

Research paper

Effects of potassium supply on limitations of photosynthesis by mesophyll diffusion conductance in *Carya cathayensis*

Song Heng Jin1,3*, Jian Qin Huang1*, Xue Qin Li2, Bing Song Zheng1, Jia Sen Wu1, Zheng Jia Wang1, Gen Hua Liu¹ and Miao Chen¹

¹The Nurturing Station for the State Key Laboratory of Subtropical Silviculture, School of Forestry and Biotechnology, Zhejiang A & F University, Lin'an 311300, China; 2 Tianmu College, Zhejiang A & F University, Lin'an 311300, China; 3Corresponding author (shjin@zafu.edu.cn)

Received March 22, 2011; accepted August 11, 2011; published online September 29, 2011; handling Editor Ülo Niinemets

Potassium (K) influences the photosynthesis process in a number of ways; however, the mechanisms underlying the photosynthetic response to differences in K supply are not well understood. Concurrent measurements of gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence were made to investigate the effect of K nutrition on photosynthetic efficiency and mesophyll conductance (*g*m) in hickory seedlings (*Carya cathayensis* Sarg.) in a greenhouse. The results show that leaf K concentrations <0.7–0.8% appeared to limit the leaf net CO₂ assimilation rate (A), and that the relative limitation of photosynthesis due to *g*m and stomatal conductance (*g*s) decreased with increasing supplies of K. However, a sensitivity analysis indicated that *A* was most sensitive to the maximum carboxylation rate of Rubisco ($V_{c,max}$) and the maximum rate of electron transport (J_{max}). These results indicate that the photosynthetic rate is primarily limited by the biochemical processes of photosynthesis ($V_{c_{max}}$ and J_{max}), rather than by g_m and g_s in K-deficient plants. Additionally, g_m was closely correlated with g_s and the leaf dry mass per unit area (M_A) in hickory seedlings, which indicates that decreased g_m and g_s may be a consequence of leaf anatomical adaptation.

Keywords: Carya cathayensis Sarg., chloroplast CO₂ concentration, mesophyll conductance, potassium nutrition, photosynthesis.

Introduction

Chinese hickory (*Carya cathayensis* Sarg.) is distributed throughout the Zhejiang and Anhui provinces, making it the most eastern of Asian hickories. In this region, the soils contain low amounts of total potassium (K) and respond to the addition of fertilizers containing K, which increases K availability (Lu [1998\)](#page-8-0). In fact, only a fraction of the soil K content is available for uptake by plants. Potassium is known to influence fruit yield, and in particular fruit quality [\(Besford and Maw 1975\)](#page-8-1). Moreover, the rate of K uptake by hickory plants can be limited by high levels of calcium in some soils [\(Chen et](#page-8-2) al. 2010), and cation competitive effects frequently lead to K deficiency in the field. The relationship between leaf K concentration and leaf

photosynthesis in deciduous fruit tree species has received little attention, and, in addition, the effect of K deficiency on photosynthesis in hickory plants has not been investigated. Therefore, the major objective of the present study was to determine the effect of K nutrition on photosynthesis capacity in hickory.

Plants absorb K in larger amounts than any other mineral element (except for nitrogen), and K is the nutrient that most frequently limits plant growth and crop yields. Potassium supply affects a wide range of physiological processes in higher plants. The K cation has no structural purpose, but is the most common cation in plant biochemical processes, acting as an activator or cofactor in several enzyme systems. Essential roles

^{*}Both authors contributed equally to this work

for K are found in energy transfer and utilization, protein synthesis, carbohydrate metabolism, transport of sugars from leaves to fruits, and production and accumulation of oils [\(Römheld and Kirkby 2010](#page-9-0)). Potassium is also the major osmotic solute in plants; the osmotic potential (Ψ_{s}) is considerably lowered, while the pressure potential (Ψ_{p}) significantly increases when K supply is increased [\(Pervez et](#page-9-1) al. 2001). Some studies have shown that K fertilization results in a marked improvement in water-use efficiency ([Ashraf et](#page-8-3) al. 2001). Potassium deficiency is generally associated with decreased transpiration rates, and most studies attributing stomatal closure to K deficiency have tended to focus on plants at a very advanced stage of nutritional deficiency ([Thiel and Wolf 1997,](#page-9-2) [Römheld and Kirkby 2010](#page-9-0)). Therefore, plants that have adequate K nutrition will be able to withstand longer periods of low soil moisture. A typical symptom of K deficiency is the wilting of plants in prolonged dry weather.

The role of K in agricultural production is intimately connected with photosynthesis. Potassium influences the process of photosynthesis at many levels, namely synthesis of ATP, activation of the enzymes involved in photosynthesis, $CO₂$ uptake, balance of the electric charges required for photophosphorylation in chloroplasts, and acting as the counterion to light-induced H⁺ flux across the thylakoid membranes ([Marschner 1995\)](#page-8-4). There are numerous studies suggesting that the photosynthetic capacities of higher plants change dramatically in response to different supplies of K [\(Bednarz et](#page-8-5) al. 1998, Zhao et [al. 2001,](#page-9-3) [Basile et](#page-8-6) al. [2003,](#page-8-6) [Weng et](#page-9-4) al. 2007[, Gerardeaux et](#page-8-7) al. 2009); however, the underlying mechanisms of photosynthetic acclimation are still not well understood. As the light-dependent uptake of K into guard cells is a critical step in stomatal opening [\(Shavala 2003\)](#page-9-5), it is likely that stomatal limitations may arise under K deficiency. Stomatal closure in response to K deficiency is well documented and is often considered a major factor that contributes to decreased net photosynthesis [\(Thiel and Wolf 1997](#page-9-2)). Conversely, [Arquero et](#page-8-8) al. (2006) reported that K starvation enhanced stomatal conductance in both well-irrigated and water-stressed olive trees, while Basile et [al. \(2003\)](#page-8-6) showed that leaf K concentration did not significantly influence stomatal conductance, implying that it is unlikely that K deficiency in almond affects photosynthetic rates via stomatal limitations. Numerous studies have also shown that K starvation promotes transpiration [\(Bednarz et](#page-8-5) al. 1998[, Sudama et](#page-9-6) al. 1998[, Cabañero and](#page-8-9) [Carvajal 2007](#page-8-9)). However[, Bednarz et](#page-8-5) al. (1998) concluded that stomatal limitations are the major factor that affects leaf photosynthesis in cotton plants during mild K deficiency, whereas biochemical limitations become more important as the severity of K deficiency increases. These contradictions suggest that the effects of K deficiency on plant photosynthesis remain elusive; therefore, it is necessary to re-examine the internal mechanism of plant photosynthesis under the influence of stress induced by K deficiency.

The exact mechanisms of the effects of leaf K status on photosynthesis are still unclear; however, it has been suggested that the activity of Rubisco is an important limiting factor of photosynthesis in rice leaves (Yang et [al. 2004,](#page-9-7) Weng et [al. 2007](#page-9-4)). Recent studies have shown that mesophyll conductance (q_m) is finite, leading to a significant draw-down in $CO₂$ concentrations from the substomatal internal cavities (*C*i) to the site of carboxylation inside the chloroplast stroma (C_c), which may limit photosynthesis as significantly as stomata closure [\(Warren et](#page-9-8) al. 2003, [2007,](#page-9-9) [Niinemets et](#page-9-10) al. 2009*a*). The chloroplastic $CO₂$ partial pressure can impact photosynthetic efficiency, as Rubisco activity is induced by C_c (Galmés et [al. 2011](#page-8-10)). It is known that g_m can respond to environmental factors such as salt, temperature, nutrients, light and water [\(Niinemets et](#page-9-10) al. 2009*a*), and internal factors including tree size and height, as well as leaf structure and ageing [\(Niinemets](#page-9-10) et [al. 2009](#page-9-10)*a*[, Han 2011,](#page-8-11) [Whitehead et](#page-9-11) al. 2011[, Zhu et](#page-9-12) al. [2011\)](#page-9-12). Additionally, it has been suggested that g_m is associated with aquaporin [\(Hanba et](#page-8-12) al. 2004[, Terashima et](#page-9-13) al. [2006](#page-9-13)) and carbonic anhydrase activities [\(Makino et](#page-8-13) al. 1992). [Longstreth and Nobel \(1980\)](#page-8-14) showed that the reduction in photosynthesis caused by K deficiency was primarily related to decreased mesophyll conductance; however, this work was conducted under the assumption that mesophyll conductance is only dependent on the photosynthetic rate. [Bednarz et](#page-8-5) al. [\(1998\)](#page-8-5) reported that K deficiency stress reduced the photosynthetic rate and increased *C*ⁱ in cotton, which they interpreted as an indication of a greater limitation in photosynthesis due to mesophyll conductance; however, there is no experimental evidence to indicate that a relative limitation due to mesophyll conductance is affected by K supply in plants. For these reasons, it is desirable to develop a better understanding of the mechanisms by which K supply affects gas exchange in plants. Such knowledge will enable better predictions of photosynthesis, plant productivity and plant responses to nutrition supply.

Materials and methods

Plants materials and growth conditions

One-year-old hickory seedlings (*C. cathayensis* Sarg.) were obtained from the Tianze Hickory Company (Zhejiang, China). The seedlings were transplanted into 30.5-cm tall plastic pots with a top diameter of 25 cm, containing full-strength nutrient solution. The composition of the standard nutrient solution was as follows: 2.5 mM $Ca(NO₃)₂$, 0.5 mM $Ca(H₂PO₄)₂$, 1.0 mM K₂SO₄, 0.5 mM MgSO₄, 12.5 µM H₃BO₃, 1.0 µM MnSO₄, 1.0 μ M ZnSO₄, 0.25 μ M CuSO₄, 0.1 μ M (NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄ and 10 µM EDTA-Fe. The seedlings were grown in a greenhouse with natural sunlight during the day. The mean daytime maximum and minimum temperatures in the greenhouse were

28 and 20 °C, with a constant relative humidity of \sim 60%. After \sim 2 months, the composition of the nutrient solution was altered to one of five K concentrations: K0, K1, K2, K3 and K4 containing 0, 0.4, 1.0, 2.0 and 5.0 mM K, respectively. In all cases, $Ca(OH)$ ₂ and HCl were used to adjust the pH of the nutrient solution to 5.7. The nutrient solution was changed every 7 days. All the treatments had 10 replicates with a completely random design.

Measurements of gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence

Measurements were made on the youngest fully expanded leaf from 6–8 randomly selected seedlings on the 60th day of the treatment, using leaves developed after the initiation of the K nutrition treatment. Leaf gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence were measured simultaneously using a portable infrared gas analyser system (Li-6400, Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE, USA) equipped with an integrated leaf chamber fluorometer (Li-6400-40) at a concentration of 380 µmol mol⁻¹ CO₂, 21% $O₂$ and 50% relative humidity. Leaf chamber temperature was maintained at 28 °C. All measurements were carried out at 1200 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹, with 90% red light and 10% blue light, which we previously determined to be just above light saturation for hickory seedlings. Once a steady state was reached (~20 min at a photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) of 1200 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹), a CO₂ response curve (A–C_i curve) was performed. The ambient $CO₂$ concentration (C_a) was lowered stepwise from 380 to 50 µmol mol⁻¹, and then returned to 380 µmol mol⁻¹ to re-establish the initial steady-state value of photosynthesis. *C*a was then increased stepwise from 380 to 1800 µmol mol[−]1. At each *C*a, photosynthesis was allowed to stabilize for 3–4 min until gas exchange was steady, so that each curve was completed in 35–50 min. Corrections for the leakage of $CO₂$ in and out of the Li-6400 leaf chamber, as described by [Perez-Martin et](#page-9-14) al. (2009), were applied to all gas-exchange data.

The actual photochemical efficiency of photosystem II (Φ_{PSII}) was determined by measuring steady-state fluorescence (F_s) and maximum fluorescence during a light-saturating pulse (F_m') following the procedure of Genty et [al. \(1989\)](#page-8-15):

$$
\Phi_{PSII} = (F'_{\rm m} - F_{\rm s}) / F'_{\rm m} \tag{1}
$$

The rate of electron transport estimated from chlorophyll fluorescence is given by the equation ([Bilger and Björkman](#page-8-16) [1990\)](#page-8-16)

$$
J = \Phi_{PSII} \mathsf{PPFD}\alpha\beta \tag{2}
$$

where PPFD is the photosynthetic photon flux density, α is leaf absorptance and β is the proportion of quanta absorbed by photosystem II. α .β was determined for each treatment from

the slope of the relationship between Φ_{PSII} and Φ_{CO_2} (i.e., the quantum efficiency of gross $CO₂$ fixation), which was obtained by varying light intensity under non-photorespiratory conditions in an atmosphere containing $\langle 1\% \, O_{2} \rangle$ [\(Valentini et](#page-9-15) al. [1995\)](#page-9-15).

Measurement of mitochondrial respiration rate in the light $(\mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{d}})$ and intercellular CO₂ compensation point $(\mathsf{C}_\mathsf{i}^*)$

 $R_{\rm d}$ and $C_{\rm i}^*$ were determined according to the method of [Laisk](#page-8-17) [\(1977\)](#page-8-17). *A*–*C*ⁱ curves were measured using an open gas-exchange system (Li-6400, Li-Cor Inc.) equipped with an integrated light source (Li-6400-02) at three different photosynthetically active PPFDs (50, 200 and 500 mmol m^{-2} s⁻¹) at six different $CO₂$ levels ranging from 300 to 50 mmol $CO₂$ mol⁻¹ air. The curves intersected at the point where *A* is the same at different PPFDs; therefore, *A* at that point represents R_d , and C_i represents *C*ⁱ *.

*Calculation of the chloroplastic CO₂ compensation point (*Γ**), Cc and g^m*

From combined gas-exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence measurements, the mesophyll conductance for $CO₂ (g_m)$ was estimated according to Harley et [al. \(1992\)](#page-8-18) as

$$
g_{m} = A / (C_{i} - \Gamma^{*}(J + 8(A + R_{d})) / (J - 4(A + R_{d})))
$$
 (3)

where *A*, $C_{\mathsf{i}},$ R_{d} and *J* were determined as previously described for each treatment. Γ^* is the chloroplastic CO₂ photocompensation point calculated from the C_i^* and R_d measurements according to the method o[f Warren et](#page-9-9) al. (2007) using a simultaneous equation with g_m :

$$
\Gamma^* = C_i^* + R_d / g_m \tag{4}
$$

Equation (4) was then substituted into (3) and the value of *g*m was found; then Γ* was calculated. The value of Γ* was found to be slightly higher for the K0-treated plants (53.9 ± 9.6 µmol mol⁻¹), compared with the four other treatments $(47.3 \pm 7.5, 44.9 \pm 7.8, 44.7 \pm 5.1$ and 44.6 ± 8.6 µmol mol[−]1 for K1, K2, K3 and K4 treatments, respectively). Changes in Γ^* derived using the method of Laisk [\(1977\)](#page-8-17) have been frequently observed under stress conditions such as drought [\(Galmés et](#page-8-19) al. 2006); therefore, we re-calculated g_m using the non-stressed Γ^* values (44.6 µmol mol⁻¹), which is a reasonable assumption as Γ^* is an intrinsic property of Rubisco and thus varies only by a small amount within a species under different growing conditions. The $CO₂$ concentration in the chloroplast stroma (C_c) was calculated using the equation

$$
C_{\rm c} = C_{\rm i} - A / g_{\rm m}. \tag{5}
$$

Calculation of maximum Rubisco carboxylation rate (Vc,max), maximum rate of electron transport (Jmax) and the relative limitations in the rate of photosynthesis by stomatal conductance (gs) and mesophyll conductance (gm)

A–*C*ⁱ curves were transformed to *A*–*C*c curves using the calculated value of C_c. The maximum carboxylation rate of Rubisco $(V_{c,max})$ and the maximum rate of electron transport (J_{max}) were determined from the measured A and C_c values fitted to the photosynthesis model of [Farquhar et](#page-8-20) al. (1980).

The limitations in the photosynthetic rate imposed by g_s and g_m were calculated using the predicted photosynthetic rates, assuming that these conductance values were either infinite or finite, according to [Farquhar and Sharkey \(1982\).](#page-8-21) From the response of *A* to *C*ⁱ , stomatal limitation (*L*s) was calculated using

$$
L_{\rm s} = (A_{\rm ca} - A_{\rm ci}) / A_{\rm ca} \tag{6}
$$

where A_{ca} is estimated using the infinite g_s , and A_{ci} is estimated using the actual g_s and g_m . Similar to L_s , from the response of *A* to C_c , mesophyll limitation (L_m) was calculated using

$$
L_{\rm m} = (A_{\rm cc} - A_{\rm ci}) / A_{\rm cc}
$$
 (7)

where A_{cc} is estimated using the infinite g_m and actual g_s values.

Sensitivity analysis of the key photosynthetic parameters contributing to variation in A

Photosynthetic rates are influenced by several parameters (*C*ⁱ , g_s , R_d , C_c , g_m , $V_{c,max}$ and J_{max}). To quantify the importance of the key photosynthetic parameters in the response of *A* to reduced K, a sensitivity analysis of the A–C_c curves was carried out using the biochemical model of photosynthesis previously described b[y Farquhar et](#page-8-20) al. (1980) according to the methods o[f Warren and Adams \(2004\)](#page-9-16) and [Turnbull et](#page-9-17) al. (2007). Independently, the C_i , g_s , R_d , C_c , g_m , $V_{c,\max}$ or J_{\max} values for each K treatment (2.0, 1.0, 0.4 and 0 mM K) were substituted into the model, while all the other photosynthetic parameters were kept constant, using the parameters from the 5.0 mM K treatment as control values. The model was re-run for each combination of treatment and photosynthetic parameter, and deviations from the control values were recorded as a proportion. To calculate the sensitivity of A to g_s , C_c was calculated using

$$
C_c = C_a - A / (g_s / 1.6) - A / g_m
$$
 (8)

where $g_s/1.6$ is the stomatal conductance to carbon dioxide, calculated from the stomatal conductance to water.

Altering the biochemical capacity for photosynthesis via R_d , $V_{\rm c,max}$ and $J_{\rm max}$ will affect $C_{\rm c}$ and $C_{\rm i}$ unless $g_{\rm m}$ and $g_{\rm s}$ also respond. Cases with constant C_c were calculated by a direct substitution of new values into the model and re-calculation of *A*. If g_m was assumed constant (i.e., we allowed *C_c* to vary and assumed *C*ⁱ was invariant), Eq(5) was substituted into the model, and the equations were solved for *A*. Where we assumed $g_{_{\mathsf{m}}}$ was constant (i.e., we allowed C_{c} and C_{i} to vary), Eq(8) was substituted into the model to solve the equations for *A*.

Determination of pigment and soluble protein content

After measuring photosynthesis, a portion of leaf from the same sampling position in each seedling was freeze-clamped into liquid nitrogen and subsequently used for biochemical measurements. Chlorophyll concentration was determined according to the methods o[f Huang et](#page-8-22) al. (2004). The total soluble protein (TSP) content was determined with the dyebinding method introduced by [Bradford \(1976\)](#page-8-23) using bovine serum albumin as a standard.

Determination of potassium content and leaf dry mass per unit area

The remaining leaves were collected from the same sampling position of the seedlings, and the area of these leaves was determined using a Li-3000 area meter (Li-Cor). The leaves were subsequently dried for 48 h at 65 °C and used to calculate leaf dry mass per unit area (M_A, g m⁻²). For the K determination, samples of dried leaves were ground, digested with an acid mixture $(HNO₃:HClO₄, 3:1, v/v)$ and the K concentration in the digest was determined using a flame photometer (PFP-7, Barloworld Scientific T/As Jenway, Gransmore Green, UK).

Statistical methods

Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS 10.0 software (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA), using Student's *t*-test to compare means with the significance level at *P* < 0.05.

Results

The leaf K content varied significantly among plants from the different nutrient treatments, increasing from 0.52 to 1.42% of dry weight with an increase in K supply from 0 to 5.0 mM (Table [1](#page-4-0)). The leaf dry mass per unit area (M_A) was increased in the K0 and K1 treatments compared with the K2, K3 and K4 treatments. The total chlorophyll content was significantly lower in K0-treated plants (241 mg m[−]2), compared with the other four treatments, where the mean total chlorophyll content ranged from 347 to 354 mg m⁻². A similar trend was noted in the soluble protein content, which was significantly lower in the leaves of K0-treated plants, compared with the other four K treatment concentrations. In contrast, in the K0-treated plants,

Table 1. Effect of K supply on leaf K content, leaf dry mass per unit area (M_A) , total chlorophyll (Chl $a + b$), ratio of chlorophyll *a* to *b* (Chl a/b) and total soluble protein (TSP) content in the youngest fully expanded leaves of *C. cathayensis* seedlings.

Hickory seedlings were grown for 60 days with five different K concentrations in hydroponic solutions. Data are means and SE of 6–8 replicate plants per treatment. Values indicated with different letters are significantly different, *P* < 0.05.

the ratio of chlorophyll *a* to *b* (Chl *a*/*b*) was significantly higher compared with the other four K treatments.

The K status did not appear to significantly influence leaf $CO₂$ exchange rates on the first two measurement dates (days 20 and 40, data not shown); however, after ~60 days treatment, K status significantly reduced the leaf photosynthetic performance of hickory seedlings. The net $CO₂$ assimilation rate (A) was significantly reduced in the K0- and K1-treated leaves while K2, K3 and K4 had stable assimilation rates (Tabl[e 2](#page-5-0); Figure [1\)](#page-4-1). K0 plants had significantly lower assimilation rates (7.2 µmol $CO₂$ m⁻² s⁻¹), compared with the other four treatment groups. Stomatal conductance

Figure 1. Effects of leaf K concentration on (a) net assimilation rate (A) and (b) mesophyll conductance (g_m) in the youngest fully expanded leaves of hickory seedlings. A and g_m were determined at an ambient CO₂ concentration of 380 μmol mol⁻¹, a leaf temperature of 28 °C and a PPFD of 1200 µmol m[−]² s[−]1. Data are means of 6–8 replicate plants; error bars are 1 SE. The significance of the correlation coefficient is indicated by an asterisk beside the regression coefficient (r^2) , $P < 0.05$.

 (q_s) and mesophyll conductance (q_m) were significantly lower in K-deficient plants (K0 and K1 treatments), whereas chloroplastic CO₂ concentrations (C_c) increased in the KO-treated plants. There was a small significant increase in g_s , g_m and a decrease in C_c in K2-, K3- and K4-treated seedlings, and a general trend for intercellular CO₂ concentration (C_i) to increase with decreasing K supply was observed (Tabl[e 2](#page-5-0)).

Photosynthesis and *g*m responded curvilinearly to leaf K content (Figure [1a](#page-4-1) and b). When the leaf K concentrations in hickory seedlings remained >0.7–0.8%, the leaf photosynthetic capacity and *g*m declined by only 5–12%, and the slope of the fitted curves for leaves with a K concentration <0.7% increased.

The g_m values negatively correlated with M_A in the hickory seedlings (Figur[e 2](#page-5-1)a). Studies have shown that g_m usually decreases in parallel with *g*s [\(Galmés et](#page-8-19) al. 2006[, Warren](#page-9-18) [2008\)](#page-9-18), and we observed that a low K supply decreased g_s and g_m , with a strong positive relationship observed between g_m and g_s (Figur[e 2b](#page-5-1)).

The relative photosynthetic limitation due to mesophyll conductance (L_m) was slightly smaller than the relative limitation due to stomatal conductance (L_s, Figur[e 3\)](#page-5-2). The mesophyll and stomatal limitations to photosynthesis were both negatively correlated with leaf K concentrations, and the slope of this relationship was similar for both L_m and L_s .

The rate of mitochondrial respiration in the light (R_d) was not significantly affected by differential K treatments, despite a trend for R_d to increase with a decreasing K supply (Tabl[e 3\)](#page-6-0). The intercellular CO2 compensation point (*C*ⁱ *) was also unaffected by K supply. The maximum rate of carboxylation (V_{c.max}) was significantly lower in the KO- and K1-treated plants, while the mean values in the three other treatments ranged from 74.5 to 76.5 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹. Similarly, the maximum rate of electron transport (J_{max}) was significantly lower in KO- and K1-treated plants, with a minor change in J_{max} observed at the other three K treatments.

The larger *A* observed in hickory seedlings treated with 5.0 mM K compared with seedlings receiving lower concentrations of K (0 and 0.4 mM K) was primarily a result of altered *V*_{c,max} and *J*_{max} (Table [4](#page-6-1)). When the *V*_{c,max} of 2.0 mM K was substituted for that of 5.0 mM K, *A* decreased by 2.1–3.3%,

Table 2. Effects of K supply on net CO₂ assimilation rate (A), stomatal conductance (g_s), intercellular CO₂ concentrations (C_i), mesophyll conductance (g_m) and chloroplastic CO₂ concentrations (C_c) in the youngest fully expanded leaves of hickory seedlings.

Treatment	A (µmol $CO2$ m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	q_c (mol H ₂ O m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	C _i (umol mol ⁻¹ CO ₂)	q_m (mol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	C_c (umol mol ⁻¹ CO ₂)	
KO (O mM)	$7.2 \pm 0.5c$	$0.14 \pm 0.02b$	$247 \pm 12a$	$0.11 \pm 0.02b$	172 ± 24a	
K1 (0.4 mM)	8.6 ± 0.6 b	0.17 ± 0.01 ab	$225 \pm 17ab$	0.12 ± 0.01	$149 \pm 21b$	
K2 (1.0 mM)	$10.6 \pm 0.5a$	$0.19 \pm 0.01a$	$231 \pm 19ab$	$0.15 \pm 0.02a$	159 ± 12b	
K3 (2.0 mM)	$10.8 \pm 0.7a$	$0.20 \pm 0.00a$	$228 \pm 21ab$	$0.17 \pm 0.02a$	$163 \pm 19b$	
K4 (5.0 mM)	$11.2 \pm 0.9a$	$0.20 \pm 0.02a$	$213 \pm 9b$	$0.18 \pm 0.03a$	$151 \pm 10b$	

Hickory seedlings were grown for 60 days with five different K concentrations in hydroponic solutions. Data are means and SE of 6–8 replicate plants per treatment. Values carrying different letters are significantly different, *P* < 0.05.

Figure 2. Effects of K supply on relationships between (a) leaf dry mass per unit area (M_A) and (b) stomatal conductance (g_s) and mesophyll conductance (g_m) in the youngest fully expanded leaves of hickory seedlings. The g_s and g_m values were determined at an ambient CO₂ concentration of 380 µmol mol⁻¹, a leaf temperature of 28 °C and a PPFD of 1200 µmol m[−]² s[−]1. Data are means of 6–8 replicate plants; error bars are 1 SE. The significance of the correlation coefficient is indicated by an asterisk beside the regression coefficient (*r*2), *P* < 0.05.

whereas *A* decreased by 37.4–59.6% when the $V_{c,max}$ of 0 mM K was subsitituted for that of 5 mM K. The re-computed *A* values were less affected by substitution of J_{max} values, compared with substitution of $V_{\text{c,max}}$. Compared with $V_{\text{c,max}}$ and J_{max} , R_{d} had very small effects on *A*, and the re-computed *A* values were rather insensitive to g_s and g_m in K-deficient hickory seedlings. When the g_m values of KO-treated plants were substituted for the control plants (5.0 mM K), *A* was 14.6–21.4% smaller. However, when the C_i and C_c values obtained at 0 mM K were substituted for the 5.0 mM K C_i and *C*_c values, *A* increased by 13.4 and 24.3%, respectively.

Figure 3. Effects of leaf K concentration on the relative limitations posed by stomatal conductance (L_s, filled squares, solid line) and mesophyll conductance (L_m, open squares, dashed line) in the youngest fully expanded leaves of hickory seedlings. Relative limitations were calculated for *C*_a = 380 μmol mol⁻¹ as described in the Materials and methods. Data are means of 6–8 replicate plants; error bars are 1 SE. The significance of the correlation coefficient is indicated by an asterisk beside the regression coefficient (r^2) , $P < 0.05$.

Discussion

Our results show that a curvilinear relationship exists between leaf photosynthesis and leaf K concentration in hickory seedlings. This result is similar to the K-related differences in *A* previously reported in cotton [\(Gerardeaux et](#page-8-7) al. 2009) and almond trees [\(Basile et](#page-8-6) al. 2003). The shape of the curve representing the relationship between the photosynthetic rate and leaf K concentration suggests that photosynthesis is minimally impacted at leaf K concentrations >0.7–0.8% of dry weight. The photosynthesis-based critical leaf K concentration for hickory observed is higher than the values of 0.5–0.6% reported for almond [\(Basile et](#page-8-6) al. 2003), and 0.4% in olive trees [\(Arquero et](#page-8-8) al. 2006).

In hickory seedlings, as K supply reduced, g_s decreased while *C*ⁱ was generally steady or increased, which suggests that the major influence of K on leaf photosynthesis in hickory seedlings may be attributed to a larger mesophyll resistance and/or a lower capacity of the $CO₂$ -fixation cycle, rather than to stomatal limitations. Similar conclusions have been previously reported for cotton [\(Zhao et](#page-9-3) al. 2001[, Gerardeaux et](#page-8-7) al. 2009)

Table 3. Effects of K supply on rate of mitochondrial respiration in the light ($R_{\rm d}$), intercellular CO₂ compensation point (C_i*), chloroplastic CO₂ compensation point (Γ*), maximum rate of carboxylation (*V*c,max) and maximum rate of electron transport (*J*max) calculated from *A*/*C*c curves.

Hickory seedlings were grown for 60 days with five different K concentrations in hydroponic solutions. Data are means and SE of 6–8 replicate plants per treatment. Values carrying different letters are significantly different, *P* < 0.05.

Table 4. Deviations in the calculated light-saturated CO₂ assimilation rate (A) of hickory seedlings subjected to different potassium (K) supply when the biochemical model of photosynthesis of [Farquhar et](#page-8-20) al. (1980) was re-run, substituting each K-induced photosynthetic parameter for the control 5.0 mM K values.

	C_i (umol mol ⁻¹ $CO2$)	$g_{\rm s}$ (mol H ₂ O $m^{-2} s^{-1}$	R_{d} (umol CO ₂ $m^{-2} s^{-1}$	C_c (umol mol ⁻¹ $CO2$)	g_m (mol CO ₂ $m^{-2} s^{-1}$	$V_{\rm c,max}$ (µmol CO_2 $m^{-2} s^{-1}$	J_{max} (µmol e ⁻ $m^{-2} s^{-1}$
% ΔA (when 5.0 mM K replaced with 2.0 mM K)							
C_c fixed			-0.3	$+10.7$		-3.3	$+4.3$
C_c varies (C_i fixed)	$+5.8$		-0.2		-1.8	-2.4	$+3.8$
C_c varies $(C_i$ varies)		Ω	-0.5		-1.2	-2.1	$+3.4$
% ΔA (when 5.0 mM K replaced with 1.0 mM K)							
C_c fixed			-0.3	$+8.1$		-2.9	-2.5
C_c varies $(C_i$ fixed)	$+7.0$		-0.2		-5.9	-1.9	-2.3
C_c varies $(C_i$ varies)		-1.9	-0.5		-4.2	-1.7	-2.2
% ΔA (when 5.0 mM K replaced with 0.4 mM K)							
C_c fixed			-0.6	$+7.2$		-27.5	-15.2
C_c varies $(C_i$ fixed)	$+4.6$		-0.3		-19.2	-20.1	-17.5
C_c varies $(C_i$ varies)	$\overline{}$	-8.6	-0.6		-12.7	-17.4	-22.4
% ΔA (when 5.0 mM K replaced with 0.0 mM K)							
C_c fixed			-1.0	$+24.3$		-59.6	-24.8
C_c varies $(C_i$ fixed)	$+13.4$		-0.5		-21.4	-42.4	-29.5
C_c varies $(C_i$ varies)		-17.4	-0.7		-14.6	-37.4	-36.1

 C_i , intercellular CO₂ concentration; *g_s*, stomatal conductance, R_d , mitochondrial respiration rate in the light; C_c , chloroplast CO₂ concentration; g_m , mesophyll conductance; $V_{c,max}$, maximum carboxylation rate of Rubisco; J_{max} , maximum rates of electron transport.

and almond [\(Basile et](#page-8-6) al. 2003). However, many studies have shown that K-deficient plants have lower g_s values compared with K-sufficient plants ([Peaslee and Moss 1968,](#page-9-19) [Xi et](#page-9-20) al. [1989\)](#page-9-20). This discrepancy may be related to the experimental system, environmental factors within the experimental field or interspecific differences. [Sale and Campbell \(1987\)](#page-9-21) concluded that the effect of K deficiency on plant growth was highly dependent on plant species.

Recent reports have shown that g_m increases with nitrogen and phosphorus supply in *Pinus radiata*; however, due to a concomitant increase in the rate of photosynthesis, the degree of limitation attributable to mesophyll conductance did not change in the different treatments [\(Bown et](#page-8-24) al. 2009)[. Warren](#page-9-22) [\(2004\)](#page-9-22) reported that the relative limitation in photosynthesis due to mesophyll conductance increased with increasing nitrogen supply in glasshouse-grown seedlings of the evergreen perennial *Eucalyptus globulus* Labill. Our work measured the effect of K supply on mesophyll conductance, and the data

show that *g*m responds curvilinearly to leaf K concentration. Under normal K status (K3 and K4 treatments) in hickory seedlings, the mean value of g_m was 0.17 mol m⁻² s⁻¹, similar to the values obtained in other broadleaved plants [\(Manter and](#page-8-25) [Kerrigan 2004\)](#page-8-25). Under such conditions, the mean draw-down from C_i to C_c of 64 μmol mol^{−1} was slightly lower than the mean value of 81 µmol mol⁻¹ obtained in evergreen perennial *E. globulus* [\(Warren 2004](#page-9-22)). In this study, under K-deficient conditions (KO and K1 treatments), g_m decreased with decreasing leaf K concentration, in a similar trend to the photosynthetic rate. However, estimates of g_m are inherently variable irrespective of the method used [\(Warren et](#page-9-8) al. 2003). To increase our confidence in the results obtained, we also used the curve-fitting method to estimate g_m and found that the trends in g_m were very similar using this method (data not shown).

The parallel decrease observed in both g_m and photosynthetic rate is consistent with previous findings in a wide range of species [\(Singsaas et](#page-9-23) al. 2003, [Warren et](#page-9-8) al. 2003,

Bown et [al. 2009,](#page-8-24) [Han 2011](#page-8-11)[, Whitehead et](#page-9-11) al. 2011). A general trend for increased mesophyll limitation with increasing nitrogen supply has been reported [\(Warren 2004](#page-9-22)[, Li et](#page-8-26) al. [2009](#page-8-26)). Unlike nitrogen treatment, the decreased *A* in K-deficient hickory plant leaves was accompanied by a proportional decrease in g_s and g_m (Table [2](#page-5-0)), and the relative limitation in photosynthesis due to g_s and g_m decreased with an increasing supply of K (Figure [3\)](#page-5-2). Furthermore, it would appear that there is an approximate scaling of g_s and g_m with *A* in hickory seedlings; therefore, it seems likely that the large changes in *A* observed in different K treatments were due to altered g_s and g_m . However, our results show that *A* is most sensitive to $V_{\text{c,max}}$ and J_{max} , with 34.7–59.6% of the variation in *A* attributed to $V_{c,max}$. The re-calculated value of *A* was rather insensitive to $g_{\rm s}$ or $g_{\rm m}$ compared with $V_{\rm c,max}$ in K-deficient hickory seedlings (Tabl[e 4](#page-6-1)). $V_{c,max}$ and J_{max} are widely accepted as synonymous with Rubisco activity and RuBP regeneration, respectively. In earlier work, K deficiency depressed Rubisco activity in rice plants (Yang et [al. 2004,](#page-9-7) [Weng et](#page-9-4) al. 2007). Although the decreased g_m observed in K-deprived plants resulted in a decrease in CO₂ concentration from C_i to C_c of ~75 µmol mol[−]1 (Tabl[e 2](#page-5-0)), both *C*ⁱ and *C*c were slightly increased with a decreasing supply of K, suggesting that stomatal and mesophyll limitations are low in hickory seedlings. Previously, in vivo Rubisco deactivation was observed at *C*^c < 100 µmol mol[−]1 in the soybean [\(Flexas et](#page-8-27) al. 2006), and recentl[y Galmés et](#page-8-10) al. (2011) suggested that Rubisco activation started to decline at *C_c* < 120 μmol mol⁻¹ in Mediterranean species. Therefore, it is unlikely that the high C_c in K-deficient hickory plants leads to deactivation of Rubisco, and we conclude that the photosynthetic response to K supply is the result of a complex interaction between K and a number of biochemical processes, especially Rubisco activation.

It is not known what leads to K-related changes in g_m . Aquaporin and carbonic anhydrase are involved in $CO₂$ diffusion [\(Makino et](#page-8-13) al. 1992, [Hanba et](#page-8-12) al. 2004[, Terashima et](#page-9-13) al. 2006, [Uehlein et](#page-9-24) al. 2008). Potassium starvation can reduce aquaporin activity and can induce downregulation of aquaporin expression (Liu et [al. 2006](#page-8-28)[, Maurel et](#page-8-29) al. 2008, [Kanai et](#page-8-30) al. 2011), and carbonic anhydrase activities are enhanced by application of K [\(Mohammad and Naseem 2006\)](#page-8-31). Therefore, further study is required to determine whether the decrease in g_m observed in K-deficient hickory plants is related to changes in aquaporin and/or carbonic anhydrase.

Several authors have argued that g_m is largely constitutive, and is determined by leaf structural traits, including the surface area of chloroplasts exposed to intercellular air spaces (S_c) and cell wall thickness [\(Terashima et](#page-9-13) al. 2006, [2011](#page-9-25)). In the present study, *M*_A increased from 156 to 207 g m⁻² with a decrease in the supply of K from 5.0 to 0 mM. This alteration in M_A confirms the findings of previous research documenting increased *M*_A in K-deficient cotton leaves ([Pettigrew 1999](#page-9-26)).

Variations in M_A are often inversely correlated with g_m [\(Niinemets et](#page-8-32) al. 2005[, Hassiotou et](#page-8-33) al. 2009, [Niinemets et](#page-9-27) al. [2009](#page-9-27)*b*), and we observed that this relationship was mediated via variation in K supply in hickory seedlings. Potassium deficiency leads to an increase in non-structural carbohydrates (data not shown, [Pettigrew 1999\)](#page-9-26), which may lead to an increase in M_A [\(Pettigrew 1999,](#page-9-26) [Poorter et](#page-9-28) al. 2009). Increased M_A may mainly reflect an increase in density due to increased cell wall thickness in nutrient-deficient plants ([Niinemets et](#page-8-32) al. [2005](#page-8-32)[, 2009](#page-9-27)*b*[, Poorter et](#page-9-28) al. 2009), especially in K-deficient plants [\(Pettigrew 1999](#page-9-26)), and one would expect S_c to increase with increasing M_A . S_c has been shown to positively correlate with *g*m [\(Evans et](#page-8-34) al. 1994, [Terashima et](#page-9-13) al. 2006). However, Zhao et [al. \(2001\)](#page-9-3) observed that the K-deficient leaf may have fewer chloroplasts in the mesophyll cells, compared with control plants. [O'Toole et](#page-9-29) al. (1980) reported that leaf K status significantly reduced the cell size and compaction of cells per unit area, resulting in degradation of chloroplasts. In this study, the observed decrease in total chlorophyll content implies that chloroplast degradation may occur. It is known that S_c is proportional to the number of chloroplasts; therefore, it is possible that the leaves of K-deficient hickory plants have a lower S_c than K-sufficient leaves. Additionally, increased leaf density may result in an increase in intercellular transfer resistance to $CO₂$ [\(Niinemets 1999\)](#page-8-35). In fact, leaves with a higher M_A have a greater fraction of cell wall components, which leads to less efficient CO_2 diffusion from C_{i} to C_{c} [\(Niinemets et](#page-9-30) al. 2006[, Poorter et](#page-9-28) al. 2009, [Hassiotou et](#page-8-36) al. [2010\)](#page-8-36). Recently[, Han \(2011\)](#page-8-11) suggested that increased $CO₂$ diffusive resistance may be a consequence of morphological adaptation when g_m is closely correlated with M_A and g_s . These results suggest that decreased mesophyll conductance may be an inevitable consequence of morphological adaptation to K deficiency in hickory seedlings.

In summary, the photosynthetic capacity of hickory plants was not limited when the leaves had K concentrations >0.7– 0.8% of dry weight. Mesophyll and stomatal conductance were decreased in K-deficient seedlings; however, the lower photosynthetic rate observed in K-deficient seedlings, compared with K-sufficient seedlings, is more attributable to increased photosynthetic biochemical limtations (V_{c,max} and J_{max}) than changes in g_m and g_s .

Funding

This work was partly supported by the Major Program of Science and Technology Department of Zhejiang Province of China (No. 2007C12023), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 30901144 and 31170584), the Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. Y3090276 and Y3110286) and the Scientific Research Foundation from Zhejiang A&F University (No. 2006FK42).

References

- Arquero, O., D. Barranco and M. Benlloch. 2006. Potassium starvation increases stomatal conductance in olive trees. *Hortscience* 41:433–436.
- Ashraf, M., A. Ahmad and T. McNeilly. 2001. Growth and photosynthetic characteristics in pearl millet under water stress and different potassium supply. *Photosynthetica* 39:389–394.
- Basile, B., E.J. Reidel, S.A. Weinbaum and T.M. DeJong. 2003. Leaf potassium concentration, $CO₂$ exchange and light interception in almond trees (*Prunus dulcis* (Mill) D.A. Webb). *Sci. Hortic.* 98:185–194.
- Bednarz, C.W., D.M. Oosterhuis and R.D. Evans. 1998. Leaf photosynthesis and carbon isotope discrimination of cotton in response to potassium deficiency. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 39:131–139.
- Besford, R. and G. Maw. 1975. Effect of potassium nutrition on tomato plant growth and fruit development. *Plant Soil* 42:395–412.
- Bilger, W. and O. Björkman. 1990. Role of the xanthophyll cycle in photoprotection elucidated by measurements of light-induced absorbance changes, fluorescence and photosynthesis in leaves of *Hedera canariensis. Photosynth. Res.* 25:173–185.
- Bown, H.E., M.S. Watt, E.G. Mason, P.W. Clinton and D. Whitehead. 2009. The influence of nitrogen and phosphorus supply and genotype on mesophyll conductance limitations to photosynthesis in *Pinus radiata. Tree Physiol.* 29:1143–1151.
- Bradford, M.M. 1976. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of proteindye binding. *Anal. Biochem.* 72:248–254.
- Cabañero, F.J. and M. Carvajal. 2007. Different cation stresses affect specifically osmotic root hydraulic conductance, involving aquaporins, ATPase and xylem loading of ions in *Capsicum annuum* L. plants. *J. Plant Physiol.* 164:1300–1310.
- Chen, S., J. Huang, X. Huang, Z. Lou, J. Lu, G. Xia and J. Wu. 2010. Nutrient elements in soil and *Carya cathayensis* leaves from four parent rock materials. *J. Zhejiang For. Coll.* 27:572**–**578.
- Evans, J.R., S. von Caemmerer, B.A. Setchell and G.S. Hudson. 1994. The relationship between $CO₂$ transfer conductance and leaf anatomy in transgenic tobacco with a reduced content of Rubisco. *Aust. J. Plant Physiol.* 21:475–495.
- Flexas, J., M. Ribas-Carbó, J. Bota, J. Galmés, M. Henkle, S. Martínez-Cañellas and H. Medrano. 2006. Decreased Rubisco activity during water stress is not induced by decreased relative water content but related to conditions of low stomatal conductance and chloroplast CO2 concentration. *New Phytol.* 172:73–82.
- Farquhar, G.D. and T.D. Sharkey. 1982. Stomatal conductance and photosynthesis. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol.* 33:317–345.
- Farquhar, G.D., S. von Caemmerer and J.A. Berry. 1980. A biochemical model of photosynthetic $CO₂$ assimilation in leaves of $C₃$ species. *Planta* 149:78–90.
- Galmés, J., H. Medrano and J. Flexas. 2006. Acclimation of rubisco specificity factor to drought in tobacco: discrepancies between *in vitro* and *in vivo* estimations. *J. Exp. Bot.* 57:3659–3667.
- Galmés, J., M. Ribas-Carbó, H. Medrano and J. Flexas. 2011. Rubisco activity in Mediterranean species is regulated by the chloroplastic CO2 concentration under water stress. *J. Exp. Bot.* 62:653–665.
- Genty, B., J.M. Briantais and N.R. Baker. 1989. The relationship between the quantum yield of photosynthetic electron transport and quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 990:87–92.
- Gerardeaux, E., E. Saur, J. Constantin, A. Porté and L. Jordan-Meille. 2009. Effect of carbon assimilation on dry weight production and partitioning during vegetative growth. *Plant Soil* 324:329–343.
- Han, Q. 2011. Height-related decreases in mesophyll conductance, leaf photosynthesis and compensating adjustments associated with

leaf nitrogen concentrations in *Pinus densiflora. Tree Physiol.* 31:976-984.

- Hanba, Y.T., M. Shibasaka, Y. Hayashi, T. Hayakawa, K. Kasamo, I. Terashima and M. Katsuhara. 2004. Overexpression of the barley aquaporin HvPIP2;1 increases internal $CO₂$ conductance and $CO₂$ assimilation in the leaves of transgenic rice plants. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 45:521–529.
- Harley, P.C., F. Loreto, G. Dimarco and T.D. Sharkey. 1992. Theoretical considerations when estimating the mesophyll conductance to $CO₂$ flux by analysis of the response of photosynthesis to CO₂. Plant *Physiol.* 98:1429–1436.
- Hassiotou, F., M. Ludwig, M. Renton, E.J. Veneklaas and J.R. Evans. 2009. Influence of leaf dry mass per area, $CO₂$, and irradiance on mesophyll conductance in sclerophylls. *J. Exp. Bot.* 60:2303–2314.
- Hassiotou, F., M. Renton, M. Ludwig, J.R. Evans and E.J. Veneklaas. 2010. Photosynthesis at an extreme end of the leaf trait spectrum: how does it relate to high leaf dry mass per area and associated structural parameters? *J. Exp. Bot.* 61:3015–3028.
- Huang, Z.A., D.A. Jiang, Y. Yang, J.W. Sun and S.H. Jin. 2004. Effects of nitrogen deficiency on gas exchange, chlorophyll fluorescence and antioxidant enzymes in leaves of rice plants. *Photosynthetica* 42:357–364.
- Kanai, S., R.E. Moghaieb, H.A. El-Shemy, R. Panigrahi, P.K. Mohapatra, J. Ito, N.T. Nguyen, H. Saneoka and K. Fujita. 2011. Potassium deficiency affects water status and photosynthetic rate of the vegetative sink in green house tomato prior to its effects on source activity. *Plant Sci.* 180:368–374.
- Laisk, A. 1977. Kinetics of photosynthesis and photorespiration in C3 plants. Nauka, Moscow, Russia.
- Li, Y., Y. Gao, X. Xu, Q. Shen and S. Guo. 2009. Light-saturated photosynthetic rate in high-nitrogen rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) leaves is related to chloroplastic CO₂ concentration. *J. Exp. Bot.* 60:2351-2360.
- Liu, H.Y., W.N. Sun, W.A. Su and Z.C. Tang. 2006. Co-regulation of water channels and potassium channels in rice. *Physiol. Plant.* 128:58–69.
- Longstreth, D.J. and P.S. Nobel. 1980. Nutrient influences on leaf photosynthesis. Effects of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium for *Gossypium hirsutum* L. *Plant Physiol.* 65:541–543.
- Lu, L.Q. 1998. Principles of soil and plant nutrition and fertilization. Chemical Industry Publishers, Beijing, China.
- Makino, A., H. Sakashita, J. Hidema, T. Mae, K. Ojima and B. Osmond. 1992. Distinctive responses of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase and carbonic anhydrase in wheat leaves to nitrogen nutrition and their possible relationship to CO₂ transfer resistance. *Plant Physiol.* 100:1737–1743.
- Manter, D.K. and J. Kerrigan. 2004. A/Ci curve analysis across a range of woody plant species: influence of regression analysis parameters and mesophyll conductance. *J. Exp. Bot.* 55:2581–2588.
- Marschner, H. 1995. Mineral nutrition of higher plants. Academic Press, London.
- Maurel, C., L. Verdoucq, D. Luu and V. Santoni. 2008. Plant aquaporins: membrane channel is with multiple integrated functions. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 59:595–624.
- Mohammad, F. and U. Naseem. 2006. Effect of K application on leaf carbonic anhydrase and nitrate reductase activities, photosynthetic characteristics, NPK and NO₃ contents, growth, and yield of mustard. *Photosynthetica* 44:471–473.
- Niinemets, Ü. 1999. Research review. Components of leaf dry mass per area–thickness and density–alter leaf photosynthetic capacity in reverse directions in woody plants. *New Phytol.* 144:35–47.
- Niinemets, Ü., A. Cescatti, M. Rodeghiero and T. Tosens. 2005. Leaf internal diffusion conductance limits photosynthesis more strongly in older leaves of Mediterranean evergreen broad-leaved species. *Plant Cell Environ.* 28:1552–1566.
- Niinemets, Ü., A. Cescatti, M. Rodeghiero and T. Tosens. 2006. Complex adjustments of photosynthetic potentials and internal diffusion conductance to current and previous light availabilities and leaf age in Mediterranean evergreen species *Quercus ilex. Plant Cell Environ.* 29:1159–1178.
- Niinemets, Ü., A. Diaz-Espijo, J. Flexas, J. Galmes and C.R. Warren. 2009*a*. Role of mesophyll diffusion conductance in constraining potential photosynthetic productivity in the field. *J. Exp. Bot.* 60:2249–2270.
- Niinemets, Ü., I.J. Wright and J.R. Evans. 2009*b*. Leaf mesophyll diffusion conductance in 35 Australian sclerophylls covering a broad range of foliage structural and physiological variation. *J. Exp. Bot.* 60:2433–2449.
- O'Toole, J.C., K. Treharne, M. Turnipseed, K. Crookston and J. Ozbun. 1980. Effect of potassium nutrition on leaf anatomy and net photosynthesis of *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. *New Phytol.* 84:623–630.
- Peaslee, D.F. and D.N. Moss. 1968. Stomatal conductivities in K-deficient leaves of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Crop Sci.* 8:427–430.
- Perez-Martin, A., J. Flexas, M. Ribas-Carbó, J. Bota, M. Tomás, J.M. Infante and A. Diaz-Espejo. 2009. Interactive effects of soil water deficit and air vapour pressure deficit on mesophyll conductance to CO2 in *Vitis vinifera* and *Olea europaea. J. Exp. Bot.* 60:2391–2405.
- Pervez, H., M. Ashraf and M.I. Makhdum. 2001. Influence of potassium nutrition on gas exchange characteristics and water relations in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *Photosynthetica* 42:251–255.
- Pettigrew, W.T. 1999. Potassium deficiency increases specific leaf weight and leaf glucose levels in field-grown cotton. *Agron. J.* 91:962–968.
- Poorter, H., Ü. Niinemets, L. Poorter, I.J. Wright and R. Villar. 2009. Causes and consequences of variation in leaf mass per area (LMA): a meta-analysis. *New Phytol.* 182:565–588.
- Römheld, V. and E.A. Kirkby. 2010. Research on potassium in agriculture: needs and prospects. *Plant Soil* 335:155–180.
- Sale, P.W.G. and L.C. Campell. 1987. Differential response to K deficiency among soybean cultivars. *Plant Soil* 104:183–190.
- Shavala, S. 2003. Regulation of potassium transport in leaves: from molecular to tissue level. *Ann. Bot.* 92:627–634.
- Singsaas, E.L., D.R. Ort and E.H. De Lucia. 2003. Elevated $CO₂$ effects on mesophyll conductance and its consequences for interpreting photosynthetic physiology. *Plant Cell Environ.* 27:41–50.
- Sudama, S., T.N. Tiwari, R.P. Srivastava, G.P. Singh and S. Singh. 1998. Effect of potassium on stomatal behaviour, yield and juice quality of sugarcane under moisture stress condition. *Indian J. Plant Physiol.* 3:303–305.
- Terashima, I., Y.T. Hanba, Y. Tazoe, P. Vyas and S. Yano. 2006. Irradiance and phenotype: comparative eco-development of sun and shade leaves in relation to photosynthetic CO₂ diffusion. *J. Exp. Bot.* 57:343–354.
- Terashima, I., Y.T. Hanba, D. Tholen and Ü. Niinemets. 2011. Leaf functional anatomy in relation to photosynthesis. *Plant Physiol.* 155:108–116.
- Thiel, G. and A.H. Wolf. 1997. Operation of K⁺ channels in stomatal movement. *Trends Plant Sci.* 2:339–345.
- Turnbull, T.L., C.R. Warren and M.A. Adams. 2007. Novel mannose-sequestration technique reveals variation in subcellular

orthophosphate pools do not explain the effects of phosphorus nutrition on photosynthesis in *Eucalyptus globulus* seedlings. *New Phytol.* 176:849–861.

- Uehlein, N., B. Otto, D.T. Hanson, M. Fischer, N. McDowell and R. Kaldenhoff. 2008. Function of *Nicotiana tabacum* aquaporins as chloroplast gas pores challenges the concept of membrane $CO₂$ permeability. *Plant Cell* 20:648–657.
- Valentini, R., D. Epron, P. De Angelis, G. Matteucci and E. Dreyer. 1995. In situ estimation of net $CO₂$ assimilation, photosynthetic electron flow and photorespiration in Turkey oak (*Quercus cerris* L.) leaves: diurnal cycles under different levels of water supply. *Plant Cell Environ.* 18:631–640.
- Warren, C.R. 2004. The photosynthetic limitation posed by internal conductance to CO₂ movement is increased by nutrient supply. *J. Exp. Bot.* 55:2313–2321.
- Warren, C.R. 2008. Soil water deficits decrease the internal conductance to CO₂ transfer but atmospheric water deficits do not. *J. Exp. Bot.* 59:327–334.
- Warren, C.R. and M.A. Adams. 2004. What determines rates of photosynthesis per unit nitrogen in *Eucalyptus* seedlings. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 31:1169–1178.
- Warren, C.R., G.J. Ethier, N.J. Livingston, N.J. Grant, D.H. Turpin, D.L. Harrison and T.A. Black. 2003. Transfer conductance in second growth Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii* (Mirb.) Franco) canopies. *Plant Cell Environ.* 26:1215–1227.
- Warren, C.R., M.L. Low, R. Matyssek and M. Tausz. 2007. Internal conductance to CO₂ transfer of adult *Fagus sylvatica*: variation between sun and shade leaves and due to free-air ozone fumigation. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 59:130–138.
- Weng, X.Y., C.J. Zheng, H.X. Xu and J.Y. Sun. 2007. Characteristics of photosynthesis and functions of the water-water cycle in rice (*Oryza sativa*) leaves in response to potassium deficiency. *Physiol. Plant.* 131:614–621.
- Whitehead, D., M.M. Barbour, K.L. Griffin, M.H. Turnbull and D.T. Tissue. 2011. Effects of leaf age and tree size on stomatal and mesophyll limitations to photosynthesis in mountain beech (*Nothofagus solandrii* var. cliffortiodes). *Tree Physiol.* 31:985-996.
- Xi, S., R. Lihua, Z. Yongsong, Y. Qizhao, T. Caixian and Q. Lianxian. 1989. Effect of potassium fertilizer application on physiological parameters and yield of cotton grown on a potassium deficient soil. *Z. Pflanzenernaehr. Bodenk.* 152:269–272.
- Yang, X.E., J.X. Liu, W.M. Wang, Z.Q. Ye and A.C. Luo. 2004. Potassium internal use efficiency relative to growth vigor, potassium distribution, and carbohydrate allocation in rice genotypes. *J. Plant Nutr.* 27:837–852.
- Zhao, D., D.M. Oosterhuis and C.W. Bednarz. 2001. Influence of potassium deficiency on photosynthesis, chlorophyll content, and chloroplast ultrastructure of cotton plants. *Photosynthetica* 39:103–109.
- Zhu, G.F., X. Li, Y.H. Su, L. Lu and C.L. Huang. 2011. Seasonal fluctuations and temperature dependence in photosynthetic parameters and stomatal conductance at the leaf scale of *Populus euphratica* Oliv. *Tree Physiol.* 31:178–195.