## Introduction

We propose two computationally efficient subspace detection algorithms, based on a preprocessing stage that consists of special layer ordering, followed by permutation-robust QR decomposition (QRD) and elementary matrix operations.

Reference MIMO Detection Schemes:

- ZF and MMSE
- ML detection
- Sphere detection
- Subspace detection (SD)

Decomposes an effective channel matrix into lower order subchannels Reduces the number of jointly detected streams
Layered orthogonal lattice detector (LORD)
A special class of SD

## System Model

H: $N \times N$ channel matrix
$\mathbf{x}$ : transmitted QAM symbols
n : complex additive white Gaussian noise - zero mean and variance $\sigma^{2}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma^{2}=\frac{N_{t}}{\mathrm{SNR}} \\
d^{\mathrm{ML}}=\min _{\mathbf{x} \in \boldsymbol{X}}\|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{H x}\|^{2} \\
\lambda_{n, k}^{\mathrm{ML}}=\min _{\mathbf{x} \in \boldsymbol{X}_{n k}^{(0)}} d(\mathbf{x})-\min _{\mathbf{x} \in \boldsymbol{X}_{n k}^{(1)}} d(\mathbf{x})
\end{gathered}
$$

## WR Decomposition

QRD/QLD followed by matrix puncturing


## Detection Algorithm

CYSD: Streams are decoupled, one at a time, by cyclically shifting the columns of H and generating the punctured R

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{\mathbf{y}}=\mathbf{W}^{*} \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{R} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{W}^{*} \mathbf{n}=\mathbf{R} \mathbf{x}+\widetilde{\mathbf{n}} \\
\tilde{\mathbf{y}}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\widetilde{\mathbf{y}}_{1} \\
\tilde{y}_{2}
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{R}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{A} & \mathbf{b} \\
0 & c
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{x}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{x}_{1} \\
x_{2}
\end{array}\right] \\
\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{WR}}=\underset{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}}{\arg \min }\|\tilde{\mathbf{y}}-\mathbf{R x}\|^{2} \\
\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{WR}}=\underset{\mathbf{x}_{2} \in \mathcal{X}_{N}}{\arg \min }\left(\left|\tilde{y}_{2}-c x_{2}\right|^{2}+\left\|\widetilde{\boldsymbol{y}}_{1}-\mathbf{A} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{1}}-\mathbf{b} \mathbf{x}_{2}\right\|^{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{1}$ is the sliced output of the projection of $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ on upper layers ( $\mathbf{A}$ is real diagonal)

$$
\boldsymbol{u}_{n, k}^{\mathrm{WR}}=\underset{\mathbf{x} \in \boldsymbol{X}_{n, k}^{(0)}}{\arg \min }\|\tilde{\mathbf{y}}-\mathbf{R x}\|^{\mathbf{2}}, \quad \boldsymbol{v}_{n, k}^{\mathrm{WR}}=\underset{\mathbf{x} \in \boldsymbol{X}_{n, k}^{(1)}}{\arg \min }\|\tilde{\mathbf{y}}-\mathbf{R x}\|^{\mathbf{2}}
$$

$$
\lambda_{n, k}^{\mathrm{WR}}=\left\|\tilde{\mathbf{y}}-\mathbf{R} \boldsymbol{u}_{n, k}^{\mathrm{WR}}\right\|^{2}-\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}-\mathbf{R} \boldsymbol{v}_{n, k}^{\mathrm{WR}}\right\|^{2}
$$

## Proposed Low-Complexity SD

Two proposed layer ordering schemes resulted in two SD schemes:
Single-Permutation Subspace Detection (SPSD)
Swapping each layer of interest with the last layer
Pairwise Subspace Detection (PWSD)
Lumping the channel columns in pairs and handling each at a time
WR decomposition is then carried in two stages:
Permutation-Robust QR Decomposition (PR-QRD)
With proposed layer ordering, successive decompositions are one swap apart Part of the decomposition result remains unaltered


## Efficient matrix puncturing

Puncturing is executed via elementary matrix operations

## Results



CYLD, SPLD, and PWLD are the LORD versions of CYSD, SPSD, and PWSD, with no matrix puncturing
Saving in QRD overhead are $30 \%$ in 8x8 MIMO, but can reach $50 \%$ with very high order systems.
The computational saving came at no performance degradation cost.

