

W&M ScholarWorks

VIMS Articles

Virginia Institute of Marine Science

1972

Effects of diet on larval development of Thor floridanus (Decapoda, Caridea) in the laboratory

Paul A. Sandifer Virginia Institute of Marine Science

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.wm.edu/vimsarticles

Part of the Marine Biology Commons

Recommended Citation

Sandifer, Paul A., Effects of diet on larval development of Thor floridanus (Decapoda, Caridea) in the laboratory (1972). *Virginia Journal of Science*, 23(1), 5-8. https://scholarworks.wm.edu/vimsarticles/2137

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Virginia Institute of Marine Science at W&M ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in VIMS Articles by an authorized administrator of W&M ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact scholarworks@wm.edu.

Virginia Institute of Marine Science Gloucester Point, Virginia 23062 Received September 3, 1971

Effects of Diet on Larval Development of Thor Floridanus (Decapoda, Caridea) in the Laboratory^{*‡}

Abstract-Thor floridanus larvae maintained in laboratory culture at 24-26C and 31‰ salinity were subjected to four diets: a) no food, b) algae (approximately halfand-half mixture of Monochrysis lutheri and Phaeodactylum tricorntum), c) live Artemia nauplii, and d) mixed diet (diets b and c together). Twenty-seven newly hatched larvae received each diet. None of the starved larvae molted and all died within nine days. Of those larvae fed only algae, 22% survived through metamorphosis to postlarvae, whereas only 4% of those fed only Artemia nauplii survived through metamorphosis. More of the larvae which were fed the mixed diet survived to the postlarval stage than was recorded with any other diet; 48% completed metamorphosis. Development of algae-fed larvae was significantly slower than that of larvae fed the mixed diet, and there also appeared to be a slight (but non-significant) trend for algae-fed larvae to pass through more molts prior to metamorphosis than did those larvae fed the mixed diet. These results are discussed in relation to the results of other studies concerning the dietary requirements of caridean shrimp larvae.

Introduction

Live Artemia nauplii have become so widely accepted as food for decapod larvae in laboratory culture that diet, as a factor influencing survival and development of larvae, has been largely ignored. Three important exceptions are the experiments of Broad (1) concerning the effects of different diets on larval development of *Palaemonetes* (Caridea, Palaemonidae), Chamberlain's (2, 3) studies of effects of diet on larvae of four crabs (Brachyura, Xanthidae), and Regnault's (4) recent qualitative and quantitative analysis of the effects of diet on larval development of *Hippolyte inermis* (Caridea, Hippolytidae). The objective of the present study was to examine grossly the reponses of larval *Thor floridanus* Kingsley (Caridea, Hippolytidae) to qualitatively different diets.

Broad (5) described eight zoeal stages and a postlarval stage in the complete larval development of T. floridanus reared in the laboratory. He reared the larvae with four different algal diets, but he had too few larvae to attempt culture with animal tissue as food. Dobkin (6) discovered that two species, one with the long larval development described by

Broad (5) and the other with an abbreviated larval development, had been confused as the same species in Florida waters. He suggested that the form with long larval life be considered as *Thor floridanus* and the form with abbreviated development as *Thor* sp. until the necessary taxonomic review could be accomplished to establish their correct status. In the present study, *T. floridanus* refers to the form with long larval life.

Methods and Materials

An ovigerous female *T. floridanus* was collected at Beaufort, N. C., on 17 August 1970. Salinity at the collection site was 31.5%. The shrimp was transported to the Virginia Institute of Marine Science and was kept, but not fed, in a glass bowl of seawater (31%). The larvae hatched during the night of 20–21 August 1970 and were placed in the experimental situations during the following morning.

T. floridanus larvae were placed singly in small plastic vials containing 15 ml of seawater (31%). The vials were then placed individually in compartments of plastic boxes, and the boxes were set in a water bath maintained at 24-26C. Twenty-seven larvae were exposed to each of the following four diets: a) no food, b) algae (approximately half-and half mixture of Monochrysis lutheri and Phaeodactylum tricornutum), c) live Artemia nauplii (California Brine Shrimp, Inc., Menlo Park, California) hatched in seawater, and d) mixed diet (diets b and c together). Larvae were fed fixed amounts of concentrated algae and/or Artemia nauplii as appropriate. The quantitative composition of each diet was not determined, but the amounts given always provided an abundance of food organisms. In the case of the algae, the concentration given was always such as to color the water slightly. There was little noticeable mortality (other than the predation by T. floridanus larvae) among the Artemia nauplii in the two diets containing nauplii. Fresh nauplii were hatched daily for the diets, and in both cases most nauplii survived the 24 hour period during which they were presented as food to the T. floridanus larvae. No attempt was made to control the growth of bacteria in the culture water or to determine the extent, if any, of the contribution of bacteria to the nutrition of T. floridanus larvae.

^{*}Contribution No. 421 from the Virginia Institute of Marine Science, Gloucester Point, Virginia 23062 ³ This study was supported in part by the Virginia Institute of Marine Science's Sea Grant Program, under contract GH67, which is maintained by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

Evidence of deaths and ecdyses among the larvae was sought by examining each vial under a binocular dissecting microscope once daily. Afterwards, the larvae were transferred to clean vials and fed where appropriate.

Results

The results, although quite limited because of the small number of experimental animals which were available, show several features of interest with regard to the nutritional requirements of T. floridanus larvae. Both algal cells and Artemia nauplii were ingested. The number of shrimp that completed larval development, and the number of molts and days required to reach the post-larval stage, varies with the diet (Tables I, II). None of the starved larvae molted and all had died by the ninth day, so the "no food" series was excuded from the tabulations. One to several larvae in each of the other diet series survived through metamorphosis. Lowest survival (4%) occurred among Artemia-fed larvae, intermediate survival (22%) among algae-fed larvae, and highest survival (48%) among larvae fed the mixed diet (Table I). Both the algae and the Artemia nauplii contributed nourishment to the larvae.

In the present study larval development was completed in 14 to 29 days (Table I). Broad (5) reported that T. floridanus larvae molted once about every two days, and development through metamorphosis required approximately 16 days in his study.

The rate of larval development was influenced by diet. Comparison of the means and ranges of days required by T. floridanus to reach the postlarval stage (Table I) indicates that development of algaefed larvae was slower than that of larvae fed the mixed diet. This difference was shown to be significant at the 1% level with a Student's t test (12) (t = 4.49, df = 17). The retardation in the rate of development of algae-fed larvae did not become evident until the fifth molt (Table II). The first four larval molts occurred at approximately the same time for algae-fed larvae, for Artemia-fed larvae, and for larvae fed the mixed diet. Survival of Artemia-fed larvae through metamorphosis was too low to allow a comparison of total time for development. However, beginning with the fifth molt de-

Comparison of survival and development of Thor floridanus larvae reared in the laboratory with different diets

		Diet			
		Artemia	Algae	Mixed	
Initial no. of larvae		27	27	27	
Survival to postlarva	(No.)	1	6	13	
	(%)*	4	22	48	
Molts to postlarva	(Mean)	9	7.7	7.2	
	(Range)	_	6–10	6-8	
Days to postlarya	(Mean)	21	22.3	16.3	
•••	(Range)	—	18–29	14-22	

* expressed as nearest whole percent

velopment time of the Artemia-fed larvae appeared intermediate between that of the algae-fed larvae and those fed the mixed diet. It is perhaps at this time that animal tissue, or simply larger food particles, become important to the nutrition of T. floridanus larvae.

Broad (5) reported that metamorphosis of T. floridanus larvae occurred at the eighth molt. However, in the present study some larvae were observed to metamorphose at the sixth, seventh, eighth, ninth, and tenth molts, but most often at the seventh or eighth (Table II). There also appeared to be a slight trend for algae-fed larvae to pass through more molts prior to metamorphosis than did larvae fed the mixed diet (Tables I, II). However, a modified t test (12) showed no significant difference between the mean numbers of molts of algae-fed larvae and larvae fed the mixed diet (t' = 0.78, 5% level = 2.54).

Discussion

Most studies of the effects of diet on larval development of decapods, like the present, have been concerned only with qualitatively different diets. Only a few investigators have made any attempt to measure the quantitative composition of one or more of their diets (4, 11). The others apparently followed the general methodology utilized in the present study.

Among decapod larvae which have been cultured in the laboratory to date, only those of Thor floridanus (5, present study) and Hippolyte inermis (4) have been successfully reared to postlarvae on diets consisting only of algae. Broad (5) found Nanochloris sp. and Nitzchia closterium to be adequate food for T. floridanus larvae, but the larvae derived less nourishment from Thorocomonas sp. and little or none from Chlamydomonas sp. In the present study a mixture of Monochrysis lutheri and Phaeodactylum tricornutum was found to provide adequate nourishment for T. floridanus larvae. Regnault (4) found that of six uni-algal diets prescribed (Dunaliella bioculata, Monochrysis lutheri, Phaeodactylum tricornutum, Platymonas sp., Skeletonema costatum, and Chaetoceros costatus) only Dunaliella, Monochrysis, and Phaeodactylum had any nutritive value for H. inermis larvae, and of these only Monochrysis provided sufficient nourishment to allow survival of a few animals through metamorphosis.

Most larval decapods tested to date appear to require animal tissue in their diets and are able to derive little, if any, nutritive value from algae (1-4, 7-10). As a rule, survival is highest and development most rapid among larvae fed only animal tissue (usually *Artemia* nauplii). However, mixed diets of plant and animal material also may provide adequate nourishment for decapod larvae, but such diets generally result in decreased survival and retarded development (1-4) and may cause additional instars (1). Broad (1) suggested that algae were nutritionally inert as far as most decapod larvae were concerned, and that ingestion of algae restricted a larva's intake of *Artemia* nauplii. He then hypothesized that

TABLE II
Comparison of survival and molting of Thor floridanus larvae reared in the laboratory with different diets (initially 27 first-stage larvae in
each diet series) ($Z = zoea; PL = post-larva$)

			•		
Molt		Artemia	Algae	Mixed	
ZI	Survival No. (%)*	27(100)	26(96)	26(96)	
z II	Age, days Mean Range	2.6 2-3	2.2 2–3	2.2 2-3	
ZII	Survival No. (%)	25(93)	26(96)	26(96)	
to Z III	Age, days Mean Range	4.8 4-7	4.2 4-5	4.4 4-6	
Z III	Survival No. (%)	18(67)	26(96)	25(93)	
to Z IV	Age, days Mean Range	6.7 6–8	6.3 6-7	6.4 6-8	
ZIV	Survival No. (%)	16(59)	22(82)	23(85)	
	Age, days Mean Range	8.8 8-10	8.9 8-13	8.4 8–10	
ZV	Survival No. (%)	10(37)	17(63)	19(70)	
	Age, days Mean Range	11.1 10-12	12.2 10-17	10.5 10-13	
Z VI	Survival No. (%)	0(0)	1(4)	1(4)	
to PL	Age, days Mean Range		21	15	
Z VI	Survival No. (%)	6(22)	11(41)	15(56)	
to Z VII	Age, days Mean Range	13.8 12–15	15.3 13-18	12.7 12–17	
Z VII	Survival No. (%)	0(0)	3(11)	8(30)	
to PL	Age, days Mean Range		18.7 18–22	15.8 15–17	
ZVII	Survival No. (%)	4(15)	5(19)	4(15)	
	Age, days Mean Range	17.0 14-20	19.2 18–20	15.5 14-19	
ZVIII	Survival No. (%)	0(0)	0(0)	4(15)	
PL	Age, days Mean Range	_	Ξ	18.5 17-22	
Z VIII	Survival No. (%)	2(7)	2(7)	0(0)	
ZIX	Age, days Mean Range	20.5 19-22	22.0 21-23	_	
ZIX	Survival No. (%)	1(4)	1(4)	0(0)	
PL	Age, days Mean	25	25	-	
ZIX	Survival No. (%)	0(0)	1(4)	0(0)	
ZX	Age, days Mean Range	_	26	_	
ZX	Survival No. (%)	0(0)	1(4)	0(0)	
to PL	Age, days Mean Range	_	29	_	

* Expressed to nearest whole percent

:

ł

í

4

the above-mentioned effects of the mixed diet were due simply to a reduction in the quantity of suitable food ingested by the larvae. Chamberlain (2) supported this hypothesis, and Knowlton (11) recently presented evidence favoring it. Knowlton fed Palaemonetes vulgaris larvae various amounts of Artemia nauplii, and he found that low food levels resulted in increased mortality, increased duration of development, and increased number of molts when compared to high food levels. Regnault (4) also found that in mixed diets Dunaliella and Monochrysis appeared to restrict intake of Artemia nauplii by H. inermis larvae, but he noted that survival of larvae fed a mixed diet of Phaeodactylum + Artemia nauplii appeared to be slightly greater than that of the larvae fed only Artemia nauplii.

In the present study, survival of larvae fed a mixed diet of algae and Artemia nauplii was considerably higher than that seen among algae-fed and Artemiafed larvae, and it was evident that both the algae and the Artemia nauplii contributed nourishment to the larvae. The very low percent survival of Artemiafed larvae compared to that seen among algae-fed larvae and larvae fed the mixed diet suggests that Artemia nauplii may be less suitable food for T. floridanus larvae than are certain unicelluar algae. It should be emphasized, however, that since lack of animals prevented repetition of the experiment, it is possible that the extremely low survival seen here among larvae fed only Artemia nauplii does not reflect the true importance of animal tissue in the nutrition of T. floridanus larvae. Further, development of larvae fed the mixed diet was significantly more rapid than that of larvae fed only algae, and there was a slight (but statistically non-significant) tendency for larvae fed the mixed diet to undergo fewer molts than did algae-fed larvae. These results suggest that the Artemia nauplii may have supplied some substance, perhaps an amino acid (8), which, while not essential for development, pro-moted survival and accelerated development. Regnault (4) suggested that unicellular algae were suitable food for H. inermis larvae until the second larval molt, at which time animal tissue began to be required. It is interesting that, at about the same time, the larval yolk reserves became exhausted. Perhaps the *Artemia* nauplii replaced the yolk in supplying some substance which is not available from algae.

From the foregoing discussion it is evident that there are differences in the physiology of nutrition among larvae of different species of caridean shrimp. Specifically, larvae differ with regard to degree of requirement for animal food and degree of ability to utilize algae as food. Such differences may even occur at different stages of development of the same larva. Much more study will be necessary before the physiological and ecological significance of such differences in dietary requirements may be understood.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Dr. John L. Dupuy who supplied the algae used in this study and Dr. G. C. Grant, Dr. P. A. Haefner, Jr. and Mr. W. A. Van Engel who read the manuscript and offered helpful suggestions. I was the recipient of an NDEA Title IV Graduate Fellowship during the study.

REFERENCES

- 1. Broad, A. C., Biol. Bull. Mar. Biol. Lab., Woods Hole, 112, 162 (1957).
- 2. Chamberlain, N. A., Tech. Rep. Chesapeake Bay Inst., 22, 37 p. (1961).
- 3. Chamberlain, N. A., *ibid.*, 28, 47 p. (1962).
- 4. Regnault, M., Int. Revue ges. Hydrobiol. Hydrogr., 54, 749 (1969).
- 5. Broad, A. C., J. Elisha Mitchell Scient Soc., 73, 317 (1957).
- 6. Dobkin, S., Crustaceana, Suppl. 2, 1 (1968).
- 7. Tesmer, C. A., and Broad, A. C., Ohio J. Sci., 46, 239 (1964).
- Costlow, J. D., Jr., and Sastry, A. N., Acta Embryol. Morph. exp., 9, 44 (1966).
- 9. Reeve, M. R., Fishery Invest., Lond., Ser. 2, 26, 1 (1969).
- 10. Fagetti, E., and Campodonico, I., Mar. Biol., 8, 70 (1971).
- 11. Knowlton, R. E., Ph.D. dissertation, Univ. North Carolina, 544 p. (1970).
- 12. Steel, R. G. D., and Torrie, J. H., *Principles and procedures of statistics*, McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York, 1960.

ŝ