

1 **Eating at restaurants, at work or at home. Is there a difference? A study among**
2 **adults of eleven European countries in the context of the HECTOR* project**

3 Philippos Orfanos (1), Androniki Naska (1), Sara Rodrigues (2), Carla Lopes (3), Heinz Freisling
4 (4), Sabine Rohrmann (5), Sabina Sieri (6), Ibrahim Elmadfa (7), Carl Lachat (8,9), Kurt Gedrich
5 (10), Heiner Boeing (11), Verena Katzke (12), Aida Turrini (13), Rosario Tumino (14), Fulvio
6 Ricceri (15-17), Amalia Mattiello (18), Domenico Palli (19), Marga Ocké (20), Dagrun Engeset
7 (21), Maciej Oltarzewski (22), Lena Maria Nilsson (23,24), Timothy Key (25), and Antonia
8 Trichopoulou (1, 26)

9
10 **Affiliations**

11 (1) Department of Hygiene, Epidemiology and Medical Statistics, School of Medicine, National and
12 Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece

13 (2) Faculty of Nutrition and Food Sciences, University of Porto, and Institute of Public Health,
14 Portugal

15 (3) Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Preventive Medicine and Public Health, University of
16 Porto Medical School / Institute of Public Health, Portugal

17 (4) International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC-WHO), Lyon, France

18 (5) Division of Chronic Disease Epidemiology, Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Prevention Institute
19 (EBPI), University of Zurich, Switzerland

20 (6) Epidemiology and Prevention Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, Milan,
21 Italy

22 (7) Department of Nutritional Sciences, University of Vienna, Althanstrasse 14
23 (Pharmaziezentrum), A-1090 Vienna, Austria.

24 (8) Department of Food Safety and Food Quality, Faculty of Bioscience Engineering, Gent
25 University, Belgium

26 (9) Nutrition and Child Health Unit, Institute of Tropical Medicine, Belgium

- 27 (10) TUM Technical University of Munich; Department of Nutritional Physiology, Freising,
28 Germany
- 29 (11) Department of Epidemiology, German Institute of Human Nutrition Potsdam-Rehbruecke,
30 Nuthetal, Germany
- 31 (12) Division of Cancer Epidemiology, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg,
32 Germany
- 33 (13) Council for Agricultural Research and Economics - Research Center for Food and Nutrition
34 (CREA-Alimenti e Nutrizione), Italy
- 35 (14) Cancer Registry and Histopathology Unit, "Civic M.P.Arezzo" Hospital, ASP Ragusa (Italy)
- 36 (15) Department of Economics and Statistics "Cognetti de Martiis" University of Turin, Italy
- 37 (16) Unit of Epidemiology, Regional Health Service ASL TO3, Turin, Italy
- 38 (17) Unit of Cancer Epidemiology, Department of Medical Sciences, University of Turin, Italy
- 39 (18) Dipartimento di Medicina Clinica e Chirurgia Federico II University, Naples, Italy
- 40 (19) Cancer Research and Prevention Institute (ISPO), Florence, Italy
- 41 (20) National Institute for Public Health and the Environment, Bilthoven, the Netherlands
- 42 (21) Department of Public Health, Sport and Nutrition, University of Agder, Norway
- 43 (22) National Food and Nutrition Institute, Poland
- 44 (23) Public Health and clinical medicine, Nutritional research, Umeå University, Norway
- 45 (24) Arcum, Arctic Research Centre at Umeå University, Norway
- 46 (25) Cancer Epidemiology Unit, University of Oxford, UK
- 47 (26) Hellenic Health Foundation, Athens, Greece

48

49 **Running title:** Eating at restaurants, at work or at home in Europe

50

51 **Corresponding author**

52 Antonia Trichopoulou,

53 Hellenic Health Foundation, Kaisareias 13 and Alexandroupoleos Str, Athens 115 27, Greece

54 Tel: +30 210 777 0697, Fax: +30 210 746 2079, e-mail: a.trichopoulou@hhf-greece.gr

55

56 *The HECTOR Consortium consists of: Alexandra Manoli (School of Medicine, National and
57 Kapodistrian University of Athens), Patrick Kolsteren (Department of Food Safety and Food
58 Quality, Faculty of Bioscience Engineering, Gent University, Belgium and Nutrition and Child
59 Health Unit, Institute of Tropical Medicine, Belgium), Maria Daniel Vaz de Almeida (Faculty of
60 Nutrition and Food Sciences, University of Porto), Guri Skeie (Department of Community
61 Medicine, University of Tromsø, Norway), Wlodzimierz Sekula (National Food and Nutrition
62 Institute, Poland). **N.B. According to the project's authorship rules, this manuscript is not
63 going to be sent to 'For-Profit' HECTOR Participants]**

64

65 **Abstract**

66 **Background/objectives:** To compare macronutrient intakes out of home -by location- to those at
67 home, and to investigate differences in total daily intakes between individuals consuming more than
68 half of their daily energy out of home and those eating only at home.

69 **Subjects/methods:** Data collected through 24-hour recalls or diaries among 23 766 European
70 adults. Participants were grouped as “non-substantial”, “intermediate” and “very substantial out-of-
71 home” eaters based on energy intake out of home. Mean macronutrient intakes were estimated at
72 home and out of home (overall, at restaurants, at work). Study/cohort-specific mean differences in
73 total intakes between the “very substantial out-of-home” and the “at-home” eaters were estimated
74 through linear regression and pooled estimates were derived.

75 **Results:** At restaurants, men consumed 29% of their energy as fat, 15% as protein, 45% as
76 carbohydrates and 11% as alcohol. Among women, fat contributed 33% of energy intake at
77 restaurants, protein 16%, carbohydrates 45%, and alcohol 6%. When eating at work, both sexes
78 reported 30% of energy from fat and 55% from carbohydrates. Intakes at home were higher in fat
79 and lower in carbohydrates and alcohol. Total daily intakes of the “very substantial out-of-home”
80 eaters were generally similar to those of individuals eating only at home, apart from lower
81 carbohydrate and higher alcohol intakes among individuals eating at restaurants.

82 **Conclusions:** In a large population of adults from eleven European countries, eating at work was
83 generally similar to eating at home. Alcoholic drinks were the primary contributors of higher daily
84 energy intakes among individuals eating substantially at restaurants.

85

86 **Keywords:** eating out, eating at restaurants, eating at work, daily diet, Europe.

87 **Word count:** 248 **Text count:** 2 989

88

89 **Introduction**

90 Changes in the food environment resulting from urbanization and market globalization led to a rise
91 in food consumption away from home, the “eating out” trend distinctly reflected in current dietary
92 habits worldwide.¹⁻⁶ Since the 1990s, large food-service operators adopted policies of international
93 expansion⁷ and ready-to-eat food has become available not only in places such as the traditional sit-
94 down restaurants, quick-service establishments, cafeterias and bars, but also through take-away and
95 food delivery services. In addition, eating at work is gaining increasing attention in out-of-home
96 eating, since more than half of an adult’s waking hours are spent at work.⁸

97

98 In response, the number of studies on eating out of home has increased in Europe and across the
99 world.^{1-6,9-24} Systematic reviews concluded that eating out of home was associated with higher total
100 energy and fat intake²⁵ and that frequent eating out, in general, and at fast-food outlets in particular,
101 was positively associated with overweight or obesity.²⁶ Reviews further agree that there is a
102 necessity to monitor the out-of-home dietary choices in order to understand public health
103 implications.

104

105 In this context, the European project entitled “Eating out: habits, determinants and
106 recommendations for consumers and the European catering sector (HECTOR)” aimed to understand
107 eating out through analyzing data collected among adults in 11 European countries.
108 (www.nut.uoa.gr/hector). The present analysis aims to compare energy contributions of
109 macronutrients across categories of energy intakes through out-of-home eating. Furthermore it aims
110 to investigate how daily intakes of energy and macronutrients are associated with eating locations
111 (home, restaurants, work) between individuals who consumed more than 50% of their total energy
112 intake out of home and those who were essentially eating only at home, since they consumed less
113 than 25% of their daily energy out of home and in places other than restaurants or work (i.e.
114 friends/relatives houses).

115

116 **Materials and methods**

117 **Study sample**

118 The study sample consists of participants in four national studies in Austria, Poland, Belgium and
119 Italy; two regional studies in Bavaria (Germany) and Porto (Portugal, the EpiPorto cohort study)
120 and cohorts from seven countries (Germany, Greece, Italy, Norway, the Netherlands, Sweden and
121 the United Kingdom) participating in the international multicentre European Prospective
122 Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (the EPIC study). Ethical issues have been considered in all
123 studies and all procedures have been in accordance with the Helsinki declaration.^{6,27-33}

124

125 The initial sample included 33 500 men and women. In order to maintain the same age range in all
126 the sub-populations, individuals below 35 and over 64 years of age and without complete or
127 consistent data on eating location were excluded (9 721 individuals). A total of 23 766 individuals,
128 9 077 men and 14 689 women composed the final sample. The Norwegian EPIC cohort consisted of
129 women between 42 and 57 years of age.

130

131 **Data collection**

132 In five studies, dietary data were collected through single (the EPIC study and the studies in Austria
133 and Poland) or multiple (studies in Belgium and in Bavaria-Germany) 24-hour dietary recalls (24-
134 HDRs).^{6,27,30-35} In the Italian survey and the EpiPorto study, 4-7 day diaries were.^{28,36} Details on
135 data collection and nutrient estimations are presented in Table 1. Of note that data on alcohol
136 intakes were not collected in the nationwide Polish study. For the present analysis, body mass index
137 (BMI, kg/m²) was calculated based on self-reported weight and height.

138

139 **Definition of eating out**

140 The place of consumption was reported for each eating occasion. Locations other than the
141 household included: restaurants, relatives/friends' houses, work, cafeterias, bars, quick-service (fast
142 food) establishments and other (e.g. in the car, at street). The HECTOR Consortium decided to
143 operationally define "eating out" to include all meals, beverages and snacks consumed at places
144 other than the participant's household, irrespective of the place of food preparation. In addition, two
145 sub-categories were defined: "eating at restaurants" including all eating and drinking occasions at
146 restaurants and similar establishments (cafeterias, bars etc) and "eating at work" including all foods
147 and beverages consumed at work (offices, work canteens etc). From the remaining locations, the
148 vast majority of eating occasions was reported at relatives/friends' houses. In the EpiPorto study,
149 participants did not report the place of food consumption when eating out and this dataset was not
150 included in the analyses of "eating at restaurants" or "eating at work".

151

152 Three categories of individuals were further identified according to the proportion of total energy
153 intake consumed at out-of-home locations: (a) individuals who reported eating only at home or
154 receiving less than 25% of their total daily energy intake out of home (operationally defined as "not
155 substantial out-of-home" eaters) (b) individuals who reported 25-49% of their total daily energy
156 intake out of home, hereafter referred to as "intermediate out-of-home" eaters and (c) individuals
157 who reported 50% or more of their total daily energy intake out of home, described as "very
158 substantial out-of-home" eaters. The categorization was based on a previous definition.^{6,14,22,23}

159

160 In a sub-group analysis between the "not substantial" eaters and the "very substantial" out-of-home
161 eaters, comparisons were undertaken among the following sub-groups of participants: a) individuals
162 who reported eating only at home or receiving less than 25% of their total energy intake at
163 relatives/friends' houses only, and not at restaurants or at work (n=11 299), b) the "very substantial
164 out-of-home" eaters who reported eating out only at work (n=1 095), c) the "very substantial out-of-
165 home" eaters who reported eating out only at restaurants (n=936) and d) the "very substantial out-

166 of-home” eaters who reported eating out both at restaurants and at work during the day of recall
167 (n=646).

168

169 **Statistical analysis**

170 Statistical analyses were performed overall, separately for men and women and independently in
171 each of the studies. For the EPIC study, analyses were carried out by country. To ensure
172 comparability between studies and correct for skewed distributions across baseline variables, mean
173 energy intakes (and standard errors) corresponding to eating at home, out of home (overall), at
174 restaurants or at work were adjusted for age (continuously), day and season of recall/record and
175 centre or region in the case of the EPIC cohorts (categorically). Information on day and season of
176 recall/record was considered as follows: (a) for studies based on 24-HDRs, means were adjusted for
177 day (Monday to Thursday versus Friday to Sunday) and season of recall (categorically) and (b) for
178 studies using multiple-day diaries means were adjusted for the number of days (four categories,
179 from four to seven days) and for the season of the first day of each individual’s diary
180 (categorically). Adjustment for season of recall was not possible in the Polish (data from September
181 to November) and Austrian studies (season of recall not recorded). The adjusted means correspond
182 to the average intakes of populations with mean age of 52.5 years for men and 51.4 years for
183 women, which reflect the sex-specific means of the overall sample. The macronutrient density of
184 intakes at restaurants or at work was further compared with that at home across the groups of “non-
185 substantial”, “intermediate” and “substantial out-of-home” eaters.

186

187 In the sub-group analysis based on 24-HDR data multiple linear regression models were fitted to
188 compare total intakes of energy and macronutrients across eating out locations reported by the “very
189 substantial out-of-home” eaters in a day to those of individuals eating only at home, after adjusting
190 for sex (p-values for interaction were not statistically significant), age, BMI and total energy intake.
191 For studies with multiple recalls (Belgium and Bavaria) data from the first day were utilized.

192 Random effects models were applied to derive summary estimates (beta coefficients) and
193 heterogeneity was assessed with the I^2 statistic.³⁷ All statistical analyses were performed using the
194 Stata statistical package (Stata Corporation: Stata/SE 11.0 for Windows. Lakeway Drive College
195 Station, Texas, USA. 2010).

196

197 **Results**

198 Table 2 presents the adjusted mean daily energy intake at home; out of home overall; at restaurants
199 and the workplace in particular; as well as the contribution of out-of-home eating to total energy
200 intake by study/cohort and sex. Overall, the contribution of eating out to daily energy intake was
201 higher in Central and North than in South Europe, and eating out provided more than one fourth of
202 total energy intake among females in Scandinavian countries (Sweden and Norway). Table 2 further
203 presents the ratio of energy intake at restaurants to intake at work ($r_{R/W}$). In general, Europeans
204 consumed more calories through eating out at work than at restaurants. Only in Italy and Austria
205 was the energy intake at restaurants higher than at work among both men and women ($r_{R/W}>1$).
206 Among women in the two Scandinavian countries, eating at work contributed four times more than
207 eating at restaurants to the mean daily energy intake ($r_{R/W}=0.26$). In the absence of data on alcohol,
208 eating at work was the dominant out-of-home location contributing to energy intake in Poland.

209

210 The contribution (%) of macronutrients to daily energy intake at home, restaurants and work is
211 presented in Table 3 by study/cohort and sex. Overall, among men the mean contribution of
212 macronutrients to energy intake when eating at restaurants was: 15% for protein, 45% for
213 carbohydrates, 29% for fat and 11% for alcohol. Among women, protein contributed 16% of the
214 energy intake at restaurants, carbohydrates 45%, fat 33% and alcohol 6%. Apparently, alcohol is an
215 important energy provider when eating at restaurants especially among men. When eating at work,
216 men reported receiving on average 14% of their calories from protein intake, 55% from
217 carbohydrates, 30% from fat, while the contribution of alcohol was negligible (1%). The

218 composition was quite similar among women. When compared to the dietary intakes at home (men:
219 protein 15%, carbohydrates 44%, fat 35% and alcohol 6%; women: protein 16%, carbohydrates
220 46% fat 35% and alcohol 3%) the intakes at restaurants were significantly higher in alcohol and
221 lower in fat, while at work the intake of carbohydrates was substantially higher and counterbalanced
222 by a lower intake of alcohol and fat ($p < 0.0001$ in all cases).

223

224 Table 3 further presents comparisons between the three categories of out-of-home eaters described
225 above (i.e. “not substantial out-of-home” eaters, “intermediate” and “very substantial out-of-home”
226 eaters). In the vast majority of cases, “not substantial out-of-home” eaters reported higher intakes of
227 carbohydrates when eating out either at restaurants or at work compared to the other two categories
228 of out-of-home eaters. As we gradually moved from the “not-substantial” to the “very substantial
229 out-of-home” eaters, the increasing energy intake when eating out was associated with an increase
230 of protein and fat intakes at restaurants and fat only at work ($p_{\text{trend}} < 0.05$ in most cases) and a
231 significant decrease of carbohydrate intakes ($p_{\text{trend}} < 0.05$ in most cases). In general, higher energy
232 intakes either at restaurants or at work were associated with higher fat intakes in both men and
233 women ($p_{\text{trend}} < 0.05$ in most cases). Interestingly, the increasing energy intake at restaurants could
234 not be attributed to higher proportion of energy from alcohol, and in some of the cohorts under
235 study the contribution of alcohol to energy intake at restaurants was highest among individuals
236 identified as “not substantial out-of-home” eaters.

237

238 Figures 1 to 5 present linear-regression derived beta coefficients reflecting mean differences in total
239 dietary intake of the “very substantial out-of-home” eaters by eating out location compared to the
240 intake of participants eating only at home, by study/cohort. Thus, the pooled estimates describe how
241 different is the total daily intake of individuals who reported receiving more than half of their daily
242 energy out of home from the total daily intake of individuals who reported eating essentially at
243 home. The daily intake of the “very substantial out-of-home” eaters who ate out only at work was

244 generally not different from the daily intake of the “at-home” eaters, controlling for participants’
245 sex, age, BMI and total energy intake. Those who reported eating out only at work had slightly
246 higher daily carbohydrate intakes, but the difference was of borderline significance (**Figure 2:**
247 $I^2=0\%$, $p_{\text{heterogeneity}}=0.478$). However, the “very substantial out-of-home” eaters who reported eating
248 out at restaurants had lower total carbohydrate intakes (**Figure 2**, $I^2>75\%$, reflecting a varying
249 effect-size across cohorts) and higher total alcohol intakes (**Figure 5**, $I^2>91\%$, reflecting again a
250 consistent association but a varying effect-size across cohorts). In terms of protein and fat intakes,
251 the total daily intakes of the “very substantial out-of-home” eaters were similar to those of the “at-
252 home” eaters controlling for age, sex, BMI and total energy intake (**Figures 3 and 4**). Primarily
253 due to the higher alcohol intakes, those reporting eating out at restaurants had higher total daily
254 energy intakes compared to those eating only at home but of varying effect-size across cohorts
255 (**Figure 1**: for those eating at work only: $I^2=29.8\%$, $p_{\text{heterogeneity}}=0.171$; for those eating at restaurants
256 only: $I^2=87.2\%$, $p_{\text{heterogeneity}}<0.001$; for those eating at both restaurants and work: $I^2=60.5\%$,
257 $p_{\text{heterogeneity}}<0.001$).

258

259 **Discussion**

260 We analysed the dietary intake data of 23,766 adults from 11 European countries in order to assess
261 the macronutrient composition of intakes out of home overall and by eating-out location and we
262 further compared the total daily intakes of individuals who reported receiving more than half of
263 their daily calories out of home with those of individuals who eat only at home. Among both sexes,
264 eating at work was associated with higher carbohydrate intakes than eating at restaurants or at
265 home. Women reported similar fat intakes at restaurants and home, but lower at work; while men
266 almost consistently reported lower fat intakes at restaurants than at home. The total daily intakes of
267 the “very substantial out-of-home” eaters are in general similar to the intakes of individuals who eat
268 at home only, with the exception of carbohydrates (lower) and alcohol (higher total intakes) and

269 only among individuals who ate out at restaurants during the reporting day; the latter probably
270 explaining the higher total energy intakes among individuals eating at restaurants.

271

272 Our results agree with previous findings among all the EPIC cohorts that the fraction of energy
273 intake during out-of-home eating is generally higher in Northern European countries than in
274 Southern ones¹⁴ and that in Northern Europe eating at work appeared to contribute more to the
275 mean daily intake than eating at restaurants.²⁰ Moreover, our results that higher alcohol intakes at
276 restaurants among men explain a large part of the higher energy intakes out of home provide
277 additional evidence to previous findings among all the EPIC cohorts that only among men was
278 eating at restaurants positively associated with BMI cross-sectionally, and with weight gain
279 prospectively.²⁰

280

281 In accordance to previous publications, higher energy intakes were associated with higher fat
282 intakes at restaurants or at work.^{9,10} Our findings that the nutrient intakes of the “very substantial
283 out-of-home” were generally similar to those of individuals eating only at home complements a
284 previous analysis of the HECTOR database,²³ according to which the out-of-home food
285 consumption was different from the at-home ones only among individuals who reported a relatively
286 small contribution of out-of-home eating to their daily intakes.

287

288 It has been consistently reported that eating out is associated with increased total energy intake.²⁵
289 Our results show that total energy intake was higher among “very substantial out-of-home” eaters
290 who reported eating at restaurants or at both restaurants and work during a day, but not among the
291 “very substantial out-of-home” eaters who reported eating only at work, and the increased alcohol
292 intake at restaurants was largely responsible for this difference.

293

294 Strengths of the present study are its large sample size, the coverage of several European regions,
295 and the use of the same standardized assessment tool in several data collections. The methods of
296 data collection were suitable for collecting dietary information at specific locations. Means (and
297 standard errors) were adjusted for age, total energy intake (for the case of macronutrients), day and
298 season of recall (or record) to increase the comparability of findings. Furthermore, the focus of this
299 study to specific locations enhanced the clarity of findings and allowed for the potential of
300 complementary behaviors across eating locations throughout the day.⁸ In addition, the two places
301 that account for the vast majority of eating out (i.e. restaurants and work) were separately assessed,
302 allowing thus the segregation of work, an ambiguous area of out-of-home eating as it can include
303 eating at the work-canteen or buying something from a vending machine, but it can also include
304 eating foods from the household supplies.

305

306 It should be noted that a single 24-HDR cannot adequately capture the intra-individual variability in
307 dietary intakes and variables carry random measurement error which could lower precision to the
308 point of associations being undetectable.³⁸ Group means, on the contrary, are not systematically
309 affected by random errors particularly when they are estimated from a sufficiently large number of
310 individuals.³⁹ The assumption, however, that intra-individual variability is random may not always
311 hold true in the case of self-reported intakes.⁴⁰ Our investigation aims to compare relative
312 contributions rather than absolute values— a situation in which systematic errors, particularly when
313 averaged over several individuals, are likely to be less important. To avoid errors introduced by
314 different data collection methods, we present results by study and country (within multicentre
315 studies). Other possible limitations include: a) the period of data collection, b) the self-reported
316 weight and height and c) the use of different food composition tables to estimate energy intake. The
317 collective impact of the latter limitations is likely to be an underestimation of the reported
318 associations.

319

320 In conclusion, in a large study of diverse European populations we found that the macronutrient
321 composition of diet varies depending on the eating location. Women and especially those
322 considered as “very substantial out-of-home” eaters, preferred foods rich in fat more at restaurants
323 than at work or at home. Individuals who reported eating at restaurants considerably ($\geq 50\%$ of total
324 energy intake) consistently had the lowest total daily carbohydrate but the highest alcohol and
325 energy intake compared to individuals eating only at home or only at work. Eating at work was
326 essentially associated with high carbohydrate intakes. Dietary interventions at the workplace
327 resulted in moderate improvements of dietary intake.⁸ Attempts to increase the awareness of and
328 adherence to healthy dietary choices, for instance a preference for unrefined carbohydrates, could
329 have a beneficial effect on dietary intakes throughout the whole day which includes both “at-home”
330 and “out-of-home” eating.

331

332 **Acknowledgment / financial support**

333 This study was conducted in the context of the HECTOR project entitled “Eating Out: Habits,
334 Determinants, and Recommendations for Consumers and the European Catering Sector” funded in
335 the FP6 framework of DG-RESEARCH in the European Commission. The collection of EPIC data
336 was performed with the financial support of the European Commission: Public Health and
337 Consumer Protection Directorate 1993-2004; Research Directorate-General 2005-, the Dutch
338 Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sports (VWS), Netherlands Cancer Registry (NKR), LK Research
339 Funds, Dutch Prevention Funds, Dutch ZON (Zorg Onderzoek Nederland), World Cancer Research
340 Fund (WCRF), Dutch Cancer Society (KWF), Statistics Netherlands (The Netherlands); Ragusa
341 local support; Deutsche Krebshilfe, Deutsches Krebsforschungszentrum, German Federal Ministry
342 of Education and Research; Cancer Research UK; Medical Research Council, United Kingdom;
343 Stroke Association, United Kingdom; British Heart Foundation; Department of Health, United
344 Kingdom; Food Standards Agency, United Kingdom; Wellcome Trust, United Kingdom; the
345 Hellenic Health Foundation, Athens, Greece; Italian Association for Research on Cancer (AIRC);

346 Italian National Research Council, Fondazione-Istituto Banco Napoli, Italy; AIRE ONLUS
347 RAGUSA, Italy; Swedish Cancer Society; Swedish Scientific Council; Regional Government of
348 Skåne, Sweden; Nordforsk the Norwegian Cancer Society. The collection of data for the National
349 Italian study was supported by the Consiglio per la ricerca in agricoltura e l'analisi dell'economia
350 agraria – Centro di Ricerca per gli alimenti e la nutrizione (CRA-NUT) (former INRAN).

351

352 The authors are solely responsible for the contents of the document. The opinions expressed do not
353 represent the opinions of the Commission and the Commission is not responsible for any use that
354 might be made of the information included.

355

356 The 'for-profit' members of the HECTOR Consortium did not have any involvement in the
357 collection, analysis and interpretation of dietary data and in drafting or submitting this manuscript.

358 **References**

- 359 **1.** Guthrie JF, Lin BH, Frazao E. Role of food prepared away from home in the American diet,
360 1977-78 versus 1994-96: changes and consequences. *J Nutr Educ Behav* 2002; 34: 140-150.
- 361 **2.** Nielsen SJ, Siega-Riz AM, Popkin BM. Trends in energy intake in U.S. between 1977 and 1996:
362 similar shifts seen across age groups. *Obes Res* 2002; 10: 370-378.
- 363 **3.** Nielsen SJ, Popkin BM. Patterns and trends in food portion sizes, 1977-1998. *JAMA* 2003; 289:
364 450-453.
- 365 **4.** Kant AK, Graubard BI. Eating out in America, 1987-2000: trends and nutritional correlates. *Prev*
366 *Med* 2004; 38: 243-249.
- 367 **5.** Jabs J, Divine CM. Time scarcity and food choices: An overview. *Appetite* 2006; 47(2): 196-204.
- 368 **6.** Vandevijvere S, Lachat C, Kolsteren P, Van Oyen H. Eating out of home in Belgium: current
369 situation and policy implications. *Br J Nutr* 2009; 102: 921-928.
- 370 **7.** Hawkes C. Marketing activities of global soft drink and fast food companies in emerging
371 markets: a review. In *Globalization, Diets and Noncommunicable Diseases*. Geneva, Switzerland:
372 World Health Organization; 2002.
- 373 **8.** Ni Mhurchu C, Aston LM, Jebb SA. Effects of worksite health promotion interventions on
374 employee diets: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health* 2010; 3:62.
- 375 **9.** Kearney JM, Hulshof KFAM, Gibney MJ. Eating patterns – temporal distribution, converging
376 and diverging foods, meals eaten inside and outside of the home – implications for developing
377 FBDG. *Publ Health Nutr* 2003; 4(2B): 693-698.
- 378 **10.** Burns C, Jackson M, Gibbons C, Stoney RM. Foods prepared outside the home: association
379 with selected nutrients and body mass index in adult Australians. *Publ Health Nutr* 2002; 5(3): 441-
380 448.
- 381 **11.** Roos E, Sarlio-Lahteenkorva S, Lallukka T. Having lunch at a staff canteen is associated with
382 recommended food habits. *Publ Health Nutr* 2004; 7(1): 53-61.

- 383 **12.** O'Dwyer NA, Gibney MJ, Burke SJ, McCarthy SN. The influence of location on nutrient
384 intakes in Irish adults: implications for food-based dietary guidelines. *Publ Health Nutr* 2005; 8(3):
385 262-269.
- 386 **13.** Pereira MA, Kartashov AI, Ebbeling CB, Van Horn L, Slattery ML, Jacobs Jr DR et al. Fast-
387 food habits, weight gain, and insulin resistance (the CARDIA study): 15-year prospective analysis.
388 *Lancet* 2005; 365: 36-42.
- 389 **14.** Orfanos P, Naska A, Trichopoulos D, Slimani N, Ferrari P, van Bakel M et al. Eating out of
390 home and its correlates in 10 European countries. The European Prospective Investigation into
391 Cancer and nutrition (EPIC) study. *Publ Health Nutr* 2007; 10(12): 1515-1525.
- 392 **15.** Schroder H, Fito M, Covas MI, REGICOR investigators. Association of fast food consumption
393 with energy intake, diet quality, body mass index and the risk of obesity in a representative
394 Mediterranean population. *Br J Nutr* 2007; 98: 1274-1280.
- 395 **16.** Marin-Guerrero AC, Gutierrez-Fisac JL, Guallar-Castillon P, Banegas JR, Rodriguez-Artalejo
396 F. Eating behaviours and obesity in the adult population of Spain. *Br J Nutr* 2008; 100: 1142-1148.
- 397 **17.** Bes-Rastrollo M, Basterra-Gortari FJ, Sanchez-Villegas A, Marti A, Martinez JA, Martinez-
398 Gonzalez MA. A prospective study of eating away-from-home meals and weight gain in a
399 Mediterranean population: the SUN (Seguimiento Universidad de Navarra) cohort. *Publ Health*
400 *Nutr* 2010; 13(9): 1356-1363.
- 401 **18.** Lachat C, Le NBK, Nguyen CK, Nguyen QD, Nguyen DVA, Roberfroid D et al. Eating out of
402 home in Vietnamese adolescents: socioeconomic factors and dietary associations. *Am J Clin Nutr*
403 2009; 90(6):1648-1655.
- 404 **19.** Orfanos P, Naska A, Trichopoulou A, Grioni S, Boer JM, van Bakel MM et al. Eating out of
405 home: energy, macro- and micronutrient intakes in 10 European countries. The European
406 Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2009; 63 (Suppl 4): S239-
407 S262.

- 408 **20.** Naska A, Orfanos P, Trichopoulou A, May AM, Overvad K, Jakobsen MU et al. Eating out,
409 weight and weight gain. A cross-sectional and prospective analysis in the context of the EPIC-
410 PANACEA study. *Int J Obes* 2011; 35(3): 416-426.
- 411 **21.** Kwon YS, Park YH, Choe JS, Yang YK. Investigation of variations in energy, macronutrients
412 and sodium intake based on the places meals are provided: Using the Korea National Health and
413 Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES, 1998-2009). *Nutr Res Pract* 2014; 8(1): 81-93.
- 414 **22.** Myhre JB, Løken EB, Wandel M, Andersen LF. Eating location is associated with the
415 nutritional quality of the diet in Norwegian adults. *Public Health Nutr* 2014; 17(4): 915-923.
- 416 **23.** Naska A, Katsoulis M, Orfanos P, Lachat C, Gedrich K, Rodrigues SS et al; HECTOR
417 Consortium. Eating out is different from eating at home among individuals who occasionally eat
418 out. A cross-sectional study among middle-aged adults from eleven European countries. *Br J Nutr*
419 2015; 113(12): 1951-64.
- 420 **24.** An R. Fast-food and full-service restaurant consumption and daily energy and nutrient intakes in
421 US adults. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2016; 70(1): 97-103.
- 422 **25.** Lachat C, Nago E, Verstraeten R, Roberfroid D, Van Camp J, Kolsteren P. Eating out of home
423 and its association with dietary intake : a systematic review of the evidence. *Obes Rev* 2012; 13(4):
424 329-346.
- 425 **26.** Nago ES, Lachat C, Dossa RA, Kolsteren P. Association of out-of-home eating with
426 anthropometric changes: a systematic review of prospective studies. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 2014;
427 54(9): 1103-1116.
- 428 **27.** Szponar L, Sekula W, Nelson M, Weisell RC. The Household Food Consumption and
429 Anthropometric Survey in Poland. *Public Health Nutr* 2001; 4(5B): 1183-1186.
- 430 **28.** Turrini A, Saba A, Perrone D, Cialda E, D'Amicis A. Food consumption patterns in Italy: the
431 INN-CA Study 1994 – 1996. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2001; 55: 571-588.

- 432 **29.** Riboli E, Hunt K, Slimani N, Ferrari P, Norat T, Fahey M et al. European Prospective
433 Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC): study population and data collection. *Publ Health*
434 *Nutr* 2002; 5: 1113-1124.
- 435 **30.** Szponar L, Sekuła W, Rychlik E, Oltarzewski M, Figurska K. Household Food Consumption
436 and Anthropometric Survey. Report of the Project TCP/POL/8921(A). Prace IŻŻ 101. Warszawa
437 2003 (in Polish).
- 438 **31.** Schaller N, Seiler H, Himmerich S, Karg G, Gedrich K, Wolfram G et al. Estimated physical
439 activity in Bavaria, Germany, and its implications for obesity risk: Results from the BVS-II Study.
440 *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2005; 8: 2-6.
- 441 **32.** Sekula W, Nelson M, Figurska K, Oltarzewski M, Weisell R, Szponar L. Comparison between
442 household budget survey and 24-hour recall data in a nationally representative sample of Polish
443 households. *Publ Health Nutr* 2005; 8: 430-439.
- 444 **33.** Schätzer M, Rust P, Elmadfa I. Fruit and vegetable intake in Austrian adults: intake frequency,
445 serving sizes, reasons for and barriers to consumption, and potential for increasing consumption.
446 *Publ Health Nutr* 2010; 13(4): 480-87.
- 447 **34.** Brustad M, Skeie G, Braaten T, Slimani N, Lund E. Comparison of telephone vs face-to-face
448 interviews in the assessment of dietary intake by the 24 h recall EPIC SOFT program--the
449 Norwegian calibration study. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2003; 57: 107-113.
- 450 **35.** Slimani N, Casagrande C, Nicolas G, Freisling H, Huybrechts I, Ocké MC et al, EFCOVAL
451 Consortium. The standardized computerized 24-h dietary recall method EPIC-Soft adapted for pan-
452 European dietary monitoring. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2011; 65 (Suppl 1): S5-S15.
- 453 **36.** Lopes C, Aro A, Azevedo A, Ramos E, Barros H. Intake and adipose tissue composition of fatty
454 acids and risk of myocardial infarction in a male Portuguese community sample. *J Am Diet Assoc*
455 2007; 107: 276-86.
- 456 **37.** Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses.
457 *BMJ* 2003; 327(7414): 557-560.

- 458 **38.** Willett WC. *Nutritional Epidemiology*, 2nd edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press, UK, 1998.
- 459 **39.** Orfanos P, Knüppel S, Naska A, Haubrock J, Trichopoulou A, Boeing H. Evaluating the effect
460 of measurement error when using one or two 24 h dietary recalls to assess eating out: a study in the
461 context of the HECTOR project. *Br J Nutr* 2013; 110(6):1107-1117.
- 462 **40.** Subar AF, Freedman LS, Tooze JA, Kirkpatrick SI, Boushey C, Neuhauser ML et al.
463 Addressing Current Criticism Regarding the Value of Self-Report Dietary Data. *J*
464 *Nutr* 2015;145(12):2639-2645.

465

466

467 **References in Table 1**

- 468 Carnovale E, Marletta L (eds.). *Tabelle di Composizione degli Alimenti*, Istituto Nazionale della
469 *Nutrizione*, Rome, Italy, 1997 (in Italian).
- 470 Dehne LI, Klemm C, Henseler G, Hermann-Kunz E. The German Food Code and Nutrient
471 Database (BLS II.2). *EJE* 1999; 15: 355-359.
- 472 Ferreira FAG, Graça MES. *Tabela de composição dos alimentos portugueses*. 2^a edição. Lisboa:
473 *Instituto Nacional de Saúde Dr. Ricardo Jorge*, 1985 (in Portuguese).
- 474 *Food Standards Agency: McCance and Widdowson's The Composition of Foods*. Cambridge.
475 *Royal Society of Chemistry*, UK, 2002.
- 476 Kunachowicz H, Nadolna I, Przygoda B, Iwanow K. *Food composition tables*. Prace IŻŻ 85.
477 *Warszawa*, 1998 (in Polish).
- 478 IPL (2004). *Table de Composition des Aliments 2004*. Bruxelles. *Institut Paul Lambin* (in French).
- 479 NEVO (2001). *NEVO-Table, Dutch Food Composition Table 2001*. Zeist. *NEVO Foundation* (in
480 Dutch).
- 481 NUBEL (2004). *Belgian Food Composition Table, 4th Edition*. Brussels. *Ministry of Public*
482 *Health*, 2004 (in Dutch).

483 Polish Central Statistical Office. Warunki z'ycia ludnos'ci w 2001 r [Living Conditions of the
484 Population in 2001]. Studia i analizy statystyczne. Warsaw: Polish Central Statistical Office, Social
485 Statistics Department, 2002 (in Polish).

486 Salvini S, Parpinel M, Gnagnarella P, Maisonneuve P, Turrini A et al. Banca Dati di
487 Composizione degli Alimenti per Studi Epidemiologici in Italia [Food Composition Data-bank for
488 Epidemiological Studies in Italy]. Milan: Istituto Europeo di Oncologia, Italy, 1998 (in Italian).

489 Slimani N, Deharveng G, Unwin I, Southgate DA, Vignat J, Skeie G et al. The EPIC nutrient
490 database project (ENDB): a first attempt to standardize nutrient databases across the 10 European
491 countries participating in the EPIC study. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2007; 61: 1037-1056.

492 USDA National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference, Release 17, 2004. U.S. Department of
493 Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service. Nutrient Data Laboratory Home Page,
494 <http://www.nal.usda.gov/fnic/foodcomp>.

495

496 **Figures legends**

497

498 **Figure 1.** Multiple-linear-regression beta coefficients and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of the
499 mean difference in total energy intake (in kcals) comparing the “very substantial out-of-home”
500 eaters who ate out only “at work”, or only “at restaurants” or at both locations with individuals who
501 reported eating only at home, by study/cohort. The HECTOR project.

502 Note: Controlling for sex, age (in years) and body mass index (in kg/m²). Groups with 20 individuals or less were not
503 considered

504

505 **Figure 2.** Multiple-linear-regression beta coefficients and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of the
506 mean difference in total carbohydrate intake (in g) comparing the “very substantial out-of-home”
507 eaters who ate out only “at work”, or only “at restaurants” or at both locations with individuals who
508 reported eating only at home, by study/cohort. The HECTOR project.

509 Note: Controlling for sex, age (in years), body mass index (in kg/m²) and total energy intake (in kcals). Groups with 20
510 individuals or less were not considered

511

512 **Figure 3.** Multiple-linear-regression beta coefficients and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of the
513 mean difference in total fat intake (in g) comparing the “very substantial out-of-home” eaters who
514 ate out only “at work”, or only “at restaurants” or at both locations with individuals who reported
515 eating only at home, by study/cohort. The HECTOR project.

516 Note: Controlling for sex, age (in years), body mass index (in kg/m²) and total energy intake (in kcals). Groups with 20
517 individuals or less were not considered

518

519 **Figure 4.** Multiple-linear-regression beta coefficients and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of the
520 mean difference in total protein intake (in g) comparing the “very substantial out-of-home” eaters
521 who ate out only “at work”, or only “at restaurants” or at both locations with individuals who
522 reported eating only at home, by study/cohort. The HECTOR project.

523 Note: Controlling for sex, age (in years), body mass index (in kg/m²) and total energy intake (in kcals). Groups with 20
524 individuals or less were not considered

525

526 **Figure 5.** Multiple-linear-regression beta coefficients and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of the
527 mean difference in total alcohol intake (in g) comparing the “very substantial out-of-home” eaters
528 who ate out only “at work”, or only “at restaurants” or at both locations with individuals who
529 reported eating only at home, by study/cohort. The HECTOR project.

530 Note: Controlling for sex, age (in years), body mass index (in kg/m²) and total energy intake (in kcals). Groups with 20
531 individuals or less were not considered