Effects of Metformin on Metabolite Profiles and LDL Cholesterol in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes

Diabetes Care 2015;38:1858-1867 | DOI: 10.2337/dc15-0658

Metformin is used as a first-line oral treatment for type 2 diabetes (T2D). However, the underlying mechanism is not fully understood. Here, we aimed to comprehensively investigate the pleiotropic effects of metformin.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

We analyzed both metabolomic and genomic data of the population-based KORA cohort. To evaluate the effect of metformin treatment on metabolite concentrations, we quantified 131 metabolites in fasting serum samples and used multivariable linear regression models in three independent cross-sectional studies (n = 151 patients with T2D treated with metformin [mt-T2D]). Additionally, we used linear mixed-effect models to study the longitudinal KORA samples (n = 912) and performed mediation analyses to investigate the effects of metformin intake on blood lipid profiles. We combined genotyping data with the identified metforminassociated metabolites in KORA individuals (n = 1,809) and explored the underlying pathways.

RESULTS

We found significantly lower (P < 5.0E-06) concentrations of three metabolites (acyl-alkyl phosphatidylcholines [PCs]) when comparing mt-T2D with four control groups who were not using glucose-lowering oral medication. These findings were controlled for conventional risk factors of T2D and replicated in two independent studies. Furthermore, we observed that the levels of these metabolites decreased significantly in patients after they started metformin treatment during 7 years' follow-up. The reduction of these metabolites was also associated with a lowered blood level of LDL cholesterol (LDL-C). Variations of these three metabolites were significantly associated with 17 genes (including FADS1 and FADS2) and controlled by AMPK, a metformin target.

CONCLUSIONS

Our results indicate that metformin intake activates AMPK and consequently suppresses FADS, which leads to reduced levels of the three acyl-alkyl PCs and LDL-C. Our findings suggest potential beneficial effects of metformin in the prevention of cardiovascular disease.

Type 2 diabetes (T2D) is a chronic disease with diminished response to insulin and relative insulin deficiency (1). Patients with T2D mostly take metformin as first-line oral treatment to lower their glucose levels and to improve insulin sensitivity (2). Despite metformin's use as an antihyperglycemic agent for more than 50 years, its

Tao Xu,^{1,2} Stefan Brandmaier,^{1,2} Ana C. Messias,³ Christian Herder,^{4,5} Harmen H.M. Draisma,^{6,7,8} Ayse Demirkan,^{9,10} Zhonghao Yu,^{1,2} Janina S. Ried,¹¹ Toomas Haller,¹² Margit Heier,² Monica Campillos,¹³ Gisela Fobo,¹³ Renee Stark,¹⁴ Christina Holzapfel,¹⁵ Jonathan Adam,^{1,2} Shen Chi,^{1,2} Markus Rotter,^{1,2} Tommaso Panni,² Anne S. Quante,^{11,16} Ying He,^{17,18} Cornelia Prehn,¹⁹ Werner Roemisch-Margl,¹³ Gabi Kastenmüller,¹³ Gonneke Willemsen,^{6,7} René Pool,^{6,7} Katarina Kasa,⁹ Ko Willems van Dijk,¹⁰ Thomas Hankemeier,²⁰ Christa Meisinger,² Barbara Thorand,² Andreas Ruepp,¹³ Martin Hrabé de Angelis,^{21,22,23} Yixue Li,^{17,18} H.-Erich Wichmann,^{2,16,24} Bernd Stratmann,²⁵ Konstantin Strauch, 11,16 Andres Metspalu,¹² Christian Gieger,^{1,2} Karsten Suhre, 13, 26, 27 Jerzy Adamski,^{19,22,23} Thomas Illig,^{1,28,29} Wolfgang Rathmann,³⁰ Michael Roden,^{4,5,31} Annette Peters,^{1,2,23,32} Cornelia M. van Duijn,^{8,33} Dorret I. Boomsma.^{6,7} Thomas Meitinger,^{34,35} and Rui Wang-Sattler^{1,2,23}

¹Research Unit of Molecular Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, Neuherberg, Germany

²Institute of Epidemiology II, Helmholtz Zentrum München, Neuherberg, Germany

³Institute of Structural Biology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, Neuherberg, Germany

^⁴Institute for Clinical Diabetology, German Diabetes Center, Leibniz Center for Diabetes Research at Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany

⁵German Center for Diabetes Research, Düsseldorf, Germanv

⁶Department of Biological Psychology, Faculty of Psychology and Education, VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

⁷EMGO Institute for Health and Care Research, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

⁸Neuroscience Campus Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

⁹Department of Epidemiology, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands

¹⁰Department of Human Genetics, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands

¹¹Institute of Genetic Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, Neuherberg, Germany

Downloaded from http://diabetesjournals.org/care/article-pdf/38/10/1858/622119/dc150658.pdf by guest on 24 August 2022



primary mode of action is not yet completely understood (3). Inside a cell, metformin apparently inhibits complex I of the mitochondrial electron transport chain and thereby reduces the cellular energy status and upregulates the cytoplasmic 5'-AMPK pathway (3). Activated AMPK stimulates catabolic processes (glycolysis and fatty acid oxidation) and inhibits anabolic pathways (gluconeogenesis and fatty acid synthesis). So far, six metformin targets are documented in the DrugBank (4) database, including the AMPK complex and five metformin transporters. Furthermore, metformin was reported to have several possible pleiotropic effects, resulting in reduced risks for both cancer (5) and cardiovascular disease (CVD) (6), as well as reduced levels of LDL cholesterol (LDL-C) (7,8).

Metabolomic studies have detected metabolite profile changes during the development of T2D (9–12) and identified concentration differences caused by various physiological and environmental factors such as age (13), sex (14), smoking status (15), and alcohol consumption (16). Several metabolomic studies attempted to unravel the physiological effects of metformin (17–21). However, they either used technologies covering only small sets of metabolites or examined relatively few participants (e.g., 20 healthy volunteers [18], 15 patients [17,19], 31 patients [20], and 24

patients treated with glipizide and 23 patients with metformin [21]). As interindividual genetic variations contribute to diverse metabolite profiles and different drug responses, combining metabolomics and genomics may help to understand the mechanisms underlying the action of medications (22–25).

In this study, we discovered metformin treatment-associated metabolites in the Cooperative Health Research in the Region of Augsburg (KORA) cohort (26,27). We confirmed our finding in longitudinal KORA data and replicated them in two independent studies: the Erasmus Rucphen Family study (ERF) (28) and the Netherlands Twin Register (NTR) (29). The biologically relevant pathways for the identified metabolites and their associated genes were further analyzed in organ-specific proteinmetabolite interaction networks (30,31). Additionally, we assessed the effects of metformin treatment on LDL-C levels.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

An overview of the analysis work flow is shown in Fig. 1.

Ethics Statement

All participants gave written informed consent. The KORA study was approved by the ethics committee of the Bavarian Medical Association, Germany; the ERF study by the medical ethics board of the Erasmus MC Rotterdam, the Netherlands; and the NTR study by the Central Ethics Committee on Research Involving Human Subjects of the VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.

KORA Cohort

KORA is a population-based cohort study conducted in Southern Germany (26). The baseline survey 4 (KORA S4) consists of 4,261 individuals (aged 25-74 years) examined between 1999 and 2001. During the years 2006-2008, 3,080 participants took part in the follow-up survey 4 (KORA F4). Clinical data for each participant were retrieved from medical records. Based on physicianvalidated and self-reported diagnosis (9,26), fasting glucose and 2-h postglucose load, and information on medications (Table 1), we excluded 1) patients suffering from type 1 and steroid-induced diabetes (n = 9), 2) patients with T2D treated with both metformin and insulin (n = 15), 3 patients taking glucoselowering oral medication other than metformin (n = 25), and 4) patients lacking clear information on treatment (n = 1). Furthermore, participants with overnight nonfasting blood samples (n = 16)or isolated impaired fasting glucose (n = 112) were excluded. We previously showed that impaired fasting glucose and impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) should be considered two different phenotypes (9). In KORA F4, we focused on five groups: 1) patients with metformin-treated T2D

¹²Estonian Genome Center, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia

¹³Institute of Bioinformatics and Systems Biology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, Neuherberg, Germany ¹⁴Institute of Health Economics and Health Care Management, Helmholtz Zentrum München, Neuherberg, Germany

¹⁵Else Kroener-Fresenius-Center for Nutritional Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany

¹⁶Institute of Medical Informatics, Biometry and Epidemiology, Chair of Genetic Epidemiology, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Munich, Germany ¹⁷Shanghai Center for Bioinformation Technology, Shanghai, China

¹⁸Bioinformatics Center, Key Laboratory of Systems Biology, Shanghai Institutes for Biological Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China

¹⁹Institute of Experimental Genetics, Genome Analysis Center, Helmholtz Zentrum München, Neuherberg, Germany

²⁰Faculty of Science, Leiden Academic Centre for Drug Research, Analytical BioSciences, the Netherlands

²¹Institute of Experimental Genetics, Helmholtz Zentrum München, Neuherberg, Germany ²²Chair of Experimental Genetics, Center of Life and Food Sciences Weihenstephan, Technische Universität München, Freising, Germany

²³German Center for Diabetes Research, Neuherberg, Germany

²⁴Institute of Medical Statistics and Epidemiology, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany²⁵Heart and Diabetes Center NRW, Diabetes Center, Ruhr-University Bochum, Bad Oeynhausen, Germany

²⁶Faculty of Biology, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Planegg-Martinsried, Germany

²⁷Department of Physiology and Biophysics, Weill Cornell Medical College in Qatar, Education City, Qatar Foundation, Doha, Qatar

²⁸Hannover Unified Biobank, Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany

²⁹Institute for Human Genetics, Hannover Medical School, Hanover, Germany

³⁰Institute for Biometrics and Epidemiology, German Diabetes Center, Leibniz Center for Diabetes Research at Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany

³¹Department of Endocrinology and Diabetology, Medical Faculty, Düsseldorf, Germany ³²Department of Environmental Health, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA

³³Center for Medical Systems Biology, Leiden, the Netherlands

³⁴Institute of Human Genetics, Helmholtz Zentrum München, Neuherberg, Germany

³⁵Institute of Human Genetics, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany

Corresponding author: Rui Wang-Sattler, rui. wang-sattler@helmholtz-muenchen.de.

Received 30 March 2015 and accepted 24 June 2015.

This article contains Supplementary Data online at http://care.diabetesjournals.org/lookup/ suppl/doi:10.2337/dc15-0658/-/DC1.

T.X., S.B., A.C.M., C.He., H.H.M.D., and A.D. contributed equally. C.M.v.D. and D.I.B. contributed equally.

© 2015 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered.



Figure 1—Flowchart of the study design.

(mt-T2D), 2) patients with T2D with insulin treatment (it-T2D), 3) patients with T2D without glucose-lowering treatment (non-antidiabetes drug treated [ndt-T2D]), 4) participants with prediabetes with IGT, and 5) healthy individuals with normal glucose tolerance (NGT) (Table 1).

Replication Studies

The ERF includes 3,000 living descendants of 22 couples who had at least six children baptized in the community church around 1850–1900. The participants are not selected based on any disease or other outcome. Details about the genealogy of the population have previously been provided (28).

The NTR recruits twins and their family members to study the causes of individual differences in health, behavior, and lifestyle. Participants are followed longitudinally; details about the cohort have previously been published (29). A subsample of unselected twins and their family members has taken part in the NTR-Biobank (32) in which biological samples, including DNA and RNA, were collected in a standardized manner after overnight fasting.

Duration of diabetes and 2-h postglucose levels were not available in either the ERF or NTR study. The diagnosis of patients with diabetes in both ERF and NTR studies was based on self-report. Owing to the limited number of it-T2D patients in these two replication studies (n = 3 and n = 9, respectively), this group is not included in the statistical analyses in these two replication studies.

Initially, we had contacted a third potential replication study, the Estonian Genome Center of the University of Tartu (EGCUT). However, only two mt-T2D participants with available metabolomics data were available in this cohort; results from the EGCUT study are therefore not shown.

Table 1-Characteristics of the KORA F4 cross-sectional study population

Clinical parameters	NGT	IGT	ndt-T2D	mt-T2D	it-T2D
n	2,129	375	169	90	24
Age, years	52.8 (12.6)	63.9 (11.0)	66.3 (9.7)	66.8 (8.7)	69.2 (9.8)
Male	46	49	62	59	54
BMI, kg/m ²	26.6 (4.3)	29.7 (4.9)	30.8 (4.4)	31.7 (5.4)	32.2 (5.9)
Waist, cm	90.5 (12.9)	99.7 (14.3)	104.6 (11.4)	106.3 (1.27)	107.2 (12.4)
Physical activity, >1 h per week	58	50	47	33	17
High alcohol intake ⁺	17	17	18	20	8
Smoker	21	8	12	13	8
Systolic BP, mmHg	119.1 (17.4)	127.5 (18.5)	133.7 (18.6)	131.3 (18.9)	135.6 (22.7)
HDL-C, mg/dL	57.6 (14.4)	54.1 (14.0)	47.8 (12.1)	50.6 (10.5)	48.0 (9.6)
LDL-C, mg/dL	134.9 (34.3)	143.7 (35.4)	138.5 (36.5)	122.9 (29.0)	120.0 (31.6)
Triglycerides, mg/dL	110.6 (73.0)	146.0 (86.2)	175.1 (127.0)	174.4 (132.2)	142.1 (73.2)
HbA _{1c} , %	5.4 (0.3)	5.6 (0.3)	6.3 (0.9)	6.9 (1.1)	7.3 (1.1)
HbA _{1c} , mmol/mol	36 (3.3)	38 (3.3)	45 (9.8)	52 (12.0)	56 (12.0)
Fasting glucose, mg/dL	91.7 (7.6)	100.1 (10.6)	125.7 (29.1)	144.1 (37.1)	141.9 (39.0)
2-h postglucose load, mg/dL	97.7 (20.8)	161.7 (17.1)	214.5 (50.7)¥	—	—
Time since diagnosis, years	—	—	1.0 (3.1)#	7.7 (7.1)	16.7 (7.4)
Insulin, μlU/mL	6.9 (25.9)	13.1 (64.0)	16.6 (30.1)	10.4 (10.4)	32.2 (77.8)
Statin usage	8	16	24	38	33
β-Blocker usage	12	31	43	41	63
ACE inhibitor usage	8	21	31	43	58
ARB usage	6	9	15	13	8
Metformin usage	0	0	0	100	0
Insulin therapy	0	0	0	0	100

Percentages of individuals or means (SD) are shown for each variable and each group (NGT, IGT, ndt-T2D, mt-T2D, and it-T2D). $\dagger \ge 20$ g/day for women; ≥ 40 g/day for men. $\forall n = 121$. #For newly diagnosed T2D patients (n = 112), years since T2D diagnosis was defined as 0.

Blood Sampling

In the KORA cohort study, blood was drawn into *S*-Monovette serum tubes (Sarstedt AG & Co., Nümbrecht, Germany) in the morning between 8:00 A.M. and 10:30 A.M. after at least 8 h of fasting. Tubes were gently inverted twice, followed by 30 min resting at room temperature to obtain complete coagulation. For serum collection, blood was centrifuged at 2,750g at 15°C for 10 min. Serum was filled into synthetic straws, which were stored in liquid nitrogen (-196° C) until the metabolomics analyses (9,23).

In the ERF and NTR, the overnight fasting serum samples were drawn for metabolite profiling. Details about the sampling in these two cohorts were described in previous publications (28,32).

Metabolomics Measurement

The serum samples from participants in the baseline KORA S4 and follow-up KORA F4 study were measured with the Absolute/DQp180 and Absolute/DQp150 kits (Biocrates Life Sciences AG, Innsbruck, Austria), respectively. The assay procedures

were previously described in detail (27). For KORA S4 and F4, identical qualitycontrol procedures (9,13), which are explained in details in our previous publications. were used. In KORA F4. 131 metabolites of the initially targeted 163 metabolites passed all quality-control criteria: hexose (H1), 24 acylcarnitines, 14 amino acids, 13 sphingomyelines, 34 phosphatidylcholines (PCs), diacyl (aa), 37 PCs acyl-alkyl (ae), and 8 lysoPCs. In total, 124 metabolites overlapped between KORA S4 and F4, including H1, 21 acylcarnitines, 14 amino acids, 13 sphingomyelines, 33 PC aas, and 34 PC aes, as well as 8 lysoPCs.

The metabolite measurements for both replication studies (ERF and NTR) were performed using the same platform (Absolute/*DQ*p150 kit) as in the KORA F4 study. Additionally, in ERF, PC ae C36:4, PC ae C38:5, and PC ae C38:6 were measured in the full set of serum samples by a targeted liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry method. The measurement is performed on a UPLC-ESI-Q-TOF (Agilent 6530; Agilent Technologies, San Jose, CA) mass spectrometer using reference mass correction. Chromatographic separation was achieved on an ACQUITY UPLC HSS T3 column (1.8 μ m, 2.1 * 100 mm) with a flow of 0.4 mL/min over a 16-min gradient. The metabolites were detected in full scan in the positiveion mode. The raw data were processed using Agilent MassHunter Quantitative Analysis software (version B.04.00; Agilent Technologies).

Measured concentration values of all analyzed metabolites are reported in micromolar (μ M) and were natural-log transformed, and the distributions were subsequently standardized with mean of zero and an SD of 1 for all analyses unless otherwise indicated.

Single Nucleotide Polymorphism Genotyping, Imputation, and Genes

In KORA F4, we carried out genotyping using the Affymetrix 6.0 GeneChip array (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA). Imputation was performed with Impute (http:// mathgen.stats.ox.ac.uk/impute/), version 0.4.2 (reference HapMap phase 2, release 22). We only used autosomal single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) with a minor allele frequency >5%, call rate >95%, and imputation quality >0.4. For the phenotype set enrichment analysis (PSEA), we only mapped those SNPs to a gene that were either in its transcribed region or in its flanking region (110 kb upstream, 40 kb downstream). Gene information was downloaded from the UCSC (University of California, Santa Cruz) genome browser (http://genome .ucsc.edu). The SNP gene mapping was described in detail previously (25). In total, 20,801 genes were analyzed.

Statistical Analysis

To evaluate the effect of metformin treatment on metabolites, we used multivariable linear regression models with the metabolite concentration values as outcome and the grouping variable as predictor. Each metabolite was assessed individually. To include potential confounders, we adjusted for two sets of covariates: 1) age and sex as the crude model and 2) age, sex, BMI, physical activity, alcohol intake, smoking, systolic blood pressure (BP), levels of HDL cholesterol (HDL-C), triglycerides, HbA_{1c}, and fasting glucose as well as the use of statins, B-blockers, ACE inhibitors, and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) as the full model (Table 1). To account for multiple testing, we used Bonferroni correction and considered only those metabolites with a P < 0.05/131 = 3.8E-04 to be statistically significantly different in KORA F4. Meta-analysis of the three studies was performed using random effect models, using a restricted maximum-likelihood estimator.

In the KORA S4 to F4 longitudinal study, we used linear mixed-effect models. We adjusted for the two sets of covariates as described above while assigning a random offset to each of the individual participant in the longitudinal study. Additionally, using linear regression models on the KORA data set, including two time points (S4 n = 1,335and F4 n = 2,763) (9), we calculated the residues of the metabolite concentrations adjusted for age, sex, BMI, physical activity, alcohol intake, smoking, systolic BP, HDL-C, triglyceride, fasting glucose, and HbA_{1c}. The significance of the changes in the metabolite concentrations between the two time points (S4 and F4) was tested using a linear mixedeffect model with the covariates at two time points.

PSEA is a gene-based approach to analyze the associations of genome-wide SNP data with multiple phenotypes in a combined way (25). The significance of enrichment was calculated based on 10,000 permutations (limited by computational restrictions), while setting the significance level at P < 1.0E-04 (lowest possible *P* value owing to the permutation number).

Mediation analysis (33) was conducted to model the identified metabolites as mediators for the association between metformin treatment and LDL-C and total cholesterol in the longitudinal KORA data. The mediation effects of each single metabolite and their summed concentration were tested with crude and fully adjusted multivariable linear regression models.

All statistical analyses were performed in R (version 3.0.1 [http://cran .r-project.org/]).

Pathway Analysis

With use of a bioinformatical approach, a network was constructed by retrieving pairwise connections between candidate metabolites, PSEA-identified genes, intermediate proteins, and known metformin target genes (9,31). Information on proteinprotein interactions was extracted from STITCH (30). Known metformin target genes were retrieved from the DrugBank (4). In our network, we only considered the shortest paths (allowing one intermediate protein, confidence score >0.7) connecting the protein encoded by the genes identified in PSEA with the metformin target genes.

RESULTS

Metabolite Profiles in Three Cohorts

We quantified >130 metabolites in fasting serum samples from the KORA S4 and F4, ERF, and NTR studies (Fig. 1). The discovery study, KORA F4, includes 2,129 NGT, 375 IGT, 169 ndt-T2D, 90 mt-T2D, and 24 it-T2D subjects (characteristics shown in Table 1). In the longitudinal study, we used samples from 912 participants without metformin treatment at baseline (KORA S4); 43 of them were treated with metformin at follow-up (KORA F4 [Supplementary Table 1]). In reference to the two replication cohorts, ERF contained 29 ndt-T2D and 32 mt-T2D patients (characteristics shown in Supplementary Table 2), while NTR included 73 ndt-T2D and 29 mt-T2D

patients (characteristics shown in Supplementary Table 3).

In general, patients with T2D in the three studies were older and more frequently men, with higher BMI, and took more nonantihyperglycemic medications than the participants without diabetes. Among the five groups in KORA F4, people on statin treatment had significantly lower LDL-C levels than those who were not taking statins (Supplementary Fig. 1). When comparing mt-T2D with ndt-T2D, lower levels of LDL-C were observed both in the crosssectional (KORA F4, ERF, and NTR) and in the longitudinal KORA studies. Following the 43 patients, who started metformin treatment after the baseline, we did not observe significant changes in the levels of HbA_{1c} and fasting glucose but observed significant changes for LDL-C and total cholesterol (Supplementary Table 1).

Metabolites Associated With Metformin Treatment

We found six metabolites including three acyl-alkyl PCs, two diacyl (aa) PCs, and one amino acid to have significantly lower concentrations in the 90 mt-T2D patients compared with the 169 ndt-T2D individuals in KORA F4 (Table 2). For example, for the metabolite PC ac C36:4, we observed that the fully adjusted effect estimate was -0.66with P = 4.92E-07; i.e., the PC ac C36:4 level in the mt-T2D group was 0.66 SD lower than the ndt-T2D group.

We further investigated whether the observed differences are specifically for metformin treatment or just reflect the progress of T2D in general. The concentrations of the six metabolites are significantly lower in mt-T2D than in the NGT and IGT groups (Supplementary Table 4). In contrast, none of the six metabolites showed a significantly different concentration in the pairwise comparisons among the four groups without metformin treatment, i.e., NGT, IGT, ndt-T2D, and it-T2D (Supplementary Table 4).

For sensitivity analysis, we tested the associations of the six metabolites after adding the duration of T2D to the fully adjusted model. The three acyl-alkyl PCs (PC ae C36:4, PC ae C38:5, and PC ae C38:6), which are composed of at least one polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA), remained significantly different in the

Table 2—Metabo	lites associated with metfo Discovery KOR/	ormin treatme	ent in patients with T2D Replication ERF		Replication NTR	U.	Meta-analysis	
Metabolite	Effect estimate (95% CI)	р	Effect estimate (95% CI)	р	Effect estimate (95% CI)	q	Effect estimate (95% CI)	р
Crude model								
PC ae C36:4	-0.66 (-0.90, -0.43)	1.07E-07	-1.03 (-1.36 , -0.69)	2.65E-08	-0.97 (-1.38, -0.56)	9.21E-06	-0.86 (-1.11 , -0.60)	5.24E-11
PC ae C38:5	-0.65(-0.90, -0.41)	2.92E-07	-1.04(-1.37, -0.71)	1.94E-08	-0.72 (-1.12 , -0.32)	5.75E-04	-0.79 (-1.04 , -0.55)	2.86E-10
PC ae C38:6	-0.58 (-0.82, -0.35)	2.39E-06	-0.76(-1.11, -0.42)	3.53E-05	-0.61 (-1.02 , -0.19)	4.44E-03	-0.63 (-0.81 , -0.45)	4.11E-12
PC aa C36:0	-0.50 (-0.75, -0.25)	9.53E-05	-0.30(-0.78, 0.18)	2.31E-01	-0.54 (-1.08 , 0.01)	5.43E-02	-0.47 (-0.68, -0.26)	7.48E-06
PC aa C38:0	-0.73 (-0.97, -0.49)	1.48E-08	-0.31 (-0.88 , 0.27)	2.98E-01	-0.56 (-1.12 , -0.01)	4.49E-02	-0.64 (-0.87, -0.40)	8.22E-08
Ornithine	-0.57 (-0.80, -0.33)	4.42E-06	-0.03(-0.18, 0.11)	6.49E-01	0.01 (-0.45, 0.46)	9.78E-01	-0.21 (-0.59 , 0.17)	2.72E-01
Full model								
PC ae C36:4	-0.66 (-0.91 , -0.41)	4.92E-07	-1.08 (-1.33 , -0.83)	8.37E-05	-0.84 (-1.27 , -0.42)	1.67E-04	-0.79 (-1.02, -0.55)	5.27E-11
PC ae C38:5	-0.62 (-0.88, -0.36)	3.81E-06	-1.11 (-1.36 , -0.85)	6.18E-05	-0.62 (-1.04, -0.20)	4.65E-03	-0.73 (-0.99, -0.47)	3.73E-08
PC ae C38:6	-0.58 (-0.82, -0.34)	4.94E-06	-0.74 (-1.00 , -0.48)	6.15E-03	-0.49 (-0.91 , -0.07)	2.15E-02	-0.58 (-0.78, -0.39)	2.96E-09
PC aa C36:0	-0.57 (-0.84, -0.30)	4.25E-05	-0.37 (-0.62, -0.12)	1.41E-01	-0.31 (-0.86 , 0.24)	2.68E-01	-0.49 (-0.71, -0.27)	9.79E-06
PC aa C38:0	-0.68 (-0.94, -0.42)	5.25E-07	-0.35 (-0.64, -0.05)	2.47E-01	-0.34 (-0.90, 0.22)	2.32E-01	-0.56 (-0.82, -0.30)	1.85E-05
Ornithine	-0.58 (-0.80 , -0.19)	3.82E-05	-0.01 (-0.09 , 0.07)	8.80E-01	-0.13 (-0.62, 0.36)	6.02E-01	-0.25(-0.62, 0.13)	2.00E-01
Effect estimates and includes age, sex, BN	d <i>P</i> values for the comparison b //l, physical activity, alcohol inta	etween mt-T2D ake, smoking, sy) and ndt-T2D were calculated (stolic BP, HDL-C, triglycerides, H	using multivarial IbA _{1c} , fasting glu	ble linear regression analysis a cose, and use of statins, β -bloc	djusted for crud ckers, ACE inhibi	I model (age and sex) and full tors, and ARBs. Lipid side chain	l model, which a composition is
abbreviated as Cx:y,	, where x denotes the number	of carbons in th	ie side chain and y the number	 of double bond 	s. Statistically significant P val	ues appear in bo	oldface.	

comparison between mt-T2D and ndt-T2D (P < 3.8E-04) (Fig. 2A), whereas the other three metabolites were not significantly different anymore (Supplementary Table 5). After adjustment of the full model for 1) waist, 2) LDL-C, and 3) the combination of LDL-C and insulin, the effect estimates of the six metabolites were almost unchanged (Supplementary Table 5).

Replication and Meta-analysis

For the three acyl-alkyl PCs, we observed consistent results in both replication studies (ERF and NTR); i.e., significantly lower levels were observed in mt-T2D patients compared with ndt-T2D individuals (P < 0.05) (Table 2 and Fig. 2B and C). Additionally, a metaanalysis of the three studies (KORA F4, ERF, and NTR) yielded significant results for the three replicated metabolites (P < 3.8E-04) (Table 2). We refer to these three highly intercorrelated metabolites, which are not associated with fasting glucose or HbA_{1c}, as metformin associated in the following paragraphs (Supplementary Fig. 2).

In the longitudinal examination, we found significantly decreased levels of the three metformin-associated metabolites in patients who underwent metformin treatment during the follow-up (P < 3.8E-04 using the fully adjusted)model) (Supplementary Table 6). Consistent results for the three acyl-alkyl PCs were observed in a sensitivity analysis with a subgroup of 55 ndt-T2D patients at the KORA S4, of whom 19 were ndt-T2D patients and 36 were mt-T2D patients in KORA F4 in the fully adjusted model (P < 0.05) (Fig. 2D and Supplementary Table 6). These prospective findings confirmed our observations in the cross-sectional study.

Relationship Between Metformin Treatment, the Three Metabolites, and LDL-C Levels

To investigate a potentially mediating effect of the three acyl-alkyl PCs on the associations between metformin treatment and lipid profiles, we explored the prospective data of 912 KORA participants (Supplementary Table 1). We found that metformin treatment accounts for a significant decrease of LDL-C and total cholesterol levels, while its influence on HDL-C and triglycerides was not significant in both crude and



Figure 2-Differences in metabolite concentrations, mediation effect, and organ-specific pathways. Mean residuals of the concentrations (with SEs) of three identified acyl-alkyl PC metabolites for the NGT, IGT, ndt-T2D, mt-T2D, and it-T2D groups derived in cross-sectional analysis of the KORA F4 are shown in A. The mean residuals of the same metabolites in ERF are illustrated for the NGT, ndt-T2D, and mt-T2D groups in B and in NTR for the non-T2D, ndt-T2D, and mt-T2D groups in C, respectively. D refers to the longitudinal setting of the KORA study and shows the mean residuals of the concentrations (with SEs) of the three metabolites with respect to changes within the 7 years between baseline and follow-up study when people were treated with metformin. Residuals were calculated from linear regression model with the full adjustment. E: The association between metformin and LDL-C without consideration of the three metforminassociated metabolites. F: The results of the mediation analysis; the red cross indicates that the direct association between metformin and LDL-C is not significant anymore. G: An overview of the involved pathways. The connections indicated by liver, hypothalamus, muscle, and blood show organ specificity between genes, pathway-related proteins, and metformin drug targets as well as metformin. The metabolites (ellipses) were connected to metformin treatment (straight side hexagons) through genes (rounded rectangles), proteins (hexagons), and metformin targets (rectangles). The activation or inhibition is indicated. Plus or minus symbol next to the line indicates positive or negative association. For further information, see Table 3 and Supplementary Tables 8 and 12.

fully adjusted models (P < 0.05) (Fig. 2*E* and Supplementary Table 7). In particular, metformin was associated with a decrease in LDL-C levels of 11.83 mg/dL. We therefore focused on the analysis of LDL-C and total cholesterol.

After adding the three metabolites to the full model, the direct association between metformin treatment and LDL-C levels was not significant anymore (P = 0.25) (Fig. 2F and Supplementary Table 8A). Based on longitudinal analysis, we found consistent results as reported above (Table 2); i.e., significantly reduced levels of the three metabolites in the metformin-treated patients were observed (e.g., for the summed metabolite concentration P =2.16E-05) (Fig. 2F and Supplementary Table 8B). Furthermore, we found significant positive associations between LDL-C and each of the three metabolites as well as their summed concentration after adjusting for metformin treatment (e.g., for the summed metabolite concentration P = 6.87E-12) (Fig. 2F and Supplementary Table 8C). This means that these associations of the metformin-associated metabolites with LDL-C are independent of metformin treatment. Finally, for each of the three metformin-associated metabolites (and their summed concentration), the mediation effects on the association between metformin treatment and the LDL-C levels were significant in both models (Table 3). For instance, the summed concentration of the metabolites mediates 3.43 mg/dL reduction in LDL-C level, which accounts for 29% of the total effect of metformin on LDL-C (Table 3).

To rule out the potential effect of statin intake, we performed a sensitivity analysis by excluding individuals taking statin at baseline KORA S4 and/or follow-up F4. The mediation effects of the summed concentration were also significant for the associations between metformin and LDL-C level (Supplementary Table 9A). However, although the crude and full model showed similarly significant mediation effects for total cholesterol (Supplementary Table 8D and E and Table 3), after excluding statin users from the analysis, the effects on total cholesterol were not significant anymore with respect to the fully adjusted model (P < 0.05) (Supplementary Table 9B).

Seventeen Genes Are Linked to Metformin-Associated Metabolites and Pathway Analysis

To identify genes associated with the three metabolites, we applied PSEA on these metabolites in a subset of KORA F4 individuals (n = 1,809) with available genotyping data and metabolite profiles. We found 17 genes with an enrichment of SNPs in their transcribed or flanking region (P < 1.0E-04) (Supplementary Table 10). These genes belong to five clusters, one of them containing 12 genes located on chromosome 11. A literature search revealed disease phenotypes associated with these 17 genes. Six genes, namely, FADS1, FADS2, FADS3, MYRF, BEST1 and RAB3IL1, are associated with T2D or its comorbidities, including retinopathy and

	Crude model			Full model			
	Effect estimate (95% Cl)	Р	Explained effect (%)	Effect estimate (95% CI)	Р	Explained effect (%)	
LDL-C				(
PC ae C36:4	-3.05 (-4.38, -1.71)	2.21E-04	25.74	-2.51 (-3.71, -1.31)	1.33E-03	21.22	
PC ae C38:5	-2.94 (-4.21, -1.67)	2.65E-04	24.82	-2.36 (-3.50, -1.22)	1.94E-03	19.97	
PC ae C38:6	-5.25 (-8.11, -2.40)	1.34E-05	44.40	-4.02 (-6.59, -1.44)	4.55E-04	33.95	
Summed concentration ⁺	-4.37 (-6.37, -2.37)	1.52E-05	36.92	-3.43 (-5.19, -1.67)	2.95E-04	28.99	
Total cholesterol							
PC ae C36:4	-5.00 (-7.77, -2.23)	2.63E-05	26.1	-3.08 (-4.72, -1.45)	7.42E-04	26.5	
PC ae C38:5	-4.99 (-7.71, -2.26)	2.33E-05	26.0	-2.77 (-4.25, -1.30)	1.38E-03	23.8	
PC ae C38:6	-6.99 (-11.86, -2.13)	8.96E-06	36.4	-4.16 (-6.98, -1.35)	5.11E-04	35.8	
Summed concentration ⁺	-6.63 (-10.55, -2.71)	2.76E-06	34.6	-3.87 (-6.05, -1.69)	2.41E-04	33.3	

Table 3—Mediation effects of the three metabolites for the association between metformin treatment and reduction of LDL-C and total cholesterol

The estimates of the mediation effects and *P* values were calculated using the longitudinal (KORA S4 \rightarrow F4) mediation analysis adjusted for the crude and full model. The mediation effects for the three metformin-associated metabolites and the summed concentration are shown. †The summed concentration refers to the overall concentration of the three metabolites (PC ae C36:4, PC ae C38:5, and PC ae C38:6).

coronary artery diseases (for references, see Supplementary Table 10).

To explore potentially related pathways, we used a bioinformatics approach, integrating the 17 identified genes with 6 known metformin target genes (4) into a protein-protein interaction network (9,30,31). For 3 of the 17 genes, there was no record for Homo sapiens in the STITCH (30); therefore, we investigated the interaction of the remaining 14 genes with the 6 metformin targets (Supplementary Table 11). AMPK was found to be linked to FADS1 and FADS2 through interacting proteins (leptin and sterol regulatory elementbinding protein 1c [SREBP1c]). A manual evaluation of these interactions in a literature research showed organ specificity, mainly referring to liver and hypothalamus (Fig. 2G). The AMPK complex is inhibited by leptin and metformin in the hypothalamus, whereas it is activated by metformin and leptin in the liver. (References for each interaction are provided in the Supplementary Table 12).

CONCLUSIONS

We found significant concentration differences for three metabolites (PC ae C36:4, PC ae C38:5, and PC ae C38:6) in the blood of patients with T2D under metformin treatment and replicated them in two independent studies. We identified SNP variations in 17 genes (including *FADS1* and *FADS2*) that were associated with the three metabolites. Based on these genes, we built an interaction network to investigate the underlying mechanisms of metformin treatment and identified the organ-specific AMPK pathway. We further found that the reduced LDL-C levels in metformintreated patients with T2D were mediated partially by the three acyl-alkyl PCs. Sensitivity analyses were performed to consider the duration of diabetes and statin use.

The levels of metabolites depend on multiple modifiable factors, such as lifestyle and environment (9-11,13-16). We therefore considered a number of confounding effects, e.g., physiological parameters (age, sex, BMI, and systolic BP), lifestyle (physical activity, alcohol intake, and smoking), glucose levels (HbA_{1c} and fasting glucose), lipid levels (HDL-C and triglycerides), and medication usage (statins, β-blockers, ACE inhibitors, and ARBs). Additionally, intermediates or end products of metabolism are influenced by underlying genetic factors (23,24). In our study, phenotypes and genotypes are available for each person (n = 1,809); we thus used phenotype set enrichment analysis (25). Our combined analysis of genetic and metabolomic data enabled us to identify genes associated with the three metabolites and supported the identification of an organ-specific pathway. The observation of significantly lower levels of the three metformin-associated metabolites (polyunsaturated acyl-alkyl PCs) in the mt-T2D patients can be explained by metformin's effects on AMPK in the liver (Fig. 2G and Supplementary Table 12). In the hepatocyte, metformin increases the AMP-to-ATP ratio and thus leads to the activation of AMPK. Activated AMPK blocks SREBP1c, a transcription factor controlling enzymes involved in the fatty acid synthesis and inhibiting the synthesis of FADS1 and FADS2 (22). This results in a reduced synthesis of unsaturated fatty acids and consequently lower acyl-alkyl PC concentrations. Leptin occupies a central position in the network (Fig. 2G) and affects the FADS complex via three different interactions. In the liver, leptin not only activates AMPK, thereby suppressing SREBP1c and downregulating FADS1 and FADS2, but can also directly inhibit both SREBP1c and FADS2 (34). Metformin and leptin exert opposite effects in the hypothalamus and in the liver (for references, see Supplementary Table 12), but further studies are required to better understand the organspecific metformin effects in humans.

Recently, clinical practice guidelines have recommended the usage of metformin as first-line therapy in T2D patients with heart failure (1,2). Our observation of lower blood levels of LDL-C in metformin-treated patients points toward a beneficial effect of metformin for the prevention of CVD. A meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials shows that metformin treatment results in lowered LDL-C levels in newly diagnosed T2D patients (8). Similar results were also reported in patients without T2D in an epidemiological study (7). Here, we observed that metformin treatment leads to lowered LDL-C levels, an effect mediated most likely through metformin-mediated reduction of FADS activity and consequently reduction of the levels of PUFA, namely, arachidonic acid (35). It has been suggested that lower levels of arachidonic acid leads to an increased membrane fluidity, thus increasing LDL-C receptor recycling (35). This hypothesis is especially strong, given that genetic variants assigned to lower activity of FADS1 and -2 were significantly associated with lower LDL-C levels (36). While certain PCs can indeed exert antidiabetic effects (37), further mechanistic studies are required to test whether lowering of these circulating lipids contributes directly to the prevention of CVDs or merely by its antidiabetic effect (1).

Beyond its common antihyperglycemic action and its effect in lowering LDL-C, metformin can potentially reduce the risk of cancer mortality and diminish the progression of cancer (38). In the current study, we have found the three metforminassociated metabolites significantly associated with two genes, *FEN1* and *C20orf94*, which are involved in DNA repair (39,40). This may partly explain that metformin has been shown to influence the prevalence of different types of carcinoma, such as gastrointestinal cancers (39) and leukemia (40).

The strength of our study is that we used three independent cohort studies to discover and replicate our observations. Importantly, all results presented in this study were independent of physiological parameters, lifestyle, glucose levels, lipid levels, and medication. We combined metabolomics and genomics data, broad literature research, and organspecific information from animal studies to deepen the insight into the underlying mechanisms.

Our findings are limited by the observational nature of cohort studies, and the applied methods, such as the mediation analysis, are of purely statistical character, but they offer the opportunity to raise new questions for experimental confirmation studies, such as randomized controlled clinical trials to investigate, for instance, the effect of metformin on blood lipid levels of patients without diabetes.

In the present studies (KORA, ERF, NTR), the duration of T2D is based on selfreported information. Moreover, neither data on the dosage nor data on duration and compliance of the metformin treatment were available. Furthermore, it has to be mentioned that the degree of diabetes severity presumably discriminates the different groups of patients (ndt-T2D, mt-T2D, and it-T2D), which is reflected by their HbA_{1c} and fasting glucose values (Table 1). Although the investigated metabolite panel does not represent the whole human metabolome, the comprehensive analysis of >130 metabolites from different classes represents a considerable improvement compared with previous technologies.

We found three metformin-associated metabolites, which showed no overlap with the findings of previous studies (17–21). This is likely to result from the use of different sampling matrices (plasma vs. serum), unmeasured metabolites (asymmetric dimethylarginine), or study design (glipizide treatment). Additional, our study considered considerably more potential cofounding effects in a comparably larger number of individuals than previous studies (17–21).

In conclusion, we observed that metformin treatment reduced levels of the three acyl-alkyl PC metabolites in patients with T2D. This change in the metabolic profiles may mediate lowered blood levels of LDL-C. The underlying mechanism is most likely the metformin-induced activation of AMPK and the consequent suppression of SREBP1c and FADS, which leads to reduced levels of PUFA and LDL-C. Our findings suggest a pharmaco-epidemiologic mechanism by which metformin may exert beneficial effects to prevent CVD. More importantly, our study suggests a novel approach to identify pleiotropic effects of medication using multilevel omics data.

Acknowledgments. The authors express their appreciation to all KORA study participants for donating their blood and time. The authors thank the field staff in Augsburg conducting the KORA studies. The authors thank the staff from the Institute of Epidemiology at the Helmholtz Zentrum München and the Genome Analysis Center Metabolomics Platform, who helped in the sample logistics, data and straw collection, and metabolomic measurements, and especially J. Scarpa, K. Faschinger, F. Scharl, N. Lindemann, H. Chavez, A. Sabunchi, A. Schneider, A. Ludolph, S. Jelic, and B. Langer. The authors are grateful to all general practitioners involved in ERF for their contributions. The authors thank all participants in the NTR.

Funding. The KORA study was initiated and financed by the Helmholtz Zentrum München– German Research Center for Environmental Health, which is funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) and by the Free State of Bavaria. Furthermore, KORA research was supported within the Munich Center of Health Sciences (MC-Health), Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, as part of LMUinnovativ. Part of this project was supported by the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme grants HEALTH-2009-2.2.1-3/242114 (Project OPTiMiS) and HEALTH-2013-2.4.2-1/602936 (Project CarTarDis). The German Diabetes Center is funded by the German Federal Ministry of Health (Berlin, Germany) and the Ministry of Innovation, Science and Research of the State of North Rhine-Westphalia (Düsseldorf, Germany). The diabetes part of the KORA F4 study was funded by a grant from the German Research Foundation (DFG) RA 459/3-1. This study was supported in part by a grant from the BMBF to the German Center for Diabetes Research (DZD e. V.). W.R.-M. is funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research grant 03IS2061B (project Gani_Med). K.Su. is supported by Biomedical Research Program funds at Weill Cornell Medical College in Qatar, a program funded by the Qatar Foundation. The EGCUT received support from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme grant BBMRI-LPC 313010, targeted financing from Estonian Government IUT20-60 and IUT24-6, Estonian Research Roadmap through the Estonian Ministry of Education and Research (3.2.0304.11-0312), the Center of Excellence in Genomics (EXCEGEN), and Development Fund from the University of Tartu (SP1GVARENG), and from an EFSD New Horizons grant. This work was also supported by the U.S. National Institutes of Health (R01DK075787). The ERF was supported by grants from the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO) and Erasmus MC and the Centre for Medical Systems Biology (CMSB). Telomere length assessment was supported through funds from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013), grant agreement HEALTH-F4-2007-201413 (ENGAGE). Research for the NTR was funded by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO) (MagW/ZonMW grants 904-61-090, 985-10-002, 904-61-1, 480-04-004, 400-05-717; Addiction-31160008 Middelgroot-911-09-032; and Spinozapremie 56-464-14192), the Center for Medical Systems Biology (CSMB, NWO Genomics), NBIC/BioAssist/RK(2008.024), Biobanking and Biomolecular Resources Research Infrastructure (BBMRI -NL) (184·021·007), the VU University's Institute for Health and Care Research (EMGO+), and the European Community's Seventh Framework Program (FP7/ 2007-2013), grant HEALTH-F4-2007- 201413 (ENGAGE).

Duality of Interest. No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported. Author Contributions. T.X., S.B., A.C.M., C.He., H.H.M.D., A.D., Z.Y., J.S.R., and T.Hal. analyzed the data and interpreted the results. T.X., S.B., A.C.M., C.He., M.Rod., T.M., and R.W.-S. wrote the manuscript. M.H., R.S., C.Ho., J.Adam, S.C., M.Rot., T.P., Y.H., G.K., C.M., B.T., A.R., M.H.d.A., Y.L., H.-E.W., B.S., A.M., C.G., K.St., and W.R. assisted in manuscript generation and revision. M.C., G.F., A.S.Q., G.W., R.P., K.K., and K.W.v.D. interpreted the results. C.P., W.R.-M., K.Su., T.Han, J.Adams, and T.I. performed the metabolic profiling. T.I., A.P., C.M.v.D., D.I.B.,

T.M., and R.W.-S. conceived and designed the study. R.W.-S. is the guarantor of this work and, as such, had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

References

1. Foretz M, Guigas B, Bertrand L, Pollak M, Viollet B. Metformin: from mechanisms of action to therapies. Cell Metab 2014;20:953–966 2. ADA. Executive summary: Standards of medical care in diabetes–2013. Diabetes Care 2013; 36(Suppl. 1):S4–S10

3. Madiraju AK, Erion DM, Rahimi Y, et al. Metformin suppresses gluconeogenesis by inhibiting mitochondrial glycerophosphate dehydrogenase. Nature 2014;510:542–546

4. Law V, Knox C, Djoumbou Y, et al. DrugBank 4.0: shedding new light on drug metabolism. Nucleic Acids Res 2014;42:D1091–D1097

5. Decensi A, Puntoni M, Goodwin P, et al. Metformin and cancer risk in diabetic patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Cancer Prev Res (Phila) 2010;3:1451–1461

 Norwood DK, Chilipko AA, Amin SM, Macharia D, Still KL. Evaluating the potential benefits of metformin in patients with cardiovascular disease and heart failure. Consult Pharm 2013;28:579–583

7. Glueck CJ, Fontaine RN, Wang P, et al. Metformin reduces weight, centripetal obesity, insulin, leptin, and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in nondiabetic, morbidly obese subjects with body mass index greater than 30. Metabolism 2001; 50:856–861

 Wulffelé MG, Kooy A, de Zeeuw D, Stehouwer CD, Gansevoort RT. The effect of metformin on blood pressure, plasma cholesterol and triglycerides in type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review. J Intern Med 2004;256:1–14

9. Wang-Sattler R, Yu Z, Herder C, et al. Novel biomarkers for pre-diabetes identified by metabolomics. Mol Syst Biol 2012;8:615

10. Wang TJ, Larson MG, Vasan RS, et al. Metabolite profiles and the risk of developing diabetes. Nat Med 2011;17:448–453

11. Floegel A, Stefan N, Yu Z, et al. Identification of serum metabolites associated with risk of type 2 diabetes using a targeted metabolomic approach. Diabetes 2013;62:639–648

12. Newgard CB, An J, Bain JR, et al. A branchedchain amino acid-related metabolic signature that differentiates obese and lean humans and contributes to insulin resistance. Cell Metab 2009;9: 311–326 13. Yu Z, Zhai G, Singmann P, et al. Human serum metabolic profiles are age dependent. Aging Cell 2012;11:960–967

14. Mittelstrass K, Ried JS, Yu Z, et al. Discovery of sexual dimorphisms in metabolic and genetic biomarkers. PLoS Genet 2011;7:e1002215

15. Xu T, Holzapfel C, Dong X, et al. Effects of smoking and smoking cessation on human serum metabolite profile: results from the KORA cohort study. BMC Med 2013;11:60

16. Jaremek M, Yu Z, Mangino M, et al. Alcoholinduced metabolomic differences in humans. Transl Psychiatry 2013;3:e276

17. Marchetti P, Masiello P, Benzi L, et al. Effects of metformin therapy on plasma amino acid pattern in patients with maturity-onset diabetes. Drugs Exp Clin Res 1989;15:565–570

18. Cai S, Huo T, Li N, Xiong Z, Li F. Lysophosphatidylcholine-biomarker of Metformin action: studied using UPLC/MS/MS. Biomed Chromatogr 2009;23:782–786

19. Huo T, Cai S, Lu X, Sha Y, Yu M, Li F. Metabonomic study of biochemical changes in the serum of type 2 diabetes mellitus patients after the treatment of metformin hydrochloride. J Pharm Biomed Anal 2009;49:976–982

20. Asagami T, Abbasi F, Stuelinger M, et al. Metformin treatment lowers asymmetric dimethylarginine concentrations in patients with type 2 diabetes. Metabolism 2002;51:843–846

21. Zhang Y, Hu C, Hong J, et al. Lipid profiling reveals different therapeutic effects of metformin and glipizide in patients with type 2 diabetes and coronary artery disease. Diabetes Care 2014;37:2804–2812

22. Gieger C, Geistlinger L, Altmaier E, et al. Genetics meets metabolomics: a genome-wide association study of metabolite profiles in human serum. PLoS Genet 2008;4:e1000282

23. Illig T, Gieger C, Zhai G, et al. A genomewide perspective of genetic variation in human metabolism. Nat Genet 2010;42:137–141

24. Suhre K, Shin SY, Petersen AK, et al.; CARDIoGRAM. Human metabolic individuality in biomedical and pharmaceutical research. Nature 2011;477:54–60

25. Ried JS, Döring A, Oexle K, et al. PSEA: Phenotype Set Enrichment Analysis–a new method for analysis of multiple phenotypes. Genet Epidemiol 2012;36:244–252

26. Rathmann W, Kowall B, Heier M, et al. Prediction models for incident type 2 diabetes mellitusin the older population: KORA S4/ F4 cohort study. Diabet Med 2010;27:1116– 1123 27. Jourdan C, Petersen AK, Gieger C, et al. Body fat free mass is associated with the serum metabolite profile in a population-based study. PLoS One 2012;7:e40009

28. Aulchenko YS, Heutink P, Mackay I, et al. Linkage disequilibrium in young genetically isolated Dutch population. Eur J Hum Genet 2004; 12:527–534

29. Boomsma DI, de Geus EJ, Vink JM, et al. Netherlands Twin Register: from twins to twin families. Twin Res 2006;9:849–857

30. Kuhn M, Szklarczyk D, Pletscher-Frankild S, et al. STITCH 4: integration of protein-chemical interactions with user data. Nucleic Acids Res 2014;42:D401–D407

31. Lechner M, Höhn V, Brauner B, et al. CIDeR: multifactorial interaction networks in human diseases. Genome Biol 2012;13:R62

32. Willemsen G, de Geus EJ, Bartels M, et al. The Netherlands Twin Register biobank: a resource for genetic epidemiological studies. Twin Res 2010;13:231–245

33. Bauer DJ, Preacher KJ, Gil KM. Conceptualizing and testing random indirect effects and moderated mediation in multilevel models: new procedures and recommendations. Psychol Methods 2006;11:142–163

34. Lim CT, Kola B, Korbonits M. AMPK as a mediator of hormonal signalling. J Mol Endocrinol 2010;44:87–97

35. Sone Y, Kido T, Ainuki T, et al. Genetic variants of the fatty acid desaturase gene cluster are associated with plasma LDL cholesterol levels in Japanese males. J Nutr Sci Vitaminol (Tokyo) 2013;59:325–335

36. Global Lipids Genetics Consortium, Willer CJ, Schmidt EM, Sengupta S, et al. Discovery and refinement of loci associated with lipid levels. Nat Genet 2013;45:1274–1283

37. Lee JM, Lee YK, Mamrosh JL, et al. A nuclear-receptor-dependent phosphatidylcholine pathway with antidiabetic effects. Nature 2011; 474:506–510

 Pernicova I, Korbonits M. Metformin–mode of action and clinical implications for diabetes and cancer. Nat Rev Endocrinol 2014;10:143–156

 Liu L, Zhou C, Zhou L, et al. Functional FEN1 genetic variants contribute to risk of hepatocellular carcinoma, esophageal cancer, gastric cancer and colorectal cancer. Carcinogenesis 2012;33:119–123
 Meissner B, Bartram T, Eckert C, et al. Frequent and sex-biased deletion of SLX4IP by illegitimate V(D)J-mediated recombination in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Hum Mol Genet 2014;23:590–601