

OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Di Luca M, Toma L, Boccolini D, Severini F, La Rosa G, Minelli G, et al. (2016) Ecological Distribution and CQ11 Genetic Structure of Culex pipiens Complex (Diptera: Culicidae) in Italy. PLoS ONE 11(1): e0146476. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0146476

Editor: Guido Favia, University of Camerino, ITALY

Received: August 19, 2015

Accepted: December 17, 2015

Published: January 7, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Di Luca et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License,](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files. The sequences generated are available in GenBank under the following accession numbers: KP728846– KP728871.

Funding: This work was funded by the 7th Framework Program: European West Nile collaborative research project (Grant agreement n. 261391; [www.eurowestnile.org\)](http://www.eurowestnile.org).

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Ecological Distribution and CQ11 Genetic Structure of Culex pipiens Complex (Diptera: Culicidae) in Italy

Marco Di Luca¹*, Luciano Toma¹, Daniela Boccolini¹, Francesco Severini¹, Giuseppe La Rosa¹, Giada Minelli², Gioia Bongiorno¹, Fabrizio Montarsi³, Daniele Arnoldi⁴, Gioia Capelli³, Annapaola Rizzoli⁴, Roberto Romi¹

1 Department of Infectious, Parasitic and Immune-Mediated Diseases, Istituto Superiore di Sanità, viale Regina Elena, 299, 00161, Rome, Italy, 2 National Centre for Epidemiology, Surveillance and Health Promotion, Istituto Superiore di Sanità, viale Regina Elena, 299, 00161, Rome, Italy, 3 Laboratory of Parasitology - Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale delle Venezie, viale dell'Università 10, 35020, Legnaro, Padua, Italy, 4 Department of Biodiversity and Molecular Ecology, Research and Innovation Centre, Fondazione Edmund Mach, Via E. Mach 1, 38010, San Michele all'Adige, Trento, Italy

* marco.diluca@iss.it

Abstract

Mosquitoes in the Culex pipiens complex are considered to be involved in the transmission of a range of pathogens, including West Nile virus (WNV). Although its taxonomic status is still debated, the complex includes species, both globally distributed or with a more limited distribution, morphologically similar and characterised by different physiological and behavioural traits, which affect their ability as vectors. In many European countries, Cx. pipiens and its sibling species Culex torrentium occur in sympatry, exhibiting similar bionomic and morphological characters, but only Cx. pipiens appears to play a vector role in WNV transmission. This species consists of two biotypes, pipiens and molestus, which can interbreed when in sympatry, and their hybrids can act as WNV-bridge vectors, due to intermediate ecological features. Considering the yearly WNV outbreaks since 2008 and given the morphological difficulties in recognising species and biotypes, our aim was to molecularly identify and characterised Cx. pipiens and Cx. torrentium in Italy, using recently developed molecular assays. Culex torrentium was not detected; as in other European countries, the pipiens and molestus biotypes were widely found in sympatry with hybrids in most environments. The UPGMA cluster analysis applied to CQ11 genotypic frequencies mainly revealed two groups of Cx. pipiens populations that differed in ecological features. The high propensity of the molestus biotype to exist in hypogean environments, where the habitat's physical characteristics hinder and preclude the gene flow, was shown. These results confirmed the CQ11 assay as a reliable diagnostic method, consistent with the ecological and physiological aspects of the populations analysed. Since the assessment of the actual role of three biotypes in the WNV circulation remains a crucial point to be elucidated, this extensive molecular screening of Cx. pipiens populations can provide new insights into the ecology of the species and may give useful indications to plan and implement WNV surveillance activities in Italy.

Introduction

Mosquitoes in the Culex pipiens complex are considered to be involved in the transmission of a range of pathogens, including West Nile virus (WNV, family *Flaviviridae*, genus *Flavivirus*), responsible for a febrile (WND) and a neuro-invasive disease (WNND) that can affect horses and humans [[1](#page-13-0)–[2\]](#page-13-0).

The taxonomy and phylogeny of the Cx. *pipiens* complex remains controversial among specialists, due to the difficulty in clearly discriminating all members at the morphological level. The complex includes two widespread mosquitoes–Culex pipiens Linnaeus, 1758 and Culex quinquefasciatus Say, 1823 –which are vector species in temperate and tropical regions of the world, respectively, as well as two other species-Culex australicus Dobrotworsky & Drummond 1953 and Culex globocoxitus Dobrotworsky, 1953,–whose distributions are limited to Australia $[3-5]$ $[3-5]$ $[3-5]$ $[3-5]$. Culex pipiens has two recognised subspecies, Cx. pipiens pipiens and Culex pipiens pallens Coquillett, 1898, which occur in temperate Asia. Furthermore, Cx. p. pipiens has two epidemiologically distinct forms or biotypes, *pipiens* and *molestus*, which differ dramatically in a number of behavioural and physiological characteristics that affect their vector competence for WNV. The pipiens biotype, the rural form, mates in outdoor swarms (eurygamous) and requires a bloodmeal for egg development (anautogenous), it bites mostly birds (ornithophilic), oviposits in open-air habitats (epigeous) and undergoes hibernation as gravid females (heterodynamic). The *molestus* biotype, the urban form, does not require large spaces for adult swarming or mating (stenogamous) and lays at least the first batch of eggs without a bloodmeal (autogenous), although it can bite mammals and in particular humans readily (anthropophilic), it oviposits in enclosed habitats (hypogeous) and does not diapause, remaining active during the winter (homodynamic) $[6-8]$ $[6-8]$ $[6-8]$ $[6-8]$.

A closely related sibling species, Culex torrentium Martini, 1925, which is morphologically very similar to members of the Cx. pipiens complex, is commonly confused with Cx. pipiens. Both species occur in sympatry throughout Europe $[4,5,9]$ $[4,5,9]$ and are potential vectors of arboviruses, but only the nominal species appears to play a primary role in the maintenance, amplification, and transmission of WNV in Europe, both in rural and urban ecosystems [[10](#page-14-0)–[14](#page-14-0)].

As WND impacts on European countries every year, including Italy since 2008, it is now considered to be one of the major causes of public health concern in this area $[14-15]$ $[14-15]$ $[14-15]$. Consequently, the discrimination of vector species and the evaluation of their involvement in virus circulation is becoming an important issue for WND risk assessment and for the adoption of correct public health strategies [\[16](#page-14-0)].

The identification of Cx . *pipiens* complex members and other sibling species, such as Cx . torrentium, relies on the morphology of the male genitalia (phallosoma) $[17]$ $[17]$ $[17]$, excluding de facto mosquito females, which mainly represent the target of surveillance and control efforts. Only the prealar scales permit females of Cx. pipiens and Cx. torrentium to be discriminated [\[17](#page-14-0)], but this key trait is easily rubbed off during the collection and the handling of mosquitoes. Furthermore, hybrids among Cx. pipiens complex species often show intermediate characters and no morphological traits exist to distinguish between the two biotypes of Cx . pipiens [\[6\]](#page-13-0).

To circumvent these difficulties, molecular assays to differentiate Cx. pipiens and Cx. torrentium or to distinguish between the Cx , pipiens forms have been developed and implemented for mosquito populations in the Palearctic region $[18-29]$ $[18-29]$ $[18-29]$ $[18-29]$.

Although the accurate distribution of both Culex species is largely unknown, Cx. torrentium certainly dominates central and northern Europe at latitudes below 48°N [\[30](#page-14-0)–[32\]](#page-15-0), although there are previous records of species from southern countries, including Italy $[33-34]$ $[33-34]$ $[33-34]$ $[33-34]$.

It is known that the sympatry of the two Cx , pipiens forms appears to be a common condi-tion in several southern European countries and in North Africa [[22](#page-14-0),[25,28](#page-14-0)-[29,](#page-14-0)[35](#page-15-0)-[36](#page-15-0)]. In such circumstances, molestus and pipiens biotypes can interbreed and their hybrids, which exhibit intermediate ecological features, can act as WNV-bridge vectors, as was shown during outbreaks in the United States [\[35,37](#page-15-0)–[38](#page-15-0)] and confirmed through WNV experimental infections [\[39](#page-15-0)]. In northern Palearctic latitudes, the two forms occur in distinct habitats and show different ecological features that completely hinder the gene flow [[9,](#page-13-0)[30](#page-14-0),[40](#page-15-0)–[41\]](#page-15-0). Nevertheless, the recent detection of molecular hybrids reported for the Netherlands, Germany and the United Kingdom appears to contradict this thesis [[23,26](#page-14-0)–[27\]](#page-14-0).

In the light of these studies, we aimed to molecularly determine the presence of Cx . *pipiens* and Cx. torrentium in 55 localities in Italy and to subsequently investigate their behavioural and physiological features by acquiring data from field populations and from laboratory colonies. To identify Cx. pipiens forms and their hybrids, we tested two recently developed molecular assays based on the CQ11 $[19]$ and COI $[20]$ $[20]$ $[20]$ loci as diagnostic markers, whose reliability has been debated [\[26,](#page-14-0)[42\]](#page-15-0) and was herein also evaluated.

Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement

No specific permits were required for the field studies. All field mosquito populations were collected from public areas. No sites were protected by law and this study did not involve endangered or protected species.

The protocol for routine blood mosquito feeding has been approved by the Service for Biotechnology and Animal Welfare of the Istituto Superiore di Sanità (National Institute of Health) and has been authorised by the Italian Ministry of Health with the Decree 222/2011-B, according to the Legislative Decree 116/92, which implemented in Italy the European Directive 86/609/EEC on laboratory animal protection. The animals used in this study were housed and treated in strict accordance with the recommendations in the Legislative Decree 116/92 guidelines and animal welfare was routinely checked by veterinarians from the Service for Biotechnology and Animal welfare. In particular, 30 female hamsters (Mesocricetus auratus) per year were used to maintain all mosquito colonies in Insectary and each hamster was housed in a single plastic shoe-box cage (26x20x14 cm). The husbandry protocol provided Lignocel[®] Select-Fine as commercial dust-free bedding with a replacement of the bedding materials routinely done twice weekly; a standard pellet diet (Altromin-7024, Rieper, Vandoies, Italy) and water were supplied *ad libitum*. The animals were daily monitored by animal technicians and weekly examined by a veterinarian. Before blood feeding, the selected hamster was anesthetized, using Ketamine/Xylazine combination as anesthetic. A continuous rotation of all hamsters was planned to allow a complete recovery, after every use. Euthanasia of each hamster was considered after 6–8 mosquito blood meals by an overdose of anesthetic.

Mosquito collection

The Culex mosquitoes were collected in 55 discrete localities in Italy from 2004 to 2014. The collection sites were defined by habitat (urban, peri-urban, rural or natural) and by breeding site (aboveground or underground), when found ([Table 1\)](#page-3-0). In particular, the habitats were classified as urban fabric (artificial surfaces with a dominance of urbanised areas), rural (areas devoted to agriculture) or natural (forests, wetlands and natural parks in which human activities were limited or absent), according to CORINE land-cover nomenclature [\[43](#page-15-0)]. The urban fabric was further categorised as urban (high-density housing and commercial areas with >80% of the total surface covered by buildings and roads and a human density exceeding 300 inhabitants per km $^2)$ or peri-urban (low-density housing with a discontinuous urban structure covering between 30 to 80% of the total surface and a human density $<$ 300/km²) [<u>[43](#page-15-0), [44](#page-15-0)</u>].

[Table 1.](#page-2-0) Characteristics of Culex pipiens sites sampled in Italy. Mosquito collection sites with the respective identification number (ID) and number of Culex pipiens individuals analysed with reference to habitat, breeding site and collection date.

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued)

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0146476.t001

Mosquitoes were sampled as adults, using $CO₂$ -baited miniature light traps from the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (Atlanta, GA, USA) or BG Lure[®]-Baited Biogents Sentinel Traps and as immatures, using the dipping sampling method. Larvae and pupae were reared to adulthood in an insectary ($26 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C; $70 \pm 10\%$ RH, and a light:dark cycle of 16:8 h), with a larval mortality ranging between 5% and 10%. Mosquitoes were morphologically identi-fied as Cx. pipiens/Cx. torrentium according to Severini et al. [\[45\]](#page-15-0) and were stored at -20°C until molecular processing.

A long-established laboratory-reared colony (hereinafter cited as ISS-colony) and several wild Cx . pipiens populations (ID 9, 30, 31, 38, 41, 42, 43, 45 and 54), were reared in an insectary for several filial generations (ranging from F2 for ID 9 to F31 for ID 45), to evaluate mating and autogenic behaviour. Immatures were bred in a 3‰ sodium chloride solution and were supplemented with fish flakes as food. Emerging male and female mosquitoes were bred in cages (26 cm sides; 0.017 m^3) with access to a 10% sucrose solution. To monitor autogenic behaviour, an oviposition tray was kept in each cage and was observed daily for 15–20 days. After this period, bloodmeal supply was provided to lay anautogenous egg rafts.

Molecular analyses

The DNA from individual Culex specimens was extracted using the PureLinkTM Genomic DNA Mini Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Mosquitoes were molecularly identified as Cx , pipiens or Cx . torrentium by a multiplex PCR based on a polymorphism in the second intron of the acetylcholinesterase gene (ACE-2 assay) [\[18](#page-14-0)]. A second multiplex PCR was subsequently used to detect a polymorphism in the flanking region of the CQ11 microsatellite of Cx. pipiens specimens, which generated a 190-bp amplicon in the pipiens form, a 260-bp amplicon in the molestus form, and both PCR products in hybrids of both forms [[19](#page-14-0)].

Eighty-eight individuals from eight Cx. pipiens populations (ID 9, 10, 13, 36, 37, 39, 45 and the ISS-colony), previously identified by the ACE-2 and CQ11 assays and characterised by breeding sites (hypogean/epigean) and anauto-/autogenic behaviour, were further analysed using a RFLP-PCR of the COI gene $[20]$. This method (hereinafter cited as the COI assay) discriminates individual specimens of the *molestus* and *pipiens* biotypes and Cx . torrentium, using restriction sites for HaeIII and BcII of mtDNA COI gene. In addition, 26 out of 88 COI amplicons were sequenced and compared with the GenBank sequences from Russian mosquitoes: Cx. pipiens form molestus (AM403492), Cx. pipiens form pipiens (AM403476) and Cx. torrentium (AM403477). The sequences herein generated are available in GenBank under the following accession numbers: KP728846–KP728871.

DNA samples from nine *molestus* specimens belonging to an autogenous colony (purchased from Bioagents AG, Germany), from two pipiens specimens and from thirteen Cx. torrentium specimens (kindly offered by Dr. J. C. Hesson, Sweden), were used as internal controls.

CQ11 population analysis

The existence of gene flow between *pipiens* and *molestus* biotypes was investigated by verifying the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) using the CQ11 locus; if gene flow occurs between the two forms, the frequencies of the CQ11 alleles should not show a significant departure from the HWE. An exact test for the HWE was restricted to 24 largest localities for which the sample size was more than 18 (ID 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 15, 17, 18, 21, 23, 24, 26, 28, 30, 35, 36, 39, 40, 44, 45, 49 50 and 54) and computed by Genepop ver. 4.0 [\[46\]](#page-15-0). The inbreeding coefficient (Fis) [[47\]](#page-15-0) was computed in Genepop ver. 4.0 and the significance of the Fis values was analysed using FSTAT ver. 2.9.3 [48]. The CQ11 genetic relationship between *molestus* and *pipiens* populations was studied using the Nei 72 genetic distance and UPGMA algorithm of clustering as implemented in Populations ver.1.2.32 software [[49](#page-15-0)].

Statistical analysis

To test whether the distribution of biotypes of each Cx. *pipiens* population was significantly associated with the habitat and breeding site, a multinomial logistic regression was performed using SPPS software (version 22). The biotype composed of three categories, molestus, hybrid and pipiens (the reference category), was selected as the dependent variable, and the habitat and breeding site as independent variables. A Chi-squared test/Fisher's Exact test were used to assess the percentages of pipiens, hybrid and molestus biotypes from the colony (from ID 45) in each filial generation. To evaluate the composition of each biotype during the selected filial generations, the significance was tested using a non-parametric test for trends across the ordered groups (nptrend command in STATA [[50](#page-15-0)]). All statistical tests were considered significant at the $p \leq 0.05$ probability level.

Results

Overall, 914 Cx. pipiens specimens were collected in 55 localities from 14 out of 20 Italian regions. All specimens were molecularly typed using ACE and CQ11 PCR at the biotype level.

ACE, CQ11 and COI identification

Culex torrentium was not identified by PCR in this study.

Different frequencies of CQ11 genotypes in Cx. pipiens populations were observed in all localities [\(Table 1](#page-3-0) and [Fig 1](#page-6-0)).

Out of the total number of analysed specimens, 576 (63.0%) were identified as the *pipiens* form, 206 (22.6%) as the molestus form, and the remaining 132 (14.4%) as hybrids. Overall, 28 (50.9%) out of the 55 populations were screened for sympatric presence of Cx , pipiens biotypes and their hybrids were observed at different frequencies, whereas pure populations were extremely rare, with only one of pipiens (1.8%; ID 1) and three of molestus (5.5%; ID 31, 38 and 41) being present. Eleven Cx. pipiens populations (20%) were characterised by the two parental biotypes and no hybrids were observed; 11 populations (20%) shared the hybrid and pipiens forms and hybrids were found with molestus specimens only in one population (1.8%; ID 42).

Statistical analysis showed a higher propensity of the biotype molestus to exist in underground foci (e^{β} = 7.68; p < 0.001), mainly within urban environments (e^{β} = 2.82, but this state was not significant; $p < 0.2$), with respect to *pipiens* biotype. The *molestus* populations (ID 31,

[Fig 1. D](#page-5-0)istribution of Culex pipiens in Italy. Composition of the Culex pipiens genotypes of 55 field-collected populations in Italy using the CQ11 assay.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0146476.g001

² PLOS | ONE

38 and 41) were found only in urban settings, in flooded foundations of buildings as a breeding site, with no or very limited access to the outside environment. A similar context was observed in the underground tufa-caves $(7,000 \text{ m}^2 \text{ wide and about 10 m high})$ of ID 42 (Forlanini hospital complex). This habitat, which harbours a subterranean lake (about 40 m in diameter) that is connected to the outside through a long tunnel, was steadily filled with freshwater from an aquifer and had a constant temperature of 13°C throughout the year. The genotyping of the larvae collected at the site showed the presence of 90% of molestus and 10% of hybrids. In contrast, the flooded basements of ID 35 (hospital of Subiaco), which were closely connected to the outside, harboured a population containing 83% of molestus and 17% of pipiens biotypes.

However, molestus specimens were also found in aboveground populations living in natural and rural areas [ranging from 5% (ID 13) to 82% (ID 34)]. Hybrid forms were found to be equally distributed in both above- and underground environments ($p < 0.001$ and $p = 0.023$, respectively). Although only one pure population of pipiens biotype was found (ID 1), this form was observed in a further 50 populations (92.7%), thriving mainly in aboveground breeding sites.

To compare two available molecular methods that are widely used to discriminate the Cx. pipiens biotypes, 88 specimens belonging to seven aboveground populations and to one longestablished autogenous Cx . pipiens colony were analysed using both CQ11 and COI assays (Table 2).

As expected, both methods allowed individuals to be separated into two forms, recognised as pipiens and molestus, but only the CQ11 assay identified a third double-banded pattern defined as hybrids.

The analysis of 23 specimens from ID 9, 10 and 13, recognised as pipiens by the CQ11 assay, were identified as molestus by the COI assay; 21 samples from ID 36, 37, 39 and 45 identified as molestus by the CQ11 assay, showed a pipiens pattern by the COI assay. All five specimens from ID 10 that were identified as hybrids by CQ11 were identified as molestus by the COI assay, whereas the remaining seven hybrid individuals from ID 36, 39 and 45 were identified as pipiens. All 11 specimens of the ISS-colony identified as *pipiens* ($N = 1$), *molestus* ($N = 8$) and hybrids $(N = 2)$ by CQ11, showed a *pipiens* banding pattern in the COI assay.

The COI locus was amplified for 26 of the 88 mosquitoes analysed using both methods and the 603 bp amplicon was sequenced [\(Table 3](#page-8-0)).

The results showed that five specimens from ID 9 (KP728846-KP728850), five from ID 10 (KP72885-KP728855) and five from ID 13 (KP728856-KP728860) shared 100% identity with the molestus biotype from Russia (AM403492). Conversely, for 11 specimens of the ISS-colony

Table 2. Comparative molecular identifications of a Culex pipiens subset. Eighty-eight Culex pipiens specimens from seven Italian localities (ID) and from an ISS-colony were tested for CQ11 and COI assays. $P = p$ *ipiens*, $M =$ molestus and M/P = CQ11hybrid.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0146476.t002

[Table 3.](#page-7-0) Fraction of Culex pipiens specimens sequenced for COI. After the CQ11 and COI analyses, 26 Culex pipiens specimens from ID 9, 10, 13 and from the ISS colony were further sequenced for the COI gene (GenBank accession numbers: KP728846-KP728871), confirming the apparent incongruity between the two assays (see text). $P = pipiens$, $M = molestus$ and $M/P = CQ11$ hybrid.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0146476.t003

(KP72886-KP728871), the COI-sequences showed 99.8% identity with the pipiens biotype from Russia (AM403476), differing only at position 292 (G to A).

CQ11 population analysis

A significant HWE departure ($p < 0.05$) was observed for 11 localities (46%) out of 24 that had a sample size greater than 18 and where both *pipiens* and *molestus* biotypes were found or supposed by the presence of heterozygotes. Hence the presence in these localities of two separate gene pools can be supposed. In three of these populations (ID 13, 18 and ID 35) the presence of both homozygotes but no heterozygotes further support this hypothesis. Taking in account all 55 localities, the absence of heterozygotes in presence of both homozygotes was observed in 11 of them.

Out of the 24 populations for which the HWE significance was computed, 19 (79%) showed a significant heterozygote deficit (positive Fis values, $p < 0.05$). Significant positive Fis values were not observed for the others 31 localities.

The relationship among clusters as depicted by CQ11 locus analysis, is visualised in [Fig 2](#page-9-0), where UPGMA cluster analysis (based on the Nei 72 algorithm) clearly identified two distinct main assemblages, which were ungrouped by geographic distribution, but rather grouped by ecological characters.

In the first main cluster composed of 40 Cx , *pipiens* populations, three sub-clusters A, B and C are recognisable. Cluster A is characterised by 15 populations with higher frequencies of the $CQ11^{190/190}$ genotype (from 80 to 100%), and represents aboveground mosquito foci and adult collection sites that were mainly located in natural, rural and peri-urban environments. The other two sub-clusters, B and C, which were more closely related to each other than to the subcluster A, showed pipiens genotype frequencies ranging from 60 to 87.5% and from 36.8 to 71.4%, respectively, which represented aboveground breeding sites and adults found in natural, rural, peri-urban, but also urban environments. The second main cluster was composed of 15 Cx. pipiens populations grouped in two distinct sub-clusters, D and E. Sub-cluster D, shared by seven Cx. pipiens populations with frequencies of the CQ11^{260/260} genotype ranging from 80 to 100%, was mainly characterised by an urban habitat and underground breeding sites. Sub-cluster E included eight Cx. pipiens populations with intermediate frequencies of the three genotypes (21.4–58.3% for CQ11^{260/260}; 14.3–45.5% for CQ11^{190/190} and 0–52.2% of CQ11^{190/260}), with adults collected in rural, peri-urban and urban habitats and immatures developing in aboveground breeding sites.

Analysis of offspring

Nine Cx. pipiens populations (ID 9, 30, 31, 38, 41, 42, 43, 45 and 54) collected from both above- and underground habitats, were established and reared in insectary conditions for

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0146476.g002

PLOS | ONE

Table 4. Changes in the genotype frequencies of a Culex pipiens colony in laboratory conditions. One hundred and fifty specimens from a laboratory-established colony (collected in Frascati, ID 45) were assayed for CQ11 after field collection, and after 7, 10 and 12 rearing generations in laboratory conditions. The percentages of the three genotypes at each generation are shown in brackets. $P = pipiens$, $M = molestus$ and $M/P = CQ11$ hybrid.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0146476.t004

several filial generations, to acquire phenotypic and physiological data (i.e., mating and autogenic behaviour) to be related with genotyping.

Insemination behaviour was observed in all these natural populations, which showed the ability to mate in cage conditions. Both the ID 9 and 54 populations (CQ11 genotyped in the F0 generation as 90% pipiens and 10% hybrid, and 98% pipiens and 2% hybrid, respectively), were unable to lay autogenous eggs and the colonies survived for only 2–4 generations. In contrast, all the other Cx. pipiens populations (ID 30, 31, 38, 41, 42, 43 and 45) laid eggs either without or after a bloodmeal supply for many generations, giving rise to well-established mosquito colonies in insectary conditions. With the exception of ID 43, which was genotyped for CQ11 as 30% hybrid and 70% pipiens genotypes, the other autogenous wild populations showed the CQ11 molestus frequency, ranging from 20% (ID 30) to 100% (ID 31, 38 and 41) and the concurrent CQ11 hybrid frequency ranging from 10% (ID 42) to 25% (ID 30).

Furthermore, to assess the genotype frequency over time, mosquito samples from a Cx. pipiens colony originating from ID 45 were analysed by CQ11 in different filial generations (Table 4).

Whereas wild mosquitoes (F0) showed genotype frequencies with no statistically significant differences (Pearson χ^2 = 1.5000; p = 0.472), starting from the seventh filial generation, these frequencies changed and a marked increase of the molestus genotype with respect to hybrid and *pipiens* genotypes was observed (Pearson χ^2 = 36.2290; p \leq 0.001). Nevertheless, no significant positive trend was found in *molestus* genotype over time ($p = 0.488$).

Discussion

Despite the known limitations connected with the use of only one genetic locus, the CQ11 microsatellite was used for genotyping 55 Italian Cx. pipiens populations in this study. Confirming the results obtained in other similar studies [\[22](#page-14-0)–[23,25](#page-14-0),[28](#page-14-0)–[29\]](#page-14-0), the CQ11 molecular assay was a valuable tool for characterising this species in the country. As the CQ11 genotyping of both wild and laboratory Cx. pipiens populations fitted with the ecological and physiological traits (commonly used to recognise the forms), there was an evidence of a genetic basis for such traits, corroborating the effectiveness of this molecular approach.

In addition, the CQ11 assay was compared with the COI assay, which has already been used to discriminate Cx. pipiens forms in the US, Russia, UK and Italy $[20,26,42,51]$ $[20,26,42,51]$ $[20,26,42,51]$ $[20,26,42,51]$. Although the lack of diagnostic sequence differences in the target COI region did not allow the two forms in the US Cx. pipiens populations to be recognised $[42]$, the use of the COI assay appeared to clearly separate molestus and pipiens forms in Old World populations. In a previous entomological survey carried out in a northwestern province of Italy, the COI assay characterised all eleven populations collected in aboveground environments as molestus, leading to the conclusion that only this form was present in the area $[51]$ $[51]$ $[51]$. In the present study, this approach for

Italian Cx , pipiens populations recognised both forms. Nevertheless, the molecular identification by RFLP of COI and the further sequencing did not agree with the ecological features of the populations tested, as shown by CQ11. These findings displayed an evident incongruence between CQ11 and COI assays, as was already observed by Danabalan et al. in the UK [\[26](#page-14-0)]. In contrast, these authors reached opposite conclusions concerning the reliability of CQ11 assay for distinguishing Cx , pipiens forms, because of the misleading presence of Cx . torrentium in their samples [\[26\]](#page-14-0).

In this study, Cx. torrentium was not detected molecularly, but its absence is not surprising, since this species was also not found in similar surveys carried out in other Southern European countries (Southeastern France, Serbia, Greece, Turkey and Cyprus) [[30](#page-14-0)], and was more frequent in Central and Northern Europe $[24,27,30-32,52-53]$ $[24,27,30-32,52-53]$ $[24,27,30-32,52-53]$ $[24,27,30-32,52-53]$ $[24,27,30-32,52-53]$ $[24,27,30-32,52-53]$ $[24,27,30-32,52-53]$ $[24,27,30-32,52-53]$ $[24,27,30-32,52-53]$. Nevertheless the presence of Cx. torrentium cannot be excluded in Italy, because the breeding sites of the species might occupy colder habitats at higher altitudes [\[17](#page-14-0)[,33](#page-15-0)–[34](#page-15-0)].

Only within the last few years have the bionomic and molecular data acquired concerning the distribution and composition of Cx , pipiens biotypes provided a clearer outline of the situation in Europe. As also described for other Southern European countries and North Africa [\[22,25,28](#page-14-0)–[29](#page-14-0)[,35](#page-15-0)–[36\]](#page-15-0), pipiens and molestus biotypes co-occur in urban, suburban, and rural habitats in Italy. Furthermore, in the majority of aboveground populations, crossbreeding of the two parental forms is a frequent event, as shown by our CQ11 genotyping results.

The reduction in heterozygosity observed in 19 Cx. *pipiens* populations (sample size > 18) might be due to the Wahlund effect, observed when individuals are analysed as a single mating unit but instead, belong to discrete subpopulations that do not interbreed as a whole mating unit. It can be assumed that the two forms in such localities share the same "flight habitat", but instead of mating, prefer separate biotopes, creating substantially separate gene pools. The presence of localities which did not contain CQ11 heterozygotes (ID 13, 18 and 35) appears to confirm this supposition.

The Cx. pipiens populations that were detected exclusively in urban and underground habitats (sub-cluster D) were molecularly characterised as pure or prevalent molestus form populations, suggesting a marked constraint between such environments and the prevailing genotype. Previous observations have always noted that a restricted egress from hypogean breeding sites selectively favours the growth of autogenous populations, whereas underground breeding sites that readily communicate with the surrounding environment also allow the colonisation of the pipiens form $[29,54]$ $[29,54]$. These findings support our studies on the rapid adaptation of wild Cx. pipiens populations to insectary conditions, which appear to mimic a subterranean milieu.

In other Mediterranean areas, hybrids were identified in Morocco using the CQ11 assay, and the pure biotypes co-occurred in all aboveground and underground breeding sites sampled, as well as crossbreding [[25](#page-14-0)]. The CQ11 locus identified both *pipiens* and *molestus* forms, and their hybrids also in Tunisia, which occurred sympatrically in different aboveground collection sites, whereas the *pipiens* biotype was not found in underground contexts [\[29\]](#page-14-0). In Portugal, both the CQ11 assay and microsatellite studies performed in aboveground habitats [\[22,28](#page-14-0)], showed a sympatric distribution of *molestus* and *pipiens* biotypes and an evident hybridisation between them. An asymmetric introgression in favour of molestus genes was presumed to have occurred [\[22\]](#page-14-0). In the North of Greece, a microsatellite approach revealed the sympatric presence of all three biotypes, with a predominance of the *pipiens* form, whereas a more genetically homogenous molestus biotype population was characterised in the Southern region of the country [[36](#page-15-0)].

Hybridisation between the two Cx , *pipiens* biotypes was also sporadically observed in north-ern and central European countries. In Amsterdam, Reusken et al. [\[23\]](#page-14-0) characterised the Cx. pipiens population in three breeding sites of underground metro stations as molestus (62%), pipiens (6.9%) and hybrid (32%) genotypes, using the CQ11 marker. A multiplex real-time

PCR developed to differentiate the Cx. pipiens complex in Germany, found the *pipiens* biotype to be ubiquitous and the *molestus* biotype to widely occur in Southern regions, as well as in the Hamburg metropolitan area [\[27\]](#page-14-0). The analysis carried out on individual mosquito specimens from the few areas where the two forms were detected together, showed hybrids at two sites of the Rhine-Main metropolitan areas and at one site in the Hamburg metropolitan area [[27](#page-14-0)]. Although a previous study carried out on the London Underground railway system using allozymes, reported that subterranean populations were genetically distinct from surface ones, with no evidence of gene flow $[41]$ $[41]$ $[41]$, the CQ11 assay recently showed the sympatric presence of both biotypes in several aboveground breeding sites of Wales and England, which were often found together with their hybrids [[26](#page-14-0)]. However, these results were not considered to be reliable by the authors, who favoured COI barcoding, which confirmed the occurrence only of the pipiens form in the UK [\[26\]](#page-14-0).

These recent findings displaying the presence of hybrids in North and Central Europe suggest that the two biotypes can also interbreed at high latitudes, enabling gene flow between above- and underground populations, when the environmental conditions are suitable $[9, 27, 10]$ $[9, 27, 10]$ $[9, 27, 10]$ $[9, 27, 10]$ $[9, 27, 10]$ [30,](#page-14-0) [40](#page-15-0)–[41](#page-15-0), [53](#page-15-0)].

Regarding the relationship between CQ11 genotyping and phenotypic features, our analysis showed that the genetic cluster assignments were consistent with the mating and autogenic behaviour of Italian Cx. pipiens populations. Although the possibility of mating in narrow space (stenogamy) was not an exclusive prerogative of a single biotype, in every Cx . pipiens population tested, the molestus component, if present, became predominant in few generations, due to the ability of molestus males to inseminate without the need to swarm [\[54\]](#page-15-0).

Autogeny appears to be the physiological trait that is strongly related with the CQ11^{260/260} and CQ11^{260/190} frequencies. In laboratory conditions, autogeny was established, already from the first generation, in those populations that included only CQ11 molestus specimens, or those together with CQ11 hybrids. In the absence of the CQ11 molestus fraction, autogenous ovipositions were also observed in mosquito populations that exhibited a high frequency of CQ11 hybrid genotype, as was observed for ID 43 (30% hybrid and 70% pipiens genotypes). On the contrary, in ID 9 and 54, which were genotyped by CQ11 as pipiens (90% and 98%, respectively) and showed low hybrid frequencies (10% and 2%, respectively), autogeny was totally absent and the colonies quickly declined and disappeared within a few generations. Given that autogeny is a semi-dominant character and that only a fraction of hybrids can lay eggs without a bloodmeal [\[55](#page-15-0)], the absence of a *molestus* fraction and/or the occurrence of very low hybrid frequencies, appear to not support an autogenous mosquito population.

Conclusion

This study represents the first extensive molecular screening of Cx. pipiens complex in Italy. Our results show: i) the absence of Cx . torrentium at least in most of the Italian territory; ii) the ubiquitous distribution of Cx , pipiens throughout the country; iii) the simultaneous occurrence of pipiens and molestus biotypes, often in sympatry and with hybrids, both in above- and underground environments, and iv) the exclusive presence of pure molestus populations in hypogean environments, where the physical characteristics of the habitat hinder and completely preclude any external gene flow. These results corroborate that the CQ11 assay is a promising and robust diagnostic method for the identification of Cx. pipiens biotypes at the population level in the Palearctic Region, consistent with the ecological and physiological aspects of the populations analysed. However, taking into account the limitations connected with the use of only one molecular marker to reliably distinguish molestus, pipiens and hybrids at the individual level, a panel of microsatellite markers might be useful in the future for this purpose.

Finally, the assessment of the actual role of the three biotypes in the WNV circulation remains a crucial point to be elucidated, not only for ecological and epidemiological studies, but also for risk assessment and public health strategies. Consequently, in the light of repeated outbreaks of WND in Italy, further spatial and temporal genotyping of wild Cx. pipiens populations, together with the studies on the feeding preference and vector competence should be implemented.

Supporting Information

[S1 Table](http://www.plosone.org/article/fetchSingleRepresentation.action?uri=info:doi/10.1371/journal.pone.0146476.s001). Matrix of Nei's standard genetic distance. Pairwise values were computed for Italian Culex pipiens localities by Populations ver.1.2.32 software [\[49\]](#page-15-0). (PDF)

Acknowledgments

This study would not have been possible without the assistance of a great many people. We thank M.A. Cafiero, F. Cassina, A. Catalano, R. Corrain, M. Cipriani, C. Liberato, M. Fermetti, M. Goffredo, M. Longo, P. Luciani, G. Mancini, S. Martini, D. Mercanti, G. Morosetti, F. Piccari, M. Salvemini, C. Severini and A. Tamburro for help in collecting mosquito samples. The authors wish to thank M. Valeri and G. Panzini for veterinary assistance and the whole technical staff of the Animal House (A. Martinelli, Y. Gilardi, A. Di Virgilio and E. Cardarelli). The authors are grateful to L. Gradoni for helpful suggestions and for reviewing the manuscript. We are also grateful to the anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments to the manuscript. Special thanks to J.C. Hesson for providing valuable DNA samples used as references in our study.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: MDL LT DB FS. Performed the experiments: MDL LT DB. Analyzed the data: GLR GM. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: MDL LT DB FS GB FM DA. Wrote the paper: MDL. Participated in the study design and critically reviewed the manuscript: MDL LT DB FS GLR GC AR RR.

References

- [1.](#page-1-0) Farajollahi A, Fonseca DM, Kramer LD, Kilpatrick AM. "Bird biting" mosquitoes and human disease: a review of the role of Culex pipiens complex mosquitoes in epidemiology. Infect Genet Evol. 2011; 11: 1577–1585. doi: [10.1016/j.meegid.2011.08.013](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2011.08.013) PMID: [21875691](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21875691)
- [2.](#page-1-0) Turrell MJ. Members of the Culex pipiens complex as vectors of viruses. J Am Mosq Control Assoc. 2012; 28: 123–126.
- [3.](#page-1-0) Mattingly PF. The systematics of the Culex pipiens complex. Bull WHO 1967; 37: 257–261. PMID: [5300063](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/5300063)
- [4.](#page-1-0) Knight KL. Supplement to a catalog of the mosquitoes of the world (Diptera, Culicidae). Thomas Say Foundation 1978; 6.
- [5.](#page-1-0) WRBU (The Walter Reed Biosystematics Unit). Available: [http://www.wrbu.org.](http://www.wrbu.org) Accessed 28 May 2015.
- [6.](#page-1-0) Harbach RE, Harrison BA, Gad AM. Culex (Culex) molestus Forskal (Diptera: Culicidae): Neotype designation, description, variation, and taxonomic status. Proc Entomol Soc Wash. 1984; 86: 521–542.
- 7. Harbach RE, Dahl C, White GB. Culex (Culex) pipiens Linnaeus (Diptera, Culicidae)—concepts, type designations, and description. Proc Entomol Soc Wash. 1985; 87: 1–24.
- [8.](#page-1-0) Clements AN. The biology of mosquitoes: sensory reception and behaviour. Volume 2. Wallingford CABI Publishing, UK; 1999.
- [9.](#page-1-0) Vinogradova EB. Culex pipiens pipiens mosquitoes: taxonomy, distribution, ecology, physiology, genetics, applied importance and control. Sofia-Moscow: Pensoft, 2000.
- [10.](#page-1-0) Savage HM, Ceianu C, Nicolescu G, Karabatsos N, Lanciotti R, Vladimirescu A, et al. Entomologic and avian investigations of an epidemic of West Nile fever in Romania in 1996, with serologic and molecular characterization of a virus isolate from mosquitoes. [Published erratum appears in: Am J Trop Med Hyg 2000;62: 162]. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 1999; 61: 600–611. PMID: [10548295](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10548295)
- 11. Hubálek Z. European experience with the West Nile virus ecology and epidemiology: could it be relevant for the New World? Viral Immunol. 2000; 13: 415–426. PMID: [11192288](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11192288)
- 12. Esteves A, Almeida AP, Galão RP, Parreira R, Piedade J, Rodrigues JC, et al. West Nile virus in Southern Portugal, 2004. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2005; 5: 410–413. PMID: [16417437](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16417437)
- 13. Fyodorova MV, Savage HM, Lopatina JV, Bulgakova TA, Ivanitsky AV, Platonova OV, et al. Evaluation of potential West Nile virus vectors in Volgograd region, Russia, 2003 (Diptera: Culicidae): species composition, bloodmeal host utilization, and virus infection rates of mosquitoes. J Med Entomol. 2006; 43: 552–563. PMID: [16739415](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16739415)
- [14.](#page-1-0) Calzolari M, Bonilauri P, Bellini R, Albieri A, Defilippo F, Maioli G, et al. Evidence of simultaneous circulation of West Nile and Usutu viruses in mosquitoes sampled in Emilia-Romagna region (Italy) in 2009. PLoS One 2010; 5: e14324. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0014324](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0014324) PMID: [21179462](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21179462)
- [15.](#page-1-0) Di Sabatino D, Bruno R, Sauro F, Danzetta ML, Cito F, Iannetti S, et al. Epidemiology of West Nile Disease in Europe and in the Mediterranean Basin from 2009 to 2013. Biomed Res Int. 2014: 907852. doi: [10.1155/2014/907852](http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/907852) PMID: [25302311](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25302311)
- [16.](#page-1-0) ECDC (European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control) network. Available: [http://www.ecdc.](http://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/healthtopics/west_nile_fever/pages/index.aspx) [europa.eu/en/healthtopics/west_nile_fever/pages/index.aspx.](http://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/healthtopics/west_nile_fever/pages/index.aspx) Accessed 13 February 2015.
- [17.](#page-1-0) Service MW. The taxonomy and biology of two sympatric sibling species of Culex, C. pipiens and C. torrentium (Diptera, Culicidae). J Zool Lond. 1968; 156: 313–323.
- [18.](#page-1-0) Smith JL, Fonseca DM. Rapid assays for identification of members of the Culex (Culex) pipiens complex, their 4hybrids, and other sibling species (Diptera: Culicidae). Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2004; 70: 339– 345. PMID: [15100444](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15100444)
- [19.](#page-2-0) Bahnck CM, Fonseca DM. Rapid assay to identify the two genetic forms of Culex (Culex) pipiens L. (Diptera: Culicidae) and hybrid populations. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2006; 75: 251–255. PMID: [16896127](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16896127)
- [20.](#page-2-0) Shaikevich EV. PCR-RFLP of the COI gene reliably differentiates Cx. pipiens, Cx. pipiens f. molestus and Cx. torrentium of the Pipiens Complex. Eu Mosq Bull. 2007; 23: 25–30.
- 21. Hesson JC, Lundström JO, Halvarsson P, Erixon P, Collado A. A sensitive and reliable restriction enzyme assay to distinguish between the mosquitoes Culex torrentium and Culex pipiens. Med Vet Entomol. 2010; 24: 142–149. doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2915.2010.00871.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2915.2010.00871.x) PMID: [20444079](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20444079)
- [22.](#page-1-0) Gomes B, Sousa CA, Novo MT, Freitas FB, Alves R, Côrte-Real AR, et al. Asymmetric introgression between sympatric molestus and pipiens forms of Culex pipiens (Diptera: Culicidae) in the Comporta region, Portugal. BMC Evol Biol. 2009; 9: 262. doi: [10.1186/1471-2148-9-262](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-9-262) PMID: [19895687](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19895687)
- [23.](#page-2-0) Reusken CB, de Vries A, Buijs J, Braks MA, den Hartog W, Scholte EJ. First evidence for presence of Culex pipiens biotype molestus in the Netherlands, and of hybrid biotype pipiens and molestus in northern Europe. J Vector Ecol. 2010; 35: 210–212. doi: [10.1111/j.1948-7134.2010.00050.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1948-7134.2010.00050.x) PMID: [20618670](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20618670)
- [24.](#page-11-0) Hesson JC, Östman Ö, Schäfer M, Lundström JO. Geographic distribution and relative abundance of the sibling vector species Culex torrentium and Culex pipiens in Sweden. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2011; 11: 1383–1389. doi: [10.1089/vbz.2011.0630](http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2011.0630) PMID: [21923273](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21923273)
- [25.](#page-1-0) Amraoui F, Tijane M, Sarih M, Failloux AB. Molecular evidence of Culex pipiens form molestus and hybrids pipiens/molestus in Morocco, North Africa. Parasit Vectors 2012; 5: 83. doi: [10.1186/1756-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-5-83) [3305-5-83](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-5-83) PMID: [22541050](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22541050)
- [26.](#page-2-0) Danabalan R, Ponsonby DJ, Linton YM. A critical assessment of available molecular identification tools for determining the status of Culex pipiens s.l. in the United Kingdom. J Am Mosq Control Assoc. 2012; 28: 68–74. doi: [10.2987/8756-971x-28.0.68](http://dx.doi.org/10.2987/8756-971x-28.0.68) PMID: [23401945](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23401945)
- [27.](#page-2-0) Rudolf M, Czajka C, Börstler J, Melaun C, Jöst H, von Thien H, et al. First nationwide surveillance of Culex pipiens Complex and Culex torrentium mosquitoes demonstrated the presence of Culex pipiens biotype pipiens/molestus hybrids in Germany. PLoS ONE 2013; 8: e71832. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0071832) [0071832](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0071832) PMID: [24039724](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24039724)
- [28.](#page-1-0) Osório HC, Zé-Zé L, Amaro F, Nunes A, Alves MJ. Sympatric occurrence of Culex pipiens (Diptera, Culicidae) biotypes pipiens, molestus and their hybrids in Portugal, Western Europe: feeding patterns and habitat determinants. Med Vet Entomol. 2014, 28: 103–109. doi: [10.1111/mve.12020](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/mve.12020) PMID: [23786327](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23786327)
- [29.](#page-1-0) Krida G, Rhim A, Daaboub J, Failloux AB, Bouattour A. New evidence for the potential role of Culex pipiens mosquitoes in the transmission cycle of West Nile virus in Tunisia. Med Vet Entomol. 2015; 29: 124–128. doi: [10.1111/mve.12107](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/mve.12107) PMID: [25586151](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25586151)
- [30.](#page-1-0) Weitzel T, Collado A, Jöst A, Pietsch K, Storch V, Becker N. Genetic differentiation of populations within the Culex pipiens complex and phylogeny of related species. J Am Mosq Control Assoc. 2009; 25: 6– 17. PMID: [19432063](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19432063)
- 31. Hesson JC, Rettich F, Merdić E, Vignjević G, Östman Ö, Schäfer M, et al. The arbovirus vector Culex torrentium is more prevalent than Culex pipiens in northern and central Europe. Med Vet Entomol. 2014; 28: 179–186. doi: [10.1111/mve.12024](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/mve.12024) PMID: [23947434](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23947434)
- [32.](#page-1-0) Werblow A, Klimpel S, Bolius S, Dorresteijn AWC, Sauer J, Melaun C. Population structure and distribution patterns of the sibling mosquito species Culex pipiens and Culex torrentium (Diptera: Culicidae) reveal different evolutionary paths. PLoS ONE 2014; 9 e102158. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0102158](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0102158) PMID: [25048456](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25048456)
- [33.](#page-1-0) Aranda C, Eritja R, Schaffner F, Escosa R. Culex (Culex) torrentium Martini (Diptera, Culicidae) a new species from Spain. Eu Mosq Bull. 2000; 8: 7–9.
- [34.](#page-1-0) Snow K, Ramsdale C. Distribution chart for European mosquitoes. Eu Mosq Bull. 1999; 3: 14–31.
- [35.](#page-1-0) Fonseca DM, Keyghobadi N, Malcolm CA, Mehmet C, Schaffner F, Mogi M, et al. Emerging Vectors in the Culex pipiens Complex. Science 2004; 303: 1535-1538. PMID: [15001783](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15001783)
- [36.](#page-1-0) Gomes B, Kioulos E, Papa A, Almeida AP, Vontas J, Pinto J. Distribution and hybridization of Culex pipiens forms in Greece during the West Nile virus outbreak of 2010. Infect Genet Evol. 2013; 16: 218– 225. doi: [10.1016/j.meegid.2013.02.006](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2013.02.006) PMID: [23466890](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23466890)
- [37.](#page-2-0) Huang S, Hamer GL, Molaei G, Walker ED, Goldberg TL, Kitron UD, et al. Genetic variation associated with mammalian feeding in Culex pipiens from a West Nile virus epidemic region in Chicago, Illinois. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2009; 9: 637–642. doi: [10.1089/vbz.2008.0146](http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2008.0146) PMID: [19281434](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19281434)
- [38.](#page-2-0) Huang S, Molaei G, Andreadis TG. Reexamination of Culex pipiens hybridization zone in the Eastern United States by ribosomal DNA-based single nucleotide polymorphism markers. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2011; 85: 434–441. doi: [10.4269/ajtmh.2011.10-0679](http://dx.doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2011.10-0679) PMID: [21896800](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21896800)
- [39.](#page-2-0) Ciota AT, Chin PA, Kramer LD. The effect of hybridization of Culex pipiens complex mosquitoes on transmission of West Nile virus. Parasit Vectors 2013; 6: 305. doi: [10.1186/1756-3305-6-305](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-6-305) PMID: [24499581](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24499581)
- [40.](#page-2-0) Chevillon C, Eritja R, Pasteur N, Raymond M. Commensalism, adaptation and gene flow: mosquitoes of the Culex pipiens complex in different habitats. Genet Res. 1995; 66: 147–157. PMID: [8522156](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8522156)
- [41.](#page-2-0) Byrne K, Nichols RA. Culex pipiens in London Underground tunnels: differentiation between surface and subterranean populations. Heredity 1999; 82: 7–15. PMID: [10200079](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10200079)
- [42.](#page-2-0) Kothera L, Godsey M, Mutebi JP, Savage HM. A comparison of aboveground and belowground populations of Culex pipiens (Diptera: Culicidae) mosquitoes in Chicago, Illinois, and New York City, New York, using microsatellites. J. Med. Entomol. 2010; 47: 805–813. PMID: [20939375](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20939375)
- [43.](#page-2-0) EEA (European Environment Agency). Available: [http://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/COR0](http://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/COR0-landcover) [landcover](http://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/COR0-landcover). Accessed 13 March 2015.
- [44.](#page-2-0) ISTAT (Istituto Nazionale di Statistica). Available: [http://www.istat.it/storage/codici-unita](http://www.istat.it/storage/codici-unita-amministrative/elenco-comuni-italiani.xls)[amministrative/elenco-comuni-italiani.xls](http://www.istat.it/storage/codici-unita-amministrative/elenco-comuni-italiani.xls). Accessed 09 February 2015.
- [45.](#page-4-0) Severini F, Toma L, Di Luca M, Romi R. Le zanzare italiane: generalità e identificazione degli adulti (Diptera: Culicidae). Fragm Entomol. 2009; 41: 213–372.
- [46.](#page-5-0) Rousset F. Genepop'007: a complete reimplementation of the Genepop software for Windows and Linux. Mol Ecol Resources 2008; 8: 103–106.
- [47.](#page-5-0) Weir B, Cockerham C. Estimating F statistics for the analysis of population structure. Evolution 1984; 38: 1358–1370.
- [48.](#page-5-0) Goudet J. FSTAT, a program to estimate and test gene diversities and fixation indices (version 2.9.3), 2001. Available: <http://www.unil.ch/izea/softwares/fstat.html>. Updated from Goudet J. 1995.
- [49.](#page-5-0) Nei M. Genetic distance between populations. Am Nat. 1972; 06: 283–292.
- [50.](#page-5-0) Cuzick J. A Wilcoxon-type test for trend. Stat Med. 1985; 4: 87–89. PMID: [3992076](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3992076)
- [51.](#page-10-0) Talbalaghi A, Shaikevich E. Molecular approach for identification of mosquito species (Diptera: Culicidae) in Province of Alessandria, Piedmont, Italy. Eur J Entomol. 2011; 108: 35–40.
- [52.](#page-11-0) Gillies MT, Gubbins SJ. Culex (Culex) torrentium Martini and Cx. (Cx.) pipiens L. in a southern English county, 1974–1975. Mosq Syst. 1982; 14:127–130.
- [53.](#page-11-0) Vinogradova EB, Shaikevich EV, Ivanitsky AV. A study of the distribution of the Culex pipiens complex (Insecta: Diptera: Culicidae) mosquitoes in the European part of Russia by molecular methods of identification. Comp Cytogenet. 2007; 1: 129–138.
- [54.](#page-11-0) Spielman A. Population structure in the Culex pipiens complex of mosquitos. Bull WHO 1967, 37: 271– 276. PMID: [5300066](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/5300066)
- [55.](#page-12-0) Spielman A. Studies on autogeny in Culex pipiens populations in nature. I. Reproductive isolation between autogenous and anautogenous populations. Am J Hyg. 1964; 80: 175-183. PMID: [14215828](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14215828)