

### Effects of gas-wall interactions on measurements of semivolatile compounds and small polar molecules

Xiaoxi Liu<sup>1</sup>, Benjamin Deming<sup>1</sup>, Demetrios Pagonis<sup>1</sup>, Douglas A. Day<sup>1</sup>, Brett B. Palm<sup>2</sup>, Ranajit Talukdar<sup>1</sup>, James M. Roberts<sup>3</sup>, Patrick R. Veres<sup>3</sup>, Jordan E. Krechmer<sup>4</sup>, Joel A. Thornton<sup>2</sup>, Joost A. de Gouw<sup>1</sup>, Paul J. Ziemann<sup>1</sup>, and Jose L. Jimenez<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry and Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA <sup>2</sup>Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

<sup>3</sup>NOAA Chemical Sciences Division, Earth Systems Research Laboratory, Boulder, CO, USA

<sup>4</sup>Center for Aerosol and Cloud Chemistry, Aerodyne Research Inc., Billerica, MA, USA

Correspondence: Jose L. Jimenez (jose.jimenez@colorado.edu)

Received: 9 February 2019 - Discussion started: 1 March 2019 Revised: 17 May 2019 - Accepted: 21 May 2019 - Published: 13 June 2019

Abstract. Recent work has quantified the delay times in measurements of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) caused by the partitioning between the gas phase and the surfaces of the inlet tubing and instrument itself. In this study we quantify wall partitioning effects on time responses and transmission of multifunctional, semivolatile, and intermediatevolatility organic compounds (S/IVOCs) with saturation concentrations ( $C^*$ ) between 10<sup>0</sup> and 10<sup>4</sup> µg m<sup>-3</sup>. The instrument delays of several chemical ionization mass spectrometer (CIMS) instruments increase with decreasing  $C^*$ , ranging from seconds to tens of minutes, except for the  $NO_3^-$  CIMS where it is always on the order of seconds. Six different tubing materials were tested. Teflon, including PFA, FEP, and conductive PFA, performs better than metals and Nafion in terms of both delay time and transmission efficiency. Analogous to instrument responses, tubing delays increase as  $C^*$ decreases, from less than a minute to > 100 min. The delays caused by Teflon tubing vs.  $C^*$  can be modeled using the simple chromatography model of Pagonis et al. (2017). The model can be used to estimate the equivalent absorbing mass concentration  $(C_w)$  of each material, and to estimate delays under different flow rates and tubing dimensions. We also include time delay measurements from a series of small polar organic and inorganic analytes in PFA tubing measured by CIMS. Small polar molecules behave differently than larger organic ones, with their delays being predicted by their Henry's law constants instead of their  $C^*$ , suggesting the dominance of partitioning to small amounts of water on sampling surfaces as a result of their polarity and acidity properties. PFA tubing has the best performance for gasonly sampling, while conductive PFA appears very promising for sampling S/IVOCs and particles simultaneously. The observed delays and low transmission both affect the quality of gas quantification, especially when no direct calibration is available. Improvements in sampling and instrument response are needed for fast atmospheric measurements of a wide range of S/IVOCs (e.g., by aircraft or for eddy covariance). These methods and results are also useful for more general characterization of surface-gas interactions.

### 1 Introduction

Tubing that transports air from the ambient atmosphere or laboratory experiments to a detector can perturb the concentrations of gaseous analytes in the air by gas-wall interactions, and thus presents a challenge to accurate quantification. Teflon is a commonly used material for tubing and analytical instrumentation as well as for laboratory chemical reactors. In recent years, researchers have observed that semivolatile organic compounds partition reversibly to Teflon chamber walls made of fluorinated ethylene propylene (FEP) with typical equilibration timescales of tens of minutes (Matsunaga and Ziemann, 2010; Yeh and Ziemann, 2015; Krechmer et al., 2016; Ye et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2018). Similarly, the same type of partitioning also occurs with inlet tubing and instrument surfaces. Pagonis et al. (2017) systematically investigated the delays of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and intermediate-volatility organic compounds (IVOCs) by studying alkenes and ketones with saturation concentrations ( $C^*$ ) ranging from  $10^4$  to  $10^7 \,\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$  in perfluoroalkoxy alkane (PFA) Teflon tubing. The delay times due to gas-tubing wall partitioning increased strongly as  $C^*$ decreased, and were well described by a model analogous to retention times in a gas chromatography column. The model source code was made publicly available to facilitate inlet design. In those studies, the environmental chamber walls and Teflon inlet walls were treated as an equivalent absorbing organic mass ( $C_w$ ,  $\mu g m^{-3}$ ). The results for tubing and chambers were consistent (including the determination of  $C_w$  after scaling by the surface : volume ratio, S/V), but tubing is a far superior system for determining parameters for gas-surface interactions, due to the much higher S/V and the removal of the effect of variations in buoyancy and turbulent transport, which complicate the interpretation of chamber results (Krechmer et al., 2016).

Deming et al. (2019) have extended the study of the same VOCs and IVOCs as in Pagonis et al. (2017) to many other commonly used tubing materials including different types of Teflon and other polymer tubing, as well as uncoated and coated stainless steel, glass, aluminum, and others. All polymeric tubing showed responses consistent with *absorptive* partitioning, with PFA Teflon performing best. Uncoated and coated metal and glass tubing, however, resulted in longer delays and had responses consistent with *adsorptive* partitioning. Delays due to adsorptive interaction can be reduced by using relative humidities above 20%. Due to the finite number of surface sites for adsorptive partitioning, memory effects and concentration-dependent responses were observed, which makes the modeling of analytical systems much more challenging.

The above studies have not included species in the lower part of the IVOC range  $(10^3 < C^* < 10^4 \,\mu g \, m^{-3})$  or semivolatile species (SVOCs,  $C^* < 10^3 \,\mu g \, m^{-3}$ ). Application of the Pagonis et al. (2017) model suggests that the delays will increase continuously as  $C^*$  decreases. Since semivolatile gases are frequently in equilibrium with aerosols in different systems, delays in transport of gases can perturb the gas-particle equilibria and lead to aerosol evaporation. In addition, species sampled in prior experiments and deposited in tubing or reactor walls may evaporate and recondense onto particles, leading to complex multiphase memory effects. Measurements of potential aerosol mass using oxidation flow reactors and other systems often require inlets and can be severely perturbed by tubing delays as potential aerosol mass typically has a major contribution of lowervolatility species (Li et al., 2013; Hunter et al., 2017; Ma et al., 2017; Palm et al., 2018). Therefore, the partitioning of semivolatile gases in tubing affects both gas and aerosol quantification and needs a better understanding.

SVOCs can be monitored in situ using soft ionization mass spectrometry. Delays in instrument response have been reported for proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometers (PTR-MSs) for VOCs and for IVOCs with  $C^*$  as low as  $3 \times 10^4 \,\mu g \,m^{-3}$  (Pagonis et al., 2017; Krechmer et al., 2018). While chemical ionization mass spectrometers (CIMS) measure even lower-volatility compounds and are commonly used (Jokinen et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2014), to our knowledge CIMS instrument delays have not been systematically and quantitatively characterized.

In addition to S/IVOCs, some small molecules, such as HNO<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub>, readily absorb or adsorb to surfaces, and for these species particular care needs to be taken to ensure high passing efficiency and fast time response (Huey et al., 2004; Nowak et al., 2007). PFA and FEP inlets were recommended for use as sample lines and were sometimes combined with special flow design and/or heating to minimize delay (Neuman et al., 1999; Nowak et al., 2007). HNO<sub>3</sub> can desorb from the CIMS ion-molecule reactor (IMR) or flow tube surfaces. The addition of NH<sub>3</sub> or coating treatment with sodium bicarbonate or other species can suppress the HNO<sub>3</sub> background by providing a large surface sink (Huey et al., 1998; Roscioli et al., 2016). In summary, CIMS measurements of small trace gases can achieve fast time response when carefully designed, e.g.,  $NH_3$  with a response time < 5 s and  $HNO_3$  and many other molecules including peroxyacyl nitrates (PANs), molecular chlorine (Cl<sub>2</sub>), and nitryl chloride (ClNO<sub>2</sub>) with response times < 1 s (Huey et al., 1998; Slusher et al., 2004; Nowak et al., 2007; Osthoff et al., 2008). These systems have been optimized one at a time, however, and to our knowledge no systematic understanding of the controlling parameters for tubing and instrument response exists for these molecules that could inform future experimental and instrumental designs.

This study extends the systematic and quantitative characterization of tubing and instrument delay times to SVOCs and to lower-volatility IVOCs ( $10^{0}$  to  $10^{4} \mu g m^{-3}$ ) using CIMS coupled with an I<sup>-</sup> source. These semivolatile organic compounds (SVOCs) were rapidly generated through photochemistry in a Teflon chamber. Following the results of Deming et al. (2019), the three best polymer materials, PFA, FEP, and conductive PFA, and the best metal, stainless steel, were selected as the testing inlets for the SVOCs. Two other materials were also included, Silonite as an example of passivated stainless steel and Nafion since it is frequently used in dryers when sampling aerosols (and thus very relevant to SVOC sampling). The delays due to surfaces of CIMS instruments (mainly IMR surfaces) and tubing were separately characterized. The Pagonis et al. (2017) model was employed to predict the delays and infer equivalent absorbing mass of these materials. In addition, we expand the range of analytes tested to include small polar organic and inorganic molecules. These results will enable improved and faster quantification of semivolatile species by informing inlet material selection and instrumental design, and also provide a useful method to quantitatively characterize any gassurface interactions.

#### 2 Experimental

#### 2.1 S/IVOC experiments

Experiments for lower-volatility species were performed in the CU Environmental Chamber Facility, using either 20 or  $8 \text{ m}^3$  chambers under dry or RH = 47 % conditions. The semivolatile compounds were produced in the chambers using the experimental protocol described elsewhere (Krechmer et al., 2016, 2017). Briefly, a series of 1-alkanols (C<sub>6</sub>, C<sub>8</sub>, C<sub>9</sub>, C<sub>10</sub>, and C<sub>12</sub>) were injected into the chamber together with methyl nitrite and NO, and the UV black lights were turned on for 10s to produce rapid bursts of partsper-trillion-by-volume levels of lower-volatility products, including hydroxynitrates (HNs), dihydroxynitrates (DHNs), and dihydroxycarbonyls (DHCs) (Table S1 in the Supplement). The values of  $C^*$  of these compounds were estimated using SIMPOL at T = 293.15 K (Pankow and Asher, 2008). These compounds were sampled through tubing of 0.62 m FEP (0.476 cm I.D.), 1.8 m PFA (0.476 cm I.D.), 1.0 m stainless steel (0.476 cm I.D.), 1.5 m conductive PFA (0.476 cm I.D.), 1.8 m Silonite (0.533 cm I.D.), or 0.60 m Nafion (0.178 cm I.D.), at flow rates of  $4.0-4.2 \,\mathrm{L\,min^{-1}}$  for the first three materials and 2.6 L min<sup>-1</sup> for the last three. In the laminar regime, higher flow rates tend to shorten response times (Pagonis et al., 2017); thus  $\sim 4 \,\mathrm{L\,min^{-1}}$  (Reynolds number  $\sim 1000$  in 0.476 cm I.D. tubing) was preferred and used when instrument configurations allowed. The differences in tubing length can be normalized for as described in Sect. 2.3. Three Aerodyne iodide-adduct time-of-flight CIMS (I-CIMS) instruments, two from CU-Boulder and one from the University of Washington (UW), were used to measure the semivolatile species (Lee et al., 2014; Krechmer et al., 2016). The two CU-Boulder instruments have slightly different IMR dimensions but were operated at the same IMR pressure (100 mbar) and flows, which consisted of an inlet flow and an ion source flow each of  $2 L \min^{-1}$ . The UW instrument was equipped with a custom-designed IMR, which used different flow patterns and also used Teflon tubing to line the internal metal surfaces to reduce IMR response time to compounds such as HNO<sub>3</sub> and oxidized organics. A more detailed description of this design will be provided in a separate publication.

The instrument and tubing response times were measured by depassivation and/or passivation procedures (Pagonis et al., 2017; Deming et al., 2019). For depassivation, after the instrument and tubing have equilibrated with the SVOCfilled chamber air (under constant sampling flow), the instrument alone or the instrument plus tubing was quickly switched to sample clean air. To minimize the effect of humidity change, the humidities of the SVOC-containing air and the clean air were either identical (two humidified chambers with RH difference < 1 %) or similar (dry chamber air versus clean chamber enclosure air with RH < 10%). Passivation tests were also performed for Nafion and metals (stainless steel and Silonite) to test transmission efficiency. Since uncoated metals were observed to respond faster when RH > 20% (Deming et al., 2019), a stainless-steel inlet under RH = 47 % was tested. In this test, clean, humid (RH =47 %) air was first flowed through the steel tubing until equilibration was reached before analyte sampling. Then we connected the RH-equilibrated steel line between the SVOC chamber and the instrument. The sampling continued until a steady state was reached. Tubing and instrument delays for these SVOCs were quantified using depassivation and passivation measurements as described below in Sect. 2.3.

# 2.2 Experiments with small organic and inorganic molecules

The NOAA thermal dissociation chemical ionization mass spectrometer (TD-CIMS) (Osthoff et al., 2008; Zheng et al., 2011) and the NOAA negative ion proton-transfer CIMS (NI-PT-CIMS) (Veres et al., 2008) were used for measuring delays of small polar organic and inorganic molecules. The tubing plus instrument response times were measured for a variety of small inorganic and organic compounds by introducing a step-function change in the analyte concentration produced by a calibration source. The inlets used for the small polar compounds differed according to each NOAA CIMS. The TD-CIMS used 0.63 cm O.D. (0.476 cm I.D.) PFA tubing of approximately 1 m length and a flow rate of  $2.7 \,\mathrm{L\,min^{-1}}$  (Osthoff et al., 2008; Warneke et al., 2016). The NI-PT-CIMS used a 0.315 cm O.D. (0.159 cm I.D.) PFA tubing of 2 m length at a flow rate of  $0.59 \,\mathrm{L\,min^{-1}}$  (Roberts et al., 2010). Despite these differences, the surface areas of the two inlets were within 40 % of one another and the inlet residence times were similar, 0.377 and 0.389 s for the TD-CIMS and the NI-PT-CIMS inlets, respectively. The sample streams were humidified in the range of 20 % RH-50 % RH. No significant dependences of compound equilibration times were observed over this RH range. However, equilibration times were faster under dry inlet gas conditions for these compounds, a feature that has been reported before for strong acids (e.g., Neuman et al., 1999). The conditions of the IMRs were roughly the same, as the ion sources used 2 standard  $L \min^{-1}$  of N<sub>2</sub> through the Po-210 ionizer, with a small addition of reagent gas. The IMRs were pressure controlled at 33 to 40 mbar. The measured responses to these changes were fitted to single exponential curves to determine the total tubing and instrument response timescale.

### 2.3 Delay quantification

The depassivation procedure described above was used to quantify instrument and tubing delays, during which the decrease in signals can be fitted as double or triple exponential decays. Instrument delays were defined as the time it takes each species to reach 10% of the signal measured at the beginning of depassivation. Since tubing depassivation must be measured together with the instrument, we defined the tubing delay times as the difference between the time to reach 10% signals of the instrument plus tubing and of the instrument only. Both definitions are consistent with previous studies that quantified delay times for higher-volatility compounds (Pagonis et al., 2017; Krechmer et al., 2018; Deming et al., 2019). Since Pagonis et al. (2017) found that tubing delay time increases linearly with tubing length, we normalized each tubing delay time by the corresponding length used to 1 m. However, as shown in Table 1, the sampling flow rates also varied, which are expected to affect tubing delay time nonlinearly and thus cannot be simply normalized (Pagonis et al., 2017). However, a model that treats the gaswall interaction in tubing using gas chromatography principles (Pagonis et al., 2017) was used to derive the compoundand tubing-material-specific  $C_w$  and then predict tubing delay times under a universal flow rate.

#### 3 Results and discussion

### 3.1 Instrument response

The instrument response time as a function of compound  $C^*$  for a number of instruments was quantified in this work. Figure 1 shows examples of the signal decrease for three compounds during depassivation with or without FEP tubing attached, together with multiple exponential fittings. Note the long desorption timescales of the less volatile compounds. Double or triple exponential decays were also observed for other CIMSs used in this work for the same set of semivolatile compounds, consistent with prior results for a Vocus proton-transfer time-of-flight mass spectrometer (Vocus PTR-ToF) for more volatile ketones ( $C^*$  10<sup>4</sup>–10<sup>7</sup> µg m<sup>-3</sup>) (Krechmer et al., 2018). The initial fast decay is likely due to fast clearing of the instrument and tubing. The slower parts of the time response depend on re-partitioning of compounds from the instrument and tubing walls into air.

The measured I-CIMS delay times (times to decrease to 10% of initial signals, derived directly and without using the fitting results) as a function of analyte  $C^*$  are shown in Fig. 2. Also plotted are delay times for a series of ketones measured by a quadrupole proton-transfer mass spectrometer (q-PTRMS) (de Gouw and Warneke, 2007), the q-PTRMS with a simplified inlet system, and a Vocus PTR-ToF (Krechmer et al., 2018) with the focusing ion-molecule reactor at room temperature. Simplifying the inlet on the q-PTRMS de-

creased the delay in the response by a factor of five for the least volatile ketone (2-tetradecanone). The Vocus PTR-ToF (Krechmer et al., 2018) was an order of magnitude better than even the improved q-PTRMS across the whole  $C^*$  range due to substantial reduction of the instrument surfaces exposed to the inlet gases. The time response of the Jimenez I-CIMS appears to be consistent with that of the Vocus PTR-ToF, extending to the lower  $C^*$  measurable with this instrument.

According to the instruments' reactor dimensions and operating conditions, the residence time in the CIMS reactor is between 1 and 2 orders of magnitude shorter than its radial diffusion timescale (similar to the Vocus PTR-ToF). From Fig. 2 it is clear that as  $C^*$  decreases, the delay times increase until  $C^*$  reaches ~ 100 µg m<sup>-3</sup>, from which point the delay times start decreasing. We interpret this trend when  $C^* < 100 \,\mu g \,\mathrm{m}^{-3}$  as being due to irreversible losses of species to the instrument surfaces (at least within timescales relevant to these experiments). The Ziemann I-CIMS showed  $a \sim 3$  times faster response than the Jimenez I-CIMS. Since the dimensions of the two IMR regions of the two instruments are very similar, we attribute the improved response to the humidity added to the stainless-steel IMR of the Ziemann I-CIMS. This is supported by the finding by Deming et al. (2019) for stainless-steel tubing, that an increase in relative humidity from 0 % to 20 % could decrease the delay time by a factor of  $\sim$  10. While humidifying an IMR can be beneficial for response time, the application of this method also needs consideration of water vapor's effect on ionization efficiency of different compounds (Lee et al., 2014).

Also shown in Fig. 2 are earlier data acquired for the Jimenez CIMS coupled to a  $NO_3^-$  source (Krechmer et al., 2016). The  $NO_3^-$  source has a much faster response time of less than 2 s, which is due to the combination of using a sheath flow in its ion-molecule reaction region, as well as to only measuring species in the  $C^*$  range where species are likely irreversibly lost to walls. We suggest comparing future instruments and instrument modifications in a similar way to enable objective comparisons in their responses and we have made this graph available as an Igor file in the Supplement to facilitate future comparisons. In general, instrumental response times can be improved by minimizing analyte surface contact.

#### 3.2 Teflon tubing delays for semivolatile compounds

The measured tubing delays of HNs, DHCs, and DHNs through Teflon inlets, PFA, FEP, and conductive PFA, are shown in Fig. 3. A few low-volatility compounds were excluded, compared to Fig. 2, since their delay times cannot be accurately quantified because the tubing partitioning is too slow. Conductive PFA is made from PFA with added black carbon to make the tubing conductive and thus protect from static electricity discharges in settings where flammable gases are present. Teflon tubing typically experiences dramatic losses of all charged particles, and for that reason



**Figure 1.** Example instrument-only (red, solid lines) and instrument + FEP tubing (green, solid lines) depassivation responses for three different hydroxynitrates observed by the Jimenez I-CIMS. In all cases multi-exponential decays were observed (dashed line fits), with a substantial initial fast decay, followed by a much slower decrease in the signal. Note these decays have different timescale ( $\tau$ ) values. The percentages in parentheses are fractions of original signals associated with each  $\tau$ .

Instrument	IMR H <sub>2</sub> O (molec. cm <sup><math>-3</math></sup> )	Tubing	Gas flow rate (Lmin <sup>-1</sup> )	Inner diameter (cm)	Tubing RH (%)	Tubing length (m)	Tubing supplier
CU (Jimenez) I-CIMS	$\sim 4 \times 10^{13}$	FEP	4.0	0.476	0	0.62	Saint-Gobain
CU (Ziemann) I-CIMS	$2 \times 10^{16}$	PFA	4.2	0.476	47	1.8	McMaster-Carr
		Stainless steel	4.2	0.476	47	1.0	McMaster-Carr
UW I-CIMS	$\sim$ 1–2 $\times$ 10 <sup>16</sup>	Conductive PFA	2.6	0.476	0	1.5	Fluorostore
		Silonite	2.6	0.533	0	1.8	Entech Instruments Inc.
		Nafion	2.6	0.178	0	0.60	Perma Pure
NOAA TD-CIMS	wet <sup>a</sup>	PFA	2.7	0.476	20-50	$\sim 1$	Saint-Gobain
NOAA NI-PT-CIMS	$\sim 2 \times 10^{15}$	PFA	0.59	0.159	20–50	2	Saint-Gobain

Table 1. Instruments and tubing materials tested in this study.

<sup>a</sup> Water added to IMR by bubbling 10 standard mL min<sup>-1</sup> of N<sub>2</sub> through water.

metal tubing (copper and stainless steel typically) is used for aerosol sampling. A conductive silicone tubing is commercially available (TSI Inc., St. Paul, MN), but it has significant artifacts due to condensation of plasticizers onto particles, and thus it is not recommended for sampling ahead of aerosol chemistry instruments (Timko et al., 2009; Yu et al., 2009). As shown by Deming et al. (2019), conductive PFA can sample aerosols without losses, provided that it is protected from rubbing that builds up static electricity (e.g., by adding an aluminum foil cover). As shown in Fig. 3, at similar flow rates ( $\sim 4 \text{ Lmin}^{-1}$ ), PFA performs better than FEP in terms of delay times for S/IVOCs, consistent with the results for higher-volatility species in Deming et al. (2019). Conductive PFA was tested at a slower flow rate of 2.6 L min<sup>-1</sup>, so cannot be compared directly to the other two materials due to nonlinear dependence on flow rates. Using the gas chromatography model by Pagonis et al. (2017), we fit the modeled delay time to the measurements by optimizing  $C_w$ (Table 2). With the estimated  $C_w$ , the model then simulated delay times for each material under the same 4 L min<sup>-1</sup> flow rate. The model run results in Figs. 3 and S2 in the Supplement show that the delay time of conductive PFA was slightly



**Figure 2.** Measured instrument delay times as a function of SIM-POL  $C^*$  for five instruments. The q-PTRMS data are from Pagonis et al. (2017) and the Vocus PTR-ToF data are from Krechmer et al. (2018). Error bars are shown for some data points (the size would be similar for the rest of the points, not added to reduce figure clutter), calculated as standard deviations of two or three repeated measurements. Also shown are approximate delays (shaded area) observed with the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> CIMS in Krechmer et al. (2016).

shortened from 2.6 to  $4 \text{ Lmin}^{-1}$  and that conductive PFA has delay similar to FEP within the uncertainties. In addition, an inspection of the I-CIMS (and Vocus PTR-ToF; Deming et al., 2019) mass spectra obtained using conductive PFA indicates no obvious gas emissions from the tubing compared to PFA, PTFE, and FEP. Given that conductive PFA works well for aerosol sampling (Deming et al., 2019), it appears to be an excellent choice when concurrent semivolatile gas and aerosol sampling is needed.

In addition to the above polymers, Nafion polymer material was also tested, since it is commonly used in sampling systems. Nafion is similar to Teflon but it is modified by adding sulfonic acid groups that facilitate transfer of water and cations across the tube walls. Nafion is commonly used to transfer water vapor by permeation from a humid gas stream to a drier purge gas stream. During a passivation test for the S/IVOC compounds, the Nafion inlet initially transmitted only 10 %-30 % of the concentrations of these hydroxyl-group-containing compounds, without further increase with time (Fig. S1). Once detached from the SVOC-filled air, depassivation was immediate. The sulfonic acid groups likely change the absorptive properties of Nafion compared to other Teflon by adding hydrophilic regions in addition to the hydrophobic Teflon backbone. Thus, the interaction between the Nafion surface and hydroxyl groups of the analytes appears to result in large irreversible losses. Therefore, we do not recommend the use of Nafion in sampling inlets for polar S/IVOCs. Aerosols in equilibrium with



**Figure 3.** Measured tubing delays normalized by tubing length as a function of the saturation concentration of the gas compounds. Also shown as a comparison is the PFA result by Deming et al. (2019). Example error bars are shown, as propagated from exponential fits. Solid lines are chromatographic model results using the best estimated  $C_{\rm w}$  (for  $C^* = 10^2 - 3 \times 10^4 \,\mu {\rm g m}^{-3}$ ) for different tubing at the same flow rates (Table 1). Dashed lines are model results for conductive PFA (this study) and PFA (Deming et al., 2019), using the  $C_{\rm w}$  determined in that work at 4 L min<sup>-1</sup>.

S/IVOCs will be perturbed by the removal of the gases by the Nafion, so we recommend installing Nafion dryers or humidifiers at the last possible location before an instrument and to minimize residence time both in the dryer and between the dryer and instrument whenever possible.

The estimated  $C_{\rm w}$  values that allow the model to reproduce the observed delay trends for the three Teflon tubing materials are shown in Table 2. As shown in Fig. 3, the delay time of species with  $C^* < 10^2 \,\mu g \, m^{-3}$  generally increases less as  $C^*$  decreases compared to more volatile species. Thus, we fitted  $C_{\rm w}$  in  $C^* > 10^2 \,\mu {\rm g} \,{\rm m}^{-3}$  and  $C^* < 10^2 \,\mu {\rm g} \,{\rm m}^{-3}$  ranges. Also listed in Table 2 are  $C_{\rm w}$  values estimated for highervolatility ketones (Deming et al., 2019). C<sub>w</sub> values fitted in this work are consistently smaller than those fitted for more volatile ketones (Table 2 and Fig. S2). This difference may be due to several effects: (1) differences in activity coefficients for absorption in Teflon (Krechmer et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2018), i.e., it is likely that the compounds of lower  $C^*$ tested in this study have higher activity coefficients in the non-polar Teflon "solvent" than the monofunctional ketones tested by Deming et al. (2019) (Fig. S3), (2) possible uncertainties in vapor pressures calculated using SIMPOL for multifunctional compounds, and (3) differences in vapor pressures across positional isomers that CIMS and SIMPOL cannot distinguish. The partitioning of the same S/IVOC species to an FEP chamber bag was previously investigated in Krech $1.16 \times 10^4 (C^*)^{0.56 \,\mathrm{b}}$ 

 $8.46 \times 10^4 (C^*)^{0.38}$ 

 $1.02 \times 10^4 (C^*)^{0.85}$ 

< 5

 $2.7 \times 10^{4}$ 

 $1.2 \times 10^{5}$ 

 $4.4 \times 10^{4}$ 

Tubing	$C_{\mathrm{w}}$	$C_{\rm W}$	$C_{\mathrm{w}}$	Deming et al.	Internal $S/V$ rat
-				$C_{\rm w}$	(cm <sup>-</sup>

Table 2. Fitted values of  $C_w$  ( $\mu g m^{-3}$ ) for S/IVOCs of three  $C^*$  ranges ( $\mu g m^{-3}$ ) into Teflon tubing materials.  $C_w$  needs to be scaled by the S/V ratio of the

<sup>a</sup> Dihydroxycarbonyl (DHC) compounds excluded due to potential humidity effect. This  $C_{\rm w}$  value is applicable for  $C^*$  as low as 40 µg m<sup>-3</sup>. <sup>b</sup> The equation works for  $C^*$  5–40 µg m<sup>-3</sup>

 $9.0 imes 10^{4 \, a}$ 

 $4.9 \times 10^{5}$ 

 $6.2 \times 10^{5}$ 

mer et al. (2016), and our  $C_{\rm w}$  for FEP tubing is of the same order but not identical (Fig. S3). Differences could be due to differences in the FEP materials and mixing effects in the tubing and chamber. Additionally, humidity (RH = 47 % in our case) appears to have decreased the activity coefficients of DHC compounds in PFA tubing, as indicated by their shorter delay times compared to other organic nitrates of similar vapor pressures. Another possibility is that humidity affected the extent to which DHCs cyclized to hydroxy cyclic hemiacetals on the walls, which then affected DHC partitioning (Lim and Ziemann, 2009). DHC compounds reacted to humidity similarly in FEP tubing, although the data are not shown here because the humidity in the chamber and clean air was not controlled to be exactly the same in that experiment. The possible humidity effect was not seen for organic hydroxynitrates, nor in previous work for ketones (Deming et al., 2019).

 $C^*$  range

Conductive PFA

PFA

FEP

The model of Pagonis et al. (2017) has been revised to incorporate the  $C_{\rm w}$  parameters and tubing types tested in this work and in Deming et al. (2019), and also by adding a panel interface for ease of use. The updated model (v2), including the open-source code, is included in the Supplement of this paper. It is also available, together with instructions, at https: //tinyurl.com/PartitioningDelays (last access: 1 June 2019), where any future updates will also be posted.

#### 3.3 Stainless-steel and Silonite tubing delays for semivolatile compounds

Two metal tubing materials, stainless steel and Silonite, were tested. Stainless steel was chosen because it exhibited the fastest response for ketones among a variety of metals and glass (Deming et al., 2019), and also because it is a typical tubing material when sampling aerosols containing semivolatile primary and secondary organics. Silonite (Entech Instruments Inc.) is a ceramic coating treatment applied to metals to provide a smooth, inert surface that reduces the potential for chemical adsorption/absorption. Deming et al. (2019) observed that the passivation of metal tubing with a mixture of linear ketones resulted in complex behavior in which the less volatile species competitively displaced the more volatile species from the surface sites, in some cases enriching the gas-phase concentrations of the displaced compounds several times above the chamber concentration. In our experiments, the stainless-steel and Silonite tubing were attached to the chamber containing  $\sim 1.4$  ppm of alkanol precursors and the SVOCs formed from the reaction of only  $\sim 3.6\%$  of the total alkanols, as measured by the CIMS. Thus, the passivation behavior observed in this experiment is a result of the flow of the S/IVOCs over tubes that had presumably already equilibrated with the alkanols (which were not measured here). In other words, a significant fraction of surface sites might have been occupied by the high-concentration alkanols, so the targeted SVOCs equilibrated faster with the remaining sites. In the atmosphere or other experiments with less concentrated compounds, the displacement behavior might still occur.

 $10^{4} - 10^{7}$ 

 $8.0 \times 10^{5}$ 

 $2.0 \times 10^{6}$ 

 $1.3 \times 10^{6}$ 

In general (Fig. 4), under our conditions stainless steel and Silonite exhibited similar passivation and depassivation behaviors for the compounds studied. For stainless-steel tubing (RH = 47 %) and for more volatile species ( $10^2 < C^* <$  $10^5 \,\mu g \,m^{-3}$ ), the passivation time needed increased with decreasing volatility (Fig. 4a). A slight enrichment effect was observed for the most volatile species ( $C_6$  HN), similar to results from Deming et al. (2019) and presumably due to competitive displacement by the less volatile species. After  $\sim 8$  min, the signals of C<sub>6</sub> HN dropped back to the Teflonmeasured level, indicating no more extra desorption flux from the walls and that its adsorption and desorption had reached a steady state. An irreversible loss was observed for  $C^* < 10^2 \,\mu g \,m^{-3}$  (including C<sub>12</sub> HN and C<sub>8</sub> and highernumber carbon DHNs) after  $\sim 5 \min$  of passivation, corresponding to a transmission efficiency of only  $\sim 50$  %. Note that the initial responses of these lower-volatility compounds were as fast as the most volatile  $C_6$  HN. This may be due to nearly irreversible losses of any molecule that had contact with the walls. Similarly, irreversible loss also appeared to occur for this lower volatility range within the stainlesssteel IMR of the CIMS instrument (Fig. 2). The volatility dependence seemed to be even stronger for the dry passivation of Silonite (Fig. 4c), since the passivation time reached almost 1 h for  $C^* \sim 10^3 \,\mu g \, m^{-3}$  species and significant losses

8.40

8.40

8.40



**Figure 4.** Examples of passivation and depassivation of stainless steel (**a**, **b**) for  $C_6-C_{10}$  HNs and DHNs and Silonite (**c**, **d**) for  $C^* > 10^2 \,\mu\text{g}\,\text{m}^{-3}$  HNs and DHNs, where curves were binomially smoothed across 10 points for visual clarity. The stainless-steel data were measured by the CU (Ziemann) CIMS. The Silonite data were measured with the UW CIMS, and the gaps were due to periodic background sampling. Note that the starting signals for (**c**) are not zero, due to compounds that remained in the instrument.

occurred for  $C^* < 10^3 \,\mu g \,m^{-3}$  species. The slower sampling flow rate through Silonite (2.6 L min<sup>-1</sup>) compared to stainless steel (4.2 L min<sup>-1</sup>) may partly explain its poorer performance. The depassivation of the two metal tubing resembles that of Teflon tubing (Fig. 4b, d). Note that the RH was the same before and after depassivation, so that we did not observe the major effect from competitive water displacement that Deming et al. (2019) reported for metals when dry, ketone-filled air was replaced with clean, humid room air. This may be due to the higher polarity for the species in our study, which may compete favorably with water for surface sites.

The measured delay times for compounds with transmission efficiency of 100 % are shown in Fig. 5. The two metal tubing materials resulted in longer delays when compared to other Teflon materials. In summary, metal tubing transmits IVOCs but with longer delays than Teflon tubing. In addition, metal tubing appears less suitable than Teflon for SVOC measurements due to the relatively long delays and potentially irreversible losses leading to low transmission. This may be a problem when sampling particles in equilibrium with SVOCs, as the depletion of the gas phase due to the delays and losses may lead to evaporation of those compounds from the particles (given similar timescales), and thus a negative bias on the particle measurements. If metal tubing cannot be avoided and an analyte's transmission is unknown, we recommend probing transmission efficiency first, such as by comparing the signals through different lengths of a same material and by investigating the linearity of signals vs. a range of analyte concentrations.

# **3.4** Tubing delays for small polar compounds in Teflon tubing and instruments

The timescales for passivation of the inlet tubing and the instruments by small organic and inorganic molecules are shown in Fig. 6.  $C^*$  does not show a relationship with delay time (defined as the time constant of a single-exponential fit in this case), in contrast to the results for larger organic molecules (Fig. 6a). Instead, there is a clear relationship between the response timescale observed and the Henry's law



**Figure 5.** Stainless-steel and Silonite depassivation delay times measured for a series of HNs and DHNs after equilibration with a chamber filled with alkanols and S/IVOCs, and compared with PFA modeled delays for  $4 \text{ Lmin}^{-1}$  flows. Only compounds with 100 % transmission efficiency through stainless steel and Silonite compared to Teflon tubing are shown. DHCs were not included in delay calculation due to relatively low *S/N* ratios in these experiments. The transmission became lower than 100 % for DHCs with  $C^* < 10^2 \,\mu\text{g}\,\text{m}^{-3}$  through steel and  $C^* < 10^3 \,\mu\text{g}\,\text{m}^{-3}$  through steel for the propagated from exponential fits.

coefficient of each compound (Fig. 6b). Several of the small polar compounds are weak acids and so have solubilities that depend on pH according to the following relationship:

$$H_{\rm eff} = H^* \left( 1 + \frac{K_{\rm a}}{\left[ {\rm H}^+ \right]} \right),\tag{1}$$

where  $H^*$  is the intrinsic Henry's coefficient and  $K_a$  is the acid dissociation constant for the weak acid. Figure 6b was plotted using  $H^*$  for HNCO and HNO<sub>2</sub> (Sander, 2015), as this was most appropriate for the small quantities of absorbed water that created this surface effect.

The delay times of these small polar species through tubing are due to absorption into small amounts of liquid water present on/in the Teflon or IMR walls under these sampling conditions ( $\sim 20 \%$  RH–50 % RH). The amount of liquid water can be estimated from the observed delays and the chromatography model of Pagonis et al. (2017), and is equivalent to 1.5–150 µL. Since the instrument and tubing were not tested separately for these species, this value represents the combined volume of water for the tubing surface and the surfaces of the instrument (including the IMR region). Assuming that all the water is located on the surface of the Teflon tubing, we estimate the range of water content in these experiments to be 0.1–10 mL of water per square meter of tubing, equivalent to a film thickness of  $\sim 0.1-10\,\mu m$ . As this is a substantial thickness, especially at the upper limit, it is likely that the IMR region plays a role in the observed delays. Details of how the model of Pagonis et al. (2017) was adapted to estimate liquid water in tubing are presented in the Supplement. In contrast to the organic compounds discussed above, small polar compounds have longer delay times under humidified conditions than under dry conditions even for materials such as PFA Teflon. In addition, this effect is expected to be enhanced for steel and glass due to their hygroscopic nature. Therefore when optimizing measurement response time for such small polar molecules, ambient humidity or water added on purpose (e.g., for enhancing CIMS sensitivities towards peroxyacyl nitrates, etc.; Slusher et al., 2004) needs to be considered in addition to tubing and instrumental configurations.

#### 4 Conclusions

The instrument and tubing delays of S/IVOCs with saturation concentration between  $10^0$  and  $10^4 \,\mu g \,m^{-3}$  were characterized, which are useful for the design of improved inlets and instruments. This technique is also useful for improved characterization of surface-gas interactions for other applications. In the standard IMR regions of I-CIMS that are commonly used, the instrument response time increases with decreasing volatility until an apparent irreversible loss emerges when  $C^* < 10^2 \,\mu \text{g}\,\text{m}^{-3}$ . Humidifying the metal IMR region was found to help shorten I-CIMS response time, presumably due to water molecules occupying some adsorption sites. As CIMS and other soft ionization techniques have been widely used for monitoring semivolatile, multifunctional organic compounds, delay characterization with methods similar to this study is recommended. Future improvements minimizing surface contact are needed for fast measurements and accurate quantification of a wide range of S/IVOCs. The  $NO_3^-$  CIMS is an exception since because of its sheath flow design and measurement limitations to low  $C^*$  species that are likely irreversibly lost to walls it can measure SVOCs and low-volatility organic compounds (LVOCs) with minimal delays. However, the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> CIMS can only detect a narrow spectrum of highly oxidized compounds (Hyttinen et al., 2018). If possible, adopting a similar flow design in front of other types of CIMS could potentially improve overall time response, such as a newly designed iodide IMR by Palm and Thornton (2019).

Among the tubing materials tested, the tubing delay time for the S/IVOCs analyzed increased in the order of PFA, FEP, conductive PFA, wet stainless steel, and Silonite. Irreversible loss was observed for compounds with  $C^* < 10^2 \,\mu g \,m^{-3}$  for stainless steel,  $C^* < 10^3 \,\mu g \,m^{-3}$  for Silonite, and the whole  $C^*$  range studied here for Nafion. Thus, PFA Teflon tubing is recommended over the other tested materials for use in atmospheric measurement sample lines when particle trans-



**Figure 6.** Instrument response timescales as a function of (a) saturation concentration and (b) Henry's law coefficient for several inorganic compounds measured using TD-CIMS and NI-PT-CIMS. The dashed line is an orthogonal distance regression of the logarithm of the response timescale against the logarithm of the Henry's law coefficient. Peroxyacetyl nitrate and peroxymethacryloyl nitrate are abbreviated as PAN and MPAN. No delay would be expected for these compounds due to partitioning to Teflon, as they all have  $C^* > 10^7 \,\mu g \,m^{-3}$ .

mission is not a consideration (or in specific laboratory experiments where the particles are not appreciably charged). Conductive PFA appears to be the best compromise for simultaneous gas and particle sampling, as it can be easily protected from buildup of static electricity to prevent particle losses, and its delay time is the smallest of the tubing materials that transmit particles. We modeled the delays caused by Teflon tubing using a simple chromatography model (Pagonis et al., 2017) and estimated the effective absorbing mass concentration ( $C_w$ ) of each material. The  $C_w$  values can be potentially used for estimating delay times under different flow rates and tubing dimensions; however, the applicability of the  $C_w$  values reported here to compounds with very different functionality may need to be evaluated and modified to account for activity coefficient differences.

In addition, we have only studied one tubing sample for each material, and it is possible that some differences occur between tubing materials from different manufacturers. Surface conditioning due to sampling history may also affect the observed delays for metal materials, but this is unlikely for Teflon (Matsunaga and Ziemann, 2010).

We have not explored the use of higher tubing temperatures to improve transmission, which is commonly applied for semivolatile or reactive species (Mikoviny et al., 2010). Since ~ 15 °C is needed to increase  $C^*$  by 1 order of magnitude (Epstein et al., 2010), however, very high temperatures may be required for fast transmission in the SVOC range (e.g., to increase  $C^*$  from 10 to  $10^6 \,\mu g \,m^{-3}$  to try to ensure fast transmission,  $T \sim 100 \,^\circ$ C). Such high temperatures can lead to thermal decomposition of oxidized molecules (Stark et al., 2017) and potentially changes in the tubing properties. Heating will also lead to evaporation of organic aerosol particles, typically ~ 1 % of the mass per 1 °C for ambient particles (Huffman et al., 2009). The evaporated compounds will be at the lower end of the SVOC range, and thus subject to irreversible losses and/or very long delays in the gas phase compared to very efficient transmission in the particle phase. Thus heating can help in certain cases, but it is unlikely to solve most of the delay problems reported here, and can create other problems in the process.

A different phenomenology was observed for the sorption of small polar molecules, and appears to be due to absorption into small quantities of water on/in the tubing and instrument walls since it correlates with Henry's law constants rather than  $C^*$ . Although this work and that of Deming et al. (2019) did not observe any water solubility effect for the IVOCs and SVOCs studied, it could play a role for smaller, more polar organic gases (e.g., formic acid). Simultaneous partitioning to adsorbed water and polymer walls can be incorporated into tubing delay models in an straightforward manner, as done in past studies for gas–particle partitioning (Yatavelli et al., 2014; Wania et al., 2015). Further experimental investigation for compounds expected to have a range of fractional partitioning to both phases is needed to confirm the applicability of that method.

When accurate quantification in an environment with varying concentrations is necessary, the signals as a result of partitioning effects, if they cannot be eliminated, need to be separated from true ambient signals. Very recently, Palm and Thornton (2019) proposed a frequent, fast zeroing method to capture the HNO<sub>3</sub> signals due to memory effect in a CIMS IMR, immediately after the IMR volume has been cleared out and before the analyte can repartition between the walls and the gas phase. This method can be potentially adapted to other compounds in other inlet and instrument configurations after careful examination.

The methods employed in this study are recommended for characterizing the response times and transmission efficiencies of S/IVOCs and other compounds in different tubing materials and instruments since both of these can affect the accuracy of quantification, especially when no direct calibration is available. These results should help to inform inlet

#### X. Liu et al.: Effects of gas-wall interactions on measurements of SVOCs and small polar molecules

and instrument designs, guide the exploration of the effects of other variables such as compound functionality and inlet temperature, and guide the evaluation and design of future tubing materials and instrumentation.

*Data availability.* The source data in all figures are available for download at http://cires1.colorado.edu/jimenez/group\_pubs. html (last access: 7 June 2019). The updated computer model of Pagonis et al. (2017) (v2) is available for download at https: //tinyurl.com/PartitioningDelays (last access: 6 June 2019), and also included in the Supplement (the link is recommended as it allows for future updates).

*Supplement*. The supplement related to this article is available online at: https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-3137-2019-supplement.

*Author contributions.* XL, DAD, and JLJ designed the study. XL, BD, BBP, RT, JMR, and PRV conducted experiments and participated in data analyses. XL led the analyses and wrote the paper. DP and JLJ updated the computer model. All authors provided input in finalizing and revising the paper.

*Competing interests.* The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

*Acknowledgements.* We thank the ToF-CIMS user's community for useful discussions.

*Financial support.* This research has been supported by the Department of Energy (BER/ASR) DE-SC0016559, the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation on the Chemistry of Indoor Environments (CIE, grant no. 2018-10071), and NOAA (Health of the Atmosphere Program and Climate Goal grants).

*Review statement.* This paper was edited by Pierre Herckes and reviewed by two anonymous referees.

#### References

- de Gouw, J. and Warneke, C.: Measurements of volatile organic compounds in the earth's atmosphere using proton-transferreaction mass spectrometry, Mass Spectrom. Rev., 26, 223–257, https://doi.org/10.1002/mas.20119, 2007.
- Deming, B., Pagonis, D., Liu, X., Day, D., Talukdar, R., Krechmer, J., de Gouw, J. A., Jimenez, J. L., and Ziemann, P. J.: Measurements of Delays of Gas-Phase Compounds in a Wide Variety of Tubing Materials due to Gas-Wall Interactions, Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-2019-25, in press, 2019.

- Epstein, S. A., Riipinen, I., and Donahue, N. M.: A Semiempirical Correlation between Enthalpy of Vaporization and Saturation Concentration for Organic Aerosol, Environ. Sci. Technol., 44, 743–748, https://doi.org/10.1021/es902497z, 2010.
- Huang, Y., Zhao, R., Charan, S. M., Kenseth, C. M., Zhang, X., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Unified Theory of Vapor-Wall Mass Transport in Teflon-Walled Environmental Chambers, Environ. Sci. Technol., 52, 2134–2142, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b05575, 2018.
- Huey, L. G., Dunlea, E. J., Lovejoy, E. R., Hanson, D. R., Norton, R. B., Fehsenfeld, F. C., and Howard, C. J.: Fast time response measurements of HNO<sub>3</sub> in air with a chemical ionization mass spectrometer, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 103, 3355–3360, https://doi.org/10.1029/97JD02214, 1998.
- Huey, L. G., Tanner, D. J., Slusher, D. L., Dibb, J. E., Arimoto, R., Chen, G., Davis, D., Buhr, M. P., Nowak, J. B., Mauldin Iii, R. L., Eisele, F. L., and Kosciuch, E.: CIMS measurements of HNO<sub>3</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> at the South Pole during ISCAT 2000, Atmos. Environ., 38, 5411–5421, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.04.037, 2004.
- Huffman, J. A., Docherty, K. S., Aiken, A. C., Cubison, M. J., Ulbrich, I. M., DeCarlo, P. F., Sueper, D., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop, D. R., Ziemann, P. J., and Jimenez, J. L.: Chemically-resolved aerosol volatility measurements from two megacity field studies, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 7161–7182, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-7161-2009, 2009.
- Hunter, J. F., Day, D. A., Palm, B. B., Yatavelli, R. L. N., Chan, A. W. H., Kaser, L., Cappellin, L., Hayes, P. L., Cross, E. S., Carrasquillo, A. J., Campuzano-Jost, P., Stark, H., Zhao, Y., Hohaus, T., Smith, James N., Hansel, A., Karl, T., Goldstein, A. H., Guenther, A., Worsnop, Douglas R., Thornton, J. A., Heald, C. L., Jimenez, J. L., and Kroll, J. H.: Comprehensive characterization of atmospheric organic carbon at a forested site, Nat. Geosci., 10, 748, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo3018, 2017.
- Hyttinen, N., Otkjaer, R. V., Iyer, S., Kjaergaard, H. G., Rissanen, M. P., Wennberg, P. O., and Kurten, T.: Computational Comparison of Different Reagent Ions in the Chemical Ionization of Oxidized Multifunctional Compounds, J. Phys. Chem. A, 122, 269– 279, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.7b10015, 2018.
- Jokinen, T., Sipilä, M., Junninen, H., Ehn, M., Lönn, G., Hakala, J., Petäjä, T., Mauldin III, R. L., Kulmala, M., and Worsnop, D. R.: Atmospheric sulphuric acid and neutral cluster measurements using CI-APi-TOF, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 4117–4125, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-4117-2012, 2012.
- Krechmer, J., Lopez-Hilfiker, F., Koss, A., Hutterli, M., Stoermer, C., Deming, B., Kimmel, J., Warneke, C., Holzinger, R., Jayne, J., Worsnop, D., Fuhrer, K., Gonin, M., and de Gouw, J.: Evaluation of a New Reagent-Ion Source and Focusing Ion-Molecule Reactor for Use in Proton-Transfer-Reaction Mass Spectrometry, Anal. Chem., 90, 12011–12018, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.8b02641, 2018.
- Krechmer, J. E., Pagonis, D., Ziemann, P. J., and Jimenez, J. L.: Quantification of Gas-Wall Partitioning in Teflon Environmental Chambers Using Rapid Bursts of Low-Volatility Oxidized Species Generated in Situ, Environ. Sci. Technol., 50, 5757– 5765, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b00606, 2016.
- Krechmer, J. E., Day, D. A., Ziemann, P. J., and Jimenez, J. L.: Direct Measurements of Gas/Particle Partitioning and Mass Accommodation Coefficients in Environmen-

tal Chambers, Environ. Sci. Technol., 51, 11867–11875, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b02144, 2017.

- Lee, B. H., Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Mohr, C., Kurten, T., Worsnop, D. R., and Thornton, J. A.: An iodide-adduct high-resolution timeof-flight chemical-ionization mass spectrometer: application to atmospheric inorganic and organic compounds, Environ. Sci. Technol., 48, 6309–6317, https://doi.org/10.1021/es500362a, 2014.
- Li, R., Palm, B. B., Borbon, A., Graus, M., Warneke, C., Ortega, A. M., Day, D. A., Brune, W. H., Jimenez, J. L., and de Gouw, J. A.: Laboratory Studies on Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation from Crude Oil Vapors, Environ. Sci. Technol., 47, 12566– 12574, https://doi.org/10.1021/es402265y, 2013.
- Lim, Y. B. and Ziemann, P. J.: Kinetics of the heterogeneous conversion of 1,4-hydroxycarbonyls to cyclic hemiacetals and dihydrofurans on organic aerosol particles, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 11, 8029–8039, https://doi.org/10.1039/B904333K, 2009.
- Ma, P. K., Zhao, Y., Robinson, A. L., Worton, D. R., Goldstein, A. H., Ortega, A. M., Jimenez, J. L., Zotter, P., Prévôt, A. S. H., Szidat, S., and Hayes, P. L.: Evaluating the impact of new observational constraints on P-S/IVOC emissions, multigeneration oxidation, and chamber wall losses on SOA modeling for Los Angeles, CA, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 9237–9259, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-9237-2017, 2017.
- Matsunaga, A. and Ziemann, P. J.: Gas-Wall Partitioning of Organic Compounds in a Teflon Film Chamber and Potential Effects on Reaction Product and Aerosol Yield Measurements, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 44, 881–892, https://doi.org/10.1080/02786826.2010.501044, 2010.
- Mikoviny, T., Kaser, L., and Wisthaler, A.: Development and characterization of a High-Temperature Proton-Transfer-Reaction Mass Spectrometer (HT-PTR-MS), Atmos. Meas. Tech., 3, 537– 544, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-3-537-2010, 2010.
- Neuman, J. A., Huey, L. G., Ryerson, T. B., and Fahey, D. W.: Study of Inlet Materials for Sampling Atmospheric Nitric Acid, Environ. Sci. Technol., 33, 1133–1136, https://doi.org/10.1021/es980767f, 1999.
- Nowak, J. B., Neuman, J. A., Kozai, K., Huey, L. G., Tanner, D. J., Holloway, J. S., Ryerson, T. B., Frost, G. J., McKeen, S. A., and Fehsenfeld, F. C.: A chemical ionization mass spectrometry technique for airborne measurements of ammonia, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 112, D10S02, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD007589, 2007.
- Osthoff, H. D., Roberts, J. M., Ravishankara, A. R., Williams, E. J., Lerner, B. M., Sommariva, R., Bates, T. S., Coffman, D., Quinn, P. K., Dibb, J. E., Stark, H., Burkholder, J. B., Talukdar, R. K., Meagher, J., Fehsenfeld, F. C., and Brown, S. S.: High levels of nitryl chloride in the polluted subtropical marine boundary layer, Nat. Geosci., 1, 324–328, 2008.
- Pagonis, D., Krechmer, J. E., de Gouw, J., Jimenez, J. L., and Ziemann, P. J.: Effects of gas–wall partitioning in Teflon tubing and instrumentation on time-resolved measurements of gasphase organic compounds, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 10, 4687–4696, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-10-4687-2017, 2017.
- Palm, B. B. and Thornton, J. A.: Wall effects? "Sticky" compounds? Background subtraction? Introducing a conceptual framework for discussing and accommodating the influence of inlet walls on CIMS (and other) measurements, 9th Chemical Ionization Mass

Spectrometers Users Meeting, Boulder, Colorado, 17–19 April 2019.

- Palm, B. B., de Sá, S. S., Day, D. A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Hu, W., Seco, R., Sjostedt, S. J., Park, J.-H., Guenther, A. B., Kim, S., Brito, J., Wurm, F., Artaxo, P., Thalman, R., Wang, J., Yee, L. D., Wernis, R., Isaacman-VanWertz, G., Goldstein, A. H., Liu, Y., Springston, S. R., Souza, R., Newburn, M. K., Alexander, M. L., Martin, S. T., and Jimenez, J. L.: Secondary organic aerosol formation from ambient air in an oxidation flow reactor in central Amazonia, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 467–493, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-467-2018, 2018.
- Pankow, J. F. and Asher, W. E.: SIMPOL.1: a simple group contribution method for predicting vapor pressures and enthalpies of vaporization of multifunctional organic compounds, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 2773–2796, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-8-2773-2008, 2008.
- Roberts, J. M., Veres, P., Warneke, C., Neuman, J. A., Washenfelder, R. A., Brown, S. S., Baasandorj, M., Burkholder, J. B., Burling, I. R., Johnson, T. J., Yokelson, R. J., and de Gouw, J.: Measurement of HONO, HNCO, and other inorganic acids by negative-ion proton-transfer chemical-ionization mass spectrometry (NI-PT-CIMS): application to biomass burning emissions, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 3, 981–990, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-3-981-2010, 2010.
- Roscioli, J. R., Zahniser, M. S., Nelson, D. D., Herndon, S. C., and Kolb, C. E.: New Approaches to Measuring Sticky Molecules: Improvement of Instrumental Response Times Using Active Passivation, J. Phys. Chem. A, 120, 1347–1357, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.5b04395, 2016.
- Sander, R.: Compilation of Henry's law constants (version 4.0) for water as solvent, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 4399–4981, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-4399-2015, 2015.
- Slusher, D. L., Huey, L. G., Tanner, D. J., Flocke, F. M., and Roberts, J. M.: A thermal dissociation-chemical ionization mass spectrometry (TD-CIMS) technique for the simultaneous measurement of peroxyacyl nitrates and dinitrogen pentoxide, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 109, D19315, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JD004670, 2004.
- Stark, H., Yatavelli, R. L. N., Thompson, S. L., Kang, H., Krechmer, J. E., Kimmel, J. R., Palm, B. B., Hu, W., Hayes, P. L., Day, D. A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop, D. R., and Jimenez, J. L.: Impact of Thermal Decomposition on Thermal Desorption Instruments: Advantage of Thermogram Analysis for Quantifying Volatility Distributions of Organic Species, Environ. Sci. Technol., 51, 8491–8500, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b00160, 2017.
- Timko, M. T., Yu, Z., Kroll, J., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop, D. R., Miake-Lye, R. C., Onasch, T. B., Liscinsky, D., Kirchstetter, T. W., Destaillats, H., Holder, A. L., Smith, J. D., and Wilson, K. R.: Sampling Artifacts from Conductive Silicone Tubing, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 43, 855–865, https://doi.org/10.1080/02786820902984811, 2009.
- Veres, P., Roberts, J. M., Warneke, C., Welsh-Bon, D., Zahniser, M., Herndon, S., Fall, R., and de Gouw, J.: Development of negative-ion proton-transfer chemical-ionization mass spectrometry (NI-PT-CIMS) for the measurement of gas-phase organic acids in the atmosphere, Int. J. Mass Spectrom., 274, 48–55, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijms.2008.04.032, 2008.

- Wania, F., Lei, Y. D., Wang, C., Abbatt, J. P. D., and Goss, K.-U.: Using the chemical equilibrium partitioning space to explore factors influencing the phase distribution of compounds involved in secondary organic aerosol formation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 3395–3412, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-3395-2015, 2015.
- Warneke, C., Trainer, M., de Gouw, J. A., Parrish, D. D., Fahey, D. W., Ravishankara, A. R., Middlebrook, A. M., Brock, C. A., Roberts, J. M., Brown, S. S., Neuman, J. A., Lerner, B. M., Lack, D., Law, D., Hübler, G., Pollack, I., Sjostedt, S., Ryerson, T. B., Gilman, J. B., Liao, J., Holloway, J., Peischl, J., Nowak, J. B., Aikin, K. C., Min, K.-E., Washenfelder, R. A., Graus, M. G., Richardson, M., Markovic, M. Z., Wagner, N. L., Welti, A., Veres, P. R., Edwards, P., Schwarz, J. P., Gordon, T., Dube, W. P., McKeen, S. A., Brioude, J., Ahmadov, R., Bougiatioti, A., Lin, J. J., Nenes, A., Wolfe, G. M., Hanisco, T. F., Lee, B. H., Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Thornton, J. A., Keutsch, F. N., Kaiser, J., Mao, J., and Hatch, C. D.: Instrumentation and measurement strategy for the NOAA SENEX aircraft campaign as part of the Southeast Atmosphere Study 2013, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 9, 3063–3093, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-9-3063-2016, 2016.
- Yatavelli, R. L. N., Stark, H., Thompson, S. L., Kimmel, J. R., Cubison, M. J., Day, D. A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Palm, B. B., Hodzic, A., Thornton, J. A., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop, D. R., and Jimenez, J. L.: Semicontinuous measurements of gas-particle partitioning of organic acids in a ponderosa pine forest using a MOVI-HRToF-CIMS, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 1527–1546, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-1527-2014, 2014.

- Ye, P., Ding, X., Hakala, J., Hofbauer, V., Robinson, E. S., and Donahue, N. M.: Vapor wall loss of semi-volatile organic compounds in a Teflon chamber, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 50, 822–834, https://doi.org/10.1080/02786826.2016.1195905, 2016.
- Yeh, G. K. and Ziemann, P. J.: Gas-Wall Partitioning of Oxygenated Organic Compounds: Measurements, Structure– Activity Relationships, and Correlation with Gas Chromatographic Retention Factor, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 49, 727–738, https://doi.org/10.1080/02786826.2015.1068427, 2015.
- Yu, Y., Liz Alexander, M., Perraud, V., Bruns, E. A., Johnson, S. N., Ezell, M. J., and Finlayson-Pitts, B. J.: Contamination from electrically conductive silicone tubing during aerosol chemical analysis, Atmos. Environ., 43, 2836–2839, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.02.014, 2009.
- Zheng, W., Flocke, F. M., Tyndall, G. S., Swanson, A., Orlando, J. J., Roberts, J. M., Huey, L. G., and Tanner, D. J.: Characterization of a thermal decomposition chemical ionization mass spectrometer for the measurement of peroxy acyl nitrates (PANs) in the atmosphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 6529–6547, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-6529-2011, 2011.