Effect of Chlorides and Curing Duration on the Hydration and Strength Development of Plain and Slag Blended Cements

Okiemute Roland Ogirigbo^{1,*}, Joseph Ukpata²

¹Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria ²Institute for Resilient Infrastructure, School of Civil Engineering, University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom

Abstract This study investigated the effect of chloride ingress on the hydration mechanism and strength development of plain and slag blended cements. The slag blends were prepared by combining two slags with a CEM I 52.5R-type cement at 30%wt. replacement, while a CEM I 42.5R-type cement was selected as the plain cement. Mortar and paste samples were prepared using a water/binder ratio of 0.5. These were subjected to three different curing regimes – control, 7 and 28 days control curing followed by curing by immersion in a 3% NaCl solution, and tested for compressive strength and hydration using TGA and XRD. Results showed that chloride ingress accelerated the early hydration up to 28 days, and decelerated the later hydration. This effect was more pronounced on samples that were cured for shorter durations before exposure. The slag blends had better strength performance than the plain cement in all curing and exposure conditions.

Keywords Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag, Chloride environment, Compressive strength, Cement hydration, Curing

1. Introduction

In the construction industry, concrete still remains the most widely used material, and this is mainly because of its good permeability and fire resistance properties, as well as its versatility in that it can easily be moulded into any form or shape [1]. Portland cement, which is a core ingredient in the production of concrete accounts for approximately 7% of anthropogenic global CO_2 emissions [2]. This has led to the widespread use of supplementary cementitious materials (SCM) such as fly ash, silica fume, ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS), metakaolin, to partially replace Portland cement in the making of concrete.

One of these SCMs – GGBS, has been shown to be very beneficial when used as a supplement for Portland cement. Amongst the benefits include: improved workability, higher long-term strength, better thermal performance and resistance to chemical attack from aggressive environments, lower values of chloride diffusion coefficient, and longer corrosion initiation times [3-11]. As a result, several standards now recommend slag blended cements for use in marine construction. For example, CEM IIA/B-S and CEM III A/B/C are Portland slag cements recommended in

okiemute.ogirigbo@uniben.edu (Okiemute Roland Ogirigbo) Published online at http://journal.sapub.org/jce EN197-1:2011 [12].

Concrete structures in marine environments are usually exposed to sulphate and chloride attack, and research has shown that chloride attack is the main initiator of corrosion of the embedded steel reinforcement. According to Shi et al. [13], chloride-induced corrosion of steel reinforcement is the major cause of the early deterioration of marine concrete structures. When chlorides enter into concrete, some of them are bound either physically by the calcium silicate hydrate (C-S-H) phase or chemically by the alumina-ferric oxide-mono sulphate (AFm) phase; while some remain as free chlorides in the pore solution. It is the free chlorides that are responsible for the de-passivation of steel and the lowering of the pH of the concrete surrounding the embedded steel reinforcement, which leads to corrosion [14, 15].

Several studies have also shown that curing concrete in chloride environments leads to higher early strength [8, 16] and a decrease in later strength [17]. However, very few studies have looked at the mechanism of how this occurs, especially when considering the ingress of external chlorides and how this differs for plain and slag blended cements. This is very important as it will provide more information on the performance of slag blended cements in marine environments. This study looks at the effect of the ingress of external chlorides on the hydration mechanism of plain and slag blended cements, relating it to the strength development and microstructure.

^{*} Corresponding author:

Copyright © 2017 Scientific & Academic Publishing. All Rights Reserved

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

Two types of Portland cement (CEM I 42.5 R and CEM I 52.5 R) were used for the study. The CEM I 52.5R was replaced partially by 30 per cent by weight of GGBS, while the CEM I 42.5R was used as a reference cement in assessing the performance of the slag blends. Two slags (Slag 1 and Slag 2) with similar physical properties yet different chemical compositions were used for the study. The cements and slags were supplied by a European cement company. Table 1 shows the chemical composition of the cement and the slags as obtained by XRF, while their physical properties are shown in Table 2.

Table 1. Chemical Composition of the Cementitious Materials

| | C42.5R | C52.5R | Slag 1 | Slag 2 |
|--------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| CaO | 63.16 | 62.38 | 38.24 | 37.90 |
| SiO ₂ | 19.71 | 19.10 | 36.58 | 40.14 |
| MgO | 2.19 | 2.37 | 8.55 | 9.51 |
| Al ₂ O ₃ | 5.08 | 5.35 | 12.23 | 7.77 |
| Fe ₂ O ₃ | 2.97 | 2.95 | 0.48 | 0.78 |
| SO ₃ | 2.97 | 3.34 | 1.00 | 1.47 |
| TiO ₂ | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.83 | 0.30 |
| K ₂ O | 1.08 | 1.05 | 0.65 | 0.55 |
| Na ₂ O | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.27 | 0.36 |

| Table 2. | Physical | Properties | of the | Cementitious | Materials |
|----------|---------------|------------|--------|---------------|-----------|
| | 1 11 / 010001 | 1 IOperneo | 01 m | Content to do | |

| | Density (g/cm ³) | Blaine (cm ² /g) |
|-------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| CEM I 42.5R | 3.23 | 3510 |
| CEM I 52.5R | 3.18 | 5710 |
| Slag 1 | 2.94 | 4490 |
| Slag 2 | 2.95 | 4090 |

2.2. Details of Mixes

A total of three mixes designated as OPC, Slag 1 and Slag 2, were used for the study. The OPC mix represents the mix prepared from the CEM I 42.5R-type cement, while the Slag 1 and Slag 2 mixes represents the mixes prepared from the blending of the CEM I 52.5R-type cement with the two slags (Slag 1 and Slag 2) respectively.

2.3. Preparation of Samples

Mortar samples were prepared according to EN 196-1:2005 [18]. The samples were prepared by mixing one part of binder with three parts of fine aggregates in a Hobart-type mixer, using a water/binder ratio (w/b) of 0.5. After mixing, the mortar samples were poured into $40 \times 40 \times 160$ mm steel moulds. Cement paste samples were prepared by manual mixing of the cementitious materials and water. After mixing, the resulting paste was poured into 14 mm diameter cylindrical plastic vials.

2.4. Curing Conditions

Three curing regimes were used for this study: a control, 7 days pre-cured and 28 days pre-cured. These are described as follows:

Control: Under this curing regime, the cast mortar samples were covered with thin polythene sheets and left to cure under laboratory air for a period of 20 to 24 hours. After this initial curing, the samples were de-moulded and placed in the curing room at RH of 95% and temperature of 20°C, until the day of testing. For the paste samples, after casting, the top of the plastic vials were sealed with polythene film and allowed to rotate vertically at 20 rpm for 24 hours so as to prevent bleeding. After 24 hours, the paste samples were removed from the plastic vials and cured in saturated lime water at 20°C until testing.

7 days pre-cured: Under this curing regime, the cast mortar and paste samples were initially cured under the control curing regime for a period of 7 days, after which they were transferred to tubs containing 3% NaCl solution, where they remained until testing. For the paste samples, the NaCl solution was saturated with lime so as to prevent leaching of calcium hydroxide. This curing regime was selected to replicate marine exposure conditions, where formworks are removed from concrete structures at early ages.

28 days pre-cured: This curing regime was similar to the 7 days pre-cured curing regime, except that the samples were cured under the control curing regime for a period of 28 days, before they were transferred to the 3% NaCl solution.

2.5. Test Methods

Compressive strength was determined in accordance with the procedure outlined in EN 196-1:2005 [18] for mortar samples. Compressive strength was determined at 28 and 90 days. The samples were brought out from the curing tubs at the test date, and cleaned with a dry cloth before testing. Each mortar prism was split into two halves to produce six samples, having an approximate size of 40 x 40 x 80 mm. Thereafter, the split samples were tested for compressive strength using a Tonipact 3000 concrete cube crusher. The compressive strength was taken as the average of six measurements.

Thermal analysis was carried out using a Stanton Redcroft 780 series. About 15 to 18 mg of ground hydration stopped cement paste samples were placed in an empty platinum crucible. A corresponding empty platinum crucible was used as the reference. Both the sample and the reference were heated under a nitrogen atmosphere from 20°C to 1000°C at a constant rate of 10°C/min. Hydration was stopped for the cement paste samples using the double solvent exchange technique. Isopropanol was used as the first solvent and was replaced by diethyl ether. Diethyl ether has a lower density than isopropanol and boils at about 35°C, and would therefore displace isopropanol from the pores of the hardened cement paste [19, 20].

X-ray diffraction patterns were obtained on hydration

stopped cement paste samples that had been ground to particle sizes less than 63 microns. In order to minimise the effect of preferred orientation, care was taken when preparing the samples and minimal pressure was applied when backloading the samples onto the sample holders [21, 22]. Measurements were obtained via a D2 Phaser Bruker diffractometer, using a CuK_a radiation in a θ - θ configuration with a fixed divergence split size of 0.5° and a sample stage set to rotate at 15°/min. The samples were scanned over a range of 2θ values (7 to 70°), at a step size of 0.034° and a dwell time of 3 secs.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Effect of Chloride Ingress on Compressive Strength

Figures 1 and 2 shows the effect of chloride ingress on the compressive strength of the plain and the slag blended cements at 28 days and 90 days respectively. From Figure 1, it can be seen that the compressive strength was higher for samples that were pre-cured for 7 days before immersion in the NaCl solution, as compared to those that were cured continuously under water. This implies that chloride ingress accelerated the early strengths of the samples, leading to the higher strengths observed. Similar findings were also reported by [8, 16] and the reason for this is explained in a later section. Comparing the various mixes, it can be seen that the accelerating effect of the chlorides on the strength development was more evident on the slag mixes.

At 90 days (Figure 2), the trend was reversed such that samples that were immersed in NaCl solutions had lower strengths than those that were cured continuously under water. This shows the long term deteriorating effect of chlorides on compressive strength as reported previously by [23]. The observed reduction in strength was more significant for the 7 days pre-cured samples as compared to the 28 days pre-cured samples. This shows the benefit of prolonged curing on the strength development of concrete samples exposed to chloride environments, as also observed by [24, 25]. As in the case of the 28 day old samples, the strength loss experienced by the OPC mix was more significant than that of the slag mixes. The reason for this is explained later in Section 3.2.1, and implies that in chloride environments, slag blended cements have better strength performance than plain cements.



Figure 1. Effect of chloride ingress on compressive strength development at 28 days



Figure 2. Effect of chloride ingress on compressive strength development at 90 days



C-S-H - calcium silicate hydrate, CH - Portlandite, CC - Calcite, FS - Friedel's salt

Figure 3. DTG plots showing the effect of chloride ingress on the hydration products formed after 28 days



Figure 4. DTG plots showing the effect of chloride ingress on the hydration products formed after 90 days

3.2. Effect of Chloride Ingress on Hydration

3.2.1. Thermal Analysis

Differential Thermal Gravimetry (DTG) plots obtained from thermal analysis of the cement paste samples after 28 and 90 days of hydration are shown in Figure 3 and 4 respectively. In both figures, the peak 'FS' corresponding to Friedel's salt was observed at a temperature of about 320°C, and was evident only on the samples that were immersed in the NaCl solutions. This indeed confirmed that chloride penetrated into the samples and that chloride binding occurred. Also from both figures, it can be clearly seen that the intensity of the FS peak was greater for the slag mixes than the OPC mix. This implies that more Friedel's salt was formed in the slag mixes than the OPC mixes. This can be attributed to their higher alumina contents (as seen in Table 1), which will enable them to form more Friedel's salt [26-29].

After 28 days (Figure 3), the intensity of the peaks of C-S-H and Portlandite (CH), which are the main products of hydration of tri-calcium silicate (C₃S) and di-calcium silicate (C₂S) [30, 31], were observed to be similar for both the samples cured continuously under water and those pre-cured for 7 days. Thus, the difference in strength observed between both set of samples as seen in Figure 1 can be attributed to the formation of the Friedel's salt in the samples that were exposed to the NaCl solution. Friedel's salt can have a pore blocking effect [32, 33], which will eventually result in the formation of a denser microstructure. This explains the reason for the higher strengths observed for the 28 day old samples that were exposed to NaCl solutions as seen in Figure 1.

After 90 days (as shown in Figure 4), the samples that were pre-cured for 7 days before exposure to the sodium chloride solution contained more FS than those that were pre-cured for 28 days before exposure. This suggests that the 7 days pre-cured samples had more bound chlorides than the 28 days pre-cured samples. The reason for this can be attributed to the pore structure. In other studies carried out by the authors [11, 29], where the porosities of these samples were measured at 7 and 28 days by Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) image analysis (as shown in Table 3), it was observed that increasing the curing time from 7 to 28 days resulted in a decrease in the porosity. This implies that at 7 days, the microstructure was coarser, allowing more chlorides to penetrate. While this resulted in greater chloride penetration depths for the 7 days pre-cured samples as seen in [34], it also resulted in a higher degree of chloride binding (as seen in Figure 4). However, this higher degree of chloride binding did not result in any strength improvement. The reason for this is explained later in Section 3.2.2.

 Table 3.
 Degree of Porosity as Determined by SEM Image Analysis for 7 and 28 Days Old Paste Samples

| Age | Mix | Porosity (%) |
|--------|--------|-----------------|
| | OPC | 9.0 ± 0.16 |
| 7 day | Slag 1 | 11.8 ± 0.10 |
| | Slag 2 | 12.3 ± 0.12 |
| | OPC | 7.1 ± 0.13 |
| 28 day | Slag 1 | 6.5 ± 0.11 |
| | Slag 2 | 7.0 ± 0.07 |

As seen in Table 3, increasing the curing duration from 7 to 28 days resulted in a decrease in the degree of porosity by 1.9 and 5.3 percentage points for the OPC and slag mixes respectively. This shows that the prolonged curing improved the pore structure of the slag mixes more than that of the neat system. This implies that at 28 days, fewer chlorides would have penetrated into the slag mixes as compared to the OPC mixes. Hence, the smaller reduction in strength observed for the slag mixes as seen in Figure 2. This agrees with the findings of Ogirigbo and Black [34], where the depth of chloride penetration measured for mortar samples exposed to chloride solutions were much lower for samples that were cured for 28 days before exposure as compared to those that were only cured for 7 days before exposure. In that study, it

was also observed that the slag blends had better chloride ingress resistance than the plain cement, especially when they were cured for longer periods before exposure to chloride solutions. This therefore shows that the durability of the test cements can be related to their porosities in that the lower the porosity, the higher the resistance to chloride ingress and vice versa.

3.2.2. X-ray Diffraction Analysis

Figure 5 and 6 shows the effect of chloride ingress on the hydration of the main clinker phases at 28 and 90 days respectively. As seen in Figure 5, the peaks of C_3S , C_2S and tri-calcium aluminate (C_3A) appear more prominent in the

samples that were cured continuously under water as compared to those that were exposed to chloride solutions after 7 days of initial wet curing. This agrees with the DTG plot shown in Figure 3 and indeed confirms that at 28 days, the samples that were pre-cured for 7 days before exposure to chloride solutions had hydrated more than those that were cured continuously under water. However, at 90 days (Figure 6), the trend was seen to reverse, with the peaks of C_3S , C_2S and C_3A appearing more intense for the samples that were exposed to chloride solutions. This explains why the samples that were cured continuously under water had higher strengths at 90 days than those that were exposed to chloride solutions (as seen in Figure 2).



Figure 5. X-ray diffraction patterns showing the effect of chloride ingress on the hydration of the clinker phases at 28 days



Figure 6. X-ray diffraction patterns showing the effect of chloride ingress on the hydration of the clinker phases at 90 days

The effect of chloride ingress on the formation of the alumina-ferric oxide-mono sulphate (AFm) and alumina-ferric oxide-tri sulphate (AFt) phases are shown in Figures 7 and 8 for 28 and 90 day old samples respectively. In both figures, FS appear more prominent for the mixes that were exposed to chloride solutions. This is similar to what was observed in the DTG plots shown in Figures 3 and 4. This implies that in the reaction of the aluminate phases, FS was formed in preference to the other AFm phases -

Monosulphate (Ms), Monocarbonate (Mc) and Hemicarbonate (Hc). Except at 28 days, the penetration of chlorides did not have any significant effect on the formation of Ettringite (E), which is an AFt phase. In comparing the slag mixes and the OPC mix, the peaks of FS appear stronger in the slag mixes than the OPC mix, especially at 28 days (Figure 7). This is in correlation with the DTG results and indeed confirmed that more FS was formed in the slag mixes than the OPC mix.



Figure 7. X-ray diffraction patterns showing the effect of chloride ingress on the formation of the AFm and AFt phases at 28 days



Figure 8. X-ray diffraction patterns showing the effect of chloride ingress on the formation of the AFm and AFt phases at 90 days

4. Summary and Conclusions

This study has investigated the effect of chloride ingress on the hydration and strength development of plain and slag blended cements. From the results obtained, the following conclusions have been drawn:

Curing samples in chloride environments can lead to higher early strengths. The results showed that chloride ingress accelerated the early hydration by reacting to form Friedel's salt that can have a pore blocking effect. This effect was seen to be more pronounced on the slag mixes than the OPC mix, and this was attributed to the higher chloride binding abilities of the slag mixes, enabling them to form more Friedel's salt.

Continuous curing in the chloride solution led to reduction in the later strength at 90 days, this effect was observed to be more significant on the OPC mix than the slag mixes, and also on samples that were water-cured for a shorter period of 7 days before curing in the chloride solution. This was attributed mainly to the higher porosity of the 7 days water-cured samples.

Overall, the findings of this study have shown that slag blends have better strength performance than plain cements in chloride environments, and also that prolonged curing can improve the strength performance of concretes exposed to chloride environments.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Dr. Leon Black of the University of Leeds for his input during the research work.

REFERENCES

- [1] Mehta PK., Monteiro P. Concrete: Microstructure, properties and materials. 3rd edn. New York: McGraw-Hill; 2006.
- [2] Aprianti E., Shafigh P., Bahri S., Farahani JN. Supplementary cementitious materials origin from agricultural wastes - a review. Construction and Building Materials. 2015; 74: 176–187.
- [3] Wan H., Shui Z., Lin Z. Analysis of geometric characteristics of GGBS particles and their influences on cement properties. Cement and Concrete Research. 2004; 34(1): 133–137.
- [4] Wainwright PJ., Ait-Aider H. The influence of cement source and slag additions on the bleeding of concrete. Cement and Concrete Research. 1995; 25(7): 1445–1456.
- [5] Ballim Y., Graham P. The effects of supplementary cementing materials in modifying the heat of hydration of concrete. Materials and Structures. Springer Netherlands; 2009; 42(6): 803–811.
- [6] Barnett SJ., Soutsos MN., Millard SG., Bungey JH. Strength development of mortars containing ground granulated blast-furnace slag: Effect of curing temperature and determination of apparent activation energies. Cement and

Concrete Research. 2006; 36(3): 434-440.

- [7] Çakır Ö., Aköz F. Effect of curing conditions on the mortars with and without GGBFS. Construction and Building Materials. 2008; 22(3): 308–314.
- [8] Chen HJ., Huang SS., Tang CW., Malek MA., Ean LW. Effect of curing environments on strength, porosity and chloride ingress resistance of blast furnace slag cement concretes: A construction site study. Construction and Building Materials. 2012; 35: 1063–1070.
- [9] Bouteiller V., Cremona C., Baroghel-Bouny V., Maloula A. Corrosion initiation of reinforced concretes based on Portland or GGBS cements: Chloride contents and electrochemical characterizations versus time. Cement and Concrete Research. 2012; 42(11): 1456–1467.
- [10] Ben Fraj A., Bonnet S., Khelidj A. New approach for coupled chloride/moisture transport in non-saturated concrete with and without slag. Construction and Building Materials. 2012; 35(0): 761–771.
- [11] Ogirigbo OR., Black L. Influence of slag composition and temperature on the hydration and microstructure of slag blended cements. Construction and Building Materials. 2016; 126: 496–507.
- [12] EN197-1. Composition, specifications and conformity criteria for common cements. Brussels: BSI; 2011.
- [13] Shi X., Xie N., Fortune K., Gong J. Durability of steel reinforced concrete in chloride environments: An overview. Construction and Building Materials. 2012; 30: 125–138.
- [14] Shi X., Yang Z., Liu Y., Cross D. Strength and corrosion properties of Portland cement mortar and concrete with mineral admixtures. Construction and Building Materials. 2011; 25(8): 3245–3256.
- [15] Bastidas-Arteaga E., Chateauneuf A., Sánchez-Silva M., Bressolette P., Schoefs F. A comprehensive probabilistic model of chloride ingress in unsaturated concrete. Engineering Structures. 2011; 33(3): 720–730.
- [16] Goñi S., Frias M., Vigil de la Villa R., García R. Sodium chloride effect on durability of ternary blended cement. Microstructural characterization and strength. Composites Part B: Engineering. 2013; 54(0): 163–168.
- [17] Seleem HE-DH., Rashad AM., El-Sabbagh BA. Durability and strength evaluation of high-performance concrete in marine structures. Construction and Building Materials. 2010; 24(6): 878–884.
- [18] EN196-1. Methods of testing cement. Determination of strength. Brussels: BSI; 2005.
- [19] Konecny L., Naqvi SJ. The effect of different drying techniques on the pore size distribution of blended cement mortars. Cement and Concrete Research. 1993; 23(5): 1223–1228.
- [20] Zhang J., Scherer GW. Comparison of methods for arresting hydration of cement. Cement and Concrete Research. 2011; 41(10): 1024–1036.
- [21] Aranda MAG., De La Torre AG., Leon-Reina L. Rietveld Quantitative Phase Analysis of OPC Clinkers, Cements and Hydration Products. Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry. 2012; 74: 169–209.

- [22] McCusker LB., Von Dreele RB., Cox DE., Louer D., Scardi P. Rietveld Refinement Guidelines. Journal of Applied Crystallography. 1999; 32: 36–50.
- [23] Türkmen I. Influence of different curing conditions on the physical and mechanical properties of concrete with admixtures of silica fume and blast furnace slag. Materials Letters. 2003; 57: 4560–4569.
- [24] Aldea C-M., Young F., Wang K., Shah SP. Effects of curing conditions on properties of concrete using slag replacement. Cement and Concrete Research. 2000; 30(3): 465–472.
- [25] Ramezanianpour AA., Malhotra VM. Effect of curing on the compressive strength, resistance to chloride-ion penetration and porosity of concretes incorporating slag, fly ash or silica fume. Cement and Concrete Composites. 1995; 17(2): 125–133.
- [26] Florea MVA., Brouwers HJH. Chloride binding related to hydration products Part I: Ordinary Portland Cement. Cement and Concrete Research. 2012; 42(2): 282–290.
- [27] Florea MVA., Brouwers HJH. Modelling of chloride binding related to hydration products in slag-blended cements. Construction and Building Materials. 2014; 64: 421–430.
- [28] Thomas MDA., Hooton RD., Scott A., Zibara H. The effect of supplementary cementitious materials on chloride binding in hardened cement paste. Cement and Concrete Research. 2012; 42(1): 1–7.

- [29] Ogirigbo OR. Influence of slag composition and temperature on the hydration and performance of slag blends in chloride environments. Civil Engineering. PhD: University of Leeds, UK; 2016.
- [30] Scrivener KL., Juilland P., Monteiro PJM. Advances in understanding hydration of Portland cement. Cement and Concrete Research. 2015; 78, Part A: 38–56.
- [31] Gruyaert E., Robeyst N., De Belie N. Study of the hydration of Portland cement blended with blast-furnace slag by calorimetry and thermogravimetry. Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry. 2010; 102: 941–951.
- [32] Shi C., Hu X., Wang X., Wu Z., De Schutter G. Effects of chloride ion binding on microstructure of cement pastes. Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering. 2016; 04(01): 61–83.
- [33] Liu J., Tang K., Qiu Q., Pan D., Lei Z., Xing F. Experimental investigation on pore structure characterization of concrete exposed to water and chlorides. Materials. 2014; 7(9): 6646–6659.
- [34] Ogirigbo OR., Black L. Influence of slag composition and curing duration on the performance of slag blends in chloride environments. In: Yury VZ, Celeste ZC y TM (eds.) International Conference on Sustainable Structural Concrete. La Plata, Argentina; 2015. pp. 110–119.