Effect of Phosphate Solubilization Microorganisms (PSM) and Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) on Yield and Yield Components of Corn (*Zea mays L.*)

Mohammad Yazdani, Mohammad Ali Bahmanyar, Hemmatollah Pirdashti, and Mohammad Ali Esmaili

Dpen Science Index, Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering Vol:3, No:1, 2009 publications.waset.org/12844/pdf

Abstract—In order to study the effect of phosphate solubilization microorganisms (PSM) and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) on yield and yield components of corn Zea mays (L. cv. SC604) an experiment was conducted at research farm of Sari Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources University, Iran during 2007. Experiment laid out as split plot based on randomized complete block design with three replications. Three levels of manures (consisted of 20 Mg.ha⁻¹ farmyard manure, 15 Mg.ha⁻¹ green manure and check or without any manures) as main plots and eight levels of biofertilizers (consisted of 1-NPK or conventional fertilizer application; 2-NPK+PSM+PGPR; 3 NP_{50%}K+PSM+PGPR; 4-N_{50%}PK+PSM +PGPR; 5-N_{50%}P_{50%}K+PSM+ PGPR; 6-PK+PGPR; 7-NK+PSM and 8-PSM+PGPR) as sub plots were treatments. Results showed that farmyard manure application increased row number, ear weight, grain number per ear, grain yield, biological yield and harvest index compared to check. Furthermore, using of PSM and PGPR in addition to conventional fertilizer applications (NPK) could improve ear weight, row number and grain number per row and ultimately increased grain yield in green manure and check plots. According to results in all fertilizer treatments application of PSM and PGPR together could reduce P application by 50% without any significant reduction of grain yield. However, this treatment could not compensate 50% reduction of N application.

Keywords—Biofertilizers, corn, PSM, PGPR, grain yield.

I. INTRODUCTION

CORN (*Zea mays*) among the crops, is an important in temperate climatic region, because of the increasing demand for food and livestock feed. Nitrogen and phosphorus are essential nutrients for plant growth and development in corn [10]. Large quantities of chemical fertilizes are used to replenish soil N and P, resulting in high costs and severe environmental contamination [7]. Most of phosphorus in insoluble compounds are unavailable to plant. N2-fixing and P-solubilizing bacteria may be important for plant nutrition by increasing N and P uptake by the plants, and playing a significant role as plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) in the biofertilization of crops. Plant growth-promoting rhizohacteria (PGPR) are able exit a growth. Nitrogen fixation and beneficial upon plant P.solubilization [6] production of antibiotic [8] and increased rood dry weight are the principal mechanism for the PGPR. A number of different bacteria promote plant growth, including Azotobacter sp., Azospirillum sp., Pseudomones sp. Acetobacter sp [2]. Economic and sp., Bacillus environmental benefits can include increased income from high yields, reduced fertilizer costs and reduced emission of the greenhouse gas, N2O as well as reduced leaching of NO3, N to ground water. Plant growth promoting bacteria are important in managing plant growth because of their effects on soil conditions, nutrient availability, growth and yields. However, information is not available on the PGPR in corn systems under field conditions. Therefor, the aim of this studies was to evaluate effect of phosphate solubilization microorganisms (PSM) and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) on yield and yield components of corn (Zea mays L.)

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

An experiment was conducted at research farm of Sari Higher Education Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources University, Iran during 2007. Experiment laid out as split plot based on randomized complete block design with three replications. Three levels of manures (consisted of 20 Mg.ha⁻¹ farmyard manure, 15 Mg.ha⁻¹ green manure and check or without any manures) as main plots and eight levels of biofertilizers (consisted of 1-NPK or conventional fertilizer application; 2-NPK+PSM+PGPR; 3-NP_{50%}K+PSM+PGPR; 4- $N_{50\%}PK$ +PSM+PGPR; 5- $N_{50\%}P_{50\%}K$ +PSM+ PGPR; 6-PK+PGPR; 7-NK+PSM and 8-PSM+PGPR) as sub plots were treatments. Bacterial (consisting of Azotobacter coroocoocum, putida, Bacillus Azospirillum brasilens, Pseudomonas lentus) were suspended in suspension of sugar in water. This slurry was used to introduce the bacteria as corn seed coatings. After 150 days of growth (maturity) corn plants were carefully removed from field experiments. Biofertilizer plant effects of treatments were evaluated by determining average grain yield and yield components. Data were subjected growth promoting rhizohacteria (PGPR) and phosphate

50

Autors are with Department of Agronomy and Plant Breeding, Sari Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources University, Sari, Iran.

solubilization microorganisms (PSM) to ANOVA using the SAS statistical software package (SAS Institute, 2000) and means were compared by Duncan test (P<%5) [11].

III. RESULTS

Results showed that farmyard manure application increased row number, ear weight, grain number per ear, grain yield, biological yield and harvest index compared to check . The data (Table II) indicated that biofertilizer plant growth promoting rhizohacteria (PGPR) and phosphate solubilization (PSM) inoculation significantly increased maize growth, seed corn yield as compared to treatments without inoculation. Probably, plant Growth promoting rhizobacteria by production of growth stimulating phytohormones [10]; mobilization of phosphate [6] siderophore production [8]; antibiotic production [3]; inhibition of plant ethylene synthesis [2]; and induction of plant systemic resistances to pathogens [4], increased the yield. Furthermore, using of PSM and PGPR in addition to conventional fertilizer applications (NPK) could improve ear weight, row number and grain number per row and ultimately increased grain yield in green manure and check plots. According to results in all fertilizer treatments application of PSM and PGPR together could reduce P application by 50% without any significant reduction of grain vield. However, this treatment could not compensate 50% reduction of N application. The production of organic acids and acid phosphates play a major role in the mineralization of organic phosphorus in soil [9], [1]. The harvest index was significantly higher over control in the farmyard manure plot treatments.

IV. CONCLUSION

Inoculation with rhizobacteria could be efficiently used to improve growth and grain yield of corn, reduced fertilizer costs and reduced emission of the greenhouse gas, N2O as well as reduced leaching of NO3, N to ground water even when optimum levels of N fertilizer were applied.

REFERENCES

- Wilhelm J, Johnson M F, Karlen L and David T (2007). Corn stover to sustain soil organic carbon further constrains biomass supply. Agronomy Journal. 99: 1665–1667.
- [2] Turan M, Ataoglu N and Sahin F (2006). Evaluation of the capacity of phosphate solubilizing bacteria and fungi on different forms of phosphorus in liquid culture. Sustainable Agricultural. 28: 99–108.
- [3] Han H, Supanjani S K, and Lee D (2004). Effect of co-inoculation with phosphate and potassium solubilizing bacteria on mineral uptake and growth of pepper and cucumber. Agronomy Journal. 24: 169-176.
- [4] Ramazan C, Akmakc I A, Figen b, Adil A, Fikrettin S and Ahin B C (2005). Growth promotion of plants by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria under greenhouse and two different field soil conditions. Biochemistry. 38: 1482–1487.
- [5] Zaied K, Abd A H, Afify A H, Aida H and Nassef M A (2003). Yield and nitrogen assimilation of winter wheat inoculated with new recombinant inoculants of rhizobacteria. Biological Sciences. 6: 344-358.

- [6] Zaidi A, and Mohammad S (2006). Co-inoculation effects of phosphate solubilizing micro- organisms and glomus fasciculatum on green grambradyrhizobium symbiosis. Agricultural Seience. 30: 223 -230.
- [7] Dai J, Becquer T, Rouiller J, Reversat H, Bernhard G and Lavelle F (2004). Influence of heavy metals on C and N mineralization and microbial biomass in Zn-, Pb-, Cu-, and Cd-contaminated soils. Applied Soil Ecology. 25: 99-109.
- [8] Zahir A, Arshad Z M and Frankenberger W F (2004). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria: Advances in Agronomy. 81: 97-168.
- [9] Cherr C M, Scholberg J M S and McSorley R (2006). Green manure approaches to crop production. Agronomy Journal. 98: 302–319.
- [10] Wua B, Caob S C, Lib Z H, Cheunga Z G and Wonga K C (2005). Effects of biofertilizer containing N-fixer, P and K solubilizers and AM fungi on maize growth. Geoderma. 125: 155-162.
- [11] Steel, R.D., Tore, J.H. (1960). Principles and Procedures of Statistics. Mc Graw-Hill, Toronto, 481 pp.

World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology International Journal of Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering Vol:3, No:1, 2009

		TABLE I Soil Chemical Properties, and Soil Particle Distribution of the Top Soil Layer (0-30 cm)									
Туре	РН	OM (%)	N (mg 100 gr ⁻¹)	P (mg 100 gr ⁻¹)	K (mg 100 gr ⁻¹)	Soil particle size (mm)					
Туре						2.0-0.2	0.2-0.02	< 0.02			
silty loam	7.5	3.48	193	12.3	367.3	47.3	42.1	10.6			

	Number of row	Number of grin in	Number of grin in	Weight of 100	Weight of ear	Grain yield	Biological yield	Harvest Index
Treatments	100	row	ear	grin (mg)	(ton.h)	(ton.h)	(ton.h)	(%)
Manure	-							
Farmyard	18.5a	34.3a	626.1a	21.0a	11.6a	9.12a	16.58a	54.7a
manure Green	18.1ab	31.8b	603a	20.2a	a 11.1	8.71ab	16.07ab	54.0at
manure Control	17.7b	31.0b	554.1b	20.7a	10.3b	8.06b	15.09b	53.1b
Cument manure								
NPK	18.3abc	32.8bc	607.0c	21.9a	11.5b	9.13b	16.56b	54.9a
NPK+P _{g+} P _s	17.7ab	35.7a	699.8a	21.9a	12.8a	10.19a	18.258a	55.72a
NP ₅₀ K+P _{g+} P _s	19.3a	35.1ab	680.3ab	21.9a	12.9a	10.27a	18.26a	56.28
N ₅₀ PK+P _{g+} P _s	17.6bc	32.2c	569.5c	21.0ab	10.6b	8.29c	15.55b	53.16
$N_{50}P_{50}K+P_{g+}$ P_{s}	18.2bc	31.6c	577.7c	21.4bc	10.6b	8.20c	15.44b	52.941
PK+P _g	17.8bc	28.9d	518.0d	20.1bc	9.4c	7.25d	13.97c	51.611
NK+P _s	18.5ab	33.4abc	622.4abc	20.0bc	11.1b	8.72bc	15.88b	54.781
$\mathbf{P}_{g+}\mathbf{P}_{s}$	17.4c	29.3d	510.1d	19.8c	9.1c	6.99d	13.39c	52.16
significant								
Α	*	*	**	NS	*	*	*	NS
В	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
$\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}$	*	NS	*	NS	*	*	*	*
CV	5.58	7.39	8.83	5.04	9.32	9.40	8.35	2.84

Levels of significant:* P< %5, ** P<%1