O. K. B., Bonhomme, F. (2000). Fragmentation of sea bass populations in
23 the western and Eastern Mediterranean as revealed by microsatellite polymorphism. *Proceeding of the*
24 *Royal Society of London B*, 267, 929-935.
- 25 Bandelt, H.-J., Forster, P., Röhl, A. (1999). Median-joining networks for inferring intraspecific phylogenies.
26 *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 16, 37-48.
- 27 Banguera-Hinestroza, E., Bjørge, A., Reid, R. J., Jepson, P., Hoelzel, A.R. (2010). The influence of glacial
28 epochs and habitat dependence on the diversity and phylogeography of a coastal dolphin species:
29 *Lagenorhynchus albirostris*. *Conservation Genetics*, 11, 1823-1836.
- 30 Banguera-Hinestroza, E., Evans, P. G. H., Mirimin, L., Reid, R. J., Mikkelsen, B., Couperus, A. S., *et al.* (2014).
31 Phylogeography and population dynamics of the white-sided dolphin (*Lagenorhynchus acutus*) in the
32 North Atlantic. *Conservation Genetics*, doi, 10.1007/s10592-014-0578-z
- 33 Bearzi G, Fortuna CM. (2006). Common bottlenose dolphin *Tursiops truncatus* (Mediterranean subpopulation).
34 In The Status and Distribution of Cetaceans in the Black Sea and Mediterranean Sea, Reeves RR,
35 Notarbartolo di Sciarra G (eds). IUCN Centre for Mediterranean Cooperation: Malaga, Spain; 64–73.
- 36 Bearzi, G., Fortuna, C. M., Reeves, R. R. (2009). Ecology and conservation of common bottlenose dolphins
37 (*Tursiops truncatus*) in the Mediterranean Sea. *Mammal Review*, 39, 92-123.
- 38 Bearzi G., Notarbartolo di Sciarra G. and Politi E. (1997). Social ecology of bottlenose dolphins in the Kvarneric
39 (northern Adriatic Sea). *Marine Mammal Science*, 13, 650-668.
- 40 Bearzi, G., Politi, E., Agazzi, S., Bruno, S., Costa, M., Bonizzoni, S. (2005). Occurrence and present status of
41 coastal dolphins (*Delphinus delphis* and *Tursiops truncatus*) in the eastern Ionian sea. *Aquatic*
42 *Conservation: Marine Freshwater Ecosystem*, 15, 243-357.
- 43 Becker, J. J., Sandwell, D. T., Smith, W. H. F., Braud, J., Binder, B., Depner, J., *et al.* (2009). Global
44 bathymetry and elevation data at 30 arc seconds resolution: STRM30_PLUS. *Marine Geodesy*, 32,
45 355-371.
- 46 Bérubé, M., Palsbøll, P. (1996). Identification of sex in cetaceans by multiplexing with three zfx and zfy specific
47 primers. *Molecular Ecology*, 5, 283-287.
- 48 Bierne, N., Bonhomme, F., David, P. (2003). Habitat preference and the marine-speciation paradox. *Proceeding*
49 *of the Royal Society of London B*, 270, 1399-1406.
- 50 Bilgmann K, Möller LM, Harcourt RG, Kemper CM, Beheregaray LB (2011) The Use of Carcasses for the
51 Analysis of Cetacean Population Genetic Structure: A Comparative Study in Two Dolphin Species.
52 *PLoS ONE*, 6(5), e20103.
- 53 Bograd, S. J., Coll, M., Piroddi, C., Steenbeek, J., Kaschner, K., Ben Rais Lasram, F., *et al.* (2010). The
54 biodiversity of the Mediterranean Sea: Estimates, patterns, and threats. *PLoS One*, 5, e11842.
- 55 Borsa, P., Blanquer, A., Berrebi, P. 1997. Genetic structure of the flounders *Platichthys flesus* and *P. stellatus* at
56 different geographic scales. *Marine Biology*, 129, 233-246.

- 1 Bowen, B., Avise, J. C., Richardson, J. I., Meylan, A. B., Margaritoulis, D., Hopkins-Murphy, S. R. (1993).
2 Population structure of loggerhead turtles (*Caretta caretta*) in the northwestern Atlantic Ocean and
3 Mediterranean Sea. *Conservation Biology*, 7, 834-844.
- 4 Caballero, S., Islas-Villanueva, V., Tezanos-Pinto, G., Duchene, S., Delgado-Estrella, A., Sanchez-Okrucky, R.,
5 *et al.* (2012). Phylogeography, genetic diversity and population structure of common bottlenose
6 dolphins in the wider Caribbean inferred from analyses of mitochondrial DNA control region
7 sequences and microsatellite loci: conservation and management implications. *Animal Conservation*,
8 15, 95-112.
- 9 Caldwell, M., Gaines, M. S., Hughes, C. R. (2002). Eight polymorphic microsatellite *loci* for bottlenose dolphin
10 and other cetacean species. *Molecular Ecology Notes*, 2, 393-395.
- 11 Calvo, M., Templado, J., Oliverio, M., Machordom, A. (2009). Hidden Mediterranean biodiversity: molecular
12 evidence for a cryptic species complex within the reef building vermetid gastropod *Dendropoma*
13 *petraeum* (mollusca: Caenogastropoda). *Biological Journal of Linnean Society*, 96, 898-912.
- 14 Carreras-Carbonell, J., Macpherson, E., Pascual, M. (2006). Population structure within and between subspecies
15 of the Mediterranean triplefin fish *Tripterygion delaisi* revealed by highly polymorphic microsatellite
16 loci. *Molecular Ecology*, 15, 3527-3539.
- 17 Carreras, C., Pascual, M., Cardona, L., Aguilar, A., Margaritoulis, D., Rees, A., *et al.* (2006). The genetic
18 structure of the loggerhead sea turtle (*Caretta caretta*) in the Mediterranean as revealed by nuclear and
19 mitochondrial DNA and its conservation implications. *Conservation Genetics*, 8, 761-775.
- 20 Casado-Amezúa, P., Goffredo, S., Templado, J., Machordom, A. (2012). Genetic assessment of population
21 structure and connectivity in the threatened Mediterranean coral *Astroides calycularis* (Scleractinia,
22 Dendrophylliidae) at different spatial scales. *Molecular Ecology*, 21, 3671-3685.
- 23 Charrier, G., Chenel, T., Durand, J. D., Girard, M., Quiniou, L., Laroche, J. (2006). Discrepancies in
24 phylogeographical patterns of two European anglerfishes (*Lophius budegassa* and *Lophius*
25 *piscatorius*). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 38, 742-754.
- 26 Cheney, B., Thompson, P. M., Ingram, S. N., Hammond, P. S., Stevick, P. T., Durban, J. W., *et al.* (2013).
27 Integrating multiple data sources to assess the distribution and abundance of bottlenose dolphins
28 *Tursiops truncatus* in Scottish waters. *Mammal Review*, 43, 71-88.
- 29 Cornuet, J. M., Luikart, G. (1996). Description and power analysis of two tests for detecting recent population
30 bottlenecks from allele frequency data. *Genetics*, 144, 2001-2014.
- 31 Domingues, V. S., Bucciarelli, G., Almada, V. C., Bernardi, G. (2005). Historical colonization and demography
32 of the Mediterranean damselfish, *Chromis chromis*. *Molecular Ecology*, 14, 4051-4063.
- 33 Domingues, V. S., Santos, R. S., Brito, A., Alexandrou, M., Almada, V. C. (2007). Mitochondrial and nuclear
34 markers reveal isolation by distance and effects of pleistocene glaciations in the northeastern Atlantic
35 and Mediterranean populations of the white seabream (*Diplodus sargus*, L.). *Journal of Experimental*
36 *Marine Biology and Ecology*, 346, 102-113.
- 37 Drummond, A. J., Suchard, M. A., Xie, D., Rambaut, A. (2012). Bayesian phylogenetics with BEAUti and the
38 BEAST 1.7. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 29, 1969-1973.
- 39 Earl, D. A., vonHoldt, B. M. (2012). Structure harvester: a website and program for visualizing structure output
40 and implementing the Evanno method. *Conservation Genetics Resources*, 4, 359-361.
- 41 Excoffier, L., Laval, G., Schneider, S. (2005). Arlequin ver. 3.0: an integrated software package for population
42 genetics data analysis. *Evolutionary Bioinformatics Online*, 1, 47-50.
- 43 Fernández, R., Santos, M. B., Pierce, G. J., Llavona, Á., López, A., Silva, M. A., *et al.* (2011). Fine-scale
44 genetic structure of bottlenose dolphins, *Tursiops truncatus*, in Atlantic coastal waters of the Iberian
45 Peninsula. *Hydrobiologia*, 670, 111-125.
- 46 Fontaine, M. C., Roland, K., Calves, I., Austerlitz, F., Palstra, F. P., Tolley, K. A., *et al.* (2014). Postglacial
47 climate changes and rise of three ecotypes of harbor porpoises, *Phocoena phocoena*, in western
48 paelearctic waters. *Molecular Ecology*, doi: 10.1111/mec.12817.
- 49 Foote, A. D., Kaschner, K., Schultze, S. E., Garilao, C., Ho, S. Y. W., Post, K., *et al.* (2013). Ancient DNA
50 reveals that bowhead whale lineages survived late pleistocene climate change and habitat shifts. *Nature*
51 *Communications*, 4, 1677.
- 52 Louis M., Viricel A., Lucas T., Peltier H., Alfonsi E., Berrow S., Brownlow A., Covelo P., Dabin W., Deaville
53 R. *et al.* 2014a. Habitat-driven population structure of bottlenose dolphins, *Tursiops truncatus*, in the
54 North-East Atlantic. *Molecular Ecology*, 23, 857-874.
- 55 Louis M., Fontaine M. C., Spitz J., Schlund E., Dabin W., Deaville R., Caurant F., Cherel Y., Guinet C., Simon-
56 Bouhet B. (2014b). Ecological opportunities and specializations shaped genetic divergence in a highly
57 mobile marine top predator. *Proceeding of the Royal Society of London B*, 281, 20141558.
- 58 Galarza, J. A., Carreras-Carbonell, J., Macpherson, E., Pascual, M., Roques, S., Turner, G. F., *et al.* (2009). The
59 influence of oceanographic fronts and early-life-history traits on connectivity among littoral fish
60 species. *Proceeding of the National Academy of Sciences U.S.A.*, 106, 1473-1478.

- 1 Gaspari, S., Airoidi, S., Hoelzel, A.R. (2007a). Risso's dolphins (*Grampus griseus*) in UK waters are
2 differentiated from a population in the Mediterranean Sea and genetically less diverse. *Conservation*
3 *Genetics*, 8, 727-732.
- 4 Gaspari, S., Azzelino, A., Airoidi, S., Hoelzel, A.R. (2007b). Social kin associations and genetic structuring of
5 striped dolphin populations (*Stenella coeruleoalba*) in the Mediterranean Sea. *Molecular Ecology*, 16,
6 2922-2933.
- 7 Gaspari, S., Holcer, D., Mackelworth, P., Fortuna, C., Frantzis, A., Genov, T., *et al.* (2013). Population genetic
8 structure of common bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*) in the Adriatic Sea and contiguous
9 regions: implications for international conservation. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine Freshwater*
10 *Ecosystem*, doi, 10.1002/aqc.2415.
- 11 Gayevskiy V., Klaere S., Knight S., Goddard M. R. (2014). ObStruct: a method to objectively analyse factors
12 driving population structure using Bayesian ancestry profiles. *PLoS One*, 9, e85196.
- 13 Genov, T., Kotnjek, P., Lesjak, J., Hace, A., Fortuna, C.M. (2008). Bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*) in
14 Slovenian and adjacent waters (northern Adriatic Sea). *Annales Series Historia Naturalis*, 18(2), 227-
15 244.
- 16 Gonzalez, R. J. (2011). The physiology of hyper-salinity tolerance in teleost fish: a review. *Journal of*
17 *Comparative Physiology B*, 182, 321-329.
- 18 Goudet, J. (2001). F_{STAT}, a program to estimate and test gene diversities and fixation indices (version 2.9.3).
19 Available from <http://www.unil.ch/izea/software/fstat.html>.
- 20 Hayes, A., Kucera, M., Kallel, N., Sbaiffi, L., Rohling, E. J. (2005). Glacial Mediterranean Sea surface
21 temperatures based on planktonic foraminiferal assemblages. *Quaternary Science Review*, 24, 999-
22 1016.
- 23 Hey, J., Nielsen, R. (2007). Integration within the Felsenstein equation for improved Markov Chain Monte
24 Carlo Methods in population genetics. *Proceeding of the National Academy of Sciences U.S.A*, 104,
25 2785-2790.
- 26 Ho, S. Y., Lanfear, R. (2010). Improved characterisation of among-lineage rate variation in cetacean
27 mitogenomes using codon-partitioned relaxed clocks. *Mitochondrial DNA*, 21, 138-46.
- 28 Ho, S. Y., Phillips, M. J., Cooper, A., Drummond, A. J. (2005). Time dependency of molecular rate estimates
29 and systematic overestimation of recent divergence times. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 22, 1561-
30 8.
- 31 Ho, S. Y., Saarma, U., Barnett, R., Haile, J., Shapiro, B. (2008). The effect of inappropriate calibration: three
32 case studies in molecular ecology. *PLoS One*, 3, e1615.
- 33 Hoelzel, A. R., Potter, C. W., Best, P. B. (1998). Genetic differentiation between parapatric 'nearshore' and
34 'offshore' populations of the bottlenose dolphin. *Proceeding of the Royal Society of London B*, 265,
35 1177-1183.
- 36 Hoelzel, A. R., Natoli, A., Dahlheim, M. E., Olavarria, C., Baird, R. W., Black, N. A. (2002). Low worldwide
37 genetic diversity in the killer whale (*Orcinus orca*): implications for demographic history.
38 *Proceeding of the Royal Society of London B*, 269, 1467-1473.
- 39 Ingram, S. N., Rogan, E. (2002). Identifying critical areas and habitat preferences of bottlenose dolphins
40 *Tursiops truncatus*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 244, 247-255.
- 41 Kiszka, J., Simon-Bouhet, B., Gastebois, C., Pusineri, C., Ridoux, V. (2012). Habitat partitioning and fine scale
42 population structure among insular bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops aduncus*) in a tropical lagoon.
43 *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 416-417, 176-184.
- 44 Marino, I. A. M., Pujolar, J. M., Zane, L. (2011). Reconciling deep calibration and demographic history:
45 Bayesian inference of post glacial colonization patterns in *Carcinus aestuarii* (nardo, 1847) and *C.*
46 *Maenas* (Linnaeus, 1758). *PLoS One*, 6, e28567.
- 47 Martinho F., Pereira A., Brito C., Gaspar R., Carvalho I. (2014). Structure and abundance of bottlenose dolphins
48 (*Tursiops truncatus*) in coastal Setúbal Bay, Portugal. *Marine Biology Research*, doi,
49 10.1080/17451000.2014.894244.
- 50 Mendez, M., Subramaniam, A., Collins, T., Minton, G., Baldwin, R., Berggren, P., *et al.* (2011). Molecular
51 ecology meets remote sensing: environmental drivers to population structure of humpback dolphins in
52 the Western Indian Ocean. *Heredity*, 107, 349-361.
- 53 Mikolajewicz, U. (2011). Modeling Mediterranean ocean climate of the Last Glacial Maximum. *Climate of the*
54 *Past*, 7, 161-180.
- 55 Mirimin, L., Miller, R., Dillane, E., Berrow, S. D., Ingram, S., Cross, T. F., *et al.* (2011). Fine-scale population
56 genetic structuring of bottlenose dolphins in Irish coastal waters. *Animal Conservation*, 14, 342-353.
- 57 Morris, R. W. (1960). Temperature, salinity, and southern limits of three species of Pacific cottid fishes. *Limnol.*
58 *Oceanography*, 5, 175-179.
- 59 Mossman, C.A., Waser, P.M. (1999). Genetic detection of sex-biased dispersal. *Mol. Ecol.* 8: 1063-1067.

- 1 Moura, A.E., Natoli, A., Rogan, E., Hoelzel, A.R. (2013a). Atypical panmixia in a European dolphin species
2 (*Delphinus delphis*): Implications for the evolution of diversity across oceanic boundaries. *Journal of*
3 *Evolutionary Biology*, 26, 63-75.
- 4 Moura, A.E., Nielsen, S. C. A., Vilstrup, J. T., Moreno-Mayar, J. V., Gilbert, M. T. P., Gray, H., *et al.* (2013b).
5 Recent diversification of a marine genus (*Tursiops* spp.) tracks habitat preference and environmental
6 change. *Systematic Biology*, 62, 865-877.
- 7 Moura, A.E., Janse van Rensburg, C., Pilot, M., Tehrani, A., Best, P. B., Thornton, M., *et al.* (2014a). Killer
8 whale nuclear genome and mtDNA reveal widespread population bottleneck during the last glacial
9 maximum. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 31, 1121-1131.
- 10 Moura A.E., Kenny J.G., Chaudhuri R.R., Hughes M.A., Reisinger R.R., de Bruyn P.J.N., Dahlheim M.E., Hall
11 N., Hoelzel A.R. (2014b). Phylogenomics of the killer whale indicates ecotype divergence in sympatry.
12 *Heredity*, doi, 10.1038/hdy.2014.67
- 13 Naciri, M., Lemaire, C., Borsa, P., Bonhomme, F. (1999). Genetic study of the Atlantic/Mediterranean transition
14 in sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*). *Journal of Heredity*, 90, 591-596.
- 15 Natoli, A., Peddemors, V. M., Hoelzel, A. R. (2004). Population structure and speciation in the genus *Tursiops*
16 based on microsatellite and mitochondrial DNA analyses. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 17, 363-
17 375.
- 18 Natoli, A., Birkun, A., Aguilar, A., Lopez, A., Hoelzel, A.R. (2005). Habitat structure and the dispersal of male
19 and female bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*). *Proceeding of the Royal Society of London B*, 272,
20 1217-1226.
- 21 Natoli, A., Cañadas, A., Vaquero, C., Politi, E., Fernandez-Navarro, P., Hoelzel, A.R. (2008). Conservation
22 genetics of the short-beaked common dolphin (*Delphinus delphis*) in the Mediterranean Sea and in the
23 Eastern North Atlantic Ocean. *Conservation Genetics*, 9, 1479-1487.
- 24 Nichols C., Herman J., Gaggiotti O.E., Dobney K.M., Parsons K., Hoelzel A.R. (2007). Genetic isolation of a
25 now extinct population of bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*). *Proceeding of the Royal Society of*
26 *London B*, 274, 1611-1616.
- 27 Norris, R. D. (2000). Pelagic species diversity, biogeography, and evolution. *Paleobiology*, 26, 236-258.
- 28 Oremus M., Poole M. M., Steel D., Baker C. S. (2007). Isolation and interchange among insular spinner dolphin
29 communities in the South Pacific revealed by individual identification and genetic diversity. *Marine*
30 *Ecology Progress Series*, 336:275-289.
- 31 Park, S. D. E. (2008). Excel microsatellite toolkit. Computer program and documentation distributed by the
32 author. *Website* <http://animalgenomics.ucd.ie/sdepark/ms-toolkit/> [accessed June 2013].
- 33 Parsons, K. M., Noble, L. R., Reid, R. J., Thompson, P. M. (2002). Mitochondrial genetic diversity and
34 population structuring of UK bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*): Is the ne scotland population
35 demographically and geographically isolated? *Biology Conservation*, 108, 175-182.
- 36 Patarnello, T., Volckaert, F. A., Castilho, R. (2007). Pillars of Hercules: is the Atlantic-Mediterranean transition
37 a phylogeographical break? *Molecular Ecology*, 16, 4426-44.
- 38 Peakall, R. O. D., Smouse, P. E. (2006). Genalex 6: Genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for
39 teaching and research. *Molecular Ecology Notes*, 6, 288-295.
- 40 Peery, M. Z., Kirby, R., Reid, B. N., Stoelting, R., Doucet-Béer, E., Robinson, S., *et al.* (2012). Reliability of
41 genetic bottleneck tests for detecting recent population declines. *Molecular Ecology*, 21, 3403-3418.
- 42 Perez-Losada, M., Nolte, M. J., Crandall, K. A., Shaw, P. W. (2007). Testing hypotheses of population
43 structuring in the Northeast Atlantic Ocean and Mediterranean Sea using the common cuttlefish *Sepia*
44 *officinalis*. *Molecular Ecology*, 16, 2667-2679.
- 45 Perez-Portela, R., Turon, X. (2008). Cryptic divergence and strong population structure in the colonial
46 invertebrate pycnoclavella communis (Ascidiacea) inferred from molecular data. *Zoology*, 111, 163-
47 178.
- 48 Pleslić, G., Rako, N., Mackelworth, P., Wiemann, A., Holcer, D., Fortuna, C. (2013). The abundance of
49 common bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*) in the former marine protected area of the Cres-
50 Lošinj archipelago, Croatia. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine Freshwater Ecosystem*, doi,
51 10.1002/aqc.2416.
- 52 Pritchard, J. K., Stephens, M., Donnelly, P. (2000). Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype
53 data. *Genetics*, 155, 945-959.
- 54 Pujolar, J. M., Zane, L., Congiu, L. (2012) Phylogenetic relationships and demographic -histories of the
55 Atherinidae in the Eastern Atlantic and Mediterranean Sea re-examined by Bayesian inference.
56 *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 63, 857-865.
- 57 Pujolar, J. M., Marčeta, T., Saavedra, C., Bressan, M., Zane, L. (2010). Inferring the demographic history of the
58 Adriatic *Flexopecten* complex. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 57, 942-947.

- 1 Quérrouil S., Silva M. A., Freitas L., Prieto R., Magalhães S., Dinis A., Alves F., Matos J. A., Mendonça D.,
2 Hammond P. S. et al. (2007). High gene flow in oceanic bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*) of
3 the North Atlantic. *Conservation Genetics*, 8, 1405-1419.
- 4 Rogers, A. R., Harpending, H. (1992). Population growth makes waves in the distribution of pairwise genetic
5 differences. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 9, 552-569.
- 6 Rolland, J. L., Bonhomme, F., Lagardère, F., Hassan, M., Guinand, B. (2006). Population structure of the
7 common sole (*Solea solea*) in the Northeastern Atlantic and the Mediterranean Sea: revisiting the
8 divide with epic markers. *Marine Biology*, 151, 327-341.
- 9 Rosel, P. E., Forgetta, V., Dewar, K. (2005). Isolation and characterization of twelve polymorphic microsatellite
10 markers in bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*). *Molecular Ecology Notes*, 5, 830-833.
- 11 Rosel, P. E., Hansen, L., Hohn, A. A. (2009). Restricted dispersal in a continuously distributed marine species:
12 common bottlenose dolphins *Tursiops truncatus* in coastal waters of the western North Atlantic.
13 *Molecular Ecology*, 18, 5030-45.
- 14 Segura, I., Rocha-Olivares, A., Flores-Ramírez, S., Rojas-Bracho, L. (2006). Conservation implications of the
15 genetic and ecological distinction of *Tursiops truncatus* ecotypes in the Gulf of California. *Biological*
16 *Conservation*, 133, 336-346.
- 17 Sharir, Y., Kerem, D., Goldin, P., Spanier, E. (2011). Small size in the common bottlenose dolphin *Tursiops*
18 *truncatus* in the Eastern Mediterranean: a possible case of Levantine nanism. *Marine Ecology Progress*
19 *Series*, 438, 241-251.
- 20 Shinohara, M., Domingo-Roura, X., Takenaka, O. (1997). Microsatellites in the bottlenose dolphin *Tursiops*
21 *truncatus*. *Molecular Ecology*, 6, 695-696.
- 22 Spitz, J., Trites, A. W., Becquet, V., Brind'Amour, A., Cherel, Y., Galois, R., et al. (2012). Cost of living
23 dictates what whales, dolphins and porpoises eat: the importance of prey quality on predator foraging
24 strategies. *PLoS One*, 7, e50096.
- 25 Stoneking, M. (2000). Hypervariable sites in the mtDNA control region are mutational hotspots. *The American*
26 *Journal of Human Genetics*, 67, 1029-1032.
- 27 Sušnik, S., Snoj, A., Wilson, I. F., Mrdak, D., Weiss, S. (2007). Historical demography of brown trout (*Salmo*
28 *trutta*) in the Adriatic drainage including the putative *S. letnica* endemic to lake Ohrid. *Molecular*
29 *Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 44, 63-76.
- 30 Tezanos-Pinto, G., Baker, C. S., Russell, K., Martien, K., Baird, R. W., Hutt, A., et al. (2008). A worldwide
31 perspective on the population structure and genetic diversity of bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops*
32 *truncatus*) in New Zealand. *Journal of Heredity*, 100, 11-24.
- 33 Thiede, J. 1978. A glacial Mediterranean. *Nature*, 276, 680-683.
- 34 Torres L. G., Rosel P. E., D'Agrosa C., and Read A. J. (2003). Improving management of overlapping
35 bottlenose dolphin ecotypes through spatial analysis and genetics. *Mar. Mam. Sci.* 19: 502-514.
- 36 Valsecchi, E., Amos, W. (1996). Microsatellite markers for the study of cetacean populations. *Molecular*
37 *Ecology*, 5, 151-156.
- 38 Williams, T. M., Haun, J., Davis, R. W., Fuiman, L. A., Kohin, S. (2001). A killer appetite: metabolic
39 consequences of carnivory in marine mammals. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A:*
40 *Molecular & Integrative Physiology*, 129, 785-96.
- 41 Wilson, G. A., Rannala, B. (2003). Bayesian inference of recent migration rates using multilocus genotypes.
42 *Genetics*, 163, 1177-1191.
- 43 Xiong, Y., Brandley, M. C., Xu, S., Zhou, K., Yang, G. (2009). Seven new dolphin mitochondrial genomes and
44 a time-calibrated phylogeny of whales. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 9, 20.
- 45 Zulliger, D. E., Tanner, S., Ruch, M., Ribi, G. (2009). Genetic structure of the high dispersal Atlanto-
46 Mediterranean sea star *Astropecten aranciacus* revealed by mitochondrial DNA sequences and
47 microsatellite loci. *Marine Biology*, 156, 597-610.
- 48
49

1
2
3
4
5
6
7

Table 1. Diversity index for both microsatellite (top) and mtDNA (bottom) in *Tursiops truncatus* samples from the 5 main Mediterranean basins. **N** - number of samples; **AR** - Allelic Richness; **NA** - number of alleles; **Mean PA** - mean number of private alleles; **He** - expected heterozygosity; **Ho** - observed heterozygosity; **r** - autocorrelation coefficient; **F_{IS}** - inbreeding coefficient; **NP** - number of polymorphic sites; **NH** - number of haplotypes; **π** - mean number of pairwise differences; **H** - haplotypic diversity; **D** - Tajima's D; **F_s** - Fu's F_s.

| Microsatellites | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|----|------|-------|---------|------|------|------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Basin | N | AR | NA | Mean PA | He | Ho | r | F _{IS} | F _{IS} p-value |
| <i>Tyrrhenian</i> | 16 | 5.8 | 7.17 | 0.08 | 0.74 | 0.68 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 0.01 |
| <i>Adriatic</i> | 86 | 6.19 | 11.60 | 1.33 | 0.75 | 0.83 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| <i>Aegean</i> | 10 | 6 | 6.25 | 0.08 | 0.76 | 0.68 | 0.01 | -0.02 | 0.63 |
| <i>Levantine</i> | 68 | 6.04 | 10.91 | 2.00 | 0.77 | 0.64 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0 |
| <i>Ionian</i> | 14 | 5.92 | 7.00 | 0.25 | 0.79 | 0.69 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.47 |

| mtDNA | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|----|----|----|-------|------|-------|----------------|
| Basin | N | NP | NH | π | H | D | F _s |
| <i>Tyrrhenian</i> | 15 | 21 | 6 | 0.007 | 0.71 | -0.02 | 2.63 |
| <i>Adriatic</i> | 70 | 51 | 32 | 0.010 | 0.92 | -0.10 | -6.51 |
| <i>Aegean</i> | 10 | 28 | 10 | 0.012 | 1 | 0.84 | -3.04 |
| <i>Levantine</i> | 26 | 15 | 14 | 0.003 | 0.82 | -0.91 | -6.53 ** |
| <i>Ionian</i> | 12 | 29 | 8 | 0.010 | 0.91 | -0.03 | 0.72 |

8
9

1 **Table 2.** Recent migration rate estimates between *Tursiops truncatus* sampled in the 5 main Mediterranean
 2 basins, using the software BayesAss. The first column represent the basin of origin, while the first row
 3 represent the destination basins. Marked in bold are all migration values above 0.10.

| | Tyrrhenian | Adriatic | Aegean | Levantine | Ionian |
|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|
| <i>Tyrrhenian</i> | 0.69 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| <i>Adriatic</i> | 0.03 | 0.73 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.12 |
| <i>Aegean</i> | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.70 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| <i>Levantine</i> | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.99 | 0.06 |
| <i>Ionian</i> | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.79 |

4

5

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

Table 3. Calculation of spatial and demographic expansion times from values of τ obtained in the mismatch distribution analysis. Mutations rates were obtained using a credible range for a biogeographical calibration point as described in (Moura *et al.* 2013b). μ - mutation rate in substitutions/site/million years; U - mutation rate in substitution/locus/million years.

| Calibration time (years) | $\tau = 13.423$ (spatial expansion model) | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|-------|--------------|-------------------------|--------|--------------|
| | <i>whole mtDNA rate</i> | | | <i>D-Loop only rate</i> | | |
| | μ | U | t (Kyears) | μ | U | t (Kyears) |
| 10,000 | 0.030 | 27.54 | 243.70 | 0.21 | 192.78 | 34.81 |
| 9,000 | 0.031 | 28.46 | 235.84 | 0.23 | 211.14 | 31.79 |
| 7,000 | 0.035 | 32.13 | 208.89 | 0.29 | 266.22 | 25.21 |
| 5,000 | 0.063 | 57.83 | 116.05 | 0.41 | 376.38 | 17.83 |
| Calibration time (years) | $\tau = 1$ (first modal peak) | | | | | |
| | <i>whole mtDNA rate</i> | | | <i>D-Loop only rate</i> | | |
| | μ | U | t (Kyears) | μ | U | t (Kyears) |
| 10,000 | 0.030 | 27.54 | 18.16 | 0.21 | 192.78 | 2.59 |
| 9,000 | 0.031 | 28.46 | 17.57 | 0.23 | 211.14 | 2.37 |
| 7,000 | 0.035 | 32.13 | 15.56 | 0.29 | 266.22 | 1.88 |
| 5,000 | 0.063 | 57.83 | 8.65 | 0.41 | 376.38 | 1.33 |

9
10

1 **Figure 1.** Map of the study area. Samples were obtained from stranded and free-ranging *Tursiops truncatus*
2 from the main Mediterranean basins (dashed circles), namely: Tyrrhenian, Adriatic, Ionian , Aegean, and
3 Levantine. Shaded areas represents the two main topographical discontinuities of the Adriatic Sea floor.

4

5 **Figure 2.** PCA plot reflecting the relation between geographic origin of sample and cluster assignment from
6 Structure K=9. Plot made using OBSTRUCT (Gayevskiy *et al.* 2014) .

7


8 **Figure 3.** Phylogenetic network of mtDNA from Mediterranean *Tursiops truncatus*, obtained usin the software
9 Network. Each circle represent a unique haplotype, with size being proportional to the number of samples
10 carrying it. Links represent 1 point mutation between haplotypes, with longer links represented with 1 dark
11 vertical bar for each mutation. Black circles represent haplotypes that wer inferred by the software, but not
12 found in the population. Numbered haplotypes (15, 17, 20, 22, 25, 26, 48) are all similar to North Atlantic
13 Pelagic ecotype haplotypes (Figure S4).

14

15 **Figure 4.** Mismatch distribution of pairwise differences between all Mediterranean *Tursiops truncatus* mtDNA
16 haplotypes, calculated using the software Arlequin. Solid line represents the expected distribution under a
17 spatial expansion model, which does not significantly differ from the observed data (see Results).

18


1 **Figure 1**



2

3


1 Figure 2



2

3


1 Figure 3



2

3

1 Figure 4



2