Università degli Studi di Padova

Padua Research Archive - Institutional Repository

Editorial: Student voice. Listening to students to improve education through digital technologies

Availability: This version is available at: 11577/3225597 since: 2019-10-02T08:00:25Z

Publisher:

Published version: DOI:

Original Citation:

Terms of use: Open Access

This article is made available under terms and conditions applicable to Open Access Guidelines, as described at http://www.unipd.it/download/file/fid/55401 (Italian only)

(Article begins on next page)

British Journal of Educational Technology submitted article



Editorial: Student Voice. Listening to students to improve education through digital technologies

Journal:	British Journal of Educational Technology
Manuscript ID	Draft
Manuscript Type:	Editorial
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Manca, Stefania; National Research Council of Italy, Institute of Educational Technology Grion, Valentina; University of Padua, Department of Philosophy, Sociology, Pedagogy and Applied Psychology Armellini, Alejandro; University of Northampton, Institute of Learning and Teaching in HE Devecchi, Cristina; University of Northampton,
Keywords:	student voice, student engagement, student participation, secondary school, Higher Education, civic engagement, participatory culture



Editorial: Student Voice. Listening to students to improve education through digital technologies

This special issue explores the synergy between student voice and digital technologies as a space for student voice and participation. Both fields boast an established tradition of research, although in pursuit of largely separate research agendas. There is now a need for indepth analysis of the role digital technologies play in creating a space for student voice and on how the two research fields could be fruitfully intertwined.

Over the past twenty years, the student voice pedagogical movement has been gaining momentum worldwide (Czerniawski & Kidd, 2011). Inspired by the need to enhance student engagement and participation in education (Cook-Sather, 2002), the movement seeks to bolster the position and role of students inside school and other academic contexts. In this light, students' views on teaching and learning represent valuable input, informing the actions of teachers and policymakers alike (Fielding, 2001). The movement's initial efforts were mostly focused on capturing the perspectives of school children and young students and on fostering their co-participation in teaching and learning practices (Flutter & Rudduck, 2004). More recently, major changes in higher education have resulted in the legitimisation of student voice at university level too (Cook-Sather, Bovil, & Felten, 2014). The student voice is now seen as a central component in the transformation of higher education and its alignment with students' experience and expectations. It also contributes towards students' experience and expectations as learners, and career aspirations as future contributors to the economy and society.

Digital technologies have been disrupting traditional models of teaching and have paved the way to new pedagogical practices. Improvements in Internet connectivity in recent years have greatly enhanced students' and young people's participation in digital spaces (Buckingham & Martin-Rodriguez, 2013). Indeed, new digital media are offering young people increasing opportunities to undertake participative roles, with positive implications for the development of capabilities (empowerment) related to the "participatory culture" (Jenkins, Clinton, Purushotma, Robison, & Weigel, 2009). At the same time, digital technologies like social media and social networking sites have been progressively adopted as technology-enhanced learning environment in formal settings of learning (Manca & Ranieri, 2016).

These innovations have resulted in the redefinition of students' roles and a shift in the conceptualisation of student participation and engagement. While there is still no general consensus on how these two concepts should be interpreted or implemented, issues regarding Student Engagement, Student Participation, Youth-Adult Partnership and Youth Activism are gaining increasing interest among researchers and practitioners, alongside the early concepts of Pupil and Student Voice (Cook-Sather, 2014).

Taken as a whole, these concepts are inspiring the development of a wider range of initiatives and practices in different contexts. These can be grouped within three related but distinct research strands.

The first is tied to early research devoted to listening to students' voice to improve teaching and learning practices at school (Flutter & Rudduck, 2004) and in higher education. Within this perspective, students' "unique" points of view regarding what takes place in classrooms and lecture halls is acknowledged. Students are encouraged to provide suggestions and constructive considerations on teaching practice (Rudduck, 1999).

The second strand concerns the Deweyan roots of student voice and the idea that education fosters democracy and citizenship. In this perspective, schools and universities are conceived as laboratories of democracy and civic engagement where all members of the community make joint efforts for the common good by sharing power and responsibilities (Fielding, 2012).

The third strand relates to the research methodologies used to foster and sustain students' involvement in participatory and engaged practices. This entails rethinking the roles and patterns of participation of the different actors involved and investigating how those roles can be effectively sustained by means of appropriate methodological approaches and the development of relevant skills (Groundwater-Smith, Dockett, & Bottrell, 2015). Fielding's (2001) typologies of partnership that identify a continuum of student involvement in research activity, with students as "co-researchers" and "researchers", fall also under this strand.

The purpose of this special issue is to promote reflection on the potential that digital technologies offer for legitimising students' voices and acknowledging them as valid, informed viewpoints in learning communities and as agencies for improving educational quality. The call for papers attracted over one hundred submissions and the refereeing process was long and demanding. The nine papers selected for the special issue represent a synthesis of relevant and timely issues concerning the different student voice strands. They offer a comprehensive overview of research being conducted through a wide range of conceptual approaches and with the use of technological tools for learning and teaching in a variety of educational settings. "Student voice" is used as an umbrella term to refer to different forms and levels of voice, participation and engagement of students in educational contexts.

The authors also offer different perspectives on what counts as student voice, as well as whose student voice counts - and for what purpose. Research has focused, for instance, on student voice for engagement and participation, enhancement of experiences and provision, relationship building and democratisation, among other areas. What all of these have in common is the notion of student voice as a form of added value, insofar as it can be conceptualised as a core ingredient for the creation of knowledge and as a lever for positive educational change.

A relevant point in this special issue refers to the educational contexts of the studies reported in the articles. The majority have been carried out in higher education, while a few report research conducted in secondary schools. Since the student voice pedagogic movement was born in the school context (Flutter, 2013), the increasing interest of student engagement and involvement in higher education has resulted in a growing number of research studies in this context. However, in this special issue this may not be surprising, in so far as the journal is mostly popular among higher education scholars that are used to documenting and disseminating learning experiences more than teachers and practitioners in school contexts.

As far as the technological tools are concerned, overall the studies rely on a plethora of technologies to improve student voice and participation, ranging from tools for collecting students' feedback to online platforms, to social media and collaborative tools. Digital technologies are exploited to achieve purposes aimed at collecting students' viewpoints, at enabling dialogue between the different agents involved, or as a means of innovation in the different learning settings, with the use of video tools as significantly important in many of the studies.

In terms of geographical distribution, while student voice research is traditionally popular in English-speaking countries like the United Kingdom, Canada, Australia and the United States, the articles included in this special issue originate from a wider range of locations. This diversity evidences an increasing interest in student engagement and participation in areas including Finland, Italy, South Africa and Hong Kong.

Considering the three distinct research strands cited above, the majority of the articles fall into the first category, aimed at improving school and higher education through listening to students.

In "Feedback methods for student voice in the digital age" Di Zou and James Lambert address the issue of how technology can support student voice by providing opportunities for feedback and self-reflection. The authors present findings from a study comparing traditional oral and pen-and-paper feedback with the use of digital alternatives (Socrative, TodaysMeet and Google Drive). Results show that students had a positive attitude towards digital methods since they allowed for anonymity, for choice of when to comment and the freedom to use their own words. The technology was, however, also distracting and therefore the authors suggest that a variety of methods should be employed depending on the circumstances.

Writing "Using video technology to enable student voice in assessment feedback" Fabienne Van der Kleij, Lenore Adie and Joy Cumming go forward into the research field on feedback as a tool that has a great impact on learning. By exploring the use of IPad video technology to facilitate feedback and self-reflection, by interviewing nine six-year students-teachers' pairs in an Australian private school, the authors captured the individual perspectives of both teachers and students of the value of specific instances in the feedback sessions, and teacher and student use of these as a stimulus for reflection, is one way to give students a voice in feedback practices. Furthermore, they illustrated the different degrees of student voice and the complex nature of feedback interactions.

In "Orchestrating 21st century learning in higher education: A perspective on student voice", Raija Hämäläinen, Carita Kiili and Blaine E. Smith focus on the role of technology as a mediating tool enhancing student voice and participation. Located in a Finnish University, the design-based study aimed to make students actors in developing pedagogy fit for the 21st century by enabling them to design and apply technology in a specially designed module. The findings show that the use of technology lowered the barriers in communication between the lecturer and the students, enabled the students to take responsibility for their learning and supported a collaborative learning environment.

In "Using student voice to examine teacher practices at a cyber-charter high school", Jered Borup and Mark A. Stevens deal with the problem of identifying better teaching practices in American cyber schools where students experience higher attrition rates and lower academic results than similar students in face to face educational contexts. In front of a rapid growth of K12 full time online programs, existing few studies rely heavily on the opinion of content experts and ignore students' voices. Evidence from ten interviews with teenage students showed that they look for and need to learn with teachers who nurture caring relationships, monitor and motivate their engagement, design and organize engaging learning activities, and provide personalized instruction.

In her paper "Students as collaborators in creating meaningful learning experiences in technology-enhanced classrooms: An engaged scholarship approach", Liezel Nel employs a participatory scholarship approach to student-instructor collaboration aimed at improving pedagogical practices that lead to meaningful technology-enhanced learning experiences. The design-for-partnership approach advocates co-development of learning, a step beyond asking students for feedback and treating them as mere data sources. On the contrary, the study points out how collaboration between instructors and students has contributed to the transformation of the author's pedagogical practices.

The notion of staff-student partnerships for enhancement and reflection is further explored by Alison Cook-Sather in "Virtual forms, actual effects: how amplifying student voice through digital media promotes reflective practice and positions students as pedagogical partners to prospective high school and practicing college teachers". She focuses on the "amplification" of the student voice through digital media, reporting on pedagogical partnerships between students from different contexts and levels, prospective high school teachers and college staff. The research reports on three technologies: email, virtual mapping and a platform for the publication of collaborative work. The author concludes that the meaningful integration of these digital tools is conducive to quality learning experiences, evidenced through rich exchanges that demonstrate deep learning and engagement. The amplification of the student voice via those tools encourages a partnership approach to learning and teaching.

The papers included in the second strand focus on participatory and democratic practices at school and in higher education. In their "Engaging students in school participatory practice through Facebook: The story of a failure", using Facebook as a platform to gather and nurture the views of secondary school students on school quality and policy proved a challenge for Stefania Manca and Valentina Grion, to the extent that the project ended in failure. Students did not engage in the proposed activities for a variety of reasons, including mistrust and the contamination of their "Facebook personae" with school-related matters. The authors make a number of suggestions and recommendations regarding future school-based student voice

 projects aimed at supporting civic engagement and democratic participation at school. Among them, the careful consideration of power relations, transparency, inclusion and the role of teachers are keys, as is digital fluency and practice.

In "Silence, voice, and 'other languages': Digital storytelling as a site for resistance and restoration in a South African higher education classroom", Kristian D. Stewart and Eunice Ivala analyse a digital storytelling experience conducted in a dissertation project whose aim was to provide a liberating classroom space where students could redefine their identity outside of publicly shared representations. The study explores the role of voice in a safe space where students can encounter historical, political or culturally inscribed silences through a digital writing process, contributing a more nuanced and ethical dimension to the notion of anonymity and participation.

Finally, the third strand looks into the role of students in the research process. Although the notion of the student voice has become better established in recent years across different educational contexts around the world, evidence is still needed to enable students to be considered informed members of educational research communities.

In "Evaluating a blended degree program through the use of the NSSE framework", Norman Vaughan and David Cloutier evaluate a blended learning approach at programme level against the Canadian National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE) benchmarks. The study is based on a staff-student partnership, with data collected at the end of the first and fourth years of study. The findings suggest that a purposeful blend of technologies, both synchronous (such as web conferencing) and asynchronous (including social media and Google applications) can have a positive effect on the learning experience. For example, the pedagogically sound incorporation of such tools can enable active collaborative learning and open up opportunities for peer mentoring. It can also strengthen the links between theory and practice. The authors conclude that a digital road map can significantly contribute to the enhancement of the experiences of campus-based students.

References

Buckingham, D., & Martinez-Rodriguez, J. B. (2013). Interactive Youth: New Citizenship between Social Networks and School Settings. *Comunicar*, XX(40), 10–13.

Czerniawski, G., & Kidd, W. (2011). *The Student Voice Handbook: Bridging the Academic/Practitioner Divide*. Bingley, UK: Emerald.

Cook-Sather, A. (2002). Authorizing students' perspectives: toward trust, dialogue, and change in education. *Educational Researcher*, 31, 3–14.

Cook-Sather, A. (2014). The trajectory of student voice in educational research. *New Zealand Journal of Educational Studies*, 49(2), 131–148.

Cook-Sather, A., Bovil, C., & Felten, P. (2014). *Engaging Students as Partners in Learning and Teaching: A Guide for Faculty*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

Fielding, M. (2001). Students as radical agents of change. *Journal of Educational Change*, 2, 123–141.

Fielding, M. (2012). Beyond student voice: patterns of partnership and the demands of deep democracy. *Revista de Educacion*, 359, 45–65.

Flutter, J. (2013). Alla ricerca delle voci degli studenti: il viaggio di una ricercatrice. In V. Grion & A. Cook-Sather (Eds.), *Student Voice. Prospettive internazionali e pratiche emergenti in Italia* (pp. 100-118). Milano, Italy: Guerini.

Flutter, J., & Rudduck, J. (2004). *Consulting Pupils: What's in it for Schools?* London, UK: Routledge.

Groundwater-Smith, S., Dockett, S., & Bottrell, D. (2015). *Participatory Research with Children and Young People*. Los Angeles, CA: Sage.

Jenkins, H., Purushotma, R. Weigel, M., Clinton, K., and Robison, A.J. (2009). *Confronting the challenges of participatory culture: Media education for the 21st century*. Cambridge and London: The MIT Press.

Manca, S., & Ranieri, M. (2016). Is Facebook still a suitable technology-enhanced learning environment? An updated critical review of the literature from 2012 to 2015. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 32(6), 503–528.

Rudduck, J. (1999). Teacher practice and the student voice. In M. Lang, J. Olsen, H. Hansen, & W. Blunder (Eds.), *Changing schools/changing practices: perspectives on educational reform and teacher professionalism* (pp. 41–54). Louvain, Belgium: Garant.