OCTOBER 1977 PPPL-1386

ELECTRON HEAT TRANSPORT IN A TOKAMAK WITH DESTROYED MAGNETIC SURFACES

MASTER

1386

A. B. RECHESTER AND M. N. ROSENBLUTH

BY

PLASMA PHYSICS LABORATORY



PRINCETON UNIVERSITY **PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY**

This work was supported by U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration Contract EY-76-C-02-3073. Reproduction, translation, publication, use and disposal, in whole or in part, by or for the United States Government is permitted.

THE DOCTHERT IS UNLIMITED DISTRIBUTION OF

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Energy Research and Development Administration, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

Printed in the United States of America.

Available from National Technical Information Service U. S. Department of Commerce 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, Virginia 22151 Price: Printed Copy \$ * ; Microfiche \$3.00

*Pages	NTIS Selling Price
1-50	\$ 4.00
51-150	5.45
151-325	7.60
326-500	10.60
501-1000	13.60

ELECTRON HEAT TRANSPORT IN A TOKAMAK

WITH DESTROYED MAGNETIC SURFACES

by

A. B. Rechester Princeton University, Plasma Physics Laboratory Princeton, New Jersey 08540

and

M. N. Rosenbluth Institute for Advanced Study Princeton, New Jersey 08540

PPPL-1386

October 1977

÷

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Energy Research and Development Administration, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or any of their contractors warranty, express or implied, or assumes any lega liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS TYPE IMENT IS UNLIMITED

9/77

ELECTRON HEAT TRANSPORT IN A TOKAMAK WITH DESTROYED

MAGNETIC SURFACES*

A. B. Rechester Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08540

and

M. N. Rosenbluth Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, New Jersey 08540

ABSTRACT

Formulas for the electron thermal conductivity have been derived in the collisional and collisionless limits for the case of destroyed magnetic surfaces. The aim of this article is to consider electron heat transport due to parallel thermal conductivity in a tokamak with destroyed magnetic surfaces. There is evidence of runaway electron leakage² from tokamaks which indicates that such magnetic braiding³ may exist. Moreover, recent microinstability theories⁴ predict the formation of magnetic perturbations with dimensions r, (ion gyro-radius) or slightly less.

-1-

First we review the most important geometric characteristics of the braided magnetic field. We use as an example a magnetic configuration in cylindrical geometry, $\vec{B} = B_z \vec{z} + B_\theta (r) \hat{\theta} + \delta \vec{B}$, but most concepts can be easily generalized to toroidal configurations. In order to model toroidal periodicity, we assume that the system is periodic in the z-direction with period $2\pi R$. Then $\delta \vec{B}$ can be written in the form

$$\delta \vec{B} = \sum_{m,n} \vec{b}_{mn}(r) \exp i(m\theta - n z/R) + c.c.$$
(1)

If there is only one harmonic in Eq.(1); then the field is helically symmetric and has exact magnetic surfaces. These surfaces have the shape of so-called magnetic islands near the rational cylindrical surface r_{mn} , defined by the condition $q(r) = rB_z/RB_{\theta} = 1/\bar{\iota}(r) = m/n$. The width of the separatrix of the island is given by the formula,⁵

$$\Delta_{\rm mn} = 4 \sqrt{2 \frac{R}{m}} \left| \left| b_{\rm mnr}^{(r)} \right| / B_{\rm z}^{(d_{\rm z}^{-}/dr)} \right|_{\rm r} = r_{\rm mn}$$
(2)

In the case of many harmonics being present, mapping is used as a practical way to determine if magnetic surfaces exist or not.⁶

Surfaces exist if the points of intersection of a field line with planes $z = 2\pi nR$ lie on a smooth closed curve. If the mapping is not a smooth curve but rather a sequence of irregular points which can fill the whole area, then we may say that magnetic The transition between these two cases surfaces are destroyed. is quite sharp and is described by a stochasticity parameter, 7 $s = 1/2 (\Delta_{mn} + \Delta_{m'n'}) / |r_{mn} - r_{m'n'}|$, where m, n and m', n' represent any two harmonics which have neighboring rational surfaces. $s \ge 1$, then magnetic surfaces are destroyed in the region between r_{min} and $r_{m'n'}$, and the field lines wonder ergodically. s = 1 corresponds to overlapping of islands of different helicity.⁹ The transition region is very complicated⁷ and we will be discussing mainly the case of well destroyed surfaces, s >> 1, with dense rational surfaces.

Consider a small circle of radius ${}^{0}_{0}$ in the plane z = const and map it by solving the equation $dr/dz = B_r / B_z$, $rd\theta/dz = B_{\theta}/B_z$. This magnetic mapping is area preserving, as a consequence of the equation div B = 0.

There will be two different stages of evolution of our area. First, it will move as a whole and also it will deform its shape stretching in one direction and contracting in the other. This process can be described analytically for continuous mapping by the equation.

$$\ell(z) = \ell_0 \exp(z/L_c) \quad . \tag{3}$$

Here⁷ $L_c = \pi R/ln(\pi s/2)$. Such behavior is called stochastic instability of trajectories. The width δ of the area will exponentially decrease in order to conserve the total area, i.e.,

-2-

 $\delta(z) = \ell_0 \exp(-z/L_c)$. When $\ell(z) \gtrsim r/m$, where m is a characteristic mode number, different parts of our stretched area start to move almost independently. The distance $L_{c0} = L_c \ln(r/m\ell_0)$ plays the role of a correlation length for the area. At this stage of the evolution the area looks very complicated, as depicted in Fig. 1. It can be shown that the average squared radial displacement of the area can be described by a diffusion formula

- 3-

$$\langle (\Delta r)^2 \rangle = 2LD_{st}$$
 (4)

, ******

L is the distance in the z direction, $L >> L_{c0}$ and D_{st} is given by the quasilinear formula:¹

$$D_{st}(r) = \pi R \sum_{m,n} |b_{mnr}(r)|^2 / B_z^2 \cdot \delta\left(\frac{m}{q(r)} - n\right) .$$
 (5)

All processes of mapping are strictly reversible, but because the width of the area becomes extraordinarily small, any small spreading because of motion perpendicular to the field lines can be of great importance as we will see later. The precise mathematics and all details can be found in a number of good reviews on the subject.^{7,8}

Let us turn now to the subject of this letter: electron heat transport in a braided magnetic field. The coefficient of thermal conductivity χ , is proportional to the coefficient of self diffusion D. Suppose that in some small region we mark instantaneously a number of electrons and then watch for the time evolution of their radial distribution. If the radial spreading of test electrons is a diffusion process, then D = $<(\Delta r)^2/2t$, where $<(\Delta r)^2>$ is the mean square of the radial displacements of electrons during the time interval t . We will consider two limits: collisionless, when the mean free path $\lambda >> L_c$ and collisional, $\lambda << L_c$. [We will make a number of simplifying assumptions and not attempt to give detailed numerical coefficients.]

Consider the collisionless case. We assume that guiding center trajectories coincide with the field lines. Instead of considering many discrete particles, we visualize just one "particle" which is spread over some initial area of the dimension r_e (electron gyro-radius) with equal probability. Then parallel motion along the field lines produces a continuous mapping of the area. We may treat collisions as a discrete process which take place periodically with the time interval τ . As a result of collisions, the parallel velocity of the particle will change its direction or remain the same with equal probability. Also the whole area will instantaneously diffuse radially a distance r_e (or an electron "banana" width in the toroidal case). The latter process models the perpendicular jump of the guiding center at the time of collision.

Let us start our experiment. Initially, we have a small circle of radius r_e . It moves a distance λ along the trajectory, mapping into a complicated thin region of the kind drawn in Fig. 1(c), with the width $\delta \simeq r_e \exp(-\lambda/L_c)$. The average squared displacement of its elements in a radial direction is equal to $\langle (\Delta r)^2 \rangle = 2D_{st}\lambda$. The collision now increases the width of this area to r_e . We can cut now our area into a large number of small square pieces of the size r_e and proceed in exactly the same way as in the first step, see Fig. 1(c).

-4-

Because for the collisionless case $r_e >> \delta(\lambda)$, each of these new elements will evolve on the second step almost independently from its previous history. Obviously, the spreading of our area in the radial direction is similar to a random walk with the diffusion coefficient given by

$$D = \langle (\Delta r)^2 \rangle / 2\tau = D_{st} v$$
 (6)

This formula does not depend on collision frequency in spite of the importance of collisional spreading.

It is instructive to compare this case with the similar evolution without any perpendicular motion. Suppose that the particle reverses its parallel velocity after the first collision. Then the second step area will map exactly back to the initial small circle. Obviously, the only way for it to expand in the radial direction is to diffuse collisionally along the field line. During a time $t \gg \tau$, the average squared distance moved by a particle in the z direction is $L^2 \approx \chi_{\rm m} t$. This gives $< (\Delta r)^2 > = D_{\rm st}(\chi_{\rm m} t)^{1/2}$, which is $(t/\tau)^{1/2}$ times smaller than the diffusion given by Eq. (6).

Consider now the collisional case $\lambda \ll L_c$. Any substantial spreading of the area in the radial direction due to the mapping will take place after the particle has collided many times to move a distance $L \gtrsim L_c$. Because of this, we will consider perpendicular motion as a continuous process with the diffusion coefficient χ_1 . The parallel motion and the effect of collisions on it will be treated the same way as before. Consider a small element of evolving area which has a typical size δ . There will be two competing processes: due to stochastic instability, the width of this element will decrease

-5-

exponentially: $d\delta/dL = -\delta/L_c$, where dL is the distance which the particle moves during the time dt . For any $dL >> \lambda$, the particle is diffusing in the z direction so that $dt \approx (dL)^2/\chi_{\parallel}$. During the same time dt this element will increase its width because of perpendicular diffusion $d\delta \approx (\chi_1 dt)^{1/2} = dL(\chi_{\parallel}/\chi_{\perp})^{1/2}$. The balance between these two processes allow us to estimate that $\delta = L_c (\chi_{\perp}/\chi_{\parallel})^{1/2}$. We assume here that $\delta << r/m$. If we cut the area into small pieces of size δ then the correlation length for them may be calculated by setting $l_0 = \delta$ and l(z) = r/m in Eq. (3), to find:

$$L_{C\delta} = L_{C} ln \left(\frac{r}{mL_{C}} \sqrt{\chi_{\mu} / \chi_{\perp}} \right)$$
(7)

with the corresponding time $t_{\delta} \sim L^2_{\ c\delta}/\chi_{\parallel}$. Thus, t_{δ} is the time during which a particle may be thought of as orbiting along a single field line before diffusing to a new field line whose trajectory is no longer correlated with the original one. This complicated continous evolution can be considered simply as a random walk with the step size $<(\Delta r)^2 > = D_{st}L_{c\delta}$. This gives us for the diffusion coefficient,

$$D = D_{st} \chi_{\parallel} / L_{c\delta}$$
(8)

Diffusion is reduced by a factor of $\lambda/L_{c\delta}$ from the collisionless case, Eq. (6).

Now that we have calculated the relevant transport coefficients in a braided magnetic field, a logical step in understanding would be to assess the effects of the stochasticity on the nonlinear behaviour of the microinstabilities which are alleged to be its cause.⁴ This is obviously a formidable task. A more realistic approach might be to assess the effects of the thermal conductivity we have estimated, and related transport coefficients such as electron viscosity, on the linear theory of those modes--estimating saturation of stochasticity to occur at the marginal stability point. Out of such a detailed study might come an explanation of confinement scaling.

In this paper, however, we restrict ourselves to a much more limted objective, merely examining some implications of the assumption that observed energy losses are due to magnetic braiding. We stress that we have not ruled out alternative explanations of anomalous losses.

A possible difficulty in applying our model to present tokamaks comes from consideration of the confinement of energetic runaway electrons. While not very well known, their confinement time is measured to be about equal to or slightly longer than that for thermal electrons. From Eq. (6), we would infer however that their confinement time should be about 15 times smaller. One possible explanation for this anomaly is that the gyroradii of such particles is fairly large, comparable to ion gyroradii, so that their drift orbits are not affected by fine scale braiding. This would argue that the predominant scale for braiding is somewhat smaller than an ion gyroradius, consistent with microinstability theory and turbulent density fluctuation measurements.

We may use Eq. (6) to infer the diffusion coefficient. Observed confinement times are crudely fit by $\tau = 10^{-19} n a^2$ (c.g. s units) from which we infer, at a density $n = 10^{14}$, that the experimental diffusion coefficient $D = 10^4 cm^2/sec$ and hence,

-7-

 $D_{st} = 10^{-5} cm$. From Eq. (2), we see that $D_{st} \approx R |b_{m,n}|^2 m_{max}/B_z^2 \approx R |B_{st}|^2/B_z^2$. Here we have assumed, as is reasonable for microinstabilities, that all modes m, n have roughly equal amplitudes for mode number $m < m_{max}$ (where m_{max} is to be determined from the microinstability theory). We have also assumed a radial extent of the mode comparable to its wavelength.

Equating the experimental and theoretical values, we see that $|B_{st}|^2/B_z^2 \sim 10^{-8}$ is adequate to give the observed losses if the field is indeed stochastic. As we have discussed earlier, the condition for this is roughly that islands should overlap. The mean distance between adjacent rational surfaces is approximately r/m_{max}^2 and using Eq. (2), we obtain the approximate condition for stochasticity $|B_{st}|/B_z > 0.1/m_{max}^{5/2}$. Current microinstability theories and fluctuation measurements indicate that $m_{max} \geq r/r_i$. In typical tokamak experiments $r/r_i > 10^2$ and the condition for stochasticity is well satisfied.

We conclude then that if the perturbed magnetic fields are indeed caused by microinstabilities on the scale of an ion gyroradius, then fields of an amplitude sufficient to explain by stochastic diffusion the observed energy losses would also be sufficient to produce stochasticity--thus making the picture self-consistent in this respect. There remains of course the open question whether this transport can explain the saturation of the microinstabilities at the observed level.

It is a pleasure to acknowledge discussions with T. H. Stix. Related considerations will be published by him in a forthcoming paper in Nuclear Fusion.

-8-

REFERENCES

-9.

* Work supported by U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration Contract No. E(11-1)-3073 and E(11-1)-3237.

¹ M. N. Rosenbluth, R. Z. Sagdeev, J. B. Taylor and G. M. Zaslavsky, Nucl. Fusion <u>6</u>, 297 (1966) and N. N. Filonenko, R. Z. Sagdeev and G. M. Zaslavsky, Nucl. Fusion 7, 253 (1967).

² J. D. Strachan, Nucl. Fusion <u>165</u>, 743 (1976).

³ T. H. Stix, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>30</u>, 833 (1973).

⁴ J. F. Drake and Y. C. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett <u>39</u>, 453 (1977), L. Chen, P. H. Rutherford and W. M. Tang, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>39</u>, 460, (1977) and J. D. Callen, submitted to Phys. Rev. Lett. (1977).

. .

⁵ A. B. Rechester and T. H. Stix, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>36</u>, 587 (1976).

⁶ L. Spitzer, Phys. Fluids 1, 253 (1958).

⁷ G. M. Zaslavsky and B. V. Chirikov, Soviet Physics Uspekhi <u>14</u>, 543, (1972).

⁸ R. Balescu, <u>Equilibrium and Nonequilibrium Statistical Mechanics</u> (Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1975) 695.

⁹ We should mention here that for the island overlapping condition to be correct, we need $|b_{m'n'}/b_{mn}| \sim 1$, m'/m ~ 1, but this is adequate for our purposes. See A. B. Rechester paper G14, presented at the Sherwood Theory Meeting, San Diego, CA., 1977.



773886 Fig. 1. The evolution of area mapping.

Ð