

RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Effect of Nickel Levels on Hydrogen Partial Pressure and Methane Production in Methanogens

Anna Neubeck<sup>1\*</sup>, Susanne Sjöberg<sup>1</sup>, Alex Price<sup>2</sup>, Nolwenn Callac<sup>1</sup>, Anna Schnürer<sup>3</sup>

**1** Department of Geological Sciences, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden, **2** Department of Physical Sciences, The Open University, Milton Keynes, United Kingdom, **3** Department of Microbiology, BioCenter, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden

These authors contributed equally to this work.

\* [anna.neubeck@geo.su.se](mailto:anna.neubeck@geo.su.se)



**OPEN ACCESS**

**Citation:** Neubeck A, Sjöberg S, Price A, Callac N, Schnürer A (2016) Effect of Nickel Levels on Hydrogen Partial Pressure and Methane Production in Methanogens. PLoS ONE 11(12): e0168357. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0168357

**Editor:** Dariush Hinderberger, Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg, GERMANY

**Received:** July 28, 2016

**Accepted:** November 30, 2016

**Published:** December 16, 2016

**Copyright:** © 2016 Neubeck et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability Statement:** All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

**Funding:** This work was supported by the Swedish National Space Board (Project number DNR-100/13) (<http://www.rymdstyrelsen.se/>), the Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences (<http://www.kva.se/>), and Formas (2012-807) (<http://www.formas.se/>).

**Competing Interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## Abstract

Hydrogen (H<sub>2</sub>) consumption and methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) production in pure cultures of three different methanogens were investigated during cultivation with 0, 0.2 and 4.21 μM added nickel (Ni). The results showed that the level of dissolved Ni in the anaerobic growth medium did not notably affect CH<sub>4</sub> production in the cytochrome-free methanogenic species *Methanobacterium bryantii* and *Methanoculleus bourgensis* MAB1, but affected CH<sub>4</sub> formation rate in the cytochrome-containing *Methanosarcina barkeri* grown on H<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>. *Methanosarcina barkeri* also had the highest amounts of Ni in its cells, indicating that more Ni is needed by cytochrome-containing than by cytochrome-free methanogenic species. The concentration of Ni affected threshold values of H<sub>2</sub> partial pressure (pH<sub>2</sub>) for all three methanogen species studied, with *M. bourgensis* MAB1 reaching pH<sub>2</sub> values as low as 0.1 Pa when Ni was available in amounts used in normal anaerobic growth medium. To our knowledge, this is the lowest pH<sub>2</sub> threshold recorded to date in pure methanogen culture, which suggests that *M. bourgensis* MAB1 have a competitive advantage over other species through its ability to grow at low H<sub>2</sub> concentrations. Our study has implications for research on the H<sub>2</sub>-driven deep subsurface biosphere and biogas reactor performance.

## Introduction

Nickel (Ni) is an essential trace metal for most living organisms and especially for methanogens, which use it as a key metal co-factor in many enzymes involved in different parts of their metabolism or in methanogenesis. One of these Ni-containing enzymes, methyl-coenzyme M reductase (MCR) and its co-factor F<sub>430</sub>, which is responsible for the terminal reaction of methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) formation by methanogens, is unique to methanogens. However, Ni is also used in other enzymes involved in methanogenesis, such as carbon monoxide dehydrogenase (CODH) and acetyl-coenzyme A synthetase (ACS) [1]. Under Ni-limiting conditions, however, obligate hydrogenotrophic methanogens have the ability to use a Ni-free hydrogenase, where the reactive center consists only of Fe [2]. This dependence on Ni is suggested to have

been a major controlling factor for CH<sub>4</sub> levels in the early atmosphere of Earth because of high Ni availability in the early oceans [3]. Konhauser et al. [4,5] even suggest that an archaic Ni famine might have been a fundamental reason for the drop in atmospheric methane content and the rise in oxygen during the Great Oxygenation Event (GOE). The need for Ni, as well as other trace metals, by methanogens has recently been recognised in research on different biotechnological applications where methanogens play a critical role, e.g. in waste treatment and biogas production [6,7]. In these systems addition of trace metals, including Ni, has been shown to produce positive effects on methanogenic activity and, as a consequence, on overall process performance. Methanogens are key organisms in the degradation of complex organic matter under anaerobic conditions and high microbial activity, specifically of those methanogens using H<sub>2</sub>, is critical for the process. The level of H<sub>2</sub> is critical for various metabolic pathways, such as the conversion of organic acids, which is thermodynamically unfavourable under high H<sub>2</sub> pressures [8]. To further understand methanogenic activity, the Ni requirement of methanogenic species has been studied to some extent in pure culture [2,9–16] and lately also as part of bioenergy/biogas research [6]. Studies on pure culture have been restricted to a few species and have mainly focused on the effect of Ni availability on CH<sub>4</sub> production/growth rate and production of cell mass. In a recent study, addition of trace metals, including Ni, was suggested to result in lower partial pressure of H<sub>2</sub> ( $p_{H_2}$ ), resulting in turn in higher methanogen activity and also methanogen diversity [17]. However, to our knowledge no previous study has addressed the importance of Ni for H<sub>2</sub> consumption and H<sub>2</sub> threshold levels in pure cultures of methanogen species.

Methanogens are typically classified into three groups, hydrogenotrophic, methylotrophic and acetoclastic, based on substrate and pathway used [18]. Hydrogenotrophic methanogens typically mainly use H<sub>2</sub>/formate as an electron donor, while methylotrophic methanogens can often use both H<sub>2</sub> and carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), as well as methyl compounds such as methanol and methylamines and carbon monoxide (CO). Acetoclastic methanogens mainly use acetate as an energy source. Hydrogenotrophs and methylotrophs in principle use the same pathway for methanogenesis, but with some differences depending on the substrate used [18]. This difference in substrate presence and pathways could affect the need for Ni as a co-factor, and the Ni requirement could thus vary between species belonging to different groups of microbes. Methanogens can also be categorised into those with cytochromes and those without cytochromes, where cytochrome-containing species have a higher ATP gain and thus require a higher threshold pressure of H<sub>2</sub> to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> to CH<sub>4</sub> [2]. Experiments have shown that the growth yield of cytochrome-containing methanogens is more than twice as high as that of cytochrome-free methanogens allowing methanogens without cytochromes to grow on lower partial pressures of H<sub>2</sub> that is just sufficient to produce enough ATP [19].

The aim of this study was to investigate the need for Ni in production of CH<sub>4</sub> and consumption of H<sub>2</sub> by three different pure cultures of methanogens, all using H<sub>2</sub> as a substrate but with two being strict hydrogenotrophs (*Methanobacterium bryantii* and *Methanoculleus bourgensis* strain MAB1) and one a methylotroph/acetoclast (*Methanosarcina barkeri*). An additional aim was to determine the Ni content in microbial cells and to relate this to production of CH<sub>4</sub>. Availability of Ni affects growth rate and cell mass, but to our knowledge has not previously been analysed in the context of H<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> consumption/threshold.

## Materials and Methods

Two different experiments were conducted, both investigating three methanogenic strains: i) *Methanosarcina barkeri* (DSM 800), ii) *Methanobacterium bryantii* (DSM 10113) and iii) *Methanoculleus bourgensis* strain MAB1 [20]. *Methanosarcina barkeri* and *M. bryantii* were

obtained from the Leibniz Institute DSMZ–German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures. *Methanoculleus bourgensis* MAB1 was provided by the Department of Microbiology, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala. In the first experiment, the strains were grown in full growth medium (see section "Culture medium and growth conditions" below). The production of CH<sub>4</sub> was monitored as a function of time and, at the end of the experiment, the microbial cells were analysed for trace metal content (see "Cell digestion experiment"). In the second experiment, all strains were grown in yeast-free growth medium at various Ni levels; normal concentration (0.2 μM), Ni-enriched (4.21 μM) and without Ni addition (0 μM). In this experiment, CH<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>2</sub> and CO were analysed as a function of time and the microbial cells were analysed for Ni content at the end of the experiment.

## Culture medium and growth conditions

Prior to cultivation, all bottles, black rubber stoppers and plastic disposables used in the experiment were sterilised to remove metal contaminants by soaking in 20% HCl for 24 h followed by soaking in pure Milli-Q water (resistivity: 18.2 MΩ·cm) for another 24 h. The bottles were then covered with perforated plastic film and dried overnight at 70°C. The Milli-Q water was not treated to strip away Ni and consequently, traces of Ni may be present in the bottles at the onset of the experiments.

The methanogens were grown in bicarbonate buffered basal medium (BM) prepared as described by [21] and modified by [22] by mixing solutions A-I containing (g L<sup>-1</sup>): (A) KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 0.41; (B) Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 0.43; (F) Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.3; and Na<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>4</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.3. Solution G was modified by adding (g L<sup>-1</sup>): pyridoxamine, 0.25; nicotinic acid, 0.1; nicotinamide, 0.1; DL-panthothenic acid, 0.05; vitamin B<sub>12</sub>, 0.05; *p*-aminobenzoic acid, 0.05; pyridoxine hydrochloride, 0.1; biotin, 0.02; thioctic acid, 0.05; folic acid, 0.02; riboflavin, 0.05; and thiamine hydrochloride, 0.1. In preparing the medium, 15 mL of solution A, 15 mL of solution B, 1 mL of solution F and 5 mL of solution I were mixed with 1 L of distilled water. The medium was complemented with 0.2 g L<sup>-1</sup> yeast extract (see "Cell digestion experiment"), boiled down to a final volume of 900 mL (approx. 20 min) and cooled under flushing with N<sub>2</sub>. After cooling, the medium was supplemented with sodium acetate (0.42 g L<sup>-1</sup>) and thereafter distributed (18 mL per bottle) into the metal-free bottles under flushing with N<sub>2</sub>. The bottles were closed with the metal-free rubber stoppers (Gotlands gummifabrik) while flushing with N<sub>2</sub> and sealed with aluminium crimp caps. The bottles were then evacuated to -1 atm pressure and pressurised to 0.2 atm with N<sub>2</sub> to obtain an oxygen-free environment. This evacuation procedure was repeated three times before the bottles were finally pressurised to 0.2 atm with N<sub>2</sub>-CO<sub>2</sub> (80:20) and autoclaved for 20 min at 121°C. After cooling, the bottles were supplemented with 1 mL of trace metal mixture C1 (containing 1 mL trace metal solution E, 1 mL vitamin solution G, 12.5 mL solution C and 35.5 mL distilled water to a final volume of 50 mL) and 1 mL of trace metal mixture C2 (containing 49 mL solution D, 1 mL solution H and 0.5 g cysteine-HCl). Mixtures C1 and C2 were prepared separately, sterile-filtered (0.2 μm) into closed autoclaved vials filled with N<sub>2</sub> and stored cold. The trace metal solution E was slightly modified by using NiCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O instead of NiCl<sub>2</sub> and the amount of CuCl<sub>2</sub> was adjusted from 0.030 to 0.038 g L<sup>-1</sup> and MnCl<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O from 0.050 to 0.041 g L<sup>-1</sup>. The concentration of Ni was according to the original ('normal') medium for the cell digestion experiment, but adjusted for the second experiment (see below). C1 and C2 were added with a syringe, yielding a final pH of approximately 7.2.

## Cell digestion experiment

The samples of the three methanogenic strains (*M. bourgensis* MAB1, *M. bryantii*, *M. barkeri*) intended for analysis of cell trace metal content were grown in 1.21 L serum bottles by

inoculation of 30 mL pre-grown cultures to a total liquid volume of 400 mL reduced BM medium, prepared as described below. After inoculation, the bottles (five per species) were pressurized with 0.8 atm H<sub>2</sub>-CO<sub>2</sub> (80:20). The cultures were grown in the dark at 37°C without shaking. Additional H<sub>2</sub>-CO<sub>2</sub> (20:80) was added twice during the growth period to a pressure of 1 atm.

The experiment was terminated and cells were harvested in their exponential growth phase. All cultures of the same species were pooled to one batch, in order to increase the cell mass for later analysis. The rate of CH<sub>4</sub> production was calculated according to the formula for exponential growth,  $r = (\ln N_t - \ln N_0) / \Delta T$ , where  $N_t$  is the amount of CH<sub>4</sub> at the end of the experiment,  $N_0$  is the amount of CH<sub>4</sub> at the beginning of the experiment and  $\Delta T$  is the total time interval.

## Real-Time Quantitative PCR

DNA was extracted using the protocol for Gram-positive bacteria of the DNeasy Blood & Tissue kit (QIAGEN, Germany), with some modifications, i.e. using a starting volume of 500  $\mu$ L cell suspension, no enzyme added to the lysis buffer, an increased incubation time at 37°C of 45 minutes and a reduced elution volume to 60  $\mu$ L AL buffer. Both undiluted extracts and a 20-fold dilution of each extract were run by qPCR to detect possible inhibition of the reaction.

Methanogen abundance was measured by qPCR using the CFX96 C1000 Thermal Cycler from Bio-Rad (Hercules, CA, USA). The PCR reaction contained 10  $\mu$ L iQ SYBR green supermix (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA), 5  $\mu$ L nuclease-free water, 3  $\mu$ L template DNA (1.2–14.6 ng  $\mu$ L<sup>-1</sup>) and 1  $\mu$ L each of the methanogen-specific primers (10 pmol  $\mu$ L<sup>-1</sup>) Met630F (5'-GGA TTA GAT ACC CSG GTA GT-3') [1,23] and Met803R (5'-GTT GAR TCC AAT TAA ACC GCA-3) [2,23]. The qPCR programme consisted of a hot start at 95°C for 7 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 40 s, annealing at 60°C for 60 s and elongation at 72°C for 40 s. A melt curve was created at the end of the programme to detect any non-specific amplicons or primer dimers by a temperature rise from 55 to 95°C ( $\Delta T = 0.1^\circ\text{C s}^{-1}$ ).

An external standard was constructed using the amplicon obtained from the genomic DNA of *M. bourgensis* strain MAB1 together with the primers and PCR conditions mentioned above (30 cycles). The purified amplicon (MinElute Gel Extraction kit, QIAGEN, Germany) was then ligated into a vector (pGEM-T easy Vector System I, Promega, WI, USA) and cloned by JM109 High-Efficiency Competent cells. Finally, the plasmids were extracted using QIAprep Spin Miniprep kit (QIAGEN, Germany). The plasmid solution was then diluted in a 10-fold series of dilutions ranging from  $1 \times 10^8$  to  $1 \times 10^0$  copies  $\mu$ L<sup>-1</sup> and used for qPCR in the same way as the samples.

The efficiency of the reaction was 103.2% and the correlation factor ( $r^2$ ) of the standard curve was 0.999. No inhibition of the PCR was found. The melt curve analysis showed three slightly separated peaks, which were identified as the same band size after a quality check by agarose gel electrophoresis.

The pooled culture liquid was centrifuged using a Beckman J2-HS centrifuge at 15300xg and 10000 rpm for 20 min. The supernatant was then poured off and saved as one sample and the cells were re-suspended in 100 mL wash liquid (basal medium without addition of solutions C1 and C2) and centrifuged. This procedure was repeated one more time. The wash liquid supernatant was also saved as a sample. After the final centrifugation and removal of supernatant, each cell pellet was transferred to a sterile, acid-washed glass flask through suspension in a minimal amount of wash liquid. The weight of glass flask plus wet pellet was noted and the flasks were then dried at 105°C for 48 hours.

The dried cells were digested by wet digestion (modified from Scherer et al. [3,24]) through addition of 2 mL concentrated HNO<sub>3</sub> to the cell pellet (~100 mg) and boiling for 10 minutes

in a sealed acid-washed Duran bottle. Then 2 mL concentrated  $\text{HClO}_4$  (perchloric acid) were added and the sample was heated until white fumes appeared. Next, the sample was diluted with Milli-Q water to a final volume of 10 mL and 0.5  $\mu\text{L}$  concentrated  $\text{HNO}_3$  was added. The mixture was transferred to an acid-washed plastic vial for Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-AES) analysis. The instrument was calibrated using standard solutions (Multi element standard solutions, LGC-Promochem, chromatography grade) and a blank (Milli-Q water). The analytical error was approximately 4%. The Duran glass bottles used for wet digestion contain 81%  $\text{SiO}_2$ , 13%  $\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$ , 4%  $\text{Na}_2\text{O} + \text{K}_2\text{O}$  and 2%  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ , which could possibly have influenced the levels of the elements in the cells. The samples (cell pellets, supernatant and wash liquid) were poured into sterile test tubes with 5  $\mu\text{L}$  pure concentrated  $\text{HNO}_3$  (puriss, Sigma Aldrich).

## Ni stress experiments

Differences in growth rate and the need for Ni in *M. bourgensis* MAB1, *M. bryantii* and *M. barkeri* were investigated during cultivation in Ni-limited and Ni-enriched medium. To minimise possible uncontrolled sources of Ni, yeast extract was omitted from the growth medium. The Ni-limited experiments were all conducted in 118 mL borosilicate bottles. The experiments were initiated by inoculation of 10 mL of pure culture, pre-grown without yeast extract and Ni (i.e. Ni was excluded from solution C1), into 20 mL of growth medium containing different amounts of Ni (0, 0.2 and 4.21  $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ ). The bottles were pressurised to 1 atm  $\text{H}_2\text{-CO}_2$  (80:20) and placed without shaking in the dark at 37°C. One refill of  $\text{H}_2\text{-CO}_2$  (80:20) was made after 119 days of incubation to restore a pressure of 1 atm, when the samples had approximate partial pressure of 0.2 atm. The dissolved element concentrations at the start (the initial 2L batch of growth medium) and end of the experiment were measured in 5 mL aliquots of liquid extracted from the bottles using a sterile needle.

## Analysis

Hydrogen and CO partial pressure ( $p\text{H}_2$ ,  $p\text{CO}_2$ ) were analysed by PP1 (Peak Performer 1, reduced gas analyser) by direct injection of 1 mL withdrawn headspace gas. Methane samples (2 mL) were withdrawn at the same time, injected into glass vials and stored at +2°C until analysis (within a maximum of 5 days) by GC (Perkin Elmer Ariel, Clarus 500 Gas Chromatograph equipped with a TurboMatrix 110 Headspace sampler). Elemental composition of the fluids at the end of the experiments was analysed by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES) (Spectro, Varian Vista AX with argon as carrier gas). The analytical error was ~4%. All ICP samples were prepared by withdrawing approximately 10 mL centrifuged and filtered liquid and adding 10  $\mu\text{L}$  conc.  $\text{HNO}_3$  (ultrapur, Merck).


## Results

### Trace metals in cells

In cultivation of the different methanogens using standard anaerobic growth medium, also containing yeast extract, *M. bourgensis* MAB1 had a slightly higher  $\text{CH}_4$  production rate (0.16%  $\text{CH}_4 \text{ day}^{-1}$ ), and consequently the highest  $\text{CH}_4$  yield at the time of cell harvest, i.e. after 49 days of incubation (Fig 1). The corresponding  $\text{CH}_4$  production rate by *M. barkeri* and *M. bryantii* was 0.15%  $\text{CH}_4 \text{ day}^{-1}$  for both species.

Total acquired dried cell mass after 49 days of incubation (pooled cell mass in residual liquid) was 9.40, 12.8 and 9.70  $\text{g L}^{-1}$  for *M. barkeri*, *M. bourgensis* MAB1 and *M. bryantii*, respectively. Results obtained in ICP analysis of trace metal concentrations in cells of the different





**Fig 1. Methane production during growth of Methanosarcina barkeri, Methanoculleus bourgensis MAB1 and Methanobacterium bryantii in a growth medium with added yeast extract.**

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0168357.g001

methanogens are presented in Table 1 and S1 Table. Differences in trace metal concentrations between the strains were observed, with *M. bryantii* having the highest total metal content per gram of dried cell mass ( $3.03E+05$  ppm  $g^{-1}$ ) and MAB1 the lowest ( $1.71E+05$  ppm  $g^{-1}$ ). Wash liquid analysis showed low levels of metals, indicating a low degree of cell lysis and concomitant leaching of trace metals into the wash medium (S2 Table). Thus, measured trace metal concentrations in the dried cell mass can be considered reliable.

Iron content in the methanogens ranged from 671 to 1280 ppm  $g^{-1}$ , with the lowest values observed for *M. barkeri* and the highest for *M. bryantii*. Among the trace elements, Mo was the most abundant for all three species studied in the cell pellets and Ni the least abundant. Cobalt

**Table 1. ICP analysis of iron (Fe), cobalt (Co), molybdenum (Mo) and nickel (Ni) in cell pellets of the three methanogen species investigated.** Concentrations are given in ppm  $g^{-1}$  of the dried cell material (10 000 ppm = 1wt%). Blank samples are growth medium samples without added microorganisms and no added trace metal solution. DL = detection limit.

	<i>M. barkeri</i>	<i>M. bourgensis</i> MAB1	<i>M. bryantii</i>	Blank (wash medium)
Methane at termination *	526±75.1	1040±99.2	867±113	-
Co**	37.8±1.51	22.05±0.88	12.4±0.5	<DL***
Fe**	671±26.8	821±32.8	1280±51.2	5.53±2.40***
Mo**	44.5±1.78	55.4±2.22	139±5.58	<DL***
Ni**	38.7±1.55	29.8±1.19	21.6±0.86	2.45±0.64***
Total content**	7.92E+02	9.28E+02	1.45E+03	7.98***

\*Total gas content in mmol

\*\*ppm  $g^{-1}$  dried cell mass

\*\*\* $\mu g L^{-1}$  (see S1 Table for details)

Highest element uptake marked in yellow and lowest in blue.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0168357.t001

and Ni were present in similar concentrations in the different species and both Co and Mo were highest in *M. bryantii*.


## Impact of Ni on methanogenic growth

The growth experiments performed at different Ni levels showed that for *Methanoculleus* strain MAB1 and *M. bryantii*, neither absence of Ni from the medium nor elevated levels of Ni in the medium influenced the rate or total amount of CH<sub>4</sub> produced during cultivation (Fig 2 and S3 Table). In contrast, production of CH<sub>4</sub> by *M. barkeri* was clearly reduced both when no Ni was added and when the Ni concentration was increased, with this effect being even more pronounced after a second refill of H<sub>2</sub> (Fig 2). Total terminal CH<sub>4</sub> content was highest ( $104 \pm 3.86$  μmol) for *M. barkeri* when normal medium was used and lowest when excess ( $89.6 \pm 5.94$  μmol) or no Ni ( $77.7 \pm 5.33$  μmol) was added. Analysis of the Ni content in the supernatant growth medium at the end of the experiment confirmed that there were statistically significant differences between the medium supernatants (Table 2).

Analysis of the Ni content at the end of the experiment confirmed the differences between species and also showed that the non-supplemented medium had trace amounts of Ni. Quantification of the methanogens at different Ni levels by qPCR at the end of the cultivation experiments showed similar gene abundance (16S rRNA) for all methanogens (Table 2). Acquired value for *M. bourgensis* MAB1 was  $8.59 \pm 0.16$  to  $8.78 \pm 0.53$ , for *M. barkeri*  $8.43 \pm 0.44$  to  $9.08 \pm 0.61$  and for *M. bryantii*  $9.05 \pm 0.83$  to  $9.50 \pm 0.81$  log gene abundance mL<sup>-1</sup> (Table 2). No significant differences in gene abundance between the different species ( $p = 0.19$ ,  $F < F_{crit}$ ) at any Ni level ( $r = -0.04$ ) could be observed using a one-way ANOVA test.

Different Ni concentrations in the growth medium had different effects on H<sub>2</sub> consumption and final H<sub>2</sub> threshold levels for the three methanogens studied (Fig 3 and S4 Table). For *M. barkeri*, no change in H<sub>2</sub> consumption was seen between the experiments, but a difference was seen for the final H<sub>2</sub> value, which levelled off at  $31.7 \pm 5.58$  Pa for the full medium,  $60.8 \pm 21.1$  Pa for the high Ni medium and  $71.4 \pm 24.4$  Pa for the medium with no added Ni. The lowest observed  $pH_2$  (13.1 Pa) for *M. barkeri* was obtained during growth with normal medium before refilling with H<sub>2</sub>, while the high and no added Ni treatments gave  $pH_2$  values of  $22.1 \pm 1.41$  and  $18.6 \pm 9.96$ , respectively. For *M. bryantii*, a change in consumption was seen only after refilling with H<sub>2</sub>. Consumption of H<sub>2</sub> seemed to be faster in cultures grown at standard Ni concentration. As observed for *M. barkeri*, the final H<sub>2</sub> concentration differed in the cultures grown at different Ni levels. In the high Ni medium (4.21 μM Ni), the  $pH_2$  reached  $0.87 \pm 1.35$  Pa and  $52.8 \pm 22.4$  Pa before and after the H<sub>2</sub> refill, respectively. With the normal medium the final  $pH_2$  value was  $14.4 \pm 0.33$  Pa and for the medium with no added Ni it was  $50.6 \pm 19.8$  Pa. Before the refill, however, the normal and Ni-free treatments reached relatively low  $pH_2$  levels of  $3.19 \pm 4.63$  Pa and  $2.50 \pm 4.36$  Pa, respectively. MAB1 showed a similar trend to *M. bryantii*, but the differences in consumption between the different Ni concentrations were less pronounced. Terminal  $pH_2$  after the H<sub>2</sub> refill was lower for *M. bourgensis* MAB1 with the normal growth medium ( $0.66 \pm 0.33$  Pa) than with the high Ni medium ( $36.0 \pm 34.2$  Pa) or the medium with no added Ni ( $31.1 \pm 35.2$  Pa). The  $pH_2$  reached levels as low as 0.10–0.17 Pa in all MAB1 experiments (with or without Ni) before the H<sub>2</sub> gas refill (Fig 3 and S4 Table).

The level of CO in the cultures decreased over time and no significant differences were observed in the first period between the different media, i.e. with or without added Ni. However, after the H<sub>2</sub> refill (at 68 days), there was a trend for differences between the no added Ni, Ni-enriched and normal growth medium in the cultures with *M. barkeri* and *M. bryantii* (Fig 4). Levels of CO were lowest in the cultures with *M. bourgensis* MAB1 and its cultures also showed the least difference between the different Ni levels.





**Fig 2.** Total CH<sub>4</sub> formation (μmol) by a) *M. bourgensis* MAB1, b) *M. barkeri* and c) *M. bryantii*, plotted as a function of time. A refill of H<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> (1 atm) was made before the fifth sampling point (i.e. after 68 days of incubation).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0168357.g002

## Discussion


### Mo, Co, Ni in cells

The Mo concentration in the cells of the methanogens studied was higher than the Ni and Co concentrations in all three species, but with a relatively higher level in *M. bryantii* (Table 1). The opposite was found in a study by Scherer et al. [4,5,24], in which Ni uptake was higher in *M. bryantii* than in *M. barkeri*. The Ni concentrations in our study (range 22–29 ppm) are low compared with the values of 60–150 ppm reported by Scherer et al. [6,7,24], although the highest Ni content was found in *M. barkeri* in both studies. The lower Ni cell content observed here can be attributed to use of 0.2 μM NiCl<sub>2</sub> growth medium, whereas Scherer et al. [8,24] used growth medium with 5 μM NiCl<sub>2</sub>. In a previous study [2,9–16], growth of a methanogen belonging to the genus *Methanobacterium* (*M. thermoautotrophicum*) was stimulated by addition of Ni, Co and Mo, but in contrast to the present study, it was concluded that the requirement for Ni was higher than that for Co and Mo [6,10]. In another study, the growth of *M. thermoautotrophicum*, *M. bryantii* and *M. barkeri* was found to be stimulated by addition of Co and Ni, but not Mo [12,17]. However, that study concluded that growth was best stimulated by addition of all three trace elements together, since with the addition of only one element microbial activity was limited by the requirement for the next most limiting trace element [11]. Growth limitation because of the need for a trace element other than Ni can also explain some of the findings in the present study. The higher concentration of Mo than Ni and Co found in microbial cells is puzzling and could possibly be explained by a difference in the pH of the cells relative to that of the growth medium. Molybdenum is strongly bound to organic compounds and elements at a wide range of pH values and may have accumulated not only in the cells themselves, but also in any organic compounds released into the solution, making Mo more bioavailable [18,25]. Low molecular weight organic compounds are sometimes employed by bacteria and fungi to chelate trace elements, as a way to increase bioavailability, by released chelating agents strongly attached to metal ions and facilitating ion transport into cells [18,26]. However, binding of Ni to organic compounds increases with increasing pH [2,27] and Ni may not be chelated as strongly as Mo. Moreover, compared with Ni, Co is considered moderately bioavailable [19,28] and may thus be less accumulated in cell pellets. Molybdenum concentrations in the wash medium (S2 Table) were below the detection limit, suggesting that a major proportion of the Mo observed originally in the medium (50 μg L<sup>-1</sup>) was actually consumed by the cells.

**Table 2.** Terminal gene abundance (log gene abundance mL<sup>-1</sup> growth medium) and Ni concentration (μM) in all experiments.

Experiment setup (Ni concentration in μM)	Log gene abundance mL <sup>-1</sup>	±	Terminal Ni (μM)	±
MAB1 (0.2 μM)	8.75	0.86	0.54	22.78
MAB1 (0 μM)	8.78	0.53	0.19	10.75
MAB1 (4.21 μM)	8.59	0.16	3.47	18.6
<i>M. barkeri</i> (0.2 μM)	8.77	0.4	0.44	11.01
<i>M. barkeri</i> (0 μM)	9.08	0.61	0.08	1.75
<i>M. barkeri</i> (4.21 μM)	8.43	0.44	2.77	45.22
<i>M. bryantii</i> (0.2 μM)	9.06	0.43	0.46	16.7
<i>M. bryantii</i> (0 μM)	9.05	0.83	0.22	10.14
<i>M. bryantii</i> (4.21 μM)	9.5	0.81	3.55	52.89

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0168357.t002



**Fig 3.** Hydrogen partial pressure ( $p_{\text{H}_2}$ , Pa) during growth of a) *M. bourgensis* MAB1, b) *M. barkeri* and c) *M. bryantii*, plotted as a function of time. A refill of  $\text{H}_2/\text{CO}_2$  (1 atm, 20:80) was made before the fifth sampling point (i.e. after 68 days of incubation).


doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0168357.g003

A positive correlation between Ni and Co was observed for all species, but also similar total concentrations of these two elements in *M. barkeri*. The Co present in methanogens is mainly found in corrinoid enzymes and, because *M. barkeri* contains the highest amount of corrinoids [20,29], it is logical that this methanogen also had the highest Co concentration (Table 1). The amount of corrinoids are higher in *M. barkeri* at methylotrophic than at hydrogenotrophic conditions. However, even at hydrogenotrophic conditions, the amount of corrinoids are still higher in *M. barkeri* than in *Methanobacterium* species [21,30]. Moreover, it has been shown that the hydrogenotrophic *Methanobacterium* species have a low requirement for Co. In line with findings by Florencio et al. [22,29,31], a higher Co content was observed in this study for *M. barkeri* and a lower concentration for the hydrogenotrophic *M. bryantii*. Methanogens with and without cytochromes sometimes behave differently as regards electron carrier processes and methane yield, and this may also influence trace metal uptake/content in the cells. *Methanoculleus bourgensis* MAB and *M. bryantii* are both cytochrome-free species, and thus they have the ability to substitute Fe for Ni (see detailed description below) under Ni-limiting conditions [2]. Nickel limitation most likely prevailed in our experiments, even with the normal medium, due to precipitation of Ni sulphides. In a study by Gonzalez-Gil [11], severe Ni limitation was observed in anaerobic sludge bed experiments, due to precipitation of Ni-incorporating sulphides and, although addition of 4 and 40  $\mu\text{M}$  of Ni increased the  $\text{CH}_4$  production rate during the first 40 hours of growth, it then decreased again. The slow dissolution of sulphides lowered Ni availability in the growth medium and therefore continuous addition of Ni was required in order to overcome precipitation-induced limitation of Ni in the medium. Organic ligands such as EDTA have also been shown to strongly bind to Ni, hindering the uptake of Ni by methanogen cells [32].

### Ni depletion experiment (no added yeast extract)

Previous experiments with a methanogenic pure culture of a non-cytochrome containing hydrogenotrophic methanogen (*Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum*) have reported slower growth rate during cultivation without Ni, with the maximum growth obtained when 1  $\mu\text{M}$  Ni was added to the growth medium, whereupon growth was limited by the supply of  $\text{H}_2$  rather than the supply of Ni [9]. In the present study, the methanogen species responded differently to the second addition of  $\text{H}_2$  after 68 days of incubation, with divergent  $\text{CH}_4$  formation rates at different Ni levels only for *M. barkeri* (Fig 2). This difference in response suggests that the level of Ni rather than  $\text{H}_2$  was restricting  $\text{CH}_4$  formation by this methanogen. Moreover, no significant differences in gene abundance were observed between the different Ni concentrations at the end of the experiments, indicating that all methanogens obtained similar amounts of energy during growth at various Ni levels (Table 2). Formation of  $\text{CH}_4$  is directly coupled to energy production and thus the lowest gene abundance would have been expected for *M. barkeri* in the cultures grown without Ni addition, but this was not the case. Instead, the differences in  $\text{CH}_4$  production may be explained by differences in activity and not growth.

Thauer et al. [19] showed that methanogens with cytochromes, such as *M. barkeri*, have a higher growth yield than methanogens without cytochromes, such as *M. bourgensis* MAB1 and *M. bryantii*. Conversion of ADP to ATP in a living cell (standard state at pH 7) requires at least  $-50 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  and conversion of  $\text{CO}_2$  to  $\text{CH}_4$  using  $\text{H}_2$  ( $\Delta G^{\circ'} = -10$  to  $-40 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ) allows for synthesis of up to 3 moles of ATP per mole of converted  $\text{CO}_2$ . However, this is dependent on




**Fig 4.** Carbon monoxide partial pressure ( $p_{CO}$ , Pa) during growth of a) *M. bourgensis* MAB1, b) *M. barkeri* and c) *M. bryantii*, plotted as a function of time.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0168357.g004

$pH_2$  and, since many hydrogenotrophs are adapted to ATP generation in low  $pH_2$  environments, the growth is lower due to decreased energy gain through reduction of  $CO_2$ . The Ni depletion experiments in the present study confirmed the differences in total  $CH_4$  production between cytochrome-free and cytochrome-containing methanogens, with *M. barkeri* having the highest concentration of  $CH_4$  throughout the experiment with optimal growth medium (S3 Table). In the experiments with added yeast extract, and in the experiments with non-optimal concentrations of Ni, however, *M. bourgensis* MAB1 had an advantage over the other two species.

The importance of yeast extract for  $CH_4$  production was shown for all three methanogens studied and the total  $CH_4$  was an order of magnitude higher in the yeast extract-supplemented medium than in the cultures without yeast extract addition. This positive impact of yeast extract is not unique for this experiment or for the species studied but has been described elsewhere, and is most likely an effect of increased activity caused by various growth factors present in the yeast extract [33,34]. The presence of yeast extract also accentuated the difference in  $CH_4$  production between the three species, suggesting differences in growth requirements.

The results showed that the formation of  $CH_4$  by *M. barkeri* was influenced by Ni limitation, with increased production with increasing Ni concentration in the growth medium (Fig 2). However, the growth rate of *M. bryantii* and *M. bourgensis* MAB1 did not show any differences between Ni-enriched medium and medium with no added Ni. This seemingly higher need for Ni by *M. barkeri* is also supported by its higher levels of Ni per unit dried cell mass (Table 1). Various substrate preferences and metabolic pathways, as well as the fact that *M. barkeri* contains cytochromes, could possibly explain these differences in response between the species. For example, *M. barkeri* uses a methanophenazine-reducing [NiFe]-hydrogenase (VhtACG), which is restricted to methanogens with cytochromes [2]. In contrast, some methanogens without cytochromes have been shown to synthesise a Ni-free [Fe]-hydrogenase, instead of the  $F_{420}$ -reducing [NiFe]-hydrogenase, under Ni-limiting conditions, thus reducing their need for Ni. This Ni-free [Fe]-hydrogenase is involved in the reduction of  $CO_2$  to  $CH_4$  through reaction 1 [35], and its presence in *M. bourgensis* MAB1 and *M. bryantii* could explain why these methanogens did not show any reduced  $CH_4$  production when Ni levels were low (Fig 2). Trace amounts of Ni in the non-supplemented growth medium may also have influenced the outcome and explain the lack of dramatic responsive of the strains to Ni concentrations.



The level of Ni in the medium not only influenced  $CH_4$  formation in *M. barkeri*, *M. bryantii* and *M. bourgensis* MAB1, but also somewhat  $H_2$  consumption and the final  $pH_2$  level, with a pronounced effect after the second refill of  $H_2$  for all three strains (Fig 3). All three methanogens were efficient in lowering  $pH_2$  during growth in the optimal medium, whereas both Ni-enriched medium and medium with no added Ni seemed to lower the  $H_2$  consumption efficiency. However,  $H_2$  uptake in optimal medium with *M. bryantii* and *M. bourgensis* MAB1 seemed to be most efficient directly after refill, since there was little or no  $H_2$  peak in those bottles after the  $H_2$  refill, indicating that  $H_2$  was rapidly consumed. Excess Ni affected *M. barkeri* negatively and *M. bryantii* positively before the refill, but by the end of the experiments excess Ni and no added Ni treatments were both equally limiting for  $pH_2$  with all three strains. Addition of no or excess Ni seemed to have no profound effect on  $H_2$  uptake during a longer time interval.

**Table 3. Comparison of H<sub>2</sub> partial pressure (pH<sub>2</sub>, Pa) threshold levels for methanogen species reported in this and other studies.**

Species, Ni concentration	pH <sub>2</sub> (Pa) before refill	stdev	pH <sub>2</sub> (Pa) terminal	stdev	Reference no. in this study
MAB1 No added Ni	0.15	0.13	31.07	35.19	
MAB1 4.21 μM	0.10	0.02	35.95	34.18	
MAB1 0.2 μM	0.17	0.01	0.66	0.33	
<i>M. bryantii</i> No added Ni	2.50	4.36	50.58	19.78	
<i>M. bryantii</i> 4.21 μM	0.87	1.35	52.83	22.37	
<i>M. bryantii</i> 0.2 μM	3.19	4.63	14.41	0.33	
<i>M. barkeri</i> No added Ni	18.64	9.96	71.42	24.40	
<i>M. barkeri</i> 4.21 μM	22.06	1.41	60.83	21.10	
<i>M. barkeri</i> 0.2 μM	13.12	2.12	31.67	5.58	
<i>M. wolfei</i>			15	-	[44]
<i>M. formicicum</i>			4	-	[44]
<i>M. barkeri</i>			46	-	[44]
<i>M. maripaludis</i>			9	-	[44]
<i>M. bryantii</i>			2	-	[45]
<i>M. acetivorans</i>			20	-	[46]
<i>M. thermophila</i>			50	-	[46]
<i>M. blatticola</i>			0.7		[47]

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0168357.t003

The toxic concentration of Ni that limits production of CH<sub>4</sub> has been shown to be 400 μM for an anaerobic methanotrophic-methanogenic granular sludge bed [11] and 60 μM [36] for an anaerobic acetate-enriched digester, but in our experiments limitation in H<sub>2</sub> uptake and CH<sub>4</sub> production occurred already at 4.21 μM. However, it is not entirely appropriate to compare pure cultures and sludge cultures because many factors control toxicity, such as the presence of organic acids or syntrophic systems [37,38]. Few previous studies have investigated H<sub>2</sub> consumption specifically coupled to Ni in pure culture. The H<sub>2</sub> thresholds observed in the present study varied for the different methanogen species and were clearly also affected by different Ni concentrations (Fig 3), especially by the end of the experiments. Low availability of Ni due to precipitation of insoluble or almost insoluble Ni complexes [32,39] or due to consumption is most likely the reason for the decreased H<sub>2</sub> consumption after refilling. The lowest pH<sub>2</sub> was obtained for *M. bourgensis* MAB1, for which H<sub>2</sub> consumption produced values as low as 0.1 Pa (S4 Table, Table 3). Previous studies have reported H<sub>2</sub> thresholds for various methanogens (grown in medium with added yeast) down to partial pressures as low as 0,7 Pa (cf. Table 3). In biogas reactors, *M. bourgensis* has been shown to be commonly associated with syntrophic acetate oxidation (SAO), a reaction dependent on very low pH<sub>2</sub> to be energetically favourable, [40]. The extremely efficient H<sub>2</sub> consumption shown in the present study may explain this correlation to SAO. Studies evaluating the H<sub>2</sub> threshold for the obligate H<sub>2</sub>-oxidising methanogen *M. bryantii* reported values of 0.4 nM H<sub>2</sub> and showed that at the thermodynamic threshold (i.e. when the available Gibbs (G) free energy is at the lowest level thought to be needed for growth), H<sub>2</sub> consumption is not controlled by ΔG for the first step in the methanogenesis pathway, where H<sub>2</sub> is oxidised and CH<sub>4</sub> is produced (from H<sub>2</sub> + CO<sub>2</sub>), but by a separate process where electrons from the oxidation of H<sub>2</sub> are stored in a cellular, solid electron sink [41,42]. Karadagli et. al. (2006) hypothesize, based on thermodynamic calculations and experiments that this electron sink must be a solid-phase component (with an invariant activity) due to the observed oxidation of H<sub>2</sub> without production of CH<sub>4</sub> of starved *M. bryantii* cells. In their experiments, they repeatedly attained very low H<sub>2</sub> thresholds, suggesting separate



pathways for H<sub>2</sub> consumption and CH<sub>4</sub> production. This has also been indicated in studies of syntrophic fermentation reactions, where it has been shown that only one-third of an ATP-unit is sufficient for the conversion of metabolic energy through the transportation of an ion across the cytoplasmic membrane [43].

Accordingly, the  $pH_2$  threshold does not need to be directly translated to a minimum amount of  $pH_2$  needed for thermodynamically favourable ATP synthesis. Instead, reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> to CH<sub>4</sub> could use reducing capacity from stored electrons in the cell, which could explain the low  $pH_2$  for *M. bourgensis* MAB1. *Methanosarcina barkeri* had the highest  $pH_2$  among the methanogens studied. In addition to H<sub>2</sub>, *M. barkeri* can use acetate for CH<sub>4</sub> production and, as mentioned previously, uses enzymes that are not present in the cytochrome-free methanogens [48]. These features have been cited in previous studies as an explanation for the higher  $pH_2$  requirement for conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> to CH<sub>4</sub> [2,19,49,50]. The two-step acetoclastic pathway used by *M. barkeri* evolved through horizontal gene transfer between bacteria and archaea, an event speculated to have occurred no later than 475 Ma, i.e. Mid-Ordovician [51]. Through evolution to this additional metabolic pathway, involving a major change in mechanism of energy conservation, *M. barkeri* is believed to have lost its ability to grow under as low  $pH_2$  as the obligate hydrogenotrophs [2,19,52]. Therefore, it is not surprising that *M. barkeri* grew at higher  $pH_2$  than the two other species.

Carbon monoxide has been shown to exist in both aerobic and anaerobic waste degradation but the formation of CO is still poorly understood [53,54] especially in pure culture experiments. Methanogens both consume and produce CO using the carbon monoxide dehydrogenase CODH or acetyl coenzyme A synthase (ACS), thus causing pulses of CO forming in anaerobic reactors. It has also been speculated that CO is formed abiotically from the degradation of various organic substrates [53–55]. The source of CO in our experiments is likely both from formation through degradation of organic precursors and from the transferred inoculate at the beginning of the experiments. The decrease in CO was most pronounced during cultivation of *M. bourgensis* MAB1 (Fig 4), but did not seem to be affected by Ni level. In contrast, the CO decrease for the cytochrome-containing *M. barkeri* was clearly affected by Ni level. These results are in line with the fact that the Wood-Ljungdahl pathway for reduction of CO to CH<sub>4</sub> is influenced by Ni depletion in cytochrome-free methanogens, through up-regulation of Ni-free [Fe]-hydrogenase [35]. Consumption of CO in *M. barkeri* can therefore be expected to be more sensitive to Ni level than in the other two cytochrome-free species. However, *M. bryantii* is also affected by Ni concentration, even though it has no cytochromes, because its CO uptake is regulated by CODH and ACS, where the latter has a Ni centre [1]. This would explain the differences in CO uptake with different Ni concentrations observed for *M. bryantii* and *M. barkeri*. However, it is still unclear why CO uptake by *M. bourgensis* MAB1 did not seem to be affected by varying Ni concentrations and further studies are needed in order to explain those results.

## Conclusions

This study showed that Ni availability for methanogens influenced their consumption of CO and H<sub>2</sub>, but not notably production of CH<sub>4</sub>. Nickel absence and enrichment both affected consumption of CO and H<sub>2</sub>, with a more pronounced effect when the level of Ni was low. It should also be noted that even though the rate decrease in gas production was affected by the Ni level, methanogenesis was high even when no Ni was added to the growth medium (although trace amounts were still available). Threshold  $pH_2$  of all methanogens studied was affected by Ni concentration and very low  $pH_2$  values were measured in the experiments with *M. bourgensis* MAB1 at optimal growth conditions.

## Supporting Information

**S1 Table. Element concentrations ( $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ ) in the cell digestion experiments.**  
(PDF)

**S2 Table. Element (Co, Fe, Mo and Ni) concentrations ( $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ ) in wash medium, supernatant and cell pellets in the cell digestion experiments.**  
(PDF)

**S3 Table. Measured accumulated  $\text{CH}_4$  ( $\mu\text{mol}$ ) at different incubation times (days).**  
(PDF)

**S4 Table. Hydrogen partial pressure ( $\text{pH}_2$ , Pa) (mean of three replicates) at different incubation times (days).** The empty cells for days 105 and 119 represent too high content of  $\text{H}_2$  in order to be measured by PP1.  
(PDF)

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the Swedish National Space Board (Project number DNR-100/13) for sponsoring this work and the Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences and Formas (2012–807) for additional financial support. Thanks also to Ernest Chi-Fru for constructive discussions, Mary McAfee for invaluable help with the language and Carl-Magnus Mörth for help with ICP-OES analyses.

## Author Contributions

**Conceptualization:** AN.

**Data curation:** AN AS AP NC SS.

**Formal analysis:** AN.

**Funding acquisition:** AN AS.

**Investigation:** AN.

**Methodology:** AN AS.

**Project administration:** AN.

**Resources:** AN AS.

**Supervision:** AN AS.

**Validation:** AN AS.

**Visualization:** AN.

**Writing – original draft:** AN.

**Writing – review & editing:** AN AS AP NC SS.

## References

1. Kung Y, Drennan CL. A role for nickel–iron cofactors in biological carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide utilization. *Current opinion in chemical biology*. 2011; 15: 276–283. doi: [10.1016/j.cbpa.2010.11.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2010.11.005) PMID: [21130022](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21130022/)

2. Thauer RK, Kaster A-K, Goenrich M, Schick M, Hiromoto T, Shima S. Hydrogenases from Methanogenic Archaea, Nickel, a Novel Cofactor, and H<sub>2</sub> Storage. *Annu Rev Biochem*. 2010; 79: 507–536. doi: [10.1146/annurev.biochem.030508.152103](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biochem.030508.152103) PMID: [20235826](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20235826/)
3. Liu Y, Beer LL, Whitman WB. Methanogens: a window into ancient sulfur metabolism. *Trends in Microbiology*. Elsevier; 2012; 20: 251–258. doi: [10.1016/j.tim.2012.02.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2012.02.002) PMID: [22406173](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22406173/)
4. Konhauser KO, Pecoits E, Lalonde SV, Papineau D, Nisbet EG, Barley ME, et al. Oceanic nickel depletion and a methanogen famine before the Great Oxidation Event. *Nature*. Nature Publishing Group; 2009; 458: 750–753. doi: [10.1038/nature07858](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07858) PMID: [19360085](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19360085/)
5. Konhauser KO, Robbins LJ, Pecoits E, Peacock C, Kappler A, Lalonde SV. The Archean Nickel Famine Revisited. *Astrobiology*. 2015; 15: 804–815. doi: [10.1089/ast.2015.1301](https://doi.org/10.1089/ast.2015.1301) PMID: [26426143](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26426143/)
6. Choong YY, Norli I, Abdullah AZ, Yhaya MF. Impacts of trace element supplementation on the performance of anaerobic digestion process: A critical review. *Bioresource Technology*. 2016; 209: 369–379. doi: [10.1016/j.biortech.2016.03.028](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.03.028) PMID: [27005788](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27005788/)
7. Westerholm M. Biogas production through the syntrophic acetate-oxidising pathway. Doctoral Thesis—Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences. 2012.
8. Morris BEL, Henneberger R, Huber H, Moissl-Eichinger C. Microbial syntrophy: interaction for the common good. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*. 2013; 37: 384–406. doi: [10.1111/1574-6976.12019](https://doi.org/10.1111/1574-6976.12019) PMID: [23480449](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23480449/)
9. Diekert G, Konheiser U, Piechulla K. Nickel requirement and factor F430 content of methanogenic bacteria. *Journal of Bacteriology*. 1981; 148: 459–464. PMID: [7298577](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/7298577/)
10. Schönheit P, Moll J, Thauer RK. Nickel, cobalt, and molybdenum requirement for growth of *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum*. *Arch Microbiol*. 1979; 123: 105–107. PMID: [120728](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/120728/)
11. Gonzalez-Gil G, Kleerebezem R, Lettinga G. Effects of Nickel and Cobalt on Kinetics of Methanol Conversion by Methanogenic Sludge as Assessed by On-Line CH<sub>4</sub> Monitoring. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 1999; 65: 1789–1793. PMID: [10103284](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/10103284/)
12. Murray WD, van den Berg L. Effects of nickel, cobalt, and molybdenum on performance of methanogenic fixed-film reactors. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 1981; 42: 502–505. PMID: [16345846](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16345846/)
13. Speece RE, Parkin GF, Gallagher D. Nickel stimulation of anaerobic digestion. *Water Research*. 1983; 17: 677–683.
14. Scheller S, Goenrich M, Boecher R, Thauer RK, Jaun B. The key nickel enzyme of methanogenesis catalyses the anaerobic oxidation of methane. *Nature*. Nature Publishing Group; 2010; 465: 606–608. doi: [10.1038/nature09015](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09015) PMID: [20520712](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20520712/)
15. Zirngibl C, Hedderich R, Thauer RK. N<sub>5</sub>,N<sub>10</sub>-Methylenetetrahydromethanopterin dehydrogenase from *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum* has hydrogenase activity. *FEBS Letters*. 1990; 261: 112–116.
16. Thauer RK, Klein AR, Hartmann GC. Reactions with molecular hydrogen in microorganisms: evidence for a purely organic hydrogenation catalyst. *Chem Rev*. 1996; 96: 3031–3042. PMID: [11848851](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11848851/)
17. Müller B, Sun L, Westerholm M, Schnürer A. Bacterial community composition and fhs profiles of low- and high-ammonia biogas digesters reveal novel syntrophic acetate-oxidising bacteria. *Biotechnology for Biofuels* 2015 8:1. 3rd ed. 2016; 9: 1363.
18. Costa KC, Leigh JA. Metabolic versatility in methanogens. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*. 2014; 29: 70–75. doi: [10.1016/j.copbio.2014.02.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2014.02.012) PMID: [24662145](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24662145/)
19. Thauer RK, Kaster A-K, Seedorf H, Buckel W, Hedderich R. Methanogenic archaea: ecologically relevant differences in energy conservation. *Nature Reviews*. Nature Publishing Group; 2008; 6: 579–591. doi: [10.1038/nrmicro1931](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1931) PMID: [18587410](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18587410/)
20. Schnürer A, Zellner G, Svensson BH. Mesophilic syntrophic acetate oxidation during methane formation in biogas reactors. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*. 1999; 29: 249–261.
21. Zehnder AJB, Huser BA, Brock TD, Wuhrmann K. Characterization of an acetate-decarboxylating, non-hydrogen-oxidizing methane bacterium. *Arch Microbiol*. Springer-Verlag; 1980; 124: 1–11. PMID: [6769415](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/6769415/)
22. Westerholm M, Roos S, Schnürer A. *Syntrophaceticus schinkii* gen. nov., sp. nov., an anaerobic, syntrophic acetate-oxidizing bacterium isolated from a mesophilic anaerobic filter. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*. 2010; 309: 100–104. doi: [10.1111/j.1574-6968.2010.02023.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2010.02023.x) PMID: [20546311](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20546311/)
23. Narihiro T, Sekiguchi Y. Oligonucleotide primers, probes and molecular methods for the environmental monitoring of methanogenic archaea. *Microbial Biotechnology*. Blackwell Publishing Ltd; 2011; 4: 585–602. doi: [10.1111/j.1751-7915.2010.00239.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-7915.2010.00239.x) PMID: [21375721](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21375721/)

24. Scherer P, Lippert H, Wolff G. Composition of the major elements and trace elements of 10 methanogenic bacteria determined by inductively coupled plasma emission spectrometry. *Biol Trace Elem Res Humana Press*; 1983; 5: 149–163. doi: [10.1007/BF02916619](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02916619) PMID: [24263482](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24263482/)
25. Wichard T, Mishra B, Myneni SCB, Bellenger J-P, Kraepiel AML. Storage and bioavailability of molybdenum in soils increased by organic matter complexation: Article: *Nature Geoscience*. Nature Geoscience. Nature Publishing Group; 2009; 2: 625–629.
26. Dwyer FP, Mellor DP, editors. *Front Matter. Chelating Agents and Metal Chelates*. Academic Press CY; 1964. pp. iii EP–.
27. Weng L, Lexmond TM, Wolthoorn A, Temminghoff EJM, van Riemsdijk WH. Phytotoxicity and bioavailability of nickel: Chemical speciation and bioaccumulation. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*. Wiley Periodicals, Inc; 2003; 22: 2180–2187. PMID: [12959548](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12959548/)
28. Shagufta J. *Environmental Biotechnology*. 1st ed. Nangia SB, editor. APH Publishing Corporation; 2007.
29. Florencio L, Jeniček P, Field JA, Lettinga G. Effect of cobalt on the anaerobic degradation of methanol. *Journal of fermentation and Bioengineering*. 1993; 75: 368–374.
30. Krzycki J, Zeikus JG. Quantification of corrinoids in methanogenic bacteria. *Current Microbiology*. 1980; 3: 243–245. doi: [10.1007/BF02602456](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02602456) PMID: [27520770](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27520770/)
31. Florencio L, Field JA, Lettinga G. Importance of Cobalt for Individual Trophic Groups in an Anaerobic Methanol-Degrading Consortium. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 1994; 60: 227–234. PMID: [8117078](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8117078/)
32. Pramanik P, Kim PJ. Effect of limited nickel availability on methane emission from EDTA treated soils: Coenzyme M an alternative biomarker for methanogens. *Chemosphere*. 2013; 90: 873–876. doi: [10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.07.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.07.008) PMID: [22883109](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22883109/)
33. Li M, Liao X, Zhang D, Du G, Chen J. Yeast Extract Promotes Cell Growth and Induces Production of Polyvinyl Alcohol-Degrading Enzymes. *Enzyme Research*. Hindawi Publishing Corporation; 2011; 2011: 1–8.
34. Gonzalez-Gil G, Jansen S, Zandvoort MH, van Leeuwen HP. Effect of yeast extract on speciation and bioavailability of nickel and cobalt in anaerobic bioreactors. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*. Wiley Subscription Services, Inc., A Wiley Company; 2003; 82: 134–142. doi: [10.1002/bit.10551](https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.10551) PMID: [12584755](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12584755/)
35. Afting C, Kremmer E, Brucker C, Hochheimer A, Thauer RK. Regulation of the synthesis of H<sub>2</sub>-forming methylenetetrahydromethanopterin dehydrogenase (Hmd) and of HmdII and HmdIII in *Methanothermobacter marburgensis*. *Arch Microbiol*. Springer-Verlag; 2000; 174: 225–232. PMID: [11081790](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11081790/)
36. Bhattacharya SK, Safferman AG. Determination of bio available nickel concentrations in inhibited methanogenic systems. *Environmental Technology Letters*. Taylor & Francis Group; 1989; 10: 725–730.
37. Chen Y, Cheng JJ, Creamer KS. Inhibition of anaerobic digestion process: a review. *Bioresource Technology*. 2008; 99: 4044–4064. doi: [10.1016/j.biortech.2007.01.057](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2007.01.057) PMID: [17399981](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17399981/)
38. Laskin AI. *Advances in Applied Microbiology*. Elsevier Science; 1983.
39. Shakeri Yekta S, Svensson BH, Björn A, Skyllberg U. Thermodynamic modeling of iron and trace metal solubility and speciation under sulfidic and ferruginous conditions in full scale continuous stirred tank biogas reactors. *Applied Geochemistry*. 2014; 47: 61–73.
40. Westerholm M, Crauwels S, Houtmeyers S, Meerbergen K, Van Geel M, Lievens B, et al. Microbial community dynamics linked to enhanced substrate availability and biogas production of electrokinetically pre-treated waste activated sludge. *Bioresource Technology*. 2016; 218: 761–770. doi: [10.1016/j.biortech.2016.07.029](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.07.029) PMID: [27423543](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27423543/)
41. Karadagli F, Rittmann BE. Thermodynamic and kinetic analysis of the H<sub>2</sub> threshold for *Methanobacterium bryantii* M.o.H. 2006; 18: 439–452. doi: [10.1007/s10532-006-9073-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10532-006-9073-7) PMID: [17091351](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17091351/)
42. Karadagli F, Rittmann BE. A mathematical model for the kinetics of *Methanobacterium bryantii* MoH considering hydrogen thresholds. *Biodegradation*. 2007; 18: 453–464. doi: [10.1007/s10532-006-9078-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10532-006-9078-2) PMID: [17096208](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17096208/)
43. Schink B. Energetics of syntrophic cooperation in methanogenic degradation. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*. 1997; 61: 262–280. PMID: [9184013](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9184013/)
44. Kral TA, Brink KM, Miller SL, McKay CP. Hydrogen Consumption by Methanogens on the Early Earth. *Orig Life Evol Biosph*. 1998; 28: 311–319. PMID: [9611769](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9611769/)
45. Conrad R, Wetter B. Influence of temperature on energetics of hydrogen metabolism in homoacetogenic, methanogenic, and other anaerobic bacteria. *Arch Microbiol*. Springer-Verlag; 1990; 155: 94–98.

46. Lee MJ, Zinder SHCUINU. Hydrogen partial pressures in a thermophilic acetate-oxidizing methanogenic coculture. [THF, *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum*]. *Water Resources Research*; (USA). 1988; 54:6.
47. Sprenger WW, Hackstein JHP, Keltjens JT. The competitive success of *Methanomicrococcus blatticola*, a dominant methylotrophic methanogen in the cockroach hindgut, is supported by high substrate affinities and favorable thermodynamics. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*. 2007; 60: 266–275. doi: [10.1111/j.1574-6941.2007.00287.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2007.00287.x) PMID: [17367516](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17367516/)
48. Ferry JG. Methane from acetate. *Journal of Bacteriology*. 1992; 174: 5489–5495. PMID: [1512186](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/1512186/)
49. Lovley DR, Dwyer DF, Klug MJ. Kinetic analysis of competition between sulfate reducers and methanogens for hydrogen in sediments. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 1982; 43: 1373–1379. PMID: [16346033](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16346033/)
50. Lovley DR. Minimum Threshold for Hydrogen Metabolism in Methanogenic Bacteria. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. American Society for Microbiology (ASM); 1985; 49: 1530. Available: <http://aem.asm.org/content/49/6/1530.short> PMID: [16346820](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16346820/)
51. Fournier GP, Gogarten JP. Evolution of Acetoclastic Methanogenesis in *Methanosarcina* via Horizontal Gene Transfer from Cellulolytic Clostridia. *Journal of Bacteriology*. 2008; 190: 1124–1127. doi: [10.1128/JB.01382-07](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01382-07) PMID: [18055595](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18055595/)
52. Thauer RK, Jungermann K, Decker K. Energy conservation in chemotrophic anaerobic bacteria. *Bacteriological reviews*. 1977; 41: 100–180. PMID: [860983](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/860983/)
53. Haarstad K, Bergersen O, Sørheim R. Occurrence of carbon monoxide during organic waste degradation. *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association*. 2006; 56: 575–580.
54. Hellebrand HJ, Kalk W-D. Emission of carbon monoxide during composting of dung and green waste. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*. Kluwer Academic Publishers; 2001; 60: 79–82.
55. VanderGheynst Jean S, Cogan Daniel J, DeFelice Perry J, James M Gossett A, Walker LP. Effect of Process Management on the Emission of Organosulfur Compounds and Gaseous Antecedents from Composting Processes. *Environ Sci Technol*. American Chemical Society; 1998; 32: 3713–3718.