

Original citation:

Kumar Rout, Bapin , Brooks, Geoff, Rhamdhani , M. Akbar , Li, Zushu, Schrama, Frank N. H. and Sun, Jianjun (2018) Dynamic model of basic oxygen steelmaking process based on multi-zone reaction kinetics : model derivation and validation. Metallurgical and Materials Transactions B . doi:10.1007/s11663-017-1166-7

Permanent WRAP URL:

<http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/97219>

Copyright and reuse:

The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work by researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions. Copyright © and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in WRAP has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

Publisher's statement:

"The final publication is available at Springer via <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11663-017-1166-7>

A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or, version of record, if you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the 'permanent WRAP url' above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: wrap@warwick.ac.uk

Title: Dynamic model of basic oxygen steelmaking process based on multi-zone reaction kinetics: Model derivation and validation

Author 1 (corresponding author)

Name: Bapin Kumar Rout

Affiliation: Faculty of Science, Engineering and Technology, Swinburne University of Technology

Mailing Address: Hawthorn, Victoria, 3122, Australia, Email: brout@swin.edu.au

Phone: +61 3 9214 2837

Author 2

Name: Geoff Brooks

Affiliation: Faculty of Science, Engineering and Technology, Swinburne University of Technology

Mailing Address: Hawthorn, Victoria, 3122, Australia.

Phone: +61 3 9214 5672

Author 3

Name: M. Akbar Rhamdhani

Affiliation: Faculty of Science, Engineering and Technology, Swinburne University of Technology

Mailing Address: Hawthorn, Victoria, 3122, Australia.

Phone: +61 3 9214 8528

Author 4

Name: Zushu Li

Affiliation: WMG, University of Warwick

Mailing Address: WMG, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL United Kingdom

Author 5

Name: Frank N. H. Schrama

Affiliation: Tata Steel, Netherlands.

Mailing Address: Building 4H16, PO Box 10000, 1970 CA IJmuiden, NL

Author 6

Name: Jianjun Sun

Affiliation: Tata Steel, Netherlands.

Mailing Address: Building 4H16, PO Box 10000, 1970 CA IJmuiden, NL

Abstract

A multi-zone kinetic model coupled with a dynamic slag generation model was developed for the simulation of hot metal and slag composition during the BOF operation. The three reaction zones, (i) jet impact zone (ii) slag-bulk metal zone (iii) slag-metal-gas emulsion zone were considered for the calculation of overall refining kinetics. In the rate equations, the transient rate parameters were mathematically described as a function of process variables. A micro and macroscopic rate calculation methodology (micro-kinetics and macro-kinetics) were developed to estimate the total refining contributed by the recirculating metal droplets through the slag-metal emulsion zone. The micro-kinetics involves developing the rate equation for individual droplets in the emulsion. The mathematical models for the size distribution of initial droplets, kinetics of simultaneous refining of elements, the residence time in the emulsion, dynamic interfacial area change were established in the micro-kinetic model. In the macro-kinetics calculation, a droplet generation model was employed and the total amount of refining by emulsion was calculated by summing the refining from the entire population of returning droplets. A dynamic Fe_tO generation model based on oxygen mass balance was developed and coupled with the multi-zone kinetic model. The effect of post-combustion on the evolution of slag and metal composition was investigated. The model was applied to a 200-ton top blowing converter and the simulated value of metal and slag was found to be in good agreement with the measured data. The post-combustion ratio was found to be an important factor in controlling Fe_tO content in the slag and the kinetics of Mn and P in a BOF process.

Keywords: BOF, dynamic model, multi-zone kinetics, slag generation model, kinetics

Introduction

The basic oxygen furnace (BOF) has been a leading route of steel production for more than six decades and become mature in terms of safety, stable operation and maximization in productivity. However, nowadays it faces different challenges e.g. strict quality control, minimizing energy cost, maximizing yield and reducing environmental pollution. Focusing on improving the process by developing fundamental understanding and enabling dynamic correction is the crucial step to optimize the BOF process. A dynamic model that can explain the changes in the critical process parameters based on the events taking place in the furnace operation is a must-have tool for the operators. It can be a base to develop an automatic control system of the process. Therefore, in the recent years, there has been an increasing amount of literature focusing on developing computer based dynamic models for the BOF process. ^[1-13]

Kattenbelt *et al.* ^[9] developed a dynamic model for BOF based on the measured step response of control variables such as oxygen flow rate, lance height and flux addition. Although the authors discussed the mechanism of decarburization reaction based on the work of droplet generation, the size of droplets and residence time in the emulsion, no fundamental relationship to include these parameters was employed in this work. Li *et al.* ^[12] applied the three-stage decarburization theory and applied three separate equations to simulate the decarburization rate. The rate equations were modified with the bath mixing degree, which was described as a function of dynamic lance height. The rate constants of the equations were derived by fitting the data from 67 heats. Similar to Kattenbelt *et al.* ^[9], the dynamic model developed by Li *et al.*, cannot provide a physical insight of the BOF process due to the empiricism involved in deriving the rate parameters.

Understanding that the BOF process rarely attains thermodynamic equilibrium ^[14], the principle of chemical kinetics has appealed to many researchers in quantitative prediction of the refining rates. Several researchers ^[6, 7, 10] have applied the “coupled reaction mechanism” developed by Robertson *et al.* ^[15] to simulate the slag-metal reactions. Pahlevani *et al.* ^[6] employed the coupled reaction mechanism in a single zone kinetic model with the flux dissolution model to simulate the BOF refining reaction. Ogasawara *et al.* ^[7] constructed a dynamic model for dephosphorization by combining coupled reaction model with a dynamic Fe₂O generation model. An oxygen balance method combined with the off-gas data was used to predict Fe₂O in the slag during the blow. In the model built by Lytvynyuk *et al.* ^[10] the coupled reaction model was combined with the thermodynamics and kinetics of involved phases (interfacial surface of iron melt and slag) in one reaction zone to simulate the BOF process. Scrap melting model and flux dissolution model were included in the simulation. The simulated behaviour of metal and slag compositions by the model was validated with the industrial converters of different sizes.

While the above dynamic models based on coupled reaction model found some success in simulating the slag-metal reactions, the biggest challenge in this type of approach is to quantify the rate parameters, especially the slag-metal interfacial area that is a strong function of dynamic process conditions. Due to lack of fundamental basis to quantify rate parameters such as interfacial area, the above kinetic models employed fitting parameters in the model which are derived from the plant-specific data. ^[8, 10, 11, 12]

The major reactions of BOF process are schematically presented in **Fig. 1**. Based on the difference of reaction environments and mass transfer conditions, the primary reactions zones are divided as: (i) jet impact area where the direct reaction between oxygen gas and melt takes place in an extremely hot environment (ii) slag-metal emulsion phase, where the

reaction between metal drops and slag takes place (iii) slag-bulk metal zone, where a permanent phase contact between the slag and bulk metal is realized. Kinetic parameters of the reactions in a zone can be described as a function of interfacial area, temperature and physicochemical nature of phase interactions. Brooks *et al.*^[16] argued that the use of simple first order rate is not appropriate for modeling the BOF process and a transient kinetics approach is necessary to describe the multi-phase heterogeneous reactions. A recent publication by Hewage *et al.*^[17] by analysing the IMPHOS pilot plant data^[18] showed that a single zone with the first order rate equation may be applicable for the simple reaction like Si oxidation, but the compositional change of P, Mn and C cannot be explained by a simple first order kinetics with constant rate parameters. Similarly, Rout *et al.*^[19] analyzed the rate of dephosphorization for a 200-tonne converter data and found that the kinetics of dephosphorization depends on the rate at which the droplets refined in the emulsion and therefore considering the interfacial area at the bulk metal and the slag, cannot simply explain the dephosphorization behaviour.




Figure 1: Schematic representation of reactions in BOF converter

Several other researchers developed multi-zone models for BOF process by dividing the converter into several reaction zones. [2, 4, 5] Jalkanen^[2] developed a physicochemical model for the BOF process by considering the reaction in three different zones of the converter. In the computational model, the three reaction zones were replaced by a generalized reaction zone and the distribution of oxygen among the various impurities was simulated by their individual reaction affinities expressed by Gibb's reaction energies. The model uses several fixed parameters derived from the plant data and the simulated results are only able to capture the qualitative representation of metal and slag compositions. Dogan *et al.*^[6] developed a comprehensive model for decarburization by considering the refining of C in the jet impact and the emulsion zone. The theory of bloated drops in the emulsion and the residence time of the metal drops are successfully incorporated in the model and the model C prediction was found to be consistent with the industrial converter data. However, no FeO prediction model was employed in their study. Sarkar *et al.*'s^[8] dynamic model focused on developing a kinetic treatment to the reactions in the emulsion zone. The Gibb's free energy minimization was

applied for simultaneous oxidation kinetics of elements in the metal droplet. In common with Dogan *et al.*, the model was able to incorporate the phenomena of droplet generation, bloating and residence time model in the overall kinetic equation. However, the model prediction of reactions other than C removal was poor, particularly the reversion of Mn and P. A more recent study by Sasaki *et al.*,^[13] a three zone kinetic model for industrial BOF operation was employed to predict the metal and slag composition successfully. However, the key model details are not available in the open literature.

The present work has been undertaken to develop a dynamic model for BOF process using the multi-zone kinetic theory. The model attempts to capture most of the physiochemical phenomena of the process by considering three primary refining zones commonly observed in a top blowing process. The ejection of droplets, phenomena of droplet “bloating” due to nucleation of CO gas, and detailed reaction kinetics of droplets for a multicomponent system in the emulsion phase were successfully taken into account in the dynamic model. The overall model was validated with the measured data of a 200-ton industrial converter. The details of the development of the global model and its validation with the industrial data are presented in this paper, while the kinetic models of decarburization and demanganisation are described in separate papers.^[20, 21]

1. Model concepts and mathematical formulation

Mathematical treatment to the kinetics of the reactions occurring in each reaction zone has been developed to simulate the overall refining rate of liquid metal. Table 1 shows reaction zones considered for refining of individual impurities in the converter. It is well understood that the removal of phosphorus needs a basic slag due to thermodynamic instability of P_2O_5 at steelmaking temperature. Due to the large impact force exerted by the gas jet on the bath surface, the slag beneath the jet is entirely pushed away from the jet impact zone to the

periphery region and the oxygen gas directly reacts with the hot metal.^[22] Therefore P removal in the jet impact zone is ignored in this study.

The rate equations that describe the refining in the different zones of the converter and the transient kinetic parameters are listed in Table 2.

The overall rate of refining can be described by the following equation:

$$\left. \frac{d(W_m C_{jm})}{dt} \right|_{overall}^t = \left. \frac{d(W_m C_{jm})}{dt} \right|_{iz}^t + \left. \frac{d(W_m C_{jm})}{dt} \right|_{sm}^t + \left. \frac{d(W_m C_{jm})}{dt} \right|_{em}^t \quad (11)$$

1.1. Jet impact zone

The kinetics of oxidation of Si, Mn in jet impact zone was assumed to be controlled by mass transport in the liquid phase. It is due to rapid dissolution of oxygen in the melt as a result of high temperature prevailing in the hot spot region. The mass transfer coefficient of Si, Mn (k_m^{gm}) in the metal phase has been calculated as a function of stirring energy and geometrical parameters of the furnace (see Appendix A.1).^[23] The interfacial concentration C_{im} has been calculated assuming dynamic equilibrium between the reactants and products at the gas/metal interface. The rate parameters for carbon oxidation (k_a and k_g in Eq. 3 and Eq. 4) has been simulated by mixed controlled kinetics, including the gas phase mass transfer and chemical reaction kinetics as rate determining steps.^[24] Below a critical level of C the rate of decarburization was assumed to be controlled by carbon diffusion in metal phase.^[25,26] It has been reported that the value of critical carbon may lie between 0.3 to 0.8 wt pct depending on the oxygen flow rate. In the present study, a fixed value of critical carbon of 0.3 wt pct was considered.^[25,26] The detail mathematical model for C, Si and Mn oxidation kinetics in jet impact zone can be found elsewhere.^[20, 21, 27]

174 The interfacial area was assumed to be the area of the cavity created by the top jet. The
 175 surface area of the jet impact was considered to be paraboloid in shape^[28] and was calculated
 176 as a function of lance height and oxygen flow rate.

$$A_{cav} = \int_0^r 2\pi r_{cav} \left(1 + \left(\frac{dh}{dr} \right)^2 \right) dr \quad (12)$$

177 Where A_{cav} is the area of the individual cavity, h is the height and r_{cav} is the radius of the
 178 cavity. The analytical solution to Eq. 12 can be expressed as:

$$A_{cav} = \frac{\pi r_{cav}^4}{6h^2} \left[\left(1 + \frac{4h^2}{r_{cav}^2} \right)^{3/2} - 1 \right] \quad (13)$$

179 The height and radius of the cavity were calculated by using the dimensionless correlations
 180 suggested by Koria and Lange.^[29] The detailed calculation regarding the cavity dimensions is
 181 given in Appendix A.2.

182 It has been observed that the jet cavity formed by each nozzle does not overlap each other
 183 when the jet angle exceeds 10 degree. Therefore, in the present work (nozzle angle of 17.5
 184 degree), the total cavity area has been estimated by multiplying individual cavity area by the
 185 number of nozzles in the lance tip.

$$A_{iz} = n_n A_{cav} \quad (14)$$

186 Here n_n is the number of nozzles and A_{iz} is the total surface area of jet impact. The change of
 187 cavity shape due to surface oscillation was neglected since it exerts little effect on the final
 188 area calculation.^[28] The rate equations 1 to 4, described in Table 2, has been employed to
 189 determine the weight of refining of C, Si, Mn in the jet impact area.

1.2. Emulsion zone

Many researchers suggested that rapid refining of hot metal in a BOF process proceed via the formation of slag-metal-gas emulsion zone.^[18, 30, 31] However, the proportion of refining brought by emulsion zone to the overall bulk metal refining is not clear from the past studies. The mechanism of refining of hot metal by the metal droplet circulation in emulsion zone is schematically illustrated in **Fig. 2**. The droplets ejected from the liquid metal, initially carry the melt concentration and once it remains in contact with the oxidising slag, refining of impurity elements begin to take place. From the laboratory scale study of droplets, it has been observed that the formation of CO either inside or on the surface of the drops as a result of the decarburisation reaction, makes the droplet buoyant and increase the residence time in the emulsion. Fruehan and co-workers^[31] were able to capture the phenomena of “bloating” of a metal droplet in a steelmaking type of slag by X-ray fluoroscopy technique. The important aspect of “bloating” is that it increases the residence time of metal droplets in the emulsion, which allows the metal droplets to react with slag for a long period. The continuous creation of large surface area by the formation of small size drops and high reaction time in the emulsion is believed to be a prominent mechanism of BOF refining process. ^[18, 30, 31]

The process of refining by emulsion can be visualized in two stages: refining of a single droplet and overall refining by all the droplets. Note that the droplets present in the emulsion at a given blowing time can undergo different physicochemical process depending on their time of the ejection, initial size and residence time. The mathematical treatment to model the refining of bulk metal by the emulsion zone has been divided into two stages:

1. Rate of refining between an individual metal droplet and slag – Microkinetics approach

2. Overall rate of refining by the entire population of the metal droplets – Macrokinetics approach




Figure 2: Schematic representation of the refining mechanism in the emulsion zone

1.2.1 Microkinetics of droplet refining in emulsion

The rate equation for refining of elements of a single droplet during the time of residence inside the emulsion can be presented by a first order rate law as presented in Eq. 6 in Table 2. The mathematical treatment to simulate the transient rate parameters such as interfacial area, mass transfer coefficient and interface concentration in the rate equation is presented in the following sections.

1.2.1.1 Simultaneous refining kinetics of impurities

The kinetic model suggested by Brooks *et al.*,^[32] which uses surface renewal method of carbon diffusion, has been applied to simulate the rate of decarburisation of droplets in the emulsion zone. This approach has been found to be mathematically reliable in connecting the bloating behaviour of droplets to the overall decarburisation kinetics in the emulsion zone. As suggested by Dogan *et al.*,^[6] since there are plenty of oxygen available in the system, the rate of CO formation may be rapid and carbon diffusion can be the rate controlling step for a bloated droplet. While there is no collective agreement regarding the rate determining step of the decarburisation kinetics of droplet, the authors have used the above mentioned approach to connect the bloating phenomena of the droplets to the overall refining of the BOF process. However, further work is necessary to establish an accurate kinetic model for decarburisation.

The fundamental understanding of the simultaneous mass transfer of Si, C, Mn and P across the boundary between the metal droplet and slag interface is limited in the steelmaking literature. There are only a few laboratory scale studies on the kinetics of Fe-C-S^[33], Fe-C-P^[34, 35], Fe-C-P-S^[36] and Fe-C-Si-Mn^[37]. The following observations regarding the kinetics of metal droplets in the slag can be made from the past studies:

1. The rate of C removal slows down in the presence of Si and Mn in the droplet.^[37]
2. The rate of phosphorus removal is very rapid in the presence of C in the droplet. Phosphorus in the droplet reaches the equilibrium concentration within a few seconds after it enters into the oxidising slag.^[34, 35, 36]
3. Internal nucleation of CO gas increases the kinetics of P transfer and an increase of S level in the droplet influences the CO formation rate.^[38]

Based on these observations, a mechanism of the simultaneous kinetics of C, Si, Mn and P at droplet and slag interface was proposed. According to the proposed reaction mechanism, shown in **Fig. 3**, the oxidation kinetics of Si, P, and Mn proceeds at rapid rate and approaches the equilibrium within a few seconds after the metal drops enters into the emulsion phase. Gaye *et al.*^[34] Geiger *et al.*^[35] and more recently Gu *et al.*^[36] observed that the kinetics of P for Fe-C-P is very rapid and attains the equilibrium value in 10 s. It is further proposed that the quick formation of surface active oxides like SiO_2 and P_2O_5 slow down the kinetics of decarburisation by blocking the reaction sites for C and FeO reaction. The detail calculation of mass transfer coefficient of carbon in the presence of surface active oxides is discussed elsewhere.^[20] Carbon refining in a bloated droplet continues until it attains the equilibrium and once the CO gas escapes, the dense and refined drops return to the metal bath.




Figure 3: Proposed refining mechanism of metal droplets in the slag-metal emulsion

1.2.1.2 Mass transfer coefficient

Several researchers suggested that Higbie's penetration theory can be used to model the mass transfer coefficient of decarburization rate of a moving metal droplet in the slag-metal emulsion.^[32, 39] According to Higbie's theory, it has been assumed that when a metal droplet

264 moves (ascends, descends or floats) in the slag-metal emulsion domain, the slag packets are
 265 brought into contact by turbulent eddy and undergo unsteady state diffusion or penetration by
 266 the transferred species during its contact time. For a bubble-agitated stirring system, the
 267 calculation of contact time is uncertain, and there is apparently no reliable method available
 268 to estimate it. However, for a simple geometry like a spherical droplet which ascends or
 269 descends in the slag layer, the contact time can be assumed to be the ratio of diameter to the
 270 velocity of the spherical bubble.^[39] The mass transfer coefficient in the metal phase can be
 271 calculated as:

$$k_{jm}^d = 2 \times \sqrt{\frac{D_j}{\pi t_c}} = 2 \times \sqrt{\frac{D_j u}{\pi d_p}} \quad (15)$$

272 Where k_{jm}^d is the mass transfer coefficient in metal phase, D_j is the diffusion coefficient of
 273 the j^{th} element in metal drop, t_c is the contact time of the slag packet with the metal drop, u
 274 is the velocity of the drop and d_p is the average diameter of the drop corresponding to the size
 275 class p . The diffusion coefficient of C, Si, Mn and P has been taken from the reported data of
 276 solute diffusivity values of elements in the liquid Fe-C alloy at 1873K (see Table 4). Further,
 277 the temperature and viscosity effect on mass diffusivity was taken into account by applying
 278 the Stokes- Einstein equation.

$$D_T = D_{1873} \left(\frac{T}{1873} \right) \times \left(\frac{\mu_{m,1873}}{\mu_{m,T}} \right) \quad \#(16)$$

279 Where D_T is the diffusivity at temperature T (m^2/s), D_{1873} is the diffusivity of species at T
 280 $=1873\text{K}$ (m^2/s), T is the temperature (K), $\mu_{m,1873}$ and $\mu_{m,T}$ are the viscosity of hot metal at
 281 1873K and T respectively. In the present work the effect of temperature on viscosity has been
 282 neglected.

On the slag side, it is assumed that the metal droplet is a rigid sphere with the stream of slag surrounding it. Due to high Schmidt number prevailing in steelmaking systems, the boundary layer is considered laminar and the effect of turbulence on mass transfer coefficient can be neglected. According to Oeter^[40], the mass transfer coefficient in slag phase (k_s^d) can be determined by the following equation:

$$Sh = 2 + 0.6Re^{1/2}Sc^{1/3} \quad (17)$$

Where Sh is the Sherwood number, Re is the Reynold number and Sc is the Schmidt number. The ion diffusivity in slag, D_{slag} (in Sherwood number calculation) was taken to be 5×10^{-10} m²/s. ^[40]

Liquid phase mass transfer control has been assumed for decarburization reaction in the droplets. However, the reactions of Si, Mn and P were assumed to be controlled by both mass transfers in metal and the slag. The overall mass transfer coefficient (k_d^{em}) of the metal droplet in slag, assuming a mixed transport controlled reaction kinetics can be written as: ^[41]

$$\frac{1}{k_d^{em}} = \frac{1}{k_{jm}^d} + \frac{\rho_m}{k_s^d \rho_s L_j} \quad (18)$$

Here k_{jm}^d and k_s^d are the mass transfer coefficient in metal and slag phase respectively. ρ_m and ρ_s are the densities of metal and slag respectively. L_j is the equilibrium distribution ratio between the slag and metal droplet.

1.2.1.3 Interfacial concentration

The instantaneous equilibrium between the reactants and products has been assumed at the metal drop and slag interface. Slag-bulk metal equilibria were applied to estimate the equilibrium concentration of each component at the metal drop interface. The equilibrium

concentration of carbon was determined by calculating the activity coefficient, concentration and the equilibrium value. It has been observed that both temperature and composition have strong effect on the activity coefficient of C and therefore a polynomial equation of f_c as a function both C and temperature proposed by Chou et al.^[25] has been used in this work. The raoultian activity of iron oxide has been simulated as a function of slag composition and temperature by applying regular solution model.^[42] In the case of Si, Mn and P, the equilibrium distribution ratio as a function of the composition and the temperature has been used for the estimation of interfacial concentration

$$[wt\ pct\ C_{ji}] = \frac{(wt\ pct\ C_j)}{L_j} \quad (19)$$

where $[C_{ji}]$ is the concentration (wt pct) at the slag/metal interface, (C_j) is the concentration (wt pct) in the slag and L_j is the equilibrium partition ratio between the metal and slag.

The experimental data reported by Narita *et al.*^[43], were used to develop a linear correlation of interfacial Si concentration between the metal and slag as a function of slag FeO (< 40 wt pct). The equilibrium distribution ratio suggested by Suito *et al.*^[44], which is valid for CaO-SiO₂-FeO type slag with MnO concentration varying up to 16 wt pct was used to calculate the interfacial manganese concentration. Cicutti *et al.*^[45] reported that the equilibrium value of P predicted by the regular solution model agrees well with the oxidation and reversion behaviour of P in an industrial furnace. Thus, in the present work, the P partition ratio was determined by regular solution model. The evaluation of interfacial concentration at the metal drop and slag boundary for various impurities (C, Si, Mn and P) is illustrated in Table 3. The equilibrium distribution ratio models are illustrated in Appendix A.3.

1.2.1.4 Dynamic interfacial area of the droplet

The change in the area and volume of the metal droplet due to bloating phenomena have been estimated by an empirical correlation for density variation as a function of decarburization rate, suggested by Brooks *et al.*^[32], based on the experimental measurements by Molloseau and Fruehan^[32]:

$$\rho_d = \begin{cases} \rho_{d_0} \frac{r_c^*}{r_c}, & r_c > r_c^* \\ \rho_{d_0}, & r_c \leq r_c^* \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

Where, ρ_{d_0} is the initial droplet density before bloating, ρ_d is the droplet density during the decarburisation reaction, r_c is the decarburisation rate and r_c^* is the critical decarburisation rate, which is empirically correlated with the iron oxide concentration in the slag. The critical decarburisation rate (r_c^*) has been evaluated by the following empirical relationship.^[37]

$$r_c^* = \begin{cases} 2.86 \times 10^{-4} \times 20, & \text{wt pct FeO} > 20 \\ 2.86 \times 10^{-4} \times (\text{wt pct FeO}), & \text{wt pct FeO} \leq 20 \end{cases} \quad \#(21)$$

Assuming the droplets are spherical in shape, the following equations have been used to calculate the evolution of the surface area of a droplet as a function of residence time in the emulsion phase:

$$d_p(t) = \left(\frac{6}{\pi} \times \frac{m_d}{\rho_d(t)} \right)^{1/3} \quad (22)$$

$$A_d(t) = \pi \times d_p(t)^2 \quad (23)$$

where m_d is the mass of the ejected droplet and $d_p(t)$ and $\rho_d(t)$ are the time varying diameter and density of droplet in the emulsion .

1.2.1.5 Size distribution of droplets

The size of ejected droplets can exert significant influence on reaction kinetics in the emulsion. ^[18] Therefore, a size distribution model was applied to calculate the diameter of droplets at the place of their birth from the bath. The model assumes that the size distribution of metal droplets follow Rosin-Rammler-Sperling (RRS) distribution function. ^[45, 46]

$$R_s = 100 e^{\left[-\left(\frac{d}{d'}\right)^n\right]} \text{ in wt \%} \quad (24)$$

where R_s is the quantity of screen oversizes with diameter d . n and d' are parameters of distribution function, which represent homogeneity of distribution and the measure of fineness respectively.

The granules of metal droplets collected from the emulsion by Cicutti *et al.* ^[45] was found to vary between 2.3×10^{-4} m to 3.35×10^{-3} m. In this work, the similar droplet size spectrum has been used to determine the initial size distribution of ejected droplets. The total range of droplet size has been divided into ten classes with a mean diameter of d_p for each size class. The average diameter increment between two adjacent classes was taken to be 3.12×10^{-4} m. The proportion of droplet weight $W_{d,p}$ corresponds to class p , was obtained by applying the RRS distribution function as follows:

$$W_{d,p} = W_{d,total} \left(\exp\left[-\left(\frac{d_{p+1}}{d'}\right)^n\right] - \exp\left[-\left(\frac{d_p}{d'}\right)^n\right] \right) \quad (25)$$

351 Where $W_{d, total}$ is the total number of droplets ejected at a time interval of Δt which has been
 352 calculated by Eq. 26:

$$W_{d, total} = R_{B,T} \times \Delta t \quad (26)$$

353 Where $R_{B,T}$ is the modified droplet generation rate (kg/s), defined by author's previous work
 354 [45].

$$\frac{R_{B,T}}{F_{G,T}} = \frac{(N_{B,T})^{3.2}}{\left[2.6 \times 10^6 + 2.0 \times 10^{-4} (N_{B,T})^{12}\right]^{0.2}} \#(27)$$

355 Where $F_{G,T}$ and $N_{B,T}$ are the temperature corrected volumetric flow rate and modified
 356 blowing number respectively and $R_{B,T}$ is the amount of droplet generated per volume of gas.
 357 The detail calculation of temperature modified blowing number ($N_{B,T}$) and gas flow rate (
 358 $F_{G,T}$) can be found elsewhere.^[47]

359 The parameters of the distribution function n and d' were chosen such a way that about 95%
 360 of the particles lie between 2.3×10^{-4} m to 3.35×10^{-3} m. By using the nonlinear least square
 361 fitting, the values of n and d' are estimated to be 1.75 and 1.26 respectively. The parameters
 362 in the RRS distribution function presented here may not be universal as the value of d' , is a
 363 function of blowing conditions.^[46,48] The present value of n falls in the same range
 364 (1.44 ± 0.43) suggested by Subagyo *et al.*^[48]

365 **1.2.1.6 Residence time of the droplets**

366 The mathematical model for the residence time of the metal droplets was based on the
 367 principle of ballistic motion, as proposed by Brooks *et al.*^[32] The trajectory of a droplet in
 368 both vertical and horizontal direction was calculated by the force balance method with taking

369 into account the dynamic change in density under the influence of bloating. Thus, the
 370 decarburisation rate was coupled with the equation of motion to estimate the density change
 371 in the emulsion. In the present model, it has been assumed that the droplets are ejected into
 372 the emulsion with a certain angle with respect to the melt surface. The following force
 373 balance equations have been solved in a two dimensional coordinate (r,z) to determine the
 374 trajectory of a metal droplet:

375 Force balance along the vertical direction (z-axis):

$$\rho_d V_d \frac{du_z}{dt} = F_B - F_G - F_{D,z} - F_{A,z} \quad (28)$$

376 Force balance along the horizontal direction (r-axis):

$$\rho_d V_d \frac{du_r}{dt} = -F_{D,r} - F_{A,r} \quad (29)$$

377 where u_z and u_r are the velocity of the drop in z and r directions. The forces F_B , F_G , $F_{D,z}$, $F_{A,z}$
 378 are buoyancy force, gravitational force, drag force and added mass force respectively.
 379 Assuming the droplets to be sphere of diameter d_p , the motion of the droplets can be
 380 described by the following differential equations:

$$\frac{du_z}{dt} = \frac{2(\rho_s - \rho_d)g}{\rho_s + 2\rho_d} - \frac{\rho_s C_{D,z} A_d}{(\rho_s + 2\rho_d) V_d} u_z^2 \quad (30)$$

$$\frac{du_r}{dt} = - \frac{\rho_s C_{D,r} A_d}{(\rho_s + 2\rho_d) V_d} u_r^2 \quad (31)$$

381 The drag coefficient $C_{D,z}$ and $C_{D,r}$ in both z and r direction are calculated as a function of
 382 Reynolds number.

The initial velocity at the place of birth of the droplet was calculated by applying energy conservation principle suggested by Subagyo *et al.*^[49]:

$$\frac{E_{kd}}{E_{kg}} = 0.00143N_{B,T}^{0.7} \# (32)$$

where E_{kd} is the total kinetic energy absorbed by the droplets by the blowing gas per unit time and E_{kg} is the amount of energy created by the blowing gas per time. The equation of motion of droplet in both horizontal and vertical directions described by Eqs. 29 to 31 with Eq. 20 and 21 have been solved simultaneously to determine the trajectory and residence time of the bloated droplets. The residence time model determines the total time the droplet resides in the emulsion as a function of initial size, ejection angle, initial velocity and the slag properties.

1.2.1.7 Temperature at metal drop-slag interface

During the oxygen blowing process, the metal droplets are ejected from a localized superheated zone underneath the oxygen jet. Doh *et al.*^[50], by coupling chemical reaction of post-combustion with computational fluid dynamics, reported that the maximum temperature of the flame front (as a result of post-combustion reaction) is located near to the bath surface. Since the metal drops are ejected from the jet impact area, the temperature of the droplet interface is expected to experience higher temperature than the bulk melt. During the flight time of drops in the emulsion, a gradual decreasing in temperature can be expected due to heat dissipation to the surrounding. Since temperature exerts a significant effect on the mass transfer coefficient and the equilibrium concentration at the reaction interface, a model has been proposed to estimate the interfacial temperature of the metal droplet in slag. It was assumed that the metal droplets are rigid spheres and are more likely to exhibit hot spot temperature at the time of ejection. According to Chao^[51], the surface temperature of a

spherical metal drop having an initial temperature, T_0 and a uniform temperature of T_∞ , when enters inside the emulsion, can be calculated as:

$$T_{drop} = T_0 + \frac{T_0 - T_\infty}{1 + \beta} \quad (33)$$

406

$$\beta = \left(\frac{\lambda_m C_{p,m} \rho_m}{\lambda_s C_{p,s} \rho_s} \right)^{1/2} \quad (34)$$

where λ is the conductivity (W/mK), C_p is the heat capacity (J/kg). The subscript m, s corresponds to hot metal and slag. T_{drop} , T_0 and T_∞ represents the temperature at the droplet interface in the emulsion, temperature of the droplet at the time of ejection and the emulsion temperature respectively.

Here we assumed $T_0 = T_{iz}$ and $T_\infty = T_s$ for the calculation of the temperature at the droplet interface. The heat capacity of the slag was calculated by the weighted average of the heat capacity of the individual oxide species in the slag.

$$C_{p,s} = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i C_{p,i} \quad (35)$$

Where y_i is the wt pct and $C_{p,i}$ is the heat capacity of oxides in the slag. The values of thermal conductivity and heat capacity of steel and slag used for this model are given in Table 4.

1.2.2 Macrokinetics- Estimation of total refining rate by the emulsion

The difference between the total weight of impurities (C, Si, Mn and P) ejected into the emulsion and returning to the bath, as represented by Eq. 7, was calculated at each time step to determine the overall refining rate by the emulsion zone. The total weight of impurities in the ejected metal droplets in the time step, Δt was determined by estimating the droplet

generation rate and the bath concentration as presented in Eq. 8 (Table 2). The total mass of impurities (in the droplets) returns to the bath at time t , was calculated from the refined concentration, a number of droplets, the weight of droplet and residence time for all the size groups, described by Eq. 9 (Table 2). The number of droplets returning to the bath for a particular size class was calculated from the proportional weight and average size of the droplets in the same size class. In a particular group, a uniform droplet size of all the ejecting droplets was assumed in the model calculation.

1.3. Slag-bulk metal zone

Due to the impact force of the top gas jet, the slag formed in the jet impact is likely to be pushed outwardly from the cavity and a region of permanent contact between the slag and metal can establish in the region near to the refractory wall of the vessel. This region was considered as slag-bulk metal zone and the impurities in the hot metal react with the slag to form their respective oxides. The condition of mixed controlled mass transfer was applied to estimate the reaction kinetics at the slag-bulk metal interface. Overall mass transfer coefficient was determined by Eq. 18. Similar to the jet impact zone, the mass transfer coefficient in the metal phase was calculated by using the correlation suggested by Kitamura *et al.*^[23] as a function of bath geometry, temperature and stirring energy. The slag side mass transfer coefficient was determined as a function of stirring power and temperature.^[7] The mathematical expressions for the mass transfer correlations are presented in Appendix A.1.

The area of slag metal (A_{sm}) interface was calculated by subtracting the cavity area from the geometrical area of the bath surface. For non-coalescence cavities, the area of slag-bulk metal interface can be expressed by the following equation:

$$A_{sm} = \pi \left(\frac{D_b^2}{4} - n_n \times r_{cav}^2 \right) \quad (36)$$

Here D_b is the diameter of the bath surface (m), n_n is the number of nozzles in the lance tip and r_{cav} is the radius of the jet cavity (m).

In this study, the effect of surface oscillation was neglected in the calculation of the interfacial area between slag and bulk metal. The instantaneous equilibrium between the reactants and products was assumed at each computational time step and the interfacial concentration was determined from the partition ratio correlations described for slag-metal drop interface Table 3. The temperature and the concentration of bulk metal instead of metal droplet were applied in evaluating the interfacial concentration at the slag-bulk metal phase boundary.

1.4. Dynamic slag generation model

The rate equations for C, Si, Mn and P described in Table 2 need the dynamic input of slag oxide compositions to evaluate the kinetic parameters such as interfacial concentration and residence time of metal drops in the emulsion phase. A dynamic slag generation model was coupled with the multi-zone kinetic model for simultaneous estimation of slag and hot metal composition during the blow. Modeling of lime and dolomite dissolution was developed as a function of temperature, slag composition and stirring intensity as proposed by Dogan *et al.*^[55] The saturation concentration of CaO and MgO was calculated as a function of slag composition and temperature using Factsage 7.1^[56] thermodynamic package and were given as dynamic input to the model.

1.4.1 FeO generation model

The FeO generation model was developed by the method of oxygen balance inside the converter.^[7] It was assumed that every mole of oxygen injected into the converter consumed by the chemical reactions. The difference between the mass of oxygen input and the oxygen consumed by oxidation of Si, Mn, P, C and CO, was used to calculate the oxygen available

for iron oxide formation in slag. The weight of oxygen injected into the furnace via top blowing and the oxygen contained in the iron ore was considered as model inputs. Oxygen consumption by C, Si, Mn, P and CO was evaluated from the kinetic models at each time step. **Figure 4** shows the schematic of dynamic Fe_tO calculation in slag by the method of oxygen balance. A fixed ratio of $\text{FeO}/\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 = 0.3$ was considered at the slag and hot metal phase boundary.^[57] The total iron oxide ($\%\text{Fe}_t\text{O}$) in slag, at a given time step, was estimated from the available oxygen (kg) and the slag weight. The weight of slag was calculated by adding individual oxide components in slag, generated from oxidation reactions and dissolved flux at each computational time step.




Figure 4: Model for Fe_tO evolution during blowing period

The oxygen mass balance equation for the calculation of iron oxide concentration in slag can be expressed by the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
0.01 \times \frac{16}{71.5} \times W_s^t \times \frac{d(\%FeO)}{dt} = & \left(\frac{dW_{O_2}}{dt} + \frac{48}{159.7} \times \frac{dW_{ore}}{dt} \right) - 0.01 \times \frac{16}{12} W_m^t \times \\
(1 - PCR) \times \frac{d[\%C]}{dt} - 0.01 \times \frac{16}{12} W_m^t \times PCR \times \frac{d[\%C]}{dt} - 0.01 \times \frac{32}{28} W_m^t \times \frac{d[\%Si]}{dt} - & (37) \\
0.01 \times \frac{16}{55} W_m^t \times \frac{d[\%Mn]}{dt} - 0.01 \times \frac{80}{62} W_m^t \times \frac{d[\%P]}{dt} - 0.01 \times W_m^t \times \frac{d[\%O]_b}{dt}
\end{aligned}$$

481 where W_{O_2} is the weight of injected oxygen, W_{ore} is the weight of ore and PCR denotes the
482 post combustion ratio. W_m^t and W_s^t are the hot metal and slag weight respectively, expressed
483 by Eq. 38 and 39.

$$W_m^t = W_m^{t-\Delta t} - \Delta W_{m,ref}^t + \left(\frac{dW_{sc}^m}{dt} \right) \Delta t + \frac{2M_{Fe}}{M_{Fe_2O_3}} \left(\frac{dW_{ore}}{dt} \right) \Delta t \quad (38)$$

484

$$W_s^t = W_s^{t-\Delta t} + \Delta W_{MOx} + \left(\frac{dW_L}{dt} \right) \Delta t + \left(\frac{dW_D}{dt} \right) \Delta t \quad (39)$$

485 Where, $\Delta W_{m,ref}^t$ is the weight of refined hot metal, $\frac{dW_{sc}^m}{dt}$ is the scrap melting rate, M_{Fe} and
486 $M_{Fe_2O_3}$ are the molar mass of iron and iron (III) oxide. In Eq. 39, ΔW_{MOx} is the sum of all the
487 oxide, $\frac{dW_L}{dt}$ and $\frac{dW_D}{dt}$ are the dissolution rate of lime and dolomite respectively.

488 In the above calculation, it was assumed that the top gas contains 100% oxygen and the iron
489 ore was considered to be pure hematite (Fe_2O_3). The dissolved oxygen concentration in the
490 bulk metal has been calculated from the equilibrium value with the slag ($(FeO) = [Fe] + [O]$).

1.5. Post-combustion




Figure 5: Post-combustion profile used for Fe_tO generation model. PCR- (post-combustion ratio)

As can be seen from Eq. 37, the estimation of wt pct Fe_tO in the slag needs the quantitative information of how much oxygen consumed by CO to form CO_2 . It has been observed that the mechanism of post-combustion in the converter is complex, resulting from heterogeneous chemical reactions occurring in the unsteady state. The dynamic process variables like the change in lance height, scrap characteristics, oxygen flow rate and the height of slag foaming exert a substantial effect on the post-combustion ratio.^[58] These variables change rapidly particularly during the initial stage of blowing. Due to the above complexity, a simplified approach was considered in order to investigate the effect of post-combustion on Fe_tO evolution during the blowing process. Two profiles of post combustion ratio (PCR), based on the observed plant data were considered in the model calculations. In profile 1, a dynamic PCR profile in which the concentration CO was assumed to change linearly during 0 to 20%

and 80 to 100% of blowing time.^[59] In profile 2, a constant PCR value of 0.08 was taken throughout the blow. The two different PCR profile employed in the model calculations are illustrated in **Fig. 5**.

2. Computation model development




Figure 6: A three zone kinetic model for prediction of metal and slag composition during blowing period of a top/combined blowing steelmaking converter process

For better representation of overall process model and interaction between various phases, the system has been divided into three reaction zones and several sub-models are developed to estimate the thermodynamic and kinetic parameters of refining reactions in each zone. **Figure 6** illustrates the schematic of the three reaction zones and the sub-models in each zone. The reaction zones are connected each other by material and heat flow. Metal and slag transfer takes place at jet impact and slag-bulk metal boundary whereas metal drops and slag transfer takes place between the emulsion and hot metal. The mass flows such as hot metal, scrap, and iron ore are given as input to the hot metal reaction zone. The dynamic parameters such as oxygen flow rate, lance height, bottom blowing rate, and flux addition were given as input to

the sub-models in each reaction zone. Each submodel is built separately and finally connected each other to simulate the overall process. For example, a droplet generation model was built separately and connected with micro-kinetic model for the droplet to estimate the total rate of refining in emulsion zone.

2.1. Assumptions

The following assumptions were taken during the formulations of the dynamic model.

1. The reactions in the BOF were confined to three primary regions. The possibility of several other reactions such as between the refractory material and slag/metal, reverse emulsification (slag drops inside bulk metal) were ignored in this study.
2. A heat balance model to calculate the temperature of metal and slag has not been included in this study. A linear temperature profile, which varies between 1623K (1350 °C) to 1923K (1650 °C) during the blowing period, was used for the calculation of hot metal temperature. The slag temperature was considered 100 °C higher than the hot metal temperature. ^[5] The authors are aware that a linear temperature profile is simplified assumption, may be ideally suited for the Cicutti's heat data (measured bath temperature varies linearly during the blow). However, in real steelmaking practice, the type and amount of scrap or flux addition practice can have a significant impact on the thermal profile of hot metal, which need to be taken into account in the dynamic model.
3. It was assumed that 30 ton of scrap had been melted entirely during first 7 minutes of the blow. A linear scrap dissolution rate, based on the model result by Dogan *et al.* ^[5] was used. The linear melting rate assumption may not be necessarily correct since the melting (and dissolution) of scrap proceeds with the formation of solidified pig iron layer on the top at the beginning period and it delays the melting process. In the

present work, a simplified assumption of rapid melting of the shell is considered to demonstrate the general principle of the multi-zone kinetic model in a BOF process.

4. Iron ore was charged into the furnace during the initial stage of furnace operation. It was assumed that the dissolution of iron ore completes during the first 2 minutes of the blow.
5. The lime and dolomite particles added into the furnace are assumed spherical having diameter 0.045 m and 0.03 m respectively. One ton of lime and 1.7 ton of dolomite were added before the start of the blow. The remaining amount of lime was added in a continuous interval within 7 minutes of the blow. The remaining dolomite was added 7 min after the start of the blow.
6. The droplets ejected from the melt were assumed as spherical in shape. The angle of inclination of the droplets is assumed 60° with respect to the bath surface. In a practical BOF operation, a small fraction of metal fragments are escaped from the mouth of the converter and some are caught by the jet and return to the melt phase. However, in the present model, it was assumed that all the droplets ejected from the melt participate in the reactions in the emulsion zone. The effect of bottom flow rate on droplet generation was ignored in this study.
7. While discretizing the continuous process of droplet generation, it was assumed that all the droplets in a given time step Δt are ejected simultaneously at the start of each computational step.
8. The motion of metal droplets in the emulsion is influenced by the density and viscosity of the slag-gas continuum. Ito and Fruehan reported that the gas volume fraction in the emulsion varies from 0.7 to 0.9.^[60] The average value of 0.8 has been adopted in the model.

570 **2.2. Computational strategy**




Figure 7: Algorithm for BOF dynamic model

573 The numerical program uses explicit finite difference method, which marches forward with
574 time, solving for the bath and slag composition at next time step by using the input
575 parameters calculated in the previous time step. The solution starts at the second time step
576 based on the initial conditions, which were given as an input to the model. The computational
577 platform uses a central model where the calculation of liquid metal concentration, slag
578 composition, slag weight and hot metal weight takes place and several sub-models to evaluate
579 the transient rate parameters. The central model has been connected in parallel with the sub-
580 models.

581 **Figure 7** demonstrates the flowchart of the computation program of the complete
582 mathematical model. Initially, the value of the global parameters such as constants, properties
583 of slag and metal (e.g., density, molecular weight) were given as input to the model. At the
584 start of the program, the parameters such as slag compositions, metal chemistry, hot metal
585 weight, slag weight, the temperature of metal and slag have been given as initial input to the
586 computational program.

587 The simulation starts after 2.2 minutes of the blow, as the data of slag and metal became
588 available after this time. Once, the step size was selected, the dynamic process variables such
589 as lance height, oxygen flow rate, bottom blowing flow rate were given as input to the model
590 at each time step by predefined functions obtained from converter operation. The flux
591 dissolution models compute the amount of lime and dolomite dissolved in slag at each time
592 based on the dynamic flux addition inputs. The amount of droplet generated from the melt
593 was calculated by the modified droplet generation sub-model and has been used to estimate
594 the total refining by the emulsion zone. In the emulsion zone, a time step of 0.0001s was
595 chosen to calculate the trajectory of metal drops. The rate of refining of hot metal from the
596 different zones was computed at each time step. The overall rate of C, Si, Mn and P in the

previous time step was used to calculate the weight of iron oxide (W_{FeO}) generated at each blowing time. The weight of slag evolution at each time step was evaluated by summing all the oxides of Si, Mn, P and Fe with the dissolved amount of flux. Once the weight of metal and slag are known, mass balance is performed to predict the wt pct of metal and slag composition at $t+\Delta t$. The calculation continues until the time reaches the total blowing time of BOF operation.

2.3. Input data

The initial input and the process parameters used for the model were taken from a 200-ton LD converter studied by Cicutti *et al*^[45] Table 5 shows the complete list of parameters used to develop the model. The metal and slag sample in their work was collected from the mouth of the converter by use of a special sampling device. The initial value of slag and metal compositions were taken as the input to the model. The measured slag and metal composition at different intervals of blowing time were used to validate of the model predictions. The blowing profiles (both top and bottom) employed in the converter operation were given as dynamic input to the model. The other parameters used for calculating the physicochemical properties of slag, metal and gas are listed in Table 5.

2.4. Steady state solution

To establish the optimal solution, a mathematical convergence analysis was performed for different iterative time steps. Numerical stability of the solution is reached when the solutions for various time steps are converged. **Figure 8** shows the predicted value of carbon concentration in the bath as a function of blowing time for the different value of computation time. The time step (Δt) was varied from 0.5 to 10 second and the decarburisation profile was produced for each time step. As can be seen from **Fig. 8**, when the time step becomes smaller, the solution for 0.5 and 1s was identical, which proves the computational accuracy of the computer program. To reduce the computational time, the time step of 1 s was selected in

the present model calculations. The total computation time for the dynamic slag and metal prediction for one blowing period using Matlab© 2016a on a Windows PC has Intel(R) Core(TM) i5-4570 CPU @3.20GHz with 8GB RAM is approximately 20 minutes.




Figure 8: Model prediction of carbon concentration variation as a function of blowing time with different computational time steps

3. Model validation and discussions

3.1. Temperature at the reaction interfaces

A thermal gradient can exist inside a BOF converter due to the formation of localized reaction zones. Numerous researchers attempted to measure the temperature at different zones of the converter.^[63, 64] Chiba *et al.*^[63] reported that the temperature of the hot spot jumps suddenly to 2273 K (2000 °C) at the beginning stage of the blowing, then fluctuates

634 between 2373 K (2100 °C) to 2773 K (2500 °C) during the main blow period and finally
635 equals to the hot metal temperature. Rote and Flinn observed that the temperature difference
636 between the top surface and bottom of the vessel varies between 200 and 400 K depending on
637 the blowing type (soft or hard blowing).^[64] Since the temperature is an essential factor in the
638 equilibrium partitioning of refining elements, the model calculations for interfacial
639 temperature in different reaction zones were developed.

640 In common with Chiba *et al.*, it was assumed the temperature in the hot spot increases
641 linearly from 2273 K (2000 °C) to 2573 K (2300 °C) during the first 25% of the blow. During
642 the main blow, between 25 to 80 % of the blow, the temperature was maintained at a constant
643 value of 2573 K (2300 °C). Finally, the temperature gradient between the hot spot and the
644 liquid bath begins to disappear and hot spot temperature gradually decreases after 80% of the
645 blow.^[65] Industrial measurements indicated that the temperature of slag is generally 20 to 100
646 K hotter than the hot metal during the blowing period.^[66] The temperature difference between
647 the metal and slag was reported to be high during the initial period and the gradient becomes
648 smaller towards the end blow period. In the present work, for the sake of simplicity, the
649 average temperature of the slag was assumed to be 100 K higher than the hot metal
650 temperature.




Figure 9: Temperature change across various reaction interfaces inside the furnace during the blowing time

The surface temperature of the moving droplets in the slag-metal emulsion was calculated by applying Eq. 33. The variation of temperature in different zones of the converter used in the model is shown in **Fig. 9**. It was observed that the surface temperature of the droplets is 90 to 200 K higher than the metal bath temperature. The temperature profile of droplet surface varies linearly with the blowing time during almost all the part of the blow. Toward the end of the blow, there is a decreasing trend observed which is due to a reduction in the hot spot temperature as a result of slowing down of the decarburization reaction. It should be acknowledged that the current procedure for estimation of interfacial temperature is based on several simple assumptions and no rigorous heat balance model was applied in the calculation. A dynamic heat balance model focusing the micro and macrokinetics of heat transfer in the recirculated metal drops in the emulsion, coupled with the present multi-zone model can provide a clear insight of reactions in a BOF process.

3.2. Kinetics of refining of droplet in the emulsion

The model predictions of the compositional change of two classes of droplets having average diameter 6×10^{-4} m (0.6 mm) and 9×10^{-4} m (0.9 mm) at 2.5th minutes of blowing time are shown in **Fig. 10**. The reaction rate of Si, Mn and P in the droplet are found to be rapid and reaches the state of equilibrium within a few seconds in the emulsion. In the 0.6 mm droplets, the concentration of Si, Mn and P approaches its equilibrium value within 2 seconds. In contrast, the refining of C continues during the entire 27 seconds of residence in the emulsion phase. It was also observed that the refining rate of droplets, particularly decarburisation is a function of droplet size. The droplets in the lower region of size spectrum exhibit high efficiency of refining and make a greater contribution to the conversion process of Si, C, Mn and P during the reaction in the emulsion. About ~60% of decarburization was observed for 0.6 mm droplet in contrast to ~18% when the droplet size was increased by 0.3 mm. This may be due to a shorter reaction time (~10 s) of 0.9 mm diameter droplet as compared to 0.6 mm droplets (~27 s). Here the extent of decarburisation reaction is limited by the time of residence of droplet in emulsion. The model prediction of the droplet refining kinetics has been found to be consistent with the observed refining of metal drops reported by IMPHOS pilot plant experiments.^[18] The measurements of droplet composition collected from the emulsion sample shows a high depletion of Mn, P and Si but the C concentration is more than 1 wt pct during the initial blowing period. The rapid removal rate of Si, Mn, and P during the opening stage of oxygen blow is thought to be the result of high thermodynamic driving force and large surface area created by small size metal drops in the emulsion.




Figure 10: Removal kinetics of C, Si, Mn and P of a single metal drop in emulsion (a) Initial droplet diameter = 6×10^{-4} m (b) Initial droplet diameter = 9×10^{-4} m

3.3. Validation

The variation of bath concentration was simulated by the three zone kinetic model with the dynamic change of process variables for a 200 ton LD-LBE converter. **Figure 11** illustrates the simulated profile of C, Si, Mn and P as a function of blowing time for the predefined PCR profiles. As can be seen from the figure, the model predictions of bath composition with PCR profile 1 agree well with the measured solute concentration during different intervals of the blowing period. It was observed that changing the post combustion ratio does not have much influence on the predictions of C and Si, albeit the reversion behaviour of Mn and P are highly influenced by post combustion ratio. This is most likely due to the strong dependence of the equilibrium concentration of Mn and P on the change of slag chemistry (slag Fe_2O_3), which in turn is controlled by the amount of oxygen consumed in the process of post-combustion reaction.




Figure 11: Model prediction of hot metal composition (wt pct) during the blowing period. (a) Carbon, (b) Silicon, (c) Manganese, (d) Phosphorus

The model prediction of decarburization has been found to be in excellent agreement with the plant data. The three distinct region of decarburization profile, commonly observed in a BOF process, was distinguished in the model prediction. The Si refining predicted by the model was found to be consistent with the measured values. As reported in the previous publication, the refining of Si can be explained by a three-zone approach where a significant fraction of refining is observed to take place by the droplets mechanism.^[27] In the case of Mn removal, the high rate at the beginning of the blow, reversion during the middle of the blow and again increase in rate towards the end blow was captured by the model. It was observed that the oxidation and reversion of Mn from slag to metal is primarily caused by the droplet recirculation by the emulsion zone. The equilibrium concentration of Mn at the metal drop-slag interface, which is strongly dependent on temperature and slag chemistry, was found to

be the deciding factors for reversion of Mn. The details about the mechanism of Mn refining and the role of different reaction zones on the rate will be discussed separately.^[21] The rate of P refining predicted by the model shows a similar oxidation and reversion behaviour as Mn. The reversion of P predicted by the model shows a similar behaviour as the actual process. However, a slow removal rate of P as compared to the actual process was noticed. The mismatch between the Mn and P predictions may be caused by the error in evaluation of rate parameters and estimation of equilibrium concentration at the metal drop and slag interface. An increase in slag-bulk metal interfacial area as a result of surface oscillation could be another reason for the deviation. Further experimental work of the reaction kinetic study of Fe-C-Si-Mn-P drops in steelmaking slag is essential to evaluate the kinetic parameters associated with the simultaneous oxidation/reduction reactions.

The evolution of slag during the blowing process for the predefined two post-combustion profiles are illustrated in **Fig. 12**, and the results are compared with the measured slag data. As can be seen from the figure, the concentration of oxides in the slag is in consistency with the measured values in both the PCR profiles. However, it can be noticed that the level of Fe_tO is sensitive to the oxygen consumed by post combustion reaction. The PCR profile 1 where a dynamic post-combustion ratio was adopted has been found to produce better results for Fe_tO prediction than a constant PCR. During the initial part of the blow, i.e. after one minute from the start of computation, the weight of Fe_tO was found to increase with time. It might be due to the slow decarburization rate and Fe_tO was not consumed entirely during the initial stage. After approximately 5 minutes of the start of the blow, the Fe_tO percentage starts to decrease because the rapid rate of decarburization begins to take place and Fe_tO was largely consumed by carbon. Until 10 min or so, Fe_tO reaches the lowest value and after that, it increases due to decreasing in hot metal weight in the emulsion phase. This results in slowing down the Fe_tO consumption rate by the droplets. A deviation of Fe_tO between the

model and the measured value was observed during the end blow period. In the present calculation of Fe_tO , when the impurity level reaches to the low level, virtually all the injected oxygen ends up in forming iron oxide and thus a sharp rise in slag iron oxide was observed. The kinetics of Fe_tO formation with regard to saturation of Fe_tO (the equilibrium driving force of FeO between the bulk and interface) in slag and the loss of Fe as dust was not considered in the present work. Also an inaccuracy in post combustion ratio may introduce some error in oxygen balance equation. The above factors may be responsible for the deviation observed in the simulated iron oxide profile, particularly during initial and end blow period. Due to the overestimation of Fe_tO in the end blow period, the model prediction of slag weight and CaO concentration finds some deviation from the measured values.




Figure 12: Evolution of slag composition (wt pct) during blowing period. (a) SiO_2 , (b) Fe_tO , (c) MnO , (d) P_2O_5 , (e) CaO , (f) MgO .

The evolution of hot metal weight and the slag during the blowing period for PCR 1 profile is shown in **Fig. 13**. The change in the weight of the melt is calculated using the amount of scrap melted, the amount of droplet generated and fall back and the weight of metal loss by forming slag during time step Δt . It can be observed that the weight of the hot metal increases gradually due to the gradual melting of the scrap until 7 minutes of the blow. After this period, the bulk metal weight decreases till the end of blow due to oxidation loss of various impurities from the melt. Similarly, the weight of slag increases initially due to the dissolution of lime and dolomite continuously. The deviation of slag weight after 10 minutes of the blow is due to the overestimation of Fe_2O_3 calculated by the model.




Figure 13: Variation of hot metal and slag weight during the blowing period as predicted by the model for PCR profile 1

It should be acknowledged that the current study does not include the effect of bottom blowing on droplet generation. While there is evidence that bottom blowing affects the

droplet generation rate in a combined blowing converter, none of the predictive models yet incorporated the bottom blowing effect on the estimation of the droplet generation rate in oxygen steelmaking process. Due to unavailability of quantified models, the authors have ignored the effect of bottom blowing in the present work.

4. Conclusions

A three-zone kinetic model has been developed to predict the metal and slag compositions during the BOF process. The converter was divided into three reaction zones and kinetics of refining in each zone has been estimated by providing mathematical treatment to the physicochemical process occurring in different zones of the converter. The fundamental understanding of BOF process such as bloating and refining of metal droplets in the slag-metal emulsion, the reaction taking place in the jet impact zone and slag-bulk metal region were successfully incorporated into the mathematical model. A Fe_tO generation model was developed and coupled with the kinetic model for simultaneous prediction of slag and metal during the blowing process. The following conclusion can be made based on the present study.

1. A multi-zone kinetic model can be useful to simulate the reactors where the reactions occur with multiple interfaces with transient rate parameters. In the BOF process, it is evident that the overall kinetics can be successfully simulated by a multiple zone reaction approach by use of time variant rate parameters as a function of process dynamics.
2. The model predicts that the significant share of refining in a BOF process is caused by the recirculation of metal fragments through the emulsion zone. The number of metal droplets ejected, size and time of residence of droplets in the emulsion and the equilibrium concentration at the interface of the droplet are the primary factors that

decide the refining kinetics in the emulsion phase. The large thermodynamic driving force of droplets during the initial stage of blowing is responsible for high refining rate of Si, Mn and P.

3. It is predicted that the reaction rates of Si, Mn and P refining in the droplet are fast and approaches equilibrium within a few seconds inside the emulsion. The oxidation rate of C is influenced by the initial droplet size.

4. The metal drops in the lower region of size spectrum make a significant contribution to the conversion process in the emulsion zone.

5. The formation of Fe_tO in the slag is highly interlinked with the post combustion ratio. A dynamic post-combustion model, particularly during the early and end blow period is useful for accurate prediction of Fe_tO evolution in slag.

We recommend that experimental work on studying the detailed kinetics of the reactions of Fe-C-Si-Mn-P in an oxidising slag will provide greater knowledge of kinetics of steelmaking process. Future work on developing a heat balance model, focusing on evaluating the macroscopic heat transfer of recirculating metal drops and coupling with the present kinetic model can provide a detailed insight of the BOF reactions.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to acknowledge the financial support provided by Tata Steel to carry out this research work. BKR would like to thank Mariana Adderley for the constructive and helpful discussion regarding this work.

List of symbols and abbreviations

A-Interfacial area (m^2)

C_{jm} – Concentration of j^{th} component in metal, $j = \text{Si, C, Mn and P (wt \%)}$

C_{ji} – Concentration of j^{th} component on the reaction interface (wt %)

816	C_{jd}^{return} – Concentration of j^{th} component of refining droplets (wt %)
817	$C_{p,m}$ – Heat capacity of bulk metal (J/kg)
818	$C_{p,s}$ – Heat capacity of slag (J/kg)
819	d_p – Diameter of the droplet (m)
820	D – Diffusion coefficient of slag (m^2/s)
821	$F_{G,T}$ – Temperature corrected oxygen flow rate (Nm^3/min)
822	h – Height of the cavity (m)
823	k_a – Apparent rate constant ($mole/m^2.s.atm$)
824	k_g – Gas phase mass transfer coefficient ($mole/m^2.s.atm$)
825	k_d^{em} – Overall mass transfer coefficient of droplet (m/s)
826	k_{jm}^d – Mass transfer coefficient in metal side of droplet (m/s)
827	k_s^d – Mass transfer coefficient in slag side of droplet (m/s)
828	k_m^{sm} – Overall mass transfer coefficient at slag-bulk metal interface (m/s)
829	k_m^{gm} – Mass transfer coefficient in the melt in jet impact area (m/s)
830	L_h – Lance height (between lance tip and bath surface (m)
831	m_d – Mass of a single droplet (kg)
832	$m_{d,p}$ – Average mass of droplets belongs to p^{th} size class (kg)
833	m_d^{return} – Weight of a single droplet returns to the bath (kg)
834	M – Molecular weight (g/mole)
835	$N_p^{eject,t}$ – Number of droplets of p^{th} class size ejects to the bath at blowing time t (-)
836	$N_p^{return,t}$ – Number of droplets of p^{th} class size returns to the bath at blowing time t (-)
837	$N_{B,T}$ – Modified blowing number (-)
838	$P_{CO_2}^b$ – Partial pressure of CO_2 (atm)
839	$P_{O_2}^b$ – Partial pressure of O_2 (atm)
840	PCR- Post combustion ratio (-)
841	Re- Reynolds number (-)
842	$R_{B,T}$ – Droplet generation rate (kg/min)
843	Sh – Sherwood number (-)
844	Sc- Schmidt number (-)
845	r_c – Decarburization rate of the droplet (wt pct/s)
846	r_c^* – Critical decarburization for bloating (wt pct/s)
847	r_{cav} – Cavity radius (m)
848	t_c – Contact time between the metal droplet and slag (s)
849	t_{res} – Residence time of droplet in emulsion (s)
850	T_s – Interface temperature at slag-metal (K)
851	T_∞ – Temperature in the emulsion medium (K)
852	T_0 – Initial temperature of the metal drop at the time of ejection (K)
853	u – Velocity of the droplet (m/s)
854	V_d – Volume of droplet (m^3)
855	W_c – Weight of carbon (kg)
856	WC_j – Weight of impurity (kg)]
857	W_D – Weight of dolomite (kg)
858	$W_{d,p}$ – Weight proportion of droplet belongs to p^{th} size class (kg)
859	W_L – Weight of lime (kg)
860	W_m – Weight of hot metal, (kg)
861	$\Delta W_{m,ref}^t$ – Weight of refining hot metal in a numerical time step (kg)
862	ΔW_{MOx} – Sum of oxide mass in a numerical time step (kg)
863	W_s – Weight of slag, (kg)

864 W_{jm}^{eject} - Weight of j^{th} element in the hot metal ejected to the emulsion (kg)
 865 W_{jm}^{return} - Weight of j^{th} element in the hot metal return to the bath (kg)
 866 W_{sc}^m - Weight of the melted scrap (kg)

867

868 **Greek symbols**

869 ρ_d – Density of droplet (kg/m^3)
 870 $\rho_{d,0}$ – Initial density of droplet (kg/m^3)
 871 ρ_m – Density of the bulk metal (kg/m^3)
 872 ρ_s – Density of slag (kg/m^3)
 873 λ_m - Thermal conductivity of liquid metal (W/mK)
 874 λ_s - Thermal conductivity of slag (W/mK)

875

876 **Subscripts and Superscripts**

877 cav- Cavity
 878 d- droplet
 879 m- Hot metal
 880 P- Number of classes in the droplet size spectrum
 881 eq- Equilibrium
 882 hs- Hot spot
 883 iz- Impact zone
 884 em- Emulsion
 885 sm- Slag/metal
 886 gm- Gas/metal

887

888 **References**

- 889 1. W. Knoop, B. Deo, A. Snoeijer, G. Unen and R. Boom: *InProceedings of the 4th*
 890 *International Conference on Molten Slags and Fluxes, ISIJ*, Tokyo 1992, pp. 302-307.
- 891 2. H. Jalkanen and L. Holappa: *VII International Conference on Molten Slags Fluxes and*
 892 *Salts, The South African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy*, 2004, pp. 71-76.
- 893 3. A. K. Shukla, B. Deo, S. Millman, B. Snoeijer, A. Overbosch and A. Kapilashrami:
 894 *Steel Research International*, 2010, vol. 81, pp. 940-48.
- 895 4. I. H. Jung, P. Hudon, M. A. Van Ende and W.Y. Kim: *AISTech-Iron and Steel*
 896 *Technology Conference Proceedings*, 2014, vol 1, pp. 1257-68.
- 897 5. N. Dogan, G. A. Brooks and M. A. Rhamdhani: *ISIJ Int.*, 2011, vol. 51(7), pp. 1086-
 898 92.
- 899 6. F. Pahlevani, S. Kitamura, H. Shibata and N. Maruoka: *Steel Res. Int.*, 2010, vol. 81,
 900 pp. 617-22.
- 901 7. Y. Ogasawara, Y. Miki, Y. Uchida and N. Kikuchi, *ISIJ Int.*, 2013, vol. 53, pp. 1786-
 902 93.
- 903 8. R. Sarkar, P. Gupta, S. Basu and N. B. Ballal: *Metall. Mater. Trans. B*, 2015, vol. 46,
 904 pp. 961-76.
- 905 9. C. Kattenbelt and B. Roffel: *Metall.Mater. Trans. B*, 2008, vol. 39, pp. 764-69.

- 906 10. Y. Lytvynyuk, J. Schenk, M. Hiebler and A. Sormann: *Steel Research International*
907 2014, vol. 85, pp. 537-43.
- 908 11. D. Guo, D. Swickard and J. Bradley: *Iron Steel Technol.*, Apr 2014, pp. 131-40.
- 909 12. G. Li, B. Wang, Q. Liu, X. Tian, R. Zhu, L. Hu and G. Cheng: *International Journal*
910 *of Minerals, Metallurgy, and Materials* 2010, vol. 17, pp. 715-22.
- 911 13. G. Brooks N. Sasaki, M. A. Rhamdhani: *Asia Steel*, Yokihama, Japan, 2015.
- 912 14. S. Kitamura: *Steel Research International* 2010, vol. 81, pp. 766-71.
- 913 15. S. Ohguchi, D. G. C. Robertson, B. Deo, P. Grieveson and J. H. E. Jeffes: *Ironmak*
914 *Steelmak.*, 1984, vol. 11, pp. 202-13.
- 915 16. G. A. Brooks, M. A. Rhamdhani, K. S. Coley, Subagyo and Y. Pan: *Metall. Mater.*
916 *Trans. B*, 2009, vol. 40B, pp. 353-62.
- 917 17. A. Kirindigoda Hewage, B. K. Rout, G. Brooks and J. Naser: *Ironmak. Steelmak.*
918 2016, vol. 43, pp. 358-70.
- 919 18. M. S. Millman, A. Kapilashrami, M. Bramming and D. Malmberg: *Impfos:*
920 *Improving Phosphorus Refining*, European Union, Luxembourg, 2011.
- 921 19. B. K. Rout, G. A. Brooks, Z. Li and M. A. Rhamdhani: *AISTech - Iron and Steel*
922 *Technology Conference Proceedings*, 2015, vol. 3, pp. 3225-37.
- 923 20. B. K. Rout, G. Brooks, M. A. Rhamdhani, Z. Li, F. N. H. Schrama and A. Overbosch:
924 *Metall. Mater. Trans. B* (submitted, E-TP-17-603-B), 2017.
- 925 21. B. K. Rout, G. Brooks, M. A. Rhamdhani, Z. Li, F. Schrama and W. van der Knoop:
926 *Metall. Mater. Trans. B* (submitted, E-TP-17-604-B), 2017
- 927 22. Q. Li, M. Li, S. Kuang, & Z. Zou : *Metall. Mater. Trans. B.* , 2015, vol. 46, pp.1494-
928 1509.
- 929 23. S. Kitamura, T. Kitamura, K. Shibata, Y. Mizukami, S. Mukawa, and J.
930 Nakagawa: *ISIJ Int.*, 1991, vol 31, no. 11, pp. 1322-1328.
- 931 24. N. Dogan, G. A. Brooks and M. A. Rhamdhani: *ISIJ Int.* 2011, vol. 51(7), pp. 1102-
932 09.
- 933 25. K. Chou, U. B. Pal and R. G. Reddy: *ISIJ Int.*, 1993, vol. 33, pp. 862-68.
- 934 26. B. Deo and R. Boom: *Fundamentals of Steelmaking Metallurgy*, Prentice Hall,
935 Hertfordshire, 1993, pp. 194.
- 936 27. B. K. Rout, G. A. Brooks, Z. Li and M. A. Rhamdhani: *AISTech - Iron and Steel*
937 *Technology Conference Proceedings*, 2016, vol. 1, pp 1019-26.
- 938 28. F. R Cheslak, J. A. Nicholls and M. Sichel: *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 1969, vol. 36,
939 pp. 55-63.
- 940 29. S. C. Koria and K. W. Lange: *Steel Res.* 1987, vol. 58, pp. 421-26.
- 941 30. P. Kozakevitch: *J Metals*, 1968, vol. 22, pp. 57-67.
- 942 31. H. W. Meyer, W. F. Porter, G. C. Smith and J. Szekely: *J Metals*, 1968, vol. 20, pp.
943 35-42.
- 944 32. G. Brooks, Y. H. Pan, Subagyo and K. Coley: *Metall. Mater. Trans. B*, 2005, vol. 36,
945 pp. 525-35.

- 946 33. C. L. Molloy and R. J. Fruehan: *Metall. Mater. Trans. B*, 2002, vol. 33, pp. 335-
947 44.
- 948 34. H. Gaye and P. V. Riboud: *Metallurgical Transactions B*, 1977, vol. 8, pp. 409-15.
- 949 35. P. Kozakevitch, G. H. Geiger, M. Olette and P. V. Riboud: *BOF Steelmaking*, Iron
950 and Steel Society, 1975, vol 2, chapt. V, pp. 287-88.
- 951 36. K. Gu, N. Dogan and K. S. Coley, *Metall. Mater. Trans. B*, 2017, pp. 1-18.
- 952 37. H. Sun, and G. Zhang, *AISTech - Iron and Steel Technology Conference Proceedings*,
953 2005, pp 257–268.
- 954 38. K. Gu, N. Dogan and K. S. Coley: *Metall. Mater. Trans. B*, 2017, vol. 48, pp. 2343-
955 2353.
- 956 39. F. D. Richardson: *Physical chemistry of melts in metallurgy*. Academic Press
957 (Elsevier), 1974, vol. 2, pp. 412-416.
- 958 40. F. Oeters : *Metallurgy of steelmaking*. Verlag Stahleisen, 1994, pp. 369.
- 959 41. C. P. Manning and R. J. Fruehan: *Metall. Trans. B*, 2013, vol. 44B, pp. 37-44
- 960 42. S. Ban-Ya: *ISIJ Int.* 1993, vol. 33, pp. 2-11.
- 961 43. K. Narita, T. Makino, H. Matsumoto, A. Hikosaka and J. Katsuda: *Tetsu-to-Hagané*
962 1983, vol. 69, pp. 1722-29.
- 963 44. H. Suito and R. Inoue: *ISIJ Int.*, 1995, vol. 35, pp. 266-71.
- 964 45. C. Cicutti, M. Valdez, T. Pérez, J. Petroni, A. Gómez, R. Donayo and L. Ferro: *Sixth*
965 *International Conference on Molten Slags, Fluxes and Salts*, ed. ISS (Stockholm-Helsinki:
966 Warrendale, PA, 2000).
- 967 46. S. C. Koria and K. W. Lange: *Metall. Trans. B*, 1984, vol. 15, pp. 109-16.
- 968 47. B. K. Rout, G. A. Brooks, M. A. Rhamdhani and Z. Li: *Metall.Mater. Trans. B*, 2016,
969 vol 47 (6), pp. 3350-61.
- 970 48. Subagyo, G. Brooks, K. S. Coley and G. A. Irons: *ISIJ Int.*, 2003, vol. 43 (7), pp. 983-
971 89.
- 972 49. Subagyo, G. Brooks, and K. Coley: *Canadian Metallurgical Quarterly*, 2005, vol. 44(1),
973 pp. 119–129.
- 974 50. Y. Doh, P. Chapelle, A. Jardy, G. Djambazov, K. Pericleous, G. Ghazal and P. Gardin:
975 *Metall. Mater. Trans. B* 2013, vol. 44, pp. 653-70.
- 976 51. B. T. Chao, *Journal of Heat Transfer* 1969, vol. 91, pp. 273-80.
- 977 52. P. Sulasalmi, V. Ville-Valtteri, A. Kärnä, M. Järvinen, S. Ollila, and T.
978 Fabritius: *Metall.Mater. Trans. B*, 2016, vol. 47(6), pp: 3544-3556.
- 979 53. T. Nishi, H. Shibata, Y. Waseda and H. Ohta: *Metall.Mater. Trans. A*, 2003, vol.
980 34(12), pp.2801-2807.
- 981 54. K. Nishioka, T. Maeda, M. Shimizu: *ISIJ Int.*, 2006, vol. 46(3), pp.427-33.
- 982 55. N. Dogan, G. A. Brooks, and M. A. Rhamdhani: *ISIJ Int.*, 2009, vol. 49(10), 1474–1482.

- 983 56. <http://www.factsage.com/>
- 984 57. E. Schürmann, K. Obst, L. Fiege and H. Kaiser: *Steel Res. Int.*, 1985, vol. 56, pp. 425-
985 31.
- 986 58. M. Hirai, R. Tsujino, T. Mukai, T. Harada and M. Omori: *Trans.ISIJ*, 1987, vol.
987 27(10), pp. 805-813.
- 988 59. S. Li, X. Wei and L. Yu: *Fuel*, 2011, vol. 90, pp. 1350-60.
- 989 60. K. Ito and R. J. Fruehan: *Metall. Tans. B*, 1989, vol. 20, pp. 509-14.
- 990 61. Slag Atlas, *Verlag Stahleisen GmbH, Düsseldorf* 1995, pp. 345-346.
- 991 62. A. Kondratiev and E. Jak: *Metall. Mater. Trans. B*, 2001, vol. 32, pp. 1015-25.
- 992 63. K Chiba, A Ono, M Saeki, M Yamauchi, M Kanamoto and T Ohno: *Ironmak.*
993 *Steelmak.*, 1993, vol. 20, pp. 215-20.
- 994 64. F. E. Rote and R. A. Flinn: *Metall. Trans.*, 1972, vol. 3, pp. 1373-84.
- 995 65. Y. E. Lee and L. Kolbeinsen: *ISIJ Int.* 2007, vol. 47, pp. 764-65.
- 996 66. M. Ishiguro: *Tetsu-to-Hagane*, 1971, vol. 57, pp. 267-70.
- 997 67. S. C. Koria and K. W. Lange: *Steel Res.* 1987, vol. 58, pp. 421-26
- 998 68. S. Ban-Ya: *ISIJ Int.*, 1993, vol. 33, pp. 2-11.

999 **Appendix:**

1000 **A.1. Mass transfer coefficient in hot metal and slag**

1001 The mass transfer coefficient in the hot metal has been calculated by the following
1002 relationship^[23],

$$\log k_m = 1.98 + 0.5 \log \left(\frac{\varepsilon H^2}{100L} \right) - \frac{125000}{2.3RT} \quad (\text{A1})$$

1003 where k_m is the mass transfer coefficient in metal phase (cm/s), ε is the stirring energy (W/t),
1004 H and L are the bath depth (cm) and diameter of the furnace respectively and T is the
1005 temperature in the impact zone (K). The total stirring energy was calculated by using the
1006 combined effect of the top and bottom gas injection in the BOF.^[67]

1007 The slag phase mass transfer coefficient was given by:^[7]

$$k_s = a \exp\left(-\frac{37000}{RT}\right) \cdot \varepsilon^b \quad (A2)$$

Where k_s is the mass transfer coefficient in slag phase (cm/s), R: gas constant (J.mol⁻¹K⁻¹), a and b are the empirical parameters, assumed to be 1.7 and 0.25 respectively.^[7]

A.2. Calculation of cavity height and radius:

The height and radius of the individual cavity formed by the top jet can be expressed as:

$$h = 4.469 \dot{M}_h^{0.66} L_h \quad (A3)$$

$$r_{cav} = 0.5 \times 2.813 L_h \dot{M}_d^{0.282} \quad (A4)$$

Where L_h is the lance height (m) and the dimensionless momentum flow rate \dot{M}_h and \dot{M}_d is defined as:

$$\dot{M}_h = \frac{\dot{m}_n \cos(nangle)}{\rho_m g L_h^3} \quad (A5)$$

$$\dot{M}_d = \frac{\dot{m}_t(1+\sin(nangle))}{g \rho_m L_h^3} \quad (A6)$$

Where \dot{m}_n is the momentum flow rate of the each nozzle, which is related to the total momentum flow rate, \dot{m}_t by the following equations:

$$\dot{m}_n = \frac{\dot{m}_t}{n_n} \quad (A7)$$

Total momentum flow rate:

$$\dot{m}_t = 0.7854 \times 10^5 \times n_n \times d_{th}^2 \times P_a \left(\frac{1.27 P_0}{P_a} - 1 \right) \quad (A8)$$

Where, n_n is the number of nozzles in the lance tip, nangle is the nozzle angle (rad), d_{th} is the throat diameter of the lance (m), P_0 is the top supply pressure (Pa) and P_a is the ambient pressure (Pa).

A.3. Calculation of equilibrium distribution ratios

1025

Silicon distribution ratio^[42]:

$$L_{Si} = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{(\%FeO)}{40}, & (\%FeO) \leq 40 \\ 0, & \%FeO > 40 \end{cases} \quad (A9)$$

1026

Manganese distribution ratio: ^[43]

$$\log k'_{Mn} = -0.0180[(wt\ pct\ CaO) + 0.23(wt\ pct\ MgO) + 0.28(wt\ pct\ Fe_tO) - 0.98(wt\ pct\ SiO_2) - 0.08(wt\ pct\ P_2O_5)] + \frac{7300}{T} - 2.697 \quad (A10)$$

1027

Where the apparent equilibrium constant k'_{Mn} is defined as:

$$k'_{Mn} = \frac{(wt\ pct\ MnO)}{(wt\ pct\ T.Fe) \times [wt\ pct\ Mn]} = \frac{L_{Mn} \times \frac{M_{Mn}}{M_{MnO}}}{(wt\ pct\ T.Fe)} \quad (A11)$$

1028

T.Fe- total Fe and M_{Mn} and M_{MnO} are the molar mass (g/mol) of Mn and MnO respectively.

1029

Phosphorus distribution ratio:

1030

The phosphorus equilibrium distribution ratio at the slag-metal interface can be written as^[68]:

$$L_p = \frac{K_p f_p h_o^{2.5}}{C \gamma_{PO_{2.5}}} \quad (A12)$$

1031

Where, K_p is the equilibrium constant, f_p is the activity coefficient of P, h_o is the heneraian

1032

activity of oxygen, C is the conversion factor which related (%P) with the mole fraction of

1033

$PO_{2.5}$ and $\gamma_{p_{2o5}}$ is the activity coefficient of $PO_{2.5}$

1034

The equilibrium constant for the phosphorus oxidation reaction can be expressed as^[63]:

$$\log(K_p) = \frac{17060}{T} - 8.51 \quad (A13)$$

1035

Here, h_o is the henerian activity of oxygen, determined by assuming FeO-O equilibrium.

$$h_o = \frac{\gamma_{FeO}}{X_{FeO}K_F} \quad (A14)$$

1036 K_F is the equilibrium constant for reaction $[Fe] + [O] = (FeO)$, $\Delta G^\circ = -128090 + 57.99 T$.^[63]
 1037 γ_{FeO} and $\gamma_{PO_{2.5}}$ are the activity coefficient of FeO and $PO_{2.5}$, determined by Regular solution
 1038 model proposed by Ban-Ya.^[40] Henerian activity coefficient f_p was determined by employing
 1039 the first order interaction parameter. $\log(f_p) = e_p^p [\%P] + e_p^c [\%C]$

1040 Where $e_p^p = 0.063$ and $e_p^c = 0.19$.^[7]

1041

1042

1043

1044

Caption List

Figure Captions

1046 **Figure 1:** Schematic representation of reactions in BOF converter

1047 **Figure 2:** Schematic representation of the refining mechanism in the emulsion zone

1048 **Figure 3:** Proposed refining mechanism of metal droplets in the slag-metal emulsion

1049 **Figure 4:** Model for Fe_tO evolution during blowing period

1050 **Figure 5:** Post-combustion profile used for Fe_tO generation model. PCR- (post-combustion
 1051 ratio)

1052 **Figure 6:** A three zone kinetic model for prediction of metal and slag composition during
 1053 blowing period of a top/combined blowing steelmaking converter process

1054 **Figure 7:** Algorithm for BOF dynamic model

1055 **Figure 8:** Model prediction of carbon concentration variation as a function of blowing time

1056 with different computational time steps

1057 **Figure 9:** Temperature change across various reaction interfaces inside the furnace during the
 1058 blowing time

1059 **Figure 10:** Removal kinetics of C, Si, Mn and P of a single metal drop in emulsion (a) Initial
1060 droplet diameter = 6×10^{-4} m (b) Initial droplet diameter = 9×10^{-4} m

1061 **Figure 11:** Model prediction of hot metal composition (wt pct) during the blowing period. (a)
1062 Carbon, (b) Silicon, (c) Manganese, (d) Phosphorus

1063 **Figure 12:** Evolution of slag composition (wt pct) during blowing period. (a) SiO_2 , (b) Fe_tO ,
1064 (c) MnO , (d) P_2O_5 , (e) CaO , (f) MgO .

1065 **Figure 13:** Variation of hot metal and slag weight during the blowing period as predicted by
1066 the model for PCR profile 1

1067

1068

1069

1070

1071

1072 List of Tables:

1073 Table 1: Impurities removed in different zones of a BOF converter

Reaction zone	Impurities removed
Jet impact (hot spot)	C, Si, Mn
Slag-bulk metal	C, Si, Mn, and P
Slag-metal emulsion	C, Si, Mn and P

1074

1075

1076 Table 2: Rate equations in three different zones of the converter

Reaction zone	Rate equations	Model parameters
Jet impact zone (gas-metal)	$\left. \frac{d(w_m c_{jm})}{dt} \right _{iz} = -A_{iz} k_m^{gm} \rho_m (C_{jm} - C_{ji}^{gm}) \quad (1)$ <p>j = Si, Mn, P and C ≤ 0.3 wt pct. For C oxidation, C > 0.3 wt pct:</p> $\left(\frac{dW_c}{dt} \right)_{iz} = \left(\frac{dW_c}{dt} \right)^{CO_2}_{iz} + \left(\frac{dW_c}{dt} \right)^{O_2}_{iz} \quad (2)$ $\left(\frac{dW_c}{dt} \right)^{CO_2}_{iz} = -100 \times M_C A_{iz} k_a P_{CO_2}^b \quad (3)$	Jet impact area – A_{iz} , gas/metal interface concentration- C_{ji}^{gm} , mass transfer coefficients - k_m^{gm} , k_g , k_a

	$\left(\frac{dW_c}{dt}\right)^{O_2}_{iz} = -200 \times M_c A_{iz} k_g (1 + P_{O_2}^b) \quad (4)$	
Slag-bulk metal (slag-metal)	$\left.\frac{d(W_m C_{jm})}{dt}\right _{sm} = -A_{sm} k_m^{sm} \rho_m (C_{jm} - C_{ji}^{sm}) \quad (5)$	Slag-bulk metal area – A_{sm} , slag/metal interfacial concentration- C_{ji}^{sm} , mass transfer coefficient - k_m^{sm}
Slag-metal emulsion (slag-metal drops)	<p>Rate of mass transfer between metal drops and slag:</p> $\left.\frac{d(m_d C_{jd})}{dt}\right _{em} = -A_d k_d^{em} \rho_m (C_{jd} - C_{ji}^{em}) \quad (6)$ <p>Rate of refining of bulk metal by emulsion:</p> $\left.\frac{d(W_m C_{jm})}{dt}\right _{em} = -\frac{W_{jm}^{eject,t} - W_{jm}^{return,t}}{\Delta t} \quad (7)$ $W_{jm}^{eject,t} = \left(\sum_{p=1}^P (R_{B,T})_p \times \Delta t\right) \times \frac{C_{jm}^t}{100} \quad (8)$ $W_{jm}^{return,t} = \sum_{p=1}^P N_p^{return,t} \times \frac{(C_{jd}^{return,t} m_d^{return,t})_p}{100} \quad (9)$ $N_p^{return,t} = N_p^{eject,t-t_{res}} = \frac{W_{d,p}^{t-t_{res}}}{\bar{m}_{d,p}} \quad (10)$	<p>Interfacial area of droplet– A_d, residence time of drops in emulsion- t_{res}, mass transfer coefficient– k_d^{em}, droplet generation rate- $R_{B,T}$, metal droplet concentration- C_{jd} interfacial concentration at metal drop/slag interface- C_{ji}^{em}, $\bar{m}_{d,p}$- average droplet mass of size class p</p> <p>(p – droplet size class, P -total number of classes in the droplet size spectrum; Please refer section 1.2.1.5)</p>

1077 Table 3: Estimation of interfacial concentration at slag-metal interface

Reactions	Interface concentration at slag/metal phase boundary	Method
Carbon $[C] + (FeO) = \{CO\} + [Fe]$	$[\%C]_{eq} = \frac{P_{CO} \times a_{Fe}}{f_c \times a_{FeO} \times K_c}$	P_{CO} - 1.5×10^5 Pa, $a_{Fe} = 1$, f_c - empirical correlation ^[25] , a_{FeO} - Regular solution model ^[39] , Equilibrium constant: $\log(K_c) = 5.096 - \frac{5730}{T_m}$
Silicon $[Si] + (FeO) = (SiO_2) + [Fe]$	$[\%Si]_i = L_{Si}^* \times [\%Si]$	L_{Si}^* – Narita <i>et al.</i> ^[41]

Manganese $[Mn] + (FeO) = (MnO) + [Fe]$	$[\%Mn]_i = \frac{(\%Mn)}{L_{Mn}}$	L_{Mn} - Suito <i>et al.</i> ^[42]
Phosphorus $2[P] + 5(FeO) = (P_2O_5) + 5[Fe]$	$[\%P]_i = \frac{(\%P)}{L_p}$	L_p -Regular solution model ^[39]

1078

1079 Table 4: Thermal properties of steel and slag used in the model for the calculation of surface
1080 temperature of metal droplet

	Steel	Slag			
		FeO	SiO ₂	CaO	MnO
Heat capacity (J/kg K) ^[52]	821	947	1429.5	928	854.5
Thermal conductivity (W/mK)	40 ^[53]	1.7 ^[54]			

1081

1082 Table 5: Model input parameters

Input parameters	Value
Initial hot metal composition (Blowing time = 2.2 min)	170000 kg, wt pct C= 3.86, wt pct Si = 0.19, wt pct Mn = 0.29, wt pct P = 0.065
Scrap composition	30000 kg, wt pct C = 0.08, wt pct Si = 0.001, wt pct Mn = 0.52
Hot metal temperature	1623- 1923 K (1350- 1650 °C)
Initial slag composition and weight	Initial slag weight at 2.2 min = 5200 kg, total lime added = 7600 kg, Iron ore = 1900 kg, Quartzite = 800 kg Slag composition : wt pct CaO = 27, wt pct FeO = 33, wt pct SiO ₂ = 17, wt pct MnO = 13.5, wt pct MgO = 5, wt pct P ₂ O ₅ = 3.5
Oxygen blow	620 Nm ³ /min, six hole lance
Bottom blow (Ar/N ₂)	2.5 – 8.33 m ³ /min
Lance height	2.5, 2.2, 1.8 m

Steel density	7000 kg/m ³
Slag density	Partial molar volume method ^[61]
Surface tension of steel	1.7 N/m
Viscosity of slag	Modified Urbain model ^[62]
Diffusion coefficient in metal phase at 1873K (1600 °C)	C- 2.0×10^{-9} m ² /s , Si – 3.8×10^{-9} m ² /s, Mn – 3.7×10^{-9} m ² /s, P- 4.7×10^{-9} m ² /s
Gas fraction in emulsion	0.8
Diameter of initial droplets	0.00023 - 0.00335 m, 10 classes
Angle of droplet ejection	60 degree

1083