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#### Abstract

We express the generating function for lattice points in a rational polyhedral cone with a simplicial subdivision in terms of multivariate analogues of the $h$-polynomials of the subdivision and "local contributions" of the links of its nonunimodular faces. We also compute new examples of nonunimodal $h^{*}$-vectors of reflexive polytopes.


## 1 Introduction

Let $N$ be a lattice and let $\sigma$ be a strongly convex rational polyhedral cone in $N_{\mathbb{R}}=$ $N \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{R}$. The generating function for lattice points in $\sigma$,

$$
G_{\sigma}=\sum_{v \in(\sigma \cap N)} x^{v},
$$

is a rational function, in the quotient field $\mathbb{Q}(N)$ of the multivariate Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[N]$. For instance, if $\sigma$ is unimodular, spanned by a subset $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r}\right\}$ of a basis for $N$, then $G_{\sigma}$ is equal to $1 /\left(1-x^{v_{1}}\right) \cdots\left(1-x^{v_{r}}\right)$.

Suppose $\Delta$ is a rational simplicial subdivision of $\sigma$, with $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{s}$ the primitive generators of the rays of $\Delta$. We define a multivariate analogue $H_{\Delta}$ of the $h$ polynomial $h_{\Delta}(t)=\sum_{\tau \in \Delta} t^{\operatorname{dim} \tau} \cdot(1-t)^{\operatorname{dim} \sigma-\operatorname{dim} \tau}$, by

$$
H_{\Delta}=\sum_{\tau \in \Delta}\left(\prod_{v_{i} \in \tau} x^{v_{i}} \cdot \prod_{v_{j} \notin \tau}\left(1-x^{v_{j}}\right)\right) .
$$

[^0]Every point in $\sigma$ is in the relative interior of a unique cone in $\Delta$ and can be written uniquely as a nonnegative integer linear combination of the primitive generators of the rays of that cone plus a fractional part. The generating function for lattice points in the relative interior of $\tau$ that have no fractional part is $\prod_{v_{i} \in \tau} x^{v_{i}} /\left(1-x^{v_{i}}\right)$, so the generating function for lattice points in $\sigma$ with no fractional part (with respect to the subdivision $\Delta$ ) is $H_{\Delta} /\left(1-x^{v_{1}}\right) \cdots\left(1-x^{v_{s}}\right)$. In particular, if every cone in $\Delta$ is unimodular, spanned by part of a basis for the lattice, then every lattice point has no fractional part, so this gives $G_{\sigma}$. Otherwise, the remaining lattice points with nonzero fractional part, which necessarily lie in the nonunimodular cones of $\Delta$, may be accounted for as follows.

Say that a cone is singular if it is not unimodular, and let $\Delta^{\text {sing }}$ be the set of singular cones in $\Delta$. After possibly renumbering, say $\tau \in \Delta^{\text {sing }}$ is spanned by $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r}\right\}$. Let $\operatorname{Box}(\tau)$ be the open parallelepiped

$$
\operatorname{Box}(\tau)=\left\{a_{1} v_{1}+\cdots+a_{r} v_{r} \mid 0<a_{i}<1\right\}
$$

and let $B_{\tau}$ be the generating function for lattice points in $\operatorname{Box}(\tau)$,

$$
B_{\tau}=\sum_{v \in \operatorname{Box}(\tau) \cap N} x^{v}
$$

We write $1 \mathrm{k} \tau$ for the link of $\tau$ in $\Delta$. In other words, $1 \mathrm{k} \tau$ is the union of the cones $\gamma$ in $\Delta$ such that $\gamma \cap \tau=0$ and $\gamma+\tau$ is a cone in $\Delta$. We define a multivariate analogue $H_{\mathrm{lk} \tau}$ of the $h$-polynomial of $1 \mathrm{k} \tau$ by

$$
H_{\mathrm{lk} \tau}=\sum_{\gamma \in \operatorname{lk} \tau}\left(\prod_{v_{i} \in \gamma} x^{v_{i}} \cdot \prod_{v_{j} \in(\mathrm{lk} \tau \backslash \gamma)}\left(1-x^{v_{j}}\right)\right)
$$

Theorem 1.1 Let $\Delta$ be a rational simplicial subdivision of a strongly convex rational polyhedral cone $\sigma$, and let $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{s}$ be the primitive generators of the rays of $\Delta$. Then

$$
\left(1-x^{v_{1}}\right) \cdots\left(1-x^{v_{s}}\right) \cdot G_{\sigma}=H_{\Delta}+\sum_{\tau \in \Delta^{\operatorname{sing}}}\left(B_{\tau} \cdot H_{\mathrm{lk} \tau} \cdot \prod_{v_{i} \notin \operatorname{Star} \tau}\left(1-x^{v_{i}}\right)\right)
$$

Here $\operatorname{Star} \tau$ is the union of the maximal cones in $\Delta$ that contain $\tau$.
Recent work of Athanasiadis [1, 2], Bruns and Römer [9], and Ohsugi and Hibi [18] has highlighted the usefulness of considering the effects of a "special simplex" that is contained in all of the maximal faces of a triangulation. Our next result, which is inspired by their work, is a generalization of Theorem 1.1 that takes into account the effect of a special cone that is contained in all of the maximal cones of the subdivision. For a cone over a triangulated polytope, the notion of special cone that we consider is slightly more general than a cone over a special simplex in the sense of [1]; a special cone is a cone over a special simplex if and only if it is not contained in the boundary of the cone over the polytope.

Suppose, as above, that $\tau$ is a cone in $\Delta$ spanned by $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r}$, and let $\lambda$ be a face of $\tau$. After possibly renumbering, we may assume that $\lambda$ is spanned by $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{q}$,
for some $q \leq r$. We define a partially open parallelepiped $\operatorname{Box}(\tau, \lambda)$, which we think of as the Box of $\tau$ relative to $\lambda$, as

$$
\operatorname{Box}(\tau, \lambda)=\left\{a_{1} v_{1}+\cdots+a_{r} v_{r} \mid 0 \leq a_{i}<1 \text { for all } i, \text { and } a_{i} \neq 0 \text { for } i>q\right\}
$$

Let the polynomial $B_{\tau, \lambda}$ be the relative analogue of $B_{\tau}$,

$$
B_{\tau, \lambda}=\sum_{v \in \operatorname{Box}(\tau, \lambda) \cap N} x^{v}
$$

Theorem 1.2 Let $\Delta$ be a rational simplicial subdivision of a strongly convex rational polyhedral cone $\sigma$, and let $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{s}$ be the primitive generators of the rays of $\Delta$. Suppose $\lambda \in \Delta$ is contained in every maximal cone of $\Delta$. Then

$$
\left(1-x^{v_{1}}\right) \cdots\left(1-x^{v_{s}}\right) \cdot G_{\sigma}=H_{\mathrm{lk} \lambda}+\sum_{\tau \geq \lambda}\left(B_{\tau, \lambda} \cdot H_{\mathrm{lk} \tau} \cdot \prod_{v_{j} \notin \operatorname{Star} \tau}\left(1-x^{v_{j}}\right)\right) .
$$

Since the zero cone is contained in every cone of $\Delta$ and $B_{\tau, 0}=B_{\tau}$ for all $\tau$, Theorem 1.1 is the special case of Theorem 1.2 where $\lambda=0$.

Remark 1.3 There are many ways of computing the rational function $G_{\sigma}$ and its specializations, some of which are algorithmically efficient. The excellent survey articles [3] and [10] may serve as introductions to the extensive literature on this topic. Efficient algorithms have been implemented in the computer program LattE [11]. Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, and their specializations to lattice polytopes (Corollaries 3.1 and 3.3), seem to be useful in cases where it is especially easy to give subdivisions that are close to unimodular, and in studying families of such examples in which the contributions of the singular cones can be easily understood. See, for instance, the examples in Sect. 4, which were computed by hand.

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 specialize to give formulas for Ehrhart series of lattice polytopes. See Sect. 3 for details. We use these specializations to construct examples of reflexive polytopes with interesting $h^{*}$-vectors, the results of which are summarized as follows. Suppose $N^{\prime}$ is a lattice and $P$ is a $d$-dimensional lattice polytope in $N_{\mathbb{R}}^{\prime}$. Recall that the Ehrhart series of $P$ is

$$
\operatorname{Ehr}_{P}(t)=1+\sum_{m \geq 1} \#\left\{m P \cap N^{\prime}\right\} \cdot t^{m}
$$

and that $(1-t)^{d+1} \operatorname{Ehr}_{P}(t)=h_{0}^{*}+\cdots+h_{d}^{*} t^{d}$ for some integers $h_{i}^{*}$. See [5, Chaps 3 and 4] for these and other basic facts about Ehrhart series. We say that $h^{*}(P)=$ $\left(h_{0}^{*}, \ldots, h_{d}^{*}\right)$ is the $h^{*}$-vector of $P$, and write $h_{P}^{*}(t)$ for the polynomial $\sum h_{i}^{*} t^{i}$.

[^1]Recall that $P$ is said to be reflexive if it contains 0 in its interior and its dual polytope has vertices in the dual lattice. Suppose that $P$ is reflexive. Then $h_{i}^{*}=h_{d-i}^{*}$ for all $i$ [12]. Furthermore, if $\partial P$ has a regular unimodular triangulation, given by intersecting $\partial P$ with the domains of linearity of a convex piecewise linear function on $N_{\mathbb{R}}^{\prime}$, then $h^{*}(P)$ is equal to the $h$-vector of this triangulation, which is combinatorially equivalent to the boundary complex of a simplicial polytope. In particular, if $\partial P$ has a regular unimodular triangulation then $h^{*}(P)$ is unimodal, in the sense that $h_{0}^{*} \leq$ $h_{1}^{*} \leq \cdots \leq h_{[d / 2]}^{*}$, and furthermore the vector of successive differences

$$
g^{*}(P)=\left(h_{0}^{*}, h_{1}^{*}-h_{0}^{*}, \ldots, h_{[d / 2]}^{*}-h_{[d / 2]-1}^{*}\right)
$$

is a Macaulay vector, i.e. the Hilbert sequence of a graded algebra generated in degree one. For an arbitrary reflexive polytope, Hibi showed that $h_{0}^{*} \leq h_{1}^{*} \leq h_{i}^{*}$ for $2 \leq i<d$, so $h^{*}(P)$ is unimodal if $d \leq 5$ and $g^{*}(P)$ is a Macaulay vector if $d \leq 3$ [13].

First examples of reflexive polytopes with nonunimodal $h^{*}$-vectors were given in [16] in even dimensions $d \geq 6$. These examples were nonsimplicial, the depth of the "valleys" in $h^{*}(P)$ was never more than two, and the construction did not yield nonunimodal examples in odd dimensions. Also, it remained unclear, in the cases where $h^{*}(P)$ is unimodal, whether $g^{*}(P)$ is necessarily a Macaulay vector.

Theorem 1.4 For every $d \geq 6$, there exists a d-dimensional reflexive simplex $P$ such that $h^{*}(P)$ is not unimodal.

Theorem 1.5 For every $d \geq 4$, there exists a $d$-dimensional reflexive simplex $P$ such that $h^{*}(P)$ is unimodal, but $g^{*}(P)$ is not a Macaulay vector.

Theorem 1.6 For any positive integers $m$ and $n$, there exists a reflexive polytope $P$ and indices $i_{1}<j_{1}<i_{2}<j_{2}<\cdots<i_{m}<j_{m}<i_{m+1}$ such that

$$
h_{i_{\ell}}^{*}-h_{j_{\ell}}^{*} \geq n \quad \text { and } \quad h_{i_{\ell+1}}^{*}-h_{j_{\ell}}^{*} \geq n,
$$

for $1 \leq \ell \leq m$. Furthermore, $P$ can be chosen so that $\operatorname{dim}(P)=O(m \log \log n)$.
In other words, for any positive integers $m$ and $n$, there exists a reflexive polytope $P$ of dimension $O(m \log \log n)$ such that $h^{*}(P)$ has at least $m$ valleys of depth at least $n$.

Remark 1.7 None of the examples of reflexive polytopes with nonunimodal $h^{*}$ vectors constructed here are normal, in the sense where a lattice polytope $P$ is normal if every lattice point in $m P$ is a sum of $m$ lattice points in $P$, for all positive integers $m$. For normal reflexive polytopes $P$, the questions of whether $h^{*}(P)$ is unimodal and whether $g^{*}(P)$ is a Macaulay vector remain open and interesting [18].

We conclude the introduction with an example illustrating Theorem 1.2.
Example 1.8 Suppose $N=\mathbb{Z}^{3}, v=(0,0,1)$ and

$$
v_{1}=(1,0,1), \quad v_{2}=(0,1,1), \quad v_{3}=(0,-1,1), \quad v_{4}=(-1,0,1)
$$

Let $\sigma$ be the cone spanned by $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}$, and $v_{4}$, with $\Delta$ the simplicial subdivision of $\sigma$ whose maximal cones are

$$
\sigma_{1}=\left\langle v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right\rangle \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma_{2}=\left\langle v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right\rangle .
$$

Then $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ are not unimodular; the lattice points with no fractional part are exactly those ( $a, b, c$ ) such that $a+b+c$ is even. The remaining lattice points may be written uniquely as $v$ plus a lattice point with no fractional part. We compute the generating function $G_{\sigma}$ as follows, using Theorem 1.2 with $\lambda=\left\langle v_{2}, v_{3}\right\rangle$.

There are exactly three cones in $\operatorname{lk} \lambda: 0,\left\langle v_{1}\right\rangle$, and $\left\langle v_{4}\right\rangle$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{1 \mathrm{k} \lambda} & =\left(1-x^{v_{1}}\right)\left(1-x^{v_{4}}\right)+x^{v_{1}}\left(1-x^{v_{4}}\right)+x^{v_{4}}\left(1-x^{v_{1}}\right), \\
& =1-x^{v_{1}} x^{v_{4}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\operatorname{Box}_{\lambda, \lambda}$ contains a unique lattice point $v$ and $\operatorname{Box}_{\sigma_{1}, \lambda}$ and $\operatorname{Box}_{\sigma_{2}, \lambda}$ contain no lattice points, it follows that

$$
G_{\sigma}=\frac{\left(1+x^{v}\right)\left(1-x^{v_{1}} x^{v_{4}}\right)}{\left(1-x^{v_{1}}\right)\left(1-x^{v_{2}}\right)\left(1-x^{v_{3}}\right)\left(1-x^{v_{4}}\right)} .
$$

## 2 Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

As observed in the introduction, Theorem 1.1 is a special case of Theorem 1.2. We will begin by showing the converse, that Theorem 1.2 is a consequence of Theorem 1.1, using the following lemma. The lemma is a multivariate analogue of the familiar fact that, for any simplicial complex $\Delta^{\prime}$, the $h$-polynomial of the join of $\Delta^{\prime}$ with a simplex is equal to the $h$-polynomial of $\Delta^{\prime}$.

Lemma 2.1 If $\lambda$ is a face of every maximal cone of $\Delta$ then $H_{\Delta}=H_{\mathrm{lk} \lambda}$ and

$$
H_{\mathrm{lk} \gamma}=H_{\mathrm{lk}(\gamma+\lambda)},
$$

for every $\gamma \in \Delta$.
Proof We show that $H_{\Delta}=H_{\mathrm{lk} \lambda}$. The proof of the second claim is similar. Every maximal face of $\Delta$ contains $\lambda$ if and only if $\Delta$ is the join of $\lambda$ with $1 \mathrm{k} \lambda$. Since $\lambda$ is the join of its rays, it will suffice to consider the case where $\lambda$ is one-dimensional, with primitive generator $v_{1}$. In this case, the required identity may be seen by regrouping the terms in the summation defining $H_{\Delta}$ as

$$
H_{\Delta}=\sum_{v_{1} \notin \gamma}\left(\prod_{v_{i} \in \gamma} x^{v_{i}} \cdot \prod_{v_{j} \notin \gamma}\left(1-x^{v_{j}}\right)\right)+\sum_{v_{1} \in \tau}\left(\prod_{v_{k} \in \tau} x^{v_{k}} \cdot \prod_{v_{\ell} \notin \tau}\left(1-x^{v_{\ell}}\right)\right) .
$$

Since the cones not containing $v_{1}$ are exactly the $\gamma \in 1 \mathrm{k} \lambda$, and since the cones containing $v_{1}$ are exactly those $\tau=(\gamma+\lambda)$ for $\gamma \in \operatorname{lk} \lambda$, the above equation gives

$$
H_{\Delta}=\left(1-x^{v_{1}}\right) \cdot H_{\mathrm{lk} \lambda}+x^{v_{1}} \cdot H_{\mathrm{lk} \lambda},
$$

so $H_{\Delta}=H_{\mathrm{lk} \lambda}$, as required.

Suppose $\lambda$ is contained in every maximal cone. Then, for any cone $\tau$ containing $\lambda$,

$$
B_{\tau, \lambda}=\sum_{(\gamma+\lambda)=\tau} B_{\gamma} .
$$

Futhermore, for each $\gamma$ such that $(\gamma+\lambda)=\tau$, we have $\operatorname{Star} \gamma=\operatorname{Star} \tau$, and $H_{\mathrm{lk}} \gamma=$ $H_{\mathrm{lk} \tau}$, by Lemma 2.1. Therefore, Theorem 1.2 follows from Theorem 1.1, which we now prove.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Let $v$ be a lattice point in $\sigma$. Then $v$ is contained in the relative interior of a unique cone $\gamma \in \Delta$. If $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r}$ are the primitive generators of the rays of $\gamma$, then $v$ can be written uniquely as

$$
v=a_{1} v_{1}+\cdots+a_{r} v_{r}+\{v\}
$$

where each $a_{i}$ is a nonnegative integer and $\{v\}$, which we call the fractional part of $v$, is either zero or lies in $\operatorname{Box}(\tau)$ for some unique singular cone $\tau \preceq \gamma$. Since $v$ lies in the relative interior of $\gamma, a_{i}$ must be strictly positive for each $v_{i}$ in $(\gamma \backslash \tau)$. Conversely, if $v^{\prime}$ is a lattice point in $\operatorname{Box}(\tau)$, and $v=a_{1} v_{1}+\cdots+a_{r} v_{r}+v^{\prime}$, where the $a_{i}$ are nonnegative integers that are strictly positive for $v_{i} \in(\gamma \backslash \tau)$, then $v$ is in the relative interior of $\gamma$ and $\{v\}=v^{\prime}$. Therefore,

$$
\frac{x^{v^{\prime}} \cdot H_{\operatorname{lk} \tau}}{\prod_{v_{i} \in \operatorname{Star} \tau}\left(1-x^{v_{i}}\right)}
$$

is the generating function for lattice points $v \in \sigma$ such that $\{v\}=v^{\prime}$. Then $B_{\tau}$. $H_{\mathrm{lk} \tau} / \prod_{v_{i} \in \operatorname{Star} \tau}\left(1-x^{v_{i}}\right)$ is the generating function for lattice points in $\sigma$ whose fractional part is in $\operatorname{Box}(\tau)$, and the theorem follows.

## 3 Specialization to Lattice Triangulations of Polytopes

Let $N^{\prime}$ be a lattice, and let $P$ be a $d$-dimensional lattice polytope in $N_{\mathbb{R}}^{\prime}$. Suppose $N=N^{\prime} \times \mathbb{Z}$, with $\sigma$ the cone over $P \times\{1\}$ in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$, and let $u: N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the projection to the second factor. Since

$$
\#\left\{m P \cap N^{\prime}\right\}=\#\{v \in(\sigma \cap N) \mid u(v)=m\}
$$

for all positive integers $m$, the specialization

$$
\varphi: \mathbb{Q}(N) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(t), \quad x^{v} \mapsto t^{u(v)}
$$

maps $G_{\sigma}$ to $\operatorname{Ehr}_{P}(t)$.
Suppose $\mathcal{T}$ is a lattice triangulation of $P$, and let $\Delta$ be the subdivision of $\sigma$ consisting of the cones $\tau_{F}$ over $F \times\{1\}$ for all faces $F \in \mathcal{T}$. Then each of the primitive generators $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{s}$ of the rays of $\Delta$ is a lattice point in $P \times\{1\}$, so $u\left(v_{i}\right)=1$ for all $i$. It follows that

$$
\varphi\left(H_{\Delta}\right)=(1-t)^{(s-d-1)} \cdot h_{\mathcal{T}}(t)
$$

where $h_{\mathcal{T}}(t)$ is the $h$-polynomial of the simplicial complex $\mathcal{T}$. Similarly,

$$
\varphi\left(H_{\mathrm{lk} \tau_{F}}\right)=(1-t)^{\left(s^{\prime}-d^{\prime}-1\right)} \cdot h_{\mathrm{lk} F}(t),
$$

where $s^{\prime}$ is the number of vertices in $1 \mathrm{k} F$, and $d^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{lk} F$. Let $\mathcal{T}^{\text {sing }}$ denote the set of nonunimodular simplices of $\mathcal{T}$. For $F \in \mathcal{T}^{\text {sing }}$, let $B_{F}(t)=\varphi\left(B_{\tau_{F}}\right)$, so

$$
B_{F}(t)=\sum_{v \in \operatorname{Box}\left(\tau_{F}\right) \cap N} t^{u(v)}
$$

The following specialization of Theorem 1.1 is due to Betke and McMullen [7, Theorem 1] and was rediscovered by Batyrev and Dais in the context of stringy algebraic geometry [4, Theorem 6.10].

Corollary 3.1 Let $P$ be a lattice polytope, and let $\mathcal{T}$ be a lattice triangulation of $P$. Then

$$
h_{P}^{*}(t)=h_{\mathcal{T}}(t)+\sum_{F \in \mathcal{T}^{\operatorname{sing}}} B_{F}(t) \cdot h_{\mathrm{lk} F}(t)
$$

Note that $B_{F}(t)$ and $h_{1 \mathrm{k} F}(t)$ have nonnegative integer coefficients, so the theorem of Stanley that $h_{P}^{*}(t)$ has nonnegative integer coefficients [19, Theorem 2.1] follows immediately. Another interesting proof of this nonnegativity, using "irrational decompositions," recently appeared in work of Beck and Sottile [6].

Furthermore, $h_{1 \mathrm{k} F}(t)$ is always nonzero, and $B_{F}(t)$ must be nonzero for some $F$ if $\mathcal{T}$ is not unimodular. Therefore, from Corollary 3.1, we deduce the following.

Corollary 3.2 [7, Theorem 2] Let $P$ be a lattice polytope, and let $\mathcal{T}$ be a lattice triangulation of $P$. Then

$$
h_{i}^{*}(P) \geq h_{i}(\mathcal{T})
$$

for all $i$. Furthermore, equality holds for all $i$ if and only if $\mathcal{T}$ is unimodular.
If the triangulation $\mathcal{T}$ contains a special simplex $F^{\prime}$ that is a face of every maximal simplex of $\mathcal{T}$, then we can take this into account using Theorem 1.2. For $F \geq F^{\prime}$, let $B_{F, F^{\prime}}(t)=\varphi\left(B_{\tau_{F}, \tau_{F^{\prime}}}\right)$.

Corollary 3.3 Let $P$ be a lattice polytope, and let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangulation of $P$ with a special simplex $F^{\prime}$. Then

$$
h_{P}^{*}(t)=h_{1 \mathrm{k} F^{\prime}}(t)+\sum_{F \geq F^{\prime}} B_{F, F^{\prime}}(t) \cdot h_{\mathrm{lk}} F(t) .
$$

Recall that a lattice polytope $P$ is called reflexive if it contains 0 in its interior and the polar dual polytope of $P$ has vertices in the dual lattice of $N^{\prime}$. In the special case where $P$ is reflexive and $0=F^{\prime}$ is a special simplex of the triangulation $\mathcal{T}$, then $\mathcal{T}$ is the join of $\{0\}$ with a triangulation of the boundary of $P$, and we recover [16, Theorem 1.3].

Remark 3.4 In [9], Bruns and Römer use techniques from commutative algebra to show that if $m P$ is a translate of a reflexive polytope for some positive integer $m$, and if $P$ has any regular unimodular triangulation, then $P$ has a regular unimodular triangulation with an $(m-1)$-dimensional special simplex $F^{\prime}$ such that $1 \mathrm{k} F^{\prime}$ is combinatorially equivalent to the boundary complex of a simplicial polytope. From this they deduce that $h^{*}(P)=h\left(\mathrm{lk} F^{\prime}\right)$ is unimodal and that $g^{*}(P)$ is a Macaulay vector.

Remark 3.5 These specializations to lattice polytopes are closely related to stringy invariants of toric varieties. See [4] and [16] for details on this connection. Special simplices have also appeared in the stringy geometry literature, where they have been called the "core" of a triangulation [21].

## 4 Examples of Reflexive Polytopes with Nonunimodal $\boldsymbol{h}^{\boldsymbol{*}}$-Vectors

Let $N^{\prime}$ be a lattice and let $M^{\prime}=\operatorname{Hom}\left(N^{\prime}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ be its dual lattice. Let $P$ be a $d$ dimensional lattice polytope in $N_{\mathbb{R}}^{\prime}$. Recall that $P$ is reflexive if and only if it contains 0 in its interior and the dual polytope

$$
P^{\circ}=\left\{u \in M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\prime} \mid\langle u, v\rangle \geq-1 \text { for all } v \in P\right\}
$$

has vertices in $M^{\prime}$.

Proposition 4.1 Let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}$, and $b$ be positive integers, and let

$$
f=\frac{1}{b}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}\right) .
$$

Then the simplex $P=\operatorname{conv}\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{d},-f\right\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ is reflexive with respect to the lattice $N^{\prime}=\mathbb{Z}^{d}+\mathbb{Z} \cdot f$ if and only if $a_{1}+\cdots+a_{d}=b c$ for some integer $c$ and each $a_{i}$ divides $b(c+1)$.

Proof Let $u=-e_{1}^{*}-\cdots-e_{d}^{*}$. Then $P^{\circ}$ is the simplex in $\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)^{*}$ with vertices $u$ and

$$
u_{i}=u+\frac{a_{1}+\cdots+a_{d}+b}{a_{i}} e_{i}^{*},
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Now $u$ lies in $M^{\prime}$ if and only if $\langle u, f\rangle=\left(a_{1}+\cdots+a_{d}\right) / b$ is some integer $c$. If this is the case, then $u_{i}$ lies in $M^{\prime}$ if and only if $\left\langle u_{i}, e_{i}\right\rangle=b(c+1) / a_{i}-1$ is an integer.

In the following examples, we use Proposition 4.1 to construct a reflexive simplex $P$, and then apply Corollary 3.3 with respect to the triangulation $\mathcal{T}$ obtained by taking the join of $\{0\}$ with the boundary of $P$, and the special simplex $F^{\prime}=0$, to compute $h^{*}(P)$. The link of a $(d-r)$-dimensional face $F \in \mathcal{T}$ that contains 0 is the boundary complex of an $r$ simplex, so $h_{\mathrm{lk} F}^{*}(t)=1+t+\cdots+t^{r}$. We write $\tilde{v}=(v, 1)$ for the lift of a point $v \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ to height one in $\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}$.

Example 4.2 Suppose $d=b k+r$ for some positive integers $b$ and $k$ and some nonnegative integer $r$. Let $f$ be the following vector in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$,

$$
f=\frac{1}{b}(\underbrace{1, \ldots,}_{b k}, \underbrace{b, \ldots, b}_{r}) .
$$

By Proposition 4.1, $P=\operatorname{conv}\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{d},-f\right\}$ is reflexive with respect to the lattice $N^{\prime}=\mathbb{Z}^{d}+\mathbb{Z} \cdot f$. The only nonunimodular face $F$ of $\mathcal{T}$ such that $\operatorname{Box}\left(\tau_{F}, 0\right)$ contains lattice points is $F=\operatorname{conv}\left\{0, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{b k}\right\}$. Let $f^{\prime}=f-e_{b k+1}-\cdots-e_{d}$. Then $\operatorname{Box}(F, 0) \cap\left(N^{\prime} \times \mathbb{Z}\right)$ consists of the lattice points

$$
\frac{1}{b}\left(\widetilde{e}_{1}+\cdots+\widetilde{e}_{b k}\right), \frac{2}{b}\left(\widetilde{e}_{1}+\cdots+\widetilde{e}_{b k}\right), \ldots, \frac{b-1}{b}\left(\widetilde{e}_{1}+\cdots+\widetilde{e}_{b k}\right),
$$

which have final coordinates $k, 2 k, \ldots,(b-1) k$, respectively. Therefore $B_{F, 0}(t)=$ $t^{k}+\cdots+t^{(b-1) k}$, and

$$
h_{P}^{*}(t)=\left(1+\cdots+t^{d}\right)+\left(1+\cdots+t^{r}\right)\left(t^{k}+\cdots+t^{(b-1) k}\right) .
$$

Example 4.3 Suppose $d=7$, and

$$
f=\frac{1}{7}(1,2,2,4,4,4,4) .
$$

By Proposition 4.1, $P=\operatorname{conv}\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{7},-f\right\}$ is reflexive with respect to the lattice $N^{\prime}=\mathbb{Z}^{7}+\mathbb{Z} \cdot f$. Let $F_{1}, F_{2}$, and $F_{3}$ be the faces of $\mathcal{T}$ with vertex sets $\left\{0, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{7}\right\},\left\{0, e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3},-f\right\}$, and $\left\{0, e_{1},-f\right\}$, respectively. Then the lattice points in $\operatorname{Box}\left(F_{1}, 0\right) \cap\left(N^{\prime} \times \mathbb{Z}\right)$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1 / 7)\left(\widetilde{e}_{1}+2 \widetilde{e}_{2}+2 \widetilde{e}_{3}+4 \widetilde{e}_{4}+4 \widetilde{e}_{5}+4 \widetilde{e}_{6}+4 \widetilde{e}_{7}\right), \\
& (1 / 7)\left(\widetilde{2}_{2} e_{1}+4 \widetilde{e}_{2}+4 \widetilde{e}_{3}+\widetilde{e}_{4}+\widetilde{e}_{5}+\widetilde{e}_{6}+\widetilde{e}_{7}\right), \\
& (1 / 7)\left(\widetilde{3}_{e}+6 \widetilde{e}_{2}+6 \widetilde{e}_{3}+5 \widetilde{e}_{4}+5 \widetilde{e}_{5}+5 \widetilde{e}_{6}+5 \widetilde{e}_{7}\right), \\
& (1 / 7)\left(4 \widetilde{e}_{1}+\widetilde{e}_{2}+\widetilde{e}_{3}+2 \widetilde{e}_{4}+2 \widetilde{e}_{5}+2 \widetilde{e}_{6}+2 \widetilde{e}_{7}\right), \\
& (1 / 7)\left(5 \widetilde{e}_{1}+3 \widetilde{e}_{2}+3 \widetilde{e}_{3}+6 \widetilde{e}_{4}+6 \widetilde{e}_{5}+6 \widetilde{e}_{6}+6 \widetilde{e}_{7}\right), \\
& (1 / 7)\left(6 \widetilde{e}_{1}+5 \widetilde{e}_{2}+5 \widetilde{e}_{3}+3 \widetilde{e}_{4}+3 \widetilde{e}_{5}+3 \widetilde{e}_{6}+3 \widetilde{e}_{7}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which have final coordinates $3,2,5,2,5$, and 4 , respectively. So $B_{F_{1}, 0}(t)=2 t^{2}+$ $t^{3}+t^{4}+2 t^{5}$. Similarly, the lattice points in $\operatorname{Box}\left(F_{2}, 0\right) \cap\left(N^{\prime} \times \mathbb{Z}\right)$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1 / 4)\left(-3 \tilde{f}+\widetilde{e}_{1}+2 \widetilde{e}_{2}+2 \widetilde{e}_{3}\right) \\
& (1 / 4)\left(-\widetilde{f}+3 \widetilde{e}_{1}+2 \widetilde{e}_{2}+2 \widetilde{e}_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which both have final coordinate 2 , so $B_{F_{2}, 0}(t)=2 t^{2}$. Finally, the unique lattice point in $\operatorname{Box}\left(F_{3}, 0\right) \cap\left(N^{\prime} \times \mathbb{Z}\right)$ is $(1 / 2)\left(-\widetilde{f}+e_{1}\right)$, so $B_{F_{3}, 0}(t)=t$.

For all other faces $F, \operatorname{Box}(F, 0)$ contains no lattice points. It follows that

$$
h^{*}(P)=(1,2,6,5,5,6,2,1) .
$$

Example 4.4 Suppose $d=11$, and

$$
f=\frac{1}{11}(1,1,1,2,4,4,4,4,4,4,4) .
$$

By Proposition 4.1, $P$ is reflexive with respect to the lattice $N^{\prime}=\mathbb{Z}^{11}+\mathbb{Z} \cdot f$. Let $F_{1}$, $F_{2}$, and $F_{3}$ be the faces of $\mathcal{T}$ with vertex sets $\left\{0, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{11}\right\},\left\{0, e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4},-f\right\}$, and $\left\{0, e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3},-f\right\}$, respectively. Then, by computations similar to those in Example 4.3,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{F_{1}, 0}(t)=t^{2}+2 t^{3}+2 t^{5}+2 t^{6}+2 t^{8}+t^{9}, \\
& B_{F_{2}, 0}(t)=t^{2}+t^{3}, \\
& B_{F_{3}, 0}(t)=t^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

and $B_{F, 0}(t)=0$ for all other $F$. It follows that

$$
h^{*}(P)=(1,1,4,6,4,6,6,4,6,4,1,1) .
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.4 If $b \geq 3$ and $k>r+1$ in Example 4.2, then $h^{*}(P)$ is not unimodal. In particular, taking $b=3$ and $r=0,1$, or 2 , produces reflexive simplices with nonunimodal $h^{*}$-vectors in all dimensions $d \geq 6$ except for $d=7,8$, or 11 . For $d=8$, one may take $b=4$ and $k=2$. For dimensions 7 and 11 , reflexive simplices with nonunimodal $h^{*}$-vectors are given by Examples 4.3 and 4.4 , respectively.

Proof of Theorem 1.5 If $b=2$ and $k \geq 2$ in Example 4.2, then $h^{*}(P)$ is unimodal, but $h_{1}^{*}-h_{0}^{*}=0$ and $h_{k}^{*}-h_{k-1}^{*}=1$, so $g^{*}(P)$ is not a Macaulay vector. Taking $b=k=2$ produces such examples in all dimensions $d \geq 4$.

One key ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.6 is the following special case of Braun's formula [8], which gives the $h^{*}$-polynomial of the free sum of two reflexive polytopes. Recall that if $Q$ and $Q^{\prime}$ are polytopes in vector spaces $V$ and $V^{\prime}$, respectively, each containing 0 in its interior, then the free sum $Q \oplus Q^{\prime}$ is the convex hull of $Q \times\{0\}$ and $\{0\} \times Q^{\prime}$ in $V \times V^{\prime}$.

Braun's Formula Let $Q$ and $Q^{\prime}$ be reflexive polytopes. Then

$$
h_{Q \oplus Q^{\prime}}^{*}(t)=h_{Q}^{*}(t) \cdot h_{Q^{\prime}}^{*}(t) .
$$

Note that the dual of the free sum of two polytopes is the product of their respective duals, so the free sum of two reflexive polytopes is reflexive.

Proof of Theorem 1.6 Nill has constructed a sequence of reflexive simplices $Q_{j}$ such that $\operatorname{dim} Q_{j}=j$ and the normalized volume

$$
\operatorname{vol} Q_{j}=h_{0}^{*}\left(Q_{j}\right)+\cdots+h_{j}^{*}\left(Q_{j}\right)
$$

grows doubly exponentially with $j$ [17]. Therefore, there exists a reflexive simplex $Q$ such that $h_{i}^{*}(Q) \geq n$ for some $i$, and $\operatorname{dim}(Q)=O(\log \log n)$. Let $Q^{\prime}$ be the reflexive
simplex constructed by taking $b=m+1, k=\operatorname{dim}(Q)+2$, and $d=b k$ in Example 4.2. Then $\operatorname{dim}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)=(m+1)(\operatorname{dim}(Q)+2)$, so $\operatorname{dim}\left(Q \oplus Q^{\prime}\right)=O(m \log \log n)$, and

$$
h_{Q^{\prime}}^{*}(t)=\left(1+t+\cdots+t^{d}\right)+\left(t^{k}+t^{2 k}+\cdots+t^{(b-1) k}\right) .
$$

Let $P=Q \oplus Q^{\prime}$. Then $P$ is reflexive and, by Braun's Formula, $h_{P}^{*}(t)=h_{Q}^{*}(t)$. $h_{Q^{\prime}}^{*}(t)$. It follows easily that

$$
h_{k \ell+i}^{*}(P)=\operatorname{vol}(Q)+h_{i}^{*}(Q),
$$

for $1 \leq \ell \leq m+1$, and

$$
h_{k(\ell+1)-1}^{*}(P)=\operatorname{vol}(Q),
$$

for $1 \leq \ell \leq m$. In particular, setting $i_{\ell}=k \ell+i$ and $j_{\ell}=k(\ell+1)-1$, we have $h_{i_{\ell}}^{*}-h_{j_{\ell}}^{*} \geq n$ and $h_{i_{\ell+1}}^{*}-h_{j_{\ell}}^{*} \geq n$, for $1 \leq \ell \leq m$, as required.
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