JAMA Dermatology | Original Investigation

Efficacy and Safety of Bimekizumab in Moderate to Severe Hidradenitis Suppurativa A Phase 2, Double-blind, Placebo-Controlled Randomized Clinical Trial

Sophie Glatt, MD; Gregor B. E. Jemec, MD; Seth Forman, MD; Christopher Sayed, MD; George Schmieder, MD; Jamie Weisman, MD; Robert Rolleri, PharmD; Seth Seegobin, PhD; Dominique Baeten, MD; Lucian Ionescu, MD; Christos C. Zouboulis, MD; Stevan Shaw, PhD

IMPORTANCE Hidradenitis suppurativa (HS) is a chronic inflammatory disease with a high burden for patients and limited existing therapeutic options.

OBJECTIVE To evaluate the efficacy and safety of bimekizumab, a monoclonal IgG1 antibody that selectively inhibits interleukin 17A and 17F in individuals with moderate to severe HS.

DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS This phase 2, double-blind, placebo-controlled randomized clinical trial with an active reference arm was performed from September 22, 2017, to February 21, 2019. The study included a 2- to 4-week screening period, a 12-week treatment period, and a 20-week safety follow-up. Of 167 participants screened at multiple centers, 90 were enrolled. Eligible participants were 18 to 70 years of age with a diagnosis of moderate to severe HS 12 months or more before baseline.

INTERVENTIONS Participants with HS were randomized 2:1:1 to receive bimekizumab (640 mg at week 0, 320 mg every 2 weeks), placebo, or reference arm adalimumab (160 mg at week 0, 80 mg at week 2, and 40 mg every week for weeks 4-10).

MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES The prespecified primary efficacy variable was the proportion of participants with a 50% or greater reduction from baseline in the total abscess and inflammatory nodule count with no increase in abscess or draining fistula count (Hidradenitis Suppurativa Clinical Response [HiSCR] at week 12. Exploratory variables included proportion achieving a modified HiSCR with 75% reduction of HiSCR criteria (HiSCR₇₅) or a modified HiSCR with 90% reduction of HiSCR criteria (HiSCR₉₀), change in Patient's Global Assessment of Pain, and Dermatology Life Quality Index total scores.

RESULTS Eighty-eight participants received at least 1 dose of study medication (61 [69%] female; median age, 36 years; range, 18-69 years). Seventy-three participants completed the study, including safety follow-up. Bimekizumab demonstrated a higher HiSCR rate vs placebo at week 12 (57.3% vs 26.1%; posterior probability of superiority equaled 0.998, calculated using bayesian analysis). Bimekizumab demonstrated greater clinical improvements compared with placebo. Improvements in the International Hidradenitis Suppurativa Severity Score (IHS4) were seen at week 12 with bimekizumab (mean [SD] IHS4, 16.0 [18.0]) compared with placebo (mean [SD] IHS4, 40.2 [32.6]). More bimekizumab-treated participants achieved positive results on stringent outcome measures compared with placebo. At week 12, 46% of bimekizumab-treated participants achieved HiSCR₇₅ and 32% achieved HiSCR₉₀, whereas 10% of placebo-treated participants achieved HiSCR₇₅ and 15% achieved HiSCR₉₀. One participant withdrew because of adverse events. Serious adverse events occurred in 2 of 46 bimekizumab-treated participants (4%), 2 of 21 placebo-treated participants (5%).

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE In this phase 2 randomized clinical trial, bimekizumab demonstrated clinically meaningful improvements across all outcome measures, including stringent outcomes. Bimekizumab's safety profile was consistent with studies of other indications, supporting further evaluation in participants with HS.

TRIAL REGISTRATION Clinical Trials.gov Identifier: NCT03248531

JAMA Dermatol. 2021;157(11):1279-1288. doi:10.1001/jamadermatol.2021.2905 Published online August 18, 2021. Corrected on November 17, 2021. Visual Abstract
 Supplemental content

Author Affiliations: Author affiliations are listed at the end of this article.

Corresponding Author: Gregor B. Jemec, MD, Department of Dermatology, Zealand University Hospital, Sygehusvej 10, Health Sciences Faculty, Roskilde DK-4000, Denmark (gbj@regionsjaelland.dk). idradenitis suppurativa/acne inversa (HS) is a chronic, debilitating inflammatory disease,^{1,2} with a prevalence of 0.03% to 1%^{1,3} and a mean age at onset of 22 years.⁴ Patients endure painful, deep-seated, inflammatory nodules and abscesses in sensitive areas of the body, including axillary, inguinal, and anogenital regions.^{1,2} Nodules can rupture and form abscesses and tunnels (sinus tracts), which may require surgical excision.^{1,2} Extensive scarring and fibrosis can lead to contractures and limb mobility limitations.^{1,5} Because of the pain, sensitive location, and malodorous discharge, patients' quality of life is negatively affected and considerable psychological distress occurs.^{6,7} Comorbidities and complications include arthropathies, metabolic syndrome, increased cardiovascular disease risk, inflammatory disorders, lymphedema, squamous cell carcinoma, and depression.^{8,9}

Despite the relatively high prevalence and severe impact of HS, few treatment options are available.^{2,5} Adalimumab, an antibody against tumor necrosis factor, is the only biologic therapy currently approved by the European Medicines Agency and the US Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of moderate to severe HS.¹⁰

Interleukin (IL) 17A and IL-17F have been identified as drivers of chronic joint and skin inflammation,¹¹⁻¹³ share approximately half their structural homology, and have overlapping proinflammatory functions.^{14,15} The preclinical potential of anti-IL-17A inhibitors translated to success in the treatment of various diseases, including psoriasis, psoriatic arthritis, and ankylosing spondylitis.^{11-13,16-18} However, many patients respond only partially, or not at all, to inhibition of IL-17A alone. We hypothesize that dual-cytokine blockade may profoundly affect chronic tissue inflammation. Blocking both cytokines may confer additional efficacy in immune-mediated diseases, such as HS, in which IL-17-producing T_H cells infiltrate the lesional dermis.¹⁹ Bimekizumab is a humanized, fulllength IgG monoclonal antibody that selectively inhibits both IL-17A and IL-17F and has demonstrated rapid and significant improvements in dermatologic and rheumatologic disease activity.13,20,21

We conducted a randomized, double-blind, phase 2 study in participants with moderate to severe HS to assess the clinical efficacy and safety of bimekizumab. The novel but wellaccepted bayesian augmented control design with placebo and reference arm trial design was chosen because it enables a randomized clinical trial with a smaller sample size while maintaining power. The informative prior is applied to control arms only, reducing the number of participants randomized to receive placebo compared with the active arm.^{13,22} This method maximizes the number of participants who receive active treatment during the trial, which is particularly important in high-burden diseases, such as HS. The probability of superiority of the active arm compared with placebo is thus determined in place of a statistically significant difference.

Because only 2 randomized controlled trials have been published for treatments in HS and because novel outcome measures were included, adalimumab was administered as an active reference arm to validate the robustness of this study.^{23,24} Adalimumab was not part of the primary analysis. Following the depth of clinical response demonstrated in **Question** What is the efficacy and safety of bimekizumab in individuals with moderate to severe hidradenitis suppurativa (HS)?

Findings In this double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 2 randomized clinical trial including 90 randomized patients with HS (73 completed the trial), bimekizumab demonstrated clinically meaningful and consistent improvements in participants with HS vs placebo across all assessed outcome measures. Serious adverse events occurred in 2 of 46 bimekizumab-treated participants (4%) and 2 of 21 placebo-treated participants (10%).

Meaning These initial clinical efficacy and safety data suggest that dual inhibition of interleukin 17A and 17F by bimekizumab may be a viable treatment approach for HS, with the potential to achieve deep responses in clinical outcome measures, and support further evaluation.

bimekizumab trials in psoriasis,^{17,18,25,26} we also evaluated stringent outcome measures in HS to assess whether a deep response could be achieved in this challenging population.

Methods

Study Design

This randomized clinical trial was conducted at sites across North America, Europe, and Asia Pacific regions from September 22, 2017, to February 21, 2019, and included a 2- to 4-week screening, 12-week treatment, and 20-week safety follow-up period after the final treatment dose (**Figure 1**A). Maximum study duration for any participant was 34 weeks. The study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki²⁷ and the International Conference on Harmonisation Guidance for Good Clinical Practice. Independent institutional review board approvals were obtained from each of the study sites, and all participants provided written informed consent per local requirements. The trial protocol and statistical analysis plan can be found in **Supplement 1**. This study followed the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) reporting guideline.

An interactive response technology system was used to assign evaluable participants to a treatment regimen, stratified by baseline Hurley stage. Participants were randomized 2:1:1 to receive 320 mg of bimekizumab every 2 weeks (after a 640-mg loading dose at baseline), placebo weekly from week 4 (with initial doses at baseline and week 2 to maintain blinding), or 40 mg of adalimumab weekly from week 4 (after an initial 160-mg loading dose at baseline and 80 mg at week 2). Placebo injections were administered along with active treatment at weeks 5, 7, and 9 to maintain blinding. Owing to differences in presentation between bimekizumab and adalimumab, unblinded study personnel prepared and administered the study medication with no other involvement in the study. The last dose of study medication was given at week 10.

Participants

Of 167 participants screened, 90 were enrolled and randomized at week 0 to bimekizumab (n = 46), placebo (n = 22), or



^a Randomization was stratified according to Hurley stage at baseline (II or III).

^b Bimekizumab-treated participants received a loading dose of 640 mg at baseline and then 320 mg every other week from week 2, with a final dose at week 10.

^c Placebo was given at baseline, week 2, and then every week from week 4 to maintain the blinding.

^d Adalimumab-treated participants received a loading dose of 160 mg at baseline, 80 mg at week 2, and then 40 mg every week from week 4, with a final dose at week 10. Because of differences in dosing schedule between bimekizumab and adalimumab, placebo injections were administered along with active treatment such that all participants received the same number of injections at each visit. Owing to differences in presentation between bimekizumab and adalimumab, unblinded study personnel prepared and administered the study medication to maintain the blind. The unblinded study personnel did not have any other involvement in the study.

adalimumab treatment (n = 22) (Figure 1A). Participants were 18 to 70 years of age and had moderate to severe HS diagnosed 12 months or more before baseline. Participants had stable disease at screening and baseline, with lesions in 2 or more distinct anatomical areas (≥1 at Hurley stage II/III), a total abscess and inflammatory nodule (AN) count of 3 or more, and a C-reactive protein level greater than 0.30 mg/dL (to convert to milligrams per liter, multiply by 10). Participants must have had an intolerance, contraindication, or inadequate response to 3 months or more of oral antibiotic treatment for HS or exhibited recurrence after treatment discontinuation. All participants were also candidates for adalimumab treatment per regional labeling.

Key exclusion criteria were a baseline draining tunnel count greater than 20, prior treatment with any anti-IL-17 or anti-tumor necrosis factor, known hypersensitivity to bimekizumab or any of its excipients, diagnosed inflammatory conditions other than HS, and history of chronic or recurrent infections or malignant tumors. Concomitant medications permitted or not permitted during the study and allowed rescue treatments are detailed in eMethods in Supplement 2.

Assessments

The primary efficacy end point was the proportion of participants achieving the Hidradenitis Suppurativa Clinical Response (HiSCR), defined as a 50% or greater reduction from baseline in the total AN count, with no increase from baseline in abscess or draining fistula (tunnel) count at week 12.28 Exploratory outcome measures that evaluated deeper clinical response included an HiSCR modification to assess the proportion of participants achieving 75% (HiSCR75) and 90% (HiSCR90) improvements from baseline AN count, with no increase from baseline in abscess or draining fistula (tunnel) count. Other efficacy outcomes included the International Hidradenitis Suppurativa Severity Score (IHS4), a validated and dynamic tool to assess disease severity that consists of a count of the inflammatory nodules, abscesses, and draining fistulae²⁹; Patient's Global Assessment, an 11-point numeric rating scale for skin pain; and the Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI), which measures quality of life on a scale of 0 to 30, with a total score of 0 to 1 indicating no effect of HS on quality of life. Safety outcomes included incidence, severity, and type of adverse events as well as serious adverse events per the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; clinical laboratory measurements and vital signs; electrocardiography; and physical examination. Treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs) were defined as adverse events starting at the time of or after the first dose until 140 days after the final dose of study medication. An independent data monitoring committee reviewed safety data on an ongoing basis.

Statistical Analysis

We used a bayesian augmented control design, which allows the borrowing of historical information through informative prior distributions on the control treatment arms, increases the probability that a participant is randomized to active treatment, and provides increased probability of detecting a treatment difference between bimekizumab and placebo. The study was sized to have a large probability of detecting a treatment difference (equivalent to a high power) for the comparison of bimekizumab and placebo; adalimumab was included as a reference arm. Response rates in each arm were modeled using a bayesian logistic regression model with treatment and baseline Hurley stage as factors associated with HiSCR response.

For the primary analysis, placebo and adalimumab used informative priors derived from the PIONEER II (Two Phase 3 Trials of Adalimumab for Hidradenitis Suppurativa) study,²⁴ equivalent to 20 participants each. The informative prior gives specific, definite information about efficacy from previous evidence. The bimekizumab arm used a vague prior (a prior distribution with a large variance because there are few to no prior data published on bimekizumab in HS). The priors and observed data from the per-protocol set were combined and used to derive posterior distributions for the response rate in each treatment arm and a posterior distribution of the treatment difference between bimekizumab and placebo. We randomly and repeatedly drew from the latter posterior distribution to calculate the probability that bimekizumab was superior to placebo. The criterion for declaring superiority was a probability greater than 0.975, as per convention.

The sample size of 60 participants between bimekizumab and placebo provided greater than 90% predictive probability to identify the superior treatment, assuming a response rate of 70% for bimekizumab (β = 5.52) and 28% for placebo (β = 14.48; absolute difference of 42 percentage points). The prior for adalimumab reflected a response rate of 59% (β = 11.78) vs placebo (β = 8.22).

We report response rates in each treatment group with 95% credible intervals. The primary analysis was based on the perprotocol set (excluding participants with protocol deviations affecting the primary end point) and assumes that early treatment discontinuations are nonresponders at week 12. Study participants who received rescue therapy were considered nonresponders from the time that the rescue therapy was taken. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS software, version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc).

Results

Participant Disposition and Baseline Characteristics

Eighty-eight participants (61 [69%] female; median age, 36 years; range, 18-69 years) received at least 1 dose of study medication and comprised the full analysis and safety set. Discontinuation rates were low and balanced across treatment arms: 79 participants (88%) completed week 12 (the primary end point), and 73 (81%) completed the entire study, including the safety follow-up visit (Figure 1B). Most frequent primary reasons for discontinuation were withdrawal of consent (not because of an adverse event) (8 participants) and unavailable for follow-up (6 participants).

Baseline characteristics were similar across treatment arms, although mean C-reactive protein level and DLQI were numerically higher in the adalimumab group (**Table 1**). The AN count in the bimekizumab group, although lower than the other treatment arms, was comparable to baseline characteristics of the adalimumab group from the PIONEER I (Two Phase 3 Trials of Adalimumab for Hidradenitis Suppurativa) study.²⁴

Efficacy

The primary analysis compared week 12 HiSCR between the bimekizumab and placebo arms using a bayesian analysis and the per-protocol set. The modeled HiSCR was 57.3% in the bimekizumab group and 26.1% in the placebo group (95% credible interval for difference, 11.0%-50.4%; posterior probability of superiority = 0.998) (Figure 2A). The observed data and informative prior used for the placebo arm exhibited a high degree of concordance (eFigure 1 in Supplement 2).

Primary analysis results were confirmed in the full analysis set (eTable 1 in Supplement 2) and using vague priors for bimekizumab and placebo (eTables 2 and 3 in Supplement 2). A sensitivity analysis was performed excluding participants who were unavailable for follow-up (eTables 4 and 5 in Supplement 2).

TIL 4 D

10 B 11 1

. .

Characteristic	Bimekizumab (n = 46)	Placebo (n = 21)	Adalimumab (n = 21)	All participants (N = 88) ^b
Age, mean (SD), y	37.4 (11.9)	40.7 (12.8)	31.1 (9.4)	36.7 (12.0)
Sex				
Female	30 (65)	14 (67)	17 (81)	61 (69)
Male	16 (35)	7 (33)	4 (19)	27 (31)
BMI, mean (SD)	34.5 (8.2)	33.2 (5.8)	36.9 (10.6)	34.8 (8.4)
Disease duration, mean (SD), y	9.0 (8.8)	9.5 (8.4)	8.6 (5.7)	9.0 (8.0)
hsCRP, mean (SD), mg/dL	1.53 (1.86)	1.69 (1.38)	2.64 (2.50)	1.83 (1.97)
IHS4, mean (SD)	40.5 (29.8)	49.8 (34.7)	42.0 (26.1)	43.1 (30.1)
PtGA of skin pain, mean (SD) ^c				
Average in last 24 h	3.7 (2.4) (n = 46)	4.0 (2.5) (n = 20)	5.0 (2.6) (n = 21)	4.1 (2.5) (n = 87)
Worst in last 24 h	4.7 (2.8) (n = 46)	5.6 (2.7) (n = 20)	5.8 (2.7) (n = 21)	5.2 (2.8) (n = 87)
Hurley stage				
II	23 (50)	10 (48)	10 (48)	43 (49)
III	23 (50)	11 (52)	11 (52)	45 (51)
DLQI, Mean (SD)	11.7 (8.0)	12.7 (5.7)	14.5 (7.9)	12.6 (7.5)
AN count, mean (SD)	14.5 (11.9)	22.1 (21.2)	20.0 (11.5)	17.7 (14.8)
HS-PGA, very severed	28 (61)	15 (71)	12 (57)	55 (63)
Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders	6 (13.0)	3 (14.3)	3 (14.3)	12 (13.6)
Arthralgia	2 (4.3)	2 (9.5)	0	4 (4.5)
Arthritis	1 (2.2)	0	0	1 (1.1)
Hypermobility syndrome	1 (2.2)	0	0	1 (1.1)
Osteoarthritis	1 (2.2)	0	0	1 (1.1)
Osteochondrosis	0	0	1 (4.8)	1 (1.1)
Spondylitis	0	1 (4.8)	0	1 (1.1)
Spondylolisthesis	1 (2.2)	0	0	1 (1.1)

Abbreviations: AN, abscess and inflammatory nodule; BMI, body mass index (calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared); DLQI, Dermatology Life Quality Index; hsCRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein;

HS-PGA, Hidradenitis Suppurativa Physician's Global Assessment; IHS4, International Hidradenitis Suppurativa Severity Score; PtGA, Patient's Global Assessment.

SI conversion factor: To convert hsCRP to milligrams per liter, multiply by 10.

^a Data are presented as number (percentage) of participants unless otherwise indicated.

^b Full analysis set except for age, sex, BMI, and prior history of musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders, which constitute the safety set; there were no differences in participant numbers between the safety set and full analysis sets.

^c Eleven-point numeric rating scale. ^d Six-point scale from clear to very severe.

A frequentist (nonbayesian) sensitivity analysis of observed data explored the odds ratio of response between bimekizumab or adalimumab and placebo (eFigure 2 in Supplement 2) and indicated superiority of bimekizumab and adalimumab to placebo by HiSCR. Numerically higher HiSCR rates were observed from weeks 2 to 12 with bimekizumab compared with placebo (nonresponder imputation at week 12: bimekizumab, 57%; placebo, 24%), similar to adalimumab (60%) (Figure 2B; eTable 6 in Supplement 2). For comparison of response between bimekizumab and adalimumab, the 95% CI did not exclude 1.

More stringent exploratory outcomes showed that numerically higher proportions of participants treated with bimekizumab than placebo achieved $HiSCR_{75}$ and $HiSCR_{90}$ beginning at week 2 and at each visit through week 12 (Figure 2C and 2D). At week 12, 46% of bimekizumab-treated participants achieved $HiSCR_{75}$ and 32% achieved $HiSCR_{90}$, whereas 10% of placebo-treated participants achieved $HiSCR_{75}$ and none achieved $HiSCR_{90}$; in adalimumab-treated participants, 35% achieved $HiSCR_{75}$ and 15% achieved $HiSCR_{90}$.

Improvements in IHS4 were seen at week 12 with bimekizumab (mean [SD] IHS4, 16.0 [18.0]) compared with placebo (mean [SD] IHS4, 40.2 [32.6]) (Figure 3A). Higher proportions of bimekizumab-treated participants also reported greater improvements in skin pain at week 12 (Figure 3B) and no impact of disease on their quality of life compared with placebo-treated participants (DLQI 0/1 responder rate,

jamadermatology.com

in Supplement 2.
Safety
Incidence of TEAEs was similar across treatment arms (bime-kizumab, 70%; placebo, 62%; and adalimumab, 71%) (Table 2).
Most were mild or moderate, and only 1 participant (in the bimekizumab group) discontinued study participation be-

cause of a TEAE (worsening HS). Hospitalization occurred for the following adverse events: anemia and empyema (bimekizumab-treated patients); hidradenitis (adalimumabtreated patients); and myocardial infarction, hypoesthesia, headache, and dizziness (placebo-treated patients). No deaths occurred.

36% in bimekizumab-treated participants and 0% in

placebo-treated participants) (Figure 3C). In adalimumab-

treated participants, improvements in IHS4 (week 12: 16.5),

skin pain (\geq 30% and \geq 1-unit reduction at week 12: 50%), and

DLQI 0/1 (remission at week 12: 14%) were numerically simi-

lar or smaller than those treated with bimekizumab (mean

IHS4 at week 12: 16.0; ≥30% and ≥1-unit reduction at week

12: 64%; remission at week 12: 36%) (Figure 3). Pharmacoki-

netic findings for bimekizumab are given in the eResults

The most frequent TEAEs at the system organ class level in the bimekizumab and adalimumab arms were infections or infestations, skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders, and general disorders or administration site conditions. At the preferred term level, the only TEAEs that occurred in more than

Figure 2. Primary Analysis





Difference in wk-12 HiSCR (bimekizumab vs placebo)

Response rate	Placebo	Bim	ekizumab	
Observed	5/18	25/40		
NRI	5/20) 25/44		
Results of modeled posterior probability				
Mean (SD)	26.1 (6.8)	57.3 (7.4)	
Median (95% CI)	25.7 (13.	8-40.5)	57.4 (42.4-71.4)	
Posterior difference from placebo, %				
Mean (SD) [95% CI]	31.2	(10.1) [11.0-50.4]	
Probability (difference >0%)		99.	8	







Observed case responders at wk 12 No./total No.	
Bimekizumab	25/40 (63) [47-76]
Placebo	5/18 (28) [13-51]
Adalimumab	12/18 (67) [44-84]

D HiSCR₉₀ responders, NRI



at wk 12	[95% CI]	
Bimekizumab	14/40 (35) [22-51]	
Placebo	0	
Adalimumab	3/18 (17) [6-39]	

Bayesian analysis was performed in which the posterior probability distribution for the difference in the primary end point (Hidradenitis Suppurativa Clinical Response [HiSCR] at 12 weeks) between bimekizumab-treated and placebo-treated participants confirmed that the superiority criteria for bimekizumab were met. NRI indicates nonresponder imputation.

10% of participants in any treatment group were influenza, headache, and hidradenitis (Table 2).

The incidence of serious TEAEs was low and similar across treatment arms, and none were considered related or led to discontinuation (Table 2). The incidence of severe TEAEs was also low and similar across treatment arms. Four nonserious oral candidiasis events were observed in 3 of 46 participants in the bimekizumab group, 1 event occurred in the adalimumab group, and none in the placebo group. All cases of candidiasis with bimekizumab were localized, mild or moderate infections and resolved with appropriate antifungal therapy; no cases led to discontinuation. No instances of inflammatory bowel disease or suicidal ideation or behavior occurred in any group.

From weeks 0 to 12, a total of 5% of participants reported the use of any rescue medication (2 bimekizumab treated, 1 placebo treated, and 1 adalimumab treated). Rescue medications from baseline to safety follow-up are detailed in eTable 7 in Supplement 2.

Overall, there were no unexpected safety findings. The initial safety profile in HS was consistent with bimekizumab trials for other indications under development, such as psoriasis, psoriatic arthritis, and axial spondylarthritis.

Discussion

In this phase 2 randomized clinical trial, bimekizumab demonstrated clinically meaningful and consistent improvements vs placebo across all assessed outcome measures, from as early as week 2 through week 12, in participants with moderate to severe HS. To our knowledge, this is the first controlled study in HS to include 2 distinct mechanisms of action, with the inclusion of adalimumab as an indirect reference

Figure 3. International Hidradenitis Suppurativa Severity Score (IHS4), Patient's Global Assessment of Pain (PtGA), and Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) Scores in the Full Analysis Set (Observed Data)



observed case responders, no., total no.			
Drug	≥30%	≥1 Unit reduction	≥30% and ≥1 Unit reduction
Bimekizumab	27/42 (64)	30/42 (71)	27/42 (64)
Placebo	7/19 (37)	9/19 (47)	7/19 (37)
Adalimumab	9/18 (50)	11/18 (61)	9/18 (50)



 a IHS4 = (number of inflammatory nodules ×1) + (number of abscesses ×2) + (number of draining fistulae ×4).

^b Mean PtGA of skin pain judged as pain at its worst in the last 24 hours (before week 12 visit) using an 11-point numeric rating scale.

to validate the accuracy of the incremental benefit from bimekizumab vs placebo.

Increased levels of IL-17 have been identified in the serum and lesions of patients with HS,^{19,30-32} and case reports describe successful treatment of severe HS with IL-17A therapies,³³ although randomized, placebo-controlled evidence is lacking. IL-17A and IL-17F have been identified as key drivers of chronic human tissue inflammation produced from innatelike lymphocytes, such as $\gamma\Delta$ T cells.¹¹⁻¹³ These cytokines can be secreted as homodimers or heterodimers, both signaling through the IL-17RA/RC receptor complex, making dual inhibition of IL-17A and IL-17F a potential treatment opportunity.

This novel mechanism of action of bimekizumab has increased expectations of treatment outcomes in psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis to 90% and beyond. In a recent phase 2b study in patients with psoriatic arthritis, bimekizumab led

jamadermatology.com

to complete skin clearance as measured by a Psoriasis Area and Severity Index of 100 for 50% of participants at week 12 and 61% by week 48.¹⁶ Similarly, in phase 3 studies in psoriasis, complete skin clearance was achieved by 59% to 68% of participants after 16 weeks of bimekizumab treatment,^{17,18,25,26} supporting the concept that IL-17A and IL-17F inhibition can result in deep responses.

These promising outcomes led us to investigate the depth of response that could be achieved in the population with HS. In the PIONEER I and II phase 3 studies of adalimumab in HS, 41.8% of participants in PIONEER 1 and 58.9% in PIONEER 2 achieved HiSCR after 12 weeks of treatment.²⁴ In the current study, 57% of the bimekizumab group achieved HiSCR. Although validated,^{24,28} HiSCR requires only a minimum 50% improvement from baseline AN count without an increase in chronic lesions. Using the more stringent outcomes of HiSCR₇₅ and HiSCR₉₀, which have not previously been assessed in

Table 2	Safety	Outcomes
TUDIC 2	Juicty	outcomes

	No. (%) of participants with at least 1 TEAE [No. of events]			
Safety outcome	Bimekizumab (n = 46) Placebo (n = 21)		Adalimumab (n = 21)	
Any TEAE	32 (70) [150]	13 (62) [30]	15 (71) [60]	
Serious TEAEs	2 (4) [2]	2 (10) [4]	1 (5) [2]	
Anemia	1 (2) [1]	0	0	
Myocardial infarction	0	1 (5) [1]	0	
Empyema	1 (2) [1]	0	0	
Headache	0	1 (5) [1]	0	
Dizziness	0	1 (5) [1]	0	
Hypoesthesia	0	1 (5) [1]	0	
Hidradenitis ^a	0	0	1 (5) [2]	
Discontinuation because of TEAE	1 (2) [1]	0	0	
Drug-related TEAEs	18 (39) [48]	3 (14) [4]	9 (43) [29]	
Severe TEAEs	3 (7) [6]	1 (5) [2]	2 (10) [2]	
Deaths	0	0	0	
Most common TEAEs and those of interest ^b				
Gastrointestinal disorders	8 (17) [15]	3 (14) [3]	3 (14) [7]	
Inflammatory bowel disease	0	0	0	
General disorders and administration site conditions	10 (22) [20]	2 (10) [3]	5 (24) [11]	
Infections and infestations	20 (44) [41]	4 (19) [4]	9 (43) [19]	
Oral candidiasis	3 (7) [4]	0	1 (5) [1]	
Vulvovaginal candidiasis	1 (2) [3]	0	1 (5) [3]	
Skin candida	0	0	1 (5) [1]	
Influenza	0	0	3 (14) [3]	
Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders	5 (11) [10]	2 (10) [2]	2 (10) [2]	
Nervous system disorders	5 (11) [6]	6 (29) [9]	2 (10) [2]	
Headache	3 (7) [4]	3 (14) [5]	0	
Skin and subcutaneous disorders	13 (28) [22]	4 (19) [4]	9 (43) [12]	
Hidradenitis ^a	8 (17) [9]	3 (14) [3]	7 (33) [8]	
Vascular disorders	6 (13) [6]	0	1 (5) [1]	

Abbreviation: TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event.

^a Signs or symptoms of the condition or disease for which the investigational medicinal product is being studied (hidradenitis) were recorded as adverse events only if their nature changed considerably or their frequency or intensity increased in a clinically significant manner compared with the clinical profile known to the investigator from the subject's history or the baseline period.

events occurring in more than 10% of participants in any treatment group by MedDRA version 19.0 system organ class or preferred term are given, unless otherwise specified.

clinical trials, we found that nearly half of bimekizumabtreated participants achieved a 75% improvement and a third achieved a 90% improvement from baseline AN count, and no increase occurred in chronic lesions. Our data provide preliminary evidence that bimekizumab has the potential for greater lesion clearance and thereby benefit to participants.

Previous clinical studies³⁴⁻³⁷ of adalimumab in HS have indicated the need for higher induction and maintenance dosing compared with psoriasis, consistent with the higher inflammatory burden in HS. In this study, circulating drug levels of bimekizumab were lower than expected based on pharmacokinetic properties in other populations, supporting the use of higher doses of bimekizumab compared with other immune and inflammatory diseases.

The safety profile of bimekizumab at this early stage of development (at a dose of 320 mg every 2 weeks) appears consistent with bimekizumab to date in other indications under evaluation.^{13,20,21} The TEAE rates were similar in the bimekizumab, placebo, and adalimumab arms and were mostly mild or moderate in intensity and resolved. As reported with other therapies that target the IL-17 pathway,^{38,39} participants in the bimekizumab group experi-

enced oral candidiasis infections during the treatment period. All cases of candidiasis with bimekizumab were localized mild or moderate infections and resolved with appropriate antifungal therapy; no cases led to discontinuation. Although HS is associated with inflammatory bowel disease,^{40,41} there were no incidences of inflammatory bowel disease during the study period. The safety profile of bimekizumab in HS, in particular for the risk of *Candida* infections, needs to be evaluated in longer-term studies.

Limitations

This study has limitations, including potential biases that may have been introduced by a lack of site-stratified treatment assignments (because of the small sample size, stratification by Hurley stage was prioritized). Differences in demographic characteristics and disease severity among sites might bias efficacy findings. The placebo-treated group had a numerically higher baseline AN count compared with the bimekizumab and adalimumab groups. Although a limitation, the baseline AN count for bimekizumab-treated participants in this study was consistent with those for participants in the PIONEER I and II studies.²⁴

Conclusions

These data suggest that dual inhibition of IL-17A and IL-17F by bimekizumab may be a viable treatment approach for HS, with the potential to achieve deep responses in clinical outcomes. Although data are encouraging, the sample size was limited, and longer studies are needed to understand

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Accepted for Publication: June 17, 2021. Published Online: August 18, 2021. doi:10.1001/jamadermatol.2021.2905

Correction: This article was corrected on November 17, 2021, to fix errors in the Key Points, Abstract, Table 1, and Figures 2 and 3.

Open Access: This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-ND License. © 2021 Glatt S et al. *JAMA Dermatology*.

Author Affiliations: UCB Pharma, Slough, United Kingdom (Glatt, Seegobin, Baeten, Shaw); Department of Dermatology, Zealand University Hospital, Roskilde (Jemec); Health Sciences Faculty, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark (Jemec); ForCare Clinical Research, Tampa, Florida (Forman); Department of Dermatology, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill (Sayed); Park Avenue Dermatology, Orange Park, Florida (Schmieder); Medical Dermatology Specialists Inc. Atlanta, Georgia (Weisman): UCB Pharma, Raleigh, North Carolina (Rolleri); UCB Pharma, Brussels, Belgium (Ionescu); Departments of Dermatology, Venereology, Allergology and Immunology, Dessau Medical Center, Brandenburg Medical School Theodor Fontane, Dessau, Germany (Zouboulis)

Author Contributions: Drs Glatt and Jemec contributed equally to this work. Dr Jemec had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. Concept and design: Glatt, Jemec, Seegobin, Baeten, Ionescu, Zouboulis, Shaw. Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: Glatt, Forman, Sayed, Schmieder, Weisman, Rolleri, Seegobin, Baeten, Ionescu, Zouboulis, Shaw. Drafting of the manuscript: Jemec. Rolleri. Seegobin, Baeten, Ionescu. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Glatt, Forman, Sayed, Schmieder, Weisman, Rolleri, Seegobin, Baeten, Ionescu, Zouboulis, Shaw. Statistical analysis: Seegobin, Ionescu. Obtained funding: Baeten, Ionescu. Administrative, technical, or material support: Glatt, Forman, Schmieder, Weisman, Ionescu, Shaw. Supervision: Glatt, Jemec, Weisman, Baeten, Zouboulis.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: Dr Glatt reported receiving personal fees as an employee of UCB Pharma during the conduct of the study and outside the submitted work. Dr Jemec reported receiving grants from AbbVie, LEO Foundation, Afyx, InflaRx, Janssen-Cilag, Novartis, UCB, CSL Behring, Regeneron, Sanofi, Boehringer Ingelheim, Union Therapeutics, and Toosonix and personal fees from Coloplast, Chemocentryx, LEO Pharma, Incyte, Kymera, and VielaBio during the conduct of the study; in addition, Dr Jemec had a patent for hidradenitis suppurativa prevention issued. Dr Forman reported receiving personal fees from ForSight Consulting outside the submitted work. Dr Sayed reported receiving grants from UCB during the conduct of the study and grants from AbbVie, Novartis, Incyte, Chemocentryx, and InflaRx and personal fees from AbbVie and Novartis outside the submitted work. Dr Weisman reported receiving personal fees and grants from UCB during the conduct of the study and grants from Janssen, Pfizer, AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Avillion, Sun, Amgen, and Novartis and personal fees from Janssen, Novartis, Bristol-Myers Squibb, and Eli Lilly outside the submitted work. Drs Rolleri, Baeten, Ionescu, and Shaw reported being employees of UCB Pharma. Dr Zouboulis reported being paid by AbbVie, InflaRx, Novartis, and UCB Pharma for participation in clinical studies and personal fees from AbbVie. Idorsia, Incyte, InflaRx, Janssen, Novartis, Regeneron, and UCB Pharma outside the submitted work. No other disclosures were reported.

Funding/Support: This study was sponsored by UCB Pharma. Support for third-party writing assistance for this article, provided by Hannah Brechka, PhD, Costello Medical UK, was funded by UCB Pharma in accordance with Good Publication Practice guidelines (http://www.ismpp.org/gpp3).

Role of the Funder/Sponsor: The funders participated in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Data Sharing Statement: See Supplement 3.

Additional Contributions: Andy Grieve, PhD, UCB Pharma, provided statistical review. Susanne Wiegratz, MSc, and Ingrid Pansar, PhD, UCB Pharma, performed publication coordination, and Hannah Brechka, PhD, Costello Medical UK, provided medical writing and editorial assistance based on the authors' input and direction. We thank the participants, the investigators, and their teams who took part in this study.

REFERENCES

1. Jemec GB. Clinical practice: hidradenitis suppurativa. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;366(2):158-164. doi:10.1056/NEJMcp1014163

2. Zouboulis CC, Desai N, Emtestam L, et al. European S1 guideline for the treatment of hidradenitis suppurativa/acne inversa. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2015;29(4):619-644. doi:10. 1111/jdv.12966

3. Kirsten N, Petersen J, Hagenström K, Augustin M. Epidemiology of hidradenitis suppurativa in Germany—an observational cohort study based on a multisource approach. J Eur Acad

response durability. Further dose regimen elucidation is required because only a single dose regimen was studied. The inflammatory burden of the disease and current pharmacologic treatment outcomes suggest that an intensive dosing regimen (ie, dose level and/or frequency) may be needed for optimal treatment.³⁵ The efficacy and safety findings in this study warrant confirmation with larger phase 3 studies.

> Dermatol Venereol. 2020;34(1):174-179. doi:10.1111/ jdv.15940

4. von der Werth JM, Williams HC. The natural history of hidradenitis suppurativa. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2000;14(5):389-392. doi:10. 1046/j.1468-3083.2000.00087.x

5. Danby FW, Margesson LJ. Hidradenitis suppurativa. *Dermatol Clin*. 2010;28(4):779-793. doi:10.1016/j.det.2010.07.003

6. Chernyshov PV, Zouboulis CC, Tomas-Aragones L, et al. Quality of life measurement in hidradenitis suppurativa: position statement of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology task forces on Quality of Life and Patient-Oriented Outcomes and Acne, Rosacea and Hidradenitis Suppurativa. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2019;33 (9):1633-1643. doi:10.1111/jdv.15519

7. Thorlacius L, Cohen AD, Gislason GH, Jemec GBE, Egeberg A. Increased suicide risk in patients with hidradenitis suppurativa. *J Invest Dermatol*. 2018;138(1): 52-57. doi:10.1016/j.jid.2017.09.008

8. Tzellos T, Zouboulis CC. Review of comorbidities of hidradenitis suppurativa: implications for daily clinical practice. *Dermatol Ther (Heidelb)*. 2020;10 (1):63-71. doi:10.1007/s13555-020-00354-2

9. Fimmel S, Zouboulis CC. Comorbidities of hidradenitis suppurativa (acne inversa). *Dermatoendocrinol.* 2010;2(1):9-16. doi:10.4161/derm. 2.1.12490

10. Zouboulis CC. Adalimumab for the treatment of hidradenitis suppurativa/acne inversa. *Expert Rev Clin Immunol.* 2016;12(10):1015-1026. doi:10.1080/1744666X.2016.1221762

11. Durham LE, Kirkham BW, Taams LS. Contribution of the IL-17 pathway to psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis. *Curr Rheumatol Rep.* 2015;17(8): 55. doi:10.1007/s11926-015-0529-9

12. Raychaudhuri SP, Raychaudhuri SK. Mechanistic rationales for targeting interleukin-17A in spondyloarthritis. *Arthritis Res Ther*. 2017;19(1):51. doi:10.1186/s13075-017-1249-5

13. Glatt S, Baeten D, Baker T, et al. Dual IL-17A and IL-17F neutralisation by bimekizumab in psoriatic arthritis: evidence from preclinical experiments and a randomised placebo-controlled clinical trial that IL-17F contributes to human chronic tissue inflammation. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2018;77(4):523-532. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2017-212127

14. Hymowitz SG, Filvaroff EH, Yin JP, et al. IL-17s adopt a cystine knot fold: structure and activity of a novel cytokine, IL-17F, and implications for receptor binding. *EMBO J.* 2001;20(19):5332-5341. doi:10.1093/emboj/20.19.5332

15. Yang XO, Chang SH, Park H, et al. Regulation of inflammatory responses by IL-17F. *J Exp Med*. 2008; 205(5):1063-1075. doi:10.1084/jem.20071978

16. Ritchlin CT, Kavanaugh A, Merola JF, et al. Bimekizumab in patients with active psoriatic arthritis: results from a 48-week, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, dose-ranging phase 2b trial. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10222):427-440. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(19)33161-7

17. Reich K, Warren RB, Lebwohl M, et al. Bimekizumab versus secukinumab in plaque psoriasis. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;385(2):142-152. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2102383

18. Warren RB, Blauvelt A, Bagel J, et al. Bimekizumab versus adalimumab in plaque psoriasis. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;385(2):130-141. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2102388

19. Schlapbach C, Hänni T, Yawalkar N, Hunger RE. Expression of the IL-23/Th17 pathway in lesions of hidradenitis suppurativa. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2011; 65(4):790-798. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2010.07.010

20. Glatt S, Helmer E, Haier B, et al. First-in-human randomized study of bimekizumab, a humanized monoclonal antibody and selective dual inhibitor of IL-17A and IL-17F, in mild psoriasis. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 2017;83(5):991-1001. doi:10.1111/bcp.13185

21. Papp KA, Merola JF, Gottlieb AB, et al. Dual neutralization of both interleukin 17A and interleukin 17F with bimekizumab in patients with psoriasis: results from BE ABLE 1, a 12-week randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled phase 2b trial. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2018;79(2): 277-286.e10. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2018.03.037

22. Baeten D, Baraliakos X, Braun J, et al. Anti-interleukin-17A monoclonal antibody secukinumab in treatment of ankylosing spondylitis: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2013;382(9906): 1705-1713. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(13)61134-4

23. Hendricks AJ, Hsiao JL, Lowes MA, Shi VY. A comparison of international management guidelines for hidradenitis suppurativa. *Dermatology*. 2019;1-16. doi:10.1159/000503605

24. Kimball AB, Okun MM, Williams DA, et al. Two phase 3 trials of adalimumab for hidradenitis suppurativa. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;375(5):422-434. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1504370

25. Gordon KB, Foley P, Krueger JG, et al. Bimekizumab efficacy and safety in moderate to severe plaque psoriasis (BE READY): a multicentre, double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomised withdrawal phase 3 trial. *Lancet*. 2021;397(10273): 475-486. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00126-4

26. Reich K, Papp KA, Blauvelt A, et al. Bimekizumab Versus Ustekinumab for the Treatment of Moderate to Severe Plaque Psoriasis (BE VIVID): efficacy and safety from a 52-week, multicentre, double-blind, active comparator and placebo controlled phase 3 trial. *Lancet.* 2021;397 (10273):487-498. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(21) 00125-2

27. World Medical Association. World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki: ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. *JAMA*. 2013;310(20):2191-2194. doi:10. 1001/jama.2013.28105

28. Kimball AB, Sobell JM, Zouboulis CC, et al. HiSCR (Hidradenitis Suppurativa Clinical Response): a novel clinical endpoint to evaluate therapeutic outcomes in patients with hidradenitis suppurativa from the placebo-controlled portion of a phase 2 adalimumab study. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2016;30(6):989-994. doi:10.1111/jdv.13216

29. Zouboulis CC, Tzellos T, Kyrgidis A, et al; European Hidradenitis Suppurativa Foundation Investigator Group. Development and validation of the International Hidradenitis Suppurativa Severity Score System (IHS4), a novel dynamic scoring system to assess HS severity. *Br J Dermatol.* 2017; 177(5):1401-1409. doi:10.1111/bjd.15748

30. Matusiak Ł, Szczęch J, Bieniek A, Nowicka-Suszko D, Szepietowski JC. Increased interleukin (IL)-17 serum levels in patients with hidradenitis suppurativa: implications for treatment with anti-IL-17 agents. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2017;76 (4):670-675. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2016.10.042

31. Frew JW, Hawkes JE, Krueger JG. A systematic review and critical evaluation of inflammatory cytokine associations in hidradenitis suppurativa. *F1000Res.* 2018;7:1930. doi:10.12688/ f1000research.17267.1

32. Witte-Händel E, Wolk K, Tsaousi A, et al. The IL-1 pathway is hyperactive in hidradenitis suppurativa and contributes to skin infiltration and destruction. *J Invest Dermatol*. 2019;139(6): 1294-1305. doi:10.1016/j.jid.2018.11.018

33. Schuch A, Fischer T, Boehner A, Biedermann T, Volz T. Successful treatment of severe recalcitrant

hidradenitis suppurativa with the interleukin-17A antibody secukinumab. *Acta Derm Venereol*. 2018; 98(1):151-152. doi:10.2340/00015555-2794

34. Kimball AB, Kerdel F, Adams D, et al. Adalimumab for the treatment of moderate to severe hidradenitis suppurativa: a parallel randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med*. 2012;157(12): 846-855. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-157-12-201212180-00004

35. Zouboulis CC, Hansen H, Caposiena Caro RD, et al. Adalimumab dose intensification in recalcitrant hidradenitis suppurativa/acne inversa. *Dermatology*. 2020;236(1):25-30. doi:10.1159/ 000503606

36. Riis PT, Søeby K, Saunte DM, Jemec GB. Patients with hidradenitis suppurativa carry a higher systemic inflammatory load than other dermatological patients. *Arch Dermatol Res.* 2015; 307(10):885-889. doi:10.1007/s00403-015-1596-5

37. Martorell A, García-Martínez FJ, Jiménez-Gallo D, et al. An update on hidradenitis suppurativa (part I): epidemiology, clinical aspects, and definition of disease severity. *Actas Dermosifiliogr*. 2015;106(9):703-715. doi:10.1016/j.ad.2015.06.004

38. Langley RG, Kimball AB, Nak H, et al. Long-term safety profile of ixekizumab in patients with moderate-to-severe plaque psoriasis: an integrated analysis from 11 clinical trials. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2019;33(2):333-339. doi:10.1111/ jdv.15242

39. van de Kerkhof PCM, Griffiths CEM, Reich K, et al. Secukinumab long-term safety experience: a pooled analysis of 10 phase II and III clinical studies in patients with moderate to severe plaque psoriasis. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2016;75(1):83-98.e4. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2016.03.024

40. Egeberg A, Jemec GBE, Kimball AB, et al. Prevalence and risk of inflammatory bowel disease in patients with hidradenitis suppurativa. *J Invest Dermatol*. 2017;137(5):1060-1064. doi:10.1016/j.jid. 2016.11.040

41. Phan K, Tatian A, Woods J, Cains G, Frew JW. Prevalence of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) in hidradenitis suppurativa (HS): systematic review and adjusted meta-analysis. *Int J Dermatol*. 2020; 59(2):221-228. doi:10.1111/ijd.14697