# Effects of phthalate esters on the developing reproductive tract of male rats

## P.M.D.Foster<sup>2</sup>, E.Mylchreest<sup>1</sup>, K.W.Gaido and M.Sar

Endocrine Reproductive and Developmental Toxicology Program, CIIT Centers for Health Research, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709, USA

Phthalate esters are a large group of chemical agents used predominantly as plasticizers and solvents. Certain members of this chemical class have been shown to cause reproductive and developmental toxicity. Recent attention has focused on the potential of these agents to interfere with male reproductive development through a postulated antiandrogenic mechanism. Observations have focused on di-n-butyl phthalate (DBP), di-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP) and butyl benzylphthalate, with most information relating to dose–response relationships obtained for DBP. Neither DBP, DEHP nor their major metabolites interacted with human or rodent androgen receptors (AR) in transcriptional activation assays. DBP was administered during the critical window of development of the male reproductive system, after which the resulting offspring were examined until adulthood. DBP elicited marked effects on the developing male reproductive tract, including malformations of the epididymis and vas deferens, and hypospadias. Retention of thoracic nipples/areolae and reductions in anogenital distance were also noted. Surprisingly, Leydig cell adenomas were induced in some male offspring at 100 days of age. All these events occurred in the absence of any toxicity in the pregnant dam. Examination of testes from fetal rats indicated markedly reduced testosterone levels and increased Leydig cell numbers after DBP administration to the dams. Leydig cells were positive for AR and 3-betahydroxysteroid dehydrogenase.

Key words: antiandrogenic/endocrine disruption/phthalate, di-n-butyl/reproductive development/testis, fetal

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction
Effects on rodent male reproduction
Effects on male reproductive development
DBP as an antiandrogen
Early changes in the fetal testis
Conclusions
References

#### Introduction

Considerable concern has been focused on the potential of certain phthalate esters [and in particular di-n-butyl (DBP), di-2-ethylhexyl (DEHP) and butylbenzyl phthalate] to interfere with reproduction and development by an endocrine-mediated process. In general, phthalate esters are found in many different environmental media, but the concentrations of individual esters are low. Regulatory action on the presence of certain phthalate esters in toys for infants was prompted at least in part by concern over potential endocrine activity (European Commission, 1999). In general, standard assays of

prenatal development in rats (where rat dams are treated from gestation days (GD) 6-15; i.e. not the major period for the development of the reproductive system) have only shown a teratogenic response at high dose levels (~ 1 g/kg per day) characterized by an increase in cleft palate in the presence of marked maternal toxicity (Ema et al., 1993). DBP has been reported as showing oestrogenic activity in some in-vitro assay systems (Jobling et al., 1995; Zacharewski et al., 1998), but not others (reviewed by Andersen et al., 1999). The major focus of this review will use DBP as a representative example of those esters that do exhibit adverse effects on reproductive development. DBP is used predominantly as a plasticizer in PVC products, as a solvent in inks used in food packaging, and in certain personal care products (e.g. nail polish). A recent review (Blount et al., 2000) showed DBP to be the phthalate ester associated with reproductive effects most commonly found in the urine of women of childbearing age in this sample of the general US population. Further analysis of these data (Kohn et al., 2000) estimated the maximal human exposure in this group to be 113 µg/kg bodyweight per day.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Present address: DuPont Haskell Laboratory, P.O. Box 50, Newark, Delaware 19714, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: foster@ciit.org

**Table I.** Summary of effects noted on reproduction and development following dietary di-n-butyl phthalate (DBP) administration (66 to 650 mg/kg per day) using a reproductive assessment by continuous breeding protocol<sup>a</sup>

Effect noted	F <sub>0</sub> generation	F <sub>1</sub> generation
Decrease in fertility	_	+
Decrease in litter size (in fertile animals)	+	+
Decrease in testes weight (+ histopathology)	_	+
Decrease in pup weight	+	+
Decrease in sperm count	_	+
Cryptorchidism	_	+
Male reproductive tract malformations	_	+
(epididymis, external genitalia)		
Female reproductive tract weight (and histopathology)	_	_
Oestrous cyclicity	_	_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Data adapted from NTP (1991) and Wine et al. (1997).

**Table II.** Summary of effects and dose–response relationships for male reproductive development following inutero exposure (gestation days 12–21) to di-n-butyl phthalate (DBP)<sup>a</sup>

Dose level (mg/kg per day)	Major effects noted
≥500	Leydig cell adenoma and hyperplasia; reproductive tract malformations; testicular injury
	Reproductive tract malformations; Leydig cell hyperplasia; decreases in anogenital distance; delays in preputial separation; retained thoracic
≥250	nipples; testicular injury
100	Retained thoracic nipples; small delay in preputial separation
<50	No significant effects


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Data from Mylchreest et al. (1998, 1999a, 2000).


#### Effects on rodent male reproduction

Phthalate esters exhibit marked structure-activity relationships for the induction of testicular toxicity in pubertal rats (Foster et al., 1980), with only esters of a specific chain being able to induce toxicity. Even within structural isomers, butyl phthalates also have specific structure-function relationships (Foster et al., 1981, 1982b). Phthalate-induced testicular toxicity appears preferentially targeted to the Sertoli cell (Foster et al., 1982a), and occurs rapidly (6-12 h) after high-dose, acute exposure. In-vitro studies have shown that phthalate monoesters (the major metabolites), but not the diesters, elicit similar morphological testicular responses to those observed in vivo (Gray and Beamand, 1984). Other studies have provided evidence that an interference with FSH action in the pubertal testis is one component of the mechanism of toxicity (Lloyd and Foster, 1988; Heindel and Chapin, 1989; Heindel and Powell, 1992). There is an age-dependency in response to testicular toxicity, with adults generally being less sensitive to the effects of phthalate esters than the young pubertal counterparts (Sjoberg et al., 1986). In general, conventional multigeneration reproduction studies in rodents are lacking for the active phthalate esters, but one study using DBP indicated a number of important features [National Toxicology Program (NTP), 1991; Wine et al., 1997]. Sprague-Dawley rats were administered DBP in the diet (dose levels up to ~650 mg/kg per day) in a continuous breeding protocol which indicated no adverse responses on fertility or litter size in the  $F_0$  generation. However,  $F_1$  animals, when exposed to the same dose levels, showed marked decreases in fertility at the highest dose level tested (only one out of 20 pairings resulted in a litter), and increases in the incidence of reproductive tract malformations (with single incidences observed at the lowest dose level) especially of the epididymis and penis. These results are summarized in Table I.

#### Effects on male reproductive development

A series of studies (Mylchreest *et al.*, 1998, 1999a, 2000) was conducted to examine further the effects noted in the NTP study. These studies also employed Sprague-Dawley rats, but used gavage rather than dietary administration over either a gestational and lactational exposure, or just late gestation [gestation days (GD) 12–21, the period of major development for the male reproductive system in the rat]. Pregnant animals were allowed to litter their offspring, and observation on the animals' androgen status were made at birth (anogenital distance), pre-weaning (retention of thoracic nipples), puberty (preputial separation) and adulthood (~100 days of age; gross morphology of reproductive tract and histopathology). Dose levels selected were based on the NTP study and ranged from 100 to 750 mg/kg per day. The effects observed, both in incidence and severity, were very similar to those observed in the NTP study, despite differences in the dosing regimen. The





**Figure 1.** Effect of **(A)** di-n-butyl phthalate (DBP) or **(B)** mono-n-butyl phthalate (MBP) on luciferase transcriptional activation in a HepG2 human androgen receptor reporter gene assay. Values represent mean values from three separate experiments at each concentration employed. Standard deviations were <10% of the mean.

most marked effect was on epididymal development, where a significant number of animals had either a major malformation of the epididymis, or it was absent. Other effects on male reproductive development included an increased incidence of hypospadias, cryptorchidism, a decrease in anogenital distance, delayed preputial separation, retention of thoracic nipples, and testicular lesions. The latter were typified by seminiferous tubular atrophy, Leydig cell hyperplasia and, at the highest dose level used in the late gestational study (500 mg/kg per day), a low incidence of Leydig cell adenoma. Adverse responses were noted at even the lowest dose level employed (100 mg/kg per day), which prompted

the initiation of a further dose–response study (Mylchreest *et al.*, 2000) in which DBP was given during late gestation at levels ranging from 0.5 to 500 mg/kg per day. This study used a larger number of litters and essentially reproduced the initial studies with the establishment of a no-observed-adverse-effect-level (NOAEL) at 50 mg/kg per day. The dose–response relationships for the various end points evaluated in these studies are indicated in Table II. Similar effects to those noted above for DBP have been confirmed and also noted for DEHP (Gray *et al.*, 1999).

#### DBP as an antiandrogen

The pattern of response obtained with DBP and DEHP (Gray et al., 1999; Mylchreest et al., 1999a) was indicative of an antiandrogenic mode of action (since significant effects were noted on androgen-dependent sexual differentiation of the reproductive tract, particularly Wolffian duct differentiation). No adverse findings indicative of an adverse oestrogenic response have been noted for any phthalate ester in vivo (Wine et al., 1997; Mylchreest et al., 1998; Zacharewski et al., 1998; Gray et al., 1999). The studies with DBP and DEHP not only indicated effects on testosterone-mediated reproductive development, but also showed that dihydrotestosterone-dependent end points were also perturbed (external genitalia, anogenital distance, retention of thoracic nipples). However, whilst this pattern was consistent with these agents being antiandrogens, there was a marked difference in response between these agents and the classical androgen receptor (AR) antagonist, flutamide. In particular, flutamide targets at low dose levels the development of the prostate, but is much less active on the developing epididymis (Imperato-McGinley et al., 1992), whereas the reverse is true for the phthalate esters. This response prompted studies of the actions of DBP and DEHP and their major metabolites [the corresponding monoesters mono-n-butyl phthalate (MBP) and mono-2-ethylhexyl phthalate (MEHP)] in a human AR transcriptional activation assay described previously (Maness et al., 1998). These assays showed that, unlike flutamide, neither DBP (Figure 1) nor DEHP nor their metabolites were able to interact directly with the human androgen receptor in vitro.

A number of the members of the phthalate ester class have been shown to interact with the peroxisome proliferator activated receptor α (PPARα), and significant interest has been focused on whether the effects noted above for DBP and DEHP can be attributed to this potential mechanism. If such a mechanism could be sustained, it would have important implications for human risk assessment, since this receptor is not believed to be of importance in inducing phthalate-mediated hepatic toxicity in humans. A number of studies have indicated that, for at least one member of the class (DEHP), PPARα is essential for the induction of hepatic changes in rodents, since no effects were observed in the PPARaknockout mouse. However, in PPARα-knockout mice exposed to DEHP, both testicular toxicity (Ward et al., 1998) and developmental effects (Peters et al., 1997) typical of DEHP were observed, demonstrating that interaction with PPARa was not involved in the mechanism for effects on reproductive development.




Figure 2. Schematic representation of the effects of di-n-butyl phthalate (DBP) on male reproductive development in the rat.

#### Early changes in the fetal testis

Studies on early fetal effects of DBP have indicated that on GD 18, morphological changes can be observed in the testis, characterized by an apparent proliferation of Leydig cells, with multinuclear gonocytes found in the seminiferous cords (Mylchreest et al., 1999b). This study also indicated that fetal testicular testosterone was also significantly reduced on GD 18 and 21, and that the Leydig cells undergoing hyperplasia were both AR- and 3βhydroxysteroid dehydrogenase-positive by immunocytochemistry. AR localization appeared decreased in the ducts of the developing epididymis. Taken together, these changes would suggest that a primary androgen insufficiency coupled with a decreased expression of the AR is responsible for the failure of the epididymis to develop in DBP-treated fetuses. Interestingly, immunostaining for proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) indicated normal presence in the fetal Sertoli cells, but Leydig cells were not immunopositive. Moreover, the gonocytes in control fetuses exhibited very limited staining, whereas those from DBP-treated animals were markedly immunopositive. These data would suggest that the observation of multinuclear gonocytes in DBP treated fetal testes was due to an inappropriate initiation of cell division, whilst the observed increase in Leydig cell number may be due to a compensatory mechanism as a result of lowered fetal testicular testosterone levels. We also postulate that the lack of a PCNA response may be due to a failure of normal apoptosis, rather than a major proliferative event.

### Conclusions

DBP produces marked effects on the development of the rat male reproductive tract, with the epididymis being the most sensitive to

the induction of malformations. The initial event underlying the induction of malformations appears to be a decrease in fetal testicular testosterone concentrations. Associated with this is an apparent proliferation of fetal testicular Leydig cells that may be associated with the Leydig cell hyperplasia and tumours found in adult animals that have been exposed in utero. Studies in older animals exposed to phthalate esters have indicated that the Sertoli cell is the likely testicular target (Foster et al., 1982a). Although the effects on gonocytes may be due to an indirect Sertoli cell effect, there were no indications of retained Müllerian structures in the male fetuses exposed in utero to DBP, i.e. the secretion of Müllerian inhibiting substance (MIS) by the fetal Sertoli cells did not appear affected. However, testicular descent is also believe to be under the control MIS (Hutson et al., 1994) and a small number of animals have exhibited cryptorchidism following DBP and other phthalate treatments (Imajima et al., 1997) during the critical windows for male reproductive development. The major structures targeted by in-utero exposure to DBP which are consistent with an antiandrogenic mode of action, not mediated directly via the AR, are summarized in Figure 2. A NOAEL for reproductive development was established for DBP at 50 mg/kg per day. This is significantly higher than our current estimates for the general population (maximum of 113 µg/kg per day; Kohn et al., 2000). However, similar types of data on potential worker exposure or estimates of risk from aggregate phthalate exposure are not available.

#### References

Andersen, H.R., Andersson, A.M., Arnold, S.F. et al. (1999) Comparison of short-term estrogenicity tests for identification of hormone-disrupting chemicals. Environ. Health Perspect., 107 (Suppl. 1), 89–108.

Blount, B.C., Silva, M.J., Caudill, S.P. et al. (2000) Levels of seven urinary

- phthalate metabolites in a human reference population. *Environ. Health Perspect.*, **108**, 979–982.
- Ema, M., Amano, T. and Kawasaki, M. (1993) Teratogenic evaluation of dibutyl phthalate in rats. *Toxicol. Letts*, **69**, 197–203.
- Foster, P.M.D., Thomas, L.V., Cook, M.W. and Gangolli, S.D. (1980) Study of the testicular effects and changes in zinc excretion produced by some n-alkyl phthalates in the rat. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.*, **54**, 392–398.
- Foster, P.M.D., Lake, B.G., Cook, M.W. *et al.* (1981) Studies on the testicular effects produced by various isomers of mono-n-butyl-o-phthalate in the rat. *Chem. Biol. Interact.*, **34**, 233–238.
- Foster, P.M.D., Foster, J.R., Cook, M.W. *et al.* (1982a) Changes in ultrastructure and zinc excretion following the administration of dinpentyl phthalate in the rat. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.*, **63**, 120–132.
- Foster, P.M.D., Lake, B.G., Cook, M.W. et al. (1982b) Structure-activity requirements for the induction of testicular atrophy by butyl phthalates in immature rats: effect on testicular zinc content. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol., 136A, 445–452.
- Gray, L.E., Jr, Wolf, C., Lambright, C. et al. (1999) Administration of potentially antiandrogenic pesticides (procymidone, linuron, iprodione, chlozolinate, p,p'-DDE, and ketoconazole) and toxic substances (dibutyland diethylhexyl phthalate, PCB 169, and ethane dimethane sulphonate) during sexual differentiation produces diverse profiles of reproductive malformations in the male rat. Toxicol. Ind. Health, 15, 94–118.
- Gray, T.J.B. and Beamand, J. (1984) Effect of some phthalate esters and other testicular toxins on primary cultures of testicular cells. *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, 22, 123–131.
- Heindel, J.J. and Chapin, R.E. (1989) Inhibition of FSH-stimulated cyclic AMP accumulation by mono-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate in primary rat Sertoli cell cultures. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.*, 97, 377–385.
- Heindel, J.J. and Powell, C.J. (1992) Phthalate ester effects on rat Sertoli cell function in vitro: effects of phthalate side chain and age of animal. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol., 115, 116–123.
- Hutson, J.M., Baker, M., Masaru, T. et al. (1994) Hormonal control of testicular descent and the cause of cryptorchidism. Reprod. Fertil. Dev., 6, 151–156.
- Imajima, T., Shono, T., Zakaria, O. and Suita, S. (1997) Prenatal phthalate causes cryptorchidism postnatally by inducing transabdominal ascent of the testis in fetal rats. J. Pediatr. Surg., 32, 18–21.
- Imperato-McGinley, J., Sanchez, R.S., Spencer, J.R. *et al.* (1992) Comparison of the effects of the 5 alpha-reductase inhibitor finasteride and the antiandrogen flutamide on prostate and genital differentiation: doseresponse studies. *Endocrinology*, **131**, 1149–1156.
- Jobling, S., Reynolds, T., White, R. et al. (1995) A variety of environmentally persistent chemicals, including some phthalate plasticizers, are weakly estrogenic. Environ. Health Perspect., 103, 582–587.

- Kohn, M.C., Parham, F., Masten, S.A. et al. (2000) Human exposure estimates for phthalates. Environ. Health Perspect., 108, 440–442.
- Lloyd, S.C. and Foster, P.M.D. (1988) Effect of mono-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate on follicle-stimulating hormone responsiveness of cultured rat Sertoli cells. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.*, 95, 484–489.
- Maness, S.C., McDonnell, D.P. and Gaido, K.W. (1998) Inhibition of androgen receptor-dependent transcriptional activity by DDT isomers and methoxychlor in HepG2 human hepatoma cells. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.*, 151, 135–142.
- Mylchreest, E., Cattley, R.C. and Foster, P.M.D. (1998) Male reproductive tract malformations in rats following gestational and lactational exposure to di(n-butyl) phthalate: an antiandrogenic mechanism? *Toxicol. Sci.*, **43**, 47–60.
- Mylchreest, E., Sar, M., Cattley, R.C. and Foster, P.M.D. (1999a) Disruption of androgen-regulated male reproductive development by di(n-butyl) phthalate during late gestation in rats is different from flutamide. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.*, **156**, 81–95.
- Mylchreest, E., Sar, M., Wallace, D.G. et al. (1999b) Early changes in morphology and androgen status in the fetal rat testis in response to di(nbutyl) phthalate. *Teratology*, 59, 409.
- Mylchreest, E., Wallace, D.G., Cattley, R.C. and Foster, P.M.D. (2000) Dose-dependent alterations in androgen-regulated male reproductive development in rats exposed to di(n-butyl) phthalate during late gestation. *Toxicol. Sci.*, **55**, 143–151.
- National Toxicology Program (1991) Di(n-butyl)phthalate: reproduction and fertility assessment in CD Sprague-Dawley rats when administered via feed. National Toxicology Program.
- Peters, J.M., Taubeneck, M.W., Keen, C.L. and Gonzalez, F.J. (1997) Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate induces a functional zinc deficiency during pregnancy and teratogenesis that is independent of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-alpha. *Teratology*, 56, 311–316.
- Sjoberg, P., Lindqvist, N.G. and Ploen, L. (1986) Age-dependent response of the rat testes to di-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate. *Environ. Health Perspect.*, 65, 237–242.
- Ward, J.M., Peters, J.M., Perella, C.M. and Gonzalez, F.J. (1998) Receptor and nonreceptor-mediated organ-specific toxicity of di(2- ethylhexyl)phthalate (DEHP) in peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha-null mice (in process citation). *Toxicol. Pathol.*, 26, 240–246.
- Wine, R.N., Li, L.H., Hommel Barnes, L. et al. (1997) Reproductive toxicity of di-n-butyl phthalate in a continuous breeding protocol in Sprague-Dawley rats. Environ. Health Perspect., 105, 102–107.
- Zacharewski, T.R., Meek, M.D., Clemons, J.H. et al. (1998) Examination of the in vitro and in vivo estrogenic activities of eight commercial phthalate esters. Toxicol. Sci., 46, 282–293.

Received on July 3, 2000; accepted on February 22, 2001