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# Eigenvalues of the $2 p 3 p^{3} P$ and $2 p 3 d^{1,3} D$ <br> Bound States of the Helium Isoelectronic Sequence 

H. Doyle and M. Oppenheimer<br>Harvard College Observatory, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138<br>and<br>G. W. F. Drake<br>Department of Physics, University of Windsor, Windsor, Ontario, Canada (Received 9 August 1971)

The $1 / Z$ expansion method is used to calculate the eigenvalues of the $2 p 3 p^{3} P$ and $2 p 3 d^{1,3} D$ states of the helium isoelectronic sequence. The results are compared to variational calculations for neutral helium. Wavelengths are predicted for a number of transitions originating in doubly excited states of the heliumlike ions up to Fe xxv. The results for neutral helium are compared to recent beam-foil experiments and alternative line identifications are made. The predicted wavelength for the $2 p 3 d^{3} D-2 p^{2} 3^{3} p$ transition is $3014 \AA$, in close agreement with the line observed by Berry et al. at $3012 \pm 2 \AA$.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The existence of doubly excited states in neutral helium has been known for many years through studies of the helium are spectrum ${ }^{1,2}$ and the en-ergy-loss spectrum in electron-helium scattering. ${ }^{3}$ States such as the $2 p n l^{1,3} L$ sequence give rise to sharp spectral lines since they are prevented from autoionizing by parity and angular-momentum selection rules. Recent studies of these states by the trapped-electron method ${ }^{4}$ and beam-foil spectroscopy ${ }^{5,6}$ emphasize the need for accurate calculations of their positions and radiative lifetimes. In addition, lines involving doubly excited states of the heliumlike ions have been observed in solar flares ${ }^{7}$ and the solar corona. ${ }^{8}$

The $2 p^{23} P$ state has been studied by several authors. ${ }^{9-12}$ The most extensive calculations by Aashamar, ${ }^{10}$ including mass polarization and relativistic effects, predict a $2 p^{23} P-1 s 2 p^{3} P$ transition frequency of $312217 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in slight disagreement with the old experimental value, $312118 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1},{ }^{2}$ but in agreement with the new value of $312214 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{13}$ The $2 p 3 p^{1} P$ states of the helium sequence have been studied by Drake and Dalgarno. ${ }^{11}$

In this paper, the $1 / Z$ expansion perturbation method is applied to the bound $2 p 3 d^{1,3} D$ and $2 p 3 p^{3} P$
states of the helium sequence. Wavelengths are predicted for transitions originating from the doubly excited states for the heliumlike ions with $Z \leqslant 26$ (Fe XXV). Variational calculations for neutral helium are presented as a check on the accuracy of the perturbation expansion. The results suggest that the line observed by Berry et al. at $306 \pm 1 \AA$ is the $2 p 3 d^{1} D-1 s 3 d^{1} D$ transition ( $\lambda=305.4 \AA$ ).

## II. 1/Z EXPANSION METHOD

An accurate and efficient method of generating wave functions for the entire helium isoelectronic sequence is provided by the Hylleraas-ScherrKnight variation-perturbation procedure. ${ }^{14}$ The Schrödinger equation to be solved is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(H-E) \Psi=0, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& H=H_{0}+Z^{-1} V_{12},  \tag{2}\\
& H_{0}=-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{2}^{2}-1 / r_{1}-1 / r_{2},  \tag{3}\\
& V_{12}=1 / r_{12} . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

The unit of energy is $Z^{2}$ a.u., and the unit of length is $Z^{-1} a_{0}$. Introducing the perturbation expansions

TABLE I. Energy expansion coefficients (a.u.).

| Order | $2 p 3 p^{3} P$ |  | $2 p 3 d^{1} D$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi=\Psi_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Psi_{n} Z^{-n},  \tag{5}\\
& E=E_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n} Z^{-n}, \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

the zero-order function satisfies the hydrogenic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H_{0}-E_{0}\right) \Psi_{0}=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the $\Psi_{n}, n>0$, satisfy a series of perturbation equations which are often solved by finding the stationary values of the functionals ${ }^{10}$

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{n}=\langle n| H_{0}-E_{0}|n\rangle & +2\langle n| V_{12}-E_{1}|n-1\rangle \\
& -\sum_{k=2}^{2 n} E_{k} \sum_{i=n-k}^{n}\langle i \mid 2 n-k-i\rangle \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

with respect to arbitrary variations of the $\Psi_{n}$, assuming that all the $\Psi_{m}$ with $m<n$ are known exactly.

In the formulation of Dalgarno and Drake, ${ }^{15}$ a set of $M$ orthonormal functions $\phi_{i}$ is introduced, each of which is constructed from a linear combination of $M$ functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{j}=\left(1 \pm P_{12}\right) r_{1}^{a} r_{2}^{b} r_{12}^{c} e^{-\alpha r_{1}-\beta r_{2}} Y_{L l_{1} l_{2}}^{M}\left(\hat{r}_{1}, \hat{r}_{2}\right), \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{L l_{1} l_{2}}^{M}\left(\hat{r}_{1}, \hat{r}_{2}\right)=\sum_{m_{1} m_{2}}\left\langle l_{1} m_{1} l_{2} m_{2} \mid L M\right\rangle Y_{l_{1}}^{m_{1}}\left(\hat{r}_{1}\right) Y_{l_{2}}^{m_{2}}\left(\hat{r_{2}}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\phi_{i}\right| H_{0}\left|\phi_{j}\right\rangle=\epsilon_{i} \delta_{i j} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

TABLE III. $1 / Z$ expansion eigenvalues for the helium sequence ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{u}$. ).

| $Z$ | $2 p 3 p{ }^{1} p$ | $2 p 3 p^{3} p$ | $2 p 3 d^{1} D$ | $2 p 3 d^{3} D$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | -0.580245 | -0.567802 | -0.563801 | -0.5593285 |
| 3 | -1.401411 | -1.373588 | -1.371599 | -1.3571145 |
| 4 | -2.583994 | -2.540768 | -2.541572 | -2.5162818 |
| 5 | -4.127776 | -4.069184 | -4.072954 | -4.0366516 |
| 6 | -6.032706 | -5.958772 | -5.965572 | -5.9181752 |
| 7 | -8.298766 | -8.209506 | -8.219364 | -8.1608332 |
| 8 | -10.925949 | -10.821372 | -10.834304 | -10.764616 |
| 9 | -13.914248 | -13.794363 | -13.810378 | -13.729520 |
| 10 | -17.263664 | -17.128473 | -17.147579 | -17.055541 |
| 11 | -20.974194 | -20.823701 | -20.845901 | -20.742677 |
| 12 | -25.045838 | -24.880045 | -24.905343 | -24.790928 |
| 13 | -29.478594 | -29.297504 | -29.325902 | -29.200293 |
| 14 | -34.272463 | -34.076076 | -34.107577 | -33.970770 |
| 15 | -39.427444 | -39.215762 | -39.250367 | -39.102360 |
| 16 | -44.943537 | -44.716561 | -44.754269 | -44.595063 |
| 17 | -50.820741 | -50.578472 | -50.619286 | -50.448878 |
| 18 | -57.059058 | -56.801496 | -56.845416 | -56.663804 |
| 19 | -63.658486 | -63.385631 | -63.432659 | -63.239843 |
| 20 | -70.619025 | -70.330879 | -70.381014 | -70.176993 |
| 21 | -77.940676 | -77.637238 | -77.690481 | -77.475255 |
| 22 | -85.623439 | -85.304709 | -85.361061 | -85.134628 |
| 23 | -93.667313 | -93.333292 | -93.392752 | -93.155113 |
| 24 | -102.07230 | -101.72299 | -101.78556 | -101.53671 |
| 25 | -110.08384 | -110.47379 | -110.53947 | -110.27942 |
| 26 | -119.96560 | -119.58571 | -119.65450 | -119.38324 |

$P_{12}$ indicates the interchange of labels 1 and 2 in (9), with the $(+)$ sign referring to singlet states and the ( - ) sign to triplet states. The scale factors $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are set equal to their hydrogenic values; for example, $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta=\frac{1}{3}$ for the $2 \phi 3 d^{1,3} D$ states. Then one of the $\phi_{i}$, say $\phi_{s}$, is the exact hydrogenic $\Psi_{0}$ with $\epsilon_{s}=E_{0}$, and the remaining basis functions $\phi_{i}(i \neq s)$ form a synthetic representation of the spectrum of $H_{0}$. If we expand $\Psi_{n}$ in the finite basis set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{n}=\sum_{i \neq s}^{M} a_{i}^{(n)} \phi_{i} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and evaluate $J_{n}$, demanding that its value be stationary with respect to variations of the $a_{i}^{(n)}$, then $\Psi_{n}$ and $E_{n}$ are determined by the recursion relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Psi_{n}\right|=\sum_{i \neq s}^{M}\left(\frac{\left\langle\phi_{i}\right| V_{12}\left|\Psi_{n-1}\right\rangle}{\epsilon_{s}-\epsilon_{i}}\left\langle\phi_{i}\right|-\sum_{p=1}^{n-1} E_{p} \frac{\left\langle\phi_{i} \mid \Psi_{n-\phi}\right\rangle}{\epsilon_{s}-\epsilon_{i}}\left\langle\phi_{i}\right|\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

TABLE II. Variational eigenvalues for helium (a.u.).

|  | $2 p 3 p{ }^{1} P$ | $2 p 3 p^{3} P$ | $2 p 3 d^{1} D$ | $2 p 3 d^{3} D$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\beta_{\text {opt }}$ | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.51 | 0.49 |
| $E_{\text {var }}(20)$ | -0.5802456387 | -0.56776203 | -0.56378556 | -0.55932560 |
| $E_{\text {var }}(30)$ | -0.5802464384 | -0.56781008 | -0.56379956 | -0.55932740 |
| $E_{\mathrm{var}}(40)$ | -0.5802464608 | -0.56781107 | -0.56380010 | -0.55932782 |
| $E_{\mathrm{var}}(50)$ | -0.5802464636 | -0.56781130 | -0.56380023 | -0.55932804 |
| $E(Z$ expan. $)$ | -0.580245 | -0.567802 | -0.563801 | -0.5593285 |

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}=\left\langle\Psi_{n-1}\right| V_{12}\left|\Psi_{0}\right\rangle-\sum_{p=1}^{n-1} E_{p}\left\langle\Psi_{n-p} \mid \Psi_{0}\right\rangle \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

With a finite basis set, the above equations provide approximations to $\Psi_{n}$ and $E_{n}$ (but not bounds) up to some finite order. Since the accuracy almost certainly increases with increasing $Z, a$ variational bound calculation for $Z=2$ was performed to establish the accuracy of the nonrelativistic eigenvalues for the entire isoelectronic sequence. The results of the variational and $1 / Z$ expansion calculations are given in Sec. III.

## III. EIGENVALUES AND PREDICTED WAVELENGTHS

Wave functions for the helium isoelectronic sequence were constructed from (13) and (14), using 50 -term basis sets.

The $1 / Z$ expansion coefficients for the $2 p 3 p^{3} P$, $2 p 3 d^{1} D$, and $2 p 3 d^{3} D$ eigenvalues are given through 9 th order in Table I. The series was arbitrarily truncated at 9th order because the higher-order coefficients are unreliable with this size basis sets. The coefficients for the $2 p 3 p^{1} P$ state were listed previously by Drake and Dalgarno. ${ }^{11}$

The expansions summed through 9th order for neutral helium are compared with variational calculations in Table II. The variational basis sets contain $20,30,40$, and 50 terms. In each case, we set $\alpha=1$ and minimized the energy with respect to $\beta$ for the 50 -term basis set. The optimized values of $\beta$ are also given in Table II. The comparison indicates the number of figures after the decimal that are reliable in the $1 / Z$ expansion eigenvalues for the remainder of the isoelectronic sequence. The latter eigenvalues, summed through 9 th order, are listed in Table III. For large $Z$, relativistic corrections should also be included. We give in Table IV the predicted nonrelativistic wavelengths for several transitions, using the variational eigenvalues for neutral helium where available.

## IV. DISCUSSION

Berry et al. ${ }^{6}$ have tentatively identified their $306 \pm 1 \AA$ line as the helium $2 p 3 p^{3} P-1 s 3 p^{3} P$ transition with a theoretical wavelength of $305.8 \AA$. However they did not observe the companion $2 p 3 p^{3} P-1 s 2 p{ }^{3} P$ transition at $291.1 \AA$, thus casting doubt on the identification. Both transitions involve one-electron jumps and should be of comparable intensity. Our results show that the observed line could equally well be the $2 p 3 d^{1} D$ $1 s 3 d^{1} D$ transition expected to lie at $305.4 \AA$. In addition, the $2 p 3 d^{3} D-2 p^{23} P$ transition wavelength is predicted to be $3014 \AA$. In unpublished work, ${ }^{16}$ a line has been observed at $3012 \pm 2 \AA$ in harmony with the predicted wavelength. If our identification

TABLE IV. Wavelengths of transitions in the helium isoelectronic series (in $\AA$ ). a, b

| $Z$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 s{ }_{2} p^{3}{ }^{3} P- \\ 2 p^{23} P \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 s 2 p^{3} P- \\ 2 p 3 p^{3} P \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 s 2 p^{1} p- \\ & 2 p 3 p^{1} P \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 s 3 p^{3} P- \\ 2 p^{3} P- \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 s 3 p^{3} p- \\ 2 p 3 p^{3} p \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 s 3 p^{1} p- \\ & 2 p 3 p^{1} P \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 320.27 | 291.07 | 295.18 | 338.11 | 305.74 | 308.93 |
| 3 | 141.02 | 124.69 | 126.84 | 155.3 | 135.8 | 137.3 |
| 4 | 78.66 | 68.68 | 69.80 | 88.79 | 76.27 | 77.07 |
| 5 | 50.05 | 43.38 | 44.02 | 57.38 | 48.78 | 49.23 |
| 6 | 34.60 | 29.85 | 30.25 | 40.10 | 33.86 | 34.13 |
| 7 | 25.34 | 21.79 | 22.05 | 29.60 | 24.86 | 25.05 |
| 8 | 19.35 | 16.60 | 16.78 | 22.74 | 19.03 | 19.16 |
| 9 | 15.26 | 13.07 | 13.20 | 18.02 | 15.03 | 15.12 |
| 10 | 12.34 | 10.55 | 10.65 | 14.62 | 12.17 | 12.24 |
| 11 | 10.19 | 8.70 | 8.77 | 12.11 | 10.06 | 10.11 |
| 12 | 8.55 | 7.29 | 7.35 | 10.19 | 8.45 | 8.49 |
| 13 | 7.28 | 6.20 | 6.25 | 8.69 | 7.20 | 7.23 |
| 14 | 6.27 | 5.34 | 5.38 | 7.50 | 6.21 | 6.23 |
| 15 | 5.46 | 4.64 | 4.68 | 6.54 | 5.41 | 5.43 |
| 16 | 4.79 | 4.08 | 4.10 | 5.75 | 4.75 | 4.77 |
| 17 | 4.24 | 3.61 | 3.63 | 5.10 | 4.21 | 4.22 |
| 18 | 3.78 | 3.22 | 3.23 | 4.55 | 3.75 | 3.77 |
| 19 | 3.39 | 2.88 | 2.90 | 4.09 | 3.37 | 3.38 |
| 20 | 3.06 | 2.60 | 2.61 | 3.69 | 3.04 | 3.05 |
| 21 | 2.78 | 2.36 | 2.37 | 3.35 | 2.76 | 2.77 |
| 22 | 2.53 | 2.15 | 2.16 | 3.05 | 2.51 | 2.52 |
| 23 | 2.31 | 1.96 | 1.97 | 2.80 | 2.30 | 2.30 |
| 24 | 2.12 | 1.80 | 1.81 | 2.57 | 2.11 | 2.12 |
| 25 | 1.96 | 1.66 | 1.67 | 2.37 | 1.95 | 1.95 |
| 26 | 1.81 | 1.53 | 1.54 | 2.19 | 1.80 | 1.80 |
| $Z$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 s 3 d^{3} D- \\ 2 b 3 d^{3} D \end{gathered}$ | $1 s 3 d^{1} D-$ $2 p 3 d^{1} D$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 s 2 p^{3} P- \\ & 2 p^{23} P \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 s 2 p{ }^{3} P- \\ 2 p 3 p^{3} P \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 s 2 p{ }^{1} P- \\ 2 p 3 p{ }^{1} P \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 p^{23} P- \\ 2 p 3 d^{3} D \end{array}$ |
| 2 | $304.5{ }^{\circ}$ | $305.4{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 9099.93 | 2363.75 | 4021.78 | 3014.01 |
| 3 | 135.38 | 135.98 | 5584. | 902.85 | 1276. | 1036.6 |
| 4 | 76.14 | 76.47 | 4032.6 | 477.14 | 618.55 | 525.87 |
| 5 | 48.71 | 48.91 | 3157.1 | 295.13 | 363.42 | 318.16 |
| 6 | 33.82 | 33.94 | 2594.4 | 200.58 | 238.67 | 213.26 |
| 7 | 24.84 | 24.92 | 2202.1 | 145.19 | 168.58 | 152.90 |
| 8 | 19.02 | 19.07 | 1912.9 | 109.96 | 125.34 | 115.00 |
| 9 | 15.02 | 15.06 | 1690.9 | 86.17 | 96.82 | 89.64 |
| 10 | 12.17 | 12.20 | 1515.1 | 69.34 | 77.02 | 71.83 |
| 11 | 10.05 | 10.08 | 1372.4 | 57.01 | 62.72 | 58.85 |
| 12 | 8.45 | 8.47 | 1254.3 | 47.69 | 52.06 | 49.10 |
| 13 | 7.20 | 7.21 | 1154.9 | 40.49 | 43.90 | 41.59 |
| 14 | 6.21 | 6.22 | 1070.1 | 34.80 | 37.52 | 35.68 |
| 15 | 5.41 | 5.42 | 996.93 | 30.24 | 32.44 | 30.94 |
| 16 | 4.75 | 4.76 | 933.11 | 26.51 | 28.32 | 27.09 |
| 17 | 4.21 | 4.21 | 876.98 | 23.44 | 24.94 | 23.92 |
| 18 | 3.75 | 3.76 | 827.21 | 20.87 | 22.13 | 21.27 |
| 19 | 3.37 | 3.37 | 782.79 | 18.70 | 19.77 | 19.04 |
| 20 | 3.04 | 3.04 | 742.90 | 16.85 | 17.76 | 17.14 |
| 21 | 2.76 | 2.76 | 706.88 | 15.26 | 16.05 | 15.51 |
| 22 | 2.51 | 2.52 | 674.19 | 13.89 | 14.57 | 14.11 |
| 23 | 2.30 | 2.30 | 644.39 | 12.70 | 13.29 | 12.89 |
| 24 | 2.11 | 2.11 | 617.12 | 11.65 | 12.17 | 11.81 |
| 25 | 1.95 | 1.95 | 592.05 | 10.72 | 11.19 | 10.87 |
| 26 | 1.80 | 1.80 | 568.95 | 9.91 | 10.32 | 10.04 |

${ }^{2}$ These wavelengths were calculated using a value of $109737.31 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for Rydberg's constant.
${ }^{b} 1 s 2 p$ and $1 s 3 p$ states for helium were obtained from Schiff, Lifson, Pekeris, and Rabinowitz, Phys. Rev. 140, A1104 (1965); the $2 p^{23} P$ state from Ref. 10; the $2 p 3 p^{1} P$ state from Ref. 11; the $1 s 3 d$ states from Ref. 15; and the $2 s 2 p$ states from G. W. F. Drake and A.
Dalgarno, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A320, 549 (1971).
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Wavelength calculated from $1 / Z$ expansion energy for $1 s 3 d$ states for helium.
is correct, then theirs is the first observation of the $2 p 3 d^{3} D$ state. A weak line was also observed
at $2365 \pm 5 \AA$, corresponding well to the $2 s 2 p^{3} P$ $1 s 3 d^{1} D$ transition predicted to be $2364 \AA$.

Calculations of the oscillator strengths for these transitions are in progress.
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# Permutation Symmetry and the $N$-Electron Problem 

John J. Sullivan<br>Physics Department, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, Illinois 62901<br>(Received 31 March 1971)

The techniques of tensor algebra customarily applied to exploit spatial symmetry are applied to exploit permutational symmetry of the $N$-electron problem. In the approximation of no spin-orbit coupling, the results are nontrivial and give a further reduction of what is normally regarded as the reduced matrix element with respect to spatial symmetry alone. The required $3-j$ coefficients of the permutation group are evaluated in an appendix so that intermediate group-theoretical indices that have no direct physical significance are eliminated from the formulation. The spin integral for any operator can always be reduced to known integrals of the fundamental Pauli operators. Thus all matrix elements can be reduced to a corresponding spin-free form with known weighting coefficients. An explicit expression is given for the matrix element of an operator suitable for evaluating spin-own-orbit coupling or spin density at the nucleus. A recursion relation for the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients of bipartition representations of $S_{N}$ in terms of its subgroups and the $9-j$ sympols of $S U(2)$ is developed in the appendix. For one of the representations being the totally symmetric representation, the Clebsch-Gordan coefficient is known and the recursion relation the grouporthogonality relation in this case) can be considered as giving nontrivial sum rules on the $9-j$ symbols of $S U(2)$.

## I. INTRODUCTION

In most atomic, molecular, and solid-state electron problems one is interested, at least as a zeroorder approximation, in the solutions of a Hamiltonian for $N$ interacting indistinguishable Fermions having no direct spin-orbit interaction. Not only do the permutations of the particle spin and orbit coordinates commute with the Hamiltonian, but the direct-product permutations of the spin and orbit coordinates considered separately also commute
with the Hamiltonian. It follows from the theory of group representations that the eigensolutions of the model Hamiltonian are characterized by the irreducible representations of the symmetric group on $N$ particles in spin and orbit space separately. If the spin basis is restricted to Nth-rank products of the one-particle two-dimensional basis, then the admissible spin irreducible representations are limited to those connected with the bipartitions of $N$ determined by the total spin value $S$, viz., $\left[\frac{1}{2} N\right.$ $\left.+S, \frac{1}{2} N-S\right]$. The admissible orbital irreducible

