and Rology

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Effect of varicocele on chromatin condensation and DNA integrity of ejaculated spermatozoa using cytochemical tests

A. R. Talebi, M. R. Moein, N. Tabibnejad & J. Ghasemzadeh

Research and Clinical Center for Infertility and Department of Anatomy, Yazd Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran

Keywords

Chromatin condensation—DNA integrity sperm—varicocele

Correspondence

Ali Reza Talebi, Research and Clinical Center for Infertility, Yazd Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran. Tel.: +98 351 824 7085-6; Fax: +98 351 824 7087; E-mail: prof_talebi@ssu.ac.ir

Accepted: March 20, 2008

Summary

Varicocele occurs in approximately 15% to 20% of the general male population and it is the most common cause of poor semen production and decreased semen quality. It has been demonstrated that patients with varicocele have a significantly higher DNA fragmentation index (DFI) and spermatozoa with nuclear anomalies than healthy fertile men. Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate sperm chromatin integrity in these patients. Sixty men referring to the andrology laboratory were categorised into three different groups: 20 infertile men with varicocele, 20 infertile men with abnormal semen parameters and 20 fertile men who had normal spermatogram were considered as control group. Semen analysis was performed according to WHO criteria. To evaluate sperm chromatin quality and DNA integrity, after fixation of sperm smears, aniline blue, toluidine blue, chromomycin A3 and acridine orange staining were applied in three groups. The slides were analysed by light and fluorescent microscopy and to determine the percentage of mature or immature spermatozoa, 200 spermatozoa were counted in each slide. The results showed that the rates of aniline blue-reacted spermatozoa were significantly higher in infertile and varicocele patients than in the normal group (P < 0.001). In addition, with regard to chromomycin A₃, acridine orange and toluidine blue staining, there was a significant difference between the three groups (P < 0.001). The results showed that the varicocele samples contain a higher proportion of spermatozoa with abnormal DNA and immature chromatin than those from fertile men as well as infertile men without varicocele. Therefore, varicocele results in the production of spermatozoa with less condensed chromatin and this is one of the possible causes of infertility due to varicocele.

Introduction

Varicocele occurs in approximately 15–20% of the general male population. It is one of the main causes of male factor infertility in 40% of infertile men (Nagler *et al.*, 1997). Varicocele usually leads to alterations in sperm characteristics, decrease in sperm parameters and also testicular volume (Lipshultz & Corriere, 1977).

A higher frequency of spermatozoa with damaged DNA has been reported in the ejaculate of patients with varicocele in comparison with fertile men (Saleh *et al.*, 2003; Chen *et al.*, 2004). Recently, it has been reported that infertility because of varicocele may produce spermatozoa with less condensed chromatin (Fuse *et al.*, 2006). Indeed, sperm DNA damage such as DNA fragmentation, abnormal chromatin packaging and protamine deficiency have been demonstrated to be a cause of male factor infertility (Ahmadi & Ng, 1999; Cho *et al.*, 2003). There is clinical evidence to show that sperm chromatin defects have been correlated with the reduced ability of spermatozoa to fertilise oocytes in the context of assisted reproduction techniques and normal fertility (Sakkas *et al.*, 1996; Lopes *et al.*, 1998; Filatov *et al.*, 1999). However, little is known about cellular mechanisms underlying varicocele-associated

reduction in fertility rates (Marmar, 2001). The results from our previous study failed to show any significant difference in the DNA integrity of the spermatozoa between infertile and fertile men. Also, no correlation was noticed between the DNA abnormality and the semen parameters in the studied samples (Khalili *et al.*, 2006).

Moreover, elevated scrotal temperature caused by impaired circulation and accumulation of toxic metabolites in the testes appear to be the most reproducible defect in subjects with varicocele (Brown et al., 1967). Heat stress apparently plays a key role in the reduction of fertility rates (Mieusset & Bujan, 1995), as it can lead to spermatogenic arrest (Nakai et al., 2000). Varicocele also leads to increased reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation and apoptosis rates, both in the testes and in semen (Simsek et al., 1998; Hendin et al., 1999). Seminal oxidative stress and testicular apoptosis are well documented causes of increased sperm nuclear DNA fragmentation (Sakkas et al., 2002; Saleh et al., 2003). In another study, we found that ROS levels in seminal fluid of infertile men are significantly higher than in fertile donors and also in infertile men with varicocele, it is higher than in patients with unknown cause (Moein et al., 2007).

However, our understanding of the cause of sperm DNA damage and the full impact of this sperm defect on reproductive outcomes remains rudimentary. In fact, new markers are needed that might better discriminate infertile from fertile men and can predict pregnancy outcome and the risk of adverse reproductive events. In this study, the effects of varicocele on sperm DNA integrity, chromatin quality and semen parameters were evaluated in both infertile patients with or without varicocele and fertile population. According to our knowledge, this study is the one of few reports on evaluation of sperm chromatin condensation using cytochemical tests in patients with varicocele compared with infertile men without varicocele.

Materials and methods

Patients

To study sperm chromatin status, semen samples from 60 males attending the Research and Clinical Center for Infertility in Yazd were analysed. These patients were classified into three groups: (i) infertile men without varicocele (n = 20); (ii) infertile men with varicocele (n = 20); (iii) healthy fertile donors (n = 20). Patients who had no child after a period of unprotected intercourse for more than 1 year with one or various abnormal semen parameters according to the recommendations of the World Health Organization (1999) were considered as infertile. The varicocele diagnosis was

made, by the same urologist, for the patients in standing position and via scrotal palpation in a temperaturecontrolled room (\sim 23 °C). Patients with varicocele grade I were excluded from the study. Twenty healthy donors with proven fertility who had a successful pregnancy within the last 12 months and normal spermogram at the time of study were selected as control group. The institutional review board at Yazd University of Medical Sciences approved this prospective study.

Semen analysis

All specimens were collected by masturbation after 2–4 days of abstinence and delivered to the andrology laboratory. After semen liquefaction, routine semen analysis was performed according to WHO criteria (World Health Organization, 1999) and Diff quick staining was applied for sperm morphology evaluation. Azoospermic samples were excluded from the study. Normal values were sperm motility \geq 50% (a + b), sperm concentration \geq 20 × 10⁶ per ml and normal sperm forms >30%. All analyses were performed by one experienced technician blinded to the study.

Evaluation of sperm nuclear chromatin

To assess sperm nuclear chromatin, four techniques of cytochemical staining were applied.

Aniline blue (AB) staining

Aniline blue selectively stains lysine-rich histones and has been used for distinguishing sperm chromatin condensation anomalies (Auger *et al.*, 1990). For this purpose, air-dried smears were prepared from fresh semen samples of each study participant, fixed in 3% buffered glutaraldehyde in 0.2 mmm phosphate buffer (pH 7.2) for 30 min at room temperature. Each smear was stained with 5% aqueous AB stain in 4% acetic acid (pH 3.5) for 5 min. In evaluation with light microscopy (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan), 200 spermatozoa were counted in each slide and unstained or pale blue stained were considered as normal spermatozoa while dark blue stained were rated as abnormal spermatozoa.

Chromomycin A₃ (CMA₃) staining

Chromomycin A3 is a fluorochrome specific for guanosine cytosine-rich sequence, which is used for evaluation of the degree of protamination of mature spermatozoa (Nasr-Esfahani *et al.*, 2001). For this purpose, smears were first dried and then fixed in Carnoys solution (methanol/glacial acetic acid, 3:1) at 4 °C for 10 min. Each slide was treated with 100 μ l of CMA₃ (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) (0.25 mg ml⁻¹ in McIlvain buffer; 7 ml citric acid, 0.1 M + 32.9 ml Na₂HPO₄·7H₂O, 0.2 M, pH 7.0 containing 10 mM MgCl₂) for 20 min. After staining, the slides were washed in buffer and mounted with buffered glycerol (1 : 1). Bright yellow stained chromomycin-reacted spermatozoa (CMA₃+) and yellow-ish green stained nonreacted spermatozoa (CMA₃-) were viewed under axiplane fluorescent microscope with a 460-nm filter (Zeiss Co., Jena, Germany).

Toluidine blue (TB) staining

Toluidine blue is a metachromatic dye which measures the rate of sperm nuclear chromatin condensation via binding to phosphate groups of DNA strands (Rao et al., 1990). For this staining, air-dried sperm smears were fixed in fresh 96% ethanol-acetone (1:1) at 4 °C for 30 min and then hydrolysed in 0.1 N HCl at 4 °C for 5 min. Thereafter, the slides were rinsed thrice in distilled H₂O for 2 min and finally stained with 0.05% TB for 10 min. The component of staining buffer was 50% citrate phosphate (McIlvain buffer, pH 3.5) (Erenpreiss et al., 1997). In light microscopic study using 100× eyepiece magnification, the chromatin quality of spermatozoa was determined according to metachromatic staining of sperm heads in following scores: 0, light blue (good chromatin); 1, dark blue (mild abnormal chromatin); 2, violet and purple (severe chromatin abnormality) (Rao et al., 1990). So, the sum of spermatozoa with score 1 and score 2 were considered as TB+ or abnormal chromatin, score 0 as TB- or spermatozoa with normal chromatin.

Acridine orange (AO) staining

Acridine orange is a fluorescence probe for measurement of the susceptibility of sperm nuclear DNA to *in situ* acid-induced denaturation. Sperm DNA integrity was determined by AO staining. For this purpose, the smears were first air-dried and then fixed overnight in Carnoy's solution. Each sample was stained for 10 min in freshly prepared AO (0.19 mg ml⁻¹) in McIlvain phosphate– citrate buffer (pH 4) for 10 min. Smears were evaluated on the same day with the aid of fluorescent microscope with a 460-nm filter. The duration of illumination was limited to 40 s per field. The percentage of green (normal double-stranded DNA) and orange/red (abnormally denatured DNA) fluorescence spermatozoa per sample were calculated (Talebi *et al.*, 2007).

Statistical analysis

The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) 15.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used to analyse data of all patients. Data were expressed in mean \pm SD. Differences between variables with normal distribution were analysed using ANOVA test and between groups were assessed using nonparametric Kruskal–Wallis test. A *P*-value of \leq 05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Sperm parameters

The mean age of infertile men without varicocele, infertile men with varicocele and normal subjects was 31.85 ± 3.75 ,

Table 1	Mean	and standard	deviation of s	sperm	parameters of	f three	groups und	ler investigation
---------	------	--------------	----------------	-------	---------------	---------	------------	-------------------

Variables	Control $(n = 20)$	Infertile (<i>n</i> = 20)	Varicocele $(n = 20)$	<i>P</i> -value
Count (mil ml ⁻¹)	107.10 ± 58.41	69.20 ± 35.74	47.20 ± 34.97	0.123 ^a 0.009 ^b 0.000 ^c
Grade 'a' or rapid motility (%)	27.55 ± 6.23	10.35 ± 10.03	4.10 ± 7.82	0.019 ^a 0.000 ^{b,c}
Grade 'b' or slow motility (%)	30.30 ± 3.68	31.80 ± 7.31	31.95 ± 14.56	0.961ª 0.625 ^b 0.591 ^c
Grade 'c' or nonprogressive motility (%)	10.70 ± 2.43	19.5 ± 6.76	18.00 ± 7.46	0.431 ^a 0.000 ^{b,c}
Normal morphology (%)	41.45 ± 7.81	27.30 ± 15.22	20.50 ± 11.48	0.076 ^a 0.000 ^{b,c}

^aDifference between infertile and varicocele group.

^bDifference between infertile and control group.

^cDifference between varicocele and control group.

 32.05 ± 8.39 and 29.30 ± 5.52 respectively (P = 0.301). Duration of infertility was 5.30 ± 3.06 and 4.60 ± 2.58 in infertile men without and with varicocele respectively. In the varicocele group, 25% of the patients presented with a grade III left varicocele and in 75% it was defined as grade II left varicocele.

Sperm parameters including sperm count, rapid (grade a), slow (grade b) and nonprogressive (grade c) motility and sperm morphology in three groups are listed in Table 1.

Sperm count was significantly lower in the infertile and varicocele groups than in the fertile group. Rapid motility (grade a) was higher in controls than in the infertile group and also higher in infertile men than in the varicocele group (P = 0.000). Slow motility (grade b) was similar in all groups. The rate of normal sperm morphology was different in three groups; the difference was significant between controls and the other two groups (P = 0.000), the difference between varicocele and infertile group was notable but insignificant (P = 0.076).

Assessment of sperm DNA and chromatin

The sperm nuclear integrity in the three groups of infertile, varicocele and control are shown in Table 2. The rates of AB-reacted spermatozoa (AB+) were similar in both infertile and varicocele groups (P = 0.995), but the difference between these two groups and controls was significant (P = 0.000).

With regard to CMA_3 staining, there was a significant difference between the three groups. The rate of reacted spermatozoa to CMA_3 in the normal, infertile and varicocele group was 23.4%, 41.45% and 57.15% respectively.

Sperm nuclei from infertile men with varicocele showed a significant evidence of DNA damage with regard to AO test (increase in orange–red fluorescence) when compared with those of infertile men without varicocele and normal subjects (P = 0.000). There was also a

significant difference between infertile with varicocele (P = 0.000) and normal groups (P = 0.001).

There was a significant difference between control, infertile and varicocele groups with regard to TB staining. The difference was significant between each group to the others (P = 0.000).

Discussion

Varicocele is characterised by stasis and high pressure in the veins which constitute the pampiniform plexus. Little is known about the cellular mechanisms underlying varicocele-associated reduction in fertility rates. One common trait in infertile men is an increased sperm nuclear DNA fragmentation (Sakkas *et al.*, 2002; Saleh *et al.*, 2003). Using the sperm chromatin structure assay (SCSA), Saleh *et al.* (2003) reported that infertile men with varicoceles show significantly increased spermatozoal DNA damage.

The purpose of this study was to compare the DNA chromatin status in infertile patients with varicocele, infertile and fertile donors. On performing chromatin analysis in the different groups of patients, significant differences were found for chromatin damage. The sperm chromatin condensation was shown with application of two assays of AB and CMA₃. Aniline blue is a marker for detection of extra lysine-rich histone proteins, while CMA3 detects protamine defects during histoneprotamine replacement in the testicular phase of sperm chromatin condensation. The rates of AB-reacted spermatozoa (AB+) were similar in both infertile and varicocele groups but the difference between these two groups and the normal donors was significant. In agreement with our findings, Foresta et al. (1992) observed a higher percentage of stained spermatozoa after staining with AB in infertile men than in fertile donors. Our results are also similar to those of Salsabili et al. (2006), who showed that total staining score in patients with idiopathic infertility was similar to patients with varicocele.

	Control	Infertile	Varicocele	
Variables	(n = 20)	(n = 20)	(n = 20)	P-value
AB+ (%)	15.75 ± 5.44	40.60 ± 14.71	40.95 ± 13.97	0.995 ^a 0.000 ^{b,c}
CMA ₃ + (%)	23.40 ± 6.84	41.45 ± 10.07	57.15 ± 8.31	0.000 ^{a,b,c}
AO+ (%)	17.30 ± 7.43	33.50 ± 13.84	60.55 ± 15.55	0.000 ^{a,b,c}
TB+ (%)	16.70 ± 8.55	33.50 ± 9.58	60.85 ± 15.61	0.431 ^a 0.000 ^{b,c}

Table 2 Characteristics of sperm nuclearintegrity of three groups under investigation

Data are presented as Mean \pm SD.

^aDifference between infertile and varicocele group.

^bDifference between infertile and control group.

^cDifference between varicocele and control group.

In many studies, the chromatin packaging quality of spermatozoa in connection with fertility status has been assessed by staining the spermatozoa with CMA₃ fluorochrome. A correlation has been reported to exist between abnormal sperm chromatin packaging as evaluated by CMA₃ staining and the presence of DNA strand breaks, decreased sperm penetration, the absence of sperm decondensation within the oocyte and IVF/ICSI failure (Bianchi *et al.*, 1993; Sailer *et al.*, 1995; Nasr-Esfahani *et al.*, 2001; Razavi *et al.*, 2003).

In this study, the mean percentage of CMA₃-positive spermatozoa within control sample was significantly lower than in the infertile and varicocele groups, which was in concordance with the studies cited above. Our findings are also in agreement with Singleton *et al.* (2007) who used CMA₃ for detecting protamine deficiency in infertile men but not in varicocele patients. The authors cannot find any reports on staining of sperm smears with CMA₃ and also TB in varicocele patients.

There was a significant evidence of increased red fluorescence with AO staining, which is a biomarker of increased susceptibility to acid denaturation. It may result from DNA damage or altered chromatin structure in spermatozoa from the varicocele group compared with those of infertile men without varicocele and normal subjects. In accordance to our findings, Fuse et al. (2006) showed that AO staining significantly increased in patients with varicocele. Another study reported a significant decrease in the percentage of DNA denaturation using AO staining after varicocelectomy (Zini et al., 2005). Several studies using the SCSA as a quantitative assessment of susceptibility of DNA to acid-induced denaturation like AO staining (Saleh et al., 2003; Smith et al., 2006; Smit et al., 2007) and have reported a negative effect of high percentage of DNA damaged spermatozoa on pregnancy rates (Larson et al., 2000; Saleh et al., 2003; Tesarik et al., 2004). Two independent studies (Evenson et al., 1999; Spanò et al., 2000) demonstrated that when denaturated DNA was above threshold (>30%), ultimately fertile couples took longer time to conceive. A study by Saleh et al. (2003) using the SCSA demonstrated that infertile patients with varicocele possessed a significantly higher DNA fragmentation index (DFI) than healthy fertile controls.

For measuring the rate of DNA abnormalities, toluidine blue staining was applied. Spermatozoa with dark blue and also violet and purple head were significantly higher in the varicocele and infertile groups than in controls. This is in line with Erenpreisa *et al.* (2003), who reported that the proportion of TB dark cells in normal samples did not exceed 35%. Light blue sperm cell heads prevailed in normal samples, whereas dark and blue sperm cell heads dominated in abnormal samples. In contrast with our results, it has been reported in the literature that the difference in the proportion of spermatozoa with abnormal, easily denaturable DNA is not statistically significant between infertile and fertile men (Tejada *et al.*, 1984; Hoshi *et al.*, 1996).

The significant difference observed has many possible explanations including apoptosis, increased ROS and testis temperature.

One of the responsible factors for sperm chromatin disorders in varicocele patients is apoptosis, which is more frequent in the spermatozoa of these patients (Simsek et al., 1998). Varicocele may contribute to an increased sperm programmed cell death, necrosis, degeneration or physiological degeneration as a result of abnormal testicular temperatures (Chen et al., 2004). Heat treatment has been shown to produce fragile DNA in a population of spermatozoa and is associated with poor capacitation characteristics and apoptosis (Mann et al., 2002). One study demonstrated that apoptotic sperm cell count in patients with varicocele is approximately a 100 times higher than in those without varicocele (Baccetti et al., 1996). In addition, another study reported that apoptosis is seven times higher in the testicular tissue of patients with varicocele compared with that of normal men (Simsek et al., 1998).

Another possibility that would explain the increasing nuclear damage is high concentrations of ROS (Koksal *et al.*, 2000) and reduction in antioxidant defences (Barbieri *et al.*, 1999) in higher grades of varicocele, as our previous work showed that ROS levels in infertile patients with varicocele are higher than in patients with unknown cause. At molecular level, ROS would directly affect DNA, and also alter intracellular Ca^{2+} levels, which is shown to be one of the most powerful ways of inducing apoptosis (Cam *et al.*, 2004).

In conclusion, our study with using cytochemical tests indicates a significant increase of sperm DNA chromatin damage in patients with varicocele and these damages may affect the quality of the ejaculated spermatozoa and decrease their fertility potential.

Acknowledgement

The authors specially thank Professor Abbas Aflatioonian for his scientific support and Maryam Nayeri for her skilful technical assistance during the course of this research. This study was supported by a grant from the Research and Clinical Center for Infertility, Shahid Sadougi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran.

References

Ahmadi A, Ng SC (1999) Fertilizing ability of DNA-damaged spermatozoa. J Exp Zool 284:696–704.

Auger J, Mesbah M, Huber C, Dadoune JP (1990) Aniline blue staining as a marker of sperm chromatin defects associated with different semen characteristics discriminates between proven fertile and suspected infertile men. *Int J Androl* 13:452–462.

Baccetti B, Collodel G, Piomboni P (1996) Apoptosis in human ejaculated sperm cells (notulae seminologicae 9). J Submicrosc Cytol Pathol 28:587–596.

Barbieri ER, Hidalgo ME, Venegas A, Smith R, Lissi EA (1999) Varicocele-associated decrease in antioxidant defenses. *J Androl* 20:713–717.

Bianchi PG, Manicardi GC, Bizzaro D, Bianchi U, Sakkas D (1993) Effect of deoxyribonucleic acid protamination on fluorochrome staining and *in situ* nick-translation of murine and human mature spermatozoa. *Biol Reprod* 49:1083–1088.

Brown JS, Dubin L, Hotchkiss RS (1967) The varicocele as related to fertility. *Fertil Steril* 18:46–56.

Cam K, Simsek F, Yuksel M, Turkeri L, Haklar G, Yalcin S, Akdas A (2004) The role of reactive oxygen species and apoptosis in the pathogenesis of varicocele in a rat model and efficiency of vitamin E treatment. *Int J Androl* 27:228–233.

Chen CH, Lee SS, Chen DC, Chien HH, Chen IC, Chu YN, Liu JY, Chen WH, Wu GJ (2004) Apoptosis and kinematics of ejaculated spermatozoa in patients with varicocele. *J Androl* 25:348.

Cho C, Jung-Ha H, Willis WD, Goulding EH, Stein P, Xu Z, Schultz RM, Hecht NB, Eddy EM (2003) Protamine 2 deficiency leads to sperm DNA damage and embryo death in mice. *Biol Reprod* 69:211–217.

Erenpreisa J, Erenpreiss J, Freivalds T, Slaidina M, Krampe R, Butikova J, Ivanov A, Pjanova D (2003) Toluidine blue test for sperm DNA integrity and elaboration of image cytometry algorithm. *Cytometry* 52:19–27.

Erenpreiss J, Freivalds T, Roach H, Alston R (1997) Apoptotic cell nuclei favour aggregation and fluorescence quenching of DNA dyes. *Histochem Cell Biol* 108:67–75.

Evenson DP, Jost LK, Marshall D, Zinaman MJ, Clegg E, Purvis K, De Angelis P, Claussen OP (1999) Utility of the sperm chromatin structure assay as a diagnostic and prognostic tool in the human fertility clinic. *Hum Reprod* 14:1039–1049.

Filatov MV, Semenova EV, Vorob'eva OA, Leont'eva OA, Drobchenko EA (1999) Relationship between abnormal sperm chromatin packing and IVF results. *Mol Hum Reprod* 5:825–830.

Foresta C, Zorzi M, Rossato M, Varotto A (1992) Sperm nuclear instability and staining with aniline blue: abnormal persistence of histones in spermatozoa in infertile men. *Int J Androl* 15:330–337.

Fuse H, Akashi T, Mizuno I, Nozaki T, Watanabe A (2006) Postoperative changes of sperm chromatin heterogeneity, using acridine orange staining, in varicocele patients. *Arch Androl* 52:223–226.

- Hendin BN, Kolettis PN, Sharma RK, Thomas AJ Jr, Agarwal A (1999) Varicocele is associated with elevated spermatozoal reactive oxygen species production and diminished seminal plasma antioxidant capacity. *J Urol* 161:1831–1834.
- Hoshi K, Katayose H, Yanagida K, Kimura Y, Sato A (1996) The relationship between acridine orange fluorescence of sperm nuclei and the fertilizing ability of human sperm. *Fertil Steril* 66:634–639.

Khalili MA, Aghaie-Maybodi F, Anvari M, Talebi AR (2006) Sperm nuclear DNA in ejaculates of fertile and infertile men: correlation with semen parameters. *Urol J* 3: 154–159.

Koksal IT, Tefekli A, Usta M, Erol H, Abbasoglu S, Kadioglu A (2000) The role of reactive oxygen species in testicular dysfunction associated with varicocele. *BJU Int* 86:549–552.

Larson KL, DeJonge CJ, Barnes AM, Jost LK, Evenson DP (2000) Sperm chromatin structure assay parameters as predictors of failed pregnancy following assisted reproductive techniques. *Hum Reprod* 15:1717–1722.

Lipshultz LI, Corriere JN Jr (1977) Progressive testicular atrophy in the varicocele patient. *Urol J* 117:175–176.

Lopes S, Jurisicova A, Casper RF (1998) Gamete-specific DNA fragmentation in unfertilized human oocytes after intracytoplasmic sperm injection. *Hum Reprod* 13:703–708.

Mann SL, Patton WC, King A, Chan PJ (2002) Comparative genomic hybridization analysis of sperm DNA apoptosis after exposure to heat shock. *J Assist Reprod Genet* 19:195–200.

Marmar JL (2001) The pathophysiology of varicoceles in the light of current molecular and genetic information. *Hum Reprod Update* 7:461–472.

Mieusset R, Bujan L (1995) Testicular heating and its possible contributions to male infertility: a review. *Int J Androl* 18:169–184.

Moein MR, Dehghani VO, Tabibnejad N, Vahidi S (2007) Reactive oxygen species (ROS) level in seminal plasma of infertile men and healthy donors. *Iranian J Reprod Med* 5:51–56.

Nagler HM, Luntz RK, Matinis FG (1997) Varicocele. In: Infertility in the Male. Lipshultz LI, Howards SS (eds). Mosby Year Book, St Louis, pp 336–359.

Nakai A, Suzuki M, Tanabe M (2000) Arrest of spermatogenesis in mice expressing an active heat shock transcription factor 1. *EMBO J* 19:1545–1554.

Nasr-Esfahani MH, Razavi S, Mardani M (2001) Relation between different human sperm nuclear maturity tests and *in vitro* fertilization. *J Assist Reprod Genet* 18:219–225.

Rao KM, Pousette A, Fredericsson B, Kvist U, Rosenborg L, Akerlof E, Bjorndahl L (1990) Changes in human sperm chromatin stability during preparation for *in-vitro* fertilization. *Int J Androl* 13:287–296.

Razavi S, Nasr-Esfahani MH, Mardani M, Mafi A, Moghdam A (2003) Effect of human sperm chromatin anomalies on fertilization outcome post-ICSI. *Andrologia* 35:238–243.

- Sailer BL, Jost LK, Evenson DP (1995) Mammalian sperm DNA susceptibility to *in situ* denaturation associated with the presence of DNA strand breaks as measured by the terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase assay. *J Androl* 16:80–87.
- Sakkas D, Urner F, Bianchi PG, Bizzaro D, Wagner I, Jaquenoud N (1996) Sperm chromatin anomalies can influence decondensation after intracytoplasmic sperm injection. *Hum Reprod* 11:837–843.
- Sakkas D, Leppens-Luisier G, Lucas H, Chardonnens D, Campana A, Franken DR, Urner F (2002) Localization of tyrosine phosphorylated proteins in human sperm and relation to capacitation and zona pellucida binding. *Biol Reprod* 68:1463–1469.
- Saleh RA, Agarwal A, Sharma RK, Said TM, Sikka SC, Thomas AJ Jr (2003) Evaluation of nuclear DNA damage in spermatozoa from infertile men with varicocele. *Fertil Steril* 80:1431–1436.
- Salsabili N, Mehrsai A, Jalalizadeh B, Pourmand G, Jalaie S (2006) Correlation of sperm nuclear chromatin condensation staining method with semen parameters and sperm functional tests in patients with spinal cord injury, varicocele, and idiopathic infertility. *Urol J* 3:32–37.
- Simsek F, Turkeri L, Cevik I, Bircan K, Akdas A (1998) Role of apoptosis in testicular tissue damage caused by varicocele. *Arch Esp Urol* 51:947–950.
- Singleton S, Zalensky A, Doncel GF, Morshedi M, Zalenskaya IA (2007) Testis/sperm-specific histone 2B in the sperm of donors and subfertile patients: variability and relation to chromatin packaging. *Hum Reprod* 22:743–750.

- Smit M, Dohle GR, Hop WC, Wildhagen MF, Weber RF, Romijn JC (2007) Clinical correlates of the biological variation of sperm DNA fragmentation in infertile men attending an andrology outpatient clinic. *Int J Androl* 30:48–55.
- Smith R, Kaune H, Parodi D, Madariaga M, Rios R, Morales I, Castro A (2006) Increased sperm DNA damage in patients with varicocele: relationship with seminal oxidative stress. *Hum Reprod* 21:986–993.
- Spanò M, Bonde JP, Hjøllund HI, Kolstad HA, Cordelli E, Leter G (2000) Sperm chromatin damage impairs human fertility. *Fertil Steril* 73:43–50.
- Talebi AR, Khalili MA, Hossaini A (2007) Assessment of nuclear DNA integrity of epididymal spermatozoa following experimental chronic spinal cord injury in the rat. *Int J Androl* 30:163–169.
- Tejada RI, Mitchell JC, Norman A, Marik JJ, Friedman S (1984) A test for the practical evaluation of male fertility by acridine orange (AO) fluorescence. *Fertil Steril* 42:87–91.
- Tesarik J, Greco E, Mendoza C (2004) Late, but not early, paternal effect on human embryo development is related to sperm DNA fragmentation. *Hum Reprod* 19: 611–615.
- World Health Organization (1999) WHO Laboratory Manual for the Examination of Human Semen and Sperm–Cervical Mucus Interaction, 4th edn. Cambridge University Press, New York.
- Zini A, Blumenfeld A, Libman J, Willis J (2005) Beneficial effect of microsurgical varicocelectomy on human sperm DNA integrity. *Hum Reprod* 20:1018–1021.