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## To My Family Members

ABSTRACT

Edge enhancement is encountered in a number of applications of digital image processing. Edges characterize the boundaries of objects and are useful for segmentation, registration and object identification in images. Numerous enhancement techniques are in existence and various classes of these shall be briefly reviewed here.

An alternate technique has been proposed by Soltis [1] which he termed 'phase contrast filtering' (PCF). It is the intent of the thesis to examine this new technique on the basis of its edge enhancement capabilities and to develop a method for the design of two-dimensional (2-D) recursive digital filters to meet the specifications of the PCF method. An examination of the PCF's applicability to enhancement of various images such as medical X rays, metal surfaces, etc., is also given.

Finally, a comparison between selected edge enhancement techniques and the PCF technique is presented.
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CHAPTER 1
INIRODUCTION
1.1.

## A Simple Image Model

Figure 1-1 is a diagram of a scene viewed from some point in space. The word 'image' refers to some bounded region of a scene as shown below.


Fig. 1-1 Image Defined as Region of Some Scene

The image itself is a 2-D light intensity function denoted $f(x, y)$ [2]. The value or amplitude of $f(x, y)$ at spatial coordinates $(x, y)$ gives the intensity of the image at that point.

The function $f(x, y)$ must be non-zero and finite, i.e.;

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<f(x, y)<\infty \tag{1.1-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a simple model, $f(x, y)$ is represented as the product of two components, the components being illumination and reflection [3]. The illumination component is the amount of source light incident on the scene while the reflection component is the amount of light being reflected by the objects in the scene. The two components are denoted $i(x, y)$ and $r(x, y)$ respectively and are given in equation (1.1-2).

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, y)=i(x, y) r(x, y) \tag{1.1-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad 0<i(x, y)<\infty$
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<r(x, y)<1 \tag{1.1-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In equation (1.1-3) the illumination is bounded by infinity since infinite incident light is not attainable.

In (1.1-4) 0 corresponds to total absorption while 1 suggests total reflection. The component $r(x, y)$ is determined by the objects in the scene while $i(x, y)$ is irrespective of the scene.

### 1.2. Digital Image Processing

A digital image is obtained from the function $f(x, y)$ by digitizing $f(x, y)$ both spatially and in amplitude [4]. The spatial digitization is accomplished by image sampling, while amplitude digitization is achieved by gray-level quantization.

If the continuous image $f(x, y)$ is sampled by equally spaced samples to form an $N \times N$ array in which each element of the array is a discrete quantity, as shown in eqn.(1.2-1), then a digital image is formed.

$$
f(x, y) \simeq\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
f(0,0) & f(0.1) & \cdots & . & f(0, N)  \tag{1.2-1}\\
f(1,0) & f(1,1) & \cdots & . & f(1, N) \\
\vdots & & & & \\
f(N, 0) & f(N, 1) & \cdots & . & f(N, N)
\end{array}\right]
$$

Each element of the array is called a pixel or pel.
The digitization process requires a choice of the number of gray-levels each pixel may assume (G) as well as the number of samples of $f(X, Y)(N, N)$. In digital image processing these quantities are almost always made equal to some power of two, i.e.;

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=2^{n} \tag{1.2-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=2^{m} \tag{1.2-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G$ is the number of gray-levels and $n$ and $m$ are integer numbers.

It is obvious that the larger $G$ and $N$ are, the closer the relationship in eqn.(1.2-1) becomes [18-20].

Figure 1-2 will be used to explain the formalation of edges in images.


Fig. 1-2 Simple Image

In Fig.1-2 constant illumination over the image scene is assumed. The object has reflectivity $r_{1}$ while the back-ground has $r_{2}$ and $r_{2}<r_{1}$. Therefore the image intensity at points on the object is greater than the intensity of the background of the image. At points on the edge of the object, the intensity of $f(x, y)$ has an abrupt transition. This abrupt transition is characteristic of edges in continuous images and consequently digital images [5]. However, in digital images, edges are characterized by abrupt changes in pixel value.
1.3. Edge Enhancement Techniques

The word 'image' will be used synonymously with 'digital image' unless otherwise stated.

Since an edge point is a pixel location at which an abrupt change in its gray-level occurs, then in general an edge detection scheme would be to measure the gradient of the image. Two classes of edge enhancement (detection) operators based on the above concept are (i) Gradient Operators and (ii) Compass Operators [68].

For digital images, these operators, or masks, represent finite differences.

Gradient operators are expressed as a pair of masks $\mathrm{H}_{1}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$ which measure the gradient of the image $f(m, n)$ in two orthogonal directions x and y . Therefore the gradient vector is expressed as;

$$
\begin{align*}
& g(m, n)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
2 \\
g_{1}(m, n)+g_{2}(m, n)
\end{array}\right]^{1 / 2}  \tag{1.3-1}\\
& \theta_{g}(m, n)=\tan ^{-1}\left[\frac{g_{2}(m, n)}{g_{1}(m, n)}\right] \tag{1.3-2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $g(m, n)$ is the magnitude and $\theta_{g}(m, n)$ is the direction. The values $g_{1}(m, n)$ and $g_{2}(m, n)$ are the gradients in the $x$ and $y$ directions respectively. The magnitude is often expressed as in eqn.(1.3-3) for its ease of implementation on digital machines.

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(m, n)=\left|g_{1}(m, n)\right|+\left|g_{2}(m, n)\right| \tag{1.3-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A list of some common gradient operators is given in Table 11. Note that for a uniform region on the image $f(m, n)$ the operators yield a zero value.

A pixel location $(m, n)$ can be declared an edge point if $g(m, n)$ exceeds some threshold value 't'. By thresholding, an edge map $e(m, n)$ can be created of the image $f(m, n)$ as shown below [11-13].

$$
e(m, n)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, g(m, n)>t^{\prime}  \tag{1.3-4}\\
0, g(m, n) \leq t^{\prime}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Usually 't' is chosen such that 5 to 10 percent of pixels with largest gradients are declared edges.


Smoothed
(Pre-Witt) $\left[\begin{array}{ccc}-1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & {[0]} & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right] \quad\left[\begin{array}{ccc}-1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & {[0]} & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right]$
Sobel $\left[\begin{array}{ccc}-1 & 0 & 1 \\ -2 & {[0]} & 2 \\ -1 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right] \quad\left[\begin{array}{ccc}-1 & -2 & -1 \\ 0 & {[0]} & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1\end{array}\right]$
Isotropic $\left[\begin{array}{ccc}-1 & 0 & 1 \\ -\sqrt{2} & {[0]} & \sqrt{2} \\ -1 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right] \quad\left[\begin{array}{ccc}-1 & -\sqrt{2} & -1 \\ 0 & {[0]} & 0 \\ 1 & \sqrt{2} & 1\end{array}\right]$

Table 1-1 - Common Gradient Operators
Compass operators measure the gradient in a selected number of directions [8]. Table 1-2 shows four compass operators for North going edges. An anticlockwise circular shift of the 8 boundary
elements gives a $45^{\circ}$ rotation of the gradient direction. As an example, for mask \#1 the 8 rotations are;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array} \\
& 1 \begin{array}{llllll}
1 & -1 & F & 1 & -1
\end{array} \\
& 1-21 \text { (N) } \\
& \text { 1-2-1 } \\
& \text { (NW) } 1-2-1 \\
& \text { (W) } 1 \text {-2 - }-1 \text { (SW) } \\
& \text {-1 -1 }-1 \\
& \text { 1-1 }-1 \\
& 1 \text { 1-1 } \\
& \begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

| -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | -2 | 1 | $(S)$ | -1 | -2 | 1 | $(S E)$ | -1 | -2 | 1 | $(E)$ |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -2 | 1 | $(\mathrm{NE})$ |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

If we let $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n})$ denote the compass gradient in the direction $\theta_{\mathrm{k}}=\pi / 2+\mathrm{k} \pi / 4, \mathrm{k}=0, \ldots, 7$, then the gradient at $(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n})$ is defined as;

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(m, n)=\max _{k}\left[\left|g_{k}(m, n)\right|\right] \tag{1.3-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the case of the previously discussed gradient technique, an edge map may be obtained by thresholding the gradient. For higher angular resolution the size of the compass gradient mask may be increased.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left.1 \begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & {[-2]} & 1 \\
-1 & -1 & -1
\end{array}\right] & 2\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
5 & 5 & 5 \\
-3 & {[0]} & -3 \\
-3 & -3 & -3
\end{array}\right] \\
3\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & {[0]} & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & -1
\end{array}\right]
\end{array}
$$

Table 1-2 - Various (North) Compass Gradients

$$
\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
0 & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & {[4]} & -1 \\
0 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right] \quad\left[\begin{array}{lll}
-1 & -1 & -1 \\
-1 & {[8]} & -1 \\
-1 & -1 & -1
\end{array}\right] \quad\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
1 & -2 & 1 \\
-2 & {[4]} & -2 \\
1 & -2 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Table 1-3 - Three discrete Laplacian Operators

The Laplacian [9] which is the second derivative, is also used to detect edges. The Laplacian is defined in equation (1.3-7) and three discrete approximations to this equation are given in Table 1-3.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2} f=\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial y^{2}} \tag{1.3-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the second derivative is involved, this operator is more sensitive to noise than the previously defined gradient techniques. Figure 1-3 demonstrates the effect of applying the Laplacian operator to an edge.
a) $f(x)$
b) $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$

C) $\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x^{2}}$


Fig. 1-3 - 1-D Example of First and Second Derivatives

A better technique for the use of the Laplacian is to use the zero crossings as an edge detection principle.

One proposed method [9] approximates the Laplacian of the Gaussian function and is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(m, n)=\left|1-\frac{k\left(m^{2}+n^{2}\right)}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right| \exp \left[-\frac{m^{2}+n^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right] \tag{1.3-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In equation (1.3-8) $\sigma^{2}$ controls the mask size and $k$ is a nomalization constant so that the sum of the elements in the mask is zero.

Another useful technique involves Stochastic Gradients [11]. These are used when the image is heavily corrupted by noise. These techniques however require that a mask be designed based upon observations taken over various regions of the image.

Stochastic techniques are beyond the scope of this thesis and will not be discussed further. Details of such schemes are described in [11].
1.4. Problem Statement

The problem is to explore the PCF technique as an edge enhancement method and to examine its degree of variability and applicability. A comparison between the PCF technique and various proven methods must also be performed. A fundamental aspect is also to demonstrate 2-D recusive digital filter design to carry out PCF.

### 1.5. Thesis Organization

Chapter 2 is a theoretical explanation of PCF with comparison to various gradient techniques. Digital images processed by the PCF method and other techniques are presented.

Chapter 3 deals with the methodology developed for the design of 2-D digital filters required for PCF and a development for a design technique is presented.

Chapter 4 presents a comparative study, for the purpose of edge detection, between the PCF technique and various gradient techniques.

Chapter 5 provides a summary and conclusion of the research material covered in this thesis with suggestions for extensions and future work.

## CHAPTER 2

PHASE CONIRAST FILTERING FOR EDGE ENHANCEMENT
2.1. Background Information

In sections 1.2 and 1.3 a background of image theory and characteristics of edges was presented. Figure $2-1$ represents a typical cross section of a continuous image $f(x, y)$ with two edges being defined.


Fig. 2-1 - Cross Section of Arbitrary Continuous Image

From Fourier Series theory [17], any periodic function $f(x)$ can be expressed as an infinite sum consisting of a fundamental wave and its harmonics.

Figure 2-2 shows an ideal square wave and one consisting of components up through the fifth hamonic.


Fig. 2-2 - Ideal Square Wave and Fourier Representation Based Upon components Up To Fifth Harmonic

The absence of high frequency components has distorted the square wave to the effect shown above. In a digital image the absence of these components would likewise disfigure or blur the edges in the image. Consequently, edge information in an image is characterized by high frequency components [3,4].

### 2.2. Phase Contrast Filter

The Phase Contrast Filtering technique atterpts to extract the high frequency components of an image via the scheme shown in Fig. 2-3.


Fig. 2-3 - Phase Contrast Filtering Technique

In the block diagram of Fig.2-3, $X\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ represents a digital image, $H\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ represents a $2-D$ digital filter with specified magnitude and phase response and $Y\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ represents the resulting edge enhanced image.

The ideal magnitude and phase characteristics of $H\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ are given in Fig.2-4. The substitution $z=e^{j \omega T}$ [13-15] has been made and the response over the quarter plane $\omega_{1}(+)$ and $\omega_{2}(+)$ has been shown for normalized frequency, i.e.; $0 \leq \omega_{i} \leq \pi, i=1,2$.

$$
\left|H\left(e^{j} \omega_{1}, e^{j} \omega_{2}\right)\right|
$$

$$
\operatorname{ARG}\left[H\left(e^{j} \omega_{1}, e^{j \omega_{2}}\right)\right]
$$




Fig. 2-4 - Ideal Magnitude and Phase Response for $H\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$

The magnitude response of the filter is required to be unity over the entire frequency range in order that the magnitudes of the frequency components in the image $X\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ are unchanged after filtering. The phase response is zero up to some chosen cut-off frequency $\omega_{C}$, and equal to $-\pi$ radians ( $-180^{\circ}$ ) for frequencies beyond $w_{C}$ in the $+w_{1}$ and $+w_{2}$ directions. Examining the block diagram of Fig. 2-3, if the image $X\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ is filtered by $H\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ with magnitude and phase responses given by Fig.2-4
the resulting filtered image will have equal magnitude as the original but frequency components above $\omega_{C}$ will be 180 degrees out of phase with the original. Consequently, when the subtraction operation is performed, the magnitude of frequency components below $\omega_{C}$ will be equal to zero while those above $\omega_{C}$ will be doubled. Analytically;
let

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega_{1}=e^{j} \omega_{1} \text { and } w_{2}=e^{j \omega_{2}} \\
& Y\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=X\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right) H\left(\omega_{1}, w_{2}\right)-X\left(w_{1}, \omega_{2}\right) \tag{2.2-1}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=\left|H\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)\right| \angle A R G\left(H\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)\right) \tag{2.2-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|H\left(\omega_{1}, w_{2}\right)\right|=1, \quad 0 \leq w_{i} \leq \pi, i=1,2 \tag{2.2-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\operatorname{ARG}\left(H\left(\omega_{1}, w_{2}\right)\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0,0 \leq \sqrt{\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}}<\omega_{C}  \tag{2.2-4}\\
-\pi, \omega_{C} \leq \sqrt{\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}} \leq \pi
\end{array}\right.
$$

therefore

$$
X\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right) H\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
X\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right), 0 \leq \sqrt{w_{1}^{2}+w_{2}^{2}}<w_{C}  \tag{2.2-5}\\
-X\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right), w_{C} \leq \sqrt{w_{1}^{2}+w_{2}^{2}} \leq \pi
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
Y\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}0 & , 0 \leq \sqrt{w_{1}^{2}+w_{2}^{2}}<w_{C}  \tag{2.2-6}\\ -2 \cdot X\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right), w_{C} \leq \sqrt{w_{1}^{2}+w_{2}^{2}}<\pi\end{cases}
$$

The resulting image $Y\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ will contain only the high frequencies (edges) of the original image $X\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$.

The phase response in Fig. $2-4$ is shown to be circular symmetric over the quarter plane defined by $\omega_{1}(+)$ and $\omega_{2}(+)$ [2122]. However, square symmetry as well as circular symmetry for the phase response were both examined. The results shall be presented at the end of the chapter.

### 2.3. Reduction of The PCF Technique

The PCF technique presented in Fig. 2-3 may be reduced to a single block as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=X\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) H\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)-X\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)  \tag{2.3-1}\\
& Y\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=X\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\left[H\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)-1\right] \tag{2.3-2}
\end{align*}
$$

let

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{\prime}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=H\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)-1 \tag{2.3-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\quad H^{\prime}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\frac{Y\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)}{X\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)}$

The reduced block diagram is shown in Fig. $2-5$ where $H^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right.$, $\left.z_{2}\right)$ is given by (2.3-4) and $X\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ and $Y\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ are unchanged.


Fig. 2-5 - Reduction of the PCF Technique

Substituting $z_{1}=e^{j \omega_{1}}$ and $z_{2}=e^{j \omega_{2}}$, the real and imaginary parts of $H^{\prime}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ are given by:
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left[H^{\prime}\left\{e^{j \omega_{1}}, e^{\left.j \omega_{2}\right)}\right\}\right]=I\left[H\left(e^{j \omega_{1}}, e^{\left.j \omega_{2}\right)}\right\}\right. \tag{2.3-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ideal magnitude and phase responses of $H\left(e^{j} \omega_{1}, e^{j} \omega_{2}\right)$ are unchanged. The ideal responses for $H^{\prime}\left(e^{j} \omega_{1}, e^{j} \omega_{2}\right)$ are shown in Fig. 2-6.

$$
\left|H^{\prime}\left[e^{j \omega_{1}}, e^{j \omega_{2}}\right]\right| \quad \operatorname{ARG}\left[H^{\prime}\left[e^{j \omega_{1}}, e^{j \omega_{2}}\right]\right]
$$




Fig. 2-6 - Ideal Magnitude and Phase Responses For $H^{\prime}\left(e^{j \omega_{1}}, e^{j \omega_{2}}\right)$

The magnitude response is that of an ideal highpass filter with a gain of 2 and the phase response is zero over the frequency quarter plane $\omega_{1}(+)$ and $\omega_{2}(+)$.

Discrete 2-D digital filters were designed based on the ideal response of $H^{\prime}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ and implemented via the block diagram in Fig. 2-5. This reduced method was abandoned because of poor edge enhancement results which were due to large discrepancies between the desired phase response and the designed phase response.
2.4. Phase Contrast Filtering In The Frequency Domain

Convolution in the time domain is the equivalent to multipliction in the frequency domain and vice versa [19,20]. The filtering of images in the spatial domain is a convolution process and is equivalent to multiplying together the Discrete Fourier Transforms of the image and the filter. The 2-D convolution theorem is given in equations (2.4-1) and (2.4-2).

$$
\begin{align*}
& x(n, m) * h(n, m) \Leftrightarrow x(u, v) H(u, v)  \tag{2.4-1}\\
& x(n, m) h(n, m) \Leftrightarrow x(u, v) * H(u, v) \tag{2.4-2}
\end{align*}
$$

where * denotes convolution.
Due to this property, many functions which are not attainable in the time domain, the ideal filter response given in Fig. 2-4, are precisely represented in the frequency domain.

The PCF technique may be implemented in the frequency domain through the use of the FFT and IFFT.

The 2-D DFT of the image $\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m})$ of size $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ is obtained (see section 3.3) and expressed as;

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(u, v)=R(u, v)+j I(u, v) \tag{2.4-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for

$$
u, v=0,1,2, \ldots, N-1
$$

where $R(u, v)$ and $I(u, v)$ are the real and imaginary components
respectively. The magnitude and phase are obtained by;

$$
\begin{align*}
& |X(u, v)|=\left[(R(u, v))^{2}+(I(u, v))^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}  \tag{2.4-4}\\
& \operatorname{ARG}[X(u, v)]=\operatorname{TAN}^{-1}[I(u, v) / R(u, v)] \tag{2.4-5}
\end{align*}
$$

The phase is altered by the addition of $\theta(u, v)$ where;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(u, v)=-\pi \exp \left[D_{0} / D(u, v)\right] \tag{2.4-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
for

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(u, v)=\left[u^{2}+v^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{2.4-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
u, v=0,1,2, \ldots, N-1
$$

A cross section of the function $\theta(u, v)$ is given in Fig. 2-7.


Fig. 2-7 - Cross Section of $\theta(u, v)$

The ideal phase response shown in Fig. 2-4 would not be used because of the ringing effects it produces $[4,28]$.

The real part and imaginary part of the modified image is obtained by;

$$
\begin{align*}
& R^{\prime}(u, v)=|X(u, v)| \cdot \cos [\operatorname{ARG}(X(u, v))+\theta(u, v)]  \tag{2.4-8}\\
& I^{\prime}(u, v)=|X(u, v)| \cdot \sin [\operatorname{ARG}(X(u, v))+\theta(u, v)] \tag{2.4-9}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{\prime}(u, v)=R^{\prime}(u, v)+j I^{\prime}(u, v) \tag{2.4-10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for

$$
u, v=0,1,2, \ldots, N-1
$$

In order that the result of the application of the Inverse Discrete Fourier Transform (IDFT) to $H^{\prime}(u, v)$ be real, Hermitian Symmetry conditions must be maintained [25]. Therefore the array $H^{\prime}(u, v)$ must be extended to size $2 N \times 2 N$ before application of the IDFT. See section 3.3 for details of the array extension and the definition of Hermitian Symmetry.

Frequency domain techniques produced results similar to that produced by the $2-D$ recursive digital filter where coefficients are given in Table 2-1. Their major difficulty is the large times and memory required for computing the $2-D$ FFT and IFFT for images of size $64 \times 64$ pixels this approach required about 4 minutes of CPU time on an IBM-AT, whereas the filtering approach, using order 1 2-D recursive digital filters, takes less than 15 seconds to filter images of size $256 \times 256$ pixels.

### 2.5. Results and Comments

The results of applying the Sobel operator and the PCF technique, with two different cut-off frequencies, to two images are shown in Figures 2-8 and 2-9. The images are $256 \times 256$ pixels with 8 bit resolution.

The sobel operator enhances the major edges of the original image but fine details on the images are not as pronounced. The PCF technique enhances even the slight variations of the image as can be seen in Fig. 2-8 (c) and (d). The effect of increasing $\omega_{C}$ in the PCF technique is also presented.

Table 2-1 shows the filter coefficients used for the PCF technique of Figures 2-8 (c) and (d) and 2-9 (c) and (d).

|  | 1 | $\omega_{C}=1.0$ | 1 | $\omega_{C}=1.75$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{a}_{00}$ | 1 | -3.095840 | 1 | -1.634320 |
| $\mathrm{a}_{01}$ | 1 | 2.396338 | 1 | 1.328521 |
| $\mathrm{a}_{10}$ | 1 | 2.396338 | 1 | 1.328521 |
| $\mathrm{a}_{11}$ | 1 | -1.553688 | 1 | -0.237538 |
| $\mathrm{b}_{00}$ | 1 | 1.0 | 1 | 1.0 |
| $\mathrm{b}_{01}$ | 1 | -0.616835 | 1 | -0.224075 |
| $\mathrm{b}_{10}$ | 1 | -0.616835 | 1 | -0.224075 |
| $\mathrm{b}_{11}$ | 1 | 0.446490 | 1 | 0.252944 |

Table 2-1 Filter Coefficients Used For PCF Technique

Both of the above filters are circular symmetric over the quarter plane $\omega_{1}(+)$ and $\omega_{2}(+)$. The PCF technique was applied to images using a filter with a square symmetric response. This type of symmetry produces an image in which edge enhancement is lacking in various directions. As a result a circular symmetric filter response is preferred for PCF edge enhancement.
(a)
(b)


Fig. 2-8 - (a) Original Image
(b) Image Processed by Sobel Operator
(C)

(d)


Fig. 2-8 - (c) Image Processed by PCF with $\omega_{C}=1.0$
(d) Image Processed by PCF with $\omega_{C}=1.75$
(a)

(b)


Fig. 2-9 - (a) Original Image
(b) Image Processed by Sobel Operator
(c)


Fig. 2-9 - (C) Image Processed by PCF with $\omega_{C}=1.0$
(d) Image Processed by PCF with $\omega_{C}=1.75$

## CHAPTER 3

FILTER DESIGN FROM MAGNITUDE AND PHASE INFORMATION

### 3.1. Introduction

In this chapter, a design technique will be presented for designing a 2-D digital filter to meet given phase and magnitude specifications.

### 3.2. Problem Statement

The desired magnitude and phase responses are specified in the frequency domain, see Fig. 2-4. The problem is to design a 2-D spatial (discrete time) filter which has an impulse response that approximates the specified impulse response of a PCF.

### 3.3. Design Procedure

The design technique utilized minimizes the error between the ideal impulse response, obtained from the magnitude and phase specifications, and the general case impulse response of a 2-D digital filter of order N.

With magnitude and phase specified over the entire frequency plane the impulse response is imediately attainable [27].

The filters frequency response can be separated into real and imaginary components as shown in eqn.(3.3-1) and eqn.(3.3-2) respectively.

$$
\begin{align*}
& R\left[H\left[e^{j \omega_{1}}, e^{j \omega_{2}}\right]\right]=\left|H\left[e^{j \omega_{1}}, e^{j \omega_{2}}\right]\right| \cos \left[\operatorname{ARG}\left[H\left(e^{j \omega_{1}}, e^{j \omega_{2}}\right]\right]\right. \\
& I\left[H\left[e^{j \omega_{1}}, e^{j \omega_{2}}\right]\right]=\left|H\left(e^{j \omega_{1}}, e^{j \omega_{2}}\right)\right| \sin \left[\operatorname{ARG}\left[H\left(e^{j \omega_{1}}, e^{j \omega_{2}}\right]\right]\right. \tag{3.3-2}
\end{align*}
$$

The real and imaginary components can be discretized by sampling $R$ and $I$ over the $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ plane as shown in equation (3.3-3) [25].

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
R\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)  \tag{3.3-3}\\
I\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right\} \quad U, V=0,1,2, \ldots, k-1
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(w_{1_{u}}, w_{2 v}\right)=R\left(w_{1_{u}}, w_{2_{v}}\right)+j I\left(w_{1_{u}}, w_{2_{v}}\right) \tag{3.3-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$k$ is the number samples taken over $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ with the sampling increment equal to $\pi / \mathrm{k}$.

To obtain the impulse response, the Inverse Discrete Fourier Transform (IDFT) is applied to $H\left(\omega_{1} u^{\prime} \omega_{2_{V}}\right)$.

The DFT and IDFT are given in equations (3.3-5) and (3.3-6) respectively for the 2-D case.

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(u, v)=\sum_{n=0}^{K-1} \sum_{m=0}^{I-1} h(n, m) \exp \left[-j 2 \pi\left[\frac{u n}{k}+\frac{v m}{I}\right]\right] \tag{3.3-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for

$$
u=0,1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~K}-1 \text { and } v=0,1, \ldots, \mathrm{~L}-1
$$

$$
h(n, m)=\frac{1}{K L} \sum_{u=0}^{K-1} \sum_{v=0}^{L-1} H(u, v) \exp \left[j 2 \pi\left[\frac{u n}{K}+\frac{v m}{L}\right]\right](3.3-6)
$$

for

$$
\mathrm{n}=0,1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~K}-1 \text { and } \mathrm{m}=0,1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~L}-1
$$

If the number of samples in $\omega_{1}$ is the same as those in $\omega_{2}$ then $K=L . \quad$ For simplification, the substitution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{u}=\omega_{1} \tag{3.3-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=w_{2} \tag{3.3-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is made and (3.3-4) can be written as (3.3-9).

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(u, v)=R(u, v)+j I(u, v) \tag{3.3-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain the impulse response $h(n, m),(3.3-9)$ is substituted into (3.3-6).

$$
h(n, m)=\frac{1}{k^{2}} \sum_{u=0}^{k-1} \sum_{v=0}^{K-1}[R(u, v)+j I(u, v)] \exp \left[\frac{j 2 \pi}{k}(n u+m v)\right]
$$

for

$$
\begin{equation*}
u, v=0,1,2, \ldots, K-1 \tag{3.3-10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to guarantee that $h(n, m)$ be real, the condition that H(u, v) be Hermitian symmetric [25] must be met. The Hermitian Symmetry conditions are given by;

$$
\begin{align*}
& R(u, v)=R(k-u, k-v)  \tag{3.3-11}\\
& I(u, v)=-I(k-u, k-v)  \tag{3.3-12}\\
& R(u+k / 2, v)=R(u, k-v)  \tag{3.3-13}\\
& I(u+k / 2, v)=-I(u, k-v) \tag{3.3-14}
\end{align*}
$$

for $\quad u, v=0,1,2, \ldots, k / 2-1$
$H(u, v)$ is defined over an array of size $k \times k$. In order to maintain the desired $H(u, v)$ and the required symmetry conditions, $H(u, v)$ must be extended to size $P \times P$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=2 \cdot k \tag{3.3-15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The extended array is shown in Fig. 3-1.


Fig. 3-1 - Extended Response For H(u, v) to Obtain Hermitian Symmetry

Quadrant 1 contains the original $k x k$ samples of $H(u, v)$. Quadrant 3 is obtained by applying equations (3.3-11) and (3.3-12) with $P=2 k$ directly to $H(u, v)$. Quadrant 2 is a shifted version of 1 , and quadrant 3 is obtained by the application of (3.3-13) and (3.3-14) to the array in quadrant 2.

The result of the appliction of the IDFT to the extended array is a real impulse response of size $P \times P$. However, the desired ideal $k \times k$ impulse response is contained in the first $k \times k$ samples of the $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ array.

The general equation for a 2-D digital filter of order $N$ is given in equation (3.3-16) [25,26].

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\frac{Y\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)}{X\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)}=\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N} a_{i j} z_{1}^{-i} z_{2}^{-j}}{1+\sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i=j \neq 0}}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N} b_{i j} z_{1}^{-i} z_{2}^{-j}} \tag{3.3-16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The condition $i=j \neq 0$ states that $i$ and $j$ cannot be equal to 0 cbincidentally.

The 2-D difference equation for $H\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ from above can be written as;

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(n, m)=\sum_{i=0}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N} a_{i j} x(n-i, m-j)-\sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i=j \neq 0}}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N} b_{i j} y(n-i, m-j) \tag{3.3-17}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain the impulse response $[19-21]$ of the general case filter of eqn.(3.3-17) the input becomes;

$$
x(n, m) \rightarrow \delta(n, m)
$$

where $\delta(n, m)$ is the $2-\mathrm{D}$ unit impulse with characteristics;

$$
\delta(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~m})= \begin{cases}1, & \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{m}=0  \tag{3.3-18}\\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

With the 2-D unit impulse as the input, the output $Y(n, m)$ goes to $h(n, m)$;

$$
h(n, m)=\sum_{i=0}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N} a_{i j} \delta(n-i, m-j)-\sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i=j \neq 0}}^{N} \sum_{\substack{N}}^{N} b_{i j} h(n-i, m-j)
$$

Equation (3.3-20) gives the general case impulse response for a 2-D digital filter of order $N$.

An error function $E$ is created between the ideal impulse response, denoted $h^{I}(n, m)$, and the general case impulse response;

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\sum_{n=0}^{K-1} \sum_{m=0}^{K-1}\left[h(n, m)-h^{I}(n, m)\right]^{2} \tag{3.3-21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is the number of samples of the ideal impulse response.
Substituting (3.3-20) into (3.3-21) yields;

$$
\begin{align*}
E & =\sum_{n=0}^{K-1} \sum_{m=0}^{K-1}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N} a_{i j} \delta(n-i, m-j)-\sum_{\substack{i=0 \\
i=j \neq 0}}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N} b_{i j} h(n-i, m-j)\right. \\
& \left.-h^{I}(n, m)\right]^{2} \tag{3.3-22}
\end{align*}
$$

Minimization of the error function (E) requires the procurement of the partial derivatives of $E$ with respect to
coefficients $a_{i j}$ and $b_{i j} . E$ is minimum when its derivatives with respect to coefficients $a_{i j}$ and $b_{i j}$ are equal to zero. This is shown in equations (3.3-23) through (3.3-25).

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial E}{\partial a_{00}} & =2 \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{K-1} \sum_{m=0}^{K-1}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N} a_{i j} \delta(n-i, m-j)-\sum_{\substack{i=0 \\
i=j \neq 0}}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N} b_{i j} h(n-i, m-j)\right. \\
& -h(n, m)][\delta(n, m)]=0 \tag{3.3-23}
\end{align*}
$$

$\frac{\partial E}{\partial a_{01}}=2 \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{K-1} \underset{m=0}{K-1}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N} a_{i j} \delta(n-i, m-j)-\sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i=j \neq 0}}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N} b_{i j} h(n-i, m-j)\right.$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-h(n, m)][\delta(n, m-1)]=0 \tag{3.3-24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\frac{\partial E}{\partial b_{N N}}=2 \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{K-1} \sum_{m=0}^{K-1}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N} a_{i j} \delta(n-i, m-j)-\sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i=j \neq 0}}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N} b_{i j} h(n-i, m-j)\right.$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-h(n, m)][h(n-N, m-N)]=0 \tag{3.3-25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result of the above process is a $2 \cdot(N+1)^{2}-1$ system of linear equations which can be solved for the coefficients $a_{i j}$ and $b_{i j}$.

When solving the above equations the assumption of a casual system is used, that is;

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(n, m)=0, \text { for } n \text { or } m<0 \tag{3.3-26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution to the system of linear equations above results in the formulation of a $2-D$ digital filter with magnitude and phase responses approximating the specified responses.

The implementation of the PCF technique is accomplished by applying the designed filter $H(u, v)$ to a digital image $I(n, m)$ of size $S \times$. The filtered image $I^{\prime}(n, m)$ is expressed as;

$$
I^{\prime}(n, m)=\sum_{i=0}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N} a_{i j} I(n-i, m-j)-\sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i=j \neq 0}}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N} b_{i j} I^{\prime}(n-i, m-j)
$$

for

$$
\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~m}=0,1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~s}-1 .
$$

The edge enhanced image $E(n, m)$ is obtained by taking the absolute value of the difference between the original image and the filtered image.

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(n, m)^{-}=\left|I^{\prime}(n, m)-I(n, m)\right| \tag{3.3-28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for

$$
n, m=0,1,2, \ldots, s-1
$$

### 3.4. Results and Comments

The filter design technique presented in the previous section was used to design filters of order 1,2 and 3. Order 1 filters produced the results presented in the thesis. Higher-order filters designed to meet the ideal response gave a ringing effect on the edges produced in the image. This same effect was also observed when using the ideal response in the convolution approach described in section 2.4. The designed higher order filters have a magnitude response which peaks near the cut-off frequency, and a phase response which is very close to the ideal. The peaking of the magnitude response could have also contributed to the ringing (or blurring) effect. Magnitude and phase responses of a designed third order filter are shown in Figs. 3-2(a) and (b). The filter coefficients are shown on page 38(a).
(a)

(b)


Fig. 3-2 - (a) Magnitude Response of 3rd Order Filter
(b) Phase Response of 3rd Order Filter

CHAPTER 4
A COMPARATIVE STUDY
4.1. Introduction

This chapter will be devoted to examining the phase contrast technique as an edge detector. A comparison of various gradient techniques and the phase contrast approach will be presented.

### 4.2. Edge Enhancement

In developing an edge detection perfonmance criteria, one should distinguish between necessary information and additional information to be obtained from the detector. As an exarmple, it is necessary for a detector to determine the pixel location of an edge; moreover, it is attractive if it can also provide the slope angle of the edge.

Three major errors involved with edge location detection are shown on the next page.
(a)

## 奾

(b)

(C)

(d)

(e)


Fig. 4-1 - Edge Location (a) Image Segment; (b) Ideal Detection; (C) Fragmented Detection; (d) Offset Detection; (e) Smeared Detection.

A commonly used figure of merit for edge detection techniques [2,16] is defined by;

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\frac{1}{I_{N}} \sum_{i=1}^{I_{A}} \frac{1}{1+a d} \tag{4.2-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{N}=\operatorname{MAX}\left(I_{I}, I_{A}\right) \tag{4.2-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$I_{A}$ represents the number of pixels declared as edge points and II is the number of ideal edge points. The scaling factor $\alpha$ is adjustable to penalize edge locations that are local but offset from their true positions. The distance between an ideal edge point and a pixel location declared as an edge point is given by d.

A comparison of various gradient techniques and the phase contrast technique was conducted using the figure of merit given above with $a=1 / 9$. The test image, with 8 bit resolution, consisted of a $64 \times 64$ pixel array with a horizontal edge running across it.

Also added to the image was independent White Gaussian noise with standard deviation $\sigma_{\mathrm{n}}$.

The signal to noise ratio (SNR) is defined as;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{SNR}=\frac{\mathrm{h}^{2}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{2}} \tag{4.2-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h$ is the height of the edge.
For each result given in the comparison, the edge detection technique used was optimized to obtain the highest possible value of $R$. As an example, the threshold values ' $t$ ' were varied in each case to maximize $R$.

### 4.3. Results and Comments

Figure 4-2 gives the results for various SNR ratios, which are summarized in Table 4-1. The edge width for this comparison was set at $W=1$ pixel.

Figure 4-3 gives the results for a comparison based on edge width. The edge width $(W)$ was varied from 1 to 4 pixels while the SNR was kept constant at 100.

Of the edge detection schemes compared, other than the PCF, the sobel operator appears to have the best overall performance and the Roberts the poorest. This was affirmed by examination of images processed by the two techniques.

The graphs of Figures $4-2$ and $4-3$ seem to show little difference between the sobel and the PCF for high SNR. Examination of Table 4-1 gives the exact values. The difference between the two techniques is apparent by examination of $I_{A}$, the number of pixels declared as edge points. Taking $I_{A}$ and $R$ both into consideration, for a high SNR the PCF outperforms the Sobel because of the Sobels tendency to give a smeared indication of edge location. This is confirmed in Fig. 4-4.

The original image in Fig. 4-4 consists of objects of different intensity with white Gaussian noise with $\sigma=4.0$ added to it. The Sobel operator has detected all major edges but the edge map is 'thick' in comparison with the PCF technique.


Fig. 4-2 - Edge Location Figure of Merit As a Function of $\operatorname{SNR}$. $\mathrm{W}=1, \mathrm{~h}=50$.


Fig. 4-3 - Edge Location Figure of Merit As a Function of Edge Width. $\mathrm{SNR}=100, \mathrm{~h}=50$

| SNR |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}\text { Phase } \\ \text { Contrast }\end{gathered}\right.$ | Sobel | $\mid$ Isotropic | $\left.\right\|_{\text {smoothed }}$ | Roberts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | R | 98.4 | 95.27 | 95.0 | 95.0 | 90.0 |
|  | $I_{\text {A }}$ | 62 | 74 | 124 | 124 | 63 |
| 50 | R | 95.48 | 95.12 | 95.0 | 94.9 | 90.0 |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | 63 | 78 | 124 | 120 | 63 |
| 20 | R | 75.48 | 95.0 | 94.88 | 95.00 | 84.17 |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | 66 | 88 | 90 | 80 | 62 |
| 10 | R | 52.98 | 85.7 | 80.29 | 80.53 | 65.18 |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | 66 | 63 | 81 | 64 | 68 |
| 5 | R | 25.76 | 62.74 | 60.65 | 60.24 | 42.29 |
|  | $I_{\text {A }}$ | 70 | 61 | 65 | 63 | 66 |

> Table 4-1 - Edge Detection Comparison Data $$
h=50, W=1, I_{I}=62 .
$$



Fig. 4-4 - (a) Original Image
(b) Image Processed by Sobel Operator
(c) Image Processed by PCF with $\omega_{C}=1.4$

### 5.1. Introduction

The previous chapters have presented the PCF technique, a design method for obtaining the required filters for this technique and a comparison between the PCF and various gradient techniques. Conclusions and extensions of the PCF are presented.

### 5.2. Extensions

Section 3.4 presented the filter design technique's inability to obtain a unity magnitude response for filters of order of 2 or larger. An additional approach to this problem which may be explored is to use the function in equation (5.2-1) as the starting point for the minimization procedure.

It can easily be proven that this function provides a unity magnitude response over the entire frequency plane.

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N} a_{i j} z_{1}^{-i} z_{2}^{-j}}{\sum_{i=0}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N} a_{N-i, N-j} z_{1}^{-i} z_{2}^{-j}} \tag{5.2-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Future work could also explore the possibilities of obtaining the phase response, of which the cross-section is shown, in Fig. 5-1 for normalized frequency.


Fig. 5-1 Cross-section of Alternate Ideal Phase Response

With a phase response as shown above, unwanted high frequency noise would be eliminated when incorporated in the PCF technique.

## 5.3. Conclusions

A new method has been developed for the edge enhancement of digital images. This method offers a flexibility through its choice of $\omega_{C}$ and filter order which is unequaled by gradient techniques (beyond the selection of mask size).

Filters with a square symmetric phase response, when used in the PCF technique, instead of emphasing actually de-emphasize edges which have a slope of -1 relative to the $x$ and $y$ axes of the image. This type of response, although not suited for edge enhancement, might find a useful application in an area such as pattern recognition. This is deemed worthy of further study.

A fundamental point is the question of the ideal phase response necessary for the PCF technique. This ideal phase response although not attainable in the discrete time domain can easily be implemented in the frequency domain. Results have shown that when this ideal response is applied to an image through the PCF technique, the resulting image suffers from ringing or "Gibbs phenomena". Therefore a filter with a smoother response might be more useful in practice than that depicted by the ideal phase response in this thesis.

The data obtained in the comparison of the various techniques for figure of merit versus signal to noise ratio and edge width agrees with results obtained when applying the various techniques to images. Of the gradient techniques the Sobel operator has the best performance and the Roberts the poorest.

The PCF technique is capable of enhancing small changes in pixel values whereas the gradient techniques examined have an averaging effect so small variations in pixel values are not as pronounced. This sensitivity however, creates a noisy image when the PCF is applied to images with a low SNR. Practically, images with a low SNR would require the stochastic gradient methods mentioned in section 1.3.

The PCF technique has been shown to be effective for edge enhancement. The implementation of the PCF technique is straightforward and execution time, for filter order 1, is roughly equal that of a $3 \times 3$ gradient technique.

For conciseness all the data obtained during the course of the study has not been presented. However, the data is available on floppy disk through the author. Results are available of the following: (a) the PCF technique applied in the frequency domain through the use of the FFT and IFFT, images showing the performance of the PCF technique encorporating a square symmetric phase response, results of the use of higher order filters and results showing the application of the various gradient techniques compared in this thesis.

## APPENDIX

this program determines the filter coefficients by solving a matrix determined by the minimization of the error between the ideal and general impulse responses for the 1 dimensional case． real a（20，20），h（129），x（20），aa（20），bb（20），y（129）
the 1 －$d$ impulse response is read from file foroo3－dat
print＊，enter the order of the filter－
readt。n
print女，enter the gain＊
read象，gain
numsamp＝129
read the impulse response
open（4，file＝－for003．dat＂，status＝＊old＂）
do $20 i=1$ ，numsamp
read（4，＊）h（i）
continue
close（4）
do $67 \quad i=1,20$
aa（i）$=0.0$
$b b(i)=0.0$
set the matrix coeffe equal to zero
do $100 \quad i=1,2 \not 2 n+1$
do $100 \quad j=1,2 \neq n+2$
$a(i, j)=0$
set upper left matrix diagonal＝to 1
do $1 \quad 1=1, n+1$
do $1 \quad j=1, n+1$
$i f(i \cdot \theta q \cdot j) a(i, j)=1$
continue
determine lower left coefficientse
$k=2$
do $2 i=n+2,2 \neq n+1$
do $3 j=k, n+1$
$a(i, j)=-h(j-k+1)$
continue
$k=k+1$
continue
determine upper right matrix coeft．
$k=1$
do $4 \quad i=2, n+1$
do $5 j=1, k$
$a(i, j+n+1)=-h(i-j)$
continue
$k=k+1$
continue
determine far upper right column
do $6 \quad i=1, n+1$
$a(i, 2 * n+2)=h(i)$
determine lower right matrix
$k=0$
do $7 i=n+2,2$ क $n+1$
$k=k+1$
$1=0$
do $B \quad j=n+2,2 * n+1$
$1=1+1$
sum=0
do $9 \mathrm{nn}=1$, numsamp
$11=n n-1$
$k k=n n-k$
if(11.1t.1.orokk.1t.1)goto 9
sum=sum+h(nn-1) \#h (nn-k)
continue
$a(i, j)=s u m$
continue
continue
determine lower far right column.
$k=2$
$j=2$ ㅎ $n+2$
do $10 \quad i=n+2,2 \neq n+1$
sum=0
do $11 n n=k, n u m s a m p$
sum=sum+h(nn) $\ddagger h(n n-k+1)$
continue
$k=k+1$
$a(i, j)=-s u m$
continue
$m=2 \neq n+2$
$n 1=n \neq 2+1$
the matrix is solved using gauss gorden with partial pivoting
call gauss (a,n1;m; $x$ )
do $62 i=1, n+1$
aa(i)=x(i)wgain
write(9, н)aa(i)
$j=i-1$
print $33, j, a a(i)$

continue
do $63 \quad i=n+2,24 n+1$
$b b(i-n-1)=x(i)$
write(9, *) x(i)
$j=i-n-1$
print $34, j, x(i)$

continue
generate impulse response of the designed filter and store it in file for004.datix - note this impulse response is designed for up to order 5 filter only.

```
y(1)=aa(1)
k=1
do 75 i=2,n+1
l=i-1
sum=0.0
do 76 j=1,k
sum= sum+bb(j)*y(l)
1=1-1
continue
y(i)=aa(i)-sum
k=k+1
continue
do 71 i=n+2,NUMSAMP
y(i)=-bb(1)*y(i-1)-bb(2)*y(i-2)-bb(3)*y(i-3)-bb(4)*y(i-4)-bb(5)*y(i-5)
continue
do }72i=1,NUMSAM
write(4,z)y(i),h(i)
continue
stop
end
```
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subroutine gauss(a,nl,m,x)
real a(20,20), $\times(20)$
$m=n+1$ and $a$ is the augmented matrix
solution is given in $x$
$n=n 1$
do $10 \quad j=1, n$
big=abs(a(j,j))
$1=j$
do $20 k=j+1, n$
if(big.It.abs(a(k,j)))then
big=abs(a(k,j))
l=k
endif
continue
if(big.ltele-7)then
print\#, " no unique solution"
return
endif
do $30 k=1, n+1$
temp=a(j,k)
$a(j, k)=a(1, k)$
$a(1, k)=t \in m p$
do $40 \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{j}+1, \mathrm{n}+1$
$a(j, k)=a(j, k) / a(j, j)$
$a(j, j)=1.0$
do $10 \quad i=1, n$
if(i.eq.j)goto 10
do $50 \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{j}+1, \mathrm{n}+1$
$a(i, k)=a(i, k)-a(i, j) \neq a(j, k)$
$a(i, j)=0.0$
do $60 \quad j=1, n$
$x(j)=a(j, n+1)$
return
end
this program determines the filter coefficients by solving a matrix determined from the minimization of the error between the ideal and general case impulse responses.
real a(60,60),h(129,129),x(60),aa(60),bb(60),y(129,129)
the ideal impulse response is read from a file called foro2l.dati* this impulse response must be supplied.
print\#, " enter the order of the filter"
read*, $n$
print\#, enter the desired gain"
readt,gain
$n 1=n+1$
$n 1 s=n 1 * n 1$
read the impulse response
open(4,file='for021-det*, status=*old")
$\operatorname{read}(4, *)(h(i, j), j=1,129), i=1,129)$
close(4)
set the matrix coeff. equal to zero
do $100 \quad i=1,60$
do $100 \quad j=1,60$
$a(i, j)=0$
set upper left matrix diagonal = to 1
do $1 \quad 1=1, n 1 s$
do $1 \quad j=1, n 15$
if(i.eq.j)a(i,j)=1
continue
determine middle upper right coefficients.
$k=1$
$1=1$
do $2 i=1, n 1 s$
if(leeq.1)goto 3
do $4 j=1,1-1$
$a(i, j+n 1 s)=-h(k, 1-j)$
continue.
$1=1+1$
if(1.gt.n1)then
$1=1$
$k=k+1$
endif
continue
determine upper right matrix coeff.
do 5 num $=1, n$
$1=1$
$k=1$
do 6 i=num*n $1+1, n 1 s$
do $7 \mathrm{j}=1,1$
$11=n 1 s+n+(n u m-1) \div n 1+j$
$a(i, 11)=-h(k, 1-j+1)$
continue
$1=1+1$
if(l.gt.n1)then
$1=1$
$k=k+1$
endif
continue
continue
determine lower left matrix coeffe
do $8 \quad i=n 1 s+1,2$ mis-1
do $8 \mathrm{j}=1, \mathrm{nis}$
$a(i, j)=a(j, i)$
determine middle lower right matrix coeff.
do 11 num=1, n 1
if(num-eq.1)nnl=n
if(numegt.l)nnl=n+1
do $12 \quad i=1$, nn 1
do $13 \quad j=1, n$
sum is determined
sum=0
do $14 \mathrm{nn}=1,125$
do $15 \mathrm{~mm}=1,129$
jj1 $=m m-j$
jj2=nn-num+1
jj3 $=\mathrm{mm}$-i
if(num-gt-1) jj3=jj3+1
if(jj1.1t.1.or-jj2.1t.1)goto 15
if(jj3.1t.1)goto 15
sum=sum+h(nn,jj1) $\ddagger h(j j 2, j j 3)$
continue
continue
$i f(n u m-e q-1) i j=n 1 s+i$
if(num-gt. 1$) i i=n 1 s+n+(n u m-2) \neq(n+1)+i$
a(ii.j+n15)=5um
continue
continue
continue
determine lower_ right matrix coeffe
do 22 numi=1,n
do 22 num=1,n1
if(num.eq.1)nni=n
if(num-gt.1)nnl=n1
do $17 \mathrm{i}=1$, nnl
do $18 \mathrm{j}=1, \mathrm{n} 1$
sum is determined
sum=0
do $19 n n=1,129$
do $19 \mathrm{~mm}=1,129$
jjl $=n n-n u m 1$
$j j 2=m m-j+1$
jj $3=n n-n u m+1$
jj4=mm-i
if(num-gt.1) jj4 $4=j j 4+1$
if(jul.It.1.or.jj2.1t.1)goto 19
if(jj3.1t.1.or-jj4.1t.1)goto 19
sum =sum th(jji,jj2)湖 (jj3,jj4)
continue
if(numeq-1)ii=nls+i
$i f(n u m e g t-1) i i=n 1 s+n+(n u m-2) \neq(n+1)+i$
$j j=n 1 s+n+(n u m 1-1) \neq(n+1)+j$
a(ii,jj)=sum
continue
continue
continue
determine far upper right column
jj=2*n1s
do $30 \quad i=1, n 1$
do $30 j=1, n 1$
$i i=(i-1)$ 外ni+j
$a(i i, j j)=h(i, j)$
continue
determine far lower right column
do $31 \quad i=1, n 1$
if(i.eq.1)then
nan=0
$n \cap 1=n$
else
nan=1
$n \cap 1=n 1$
endif
do $31 j=1, n n 1$
if(i.eq.1)ii=n1s+j
$i f(i . g t .1) i i=n 1 s+n+(i-2) * n 1+j$
sum=0
do $32 n n=1,129$
do $32 \mathrm{~mm}=1,129$
$j j 1=n n-i+1$
jj2=mm-j+nan
if(jj1.1t.1.or.jj2.1t.1)goto 32
sum=sum+h(nn,mm) ith(jj1,jj2)
continue
a(ii, jj) $=-$ sum
continue
nn 1=2* $n 1 s-1$
$m=n n 1+1$
the matrix which is to be solved is written in file for016.dati*

the matrix is solved using gauss gorden with partial pivoting
call gauss(a,nnl,m,x)
$k=0$

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.
$k=k+1$
$i i=i-1$
$j \mathbf{j}=\mathbf{j}-1$
aa（k）＝x（k）ぬgain
write（19，中）aa（k）
print 83，ii，jj，aa（k）
format（＂，＂a（＂，i2，i2，＂）＂ $5 x$, ＂equal＂，f10．6）
continue
do $63 i=1, n 1$
if（i．aq．i）then
nnl＝n
else
nn $1=n 1$
endif
do $\dot{\operatorname{j}} 3 \mathrm{j}=1, \mathrm{nn} 1$
$k=k+1$
$i i=i-1$
j $j=j-1$
if（i．eq．1）$j j=j$
write（19，女）x（k）
print 84，iig，jjox（k）

continue
goto 99
stop
end
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subroutine gauss（a，nnl，$m, x)$
real a（60，60），$x(60)$
$m=n+1$ and $a$ is the augmented matrix
solution is given in $x$
$n=n n 1$
do $10 \quad j=1, n$
big＝abs（a（j，j））
l＝j
do $20 k=j+1, n$
if（big．ltabs（a（k，j）））then
big＝abs（a（k，j））
$1=k$
endif
continue
if（big．lt．1e－7）then
print»，＂no unique solution＂
return
endif
do $30 k=1, n+1$
temp＝a（j，k）
$a(j, k)=a(1, k)$
$a(l, k)=t e m p$
do $40 \quad k=j+1, n+1$
$a(j, k)=a(j, k) / a(j, j)$
$a(j, j)=1.0$
do $10 i=1, n$
if（i．eq．j）goto 10

| 50 | do $50 k=j+1, n+1$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 10 | $a(i, k)=a(i, k)-a(i, j) \neq a(j, k)$ |
| $a(i, j)=0=0$ |  |
| 60 | do $60 j=1, n$ |
|  | $\times(j)=a(j, n+1)$ |
|  | return |
| end |  |

    \(W(1)=d e l t a\)
    DD \(1 \quad I=1, N 1\)
    THETA \((I)=0.0\)
    \(W(I)=W(I-I)+D E L T A\)
    DO \(2 I=N 1+1, N+1\)
    THETA(I) \(=-P I\)
    \(W(I)=W(I-1)+D E L T A\)
    determine real and imaginary part of frequency response
    DO \(3 I=1, N+1\)
    \(X(I)=C O S(T H E T A(I))\)
    \(Y(I)=S I N(T H E T A(I))\)
    generate odd and evan symetry of function
    KOUNT \(=N\)
    DO \(56 \quad I=N+2, N 2\)
    \(X(I)=X(K O U N T)\)
    \(Y(I)=-Y(K O U N T)\)
    KOUNT=KOUNT-1
    CDNTINUE.
    DO \(57 \mathrm{~J}=\mathrm{N}+1, \mathrm{~N} 2\)
    \(W(J)=W(J-1)+D E L T A\)
    determine idft of the frequency response
    the real part is stored in a file called foroo3.dat; \(\Rightarrow\)
    $M=8$
ISIGN=-1
$N=n 2$
CALL FFT $(X, Y, N, M, I S I G N)$
WRITE(9, ㅎ) IDFT IE $h(n)$ -
$0094 I=1, N 2$
write( $9, *) \times(i), y(i), i$

| 94 | continue |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $n=128$ |
| ${ }^{5}$ | do $77 \mathrm{i}=1, \mathrm{n}$ |
| 5 | $x(i)=x(i) \neq(-1) * * i$ |
| 677 | $1=1+2$ |
| 51 | DO $51 \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{n} 2$ |
|  | WRITE(3**)X(I) |
|  | STDP |
| ¢ | END |
| c | if isign $=-1$ the idft is determined |
|  | if isign $=+1$ the dft is determined |
|  | SUBRDUTINE FFT(X,Y,N,M,ISIGN) |
|  | REAL $X(256), Y(256)$ |
|  | $\mathrm{N} 2=\mathrm{N}$ |
|  | DO $10 \mathrm{~K}=1, \mathrm{M}$ |
|  | N1 $=$ N2 |
|  | $\mathrm{N} 2=\mathrm{N} 2 / 2$ |
|  | $E=6.283185307 / N 1$ |
|  | $A=0.0$ |
|  | DO $20 \mathrm{~J}=1, \mathrm{~N} 2$ |
|  | $C=\cos (A)$ |
|  | $S=S I N(A)$ |
|  | IF (ISIGN.EQ.-1)S $=-5$ |
|  | $A=J \psi E$ |
|  | D⿴ $30 \mathrm{I}=\mathrm{J}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N} 1$ |
|  | $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{N} 2$ |
|  | $X T=X(I)-X(L)$ |
|  | $X(I)=X(I)+X(L)$ |
|  | $Y T=Y(I)-Y(L)$ |
|  | $Y(I)=Y(I)+Y(L)$ |
|  | $X(L)=C \neq X T+S \neq Y T$ |
| 30 | $Y(L)=C * Y T-S * X T$ |
| 20 | CONTINUE |
| 10 | CONTINUE |
| 6 | CONTINUE |
| ¢ |  |
|  |  |
| $c$ | This is the bit reversal program |
| 6 |  |
| 100 |  |
|  | $N 1=N-1$ |
|  | DO $104 \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{~N} 1$ |
|  | IF(I.GE.J)GOTO 101 |
|  | $X T=X(J)$ |
|  | $x(J)=x(I)$ |
|  | $X(I)=X T$ |
|  | $X T=Y(J)$ |
|  | $Y(J)=Y(I)$ |
|  | $Y(I)=X T$ |
| 102 | $K=N / 2$ |
|  | IF(K.GE.J)GOTO 103 |
|  | J=JーK |
|  | $K=K / 2$ |
|  | GOTD 102 |
| 10 | $J=J+K$ CONTINUE |
|  | IF (ISIGN.EQ.-1)THEN |

```
n=128
```

do $77 \mathrm{i}=1, \mathrm{n}$
$x(i)=x(i) \neq(-1) * \neq i$
$1=1+2$
DO 51 I=1,n2
WRITE(3;*)X(I)
STDP
END
if isign $=-1$ the idft is determined
if isign $=+1$ the dft is determined
SUBRDUTINE FFT(X,Y,N,M,ISIGN)
REAL X(256),Y(256)
$\mathrm{N} 2=\mathrm{N}$
DO $10 \mathrm{~K}=1, \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{N} 1=\mathrm{N} 2$
$\mathrm{N} 2=\mathrm{N} 2 / 2$
$E=6.283185307 / N 1$
$A=0.0$
DO $20 \mathrm{~J}=1, \mathrm{~N} 2$
$C=\cos (A)$
$S=S I N(A)$
IF(ISIGN.EQ.-1)S $=-5$
$A=J * E$
DO 30 I=J,N,N1
$L=I+N 2$
$X T=X(I)-X(L)$
$X(I)=X(I)+X(L)$
$Y T=Y(I)-Y(L)$
$Y(I)=Y(I)+Y(L)$
$X(L)=C \# X T+S \neq Y T$
$Y(L)=C \neq Y T-S * X T$
CONTINUE
CONTINUE
CONTINUE
$J=1$
$\mathrm{N} 1=\mathrm{N}-1$
DO $104 \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{~N} 1$
IF(I.GE.J)GOTO 101
$X T=X(J)$
$X(J)=X(I)$
$X(I)=X T$
$X T=Y(J)$
$Y(J)=Y(I)$
$Y(I)=X T$
$K=N / 2$
IF(K.GE.J)GOTD 103
$J=J-K$
$K=K / 2$
GOTD 102
CONTINUE
IF(ISIGN.EQ.-1)THEN

DO $33 \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{~N}$ $X(I)=X(I) / N$ $Y(I)=Y(I) / N$
ENDIF
RETURN
END
this is the program that generates an ideal phase and megnitude response in the frequency domain and determines the ideal imulse response.
The phase response is 0 from u equal 0 rade to $w$ equal we and -pi from equal we to pi.

REAL PI,THETA 256,256$)$, TEMR $(256,256)$, TEMI $(256,256), X X I(256,256)$
real temp $(35,35)$
INTEGER N,N1,N2
print\#, "enter the lower cut off frequency in radians"
read\#; we
$\mathrm{N}=128$

$$
N 2=256
$$

$$
P I=4.0 * A T A N(1.0)
$$

xinc=pi/129
$N_{1}=(W C / P I) *(N+1)$
print*ッn1
generate zero phase from $\omega=0$ to wc
DO $1 \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{~N}+1$
$001 \mathrm{~J}=1, \mathrm{~N}+1$
theta(i, $j)=0$
PP1=I
PP2 $=\mathrm{J}$
$X X 1=$ SQRT (PP1** 2+PP2**2)
$\operatorname{IF}(X X 1 . G T . N 1) T H E T A(I, J)=-P I$
CONTINUE
This small section writes in file fordo8edatit the ideal phase response you have chosen so you may display it using the hidefor program imediately after executing this prorgam
ns amp $=35$
xinc=pi/35
n21=(wc/pi) $\ddagger$ nsamp
do $96 i=1$, nsamp
do $96 j=1$, nsamp
$\operatorname{temp}(i, j)=0$
pl=i
$\mathrm{p} 2=\mathrm{j}$

if(xx1.g.e.n21)temp $(i, j)=-p i$
continue
write( $8, *$ ) nsamp,nsamp, xinc, xinc
do 97 i=1,nsamp
do $97 \mathrm{j}=1$, nsamp
write( $8, *)$ temp (i,j)
continue
determine real and imaginary parts of filters frequency response
DO $3 I=1, N+1$
DO $3 \mathrm{~J}=1, \mathrm{~N}+1$
$\operatorname{TEMR}(I, J)=\operatorname{COS}(\operatorname{THETA}(I, J))$
$\operatorname{TEMI}(I, J)=S I N(T H E T A(I, J))$
continue
generate odd and evan symetry of function

GENERATE QUADRANT 4

```
k=n+1
do 4i i=n+1,n2
l=n+1
do 5 j=n+1,n2
TEMR(i,j)=TEMR (k,l)
TEMI(I,J)=-TEMI(K,L)
L=L-1
K=K-1
```

GENERATE QUADRANT 1
DO $6 \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{~N}$
$L=N$
DO $6 \mathrm{~J}=\mathrm{N}+2, \mathrm{~N} 2$
$\operatorname{TEMR}(I, J)=\operatorname{TEMR}(I, L)$
$\operatorname{TEMI}(I, J)=\operatorname{TEMI}(I, L)$
$\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L}-1$
generate quadrant 3
$K=N$
DO $7 \mathrm{I}=\mathrm{N}+2, \mathrm{~N} 2$
$\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{N} 2$
$008 \mathrm{~J}=2, \mathrm{~N}$
$\operatorname{TEMR}(I, J)=\operatorname{TEMR}(K, L)$
$\operatorname{TEMI}(I, J)=-\operatorname{TEMI}(K, L)$
$L=L-1$
$K=K-1$
$L=N$
DO $9 \mathrm{I}=\mathrm{N}+2, \mathrm{~N} 2$
$\operatorname{TEMR}(I, 1)=\operatorname{TEMR}(L, 1)$
$\operatorname{TEMI}(I, 1)=-\operatorname{TEMI}(L, 1)$
$L=L-1$
determine IDFT of filter response
$M=8$
IS IGN=-1
$\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{n} 2$
CALL DDFFJ(XXI,N,M,ISIGN,TEMR,TEMI)
$\mathrm{n}=128$
filters impulséresponse is in array temr(i,j)
store the impulse response in file called FORO21.DAT;*
WRITE(21,*)( $(\operatorname{TEMR}(I, J), J=1, N+1), I=1, N+1)$
STOP
END

THIS ROUTINE DETERMINES THE 2-D DFT OF A REAL ARRAY XXI IF ISIGN=1 WHERE THE REAL PART AND IMAGINARY PART ARE STORED IN ARRAYS TEMR AND TEMI RESPECTIVELY.

IF ISIGN=-1 THE IDFT IS DETERMINED ANC THE REAL AND INAGINARY PARTS ARE STORED BACK INTD THE ARRAYS TEMR AND TEMI WHICH

```
SUBROUTINE DOFFT(XXI,N,M,ISIGN,TEMR,TEMI)
```

REAL XXI (256,256), TEMR (256,256), TEMI (256,256),X(256),Y(256)
INTEGER $N, M$,ISIGN
IF(ISIGN.EQ.-1)GOTO 47

THE DO 10 LOOP DETERMINES THE ROW DFT
DO $10 \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{~N}$
DO $20 \mathrm{~J}=1, \mathrm{~N}$
$X(J)=X X I(I, J)$
$Y(J)=0.0$
CALL FFT(X,Y,N,M,ISIGN)
DO $30 \mathrm{~J}=1, \mathrm{~N}$
$\operatorname{TEMR}(I, J)=X(J)$
$\operatorname{TEMI}(I, J)=Y(J)$
CONTINUE
THE DO 40 LOOP DETERMINES THE COLUMN DFT
DO $40 \mathrm{~J}=1, N$
DO $50 \quad I=1, N$
$X(I)=T E M R(I, J)$
$Y(I)=T E M I(I, J)$
CALL FFT(X,Y,N,M,ISIGN)
DO $60 \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{~N}$
$\operatorname{TEMR}(I, J)=X(I)$
$\operatorname{TEMI}(I, J)=Y(I)$
CONTINUE
GOTO 48
THE DO 41 LDOP DETERMINES THE ROW DFT FOR THE IDFT
DC $41 \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{~N}$
$0042 \mathrm{~J}=1, \mathrm{~N}$
$X(J)=\operatorname{TEMR}(I, J)$
$Y(J)=T E M I(I, J)$
CALL FFT(X,Y,N,M,ISIGN)
DO $43 \mathrm{~J}=1, \mathrm{~N}$
$\operatorname{TEMR}(I, J)=X(J)$
$\operatorname{TEMI}(I, J)=Y(J)$
CONTINUE
THE DC 44 LODP DETERMINES THE COLUMN DFT FDR THE IDFT.
DO $44 \mathrm{~J}=1, \mathrm{~N}$
DO $45 \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{~N}$
$X(I)=\operatorname{TEMR}(I, J)$
$Y(I)=\operatorname{TEMI}(I, J)$
CALL FFT(X,Y,N,M,ISIGN)
DO $46 \quad I=1, N$
$\operatorname{TEMR}(I, J)=X(I)$
$\operatorname{TEMI}(I, J)=Y(I)$
CONTINUE
RETURN
END
THIS ROUTINE DETERMINES THE DFY AND IDFT OF A COMPLEX ARRAY the real part is stored in $X$ and the imaginary part in y

```
FOR ISIGN = I AND -1 THE DFT ANC IDFT IS DETERMINED RESP.
```

$M$ IS LOG(BASE 2) OF N.- EX. FOR $N=128, M=7$
SUBRDUTINE FFT(X,Y,N,M,ISIGN)
REAL $X(256), Y(256)$
N2 $=\mathrm{N}$
DO $10 \mathrm{~K}=1, \mathrm{M}$
N1 $=\mathrm{N} 2$
$N 2=N 2 / 2$
$E=6.283185307 / N 1$
$A=0.0$
DD $20 \mathrm{~J}=1, \mathrm{~N} 2$
$C=\operatorname{CoS}(A)$
$S=S I N(A)$
IF(ISIGN.EQ.-1)S=-S
$A=J$ ㅎ $E$
DO $30 \mathrm{I}=\mathrm{J}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N} 1$
$L=I+N 2$
$X T=X(I)-X(L)$
$X(I)=X(I)+X(L)$
$Y T=Y(I)-Y(L)$
$Y(I)=Y(I)+Y(L)$
$X(L)=C * X T+S * Y T$
$Y(L)=C \neq Y T-S \nless X T$
CONTINUE
CONTINUE
CONTINUE

THIS IS THE BIT REVERSAL PROGRAM

```
J=1
N1=N-1
DO 104 I=1,N1
IF(I.GE.J)GOTO 101
XT=X(J)
X(J)=x(I)
X(I) =XT
XT=Y(J)
Y(J)=Y(I)
Y(I)=XT
K=N/2
IF(K.GE.J)GOTD 103
J=J-K
K=k/2
GOTD 102
J=J+K
continue
IF(ISIGN.EQ.-1)THEN
DO 33 I=1,N
X(I)=X(I)/N
Y(I)=Y(I)/N
ENDIF
RETURN
END
```

this program determines the discrete impulse response for a filter of order n. the filter coefficients are read from a file called -for019.dat;**

```
real h(129,129),a(3,3),b(3,3)
print*;" enter filter order"
read*!n
n1=n+1
open(2,file=`for019.dat*,status="old")
do 30 i=1,n1
do 30j=1,n1
read(2,*)a(i,j)
print*,a(i,j)
continue
do 31 i=1,n1
do 31 j=1,n1
if(i.eq.1.and.jeeq.1)goto 31
read(2,*)b(i,j)
print*,b(i,j)
continue
do 10 nn=1,129
do 10 mm=1,129
sum=0.0
do 12 i=1,n1
do 12 j=1,nl
jj1=nn-i+1
jy2=mm-j+1
if(jj1.lt.1.or.jjz.lt.1)goto 12
sum=sum+b(i,j)*h(jj1,jj2)
continue
h(nn,mm)=-sum
if(nn-le.nl.and.mm.le.nl)h(nn,mm)=h(nn,mm)+a(nn,mm)
continue
urite(21,*)((h(i,j),j=1,129),i=1,129)
stop
end
```

    THIS FFOGFAM ADDS WHITE GAUSSIAN NOISE WITH STANDGFD DEVIATION Sd
    open(s,file="temp,img",form="unformatted",status="new")
write(J)((img(i,j),j=1,12\Omega),i=1,12马)
close(%)
stop
end
c
ᄃ******************************************************
c

```
```

subroutine rand(ri:<

```
subroutine rand(ri:<
double precision xi%
double precision xi%
c
E
c m-modulus is 2**31-1 = 2,147,48\Xi,647
c a-multiplicator- is 7**5 = 10,807
i:f-seed- equals 5 for the first call and :i% for subsequent calls.
the form of the generator is z(i)=:(i-i)*a
```

*iッ=:in*1.6807.0d0

```
*iッ=:in*1.6807.0d0
xi:=dmod(xi%,1.0dO)
xi:=dmod(xi%,1.0dO)
return
return
end
```

end

```

THIS FROGFiM FEFFDRMS THE 2－D FILTEFING DF LAFGE SIZE IMAGES GI：JEN COEFFICIENTS OF THE \(2-D\) digital FECURSIVE FILTER．

WFITTEN EY DF．M．SID－AHMED，ELECTFICAL ENGINEEFING DEFAFTMENT， UNIVEFSITY OF WINDSOR，WINDSOR ONT．

FEAL＊4 a（10，10），b（10，10）
FEAL＊4 IX（10，256），IY（10，256），IYT，MIN，MAX
CHAFACTEF IAFFAAY（256）
CHAFACTEF＊ 13 FILN
MIN＝0
MAX \(=255\)
WF：ITE（＊，51）

FEAD（＊，＊）NSIZ
WFIITE（＊，玉）
FOFMAT（＂INFUT FILE NAME－－－s ：）
FEAD（＊，＊（A1S）＂）FILN
DFEN（1，FILE＝FILN，FDFM＝＂EINAFY＂，STATUS＝＂OLD＂）
WFITE（＊，4）
FOFIHAT（＂DUTFUT FILE NAME－－－）？\({ }^{\prime}\)

OFEN（2，FILE＝FILN，FDFM＝＂EINAFY＂，STATUS＝＂NEW＂）
WRITE（＊， 6 ）
FOFMAT（＂FILTEF：COEFFICIENTS FILE NAME－－－＞＊，り）
FEAD（＊，＊（A1S）＂）FILN
OFEN（7，FILE＝FILN，FOFM＝＇UNFOFMATTED＂，STATUS＝＂OLD＇）
WRITE（＊，＊）＇INFUT DFDEF OF FILTEF＇
FEAD（＊：＊）N
WRITE（＊：＊）N
DO \(70 \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{~N}+1\)
DG \(70 \quad J=1, N+1\)
FEAD（7）A（I，J）
CONTINUE
DG \(71 . \quad \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{~N}+1\)
Dロ \(71 \quad J=1, N+1\)
FEAD（7）E（I，J）
CONTINLE
CLOSE（7）
C

WFI TE（＊：＊）N
DO \(17 \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{~N}+1\)
1.7 WFITTE（＊，＊）（A（I，J），J＝1，N＋1）

DC \(18 \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{~N}+1\)
18 WFITE（＊，＊）（E（I，J），J＝1，N＋1）
C
C
DO \(8 \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{~N}+1\)
DC \(8 \mathrm{~J}=1\) ，NSIZ
\(I X(I, J)=0\)
\(I Y(I, J)=0\)
\(\mathrm{K}=0\)
c
c
\(\mathrm{DO} \Rightarrow \mathrm{L}=1, \mathrm{NSIZ}\)
FEAD（1）（IARFAY（J），J＝1，NSIZ）
DO \(10 \mathrm{~J}=1\) ，NSIZ
\(\operatorname{IX}(1, J)=\operatorname{ICHAF}(\operatorname{IARFAY}(J))\)
    IF ( \((M+1-J) . G T . O)\) THEN
    \(S U M=S U M+A(I, J) * I X(I, M+1-J)\)
    IF((I.EQ.1).AND.(J.EQ.1))GOTD 11
    \(S U M=S U M-B(I, J) * I Y(I, M+1-J)\)
    ENDIF
    CONTINUE
    \(\operatorname{IY}(1, M)=S U M\)
    ドニド 1
    IF (K.E日. ( \(\mathrm{N}+1\) ) ) THEN
    DO \(21 \quad \mathrm{I}=\mathrm{N}+1,1,-1\)
    DO \(14 \mathrm{~J}=1, \mathrm{NSIZ}\)
    IYT=ABS (IY(I,J)-IX(I,J))
    \(\mathrm{C} \quad I Y T=I Y(I, J)\)
    IF (IYT.LT.MIN)IYT=0
    IF (IYT.GT, MAX) then
    max:x:=iyt
    I. YT=MAX
    endif
    \(N \mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{I} Y \mathrm{~T}\)
    \(14 \operatorname{IAFFAY}(J)=\operatorname{CHAF}(N N)\)
    21 WFITE(2)(IAFFAY(J),J=1,NSIZ)
    \(k=0\)
    ENDIF
    IF ( (L.EQ.NSIZ) . AND. (K.NE.O) ) THEN
    DO \(22 \mathrm{I}=\mathrm{K}, 1,-1\)
    DO \(15 \mathrm{~J}=1, \mathrm{NSIZ}\)
    \(I Y T=A B S(I Y(I, J)-I X(I, J))\)
    C \(\quad I Y T=I Y(I, J)\)
        IF (IYT.LT.MIN) IYT=0
        IF (IYT.GT. MAX) then
        maxn:=1yt
        \(I Y T=\) MAX
        endif
        NN=IYT
    \(15 \quad \operatorname{IARFAY}(J)=\operatorname{CHAR}(N N)\)
    22 WFITE (2) (IAFFAY(J),J=1,NSIZ)
    ENDIF
    DO \(12 \quad \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{~N}\)
    DO \(12 \mathrm{~J}=1, \mathrm{NSIZ}\)
    \(I Y(N+Z-I, J)=I Y(N+1-I, J)\)
    \(12 \quad I \times(N+2-I, J)=I X(N+1-I, J)\)
    9 CONTINUE
        CLOSE (1)
        CLOSE (2)
        write (*,*) max*x
        STOF'
        END
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