#### ELECTRONIC SUPPORTING INFORMATION

# *Efficient Acceptor Groups for NLO Chromophores: Competing Inductive and Resonance Contributions in Heterocyclic Acceptors Derived from 2-Dicyanomethylidene-3-Cyano-4,5,5-Trimethyl-2,5-Dihydrofuran*

Karin Schmidt,<sup>a</sup> Stephen Barlow,<sup>a</sup> Amalia Leclercq,<sup>a</sup> Egbert Zojer,<sup>b,a</sup> Sei-Hum Jang,<sup>c</sup> Seth R. Marder,<sup>a</sup>

Alex K.-Y. Jen,<sup>c</sup> and Jean-Luc Brédas<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Chemistry and Biochemistry and

Center for Organic Photonics and Electronics

Georgia Institute of Technology

Atlanta, Georgia 30332-0400, USA

<sup>b</sup> Institute of Solid Physics

Graz University of Technology,

Petersgasse 16

8010 Graz, Austria

<sup>c</sup> Department of Materials Science and Engineering

University of Washington

Seattle, Washington 98195-2120, USA

To ensure that the trends obtained by the SOS calculations are not methodological artifacts, we have also carried out Finite Field calculations. Here, we followed the local contribution formulation<sup>1,2</sup> based on second derivatives of atomic charges. These were approximated by finite differences obtained from INDO<sup>3</sup>/HF Mulliken charges of molecules with applied electric fields between zero and  $\pm 5.14 \times 10^{11}$  V/m (10<sup>-3</sup> atomic units). A more detailed description of the applied methodology is given in Ref. [4].

1 P. Chopra, L. Carlacci, H. F. King, and P. N. Prasad, J. Phys. Chem., 1989, 93, 7120, 1989.

2 M. N. Nakano, I. Shigemoto, S. Yamada, and K. Yamaguchi, J. Chem. Phys., 1995, 103, 4175.

3 (a) V. M. Geskin and J. L. Brédas, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1998, **109**, 6163; (b) V. M. Geskin, C. Lambert, and J.L. Brédas, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 15651; (c) D. Jacquemin, D. Beljonne, B. Champagne, V. M. Geskin, J. L. Bredas, J. M. Andre, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2001, **115**, 6766.

4 A. Leclercq, E. Zojer, S.-H. Jang, S. Barlow, V. Geskin, A. K.-Y. Jen, S.R. Marder, and J.L. Brédas, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2006, 124, 044510.

#### TABLES

Table S1: Orientationally averaged second-order polarizabilities ( $\langle\beta\rangle = \{\beta_x^2 + \beta_y^2 + \beta_z^2\}^{1/2}$ ), long-axis components of the second-order polarizability ( $\beta_{xxx}$ ) for both SOS and FF values, and the second-order molecular polarizabilities ( $\beta_{xxx}$ ) calculated with the two-state model for chromophores **1** to **10**.

chromophore	Х	$<\beta>(10^{-30} \text{ esu})$	$\beta_{xxx}(10^{-30}\mathrm{esu})$	$\beta_{xxx}$ (10 <sup>-30</sup> esu)	$\beta_{xxx}$ (10 <sup>-30</sup> esu)	
		SCI/SOS	SCI/SOS	FF	two-state	
					model	
1	SiH <sub>2</sub>	310	301	336	502	
2	$CH_2$	315	314	355	520	
3	C=CH <sub>2</sub>	352	350	387	578	
4	NH	281	290	330	471	
5	СО	467	443	487	755	
6	S	323	321	362	532	
7	0	316	320	368	499	
8	C=CHNO <sub>2</sub>	506	489	543	833	
9	SO	393	379	430	639	
10	$SO_2$	500	476	536	761	

Table S2: Transition energies ( $E_{ge}$ ), x-component of the dipole moments for the ground state ( $\mu_{g,x}$ ) and the dominant electronic excited state ( $\mu_{e,x}$ ), the change in state dipole moments ( $\Delta \mu_{ge,x}$ ), and the transition dipole moment ( $\mu_{ge,x}$ ) values calculated with INDO/SCI/SOS method for chromophores 1 to 10.

chromophore	E <sub>ge</sub> (eV)	$\mu_{g,x}(D)$	$\mu_{e,x}$ (D)	$\Delta \mu_{ge,x} (D)$	$\mu_{ge,x}$ (D)	
1	2.50	6.1	15 /	0.2	12.0	
1	2.50	0.1	13.4	9.5	12.0	
2	2.49	7.7	16.8	9.1	12.3	
3	2.48	7.0	17.2	10.2	12.2	
4	2.52	8.6	17.2	8.6	12.2	
5	2.37	11.2	23.1	11.9	12.4	
6	2.49	9.8	19.3	9.5	12.2	
7	2.48	11.1	19.8	8.7	12.1	
8	2.34	10.7	23.9	13.3	12.1	
9	2.43	13.5	24.3	10.8	12.2	
10	2.33	15.5	27.1	11.6	12.3	

Table S3: Orientationally averaged second-order polarizabilities ( $\langle\beta\rangle = \{\beta_x^2 + \beta_y^2 + \beta_z^2\}^{1/2}$ ), long-axis components of the second-order polarizability ( $\beta_{xxx}$ ) for both SOS and FF values, and the second-order molecular polarizabilities ( $\beta_{xxx}$ ) calculated with the two-state model for chromophores **11** to **20**.

chromophore	Х	$<\beta>(10^{-30} \text{ esu})$	$\beta_{xxx}(10^{-30}\mathrm{esu})$	$\beta_{xxx}$ (10 <sup>-30</sup> esu)	$\beta_{xxx}$ (10 <sup>-30</sup> esu)	
		SCI/SOS	SCI/SOS	FF	two-state	
					model	
11	SiH <sub>2</sub>	134	145	140	271	
12	CH <sub>2</sub>	130	140	140	257	
13	C=CH <sub>2</sub>	156	170	160	307	
14	NH	107	116	117	183	
15	СО	210	225 203		427	
16	S	134	144	140	267	
17	Ο	122	131	133	233	
18	C=CHNO <sub>2</sub>	191	205	187	339	
19	SO	163	176	167	335	
20	$SO_2$	212	226	209	404	

Table S4: Transition energies ( $E_{ge}$ ), x-component of the dipole moments for the ground state ( $\mu_{g,x}$ ) and the dominant electronic excited state ( $\mu_{e,x}$ ), the change in state dipole moments ( $\Delta \mu_{ge,x}$ ), and the transition dipole moment ( $\mu_{ge,x}$ ) values calculated with INDO/SCI/SOS method for chromophores **11** to **20**.

chromophore	$E_{ge} (eV)$	$\mu_{g,x}(D)$	$\mu_{e,x}$ (D)	$\Delta \mu_{ge,x} (D)$	$\mu_{ge,x}$ (D)	
11	3.04	9.4	17.7	8.3	11.4	
12	3.03	10.6	18.0	7.4	11.7	
13	2.98	10.2	19.2	9.1	11.4	
14	3.08	11.8	17.4	5.7	11.5	
15	2.83	12.5	23.9	11.4	11.3	
16	3.03	12.2	20.2	8.0	11.5	
17	3.04	11.0	17.2	6.2	12.0	
18	2.84	14.7	26.3	11.6	9.6	
19	2.96	14.3	24.1	9.8	11.3	
20	2.85	15.8	26.4	10.6	11.5	

Figure S1: Calculated values for  $\beta_{xxx}$  (a) derived from the two-state expression, considering only the first intense excited state, with the corresponding change in state dipole moment ( $\Delta\mu_{ge,x}$ , open squares) and the reciprocal of the square of the transition energy ( $1/E_{ge}^2$ , solid squares) shown in (b), for chromophores **11** to **20**.



Table S5: Orientationally averaged second-order polarizabilities ( $\langle\beta\rangle = \{\beta_x^2 + \beta_y^2 + \beta_z^2\}^{1/2}$ ), long-axis components of the second-order polarizability ( $\beta_{xxx}$ ) for both SOS and FF values, and the second-order molecular polarizabilities ( $\beta_{xxx}$ ) calculated with the two-state model for chromophores **21** to **30**.

chromophore	Х	$<\beta>(10^{-30} \text{ esu})$	$\beta_{xxx}(10^{-30}\mathrm{esu})$	$\beta_{xxx}$ (10 <sup>-30</sup> esu)	$\beta_{xxx}$ (10 <sup>-30</sup> esu)		
		SCI/SOS	SCI/SOS	FF	two-state		
					model		
21	SiH <sub>2</sub>	278	293	263	605		
22	CH <sub>2</sub>	277	290	263	520		
23	C=CH <sub>2</sub>	279	288	264	487		
24	NH	265	275	255	525		
25	СО	364	375	327	443		
26	S	308	323	286	558		
27	0	296	307	277	569		
28	C=CHNO <sub>2</sub>	326	334	301	423		
29	SO	370	389	339	162		
30	$SO_2$	454	476 405		650		

Table S6: Transition energies ( $E_{ge}$ ), x-component of the dipole moments for the ground state ( $\mu_{g,x}$ ) and the dominant electronic excited state ( $\mu_{e,x}$ ), the change in state dipole moments ( $\Delta\mu_{ge,x}$ ), and the transition dipole moment ( $\mu_{ge,x}$ ) values calculated with method for chromophores **21** to **30**. In addition, the corresponding INDO/SCI/SOS calculated parameters  $E_{ge'}$ ,  $\mu_{e',x}$ ,  $\Delta\mu_{ge',x}$ , and the transition dipole moments  $\mu_{ge',x}$  and  $\mu_{ee',x}$  (with their sign relative to  $\mu_{ge,x}$ ) for the excited state e' are given for chromophores **25** and **28** to **30**.

chromophore	$E_{ge}$	$\mu_{g,x}$	$\mu_{e,x}$	$\Delta \mu_{ge,x}$	$\mu_{ge,x}$	E <sub>ge</sub> ,	$\mu_{ee',x}$	$\mu_{e',x}$	$\Delta \mu_{ge',x}$	$\mu_{ge',x}$
	(eV)	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	(eV)	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
21	2.63	6.6	18.9	12.3	10.9					
22	2.66	8.2	20.4	12.2	11.4					
23	2.67	7.5	19.8	12.3	11.0					
24	2.62	8.7	21.5	12.8	11.0					
25	2.57	10.5	22.0	11.5	10.4	2.85	-2.9	22.7	12.2	-5.6
26	2.57	9.4	22.5	13.1	11.0					
27	2.63	11.0	23.5	12.5	11.6					
28	2.61	12.2	24.0	11.7	10.2	2.89	1.4	25.9	13.7	-3.6
29	2.44	11.5	17.6	6.1	8.2	2.51	-7.9	16.3	4.9	-7.1
30	2.33	13.1	26.5	13.5	10.6	3.43	-8.0	15.4	2.3	-3.6

Figure S3: Evolution of  $\beta_{xxx}$  as a function of intermediate states normalized to the converged  $\beta_{xxx}$  value



for chromophores **1** to **30**.<sup>a)</sup>

a) The convergence plots for 21, 25, and 28 - 30 (lower right panel) have been separated from the rest of the series 22 - 24, 26, 27 (lower left panel) to illustrate their distinctively different convergence behavior.

We note that the convergence pattern is similar in 1-10 (upper left panel); the first excited state *e* gives rise to the largest contribution to  $\beta$  which is partially compensated by a higher-lying state *e*'. The ratio between the *e*- and the *e*'-related  $\beta$  contributions essentially remains constant in 1-10. In 11-20 (upper right panel), again the first (or the second in 15 and 18) excited state *e* gives rise to the largest contribution to  $\beta_{initial}$ . This initial  $\beta_{initial}$  value is partially compensated by either one or multiple higher-lying excited states; however, the combined contribution of the latter relative to  $\beta_{initial}$  is constant. However, not all compounds in 21-30 (lower panels) share a convergence behavior similar to the one observed in 1-20. While in 21-24, 26 and 27 the initial  $\beta_{initial}$  value is essentially built up by one excited state *e*, at least two low-lying excited states with large  $\mu_{ge}$  contribute to  $\beta_{initial}$  in 25 and 28-30 (see also Figure S4). As a consequence, a two-state model cannot

account for the evolution of the converged  $\beta$  in 21-30, since it would not consider one of these two strongly participating states in 25 and 28-30.

Figure S4: Evolution of  $\beta_{xxx}$  (normalized to the converged  $\beta_{xxx}$ ) as a function of intermediate states for

chromophore 25.



Figure S5: Calculated values for  $\beta_{xxx}$  derived from the two-state (solid squares) and three-state (opensquare) expression, considering only the lowest (two) excited state(s) with significantly large oscillator strength for chromophores **21** to **30**.

