

LAWRENCE LIVERMORE NATIONAL LABORATORY

Effect of Ethanol and Methyl-tert-Butyl Ether on Monoaromatic Hydrocarbon Biodegradation: Response Variability for Different Aquifer Materials Under Various Electron-Accepting Conditions

G. M. L. Ruiz-Aguilar, J. M. Fernandez-Sanchez, S. R. Kane, D. Kim, P. J. J. Alvarez

October 13, 2003

Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry

Disclaimer

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

Running Title:

EFFECT OF ETHANOL ON BTEX DEGRADATION

Corresponding author:

Pedro J.J. Alvarez

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, The University of Iowa, 4119 Seamans

Center, Iowa City, IA, 52245 U.S.A.

Telephone: (319) 335-5056; Fax: (319) 335-5660. E-mail: pedro-alvarez@uiowa.edu.

Total number of words in the text:	4,149
Total number of words in references:	686
Total number of words in tables:	1,128
Total number of words in figures legends:	160

February, 2002

1	Effect of Ethanol and Methyl-tert-Butyl Ether on
2	Monoaromatic Hydrocarbon Biodegradation:
3	Response Variability for Different Aquifer Materials
4	Under Various Electron-Accepting Conditions
5	
6	
7	Graciela M.L. Ruiz-Aguilar [†] , Jose M. Fernandez-Sanchez [†] , Staci R. Kane [‡] , Donguk Kim [§] , and
8	Pedro J.J. Alvarez [†] *
9	
10	[†] Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, The University of Iowa, 4119 Seamans
11	Center, Iowa City, IA, 52245 U.S.A.
12	
13	[‡] Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Environmental Restoration Division, Livermore, CA,
14	94550, U.S.A.
15	
16	[§] Department of Chemical Engineering, Inje University, 607 Obang-Dong, Kimhae, Kyongnam,
17	621-749 S. Korea.
18	
19	
20	

 $^{^{\}ast}$ To whom correspondence may be addressed. E-mail: pedro-alvarez@uiowa.edu.

Abstract

Aquifer microcosms were used to determine how ethanol and methyl-tert-butyl ether (MtBE) affect monoaromatic hydrocarbon degradation under different electron-accepting conditions commonly found in contaminated sites experiencing natural attenuation. Response variability was investigated by using aquifer material from four sites with different exposure history. The lag phase prior to BTEX (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes) and ethanol degradation was typically shorter in microcosms with previously contaminated aquifer material, although previous exposure did not always result in high degradation activity. Toluene was degraded in all aquifer materials and generally under a broader range of electron-accepting conditions compared to benzene, which was degraded only under aerobic conditions. MtBE was not degraded within 100 days under any condition, and it did not affect BTEX or ethanol degradation patterns. Ethanol was often degraded before BTEX compounds, and had a variable effect on BTEX degradation as a function of electron-accepting conditions and aquifer material source. An occasional enhancement of toluene degradation by ethanol occurred in denitrifying microcosms with unlimited nitrate; this may be attributable to the fortuitous growth of toluenedegrading bacteria during ethanol degradation. Nevertheless, experiments with flow-through aquifer columns showed that this beneficial effect could be eclipsed by an ethanol-driven depletion of electron acceptors, which significantly inhibited BTEX degradation and is probably the most important mechanism by which ethanol could hinder BTEX natural attenuation. A decrease in natural attenuation could increase the likelihood that BTEX compounds reach a receptor as well as the potential duration of exposure.

Keywords: BTEX, Oxygenates, Substrate interactions, Degradation kinetics, Natural attenuation

Introduction

A recent initiative to phase out MtBE as a gasoline oxygenate is likely to significantly increase the use of ethanol in gasoline to mitigate air pollution during combustion [1]. Because gasoline releases that contaminate the subsurface are likely to continue well into the future, a better understanding of how ethanol affects the natural attenuation of petroleum hydrocarbons is warranted. This is particularly important for the fate and transport of the BTEX compounds, which are the most toxic and soluble of the hydrocarbons in gasoline and the most important ones to consider for risk assessment and management purposes.

Past research on the possible groundwater impacts of ethanol in gasoline has primarily focused on co-solvent and biodegradation effects. The presence of ethanol at relatively high concentrations (>20%) facilitates the dissolution of BTEX from the fuel phase into groundwater [2]. This co-solvent effect can also decrease the extent to which BTEX adsorb onto aquifer material [3], which could decrease sorption-related retardation and enhance BTEX transport during bulk flow [4]. Such co-solvent effects, however, are concentration-dependent. They could be important for neat ethanol releases over pre-existing BTEX contamination at bulk terminals [5], but are unlikely to be significant at retail sites contaminated with gasohol (i.e., gasoline with 10% ethanol) [2].

Previous studies have also shown that the preferential degradation of ethanol by indigenous aquifer microorganisms and the accompanying depletion of oxygen could hinder BTEX biodegradation [6,7]. Inhibition of BTEX degradation is probably more influential than the co-solvency effect with respect to elongating BTEX plumes and increasing the region of influence of hydrocarbon contamination. However, little is known about how differences in sitespecific conditions affect the extent to which ethanol could hinder BTEX biodegradation.

There are several site-specific factors that have not been studied within the context of substrate interactions between BTEX and gasoline oxygenates. Exposure history to BTEX, MtBE, and ethanol in soil may be important to consider, with the presumption that microbial communities with previous exposure to contaminants are better adapted to degradation. The terminal electron-accepting process is another potentially important factor that could affect the level of impact of ethanol on BTEX degradation kinetics. Furthermore, there is considerable interest in understanding how ethanol might affect the natural attenuation of pre-existing MtBE contamination, which makes it desirable to incorporate MtBE in a response variability study.

This paper compares the effects of ethanol versus MtBE on BTEX degradation patterns. Response variability was addressed by considering four sites with different exposure histories under three electron-accepting conditions (aerobic, denitrifying, and sulfate-reducing). A statistical analysis was conducted to evaluate the relative importance of the presence of MtBE or ethanol, the source of the aquifer material, and electron-accepting conditions on BTEX degradation kinetics. Aquifer columns were also used to study biodegradation and geochemical transitions in flow-through systems simulating BTEX natural attenuation in the presence or absence of ethanol.

Materials and methods

Aquifer microcosms were used to investigate substrate interactions between BTEX, ethanol, and MtBE. Microcosms were prepared using aquifer materials from four different USA sites with varying exposure history to BTEX, MtBE and ethanol. Aquifer materials from the Travis Air Force Base (AFB), CA, and Sacramento, CA, sites had been exposed to BTEX and MtBE contamination, whereas the Northwest Terminal in Tigard, OR, had been exposed to BTEX and was subsequently impacted by a spill of neat ethanol. Material from the Tracy, CA, site served as a control since it had no known previous exposure to BTEX, MtBE, or ethanol.

Sediments were collected from the saturated zone using a split-spoon sampler (Sacramento), a Geoprobe Direct Push sampler (Travis AFB, Northwest Terminal), or a Standard Penetration Test tool (Tracy). New sleeves or liners were used for sample collection, and care was taken to avoid exposing the aquifer material to oxygen. Specifically, the cores were immediately capped and shipped on ice, and stored inside an anaerobic chamber (Coy Laboratory Products, Inc., Grass Lake, MI) prior to use. The sediments near the ends of the core were discarded and the remaining aquifer material was drained, homogenized, and equilibrated with the chamber's atmosphere for two days prior to transfer to the microcosms. Groundwater parameters at the sample collection points and aquifer material characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

Aquifer columns were used to study ethanol and BTEX migration and biodegradation in a flow-through system simulating natural attenuation. This experiment was performed to provide support for the microcosm results, and was conducted with aquifer material from Travis AFB.

Microcosm study

For each site, aerobic microcosms were prepared with 20 g of drained aquifer material and 80 ml of aerated mineral medium in 250-ml amber glass bottles. The mineral medium was synthetic groundwater prepared as described by von Guten and Zobrist [8], except that NaHCO₃ was replaced by KH₂PO₄ (3.9 mM). In addition, NH₄Cl was substituted for NaNO₃ at 0.3 mM to provide a nitrogen source that could not be used as an electron acceptor. The medium contained (in mg per liter of deionized water): KH₂PO₄ (531); K₂SO₄ (40); NH₄Cl (16); MgCl₂.6H₂O (12); CaCl₂ (6.7); Ni(NO₃)₂.6H₂O (0.002); CuSO₄.5H₂O (0.002); ZnSO₄.7H₂O (0.002); CoSO₄.7H₂O (0.002); (NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄ (0.001); and H₃BO₃ (0.0004).

Microcosms were prepared in triplicate and capped with Mini-nert valves (Alltech Associates, Inc., Deerfield, IL). Air in the headspace was replaced with oxygen to facilitate the maintenance of aerobic conditions during the degradation assay. Three sets of aerobic microcosms were prepared: BTEX alone, BTEX plus ethanol, and BTEX plus MtBE. Abiotic controls were prepared with all compounds added, and were poisoned with a commercial biocide (Kathon® CG/ICP [cosmetic grade/in-can preservatives], Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, diluted 1:100). All microcosms were incubated in the dark under quiescent conditions at 28 °C, and aqueous samples were collected periodically to determine changes in contaminant concentrations.

Anaerobic (denitrifying and sulfate-reducing) microcosms were prepared similarly, and were incubated at 25±3 °C inside the anaerobic with an atmosphere composed of N₂ (80 %), CO₂ (10 %) and H₂ (10 %). The mineral medium was the same as that used for the aerobic microcosms, except that it was mainly buffered with bicarbonate (7.4 mM). Phosphate buffer was also added (20 µM) to ensure that phosphorus was not limiting. The medium was autoclaved and purged for two hours with N₂/CO₂ (80/20) to remove dissolved oxygen prior to transferring to the anaerobic chamber, where it was equilibrated with the chamber atmosphere for three days. The pH of the medium was 7.4 at that time. The medium was then amended with nitrate (5.3 mM) or sulfate (4 mM) prior to BTEX, ethanol and/or MtBE addition. Initial concentrations were approximately 1 mg/L for each BTEX compound, 10 mg/L for MtBE, and 100 mg/L for ethanol, which are representative of sites contaminated with oxygenated gasoline. The Tracy and Northwest Terminal microcosms did not receive MtBE, since these sites had no known previous exposure to MtBE. Anaerobic controls were also prepared by adding all tested compounds and electron acceptors, and were poisoned with a commercial biocide (Kathon® CG/ICP) at 10 ml/L. Note that repeated poisoning with the Kathon® biocide was needed to maintain the sterility of the controls.

The removal of a compound from viable microcosms (with concomitant electron acceptor utilization) but not from sterile controls was taken as evidence of biodegradation. Some anaerobic microcosms (including controls) exhibited considerable BTEX losses, possibly due to volatilization exacerbated by intensive sampling over time. In such cases, the ratio of toluene to benzene was considered as an additional criterion to determine if degradation had occurred. The presumption was that this ratio would decrease significantly as a result of biodegradation (e.g., by 50% or more) because benzene is relatively recalcitrant, whereas toluene is commonly reported to degrade under anaerobic conditions [6,9]. For cases where biodegradation was unequivocally established, biodegradation patterns were characterized for each compound by determining lag periods and first-order degradation rate coefficients. The lag period, which reflects the acclimation phase, was determined as the time during which contaminant concentrations remained constant or did not decrease relative to sterile controls. The first-order rate coefficient (k) was determined by fitting an exponential decay model ($C = C_o e^{-kt}$) to concentration (C) versus time (t) data obtained after the lag period. This value was then corrected for volatile losses by subtracting the *k*-value obtained for the controls.

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to determine if differences in lag phase and rate coefficients for each BTEX compound were statistically significant for different treatments, and to determine the relative importance of different factors (i.e., presence of ethanol or MtBE, aquifer material source, and electron-accepting conditions). This analysis was conducted using Minitab software version 13.1 (Minitab, Inc., State College, PA). Statistical significance was set at the 95 % level of confidence.

Aquifer column study

Three columns (30-cm long, 2.5-cm diameter) were used to further investigate natural attenuation of BTEX and ethanol, and their potential interactive effects. Emphasis was placed on obtaining concentration profiles along the length of the columns to investigate geochemical transitions and spatial variations in removal efficiency. The columns were equipped with 6 sampling ports (at 3, 6, 10, 15, 20, and 25 cm from the inlet), and packed with aquifer material from Travis AFB. One column was amended with BTEX alone (i.e., benzene 5.2 mg/L, toluene 4.1 mg/L, ethylbenzene 2.3 mg/L, m+p-xylenes 2.4 mg/L, o-xylene 2.5 mg/L), to provide a baseline for the effect of ethanol on BTEX attenuation. Another column was amended with BTEX plus ethanol (100 mg/L). The third column was a sterile control to distinguish biodegradation from potential abiotic losses. This column was poisoned with Kathon® biocide (1.5 mg/L) and amended with BTEX plus ethanol. Each column was fed continuously in an upflow mode at 3 ml/h using both a peristaltic pump (Masterflex Mod. 7519-15, Barnant Company, Barrington, IL) to supply the mineral medium and a syringe pump (Harvard Apparatus Mod. 22, South Natick, MA) to supply the volatile organic compounds (i.e., BTEX and ethanol). The ratio of the peristaltic to syringe pump rates was set at 20:1. The total flow rate was 3 ml/h (superficial velocity of 0.61 cm/h), and approximately 2 days were required to displace one pore

volume. The mineral medium was the same used for the anaerobic microcosms, except that it was air-saturated and amended with nitrate (0.2 mM) and sulfate (0.4 mM) as potential electron acceptors. The NaHCO₃ buffer concentration was 2.4 mM.

Analytical methods

Aqueous samples (1-ml) were collected from microcosms or column sampling ports using gas-tight syringes and analyzed for BTEX, MtBE, and ethanol using a Hewlett Packard (Hewlett-Parkard Corporation, Palo Alto, CA) 5890 Series II gas chromatograph (GC) equipped with a HP 19395A headspace autosampler and flame-ionization and photoionization detectors in series. Separation was achieved using a J&W Scientific DB-WAX column (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA) at 35 °C. Detection limits were approximately 0.02 mg/L for each BTEX compound, 0.01 mg/L for MtBE and 0.15 mg/L for ethanol.

Nitrate and sulfate were analyzed using a Dionex 4500 ion chromatograph with an AS4A column (Dionex Company, Sunnyvale, CA) for separation followed by chemical suppression and conductivity detection. The samples were passed through a 0.20-µm filter prior to ion chromatographic analysis.

The oxidation-reduction potential (ORP) was monitored in aerobic microcosms (to verify that oxygen was not depleted) and along the length of aquifer columns (to characterize geochemical transitions) using a microelectrode MI-16/800 (Microelectrodes, Inc., Bedford, NH) connected to a 16-702 Flow-Thru pH reference and to the pH meter (Beckman Instruments Inc., Fullerton, CA).

Results and discussion

Ethanol was rapidly degraded in all aquifer materials and electron-accepting conditions tested, with half-lives ranging from about 3 to 7 days (Table 2). Previous exposure to ethanol at the Northwest Terminal site did not result in higher degradation activity. In general, ethanol was degraded fastest under denitrifying conditions, and the rates under aerobic conditions were similar. The rate coefficients determined for ethanol degradation (0.1 to 2 day⁻¹) are markedly faster than that reported for a field site where ethanol was used as a co-solvent for extraction of free-phase chlorinated solvents (i.e., 0.33 year⁻¹) [10]. It is unclear whether this discrepancy is due to toxicity of the high ethanol concentrations at this site (> 10,000 mg/L) or to more favorable conditions for biodegradation provided for the microcosms (e.g., higher temperature and nutrient addition). The effect of ethanol on BTEX degradation patterns is discussed below for different aquifer materials and electron-accepting conditions.

Travis AFB microcosms

These microcosms were prepared with aquifer material with a history of BTEX and MtBE contamination, and exhibited a relatively high BTEX degradation activity compared to the material from the other study sites. MtBE, however, was not degraded in this experiment, and its presence did not significantly affect BTEX or ethanol degradation patterns (data not shown).

Ethanol significantly inhibited aerobic benzene degradation (Fig. 1), even though oxygen was available in excess and was not depleted during ethanol degradation. Interestingly, some BTEX compounds were degraded faster than ethanol. For example, the *k* value for ethanol was 0.5 day^{-1} (Table 2) versus 2.9 day⁻¹ for ethylbenzene (Table 3). This is contrary to previous reports that ethanol degrades preferentially and BTEX degradation does not begin until most of the ethanol is removed [6].

No anaerobic benzene degradation was observed in two months of incubation. Toluene was the only hydrocarbon degraded under all electron-accepting conditions and substrate combinations tested in the Travis microcosms, and its degradation appeared to support the cometabolism of p+m-xylenes under denitrifying conditions (i.e., xylene consumption coincided with that of toluene and subsided after toluene was removed). This trend was observed both in the presence and absence of ethanol (Fig. 2). Cometabolism of xylene by toluene degraders appears to be a common substrate interaction under denitrifying conditions [11-14].

Ethanol (60 to 80 mg/L) was always the first compound degraded under anaerobic conditions, and its presence significantly inhibited *o*-xylene degradation in sulfate-reducing microcosms; *o*-xylene was only degraded in microcosms amended with BTEX alone or with MtBE (Table 3). Logistic constraints precluded the determination of whether a longer incubation time would be required to observe *o*-xylene degradation in microcosms with ethanol.

Similar to microcosms from the other study sites, BTEX and ethanol degradation generally coincided with the utilization of the appropriate electron acceptor, as illustrated for nitrate removal (Fig. 2). However, no electron balances were calculated in this study because the electron acceptor demand from the added compounds was overshadowed by the higher and more variable background demand of the sediments.

Tracy microcosms

These microcosms were prepared with uncontaminated aquifer material, and exhibited lower BTEX degradation activity than the Travis AFB microcosms but higher activity than previously contaminated material from the Sacramento and Northwest Terminal sites. All BTEX compounds were degraded in aerobic Tracy microcosms (Table 4), which reflects the ubiquitous nature of aerobic BTEX degraders. Ethanol was degraded earlier (within one week) than all BTEX compounds in aerobic microcosms, and its presence significantly decreased the rate of BTEX degradation.

Ethanol was rapidly degraded (within two weeks) in all anaerobic microcosms (Table 2). However, no anaerobic BTEX degradation was observed except for toluene in denitrifying microcosms (Table 4).

Sacramento microcosms

This aquifer material had a history of BTEX and MtBE contamination. Nevertheless, it exhibited a relatively narrow range of BTEX degradation. Benzene and *o*-xylene were not degraded in aerobic microcosms within the two-week incubation period. Furthermore, no anaerobic BTEX degradation was observed except for toluene under denitrifying conditions (Table 5).

Ethanol had an inhibitory effect on BTEX degradation; no BTEX compound was degraded in triplicate microcosms with ethanol. On the other hand, ethanol enhanced toluene degradation in denitrifying microcosms, and no toluene degradation was observed in replicate microcosms without ethanol (Table 5). The observed enhancement of toluene degradation by ethanol in denitrifying microcosms represents a caveat against generalizations about the overall effect of fuel additives on BTEX degradation. Specifically, if electron acceptors are not limiting, ethanol could exert both positive and negative effects. The overall effect would depend both on exposure conditions and the initial microbial community structure.

Methyl *tert*-butyl ether was not degraded under any condition tested, and its presence did not significantly affect the previously described BTEX and ethanol degradation patterns (data not shown).

Northwest Terminal microcosms

These microcosms were prepared with aquifer material that had experienced BTEX contamination and a subsequent spill of neat ethanol [5]. Aerobic BTEX degradation activity was relatively low (with k values ranging from 0.1 to 0.4 day⁻¹), and no BTEX compound was completely removed within two-weeks of incubation. Furthermore, none of the xylene isomers was degraded in these aerobic microcosms (Table 6). Although ethanol was degraded preferentially over BTEX compounds, its presence did not significantly inhibit aerobic BTEX degradation compared to replicates without ethanol.

Ethanol was readily degraded (within one week) under all anaerobic electron acceptor conditions tested. Similar to microcosms from the Sacramento site, ethanol had a stimulatory effect on toluene degradation under denitrifying conditions, and no toluene degradation was observed in microcosms without ethanol within 50 days (Fig. 3).

Toluene was also degraded in sulfate-reducing microcosms, and its consumption coincided with that of m+p-xylenes (data not shown). Cometabolism of xylenes with toluene as primary substrate has also been reported under sulfate-reducing conditions in studies with pure bacterial cultures [15].

General trends and statistical analysis of microcosm data

Analysis of variance showed that, if electron acceptors are not limiting, site-specific factors such as aquifer material properties and electron-accepting conditions have a more significant overall effect on BTEX degradation than the presence of oxygenates (Table 7). Apparently, the type of aquifer material (including geochemical characteristics and exposure history) influences the microbial community structure and the initial concentration of desirable phenotypes, which in turn has a significant effect on whether BTEX degrade readily or not. The electron-accepting condition also had a significant overall effect on BTEX degradation (Table 7), with faster degradation generally corresponding to electron acceptors with higher reduction potential (i.e., $O_2 > NO_3^- > SO_4^{2-}$) (Tables 3-6). Toluene was the most frequently degraded hydrocarbon, and it was consumed under all electron-accepting conditions tested. On the other hand, benzene (which is the most toxic of the BTEX compounds) was not degraded anaerobically under any condition tested. This corroborates previous studies that benzene is the most recalcitrant of the BTEX compounds under anaerobic conditions [9,16] and suggests that oxygen depletion during ethanol biodegradation is likely to hinder the biodegradation of benzene to a greater extent than that of other BTEX compounds.

Under the conditions tested (i.e., electron acceptors supplied in excess), ethanol had no statistically significant effect on BTEX degradation (Table 7). The lack of a clear overall effect is exemplified in microcosms from the Sacramento site, where ethanol hindered aerobic toluene degradation while it enhanced it under denitrifying conditions (Table 4). Enhancement of toluene degradation by ethanol could be attributable to the fortuitous growth of BTEX-degrading bacteria during ethanol degradation.

All microcosms were prepared with electron acceptors in excess of their stoichiometric requirement for the mineralization of the added BTEX and ethanol. However, the high electron acceptor demand exerted by ethanol exacerbated by the background demand of the aquifer material caused the depletion of nitrate and sulfate in microcosms from the Travis AFB, Sacramento, and Northwest Terminal sites. This caused toluene degradation to stop until more electron acceptors were added, as depicted for denitrifying microcosms from the Northwest Terminal (Fig. 3). This shows that ethanol can exert a significant electron acceptor demand compared to the other soluble components in gasoline, and that electron acceptor depletion during ethanol degradation could be a very important mechanism by which ethanol could hinder BTEX natural attenuation.

Methyl *tert*-butyl ether has been reported to degrade under both aerobic and anaerobic conditions [17-22]. However, the ubiquity of such biodegradation capabilities has not been established, and there is not convincing evidence that MtBE biodegradation occurs rapidly in the field under natural conditions [23]. This notion is supported by the lack of MtBE degradation in this study under any condition tested within up to 100 days of incubation (data not shown), which is consistent with the apparent lack of MtBE degradation observed at the Sacramento site (M. Peterson, ETIC Engineering, personal communication).

The presence of MtBE did not significantly affect BTEX degradation patterns (Table 7), which corroborates previous findings that MtBE had a negligible effect on BTEX degradation by non-MtBE-degrading cultures [24,25]. The recalcitrance of MtBE precluded the assessment of how ethanol might affect the natural attenuation (if any) of pre-existing MtBE contamination. Nevertheless, MtBE is even more recalcitrant under anaerobic conditions, which suggests that competition for oxygen by ethanol-degrading bacteria would also hinder MtBE biodegradation.

Natural attenuation profiles along aquifer columns

No significant decreases in BTEX or ethanol concentrations (<10%) were observed in the sterile control column (data not shown), indicating that volatile losses were relatively minor. BTEX were rapidly degraded within the first 10 cm of the column inlet when added without ethanol (Fig. 4A). ORP measurements (+150 to +200 mV) and the lack of significant sulfate and nitrate consumption (Fig. 4C) suggest that BTEX were predominantly degraded under aerobic conditions. Note that the mineral medium contained ammonium as a nitrogen source.

The degradation of BTEX was significantly inhibited by ethanol, which was preferentially utilized within 3 cm of the column inlet (Fig. 4B). The high oxygen demand exerted by ethanol rapidly created reducing conditions near the column inlet (-29 mV) and contributed to the depletion of the added electron acceptors, nitrate and sulfate (Fig. 4D). As a result, little BTEX degradation occurred in this column. This observation corroborates microcosm results that underscored the dependence of hydrocarbon degradation on electron acceptor availability (Fig. 3). In more general terms, it illustrates that the high ethanol concentrations expected at a gasohol spill (>1,000 mg/L near the source [2]) are likely to contribute significantly to the depletion of

Summary and conclusions

This study investigated potential effects of ethanol and MtBE on the natural attenuation of BTEX compounds under different conditions commonly encountered in contaminated sites. The data indicate that ethanol is likely to be preferentially utilized relative to the BTEX compounds under both aerobic and anaerobic conditions. Therefore, whereas ethanol is unlikely to persist for extended periods of time at gasohol-contaminated sites, its presence may prevent the bacterial population capable of degrading BTEX from fully expressing its catabolic potential. If electron acceptors are available in excess, ethanol may exhibit a variable effect. While ethanol is more likely to hinder aerobic BTEX metabolism, it may occasionally enhance anaerobic alkylbenzene degradation, possibly due to additional growth of BTEX-degrading bacteria during ethanol degradation. Nevertheless, if electron acceptors are limiting (as is likely to be the case in gasohol plumes) their depletion during ethanol degradation will likely exacerbate the negative effect of ethanol. The magnitude of this effect was shown to be variable, depending on the characteristics of the aquifer material, microbial consortium, and electron-accepting conditions. Albeit, a decrease in the extent of aerobic BTEX degradation is particularly important for the fate of benzene, which is the most toxic of the BTEX and degrades very slowly, if at all, under anaerobic conditions. Results also corroborated the recalcitrance of MtBE and showed that its presence is unlikely to affect BTEX or ethanol degradation.

Acknowledgement

This work was performed under the auspices of U.S. DOE by UC, LLNL under contract No. W-7405-Eng-48. We thank H. Beller for valuable discussions, M.L.B. da Silva, R. Sawvel and C. Just for their technical assistance, and T. Buscheck (Chevron), W. Day (Travis AFB), G. Mongano (US Bureau of Reclamation), and M. Peterson (ETIC Engineering) for donating the aquifer materials.

References

- Powers SE, Rice D, Dooher B, Alvarez PJJ. 2001. Will ethanol-blended gasoline affect groundwater quality? Using ethanol instead of MTBE as a gasoline oxygenate could be less harmful to the environment. *Environ Sci Technol* 35(1):24A-30A.
- Powers SE, Hunt CS, Heermann SE, Corseuil HX, Rice D, Alvarez PJJ. 2001. The transport and fate of ethanol and BTEX in ground-water contaminated by gasohol. *Crit Rev Environ Sci Technol* 31:79-123.
- Rao PSC, Lee LS, Pinal R. 1990. Cosolvency and sorption of hydrophobic organic-chemicals. *Environ Sci Technol* 24(5):647-654.
- 4. da Silva MLB, Alvarez PJJ. 2002. Effects of ethanol versus MTBE on BTEX natural attenuation in aquifer columns. *Journal of Environmental Engineering*. "In press."
- Buscheck TE, O'Reilly K, Koschal G, O'Regan G. 2001. Ethanol in groundwater at the Northwest Terminal site. In: Magar VS, Gibbs JC, O'Reilly KT, Hyman M, Leeson A, eds, Bioremediation of MTBE, alcohols, and ethers – Proc. 6th international, *In Situ and On-Site Bioremediation Symposium*, San Diego, CA June 4-7, 2001. Battelle Press, Columbus, OH, USA. 6(1):203-210.
- Corseuil HX, Hunt CS, Ferreira dos Santos RC, Alvarez PJJ. 1998. The influence of the gasoline oxygenate ethanol on aerobic and anaerobic BTX biodegradation. *Water Res* 33(7):2065-2072.

- Hunt CS, dos Santos Ferreira R, Corseuil HX, Alvarez PJJ. 1997. Effect of ethanol on aerobic BTX degradation. In Leeson AL, Alleman BC, eds, *In Situ and On-site Bioremediation*. Battelle Press, Columbus, OH, USA. 4(1): 49-54.
- 8. von Gunten U, Zobrist J. 1993. Biochemical changes in groundwater-infiltration systems: column studies. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta* 57:3895-3906.
- Heider J, Spormann AM, Beller HR, Widdel F. 1998. Anaerobic bacterial metabolism of hydrocarbons. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 22:459-473.
- Sewell GW, Mravik SC, Chattopadhyay D, Sillan RK, Wood AL. 2001. Biodegradation of ethanol in subsurface environments. Poster and abstract, *In Situ and On-Site Bioremediation: The Sixth International Symposium*, San Diego, CA, USA. June 4, 2001.
- 11. Alvarez PJJ, Vogel TM. 1995. Degradation of BTEX and their aerobic metabolites by indigenous microorganisms under nitrate-reducing conditions. *Water Sci Technol* 31:15-28.
- Evans PJ, Kim KS, Young LY. 1991. Degradation of toluene and *m*-xylene and transformation of *o*-xylene by denitrifying enrichment cultures. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 57:450-454.
- Hutchins SR. 1991. Biodegradation of monoaromatic hydrocarbons by aquifer microorganisms using oxygen, nitrate, or nitrous oxide as the terminal electron acceptor. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 57:2403-2407.
- Beller HR, Spormann AM. 1997. Anaerobic activation of toluene and *o*-xylene by addition to fumarate in denitrifying strain T. *J Bacteriol* 179:670-676.
- 15. Beller HR, Spormann AM, Sharma PK, Cole JR, Reinhard M. 1996. Isolation and characterization of a novel toluene-degrading, sulfate-reducing bacterium. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 62:1188-1196.

- Kazumi J, Caldwell ME, Suflita JM, Lovley DR, Young LY. 1997. Anaerobic degradation of benzene in diverse anoxic environments. *Environ Sci Technol* 31(3):813-818.
- Bradley PM, Landmeyer JE, Chapelle FH. 1999. Aerobic mineralization of MTBE and *tert*-Butyl alcohol by stream-bed sediment microorganisms. *Environ Sci Technol* 33:1877-1879.
- 18. Finneran KT, Lovley DR. 2001. Anaerobic degradation of methyl *tert*-butyl ether (MTBE) and *tert*-butyl alcohol (TBA). *Environ Sci Technol* 35(9):1785-1790.
- 19. Hanson JR, Ackerman CE, Scow KM. 1999. Biodegradation of methyl *tert*-butyl ether by a bacterial pure culture. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 65(11):4788-4792.
- 20. Pruden A, Suidan MT, Venosa AD, Wilson GJ. 2001. Biodegradation of methyl *tert*-butyl ether under various substrate conditions. *Environ Sci Technol* 35(21):4235-4241.
- 21. Salanitro JP, Diaz LA, Williams MP, Wisniewski HL. 1994. Isolation of a bacterial culture that degrades methyl *t*-butyl ether. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 60(7):2593-2596.
- 22. Kane SR, Beller HR, Legler TC, Koester CJ, Pinkart HC, Halden RU, Happel AM. 2001. Aerobic biodegradation of methyl *tert*-butyl ether by aquifer bacteria from leaking underground storage tank sites. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 67:5824-5829.
- 23. Deeb RA, Hu HY, Hanson JR, Scow KM, Alvarez-Cohen L. 2001. Substrate interactions in BTEX and MTBE mixtures by an MTBE-degrading isolate. *Environ Sci Technol* 35:312-317.
- 24. Deeb RA, Alvarez-Cohen L. 2000. Aerobic biotransformation of gasoline aromatics in multicomponent mixtures. *Bioremediation Journal* 4:171-179.
- 25. Jensen M, Arvin E. 1990. Solubility and degradability of the gasoline additive MTBE, methyl-*tert*-butyl-ether, and gasoline compounds in water. In Arendt F, Hinsenveld M, van den Brink WJ, eds, *Contaminated Soil*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, pp 445-448.

Component	Site				
-	Travis	Tracy	Sacramento	Northwest	
	AFB			Terminal	
Benzene (ppb)	300	NA	5300	1,500	
Toluene (ppb)	170	NA	35	6,090	
Ethylbenzene (ppb)	4700	NA	270	770	
Xylenes (ppb)	3100	NA	130	5,070	
Ethanol (ppm)	ND	NA	NA	16,100	
Methyl <i>tert</i> -butyl ether	170	NA	2700	ND	
(ppb)					
Nitrate (ppm)	ND	0.9	ND	ND	
Sulfate (ppm)	27	19	13	310	
Dissolved oxygen	1 to 2	NA	1 to 2	ND	
(ppm)					
Aquifer material pH	6.9	7.3	7.4	5.7	
Organic matter (%)	0.9	0.6	1.1	0.9	
Cation exchange	4.2	5.0	13.6	9.3	
capacity (meq/100 g)					
Texture	Loamy sand	Loamy sand	Silt loam	Sandy loam	
Depth to groundwater	2.6 - 2.9	7.6 – 9.1	~ 7.6	1.8	
(m)					
Hydraulic conductivity	35 - 691	1,218	674	1,063	
(cm/day)		<i>`</i>		,	
Age of spill (years)	12	Not	> 6	$>20^{a}$	
		contaminated			

characteristic of aquifer material used in this work.

^a A neat ethanol release occurred one year prior to sample collection.

ND = Not detected.

NA = Not analyzed because sample was collected from a remote uncontaminated area, far

from any potential source of organic contamination (e.g., no gas stations nearby).

	Aero	obic	Denitrifying		Sulfate-reducing	
-	Lag	k	Lag	k	Lag	k
Site	phase	(day ⁻¹)	phase	(day ⁻¹)	phase	(day ⁻¹)
	(days)		(days)		(days)	
Travis AFB ^a	0	0.5	0	1.3	0	0.1
Tracy ^b	2	1.0	1	0.8	6	0.8
Sacramento ^c	0	0.5	0	2.0	23	0.4
Northwest Terminal ^d	2	0.6	0	0.7	0	0.8

Table 2. Ethanol degradation patterns in the presence of monoaromatic hydrocarbons

 for microcosms from the four study sites.

^a Initial concentration (mg/L): benzene 1.8±0.1; toluene 1.5±0.2; ethylbenzene 0.4±0.1; *o*-xylene 0.5±0.7; *m*+*p*-xylenes 0.5±0.1; ethanol 55±4.

- ^b Initial concentration (mg/L): benzene 2.4±0.5; toluene 1.5±0.2; ethylbenzene 0.5±0.1; *o*-xylene 0.6±0.1; *m*+*p*-xylenes 0.7±0.1; ethanol 75±11.
- ^c Initial concentration (mg/L): benzene 2.3±0.2; toluene 1.6±0.2; ethylbenzene 0.5±0.1; *o*-xylene 0.7±0.1; *m*+*p*-xylenes 0.7±0.1; ethanol 72±3.
- ^d Initial concentration (mg/L): benzene 2.4±0.2; toluene 1.5±0.1; ethylbenzene 0.5±0.1; *o*xylene 0.7±0.1; *m*+,*p*-xylenes 0.6±0.1; ethanol 70±13.

	Aero	bic	Denitrifying		Sulfate-reducing	
	Lag	k	Lag	k	Lag	k
Compound ^a	phase	(day ⁻	phase	(day ⁻¹)	phase	(day ⁻
	(days)	1)	(days)		(days)	1)
_						
Benzene	_					
with BTEX ⁶	0	3.0	ND	ND	ND	ND
with	0	0.5	ND	ND	ND	ND
BTEX+EtOH ^c						
with	0	3.0	ND	ND	ND	ND
BTEX+MtBE ^a						
Toluene						
with BTEX	0	0.8	2	0.4	6	0.3
with	0	0.9	0	0.2	3	0.3
BTEX+EtOH						
with	0	0.7	2	0.5	6	0.2
BTEX+MtBE					-	•
Ethylbenzene	0	•	2	0.0		
with BTEX	0	2.9	2	0.3	ND	ND
with	0	4.9	3	0.1*	ND	ND
BTEX+EtOH	0					
with	0	4.0	2	0.2	ND	ND
BTEX+MtBE						
m+p - Xylenes						
with BTEX	0	0.9	2	0.1*	6	0.1
with	0	0.3	0	0.1*	3	0.1*
BTEX+EtOH						
with	0	0.8	2	0.1*	9	0.1
BTEX+MtBE						
o - Xylene						
with BTEX	0	12	3	0.1*	27	0.2
with	6	1.2	3	0.1*		0.2 ND
	0	1.3	3	0.1		ND
DIEA+EIUN with	0	1 /	2	0.1*	77	0.1
	0	1.4	3	0.1	<i>∠1</i>	0.1
DIEA+WILDE						

Table 3. Monoaromatic hydrocarbon degradation patterns in Travis AFB microcosms.

^a Initial concentration (mg/L): benzene 1.8±0.1; toluene 1.5±0.2; ethylbenzene 0.4±0.1; *o*-xylene 0.5±0.7; *m*+*p*-xylenes 0.5±0.1; ethanol 55±4; MtBE 6.2±0.5.

^b BTEX = Monoaromatic hydrocarbons (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, *o*-xylene, *m*-xylene and *p*-xylene).

- ^c EtOH = Ethanol.
- ^d MtBE = Methyl *tert*-butyl ether.
- *Degradation ceased after toluene was removed.

ND = No significant removal relative to sterile control was observed within the 59-day incubation period.

	Aerobic		Denitr	ifying
Compound ^a	Lag phase (days)	k (day ⁻¹)	Lag phase (days)	k (day ⁻¹)
Benzene with BTEX ^b with BTEX+EtOH ^c	7 3	1.5 0.3	ND ND	ND ND
Toluene with BTEX with BTEX+EtOH	7 3	1.5 0.3	29 21	0.1 0.1
Ethylbenzene with BTEX with BTEX+EtOH	7 3	1.3 0.2	ND ND	ND ND
<i>m</i> + <i>p</i> - Xylenes with BTEX with BTEX+EtOH	7 3	1.1 0.1	ND ND	ND ND
o - Xylene with BTEX with BTEX+EtOH	7 5	0.7 0.1	ND ND	ND ND

Table 4. Monoaromatic hydrocarbon degradation patterns in Tracy microcosms.

^a Initial concentration (mg/L): benzene 2.4±0.5; toluene 1.5±0.2; ethylbenzene 0.5±0.1;

o-xylene 0.6 \pm 0.1; *m*+*p*-xylenes 0.7 \pm 0.1; ethanol 75 \pm 11.

^b BTEX = Monoaromatic hydrocarbons (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, o-xylene, m-

xylene and *p*-xylene).

^c EtOH = Ethanol.

ND = No significant removal relative to sterile control was observed within the 75-day incubation period. Data for all BTEX compounds under sulfate-reducing conditions were ND.

	Aerobic		Denitr	ifying
Compound ^a	Lag phase (days)	k (day ⁻ 1)	Lag phase (days)	k (day ⁻¹)
Toluene with BTEX ^b with BTEX+EtOH	0 ND	0.1 ND	ND 0	ND 0.1
with BTEX+MtBE ^d	0	0.1	ND	ND
Ethylbenzene with BTEX with BTEX+EtOH with BTEX+MtBE	0 ND 0	0.2 ND 0.1	ND ND ND	ND ND ND
<i>m</i> + <i>p</i> - Xylenes with BTEX with BTEX+EtOH with BTEX+MtBE	0 ND 0	0.6 ND 0.3	ND ND ND	ND ND ND

 Table 5. Toluene degradation patterns in Sacramento microcosms.

^a Initial concentration (mg/L): benzene 2.3±0.2; toluene 1.6±0.2; ethylbenzene 0.5±0.1; *o*-

xylene 0.7 ± 0.1 ; *m*+*p*-xylenes 0.7 ± 0.1 ; ethanol 72±3; MtBE 6.8±0.6.

^b BTEX = Monoaromatic hydrocarbons (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, o-xylene, m-xylene and p-xylene).

^c EtOH = Ethanol.

^d MtBE = Methyl *tert*-butyl ether.

ND = No significant removal relative to sterile control was observed within the 59-day incubation period. Data for benzene and *o*-xylene under all electron-accepting conditions and data for all BTEX compounds under sulfate-reducing conditions were ND.

	Aer	obic	Denitrifying		Sulfate-reducing	
Compound ^a	Lag phase (days)	k (day ⁻¹)	Lag phase (days)	k (day ⁻¹)	Lag phase (days)	k (day ⁻¹)
Benzene with BTEX ^b with BTEX+EtOH ^c	8 11	0.2 0.1	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND
Toluene with BTEX with BTEX+EtOH	4 10	0.1 0.1	ND 13	ND 0.4	17 27	0.2 0.3
Ethylbenzene with BTEX with BTEX+EtOH	5 10	0.2 0.4	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND
<i>m</i> + <i>p</i> - Xylenes with BTEX with BTEX+EtOH	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND	17 27	0.1 0.1

Table 6. Monoaromatic hydrocarbon and ethanol degradation in Northwest Terminal microcosms.

^a Initial concentration (mg/L): benzene 2.4 \pm 0.2; toluene 1.5 \pm 0.1; ethylbenzene 0.5 \pm 0.1; *o*-

xylene 0.7±0.1; *m*+,*p*-xylenes 0.6±0.1; ethanol 70±13.

^b BTEX = Monoaromatic hydrocarbons (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, *o*-xylene, *m*-xylene and *p*-xylene).

^c EtOH = Ethanol.

ND = No significant removal relative to sterile control was observed within the 50-day incubation period. Data for *o*-xylene under all electron-accepting conditions were ND.

	Attained level of significance				
Factor	(p value)				
	for lag period	for k			
Site	<0.001*	<0.001*			
Electron-accepting	< 0.001*	<0.001*			
condition					
BTEX ^a compound	< 0.001*	0.255			
Presence of ethanol	0.519	0.140			
Presence of MtBE ^b	0.989	0.862			

 Table 7. Analysis of variance of selected factors potentially influencing

the lag period and the rate coefficient for monoaromatic hydrocarbon degradation.

^a BTEX = Monoaromatic hydrocarbons (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, *o*-xylene,

m-xylene and *p*-xylene).

^b MtBE = Methyl *tert*-butyl ether.

* Significant effect (p < 0.05)

Site = Travis Air Force Base, CA; Tracy, CA; Sacramento, CA; and Northwest

Terminal, Tigard, OR.

Electron-accepting condition = aerobic, denitrifying, and sulfate-reducing.

Figure captions

Figure 1. Inhibition of benzene degradation by ethanol in aerobic microcosms from Travis Air Force Base (CA). Data points represent the average of three replicate microcosms and error bars depict one standard deviation.

Figure 2. Toluene-dependent degradation of m+p-xylenes in denitrifying microcosms from Travis Air Force Base (CA). Data points represent the average of three replicate microcosms and error bars depict one standard deviation.

Figure 3. Enhanced toluene degradation by ethanol in denitrifying microcosms from the Northwest Terminal (Tigard, OR). The bottom panel shows little toluene removal after nitrate was depleted during ethanol degradation, and fast removal when nitrate was re-spiked. Error bars depict one standard deviation from the mean of three microcosms.

Figure 4. Monoaromatic hydrocarbon, ethanol and electron acceptors concentration profiles in columns after 27 days of operation. The columns were amended with BTEX alone (benzene 5.2 mg/L, toluene 4.1 mg/L, ethylbenzene 2.3 mg/L, m+p-xylenes 2.4 mg/L, o-xylene 2.5 mg/L); or BTEX plus ethanol (100 mg/L).











В 0.5 0.0 -Distance from the inlet (cm) Concentration (mg/L) 0 0 05 05 09 0 01 05 05 D Distance from the inlet (cm)