RESEARCH ARTICLE

η -Simple semigroups without zero and η^* -simple semigroups with a least non-zero idempotent

Roman S. Gigoń

Received: 16 September 2011 / Accepted: 12 May 2012 / Published online: 31 May 2012 © The Author(s) 2012. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract A semigroup S is called η -simple if S has no semilattice congruences except $S \times S$. Tamura in (Semigroup Forum 24:77–82, 1982) studied η -simple semigroups with a unique idempotent. In the present paper we consider a more general situation, that is, we investigate η -simple semigroups (without zero) with a least idempotent. Moreover, we study η^* -simple semigroups with zero which contain a least non-zero idempotent.

Keywords η -Simple semigroup · Least idempotent · E-Inversive semigroup

1 Preliminaries

Let S be a semigroup and $a \in S$. An element $x \in S$ is called a *weak inverse* of a if xax = x; the set of all weak inverses of a is denoted by $W_S(a)$. A semigroup S is said to be E-inversive if for every $a \in S$ there is $x \in S$ such that $ax \in E_S$, where E_S (or briefly E) is the set of idempotents of S (more generally, if $A \subseteq S$, then E_A denotes the set of idempotents of A). If $A \subseteq S$, then by A^* we shall mean the set of all nonzero elements of A. Since each semigroup with zero is E-inversive, then we define a semigroup S with zero to be E-inversive if for all $a \in S^*$ there exists $x \in S$ such that $ax \in E_S^*$. Finally, put $W_S^*(a) = W_S(a) \setminus \{0\}$ ($a \in S$). Recall from [3] that a semigroup S [with zero] is $E^{[*]}$ -inversive if and only if $W_S^{[*]}(a) \neq \emptyset$ for every $a \in S^{[*]}$.

Lemma 1.1 A semigroup S [with zero] is $E^{[*]}$ -inversive if and only if every [non-zero] ideal of S contains some [non-zero] idempotent of S.

Communicated by Marcel Jackson.

R.S. Gigoń (⊠)

Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science, Wroclaw University of Technology,

Wyb. Wyspianskiego 27, 50-370 Wroclaw, Poland

e-mail: romekgigon@tlen.pl



 η -Simple semigroups 109

Proof Suppose that every non-zero ideal of S contains some non-zero idempotent of S, $a \in S^*$. Then S^1aS^1 contains at least one non-zero idempotent of S, that is, xay = e for some $x, y \in S^1$ (in fact, we may suppose that $x, y \in S$), $e \in E_S^*$. Hence exaye = e, so $(yex)a(yex) = yex \neq 0$; otherwise 0 = xa(yex) = (xay)ex = ex. Thus 0 = exay = e, a contradiction. Consequently, $yex \in W_S^*(a)$.

The converse implication is clear.

Lemma 1.2 Let S be an $E^{[*]}$ -inversive semigroup. Then eSe is $E^{[*]}$ -inversive for every $e \in E_S^{[*]}$.

Proof Observe first that $e \in eSe$, so $eSe \neq \{0\}$. Let $a \in (eSe)^*$ and $x \in W_S^*(a)$. Then x = xax = x(eae)x. Hence exe = (exe)a(exe). Furthermore, if exe = 0, then we get xe = [(xe)a(ex)]e = (xea)(exe) = 0, so x = (xe)a(ex) = 0, a contradiction. Thus $exe \in W_{eSe}^*(a)$, as exactly required.

We say that a semigroup S is a *semilattice* if $a^2 = a$, ab = ba for all $a, b \in S$. Further, a congruence ρ on a semigroup S is called a *semilattice* congruence if S/ρ is a semilattice. It is clear that the least semilattice congruence η on an arbitrary semigroup exists. Finally, a semigroup is said to be η -simple if $\eta = S \times S$.

The next lemma follows immediately from the Second Isomorphism Theorem.

Lemma 1.3 A homomorphic image of an η -simple semigroup is η -simple.

Let S be a semigroup. Recall that the *natural partial order* is the relation \leq , defined on E_S by $e \leq f$ if e = ef = fe. We say that a semigroup S (without zero) has a least idempotent e if $e \leq f$ for every $f \in E_S$. Note that If S has a zero, say 0, then clearly 0 is the least element of E_S with respect to \leq , but in such a case, we may say that S has a *least non-zero idempotent* if E_S^* contains the least element with respect to the natural partial order.

Let A be an ideal of a semigroup S. We say that S is an *ideal extension* of the semigroup A by the semigroup T if the Rees semigroup S/A is isomorphic to T. Finally, an ideal P of a semigroup S is called *prime* if the condition $ab \in P$ implies that $a \in P$ or $b \in P$ for all $a, b \in S$.

2 The main results

Remark that by Corollary 3.9 of [4], a semigroup S is η -simple if and only if S has no proper prime ideals.

Proposition 2.1 Let $S \neq S^0$ be an η -simple semigroup with a least idempotent. Then S is E-inversive. Moreover, S is an ideal extension of a group by an η -simple semigroup.

Proof Let e be the least element of E_S . Then every ideal of S must contain e. Indeed, suppose by way of contradiction that there is an ideal A of S such that $e \notin A$. Let B



110 R.S. Gigoń

be the set theoretic union of all such ideals A of S. Then clearly B is the largest ideal of S such that $e \notin B$. Next, consider the Rees quotient S/B. Notice that we may think about S/B as a semigroup with zero, where all products not falling in S/B are zero. Consider now an arbitrary non-zero ideal C of S/B. Then by construction of B, $\{e\}$ must belong to C. Hence the intersection of all non-zero ideals of S/B contains $\{e\}$. In particular, S/B is E^* -inversive (see Lemma 1.1). Also, B is a prime ideal of S. Indeed, let $a, b \notin B$ be such that $ab \in B$. Then $fg \in B$ for some $f, g \in E_S \setminus B$ (because S/B is E^* -inversive). Hence $e = efg \in B$ (which is a contradiction). It follows that S has a proper semilattice congruence (by the above remark), a contradiction with the assumption of the theorem. Consequently, every ideal of S must contain e. Thus S has a kernel G (say) and S is E-inversive (Lemma 1.1). Hence for every $a \in S$ there exists $x \in S$ such that $ax, xa \in E_S$. Therefore $e = (ax)e = a(xe) \in aS$. We may equally well show that $e \in Sa$. It follows easily that S contains both a minimum left ideal L (say) and a minimum right ideal R (say). Furthermore, for every $a \in S$, La is a minimal left ideal of S (see [1], Lemma 2.32). Hence La = L, so L is an ideal of S (and $L = L^2$). We can show in a similar way that R is an ideal of S, so L = R = G = eS = Se (because $Se \subseteq L$, $eS \subseteq R$, since $e \in L$, R). Consequently, G = eSe. Indeed, evidently $eSe \subset SeS = G$. Also, $G = GG = eSSe \subset eSe$. By Lemma 1.2, G is an E-inversive monoid (with an identity element e). Moreover, if $f \in E_{eSe}$, then fe = ef = f i.e. $f \le e$. Thus f = e. Consequently, G is a group ideal of S and so S is an ideal extension of the group G by the semigroup S/G which is η -simple, by Lemma 1.3, as required.

Lemma 2.2 Let $S \neq S^0$ be an η -simple semigroup with the least idempotent e. Then ea = ae for all $a \in S$.

Proof Let $a \in S$. Then ea, $ae \in eSe = eS = Se$, where eSe is a group ideal of S (see the proof of Proposition 2.1). Hence $e \cdot ae = ae$, $ea \cdot e = ea$. Thus ea = ae.

A congruence on a semigroup is called a *group* congruence if the quotient semigroup is a group.

Corollary 2.3 Let $S \neq S^0$ be an η -simple semigroup with a least idempotent, say e. Then the mapping $s \to es$ of S onto the group eS is an epimorphism leaving the elements of eS fixed. Moreover, the congruence σ induced by this morphism, that is $\sigma = \{(a,b) \in S \times S : ea = eb\}$, is the least group congruence on S.

Proof The first part of the result follows from Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 2.2. Further, if ρ is a group congruence on S, then clearly $(s, es) \in \rho$ for every $s \in S$. Hence $\sigma \subseteq \rho$.

Remark 1 Notice that if a semigroup $S \neq S^0$ with the least idempotent e is η -simple, then $\rho_{eS} \cap \sigma = 1_S$ and so S is a subdirect product of an (E-inversive) η -simple semigroup S/eS (with zero) and the group eS.

Further, the converse of Proposition 2.1 is valid.



 η -Simple semigroups 111

Theorem 2.4 A semigroup S without zero is η -simple and has a least idempotent if and only if it is an (E-inversive) ideal extension of a group by an η -simple semi-group.

Proof The direct part follows from Proposition 2.1.

Conversely, let G be a group ideal of S (with an identity e) and $a \in S$. Then $ea \in G$, say ea = g. It follows that $g^{-1}ea = e \in Sa$. We may equally well show that $e \in aS$, so e is the least idempotent of E_S . Further, if ρ is a semilattice congruence on S, then (according to the proof of Theorem 5 in [5]) $\rho \cap (G \times G) = G \times G$. It follows that $\rho_G \subset \rho$, where ρ_G is the Rees congruence on S modulo G. Hence there is an epimorphism of S/ρ_G onto S/ρ . In fact, this morphism induced on S/ρ_G a semilattice congruence. Since S/ρ_G is η -simple, then S/ρ must be trivial. Consequently, $\rho = S \times S$, as exactly required.

Remark that if a semigroup *S* is a *left* [*right*] *group* (i.e. $S \times S = \mathcal{L}[\mathcal{R}]$), then *S* is η -simple. Indeed, let *S* be a left [right] group. Then $S \times S = \mathcal{L}[\mathcal{R}] \subseteq \mathcal{J} \subseteq \eta$.

Theorem 2.5 A semigroup S without zero is η -simple and has an idempotent e such that ef = e [fe = e] if and only if it is an (E-inversive) ideal extension of a left [right] group by an η -simple semigroup.

Proof (\Longrightarrow). Let ef = e for every $f \in E_S$. We may equally well show like above (see the proof of Proposition 2.1) that e belongs to every ideal of S. Hence S has a kernel, say K. In particular, S is E-inversive. It follows that $e \in Sa$ for every $a \in S$. Thus S contains a minimum left ideal E and E and E for all E (so E is a left simple semigroup (by Theorem 2.35 [1]) and so E is a left group (by the dual of Theorem 1.27 [1]). Consequently, E is an ideal extension of the left group E by the semigroup E which is E is an ideal extension of the left group E by the semigroup E which is E is an ideal extension of the left group E.

(⇐=). Let K be a left group ideal of S, $e \in E_K$ and $a \in S$. Then $ea \in K$, say ea = k. It follows that $ek^{-1}ea = ek^{-1}k = e \in Sa$, where k^{-1} is some inverse of k in K (since E_K is a left zero semigroup). Hence if $f \in E_S$, then e = sf for some $s \in S$. Thus ef = e. We have just shown that ef = e for all $e \in E_K$, $f \in E_S$. Further, if ρ is a semilattice congruence on S, then $\rho \cap (K \times K) = K \times K$ (by the preceding remark) and so $\rho = S \times S$ (by the proof of Theorem 2.4).

Corollary 2.6 Let S be a simple semigroup. If S has an idempotent e such that ef = e [fe = e] for every $f \in E_S$, then S is a left [right] group.

Proof Indeed, in such a case, $\mathcal{J} = S \times S$. It is almost evident (and also well-known) that $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \eta$. Hence S is η -simple, so S contains a left [right] group ideal K. Thus S = K.

Notice that if S is a completely simple semigroup (see [2], Sect. 3.2), then the Green's relation \mathcal{H} is a band congruence on S (see Lemma III.2.4 in [2]). Further, every left [right] group S is completely simple and E_S is a left [right] zero semigroup. It follows, from the above, that if S is a left [right] group, then S/\mathcal{H} is a left [right] zero semigroup.

A semigroup *S* is said to be *congruence-free* if it has exactly two congruences.



112 R.S. Gigoń

Proposition 2.7 Let S be a congruence-free semigroup without zero. If S has an idempotent e such that ef = e[fe = e] for every $f \in E_S$, then S is a simple group.

Proof Let ef = e for every $f \in E_S$. Since S is congruence-free, then either η is the identity or the universal relation on S. In the former case, S is a semilattice, but then e is the zero of S, a contradiction with the assumption of the proposition. It follows that S is η -simple. By Theorem 2.5, S contains a left group ideal K. Hence S is itself a left group. From the above remark we conclude that either $\mathcal{H} = 1_S$ or $\mathcal{H} = S \times S$. In the former case, S must be a left zero semigroup. Since |S| > 1, then the partition $\{\{e\}, S \setminus \{e\}\}$ of S induced a proper congruence on S, a contradiction. Thus $\mathcal{H} = S \times S$, so $E_S = \{e\}$, since \mathcal{H} separates idempotents of S. Consequently, S is a simple group.

Next, consider a semigroup S with zero such that the set E_S^* contains a least idempotent, say e. Remark that $fg \neq 0$ for all $f, g \in E_S^*$ (in fact, if $e \in E_S^*$ has the property that ef = e [fe = e] for every $f \in E_S^*$, then also $gh \neq 0$ for all $g, h \in E_S^*$).

Since a semigroup with zero adjoined has a proper semilattice congruence, then we shall say that a semigroup with zero is η^* -simple if S has at most two semilattice congruences, namely: (i) $S \times S$ or (ii) the congruence induced by the partition $\{\{0\}, S^*\}$. Clearly, the partition $\{\{0\}, S^*\}$ of a semigroup S with zero induces a semilattice congruence on S if and only if S is a semigroup with zero adjoined.

Recall that a semigroup S with zero is called a 0-group if S^* is a group.

Theorem 2.8 A semigroup S with zero is η^* -simple and has a least non-zero idempotent if and only if it is an E^* -inversive semigroup with zero adjoined (and so S^* is an E-inversive semigroup with a least idempotent) and it is an ideal extension of a 0-group by an η -simple semigroup.

Proof (\Longrightarrow). Let e be a least non-zero idempotent of S. We can show that every non-zero ideal of S contains e (see the proof of Proposition 2.1 and the above remark). In particular, S is E^* -inversive (Lemma 1.1). Hence for every $a \in S^*$ there is x such that xa is a non-zero idempotent of S. Thus $e \in Sa$. We may equally well show that $e \in aS$. Next, if $a, b \in S^*$, then (by the above) e = xa, e = by for some $x, y \in S$. Hence e = x(ab)y and so $ab \in S^*$. Consequently, S has no proper zero divisors. Thus S^* is an E-inversive semigroup with a least idempotent e and so S^* is an ideal extension of a group G by an g-simple semigroup. Indeed, g-simple semigroup. Indeed, g-simple semigroup ideal of g-simple semigroup. Indeed, g-simple semigroup of g-simple semigroup. Indeed, g-simple semigroup.

The opposite implication follows easily from the proof of Theorem 2.4. \Box

A non-zero [left [right]] ideal *A* of a semigroup *S* with zero is called 0-*minimum* if it is contained in every non-zero [left [right]] ideal of *S*.

Further, a semigroup S with zero is called *categorical* if abc = 0 implies that either ab = 0 or bc = 0 for all $a, b, c \in S$.

Finally, we have the following theorem.



Theorem 2.9 Let S be a categorical semigroup (with zero). Then S is η^* -simple and has a non-zero idempotent e such that ef = e [fe = e] for every $f \in E_S^*$ if and only if it is an E^* -inversive semigroup with zero adjoined (and so S^* is an E-inversive semigroup with a least idempotent) and it is an ideal extension of a left [right] group with zero adjoined by an η -simple semigroup.

Proof (\Longrightarrow). Let ef=e for every $f\in E_S^*$. We may equally well show like above that e belongs to every non-zero ideal of S. Hence S has a 0-minimum ideal K. In particular, S is E^* -inversive. It follows that $e\in Sa$ for all $a\in S^*$. Thus S contains a 0-minimum left ideal L (and L=Le, so $L=L^2$). Therefore K=Se is a left 0-simple semigroup (by Theorem 2.35 in [1]), so K^* is a left simple semigroup (Theorem 2.27 in [1]). Thus K^* is a left group (by the dual of Theorem 1.27 in [1]). Further, suppose that ea=0 for some $a\in S$ and let $b\in S^*$. Then e=sb for some $s\in S$. Hence sba=0. Thus ba=0 (since S is categorical), so $\{0,a\}$ is a left ideal of S. It follows that either $\{0,a\}=K$ or a=0. Consequently, $ea\ne 0$ for all $a\in S^*$. Therefore $ab\ne 0$ for all $a,b\in S^*$. Indeed, if ab=0 for some $a,b\in S^*$, then eb=0, a contradiction from the above. We conclude that S^* is an E-inversive semigroup, so S^* is an ideal extension of the left group K which is η-simple (Theorem 2.5). Hence S is an ideal extension of the left group K with zero adjoined by the semigroup S/K which is η-simple, since K is not a prime ideal of S.

The opposite implication follows from the proof of Theorem 2.5. \Box

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

References

- Clifford, A.H., Preston, G.B.: The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups, Vol. I. Math. Surveys, vol. 7. Am. Math. Soc., Providence (1961)
- 2. Howie, J.M.: An Introduction to Semigroup Theory. Academic Press, London (1984)
- 3. Mitsch, H., Petrich, M.: Basic properties on E-inversive semigroups. Commun. Algebra 28, 5169–5182 (2000)
- 4. Petrich, M.: The maximal semilattice decomposition of a semigroup. Math. Z. 55, 68-82 (1964)
- Tamura, T.: Semilattice indecomposable semigroups with a unique idempotent. Semigroup Forum 24, 77–82 (1982)

