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SEPTEMBER 1976

[^0]This study was motivated by the need to design the thermal shield in reactos internals and other system components to avoid detrimental flowinduced vibrations. The system component is modeled as two coaxial shells separated by a viscous fluid. In the analysis, Flügge's shell equations of motion and linearized Navier-Stokes equation for viscous fluld are employed. First, a traveling-wave type solution is taken for shells and fiuid. Then, from the interface conditions between the shells and fiuid, the solution for the fluid medium is expressed in tems of shell displacements. Finally, using the shell equations of motion gives the frequency equation, from which the natural frequency, mode shape, and modal danping ratio of coupled modes can be calcreated. The analyticaif results show a fairly good qualitative agreement with the published expisrimental data. With the presented analysis and results, the frequency and damping characteristics can be analyzed and design parameters can be related to frequency and dauping.

## INTRODUCTION

In this paper, the dynamics of a system of two concentric cylindrical shells coupled by a viscous fluid is studied analytically. The objective is to investigate the effect of fluid viscosity on fluid structure inceractions.

This study was motivated by the need to design reactor system components to avoid detrimental flow-induced vibrations. Several reactor systen components consist of nominally circular cylindrical shells coupled to other shells through a fluid. Examples include shrouds and thermal liners. Those components are subject to various excitation sources including flaid flow and structural borne disturbance. To design a component such that over the design life its performance will not be affected by vibrations, one must understand the system characteristics.

Vibrations of two coaxial shells separated by a fluid have been studied recently $\{1-4\}$. However, all those investigations omit the effect of fluid Viscosity, Although for many practical applications, the viscosity is small and the fluid may be considered inviscid as a first approximation, near the interface of the struciure and fluid there exists a thin layer of rotational flow. This flow regime in which the viscous effect is significant is of great concern to the dynamic response of coupled shell systems. In pareicular, when the annular gap is small, the fluid viscous effect becomes more pronounced.

In chis study, Flugge's shell equations of motion and the linearized Nayier-Stokes equation for fluid are employed. First, a traveling-wave. type solution is taken for shells and fluid. Then, from the interface conditions between the shells and fluid, the solution for fluid medium
is expressed in terms of shell displacements. Finally, using the shell equations of motion gives the frequency equation from which the natural frequency, mode shape, and the modal damping ratio can be calculated. The analytical results are also compared with an experimental investigation for two concentric shells coipled by water.
i. GOLERNING EQUATIONS OF MOTION

Consider two concentric circular cylindrical shells separated by a viscous fluid annulus as shown in Fig. 1. The motion of the shells is described by the following Flügge's shell equation [5]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{2}}+\left(\frac{1-v_{i}}{2 R_{i}^{2}}\right)\left(1+\frac{h_{i}^{2}}{12 R_{i}^{2}}\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}}\right] u_{i}+\frac{1+v_{i}}{2 R_{i}} \frac{\partial^{2} v_{i}}{\partial z \partial \theta}} \\
& +\left[\frac{v_{i}}{R_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}-\frac{h_{i}^{2}}{12 R_{i}} \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial z^{3}}+\frac{\left(1-v_{i}\right) h_{i}^{2}}{24 r_{i}^{3}} \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial z \partial \theta^{2}}\right] w_{i} \\
& =\frac{\rho_{i}\left(1-v_{i}^{2}\right)}{E_{i}} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{i}}{\partial t^{2}}-\frac{1-v_{i}}{E_{i} h_{i}} p_{z i} ; \\
& \frac{1+v_{i}}{2 R_{i}} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{i}}{\partial z^{\partial \theta}}+\left[\frac{1}{R_{i}{ }^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}}+\frac{1-v_{i}}{2}\left(1+\frac{h_{i}{ }^{2}}{4 R_{i}{ }^{2}}\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{2}}\right] v_{i}  \tag{1}\\
& +\left[\frac{1}{R_{i}{ }^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}-\frac{\left(3-v_{i}\right) h_{i}{ }^{2}}{24 R_{i}{ }^{2}} \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial \theta \partial z^{2}}\right] w_{i}=\frac{\rho_{i}\left(1-v_{i}^{2}\right)}{E_{i}} \frac{\partial^{2} v_{i}}{\partial t^{2}} \\
& -\frac{1-v_{i}^{2}}{E_{i} h_{i}} P_{\theta i} ; \\
& {\left[-\frac{h_{i}^{2}}{12 R_{i}} \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial z^{3}}+\frac{v_{i}}{R_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}+\frac{\left(1-v_{i}\right) h_{i}^{2}}{24 R_{i}^{3}} \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial z \partial \theta^{2}}\right] u_{i}} \\
& +\left[-\frac{\left(3-v_{i}\right) h_{i}^{2}}{24 R_{i}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial \theta \partial z^{2}}+\frac{1}{R_{i}^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\right] v_{i}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
+\left[\frac{1}{R_{i}^{2}}\right. & \left.+\frac{h_{i}{ }^{2}}{12 R_{i}^{4}}+\frac{h_{i}^{2}}{12} \frac{\partial^{4}}{\partial z^{4}}+\frac{h_{i}^{2}}{6 R_{i}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{4}}{\partial z^{2} \partial \theta^{2}}+\frac{h_{i}^{2}}{12 R_{i}{ }^{2}} \frac{\partial^{4}}{\partial \theta^{4}}+\frac{h_{i}^{2}}{6 R_{i}^{4}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}}\right] w_{i}  \tag{1}\\
& =-\frac{\rho_{i}\left(1-v_{i}{ }^{2}\right)}{E_{i}} \frac{\partial^{2} w_{i}}{\partial t^{2}}+\frac{1-v_{i}^{2}}{E_{i} h_{i}} p_{r i} ;
\end{align*}
$$

(Contd.)
where the index $i$ denotes the variables associated with the inner shell ( $i=1$ ) and outer shell ( $i=2$ ); $u_{i}, v_{i}, w_{i}$ are the displacement components of the shell middle surfaces; $z, \theta$, and $r$ are cylindrical coordinates; $P_{z i}, P_{\theta i}$, and $P_{r i}$ are the surface loading components per unit area, and $t$ is the time. The physical characteristics of shella are defined by the mean radius $R_{i}$, wall thickness $h_{i}$, mass density $\rho_{i}$, Young's modulus $E_{i}$ and Poisson's ratio $v_{i}$.

For a non-steady, small-amplititude oscillatory motion, the equations of motion for the contained viscous fluid can be expressed as follows [6]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+\rho_{0} \nabla \cdot \vec{v}=0 \\
& \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t}=-\frac{1}{\rho_{0}} \nabla p+\left(\frac{4}{3} v_{0}+v_{0}^{\prime}\right) \nabla(\nabla \cdot \vec{v})-v_{0} \nabla \times \nabla \times \vec{v},  \tag{2}\\
& \frac{\partial p}{\partial o}=c_{0}^{2},
\end{align*}
$$

where $p_{0}$ and $p$ are the mean and instantaneous fluid mass densities, "o and $v_{n}^{\prime}$ are the kinematic and second viscosities of the fluid, $c_{c}$ is the speed of sound in fluid, $p$ is fluid pressure and $\bar{\nabla}$ is fluid velocity vector.

At the interfaces between the shells and fluid, the following conditions must he satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.v_{z}\right|_{r=r_{i}}=\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial t} ;\left.\quad v_{\theta}\right|_{r=r_{i}}=\frac{\partial v_{i}}{\partial t} ; \text { and }\left.v_{r}\right|_{r=r_{i}}=\frac{\partial w_{i}}{\partial t} \text { with } i=1 \text { and } 2 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The surface loading acting on the shells is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\ell 1}=\left.\tau_{r \ell}\right|_{r=r_{1}} \text { and } P_{\ell 2}=-\left.\tau_{r \ell}\right|_{r=r_{2}} \text { with } \ell=2, \theta \text {, and } r, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{1}=h_{1}+h_{1} / 2$ and $r_{2}=R_{2}-h_{2} / 2$ are the interface radii, and ${ }^{\top} r r^{\prime} \tau_{r \theta}$, and $\tau_{r z}$ are the fluid stresses:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau_{r r}=-p+2 \mu \frac{\partial V_{r}}{\partial r}, \\
& \tau_{r \theta}=\mu\left[r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(\frac{V_{\theta}}{r}\right)+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial V_{r}}{\partial \theta}\right],  \tag{5}\\
& \tau_{r z}=\mu\left(\frac{\partial V_{r}}{\partial z}+\frac{\partial V_{z}}{\partial r}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\mu$ is fluid viscosity.
Equations (1) through (5) are the complete mathematical statement of the coupled viscous fluid/shell system.
II. AJHIYSIS

Letting $\vec{V}=\nabla \times \vec{\psi}+\nabla_{\phi}$ and inserting it into equations (2) yields

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-v_{0} \nabla^{2}\right) \vec{\psi}=0  \tag{6}\\
p=p_{0}-\rho_{0} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}+\rho_{0}\left(\frac{4 v_{0}}{3}+v_{0}^{\prime}\right) \nabla^{2} \phi,  \tag{7}\\
{\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{\omega_{0}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \nabla^{2}-\frac{1}{c_{0}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}} j \phi=0\right.} \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

:here

$$
\omega_{0}=c_{0}^{2} /\left(\frac{4}{3} v_{0}+v_{0}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Equation (7) shows that the fluid pressure is not affected by the waves produced from the vector potential, $\vec{\psi}$, whic's is associated with the fluid viscosity.

In cylindrical coordinates, equation (6) yields:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{1}{v_{0}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\nabla^{2}\right) \psi_{z}=0, \\
& \left(\frac{1}{v_{0}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\nabla^{2}\right) \psi_{\theta}+\frac{\psi_{\theta}}{r^{2}}-\frac{2}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial \psi_{r}}{\partial \theta}=0, \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\left(\frac{1}{v_{0}} \frac{3}{\partial t}-\nabla^{2}\right) \psi_{r}+\frac{\psi_{r}}{r^{2}}+\frac{2}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial \psi_{\theta}}{\partial \theta}=0 .
$$

Solutions of the following form are assumed for the shells:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{i}=j \bar{u}_{i} \cos (n \theta) \exp [j(\omega t-k z)], \\
& v_{i}=\bar{v}_{i} \sin (n \theta) \exp [j(\omega t-k z)],  \tag{10}\\
& w_{i}=\bar{w}_{i} \cos (n \theta) \exp [j(\omega t-k z)],
\end{align*}
$$

Where $\mathrm{j}=\sqrt{-1}, \mathrm{n}$ is the circumferential wave number, $w$ is the circular frequency, $k$ is the axial wave number, and $\bar{u}_{i}, \bar{v}_{i}$ and $\bar{w}_{i}$ are arbitrary constants to be determined.

Similarly, the fluid velocity potential may be assumed as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi=\bar{\phi}(r) \cos n \theta \exp [j(\omega t-k z)], \\
& \dot{\psi}_{z}=\bar{\psi}_{z}(r) \sin n \theta \exp [j(\omega t-k z)],  \tag{11}\\
& \psi_{\theta}=j \bar{\psi}_{\theta}(r) \cos n \theta \exp [j(\omega t-k z)], \\
& \psi_{r}=j \bar{\psi}_{r}(r) \sin n \theta \exp [j(\omega t-k z)] .
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting Eqs. (11) into (8) and (9) gives the following forms of Bessel's equations:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
{\left[\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)+\left(k_{1}^{2}-\frac{n^{2}}{r^{2}}\right)\right] \bar{\phi}^{2}=0,} \\
{\left[\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)+\left(k_{2}^{2}-\frac{n^{2}}{r^{2}}\right)\right] \bar{\psi}_{z}=0,}  \tag{12}\\
\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \bar{\psi}_{\theta}\right)+\left(k_{2}{ }^{2}-\frac{n^{2}+1}{r^{2}}\right) \bar{\psi}_{\theta}+\frac{2 n}{r^{2}} \bar{\psi}_{r}=0, \\
\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \bar{\psi}_{r}\right)+\left(k_{2}{ }^{2}-\frac{n^{2}+1}{r^{2}}\right) \bar{\psi}_{r}+\frac{2 n}{r^{2}} \bar{\psi}_{e}=0,
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{1}=\left(-k^{2}+\frac{\omega^{2} / c_{0}^{2}}{1+j \frac{\omega}{\omega_{0}}}\right)^{1 / 2},  \tag{13}\\
& k_{2}=\left(-k^{2}-j \frac{\omega}{v_{0}}\right)^{1 / 2},
\end{align*}
$$

The general solutions of equations (12) are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\phi}(r)=j \omega\left[A_{1} F_{n}\left(k_{1} r\right)+A_{2} G_{n}\left(k_{1} r\right)\right], \\
& \bar{\psi}_{z}(r)=j \omega\left[A_{3} F_{n}\left(k_{2} r\right)+A_{4} G_{n}\left(k_{2} r\right)\right],  \tag{14}\\
& \bar{\psi}_{r}(r)=-\bar{\psi}_{\theta}(r)=j \omega\left[A_{5} F_{n+1}\left(k_{2} r\right)+A_{6} G_{n+1}\left(k_{2} r\right)\right],
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{i}$ are arbitrary constants and $F_{n}$ and $G_{n}$ are the $n$-th order Bessel functions. $F_{n}$ and $G_{n}$ can be either the first and second kind Bessel functions, $J_{n}$ and $Y_{n}$, or the Hankel functions $H_{n}{ }^{(1)}$ and $H_{n}{ }^{(2)}$. The selection of the functions mainly depends on the computational consideration.

Substituting equations (10), (11), and (14) into the interface conditions, Eq. (3), gives six linear, aigebraic equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{q=1}^{6} a_{p q} A_{q}=\hat{r}_{p} \hat{u}_{p}, p=1 \text { to } 6 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{r}_{p}=r_{1} \text { for } p=1,2, \text { and } 3, \quad \hat{r}_{p}=r_{2} \text { for } p=4,5,6 \\
& \hat{u}_{1}=\bar{u}_{1}, \quad \hat{u}_{2}=\bar{v}_{1}, \quad \hat{u}_{3}=\bar{w}_{1}, \quad \hat{u}_{4}=\bar{u}_{2}, \quad \hat{u}_{5}=\bar{v}_{2}, \text { and } \hat{u}_{6}=\bar{w}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the expression of $a_{p q}$ is given in the appendix.
Now we are in the position to calculate the loading stresses on the shell surfaces. Here only the dynamic quantity is of interest and thus the reference pressure $p_{o}$ will not be considered. Equation (5) is used to obtain the fluid stresses. Define the new variables $\overline{\mathrm{P}}_{z i}, \overline{\mathrm{P}}_{\theta i}$, and $\overline{\mathrm{P}}_{r i}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{z i}=j \rho_{0} \omega^{2} \bar{P}_{z i} \cos (n \theta) \quad \exp [j(\omega t-k z)], \\
& P_{\theta i}=\rho_{0} \omega^{2} \bar{P}_{\theta i} \sin (n \theta) \exp [j(\omega t-k z)] \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
P_{r i}=\rho_{o} \omega^{2} \widetilde{P}_{r i} \cos (n \theta) \exp [j(\omega t-k z)]
$$

Substituting equation (16) into (4) and using (11) and (14) yield another six linear, algebraic equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{q=1}^{6} h_{p q} A_{q}=\hat{P}_{p}, \quad p=1 \text { to } 6 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\hat{\mathrm{F}}_{1}=\overline{\mathrm{P}}_{21}, \quad \hat{\mathrm{P}}_{2}=\overline{\mathrm{P}}_{\theta 1}, \quad \hat{\mathrm{P}}_{3}=\overline{\mathrm{P}}_{r 1}, \quad \hat{\mathrm{P}}_{4}=\overline{\mathrm{P}}_{z 2}, \hat{\mathrm{P}}_{5}=\overline{\mathrm{P}}_{\theta 2}, \hat{\mathrm{P}}_{6}=\overline{\mathrm{P}}_{r 2},
$$

and the expression of $h_{p q}$ is given in the appendix.
Using equations (15) and (17) gives the surface loading expressed in terms of the interface radii and the sheli displacement as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{P}_{p}=\sum_{q=1}^{6} \alpha_{p q} \hat{r}_{q} \hat{u}_{q}, \quad p=1 \text { to } 6 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\alpha_{p q}\right\}=\left\{h_{p q}\right\} \quad\left\{a_{p q}\right\}^{-1} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficient $\alpha_{p p}$ is proportional to the dynamic fluid stress acting on a shell surface due to its own movement; while the others, $\alpha_{\mathrm{pq}}$ for $\mathrm{p} \neq \mathrm{q}$, are proportional to the dyramic fluid stresses acting on a shell surface in one direction due to the movement in another direction.

The fluid stresses acting on the shells are linear functions of shell motions. In general, the coefficients $\alpha_{p q}$ are complex. The fluid stress can be separated into two components: one proportional to $\operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha_{p q}\right)$ is in phase with the shell accelerations and is related to the added mass effect, while the other, proportional to $\operatorname{Im}\left(\alpha_{p q}\right)$, is opposing to the movement of the shells and is related to damping mechanism. If the fluid is inviscid, the second component of the stress opposing shell motion will be zero.

The dynamic fluid stress coefficient matrix $\alpha_{p q}$ is a function of the radius ratio, $r_{2} / r_{1}$, the circunferential wave number, $n$, the axial wave ${ }_{2}$ number, $\alpha_{1}$, the Mach number $\mathrm{Mo}_{1}=\frac{\omega r_{1}}{\mathrm{C}_{0}}$, che Reynolds number, $\operatorname{Re}_{1}=\frac{\omega \mathrm{r}_{1}{ }^{2}}{v_{0}}$, and the ratio of the fluid viscosities $\nu_{0}^{-} / \nu_{0_{0}}$. It should be noted that the circular frequency $\omega$ and so Mach number $\mathrm{Mo}_{1}$ and Reynolds number $R e_{1}$ are in general complex numbers. The Mach number $\mathrm{Mo}_{1}$ is considered to include the compressibility effects of the fluid. However, the analysis is valid for a small compressible effect or a small Mach number Mo $_{1}$. The Reynolds number $R e_{1}$ and viscosity ratio $v_{0}^{\prime} / \nu_{0}$ are the additional function parameters associated with fluid viscosity. The viscous effect is discussed in detail in the study.

For the case of potential flow where $v_{0}=0$, and $\nu_{0}^{\prime}=0$, the Reynolds number $\operatorname{Re}_{1}$ and viscous ratio $v_{0}^{*} / v_{0}$ are no longer defined and the coefficient is a function of $r_{2} / r_{1}, n, \alpha_{1}$ and $\mathrm{Mo}_{1}$ only. Furthermore, all the elements of the coefficient matrix are zero except the real parts of the four elements: $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\alpha_{33}\right\}, \operatorname{Re}\left\{\alpha_{36}\right\}, \operatorname{Re}\left\{\alpha_{63}\right\}$, and $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\alpha_{66}\right\}$, and no damping is introduced to the system by the Incompressible ideal fluid [1].

With respect to fluid shell interaction, substituting eqs. (10), (16), and (18) into the shell eq. (1) gives six linear algebraic homogeneous equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{q=1}^{6} b_{p q} \hat{u}_{q}=0, \quad p=1 \text { to } \sigma \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{p q}=c_{p q}-\Omega_{1}^{2}\left(\delta_{p q}+\mu_{1} \alpha_{p q}\right), \text { for } p, q=1,2 \text { and } 3 \text {, } \\
& =-\Omega_{1}^{2}\left(\delta_{p q}+\mu_{1} \frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}} \alpha_{p q}\right), \begin{array}{l}
\text { for } p=1,2, \text { and } 3, \\
\text { and } q=4,5, \text { and } 6,
\end{array} \\
& =-\Omega_{2}^{2}\left(\delta_{p q}+\mu_{2} \frac{r_{1}}{r_{2}} \alpha_{p q}\right), \begin{array}{l}
\text { for } p=4,5, \text { and } 6, \\
\text { and } q=1,2, \text { and } 3,
\end{array} \\
& =c_{p q}-\Omega_{2}^{2}\left(\delta_{p q}+\mu_{2} \alpha_{p q}\right), \text { for } p, q=4,5 \text {, and } 6 \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega_{i}=R_{i} \omega\left[\frac{\rho_{i}\left(1-v_{i}^{2}\right)}{E_{i}}\right]^{1 / 2}, \quad \mu_{i}=\frac{\rho_{0} r_{i}}{\rho_{i} h_{i}}, \\
& \delta_{p q}=1 \quad \text { for } p=q, \text { otherwise } \delta_{p q}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and the expression of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{pq}}$ is given in the Appendix.
The frequency equation of the coupled fluid/shell system is obtained by setting the determinant of the coefficient matrix $b_{p q}$ in equation (20) equal to zero; it can be riritten as $\left|b_{p q}\right|=0$ or in the function form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(\alpha_{1}, r_{2} / r_{1}, M o_{1}, R e_{1}, \nu_{0}^{\prime} / \nu_{0}, n, \Omega_{i}, \delta_{i}, \mu_{i}\right)=0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In contrast with the incompressible potential flow theory, the stress coefficient for a given physical condition is a function of the frequency parameter, $w$, which in general is a complex number. Therefore, in order to determine the natural frequency and the damping ratio of the coupled system, an iteration procedure is in general required.

It should be noted that, or the case of an incompressible viscous fluid, the dynamic fluid stress is not only a function of $n, r_{2} / r_{1}$, and $\alpha_{1}$ but also a function of the vibrational Reynolds number $R e_{1}$. This additional parameter $\mathrm{Re}_{1}$ makes the simulation of a scaled model test for a coupled viscous fluid/ shell system to be very difficult when fluid viscosity effect is important. In a reduced-scale model test, the geometrical simulation commonly employed tends to overestimate the fluid damping and thus the test result may not be conservative.

## III. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

The preceding analysis can be used to evaluate the stress ccefficient matrix, $\alpha_{p q}$, for two concentric shells separated by viscous fluid for any given set of input parameters $n, r_{2} / r_{1}: \alpha_{1}, M o_{1}, \operatorname{Re}_{1}$, and $v_{0}^{\prime} / \nu_{0}$.

Some numerical examples of the stress coefficients were obtained and shown in Table 1 and Figs. 2 to 4. All examples given are for incompressible fluid $\left(\mathrm{Mo}_{1}=0\right)$, the length of both shells equal to radius $r_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}=\pi\right)$, and $v_{0}^{\prime}=0$. Also the circular frequency $\omega$ is limited to a purely real number. That is, $\operatorname{Im}(\omega)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\operatorname{Re}_{1}\right)=0$.

Table 1 shows the stress coefficient matrix for $\alpha_{1}=\pi, n=3, r_{2} / r_{1}=1.2$ and $\mathrm{KO}_{1}=0$. Each element is specified by a real part (upper number) and an imaginary part (Iower number). In Table la all the values of $\alpha_{p q}$ are very small except $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\alpha_{33}\right\}=0.324, \operatorname{Re}\left\{\alpha_{36}\right\}=\operatorname{Re}\left\{\alpha_{63}\right\}=-0.234$, and $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\alpha_{66}\right\}=0.318$. In this case, the Reynolds number is large ( $\operatorname{Re}_{1}=10^{10}$ ); as expected the imaginery part of $\alpha_{p q}$ is not important. The results are consistent with the solutions of the potential flow [1], which shows $\alpha_{33}=0.324, \alpha_{36}=\alpha_{63}=-0.234$, $\alpha_{66}=0.318$ and all others are zero. However, for the case of small Reynolds number, the viscous effect becomes important; the imaginary part of $a_{p q}$ becomes more important and, in some cases, the imaginary part may be larger than the real part. This can be seen from Table 1 l for $\mathrm{Ke}_{1}=10$, the imaginary parts of $a_{p q}$ are, indeed, much larger than their real parts.

Figure 2 shows the stress coefficient $a_{33}$ as a function of Reynolds number $\mathrm{Re}_{1}$ as well as n for $\alpha_{1}=\pi, r_{2} / r_{1}=1.1$ and $\mathrm{Mo}_{1}=0, n$. $\alpha_{33}$ decreases as the Reynolds number $\mathrm{Re}_{1}$ increases; this behavior is the same as that of a vibrating rod in confined viscous fluids [7]. For fixed values of $r_{1}, r_{2}$, and $\nu_{0}$, $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\alpha_{33}\right\}$ decreases with an increasing $\omega$; this behavior is consistent with the experimental observations [8]. It is

Thale 1. Dynuif Stress Coefficient Matrix $a_{p q}$ for $a_{1}=\pi, n=3$. $r_{2} / r_{1}=1.2$ and $M_{1}=0.0$.

|  | 9 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (a) | 1 | $\begin{array}{r} 7.07 E-6 \\ -7.07 E-6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -7.19 \mathrm{E}-6 \\ 7.19 \mathrm{E}-6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.20 \mathrm{E}-6 \\ -5.20 \mathrm{E}-6 \end{array}$ |
|  | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.07 \mathrm{E}-6 \\ -7.07 \mathrm{E}-6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -6.86 \mathrm{E}-6 \\ 6.86 \mathrm{E}-6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.97 \mathrm{E}-6 \\ -4.97 \mathrm{E}-6 \end{array}$ |
|  | 3 | $\begin{array}{r} -7.19 \mathrm{E}-6 \\ 7.19 \mathrm{E}-6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.86 \mathrm{E}-6 \\ & 6.86 \mathrm{E}-6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.24 \mathrm{E}-1 \\ -2.15 \mathrm{E}-5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -5.20 \mathrm{E}-6 \\ 5.20 \mathrm{E}-6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -4.14 E-6 \\ 4.14 E-6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2.34 \mathrm{E}-1 \\ 2.03 \mathrm{E}-5 \end{array}$ |
| $R e_{1}=10^{10}$ | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -5.20 \mathrm{E}-6 \\ 5.20 \mathrm{E}-6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.89 \mathrm{E}-6 \\ -5.89 \mathrm{E}-6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.05 \mathrm{E}-6 \\ -7.05 \mathrm{E}-6 \end{array}$ |
|  | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -4.14 \mathrm{E}-6 \\ 4.14 \mathrm{E}-6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.89 \mathrm{E}-6 \\ -5.89 \mathrm{E}-6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.61 E-6 \\ -5.61 E-6 \end{array}$ |
|  | 6 | $\begin{array}{r} 5.20 \mathrm{E}-6 \\ -5.20 \mathrm{E}-6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.97 E-6 \\ -4.97 E-6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2.34 \mathrm{E}-1 \\ 2.03 \mathrm{E}-5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.05 E-6 \\ -7.05 E-6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.61 E-6 \\ -5.61 E-6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.18 \mathrm{E}-1 \\ -2.11 \mathrm{E}-5 \end{array}$ |
| (b) | 1 | $\begin{array}{r} 4.14 \mathrm{E}-2 \\ -1.49 \mathrm{E} 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.67 \mathrm{E}-2 \\ & -7.32 \mathrm{E}-1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2.13 E-2 \\ 2.32 E 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.46 E-3 \\ -3.33 E-1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.59 \mathrm{E}-2 \\ & -6.21 \mathrm{E}-1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.25 \mathrm{E}-2 \\ -2.60 \mathrm{E} 0 \end{array}$ |
|  | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & -1.67 \mathrm{E}-2 \\ & -7.32 \mathrm{E}-1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.64 \mathrm{E}-2 \\ -1.42 \mathrm{E} 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2.22 \mathrm{E}-2 \\ 2.03 \mathrm{E} 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.61 \mathrm{E}-2 \\ & -6.64 \mathrm{E}-1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.20 \mathrm{E}-2 \\ -1.52 \mathrm{E}-\text { ? } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.25 \mathrm{E}-2 \\ -2.34 \mathrm{E} 0 \end{array}$ |
|  | 3 | $\begin{array}{r} -2.13 \mathrm{E}-2 \\ 2.3^{\top} \mathrm{E} 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2.22 \mathrm{E}-2 \\ 2.03 \mathrm{E} 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.85 E-1 \\ -9.38 E 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.28 \mathrm{E}-2 \\ 2.47 \mathrm{E} 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.02 \mathrm{E}-2 \\ 2.06 \mathrm{E} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2.91 \mathrm{E}-1 \\ 8.93 \mathrm{E} 0 \end{array}$ |
| $\mathrm{Re}_{1}=10$ | 4 | $\begin{array}{r} 7.46 E-3 \\ -3.33 E-1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.61 E-2 \\ & -6.64 E-1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.28 \mathrm{E}-2 \\ 2.47 \mathrm{E} 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.48 \mathrm{E}-2 \\ -1.20 \mathrm{E} 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.41 \cdot 2 \\ & -6.3 .1 \mathrm{E}-1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.24 \mathrm{E}-2 \\ -2.20 \mathrm{E} 0 \end{array}$ |
|  | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & -1.59 \mathrm{E}-2 \\ & -6.21 \mathrm{E}-1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.20 \mathrm{E}-2 \\ -1.52 \mathrm{E}-1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.02 \mathrm{E}-2 \\ 2.06 \mathrm{E} 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.41 E-2 \\ & -6.11 E-1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.86 \mathrm{E}-2 \\ -9.20 \mathrm{E}-1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.66 \mathrm{E}-2 \\ & 1.89 \mathrm{E} 0 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 6 | $\begin{array}{r} 1.25 \mathrm{E}-2 \\ -2.60 \mathrm{E} 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.25 E-2 \\ -2.34 E 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2.91 E-1 \\ 8.93 E 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.24 \mathrm{E}-2 \\ -2.20 \mathrm{E} 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.66 \mathrm{E}-2 \\ -1.89 \mathrm{E} 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.78 \mathrm{E}-1 \\ -8.87 E \end{array}$ |

interesting to note that the senstivity of all $\operatorname{Re}\left(a_{p q}\right)$ with respect to $\operatorname{Re}_{1}$ is much smaller thar $\operatorname{Im}\left\{a_{p q}\right\}$, although both $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\alpha_{p q}\right\}$ and $\operatorname{Im}\left\{\alpha_{p q}\right\}$ increase with decreasing $\operatorname{Re}_{1}$. Figure 2 also shows shat both $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\alpha_{33}\right\}$ and $\operatorname{Im}\left\{-\alpha_{33}\right\}$ are the decreasing function of $n$.

Figures 3 and 4 show the stress coefficients $\alpha_{33}$ and $\alpha_{66}$ as functions of $r_{2} / r_{1}, n$, and $R e_{1}$ for $n_{1}=\pi$ and $M_{1}=0$. In all cases, as the values of $r_{2} / r_{1}$ increase, $a_{33}$ approaches a constant value while $a_{66}$ monotonically decreases. The different behaviors between $a_{33}$ and $a_{66}$ is attributed to the choice of inner radius $r_{1}$ (rather than $r_{2}$ ) as a raference lengch scale. As the value of $r_{2} / r_{1}$ becomes large, the movement of either shell is less dependent on the existence of the other and the stress coefficients are expected to approach a constant value if all other parameters for the shell are bept unchanged. However, the present example is set to keep $\alpha_{1}, \mathrm{Re}_{1}$, and $\$ \mathrm{NO}_{1}$ unchanged, and so $a_{2}, \mathrm{Re}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Mo}_{2}$ which are the important parameters for the outer shell are increased as $r_{2} / r_{1}$ increases. This means there will be a larger three-dimensional effect, a larger compressibility effect and a smaller viscous effect for the outer shell as $r_{2} / r_{1}$ increases, although these effects are relatively constant for the inner shell.

For $r_{2} / r_{1}>2.0$ the outer shell has practically no effects on $\alpha_{33}$ and the effect of the inner shell on the outer shell seems no longer important and the decrease of $\alpha_{66}$ is primarily due to the increase of $\alpha_{2}$ or the decrease of length/radius for the outer shell. With respect to the dependence of $n$, both $\alpha_{33}$ and $\alpha_{66}$ decrease as $n$ increases. Also the decreasing rate for $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\alpha_{33}\right\}$ and $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\alpha_{66}\right\}$ is smaller as $\operatorname{Re}_{1}$ increases, while this behavior for $\operatorname{Im}\left\{-\alpha_{33}\right\}$ and $\operatorname{Im}\left[-a_{66}\right]$ is more pronounced.

Note that the example given here is for $\mathrm{Mo}_{1}=0$. For case of $\mathrm{MO}_{1}>0$, the value of $a_{p q}$ is expected to be smaller. It can be concluded that the stress coefficient is a decreasing function of the parameters $\alpha_{1}{ }^{\text {, }} \mathrm{MO}_{1}$, $R e_{1}$, and $r_{2} / r_{1}$ and in most cases it is a decreasing function of $n$.

For a specific numerical example ori a coupled fluid/shell system, consider the following dimensional values: $R_{1}=85.52 \mathrm{~cm}\left(34.0625 \mathrm{in}\right.$ ), $\mathrm{R}_{2}=88.74 \mathrm{~cm}$ ( 34.9375 in ) , $h_{1}=0.635 \mathrm{~cm}(0.25 \mathrm{in}$ ) $) \mathrm{h}_{2}=1.5875 \mathrm{~cm}(0.625 \mathrm{in}),. \mathrm{E}_{1}=\mathrm{E}_{2}=1.896 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{~Pa}$ $\left(2.75 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{psi}\right), \nu_{1}=\nu_{2}=0.27, \rho_{1}=\rho_{2}=7.986 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\left(0.2885 \mathrm{lbm} / \mathrm{in} .^{3}\right)$, $\rho_{0}=9.217 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}(0.0333 \mathrm{lbm} / \mathrm{in} .3), \nu_{0}=7.432 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$ $\left(8.0 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{ft}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}\right), v_{0}^{\prime}=0$ and $C_{0}=\infty$. This is the same example as that given in Ref. 1, with the exception that, in the present case, there is no fluid : side the inner shell and the fluid is viscous in the annulus region. The fluid is considered to be incompressible, $\mathrm{Mo}_{1}=0$, and the shell is assumed to be simply supported at both ends.

The frequencies of the system depend on the axial wave number $a_{i}$ and circumferential wave number $n$. The lowest frequency is associated with the lowest axial wave number, i.e., the wavelength is equal to twice the shell length which is assumed to be 104.14 cm (41 in.).

The natural frequencies for the cases of viscous fluid are shown in Fig. 5. For comparison, fur related cases are also shown: (1) the inner shell in vacuo; (2) the outer shell in vacuo; (3) the shell system with rigid outer shell; and (4) the shell system with rigid inner shell. It can be seen from Fig. 5 that natural frequencies of the system decrease due to the existence of the fluid and for each circumferential wavenumber n the natural frequencies for the first coupled modes (out-of-phase modes)
are lower than either of che uncoupled natural frequencies, while the frequencies for the second coupled modes (in-phase modes) are higher than either of the uncoupled natural frequencies.

Calculations for an inviscid $\{1 u i d$ are also made. It is found that these natural frequencies are practically independent of the fluid viscosity. However, as shown in Fig. 6, the related modal damping ratio is noticeably increased in some cases when the fluid viscosity is included. These results are expected since the fluid viscosity (related to Re, has a smaller effect on $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\alpha_{p q}\right\}$ and has a larger effect on $I m\left\{\alpha_{p q}\right\}$. The decrease in natural frequencies is due to fluid inertia effect, which is proportional to Re\{a, ${ }_{\mathrm{pq}}$, while the increase in damping is mainly attributed to fluid drag, which is proportional to $\operatorname{Im}\left\{\alpha_{p q}\right\}$.

The effects of fluid viscosity on coupled and uncoupled modes are clearly shown. For the coupled shell systems the effects are mostly pronounced for the out-of-phase modes, but these effects are much smaller and in fact are considered to be negligible for the in-phase modes. However, for the uncoupled vibrations, the effects of fluid viscosity remain comparable for both shells. The reason for large damping ratios on out-of-phase modes and small damping ratios on in-phase modes can be seen from the differences of the vibrational modeshapes which are shown in Fig. 7. For in-phase moder, both shells as well as the fluid in the annular region are moved almost. in the same way (i.e., amplitude ratios $=1.0$ ) and so the existence of the fluid is hardly noticed by the shells. However, for the out-of-phase modes, two shells are moved in opposite directions and the existence of the fluid is mostly detected by the shells. Also, the frequencies and so the vibrational Reynolds nunber $\mathrm{Re}_{1}$ for the in-phase modes are much higher than for the out-phase modes.

Thus the modal damping is much smaller for the in-phase modes than for the out-phase modes. For $n \geq 6$ the movement of inner shell is much larger than of outer shell and the result is close to that of uncoupled shell system with rigid outer shell. For $n \leq 2$ or $n \geq 11$ the in-phase mode data were not presented because of the high natural frequencies.

Additional calcuiations also have been made to understand the effect of fluid compressibility. It is found that the effert of fluid compressibility, in general, is very small on natural frequency and damping, and in practical applications for structural vibrations, the compressibility of the fluid may be neglected. On the other hand, if the propagation of waves in the system is of interest, fluid compressibility has to be included.
ry. COMPARISON OF ANALYTICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS
An experimental study on a related problem was repo d recently by Chung et al. [9]. In the experiment, a steel shell free at the top edge and soldered to a disc at the bottom was tested. The fluid gap was provided by using a thick concrete shell as the outer cylinder and the fluid is water. A series of tests was made for four different fluid gap sizes: 2.616 cm ( 1.03 in.), $1.367 \mathrm{~cm}(0.538 \mathrm{in}),. 0.643 \mathrm{~cm}(0.253 \mathrm{in)}$. , and $0.384 \mathrm{~cm}(0.151 \mathrm{in}).$.

The analytical and experimental results are given in Fig. 8. The general behavior of the theoretical fluid damping is similar to the experimental data. Quantitatively, the agreement is good for circumferential wave number $n=5$ and 6 and small gaps, and fair for large gaps. However, the experimental values for $n=4$ are much larger than the analytical results. One of the reasons for the discrepancy is attributed to the boundary conditions; the theoretical model is assumed to be simply supported at both ends while the shell tested is fixed at the bottom and free at the top. Since the theoretical and experimental models have different boundary conditions, and the added mass and viscous damping depend on vibrational mode shape, the two models are not expected to give identical results. Another reason is probably associated with the difificulty in determining the damping ratio for a system with high modal de - 'ty.

In a similar study for tubes vibrating in a viscous fluid annulus, the analytical results based on the linear viscous theory are in good agreement with the experimental results for damping and added mass [7]. Since the same theory is used here, as iong as the motion is small, the analytical results based on the linear viscous theory are expected to be reliable.

In this paper, an analysis is presented for coupled vibrations of two concentric shells separated by a viscous fluid. The coupiing effects are accounted for usin; fluid stress coefficient matrix of concentric shells. With this analysis, alatural frequencies and modal damping of coupled concentric shells in viscous fluid can readily be obtained.

In the analysis the three-dimensional, linearized, Navier-Stokes equations gover̃ning the motion of viscous fluids are used. The displacements of the shells are assumed to be small such that the equations of state and motion can be linearized. The analytical rasults are in reasonable agreement with the published experimental data.

Numerical results are presented for a few selected problems for an incompressible fluid. It is shown that the fluid stress coefficient is alvays a decreasing function of $\alpha_{1}, M o_{1}, R_{1}$ and $r_{2} / r_{1}$ and in most cases it will decrease as $n$ increases. The sensitivity of $\operatorname{Re}_{1}$ on $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\alpha_{p q}\right\}$ is much smalier than that on $\operatorname{Im}\left\{\alpha_{p q}\right\}$. For general cases, the magnitude of $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\alpha_{p q}\right\}$ is larger than the magnitude of $\operatorname{Im}\left\{\alpha_{p q}\right\}$; however when $\operatorname{Re}{ }_{1}$ is sufficiently small, the magnitude of $\operatorname{Im}\left\{\alpha_{p q}\right\}$ could be much larger than that of $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\alpha_{p q}\right\}$ and the damping ratio of the system can therefore be very large.

The lowest natural frequency of the coupled shell system with fluid is significantly lower than those of the individual shells. The frequencies for the first coupled modes (out-of-phase sicdes) are lower than either of the uncoupled natural frequencies. The effect of the fluid viscosity on the system natural frequencies is negligibly small in most practical systems. However, the modal damping ratio is noticeably increased for some cases when the fluid viscosity is included, especially for the lower
frequency cases. For a coupled shell system the viscous effects are mostly pronounced for the out-of-phase modes, but these effects are considered to be negligible for the in-phase mode.

Finally, it should be pointed out that results from scaled models, frequently used in practices for design evaluation, may not be conservative if the vibration Reynolds number is not simulated. If the gap is small, or the fluid viscosity is relatively high, the simulation of the vibration Reynolds number $\mathrm{Re}_{1}$ should be included to ensure that modal damping of the model is properly accounted for.
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## APPENDIX

(1) Matrix $a_{p q}$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
a_{11}=-\alpha_{1} F_{n}\left(\gamma_{1}\right), & a_{12}=-\alpha_{1} G_{n}\left(\gamma_{1}\right), & a_{13}=a_{14}=0, \\
a_{15}=-\beta_{1} F_{n}\left(\beta_{1}\right), & a_{16}=-\beta_{1} G_{n}\left(\beta_{1}\right), & a_{21}=-n F_{n}\left(\gamma_{1}\right), \\
a_{22}=-n G_{n}\left(\gamma_{1}\right), & a_{23}=\beta_{1} F_{n+1}\left(\beta_{1}\right)-n F_{n}\left(\beta_{1}\right), \\
a_{24}=\beta_{1} G_{n+1}\left(\beta_{1}\right)-n G_{n}\left(\beta_{1}\right), & a_{25}=a_{35}=\alpha_{1} F_{n+1}\left(\beta_{1}\right), \\
a_{26}=a_{36}=\alpha_{1} G_{n+1}\left(\beta_{1}\right) & a_{31}=n F_{n}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)-\gamma_{1} F_{n+1}\left(\gamma_{1}\right), \\
a_{32}=n G_{n}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)-\gamma_{1} G_{n+1}\left(\gamma_{1}\right) & a_{33}=n F_{n}\left(\beta_{1}\right) \\
a_{34}=n G_{n}\left(\beta_{1}\right) & \text { and } \alpha_{i}=k r_{i}, \quad \beta_{i}=k_{2} r_{i}, & \gamma_{i}=k_{1} r_{i} .
\end{array}
$$

The expression of $a_{p q}$ for $p=4,5,6$ is similar to those for $p=1,2,3$ and can be obtained by replacing $\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}$, and $\gamma_{1}$ by $\alpha_{2}, \sigma_{2}$, and $\gamma_{2}$.
(2) Matrix $h_{p q}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{11}=2 S_{1} \sigma_{1}\left[F_{n+1}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)-\frac{n}{\gamma_{1}} F_{n}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)\right], \\
& h_{12}=2 S_{1} \sigma_{1}\left[G_{n+1}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)-\frac{n}{\gamma_{1}} G_{n}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)\right], \\
& h_{13}=-\frac{S_{2} \sigma_{2} n}{\beta_{1}} F_{n}\left(\beta_{1}\right), \\
& h_{15}=-S_{2}\left\{\left(\sigma_{2}^{2}-1\right) F_{n+1}\left(\beta_{1}\right)+\frac{n}{\beta_{1}} F_{n}\left(\beta_{1}\right)\right\}, \frac{S_{2} \sigma_{2} n}{\beta_{1}} G_{n}\left(\beta_{1}\right), \\
& h_{16}=-S_{2}\left\{\left(\sigma_{2}^{2}-1\right) G_{n+1}\left(\beta_{1}\right)+\frac{n}{\beta_{1}} G_{n}\left(\beta_{1}\right)\right\}, \\
& h_{21}=\frac{2 S_{1} n}{\gamma_{1}}\left[F_{n+1}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)-\frac{n-1}{\gamma_{1}} F_{n}\left(r_{1}\right)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{22}=\frac{2 S_{1} n}{\gamma_{2}}\left[G_{n+1}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)-\frac{n-1}{\gamma_{1}} G_{n}\left(r_{1}\right)\right] \text {, } \\
& h_{23}=-S_{2}\left\{\left[\frac{2 n(n-1)}{\beta_{1}^{2}}-1\right] F_{n}\left(\beta_{1}\right)+\frac{2}{\beta_{1}} F_{n+1}\left(\beta_{1}\right)\right\} \text {, } \\
& h_{24}=-S_{2}\left\{\left[\frac{2 n(n-1)}{\beta_{1}^{2}}-1\right] G_{n}\left(\beta_{1}\right)+\frac{2}{\beta_{1}} G_{n+1}\left(\beta_{1}\right)\right\} \text {, } \\
& h_{25}=S_{2} \sigma_{2}\left[F_{n}\left(\beta_{1}\right)-\frac{2(n+1)}{\beta_{1}} F_{n+1}\left(\beta_{1}\right)\right] \text {, } \\
& h_{26}=S_{2} \sigma_{2}\left[G_{n}\left(\beta_{1}\right)-\frac{2(n+1)}{\beta_{1}} G_{n+1}\left(\beta_{1}\right)\right] \text {, } \\
& h_{31}=\left[\frac{2 S_{1} n(n+1)}{\gamma_{1}{ }^{2}}-\left(2 S_{1}+\frac{1}{1+i \frac{\omega}{\omega_{0}}}\right)\right] F_{n}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)+\frac{2 S_{1}}{\gamma_{1}} F_{n+1}\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \text {, } \\
& h_{32}=\left[\frac{2 S_{1} n(n+1)}{\gamma_{1}{ }^{2}}-\left(2 S_{1}+\frac{1}{1+i \frac{\omega}{\omega_{0}}}\right)\right] G_{n}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)+\frac{2 S_{1}}{\gamma_{1}} G_{n+1}\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \text {, } \\
& h_{33}=\frac{2 S_{2} n}{\beta_{1}}\left[\frac{n-1}{\beta_{1}} F_{n}\left(\beta_{1}\right)-F_{n+1}\left(\beta_{1}\right)\right] \text {, } \\
& h_{34}=\frac{2 S_{2} n}{\beta_{1}}\left[\frac{n-1}{\beta_{1}} G_{n}\left(\beta_{2}\right)-G_{n+1}\left(\beta_{1}\right)\right], \\
& h_{35}=2 S_{2} \sigma_{2}\left[F_{n}\left(\beta_{1}\right)-\frac{n+1}{\beta_{1}} F_{n+1}\left(\beta_{1}\right)\right] \text {, } \\
& h_{30}=2 S_{2} \sigma_{2}\left[G_{n}\left(\beta_{1}\right)-\frac{n+1}{\beta_{1}} G_{n+1}\left(\beta_{1}\right)\right] \text {, } \\
& \text { and } s_{1}=i \frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{R e_{1}}, \quad s_{2}=i \frac{\beta_{1}^{2}}{R e_{1}}, \quad \frac{\omega}{\omega_{0}}=\frac{M o_{1}^{2}}{R e_{1}}\left(\frac{4}{3}+\frac{\nu_{0}}{v_{0}}\right) \text {, } \\
& \sigma_{1}=\alpha_{1} / \gamma_{1}, \quad \sigma_{2}=\alpha_{1} / \gamma_{2}, \quad \mathrm{Re}_{1}=\frac{\omega \mathrm{r}_{1}{ }^{2}}{\nu_{0}}, \mathrm{Mo}_{1}=\frac{\omega \mathrm{r}_{1}}{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}}} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Again, the expression of $h_{p q}$ for $p=4,5$, and 6 is also omitted here since it is similar to those of $p=1,2$, and 3 ; they can be obtained by replacing $\beta_{1}$ and $\gamma_{1}$ by $\beta_{2}$ and $\gamma_{2}$, and multiplied by -1 [egg., $h_{43}=$ $\left.\frac{S_{2} \sigma_{2}}{\beta_{2}} F_{n}\left(\beta_{2}\right)\right]$.
(3) Matrix $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{pq}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{11}=\alpha_{1}^{2}+\frac{1-v_{1}}{2} n^{2}\left(1+\frac{\delta_{1}^{2}}{12}\right), \\
& c_{12}=c_{21}=\frac{1+v_{1}}{2} \alpha_{1} n, \\
& c_{22}=n^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(1-v_{1}\right)\left(1+\frac{\delta_{1}}{4}\right) \alpha_{1}^{2}, \\
& c_{13}=c_{31}=\alpha_{1} v_{1}+\frac{\alpha_{1}^{3}}{12} \delta_{1}^{2}-\frac{\alpha_{1}}{24}\left(1-v_{1}\right) \delta_{1}^{2} n^{2}, \\
& c_{23}=c_{32}=n+\frac{\alpha_{1}^{2}}{24}\left(3-v_{1}\right) \delta_{1}^{2} n, \\
& c_{33}=1+\frac{\delta_{1}^{2}}{12}\left[1-2 n^{2}+\left(\alpha_{1}^{2}+n^{2}\right)^{2}\right], \\
& \delta_{i}=h_{i} / R_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

The expression of $C_{p q}$ for the outer shell can be obtained easily by replacing $\alpha_{1}$ and $\delta_{1}$ by $\alpha_{2}$ and $\delta_{2}$ and changing the subscript for $c_{p q}$ from 1,2 , and 3 to 4, 5, and 6 respectively.
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Fig. 1. Schematic of two concentric cylindrical shells containing
a viscous fluid.


Fig. 2. Stress coefficient $\alpha_{33}$ as a function of Reynolds number $\operatorname{Re}_{1}$ for $\alpha_{1}=\pi_{1} r_{2} / r_{1}=1.1$, and $M o n_{1}=0.0$.


Fig. 3. Real parts of stress coefficients as functions of radius ratio $r_{2} / r_{1}$
for $\alpha_{1}=\pi$ and $M o_{1}=0.0$. $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\alpha_{66}\right\} ;---\cdots-\operatorname{Re}\left\{\alpha_{33}\right\}$


Fig. 4. Imaginary parts of stress coefficients as functions of $r_{2} / r_{1}$ for $\alpha_{1}=\pi$ and $\mathrm{Mo}_{1}=0.0 \ldots$ Im\{- $\left.\alpha_{66}\right\} ;-\cdots--: \operatorname{Im}\left\{-\alpha_{33}\right\}$.


Fig. 5. Natural frequencies of shell systems.


Fig. 6. Modal damping ratio 5 of a fluid/shell system.


Fig. 7. Modal shape of a coupled fluid/shell system.


Ff.g. 8. Comparison of experimental and analytical damping ratio.


[^0]:    *To be presented at the Ninety-second Meetiny of the Acousticel Society of America, November 16-19, 1976 at San Dlego. California.

