University of Nebraska - Lincoln DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

U.S. Navy Research

U.S. Department of Defense

2005

Effectiveness of Antimalarial Drugs

J. Kevin Baird U.S. Naval Medical Research Unit # 2, jkevinbaird@yahoo.com

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/usnavyresearch

Baird, J. Kevin, "Effectiveness of Antimalarial Drugs" (2005). *U.S. Navy Research*. 93. https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/usnavyresearch/93

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the U.S. Department of Defense at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in U.S. Navy Research by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

REVIEW ARTICLE

Effectiveness of Antimalarial Drugs

J. Kevin Baird, Ph.D.

HE OPENING OF THE PANAMA CANAL IN 1914 INITIATED AN ERA IN which efforts to control malaria were aimed at the anopheline mosquito. The World Health Organization's Global Malaria Eradication Campaign in the 1950s and 1960s marked the apex of these efforts. The use of dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT) rid vast areas of endemic malaria virtually everywhere except in sub-Saharan Africa. The eradication strategy was abandoned in 1969, because it came to be considered logistically, socially, and politically impractical, especially given public concern about the effects of DDT on the environment. It took two decades and a global resurgence of malaria before a new strategy emerged, one that was focused on treatment rather than prevention.¹ This treatment strategy is currently being debated, and its efficacy is unproved.^{2,3}

Both the broad collapse of preventive efforts and the waning efficacy of standard antimalarial drugs^{4,5} account for the global resurgence of malaria. New therapies are available, but the use of older drugs persists for social, economic, and clinical reasons, despite resistance of the organisms to the older drugs. Efforts to use new antimalarial drugs may be hampered by regulatory requirements or economic obstacles as well as by important questions about safety for the large numbers of patients who treat themselves. Public health agencies continue to support the distribution of older therapies, despite strong criticism from scientists conducting research on the disease and its treatment and prevention.⁶

In addition to inadequate drug efficacy, new therapies may fail because of inappropriate use, inadequate absorption, poor adherence, contraindications, intolerability, the use of counterfeit drugs or improper manufacture of drugs, or prohibitive cost. Chloroquine and sulfadoxine–pyrimethamine, which for several decades were the foundation of malaria therapy, had a low risk with respect to this array of problems. However, the emergence and consolidation of resistance to these drugs eroded their clinical usefulness.

PLASMODIA

The coccidian genus plasmodium contains 172 species that infect birds, reptiles, and mammals. Four species infect humans — *Plasmodium falciparum*, *P. malariae*, *P. ovale*, and *P. vivax*. *P. falciparum* and *P. vivax* account for the vast majority of the 300 million to 500 million infections that occur each year. Endemic transmission takes place in most tropical latitudes and reaches into temperate zones seasonally (Fig. 1). Although each type of infection causes debilitating febrile illness, only *P. falciparum* carries a substantial risk of death; 1 million to 3 million deaths occur each year in regions of holoendemic infection in sub-Saharan Africa, most of them among infants and young children.

For plasmodia, humans are not the "definitive host," a concept defined by parasitologists as the site where sexual recombination occurs. Instead, many species in the genus anopheles have that distinction. From the perspective of the parasite, humans are

N ENGL J MED 352;15 WWW.NEJM.ORG APRIL 14, 2005

From the U.S. Naval Medical Research Unit No. 2, Jakarta, Indonesia. Address reprint requests to Dr. Baird at the American Embassy, FPO AP 96520, United States, or at baird@namru2.org.

N Engl J Med 2005;352:1565-77. Copyright © 2005 Massachusetts Medical Society



simply a means of getting into mosquitoes, where sexual recombination can occur. A full description of the extraordinary complexity of this cycle is beyond the scope of this review. Figure 2 illustrates the essential features of the cycle and the terminology of antimalarial therapies.

EXTRINSIC DETERMINANTS OF DRUG EFFECTIVENESS

AVAILABILITY

Most developing nations license and make available only the antimalarial drugs that are provided through national health programs.⁷ This approach often excludes relatively expensive or risky therapies, even for patients who may be able to afford a given drug and have access to medical supervision. The main factor affecting availability is economic — the ability or inability to purchase a drug for broad distribution and the potential reluctance to use an agent because of an inability either to screen users or to monitor its quality.

Chloroquine and sulfadoxine–pyrimethamine with malaria, 67 percent were self-treated.¹⁰ Comcost less than 15 percent of the cost of the least expensive alternative agents and approximately 1 to 2 percent of the cost of many of the agents marketed herence.¹¹ Among 414 Brazilian patients, the risk of

in the developed world (Table 1). The developing world requires distribution strategies for effective therapies that overcome the availability of cheap but ineffective drugs. The U.S. National Academies made a core recommendation⁸ that governments and international financial institutions should commit \$300 million to \$500 million per year within five years to subsidize artemisinin-combined therapies (an emerging family of antimalarial drugs, including artemether, artesunate and dihydroartemisinin) to achieve prices for the end user in the range of 10 to 20 cents. Unless this recommendation is followed, market forces will continue to drive the use of ineffective therapies.

ADHERENCE

Most people taking antimalarial drugs live in rural regions of the developing world and are not supervised by health professionals. A study conducted among 1640 febrile patients with malaria in Burkina Faso⁹ showed that 69 percent were self-treated, and in a study in Ethiopia, among 630 febrile patients with malaria, 67 percent were self-treated.¹⁰ Complex, inconvenient, or poorly tolerated antimalarial regimens carry a substantial risk of inadequate adherence.¹¹ Among 414 Brazilian patients, the risk of recurrent malaria correlated with self-reported poor adherence,¹² whereas among 632 Nigerian children strict adherence correlated with clinical recovery.¹³ Convenient and easily understood packaging and education of the patients alleviate poor adherence. Owing to lengthy and complex regimens, currently used therapies such as quinine and primaquine and new combined therapeutic strategies¹⁴ challenge the ease of adherence.

COUNTERFEIT AND SUBSTANDARD DRUGS

Counterfeit antimalarial drugs pose a serious threat in regions where the trade in pharmaceuticals is not rigorously regulated. A survey conducted in Cameroon found insufficient or inactive ingredients in 38 percent of preparations labeled chloroquine, 78 percent of those labeled quinine, and 12 percent of tablets labeled as an antifolate agent.¹⁵ A survey in Southeast Asia involving 104 purchases of artesunate tablets found that 38 percent of the tablets contained no drug.¹⁶ The trade in counterfeit drugs undoubtedly results in many deaths, but it is lucrative and carries little risk of imprisonment.¹⁷ The inadvertent marketing of substandard pharmaceuticals poses another threat. In a survey of eight authorized wholesalers in Tanzania selling combined sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine tablets, 11 percent of the tablets failed industry standards for content, and 44 percent failed dissolution testing.18

INTRINSIC DETERMINANTS OF DRUG EFFECTIVENESS

STAGE SPECIFICITY

Plasmodia pass through distinct stages of form, function, location, clinical consequence, and susceptibility to antimalarial drugs (Fig. 2 and Table 1). Drug activity ranges from narrow (e.g., the activity of quinine against asexual blood stages) to broad (e.g., the activity of primaquine against sexual and asexual forms in the blood and liver). Moreover, the range of stage-specific susceptibility differs among species of plasmodia — for example, chloroquine kills the gametocytes of *P. vivax* but exerts no effect against those of *P. falciparum*. These intrinsic properties define the recommended uses of antimalarial drugs.

PARASITE BURDEN

Most patients with malaria carry a burden of 10⁸ to 10¹³ parasites.¹⁹ Effective chemotherapy induces a constant fractional decline with each asexual cy-



Figure 2. Antimalarial Drug Activity in the Life Cycle of Plasmodia.

Tissue-stage schizonticides kill the asexual stages developing in the liver, including liver schizonts (all species) and quiescent hypnozoites (*Plasmodium vivax* and *P. ovale*), thus preventing primary or secondary attacks (relapses) of clinical malaria. Blood-stage schizonticides interrupt asexual schizogony (mitotic division) in red cells, preventing or terminating clinical attacks of malaria. Gametocytocides kill or sterilize sexual stages in the blood, thus preventing infection of mosquitoes and transmission of the disease. Another class of drugs, the sporontocides (which kill forms developing in the mosquito, including the sporozoites that infect humans), is not represented here, because none are available for clinical use.

cle,²⁰ at a rate that varies according to the susceptibility of the parasite to a given drug. For example, artemisinin derivatives induce reductions of 10⁴, whereas tetracycline achieves a reduction by only a factor of 10 with each cycle. The duration of exposure to a drug that is needed to eliminate infection hinges on the intrinsic rate of decline and, more important, on the initial parasite burden.²¹ High levels of parasitemia, as compared with a low burden, require longer exposure to effective drug levels and have a relatively higher risk of treatment failure.²²

PHARMACOKINETICS

A variety of factors affect the pharmacokinetics of antimalarial drugs. Variant alleles of the human

Table 1. Cost, Convenience, and Primary Clinical Application of Antimalarial Therapies.								
Therapy	Cost (\$)*	No. of Doses	Duration of Therapy	Application				
Chloroquine	0.11	3	48 hr	Blood-stage schizonticide				
Sulfadoxine–pyrimethamine	0.14	1	Single dose	Blood-stage schizonticide				
Quinine	0.97	21	7 days	Blood-stage schizonticide				
Mefloquine	2.55	1	Single dose	Blood-stage schizonticide				
Atovaquone-chloroguanide	48.00†	3	48 hr	Blood-stage schizonticide				
Artemether-lumefantrine	9.12‡	6	48 hr	Blood-stage schizonticide, gametocytocide				
Artesunate-mefloquine	5.00§	6	48 hr	Blood-stage schizonticide, gametocytocide				
Artesunate-sulfadoxine- pyrimethamine	2.40¶	3	48 hr	Blood-stage schizonticide, gametocytocide				
Artesunate-amodiaquine	2.00¶	3	48 hr	Blood-stage schizonticide, gametocytocide				
Primaquine	1.68	7–14	7 days–8 wk	Tissue-stage schizonticide, gametocytocide				

* Unless otherwise indicated, the cost shown is the cost, in 2003 U.S. dollars, of medication for one adult treatment regimen, purchased in bulk, according to the International Drug Price Indicator Guide (IDPIG) (http://erc.msh.org/ mainpage.cfm?file=1.0.htm&module=dmp&language=English).

† U.S. commercial sources were surveyed; the cost is not available from the IDPIG.

The cost shown is from the IDPIG; the combination is available through the World Health Organization (WHO) to qualified purchasers at a cost of \$2.40 per adult treatment regimen.

The purchasers at a cost of \$2.40 per adult treatment

 \int The cost shown is from the WHO.

¶ The cost shown is from Arrow et al.⁸

gene for cytochrome P-450 2C19 (CYP2C19), for example, correlate with slow or rapid metabolism of some antimalarial agents. For example, chloroguanide, metabolized through the activity of CYP2C19, was studied in 126 healthy, unrelated Nigerian subjects, of whom 5 percent had slow metabolism of the drug (8 percent of the normal rate).²³ A study of Thai subjects taking oral contraceptives reported that metabolism of chloroguanide was decreased by 34 percent,²⁴ a finding that may be explained by inhibition of CYP2C19 activity by estrogen. Certain foods may also profoundly affect the bioavailability (and toxicity) of certain antimalarial drugs - for example, fatty foods affect the bioavailability of halofantrine and of the combination of lumefantrine and artemether.

SAFETY AND TOLERABILITY

In rural health care centers, staff with relatively little formal training may be responsible for taking care of patients with malaria. The patients often have no written medical history, and the centers have minimal capacity for laboratory screening for contraindications to particular medications. Worse still, most of these people with malaria treat themselves with antimalarial agents acquired over the counter or passed from household to household. Any antimalarial drugs that are to be distributed in such regions need to be safe and well tolerated, and the risks associated both with the indicated use and with contraindicated or inappropriate uses should be considered. Clinical studies supporting the licensing of new antimalarial drugs rarely include highly vulnerable groups such as infants, young children, and pregnant women.²⁵ Thus, safety issues often drive the decision to continue distributing chloroquine or sulfadoxine–pyrimethamine, despite substantial parasite resistance. Key data with regard to the safety and tolerability of the most commonly used antimalarial drugs are summarized in Table 2.

IMMUNITY

The intersection of immunity to plasmodia and antimalarial drug activity is poorly understood. In a study of Brazilian patients, self-reported poor adherence correlated with a risk of recurrence among nonimmune persons (i.e., those with a first infection) but not among semi-immune persons (i.e., those with >4.8 years' exposure to plasmodia).¹² Among 80 Lao patients, the level of humoral immunity to *P. falciparum* and the risk of therapeutic failure were correlated with the parasite count on admission to the hospital and the length of time to

Table 2. Safety and Tolerability of Available Antimalarial Drugs.*						
Drug	Adverse Effects	Contraindications	Severe Adverse Events			
Chloroquine	Gastrointestinal upset, itching, dizziness	Epilepsy	Death from overdose			
Sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine	—	Pregnancy, renal disease	Stevens–Johnson syndrome			
Quinine	Tinnitus, vertigo, headache, fever, syncope, delirium, nausea	G6PD deficiency, pregnancy, optic neuritis, tinnitus, thrombocytopenic purpura, blackwater fever	Hemolytic anemia, coma, respiratory arrest, renal failure			
Mefloquine	Vomiting, headache, insomnia, vivid dreams, anxiety, dizziness	Depression, schizophrenia, anxiety disorder, any psychosis, irregular heartbeat	Psychosis			
Atovaquone–chloroguanide	Gastrointestinal upset, headache, stomatitis	Weight of <11 kg in children, pregnancy, breast-feeding, renal impairment	None known			
Artemether–lumefantrine	Dizziness, palpitations	Pregnancy, severe malaria	Impaired hearing			
Artesunate-mefloquine	Vomiting, anorexia, diarrhea	Depression, schizophrenia, anxiety disorder, any psychosis, irregular heartbeat	None known			
Halofantrine	Gastrointestinal upset, prolonged QTc	Conduction abnormalities, pregnancy, breast-feeding, infancy, use of mefloquine	Cardiac arrest			
Primaquine	Gastrointestinal upset, elevated levels of methemoglobin	Pregnancy, G6PD deficiency, breast-feeding	Hemolytic anemia			

* Data in the table are from Taylor and White,²⁵ Centers for Disease Control and Prevention,²⁶ Phillips-Howard and Wood,²⁷ and Wernsdorfer.²⁸ G6PD denotes glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, and QTc QT interval corrected for heart rate.

the resolution of fever.²⁹ Djimde et al.³⁰ showed that the clearance of *P. falciparum* organisms carrying a mutant transporter gene, *pfcrt* K76T, that was linked with resistance to chloroquine correlated with acquired immunity in subjects in sub-Saharan Africa. In subjects with relatively little immunity who were infected with mutant parasites, the chloroquine therapy failed more frequently than in those infected with the same genotype of *P. falciparum* organisms who had clinical immunity. However, such correlations do not directly link immune effectors with drug activity; acquired immunity independently reduces the parasite burden, which is a well-known determinant of antimalarial effectiveness.

The acquired immunity that occurs throughout regions of holoendemic infection in sub-Saharan Africa may explain the relatively late increase in resistance in that region. Most people at risk in South America and Asia lack immunity and consequently carry substantially higher parasite burdens than do most Africans. Moreover, their symptomatic infections are generally treated far more often than are asymptomatic infections among Africans. In Asia and South America, these factors substantially increase the probability of the selection of resistant genotypes.¹⁴

RESISTANCE

The parasites causing malaria exhibit a range of susceptibility to antimalarial agents. Several distinct phenomena explain this range, including speciesspecific innate resistance (e.g., asexual blood stages of P. falciparum lack susceptibility to primaquine, whereas those of P. vivax appear to be sensitive to it³¹); strain-specific innate resistance (e.g., that of asexual liver stages of P. vivax from the island of New Guinea against primaquine³²); and acquired resistance. Of these, acquired resistance is the most important, because failure may occur even in the presence of complete adherence to the recommended therapies. Studies in Senegal suggest that resistance to chloroquine contributed to an increase by a factor of 2 to 11 in mortality from malaria.33 In western Kenya, case fatality rates were markedly higher among children receiving chloroquine than among those receiving other therapies.34

Most of the data on the responses of *P. falciparum* to therapy reflect this emphasis on acquired resistance. Nonetheless, *P. vivax* profoundly affects public health outside Africa,³⁵ and data are also available that cover its resistance to chloroquine. *P. malariae* and *P. ovale* infect relatively few people, and little is known about acquired resistance in these species. Resistance to chloroquine in *P. malariae* was reported from Indonesia³⁶ but is apparently debatable.³⁷

Genetic mutations among strains of plasmodia have been linked with phenotypes of clinical resistance. Some studies challenge specific links between genotype and phenotype in certain settings, but putative markers have emerged (Table 3); the extensive literature on this topic has been reviewed.³⁸⁻⁴³ Validated genetic determinants of resistance would vastly improve surveillance and, thus, the effectiveness of resources for the treatment and control of malaria.

DRUG RESISTANCE

The risk of resistance varies according to species, strain, and drug. Estimates of risk are often confounded by the determinants already described. Methods of estimating risk also vary. The following estimates of the risk of treatment failure were distilled from many studies and should not be construed as quantitative region-specific risks, because patterns of resistance vary tremendously, even within nations. Protocols that evaluate efficacy generally follow the guidelines of the World Health Organization, which recommend a follow-up period of 7, 14, or 28 days.⁴⁴ The timing of recurrent parasitemia or disease reflects the degree of resistance -earlier failure represents higher-grade resistance. Late recurrence may be confounded by reinfection, especially in regions of intense transmission. Investigators in sub-Saharan Africa thus favor an in vivo test with a duration of 7 or 14 days, whereas those in other regions tend to favor a 28-day test or even 63-day test. Comparison of genotypes of the strains causing original and recurrent parasitemias can be used to address confounding by reinfection, but relatively few reporting clinics or laboratories have the capacity to conduct such testing. Tests conducted in Africa tend to report both parasitologic failure (i.e., recurrent parasitemia after treatment, independent of clinical presentation) and the clinical failure of treatment, whereas investigators elsewhere focus on parasitologic failure.44

CHLOROQUINE

After an analogue that had been developed in Germany was captured in 1943, during World War II, chloroquine quickly came into universal use as therapy for and prophylaxis against malaria.⁴⁵ Chloroquine was highly effective, easily administered, and Thailand in the 1970s.³⁸

inexpensive and had good safety and tolerability. However, resistance in *P. falciparum* appeared in the late 1950s in Thailand and Colombia and emerged in the 1970s in New Guinea and eastern sub-Saharan Africa. Today, resistance to chloroquine in malaria caused by *P. falciparum* occurs everywhere except in Central America (and Hispaniola) and in some regions of southwestern Asia.

In sub-Saharan Africa, the risk of parasitologic treatment failure with the use of chloroquine was almost uniformly greater than 40 percent, whereas the risk of clinical treatment failure tended to be higher in the eastern and central portions of the African continent (typically >30 percent and often >50 percent, respectively) than in the west (typically <20 percent).8 Elsewhere, the rates of parasitologic failure at day 28 were 57 percent (of 209 evaluations) in southwestern Asia,46-50 46 percent (2280 evaluations) in southern Asia,⁵¹⁻⁵⁷ 85 percent (223 evaluations) in Southeast Asia,58-66 and 66 percent (137 evaluations) in South America.⁶⁷⁻⁷¹ Prescribing chloroquine monotherapy against this parasite in any setting, except one in which its effectiveness has recently been demonstrated, should be considered irresponsible.

Resistance to chloroquine by *P. vivax* has emerged, apparently having originated in New Guinea, where failure rates now approach 100 percent.⁶³ In contrast, surveys in Thailand reveal uniformly sensitive vivax malaria (not shown in Fig. 1).⁷² Chloroquine-resistant *P. vivax* may be characterized as endemic to the Indonesian archipelago, especially in the east (including New Guinea), sporadic in the rest of Asia, and rare in South America.⁷³

SULFADOXINE-PYRIMETHAMINE

Sulfadoxine–pyrimethamine, which has potent efficacy against chloroquine-resistant and pyrimethamine-resistant *P. falciparum*, became available in 1971 and became the standard second-line therapy against chloroquine-resistant falciparum malaria. This combination acts synergistically against folate synthesis, inhibiting dihydropteroate synthase and dihydrofolate reductase. Although rare, idiosyncratic allergic reactions have occurred among users of sulfa drugs, sulfadoxine–pyrimethamine has otherwise offered superior safety and tolerability, along with the advantage of single-dose therapy. However, resistance to sulfadoxine–pyrimethamine was recognized at the Thai–Cambodian border in the 1960s, and failures occurred in refugee camps in Thailand in the 1970s.³⁸

Table 3. Mutations in Plasmodium falciparum That Are Associated with Resistance to Antimalarial Drugs.						
Gene	Product	Genetic Determinant*	Drug			
pfcrt	Transporter	Thr76	Chloroquine			
pfmdr 1	Transporter	Tyr86	Chloroquine, mefloquine, quinine, dihydroartemisinin†			
		Copy number	Mefloquine, artesunate			
dhps	Dihydropteroate synthetase	Gly437, Glu540, Gly581	Sulfadoxine			
dhfr	Dihydrofolate reductase	Asn108, Arg59, Ile51, Leu164	Pyrimethamine			
cytb	Cytochrome b	Ser268	Atovaquone			

 \star The predominant determinants, according to available data, are listed.

† The mutation is associated with increased sensitivity to this drug.

The rates of parasitologic failure of treatment with sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine in sub-Saharan Africa were relatively high in southern regions of holoendemic infection (>50 percent) and low elsewhere (<5 percent, except in Cameroon, where the rate of failure was 10 percent). The rates of clinical treatment failure in sub-Saharan Africa were similarly distributed (<5 percent in the west and 8 to 34 percent in the east and south).8 Studies in Southeast Asia indicated that the rates of parasitologic failure at day 7 and day 28 were 36 percent and 49 percent, respectively.74-79 Good efficacy (80 percent) persisted elsewhere - in southwestern Asia and on the Horn of Africa, where no parasitologic failures were reported among 362 evaluations^{80,81}; in southern Asia, where the failure rate was 18 percent (of 339 evaluations) by day 2855-57; and in South America, where the failure rate was 9 percent (of 50 evaluations) by day 7, 14 percent (of 42 evaluations) by day 14, and 6 percent (of 119 evaluations) by day 28.82-84 The risk of resistance to sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine is relatively high in Southeast Asia and eastern Africa.

MEFLOQUINE

Mefloquine emerged as a successor to chloroquine in the 1980s. Resistance appeared in regions at the border between Thailand and Cambodia within a few years, perhaps owing to widespread use of quinine, to which it is structurally related.³⁸ Resistance in that border region remains high.⁸⁵ However, in nearby regions resistance to mefloquine remains relatively low. Smithuis et al.⁶² reported that, of 75 patients, more than 90 percent in the region of the western border of Myanmar (Burma) responded to mefloquine (at a dose of 15 mg per kilogram of body weight). In another study, of 79 patients hospitalized in Bangkok and treated with mefloquine (25 mg per kilogram), 68 (86 percent) remained free of parasitemia after 28 days.⁸⁶ Similar efficacy was observed in Bangladesh.⁵⁶ However, a group of Dutch marines in Cambodia who were receiving mefloquine prophylaxis during the 1990s had high attack rates of falciparum malaria.⁸⁷ In contrast, the protective efficacy of mefloquine among Indonesian soldiers in western New Guinea — where the efficacy of chloroquine against *P. falciparum* and *P. vivax* approaches zero⁶³ — was 100 percent.⁸⁸ The risk of the prophylactic or therapeutic failure of mefloquine in Southeast Asia appears to be low outside the shared borders of Thailand, Myanmar, and Cambodia.

Few studies have evaluated the effectiveness of mefloquine against falciparum malaria in Africa. In the mid-1990s, Lobel et al.⁸⁹ examined mefloquine prophylaxis among 140 Peace Corps volunteers who were infected by *P. falciparum*. Poor adherence explained most of the infections, but in five cases the resistance appeared to be genuine (i.e., parasitemia was present with >620 ng of mefloquine per milliter in plasma). Despite sporadic, well-documented failures of mefloquine among travelers to Africa, the drug remains effective there.

Studies conducted in coastal Peru and in the Amazon Basin among 153 subjects with *P. falciparum* malaria who were receiving mefloquine (at a dose of 15 mg per kilogram) revealed complete sensitivity.^{68,90,91} Despite occasional reports of resistance in the Amazon basin, the available evidence shows a low risk of resistance throughout South America.

Mefloquine has received adverse attention in the media in recent years. The drug has been blamed for suicides, homicides, and other personal tragedies on the basis of anecdotal accounts, which, by their nature, cannot establish cause and effect. Well-controlled trials consistently indicate that mefloquine given as prophylaxis is as well tolerated as other antimalarial drugs.⁹² Nonetheless, the drug has been linked with a higher risk of insomnia, fatigue, and adverse neuropsychiatric effects (e.g., depression and anger) than other antimalarial drugs.⁹³⁻⁹⁵ The risk appears highest among women, especially those taking the drug for the first time and those with a low body-mass index.⁹⁶

QUININE

Jesuit priests in Peru in the 1500s learned from the Incas that powder from the bark of the cinchona tree relieved shivering with cold, and they supposed it would also offer relief from the chills of malaria. Its activity against the parasite, later shown to be due to quinine, was unknown to them. Resistance to quinine appears sporadically, and a moderate risk of treatment failure appears to be limited to some regions of Southeast Asia and New Guinea. Zalis et al.⁹⁷ suggested that there might be a link between poor in vitro responses to quinine and diminished clinical responsiveness in the Amazon basin, but without supporting clinical data. Recent well-controlled trials of quinine monotherapy showed variable rates of efficacy against P. falciparum: 92 percent in a group of 49 patients in Bangladesh,⁵⁶ 67 percent among 54 patients in western Thailand,98 and 80 percent among 30 patients in a clinic in Bangkok.99 A seven-day regimen was more than 95 percent efficacious in Venezuela¹⁰⁰ and Equatorial Guinea.¹⁰¹ Rare reports of failure of intravenous quinine for the treatment of severe or complicated malaria have appeared sporadically.¹⁰²

Oral quinine is used to treat uncomplicated malaria, generally over a period of three to seven days in combination with another blood-stage schizonticide, typically tetracycline or doxycycline. Although one study of 86 patients in Thailand showed the superiority of a seven-day regimen combined with tetracycline (a 100 percent efficacy rate) as compared with a five-day regimen combined with tetracycline (87 percent),¹⁰³ studies performed elsewhere have shown complete efficacy with shorter regimens combining quinine, doxycycline, and primaquine.^{88,104}

Poor adherence carries a high risk of treatment failure, particularly because quinine causes a syndrome of adverse effects known as cinchonism, including primarily tinnitus, nausea, and vertigo. A randomized trial in Thailand recorded a 71 percent rate of adherence.¹¹ However, in Cambodian villages, the rate of compliance with the same regimen was far lower — 11 to 20 percent — even after an intervention to raise awareness about the need for compliance.¹⁰⁵

PRIMAQUINE

Developed during World War II, primaquine remains the only licensed tissue-stage schizonticide (Fig. 2) for the prevention of relapse after infection (standard therapy) or as presumptive therapy against relapse after exposure to the risk of infection, without evidence of infection (terminal prophylaxis). Recently recommended for use as prophylaxis,¹⁰⁶ primaquine has a uniquely broad spectrum, killing liver stages, asexual blood stages (of *P. vivax*³¹ but not *P. falciparum*¹⁰⁷), and sexual blood stages. In endemic regions, primaquine is widely used as a gametocytocide to prevent infection of mosquitoes.

Despite more than 50 years of use in millions of people per year, primaquine is still shrouded in confusion and genuine mystery. At least four different regimens, some prescribed for only one week and others for as long as eight weeks, are aimed at the same objective of preventing relapse.¹⁰⁸ Three factors largely explain the lack of uniformity: first, the reluctance of health care programs to accept therapy that has a duration of two weeks; second, perceived issues of toxicity and tolerability; and third, the use of a total dose, rather than a dosing schedule, as the primary determinant of efficacy. How the same total dose of a rapidly eliminated drug kills organisms irrespective of whether it is delivered over a period of 7, 14, or 56 days defies explanation.

Resistance is not fully understood either. Resistance in asexual blood stages of *P. vivax* has long been known¹⁰⁹ but is of no clinical consequence. Reports of resistance to tissue-stage schizonticidal activity fail to consider or describe patients' adherence, to exclude the possibility of reinfection, or to address the possible recrudescence of chloroquineresistant strains. Lack of evidence of resistance to primaquine in liver stages probably reflects a heavy burden of proof rather than an absence of resistance.¹⁰⁸

COMBINED THERAPIES AGAINST RESISTANCE

Combined therapies, which constitute a widely practiced strategy in the treatment of diseases such as leprosy, tuberculosis, and infection with the human immunodeficiency virus and which have long been known to be effective against malaria,¹¹⁰ have rarely been used in its treatment until recently.¹¹¹ The use of more than one agent successfully requires separate mechanisms of action against the same stage of the parasite. Thus, because both sulfadoxine and pyrimethamine are folate antagonists, they would not be considered a combined therapy. Similarly, combining a blood-stage schizonticide with primaquine is not considered combined therapy, because the drugs attack different stages of the parasite. The fixed combination of atovaquone–chloroguanide, which affects mitochondrial electron transport and folate metabolism in asexual blood stages, represents true combination therapy.¹¹²

Combined antimalarial therapies include old and new drugs — old drugs in new combinations (chloroquine and sulfadoxine–pyrimethamine), an old drug combined with a new drug (amodiaquine and artesunate), and new drugs in combination (lumefantrine and artemether).^{113,114} Combination therapy that includes artemisinin appears to be potent and particularly useful in endemic regions; extracted from the weed *Artemesia annua*, the artemisinins (primarily artemether, artesunate, and dihydroartemisinin) were developed in China during the 1960s.

The artemisinins act very rapidly, reducing parasitemia by a factor of 104 with each cycle. Thus, for a parasite burden in the range of 10¹², only three cycles are required to abolish parasitemia. The artemisinins are rapidly eliminated, and daily administration for a period of seven days (three cycles) is required. Episodes of recrudescence follow briefer regimens, but a seven-day regimen is considered to be impractical. Therefore, treatment for a period of three days with artemisinin combined with a slowly eliminated companion blood-stage schizonticide has been adopted. This three-day regimen of artemisinin reduces the parasite burden by a factor of 108 (leaving only 0.000001 percent of parasites surviving to be abolished by mefloquine) (Fig. 3). The artemisinins also exert activity against gametocytes, reducing the probability of transmission.

The use of combination therapies with artemisinin does not preclude the onset of drug resistance, particularly since patients may not take the medication as directed. Thus, the multiple doses needed with such combined therapies (typically six doses over a period of three days) represent an important potential pitfall. The emergence of resistant strains may already be in progress. In vitro studies indicate



Figure 3. Combination Therapies with Artemisinin Derivatives.

The figure illustrates the rationale behind the use of an artemisinin derivative combined with a slowly excreted drug such as mefloquine for therapy against falciparum malaria over a period of five weeks. Panel A shows plasma levels of mefloquine (blue line) and relatively high levels of parasitemia caused by strains resistant to mefloquine (solid red line) and sensitive to mefloquine (dashed red line). Prescribed therapy eliminates the sensitive but not the resistant infection. Panel B shows the importance of parasite burden as a determinant of drug effectiveness, indicating the elimination of both sensitive and resistant infections at lower levels of burden. Panel C shows the quick inhibitory effect of a short regimen of an artemisinin derivative (black line), but, owing to its short plasma half-life, the drug cannot completely eradicate the parasite. Panel D shows the effect of combining an artemisinin derivative (black line) with a drug such as mefloquine (blue line): a quick "knockdown" of the parasite burden by the artemisinin derivative allows the sloweracting and more slowly excreted mefloquine to exert activity against a greatly diminished parasite burden.

diminished susceptibility to artesunate among Asian isolates.⁴¹ Isolates from western Cambodia, where combination therapies with artemisinin derivatives are widely used, show diminished susceptibility to the combination of mefloquine and artesunate, as compared with isolates from eastern Cambodia.115 In contrast, in the 1990s in some regions bordering western Thailand, combination therapies with artemisinin derivatives were deployed as first-line therapy with excellent efficacy (more than 90 percent), and this success appears to be stable.¹¹⁶ Where more resources are available, including medications, resistant strains have been slower to develop. Where both medication and an effective health care infrastructure are present, resistance can be controlled. Such economic and personnel issues may explain the contrasting findings with regard to rates of resistance to combination therapies with artemisinin derivatives.¹¹⁷ Thus, supplying these therapies in the setting of an adequate health care infrastructure would seem the best way to prevent the onset of resistance.

Patients with severe or complicated malaria often cannot take oral medication, and parenteral quinine has been the standard approach to therapy. Rectal artesunate may radically improve the care of such patients, especially across the geographic expanses of regions of endemic infection where parenteral medications are neither available nor practical. Clinical trials indicated that rectal artesunate (combined with another blood-stage schizonticide) cleared parasitemia more quickly than parenteral quinine and with equal efficacy.^{118,119}

SUMMARY

A global public health threat due to the resurgence of malaria stems from a general collapse of vectorcontrol operations and from resistance to chloroquine or sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine. Recent surveys show rates of treatment failure higher than 50 percent for chloroquine in most affected regions, as well as poor efficacy of sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine in sub-Saharan Africa and Southeast Asia. Quinine and mefloquine remain effective therapies everywhere except in some regions bordering Thailand. Resistance to primaguine - the only drug for preventing relapse — probably occurs but has not yet been confirmed. New drugs should be effective among the poor, self-treating rural populations in regions of endemic disease and should be provided through programs that address issues of availability and cost, convenience and adherence, safety and tolerability, and quality assurance. The combination therapies with artemisinin derivatives represent the present best efforts toward providing such therapeutic agents. These drugs deliver an inhibitory effect that substantially reduces the probability of selection for resistant parasites, as compared with traditional monotherapies. However, widespread distribution without complementary capabilities in the delivery of health care places the clinical usefulness of these critical drugs in doubt.

The views expressed here are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect or represent those of the U.S. Navy or the Department of Defense.

I am indebted to Dr. Stephen L. Hoffinan (Rockville, Md.) and Dr. Chansuda Wongsrichanalai (Bangkok) for thoughtful reviews of this manuscript, and to Zoila Pretell at the Naval Medical Research Center Detachment, Lima, Peru, for research resources.

REFERENCES

1. Trigg PI, Kondrachine AV. Commentary: malaria control in the 1990s. Bull World Health Organ 1998;76:11-6.

2. Roberts DR, Laughlin LL, Hsheih P, Legters LJ. DDT, global strategies, and a malaria control crisis in South America. Emerg Infect Dis 1997;3:295-302.

3. Curtis CF. Should the use of DDT be revived for malaria vector control? Biomedica 2002;22:455-61.

4. Baird JK. Resurgent malaria at the millennium: control strategies in crisis. Drugs 2000;59:719-43.

5. Guerin PJ, Olliaro P, Nosten F, et al. Malaria: current status of control, diagnosis, treatment, and a proposed agenda for research and development. Lancet Infect Dis 2002;2:564-73.

6. Attaran A, Barnes KI, Curtis C, et al. WHO, the Global Fund, and medical mal-

practice in malaria treatment. Lancet 2004; 363:237-40.

7. Goodman C, Kachur SP, Abdulla S, et al. Retail supply of malaria-related drugs in rural Tanzania: risks and opportunities. Trop Med Int Health 2004;9:655-63.

8. Arrow KJ, Panosian C, Gelband H, eds. Saving lives, buying time: economics of malaria drugs in an age of resistance. Washington, D.C.: National Academies Press, 2004: 12.

9. Muller O, Traore C, Becher H, Kouyate B. Malaria morbidity, treatment-seeking behavior, and mortality in a cohort of young children in rural Burkina Faso. Trop Med Int Hlth 2003;8:290-6.

10. Deressa W, Ali A, Enqusellassi F. Selftreatment in rural communities, Butajira, southern Ethiopia. Bull World Health Organ 2003;81:261-8. **11.** Fungladda W, Honrado ER, Thimasarn K, et al. Compliance with artesunate and quinine + tetracycline treatment of uncomplicated falciparum malaria in Thailand. Bull World Health Organ 1998;76:Suppl 1: 59-66.

12. Duarte EC, Gyorkos TW. Self-reported compliance with last malaria treatment and occurrence of malaria during follow-up in a Brazilian Amazon population. Trop Med Int Health 2003;8:518-24.

13. Okonkwo PO, Akpala CO, Okafor HU, Mbah AU, Nwaiwu O. Compliance to correct dose of chloroquine in uncomplicated malaria correlates with improvement in the condition of rural Nigerian children. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 2001;95:320-4.

14. White NJ. Antimalarial drug resistance. J Clin Invest 2004;113:1084-92.

15. Basco LK. Molecular epidemiology of

malaria in Cameroon XIX. Quality of antimalarial drugs used for self-medication. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2004;70:245-50.

16. Newton P, Proux S, Green M, et al. Fake artesunate in southeast Asia. Lancet 2001; 357:1948-50.

17. Newton PN, White NJ, Rozendaal JA, Green MD. Murder by fake drugs. BMJ 2002; 324:800-1.

18. Minzi OM, Moshi MJ, Hipolite D, et al. Evaluation of the quality of amodiaquine and sulfadoxine/pyrimethamine tablets sold by private wholesale pharmacies in Dar Es Salaam, Tanzania. J Clin Pharm Ther 2003; 28:117-22.

19. White NJ, Krishna S. Treatment of malaria: some considerations and limitations of the current methods of assessment. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 1989;83:767-77.

20. Day NPJ, Pham TD, Phan TL, et al. Clearance kinetics of parasites and pigment-containing leukocytes in severe malaria. Blood 1996;88:4694-700.

21. White NJ. Why is it that antimalarial drug treatments do not always work? Ann Trop Med Parasitol 1998;92:449-58.

22. Ittarat W, Pickard AL, Rattanasinganchan P, et al. Recrudescence in artesunatetreated patients with falciparum malaria is dependent on parasite burden not on parasite factors. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2003;68: 147-52.

23. Bolaji OO, Sadare IO, Babalola CP, Ogunbona FA. Polymorphic oxidative metabolism of proguanil in a Nigerian population. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2002;58:543-5.

24. McGready R, Stepniewska K, Seaton E, et al. Pregnancy and use of oral contraceptives reduces the biotransformation of proguanil to cycloguanil. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2003; 59:553-7.

 Taylor WR, White NJ. Antimalarial drug toxicity: a review. Drug Saf 2004;27:25-61.
 Health information for international travel, 2003–2004. Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2003:99-116.
 Phillips-Howard PA, Wood D. The safety of antimalarial drugs in pregnancy. Drug Saf 1996;14:131-45.

28. Wernsdorfer WH. Coartemether (artemether and luemfantrine): an oral antimalarial drug. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther 2004;2:181-96.

29. Mayxay M, Newton PN, Khanthavong M, et al. Chloroquine versus sulfadoxinepyrimethamine for treatment of Plasmodium falciparum malaria in Savannakhet Province, Lao People's Democratic Republic: an assessment of national antimalarial drug recommendations. Clin Infect Dis 2003;37:1021-8.

30. Djimde AA, Doumbo OK, Traore O, et al. Clearance of drug-resistant parasites as a model for protective immunity in Plasmodium falciparum malaria. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2003;69:558-63.

31. Pukrittayakamee S, Vanijanonta S, Chantra A, Clemens R, White NJ. Blood stage antimalarial efficacy of primaquine in

Plasmodium vivax malaria. J Infect Dis 1994;169:932-5.

32. Collins WE, Jeffery GM. Primaquine resistance in Plasmodium vivax. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1996;55:243-9.

33. Trape JF, Pison G, Preziosi MP, et al. Impact of chloroquine resistance on malaria mortality. C R Acad Sci III 1998;321:689-97.
34. Zucker JR, Ruebush TK II, Obonyo C, Otieno J, Campbell CC. The mortality consequences of the continued use of chloroquine in Africa: experience in Siaya, western Kenya. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2003;68:386-90.
35. Mendis K, Sina BJ, Marchesini P, Carter R. The neglected burden of Plasmodium vivax malaria. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2001; 64(Suppl 1-2):97-106.

36. Maguire JD, Sumawinata IW, Masbar S, et al. Chloroquine-resistant Plasmodium malariae in south Sumatra, Indonesia. Lancet 2002;360:58-60.

37. Collins WE, Jeffery GM. Extended clearance time after treatment of infections with Plasmodium malariae may not be indicative of resistance to chloroquine. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2002;67:406-10.

38. Wongsrichanalai C, Pickard AL, Wernsdorfer WH, Meshnick SR. Epidemiology of drug-resistant malaria. Lancet Infect Dis 2002;2:209-18.

39. Wernsdorfer WH, Noedl H. Molecular markers for drug resistance in malaria: use in treatment, diagnosis and epidemiology. Curr Opin Infect Dis 2003;16:553-8.

40. Wellems TE, Plowe CV. Chloroquineresistant malaria. J Infect Dis 2001;184: 770-6.

41. Pickard AL, Wongsrichanalai C, Purfield A, et al. Resistance to antimalarials in Southeast Asia and genetic polymorphisms in pfmdr1. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2003;47:2418-23.

42. Plowe CV, Cortese JF, Djimde A, et al. Mutations in Plasmodium falciparum dihydrofolate reductase and dihydropteroate synthase and epidemiologic patterns of pyrimethamine-sulfadoxine use and resistance. J Infect Dis 1997;176:1590-6.

43. Schwobel B, Alifrangis M, Salanti A, Jelinek T. Different mutation patterns of atovaquone resistance to Plasmodium falciparum in vitro and in vivo: rapid detection of codon 268 polymorphism in the cytochrome b as potential in vivo resistance marker. Malar J 2003;2:5.

44. Assessment and monitoring of antimalarial drug efficacy for the treatment of uncomplicated falciparum malaria. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2003.

45. Coatney GR. Pitfalls in a discovery: the chronicle of chloroquine. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1963;12:121-8.

46. Wezam A. Plasmodium falciparum sensitivity to antimalarials at Humera, northwestern Ethiopia. Ethiop Med J 1993;31: 271-6.

47. Ghalib HW, Al-Ghamdi S, Akood M, Haridi AE, Ageel AA, Abdalla RE. Therapeutic efficacy of chloroquine against uncom-

plicated Plasmodium falciparum malaria in south-western Saudi Arabia. Ann Trop Med Parasitol 2001;95:773-9.

48. Warsame M, Abdillahi A, Duale ON, et al. Therapeutic efficacy of chloroquine and sulfadoxine/pyrimethamine against Plasmodium falciparum infection in Somalia. Bull World Health Organ 2002;80:704-8.

49. Kebede F, Taffa N, Tedla T. An in-vivo study of falciparum malaria sensitivity to Chloroquine in unstable malaria endemic area of central Ethiopia. Ethiop Med J 1999; 37:97-109.

50. Al-Maktari MT, Bassiouny HK. Malaria status in Al-Hodeidah Governate, Republic of Yemen. Part II. Human factors causing the persistence of chloroquine resistant P. falciparum local strain. J Egypt Soc Parasitol 2003;33:829-39.

51. Dev V, Phookan S, Barman K. Therapeutic efficacies of antimalarial drugs in the treatment of uncomplicated, Plasmodium falciparum malaria in Assam, north-eastern India. Ann Trop Med Parasitol 2003;97:783-91.

52. Shah I, Rowland M, Mehmood P, et al. Chloroquine resistance in Pakistan and the upsurge of falciparum malaria in Pakistani and Afghan refugee populations. Ann Trop Med Parasitol 1997;91:591-602.

53. Rab MA, Freeman TW, Durrani N, de Poerck D, Rowland MW. Resistance of Plasmodium falciparum malaria to chloroquine is widespread in eastern Afghanistan. Ann Trop Med Parasitol 2001;95:41-6.

54. Singh N, Mishra AK, Shukla MM, Chand SK. Forest malaria in Chhindwara, Madhya Pradesh, central India: a case study in a tribal community. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2003;68:602-7.

55. Mohapatra PK, Namchoom NS, Prakash A, Bhattacharya DR, Goswami BK, Mahanta J. Therapeutic efficacy of antimalarials in Plasmodium falciparum malaria in an Indo-Myanmar border area of Arunachal Pradesh. Indian J Med Res 2003; 118:71-6.

56. Rahman MR, Paul DC, Rashid M, et al. A randomized controlled trial on the efficacy of alternative treatment regimens for uncomplicated falciparum malaria in a multidrug-resistant falciparum area of Bangladesh — narrowing the options for the National

Malaria Control Programme? Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 2001;95:661-7.

57. Rowland M, Durrani N, Hewitt S, Sondorp E. Resistance of falciparum malaria to chloroquine and sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine in Afghan refugee settlements in western Pakistan: surveys by the general health services using a simplified in vivo test. Trop Med Int Health 1997;2:1049-56.

58. Fryauff DJ, Baird JK, Candradikusuma D, et al. Survey of in vivo sensitivity to chloroquine by Plasmodium falciparum and P. vivax in Lombok, Indonesia. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1997;56:241-4.

59. Zhang ZX, Li CZ, Huang GZ, et al. Assessment of therapeutic efficacy of chloro-

quine against falciparum malaria in an outbreak area in Xishuangbanna, Yunnan. Zhongguo Ji Sheng Chong Xue Yu Ji Sheng Chong Bing Za Zhi 2002;20:94-7.

60. al-Yaman F, Genton B, Mokela D, Narara A, Raiko A, Alpers MP. Resistance of Plasmodium falciparum malaria to amodiaquine, chloroquine and quinine in the Madang Province of Papua New Guinea, 1990-1993. P N G Med J 1996;39:16-22.

61. Schwobel B, Jordan S, Vanisaveth V, et al. Therapeutic efficacy of chloroquine plus sulphadoxine/pyrimethamine compared with monotherapy with either chloroquine or sulfadoxine/pyrimethamine in uncomplicated Plasmodium falciparum malaria in Laos. Trop Med Int Health 2003;8:19-24.

62. Smithuis FM, Monti F, Grundl M, et al. Plasmodium falciparum: sensitivity in vivo to chloroquine, pyrimethamine/sulfadoxine and mefloquine in western Myanmar. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 1997;91:468-72.

63. Sumawinata IW, Leksana B, Sutamihardja A, et al. Very high risk of therapeutic failure with chloroquine for uncomplicated Plasmodium falciparum and P. vivax malaria in Indonesian Papua. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2003;68:416-20.

64. Maguire JD, Lacy MD, Sismadi P, et al. Chloroquine or sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine for the treatment of uncomplicated, Plasmodium falciparum malaria during an epidemic in Central Java, Indonesia. Ann Trop Med Parasitol 2002;96:655-68.

65. Lokman Hakim S, Sharifah Roohi SW, Zurkurnai Y, et al. Plasmodium falciparum: increased proportion of severe resistance (RII and RIII) to chloroquine and high rate of resistance to sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine in Peninsular Malaysia after two decades. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 1996;90:294-7.

66. Bustos DG, Canfield CJ, Canete-Miguel E, Hutchinson DB. Atovaquone-proguanil compared with chloroquine and chloroquine-sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine for treatment of acute Plasmodium falciparum malaria in the Philippines. J Infect Dis 1999; 179:1587-90.

67. Baird JK, Tiwari T, Martin GJ, et al. Chloroquine for the treatment of uncomplicated malaria in Guyana. Ann Trop Med Parasitol 2002;96:339-48.

68. Marquino W, MacArthur JR, Barat LM, et al. Efficacy of chloroquine, sulfadoxinepyrimethamine, and mefloquine for the treatment of uncomplicated Plasmodium falciparum malaria on the north coast of Peru. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2003;68:120-3.

69. Caraballo A, Rodriguez-Acosta A. Chemotherapy of malaria and resistance to antimalarial drugs in Guayana area, Venezuela. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1999;61:120-4.

70. Llanos-Cuentas A, Campos P, Clendenes M, Canfield CJ, Hutchinson DB. Atovaquone and proguanil or pyrimethamine/sulfadoxine for treatment of acute Plasmodium falciparum malaria in Peru. Braz J Infect Dis 2001;5:67-72. **71.** Blair S, Lopez ML, Pineros JG, Alvarez T, Tobon A, Carmona J. Therapeutic efficacy of 3 treatment protocols for non-complicated Plasmodium falciparum malaria, Antioquia, Colombia, 2002. Biomedica 2003;23: 318-27. (In Spanish.)

72. Looareesuwan S, Wilairatana P, Krudsood S, et al. Chloroquine sensitivity of Plasmodium vivax in Thailand. Ann Trop Med Parasitol 1999;93:225-30.

73. Baird JK. Resistance to chloroquine by Plasmodium vivax. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2004;48:4075-83.

74. Lwin M, Aung S, Kyaw MP, et al. A simplified in vivo drug sensitivity test for malaria in the field. Southeast Asian J Trop Public Health 1997;28:247-53.

75. Tjitra E, Suprianto S, Currie BJ, Morris PS, Saunders JR, Anstey NM. Therapy of uncomplicated falciparum malaria: a randomized trial comparing artesunate plus sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine versus sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine alone in Irian Jaya, Indonesia. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2001;65: 309-17.

76. Tjitra E, Suprianto S, Anstey NM. Higher gametocyte prevalence following failure of treatment of Plasmodium falciparum malaria with sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine and the combination of chloroquine plus sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine: implications for progression of anti-folate resistance. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 2002;96:434-7.

77. Ejov MN, Tun T, Aung S, Sein K. Response of falciparum malaria to different antimalarials in Myanmar. Bull World Health Organ 1999;77:244-9.

78. Fryauff DJ, Sumawinata I, Richie TL, et al. In vivo responses to antimalarials by Plasmodium falciparum and Plasmodium vivax from isolated Gag Island off northwest Irian Jaya, Indonesia. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1999; 60:542-6.

79. Fryauff DJ, Leksana B, Masbar S, et al. The drug sensitivity and transmission dynamics of human malaria on Nias Island, North Sumatra, Indonesia. Ann Trop Med Parasitol 2002;96:447-62.

80. van den Broek IV, Gatkoi T, Lowoko B, Nzila A, Ochong E, Keus K. Chloroquine, sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine and amodiaquine efficacy for the treatment of uncomplicated Plasmodium falciparum malaria in Upper Nile, south Sudan. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 2003;97:229-35.

81. Ezard N, Nellepalli P, Asha AW. Sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine remains efficacious against uncomplicated Plasmodium falciparum malaria in north-eastern Afghanistan. Ann Trop Med Parasitol 2004;98:85-8.

82. Blair-Trujillo S, Lacharme-Lora L, Carmona-Fonseca J. Resistance of Plasmodium falciparum to antimalarial drugs in Zaragoza (Antioquia, Colombia), 1998. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz 2002;97:401-6.

83. Gonzalez IJ, Padilla JO, Giraldo LE, Saravia NG. Efficacy of amodiaquine and sulfadoxine/pyrimethamine in the treatment of

malaria not complicated by Plasmodium falciparum in Narino, Colombia, 1999-2002. Biomedica 2003:23:38-46. (In Spanish.)

84. Blair S, Lacharme LL, Fonseca JC, Tobon A. Resistance of Plasmodium falciparum to 3 antimalarials in Turbo (Antioquia, Colombia), 1998. Rev Panam Salud Publica 2001;9:23-9. (In Spanish.)

85. Wongsrichanalai C, Sirichaisinthop J, Karwacki JJ, et al. Drug-resistant malaria on the Thai-Myanmar and Thai-Cambodian borders. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health 2001;32:41-9.

86. Looareesuwan S, Wilairatana P, Chalermarut K, Rattanapong Y, Canfield CJ, Hutchinson DB. Efficacy and safety of atovaquone/proguanil compared to mefloquine for treatment of acute Plasmodium falciparum malaria in Thailand. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1999;60:526-32.

87. Hopperus BAP, van Thiel PP, Lobel HO, et al. Long-term chemoprophylaxis with mefloquine in Dutch marines in Cambodia. J Infect Dis 1996;173:1506-9.

88. Ohrt C, Richie TL, Widjaja H, et al. Mefloquine compared with doxycycline for the prophylaxis of malaria in Indonesian soldiers: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Ann Intern Med 1997;126: 963-72.

89. Lobel HO, Varma JK, Miani M, et al. Monitoring for mefloquine-resistant Plasmodium falciparum in Africa: implications for travelers' health. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1998;59:129-32.

90. Marquino W, Huilca M, Calampa C, et al. Efficacy of mefloquine and a mefloquineartesunate combination therapy for the treatment of uncomplicated Plasmodium falciparum malaria in the Amazon Basin of Peru. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2003;68:608-12.

91. Avila JC, Villaroel R, Marquino W, Zegarra J, Mollinedo R, Ruebush TK. Efficacy of mefloquine and mefloquine-artesunate for the treatment of uncomplicated Plasmodium falciparum malaria in the Amazon region of Boliva. Trop Med Int Health 2004;9: 217-21.

92. Schlagenhauf P, Tschopp A, Johnson R, et al. Tolerability of malaria chemoprophylaxis in non-immune travellers to sub-Saharan Africa: multicentre, randomised, double-blind, four arm study. BMJ 2003; 327:1078-84.

93. Overbosch D, Schilthuis H, Bienzle U, et al. Atovaquone-proguanil versus mefloquine for malaria prophylaxis in nonimmune travelers: results from a randomized, double-blind study. Clin Infect Dis 2001;33: 1015-21.

94. van Riemsdijk MM, Sturkenboom MC, Ditters JM, Ligthelm RJ, Overbosch D, Stricker BH. Atovaquone plus proguanil versus mefloquine for malaria prophylaxis: a focus on neuropsychiatric adverse events. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2002;72:294-301.

95. van Riemsdijk MM, Ditters JM, Sturkenboom MC, et al. Neuropsychiatric events

during prophylactic use of mefloquine before travelling. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2002; 58:441-5.

96. van Rimesdijk MM, Sturkenboom MC, Ditters JM, et al. Low body mass index is associated with an increased risk of neuropsychiatric adverse events and concentration impairment in women on mefloquine. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2004;57:506-12.

97. Zalis MG, Pang L, Silveira MS, Milhous WK, Wirth DF. Characterization of Plasmodium falciparum isolated from the Amazon region of Brazil: evidence for quinine resistance. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1998;58:630-7.

98. McGready R, Brockman A, Cho T, et al. Randomized comparison of mefloquineartesunate versus quinine in the treatment of multidrug-resistant falciparum malaria in pregnancy. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 2000;94:689-93.

99. Pukrittayakamee S, Waniwimolruk S, Stepniewska K, et al. Quinine pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic relationships in uncomplicated malaria. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2003;47:3458-63.

100. Ache A, Escorihuela M, Vivas E, et al. In vivo drug resistance of falciparum malaria in mining areas of Venezuela. Trop Med Int Health 2002;7:737-43.

101. Roche J, Guerra-Neira A, Raso J, Benito A. Surveillance of in vivo resistance of Plasmodium falciparum to antimalarial drugs from 1992 to 1999 in Malabo (Equatorial Guinea). Am J Trop Med Hyg 2003;68: 598-601.

102. Demar M, Carme B. Plasmodium falciparum in vivo resistance to quinine: description of two RIII responses in French Guiana. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2004;70:125-7.

103. Bunnag D, Karbwang J, Na-Bang-

chang K, Thanavibul A, Chittamas S, Harinasuta T. Quinine-tetracycline for multidrug resistant falciparum malaria. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health 1996;27: 15-8.

104. Taylor WR, Richie TL, Fryauff DJ, et al. Malaria prophylaxis using azithromycin: a double-blind, placebo-controlled trial in Irian Jaya, Indonesia. Clin Infect Dis 1999; 28:74-81.

105. Denis MB. Improving compliance with quinine + tetracycline for treatment of malaria: evaluation of health education interventions in Cambodian villages. Bull World Health Organ 1998;76:Suppl 1:43-9.

106. Baird JK, Fryauff DJ, Hoffman SL. Primaquine for prevention of malaria in travelers. Clin Infect Dis 2003;37:1659-67.
107. Baird JK, Wiady I, Sutanihardja A, et al. Therapeutic efficacy of chloroquine combined with primaquine against Plasmodium falciparum in northeastern Papua, Indone-

sia. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2002;66:659-60. **108.** Baird JK, Hoffman SL. Primaquine therapy for malaria. Clin Infect Dis 2004;39: 1336-45.

109. Arnold J, Alving AS, Clayman CB, Hochwald RS. Induced primaquine resistance in vivax malaria. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 1961;55:345-50.

110. Peters W. Drug resistance in malaria — a perspective. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 1969;63:25-45.

111. White NJ. Antimalarial drug resistance and combination chemotherapy. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 1999;354:739-49.

112. Looareesuwan S, Chulay JD, Canfield CJ, Hutchinson DB. Malarone (atovaquone and proguanil hydrochloride): a review of its

clinical development for treatment of malaria. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1999;60:533-54.

113. Kremsner PG, Krishna S. Antimalarial combinations. Lancet 2004;364:285-94.

114. Adjuik M, Babiker A, Garner P, et al. Artesunate combinations for treatment of malaria: meta-analysis. Lancet 2004;363:9-17.

115. Lim P, Chim P, Sem R, et al. In vitro activities of artesunate, mefloquine and other drugs against Plasmodium falciparum isolates in Cambodia: proceedings of the International Symposium on Malaria Control in the Mekong Region, Siem Reap, Cambodia. Mekong Malar Forum 2002;10:49-51.

116. Nosten F, van Vugt M, Price R, et al. Effects of artesunate-mefloquine combination on incidence of Plasmodium falciparum malaria and mefloquine resistance in western Thailand: a prospective study. Lancet 2000;356:297-302.

117. Wongsrichanalai C, Prajakwong S, Meshnick SR, Shanks GD, Thimasarn K. Mefloquine—its 20 years in the Thai malaria control program. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health 2004;35:300-8.

118. Awad MI, Alkadru AMY, Behrens RH, Baraka OZ, Eltayeb IB. Descriptive study on the efficacy and safety of artesunate suppository in combination with other antimalarials in the treatment of severe malaria in Sudan. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2003;68: 153-8.

119. Barnes KI, Mwenechanya J, Tembo M, et al. Efficacy of rectal artesunate compared with parenteral quinine in initial treatment of moderately severe malaria in African children and adults: a randomised study. Lancet 2004;363:1598-605.

Copyright © 2005 Massachusetts Medical Society.