

# UC Santa Cruz

## UC Santa Cruz Previously Published Works

**Title**

Enantioselective Synthesis of Thailanstatin A Methyl Ester and Evaluation of in Vitro Splicing Inhibition.

**Permalink**

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0cp9z53w>

**Journal**

The Journal of organic chemistry, 83(9)

**ISSN**

0022-3263

**Authors**

Ghosh, Arun K  
Veitschegger, Anne M  
Nie, Shenyong  
et al.

**Publication Date**

2018-05-01

**DOI**

10.1021/acs.joc.8b00593

Peer reviewed


# Enantioselective Synthesis of Thailanstatin A Methyl Ester and Evaluation of *in vitro* Splicing Inhibition

Arun K. Ghosh,<sup>\*,†</sup> Anne M. Veitschegger,<sup>†</sup> Shenyue Nie,<sup>†</sup> Nicola Relitti  
Andrew J. MacRae,<sup>‡</sup> Melissa S. Jurica,<sup>‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup>*Department of Chemistry and Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Purdue University, 560 Oval Drive, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907;* <sup>‡</sup>*Department of Molecular, Cell and Developmental Biology and Center for Molecular Biology of RNA, University of California, Santa Cruz, California 95064*

akghosh@purdue.edu

## Abstract



Thailanstatin A, **1** (R = H)  
Thailanstatin A methyl ester, **2** (R = Me)

Thailanstatin A has been isolated recently from the fermentation broth of *B. thailandensis* MSMB43. We describe here an enantioselective convergent synthesis of thailanstatin A methyl ester and evaluation of its splicing activity. Synthesis of both highly functionalized tetrahydropyran rings were carried out from commercially available tri-O-acetyl-D-glucal as the key starting material. Our convergent synthesis involved the synthesis of both tetrahydropyran fragments in a highly stereoselective manner. The fragments were then coupled using cross-metathesis as the key step. The synthesis of the diene subunit included a highly stereoselective Claisen rearrangement, a Cu(I)-mediated conjugate addition of MeLi to set the C-14 methyl stereochemistry, a reductive amination reaction to install the C16-amine functionality and a Wittig olefination reaction to incorporate the diene unit. The epoxy alcohol subunit was synthesized by a highly selective anomeric


allylation, a Peterson olefination and a vanadium catalyzed epoxidation that installed the epoxide stereoselectively. Cross-metathesis of the olefins provided the methyl ester derivative of thailanstatin A. We have carried out *in vitro* splicing studies of the methyl ester derivative, which proved to be a potent inhibitor of the spliceosome.

## Introduction

Pre-mRNA splicing is a fundamental event in gene expression in eukaryotes.<sup>1,2</sup> Splicing is catalyzed by a complex ribonucleoprotein machinery called the spliceosome, which is formed from uridine-rich small nuclear RNAs and dozens of proteins.<sup>3,4</sup> During splicing, the spliceosome removes intervening sequences (introns) while joining coding sequences (exons) to form mature mRNA, which is exported to the cytoplasm for translation into proteins.<sup>5,6</sup> Alternative splicing provides cell transcriptome diversity; recent studies have shown that splicing is pathologically altered to promote the initiation and maintenance of cancer.<sup>7,8,9</sup> Splicing requires numerous protein-protein and protein-RNA interactions.<sup>10,11</sup> These interactions and regulatory steps provide a wide range of opportunities to manipulate the splicing cascade for therapeutic purposes. Currently, a series of natural products and their derivatives, in particular, pladienolide B (**1**), spliceostatin A (**2**), and herboxidiene (**3**) are known to inhibit spliceosome function by binding to the SF3B subunit of U2 snRNP.<sup>12,14,15</sup> The precise biochemical interactions of these natural products with these proteins are not clear. Interestingly, the effect of these natural products on cell cytotoxicity is completely abrogated by mutation of a single residue of SF3B1 (SF3B1; R1074H), which suggests that these compounds function through specific interactions with SF3B1.<sup>16,17</sup> Despite potent

splicing inhibitory activity by these natural products, clinical use has been limited due to inadequate physicochemical properties.<sup>18,19</sup> Thus far, a semisynthetic derivative E707,**6**, which showed improved pharmacological profiles, underwent clinical trials.<sup>20,21</sup> Also, several spliceostatin derivatives have been recently modified for specific delivery of spliceostatin payloads using antibody-drug conjugates.<sup>22,23,24</sup> Liu and co-workers in 2013, reported a new class of spliceostatins, one of which is thailanstatin A (**1**, Figure 1), isolated from the fermentation broth of *B. thailandensis* MSMB43.<sup>25</sup> The structure of thailanstatin A was elucidated with a combination of high resolution mass spectrometry and extensive NMR studies. He and co-workers in 2014, isolated spliceostatins as well as thailanstatins from a culture broth of *Burkholderia* sp. FERM BP-3421.<sup>26</sup> Thailanstatin A showed potent antiproliferative activity in human cancer cell lines with IC<sub>50</sub> values ranging from 59-320 nM in various cancer cell lines.<sup>25</sup> The methyl ester derivative of thailanstatin A, **2**, exhibited remarkably potent *in vitro* potency in multiple cancer cell lines with IC<sub>50</sub> values ranging from 0.25-0.78 nM.<sup>26</sup> Because of its potential for development of cancer drugs, thailanstatin A has been produced in quantities via an optimized fermentation process.<sup>27,28</sup> Over the years, total synthesis and further design of structural derivatives of spliceostatins such as FR901464 (**3**), spliceostatin A (**4**) and pladienolides, in particular pladienolide B (**5**), have been pursued to improve stability and drug properties.<sup>29-35</sup> Recently, Nicolaou and co-workers reported the first synthesis of thailanstatin A, **1** and its methyl ester derivative, **2**.<sup>36</sup> Our interest in thailanstatin A arose from its potent antitumor activity, and its structural features for incorporating linkers for the preparation of antibody drug conjugates. Herein, we report our convergent synthesis of thailanstatin A methyl ester and our preliminary studies of *in vitro*

splicing activity of the methyl ester derivative. The synthesis provides rapid access to structural variants of thailanstatins and spliceostatins.



**Figure 1.** Structures of splicing inhibitors **1-6**.


## Results and Discussion

The thailanstatin class of natural products consists of complex and sensitive functionalities. Thailanstatin A contains nine stereogenic centers, and two highly functionalized tetrahydropyran ring systems attached via a conjugated diene functionality.<sup>25,26</sup> Furthermore, the molecule contains a sensitive epoxide and an allylic acetate group. Some of these functional groups not only created a synthetic challenge, but also pose significant



rearrangement of a vinyl ether derivative which would be obtained from the commercially available tri-*O*-acetyl-D-glucal (**13**). The synthesis of the epoxy alcohol subunit **8** would also be carried out from tri-*O*-acetyl-D-glucal by a stereoselective allylation reaction to obtain intermediate **12** followed by functional group manipulations. The overall chiron approach from tri-*O*-acetyl-D-glucal would construct eight of the nine stereogenic centers of thailanstatin A.

Our synthesis of functionalized amine **9** from tri-*O*-acetyl-D-glucal is shown in Scheme 2. The preparation of vinyl ether derivative **14** was accomplished in multigram scale from **13** as reported in the literature.<sup>37,38</sup> The three-step reaction sequence involved (1) saponification with K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> in MeOH; (2) reaction of the resulting triol with di-*t*-butylsilyl triflate and pyridine to provide the corresponding silyl acetal and (3) reaction of the resulting allylic alcohol with an excess of ethyl vinyl ether in the presence of Hg(OAc)<sub>2</sub> to provide **13** in 54% yield over 3-steps. Vinyl ether **14** was dissolved in toluene and the resulting solution was heated in a sealed tube at 190 °C for 12 h to furnish aldehyde **15** in 95% yield. Wittig olefination of aldehyde **15** with 2-(triphenylphosphoranylidene)propanal in toluene at 110 °C for 12 h furnished the corresponding  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated aldehyde. Subsequent Wittig olefination of this aldehyde with methylenetriphenylphosphorane, generated from the reaction of Ph<sub>3</sub>PCH<sub>3</sub>Br and <sup>t</sup>BuOK in THF at 0 °C, afforded diene **16** in 76% yield over




**Scheme 2.** Stereoselective synthesis of allylic alcohol **18**.

2-steps. To install the C-17 methyl group, compound **16** was subjected to tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF) in THF at 0 °C to 23 °C for 12 h to provide the corresponding diol. Reaction of this diol with 2,4,6-triisopropylbenzenesulfonyl chloride (TPSCl) in pyridine at 0 °C to 23 °C for 24 h furnished sulfonate derivative **17** in 88% yield over 2-steps.<sup>39</sup> Sulfonate **17** was reduced with LAH in THF at 0 °C to 65 °C for 1.5 h to furnish the reduced product **18** in 95% yield.

The synthesis of diene subunit **7** is shown in Scheme 3. For the introduction of the C14 methyl group, we planned to utilize a Cu(I)-mediated 1,4-addition developed by us previously.<sup>31</sup> Accordingly, alcohol **18** was oxidized with Dess-Martin periodinane in the presence of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 0 °C to 23 °C for 1.5 h to provide the corresponding enone. Reaction of this enone with the CuBr•SMe<sub>2</sub> complex at -78 °C for 2 h resulted in pyranone **19** in 64% yield over 2-steps. Pyranone **19** was obtained as a single diastereomer by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR




and  $^{13}\text{C}$ -NMR analysis. The high degree of diastereoselectivity can be rationalized based upon the conformation of the enone in transition state model **20**. Here, axial attack by the cuprate is favored due to coordination of the Cu-complex to the endocyclic pyranone oxygen on the top face. Thus, the equatorial attack is clearly less favored due to developing non-bonded interactions with the nucleophile as shown. To install the C16 amine functionality, we planned a substrate-controlled stereoselective reduction that we previously carried out on a similar system.<sup>31</sup> Thus, reduction of ketone **19** with  $\text{NaBH}(\text{OAc})_3$  and ammonium acetate in the presence of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) at 23 °C for 2 h afforded amine **9** as a mixture of diastereomers (8.5:1 by  $^1\text{H}$ -NMR analysis).<sup>40</sup> The amine mixture was reacted with known<sup>31</sup> optically active acid **10** using HATU in the presence of diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA) to provide amide derivative **7** in 85% yield.



**Scheme 3.** Stereoselective 1,4-addition and synthesis of amide **7**.


Our next synthetic plan was to develop an enantioselective route to the other functionalized tetrahydropyran derivative **8**. Our initial attempt for the synthesis of this epoxyalcohol subunit **8** is shown in Scheme 4. Tri-*O*-acetyl-D-glucal **13** was converted to the corresponding methyl acetal by reaction with  $\text{CeCl}_3 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and NaI in MeOH as described by Yadav and co-workers.<sup>41</sup> Reaction of the resulting acetal with allyltrimethylsilane in the presence of TMSOTf in acetonitrile at 23 °C provided allyl derivative **22** diastereoselectively (10:1 mixture).<sup>42</sup> The mixture was separated by silica gel chromatography. Treatment of triacetate **22** with  $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$  in MeOH at 23 °C for 4 h afforded the corresponding triol. Reaction of the triol with *p*-anisaldehyde dimethyl acetal in the presence of a catalytic amount of CSA in DMF at 23 °C for 2 h afforded benzylidene acetal **23** selectively in 85% yield over 2-steps. DIBAL-H reduction of **24** in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  at -15 °C for 12 h provided the corresponding PMB-protected alcohol. Selective protection of the primary alcohol as a TBS-ether with TBSCl and imidazole in the presence of DMAP in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  at 23 °C furnished protected allyl



**Scheme 4.** Synthesis of methyl ester derivative **26**

derivative **24** in 86% yield over 2-steps. Allyl derivative **24** was converted to methyl ester derivative **25** by exposure to ozonolytic conditions in a mixture of 2.5 M NaOH solution in MeOH and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at -78 °C.<sup>43</sup> This methyl ester **25** was isolated in 36% yield. The alcohol functionality was oxidized with Dess-Martin periodinane and the resulting ketone was reacted with methylenetriphenylphosphorane at 0 °C in THF, to provide olefin **26** in 72% yield over 2-steps.

Conversion of olefin **26** to epoxy alcohol derivative **8** is shown in Scheme 5. TBS-ether **26** was exposed to TBAF in THF at 23 °C to provide the corresponding alcohol. Oxidation of this primary alcohol to the corresponding aldehyde was accomplished by reaction with Dess-Martin periodinane. Wittig-olefination of the resulting aldehyde with methylenetriphenylphosphorane at 0 °C provided diene derivative **27** in 33% yield over 3-steps. For the directed epoxidation, the PMB-group was removed using DDQ in a phosphate buffer (pH 7) at 0 °C for 3 h. The resulting allylic alcohol was reacted with a catalytic amount (20 mol %) of VO(acac)<sub>2</sub> in the presence of *t*-butyl hydroperoxide in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 0 °C to 23 °C for 2 h to provide epoxide **7** as a single diastereomer by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR analysis.<sup>44</sup>




**Scheme 5.** Stereoselective synthesis of epoxy alcohol **8**.

Overall, the route provided access to the epoxy alcohol subunit **8**, however, there were major drawbacks for this route with one being the conversion of olefin **24** to ester **25** which provided only 36% yield under optimized conditions. Additionally, the Wittig olefination step for the conversion of **25** to **26** provided very low yields and other side products. When the reaction was scaled up, one of the major side products being the formation of conjugated ester **28**. Thus, we turned to explore an alternate route utilizing homoallyl derivative **23** and also planned to install the carboxylic acid functionality at a later stage.

Our optimized route to epoxy alcohol **8** is shown in Scheme 6. Ozonolytic cleavage of olefin **23** followed by reduction of the resulting aldehyde with NaBH<sub>4</sub> provided the corresponding alcohol. The alcohol was protected as a TBDPS-ether by reaction with TBDPS-Cl and imidazole in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 23 °C for 1 h, providing silyl ether derivative **29** in 73% yield over 2-steps. Oxidation of the alcohol **29** with a catalytic amount of TPAP (10 mol %) in the presence of NMO and 4Å MS in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 23 °C for 30 min provided the corresponding ketone. Peterson olefination of this ketone was carried out by addition of TMSCH<sub>2</sub>MgCl in ether at 0 °C for 30 min followed by addition of KHMDS in THF at 0 °C to 23 °C for 1.5 h. Olefin **30** was obtained in 68% yield over 3-steps.<sup>46,47</sup> The Peterson olefination step provided convenient access to olefin **30** in a reproducible yield during scale-up of these reactions. DIBAL-H reduction of **30** in PhCH<sub>3</sub> at -78 °C to 0 °C for 1 h afforded the PMB-ether derivative **31** regioselectively in 69% yield. Oxidation of the primary alcohol using TPAP in the presence of NMO as described above, provided the corresponding aldehyde. Wittig olefination of the aldehyde furnished diene derivative **32** in 31% yield over 2-steps. Diene **32** was converted to methyl ester derivative **27** in a three-step


sequence involving, (i) removal of the TBDPS-ether



**Scheme 6.** An alternative synthesis of epoxy alcohol.

by exposure to TBAF in THF at  $23\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  for 2 h; (ii) oxidation of the resulting alcohol with TEMPO in the presence of BAIB in a mixture (1:1) of  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and (iii) esterification of the resulting acid with  $\text{TMSCHN}_2$  in a mixture (3:2) of toluene and  $\text{MeOH}$  at  $23\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  for 2 h. Methyl ester **27** was obtained in 84% yield over 3-steps. It was converted to epoxy alcohol **8** as described in Scheme 4.


With the stereoselective syntheses of functionalized diene subunit **6** and epoxy alcohol subunit **8**, we explored cross metathesis as shown in Scheme 7.<sup>48,49</sup> The reaction was carried out under optimized conditions as follows. A solution of epoxy alcohol **8** and Grubbs II catalyst was prepared in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> under an argon atmosphere. In a separate flask, to a stirred solution of diene **7** in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, a one-third portion of epoxy alcohol **8** and the catalyst mixture was added and the resulting mixture was heated to 55 °C for 1.5 h. Two other portions of epoxy alcohol and catalyst were successively added to the reaction mixture at 55 °C in 1.5 h intervals. The reaction was carried out for a total of 6 h at 55 °C. After this period, the reaction was cooled down to 23 °C and concentrated. The resulting residue was purified by silica gel chromatography over silica gel to provide the methyl ester derivative of thailanstatin A, (**2**) in 46% yield. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR of our synthetic thailanstatin A methyl ester {[α]<sup>23</sup><sub>D</sub> + 4.8 (c 0.08, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>)} are in full agreement with the reported spectra of synthetic thailanstatin A methyl ester by Nicolaou and co-workers.<sup>36</sup> To complete the synthesis of thailanstatin A, we were required to hydrolyze the methyl ester functionality. Our subsequent attempts to hydrolyze the methyl ester under various conditions including trimethyltin hydroxide however, resulted in decomposition to a mixture of unidentified products.<sup>50</sup> Since thailanstatin A methyl ester has been reported to have significantly better cytotoxic properties than the acid, we sought to test its activity as a splicing inhibitor *in vitro*.



**Scheme 7.** Synthesis of thailanstatin methyl ester.

### (III) Biological Activity Studies.

The activity of thailanstatin methyl ester (**2**) was measured in an *in vitro* splicing assay in which a synthetic pre-mRNA substrate is incubated in nuclear extract from HeLa cells with increasing concentrations of the compound. We determined splicing efficiency by separating the RNA substrate and products by denaturing PAGE and quantifying percent of pre-mRNA converted to mRNA relative to a DMSO control, which does not affect splicing. Compound (**2**) inhibits *in vitro* splicing in a dose dependent manner, with an IC<sub>50</sub> of approximately 0.4  $\mu$ M (Figure 2a and 2c). We also used native gel analysis of the same *in vitro* splicing reactions to examine the effect of (**2**) on spliceosome assembly. Spliceosomes assemble on pre-mRNA substrates via an ordered series of complexes with differing mobility in native gel electrophoresis. H/E and A complexes represent early intermediates that convert to B and then to C complex, in which splicing reaction is catalyzed. All of the spliceosome complexes appear in splicing reactions with DMSO, but spliceosome assembly



**Figure 2.** In vitro splicing analysis of thailanstatin methyl ester (2) (a) Denaturing gel analysis of radiolabeled RNA isolated after a 30 minute incubation in splicing reactions including compounds and the indicated concentration. DMSO (1%) and spliceostatin A (SSA) were included as controls. Identities of bands are schematized to the left as (from top to bottom) lariat intermediate, pre-mRNA, lariat intron product, mRNA product, 5' exon intermediate, and linearized intron product. (b) Native gel analysis of aliquots of the splicing reactions shown in (a) separated under native conditions. The identity of splicing complexes is denoted with assembly occurring in the following order: H/E  $\rightarrow$  A  $\rightarrow$  B  $\rightarrow$  C. (c) Splicing efficiency normalized to DMSO control vs. inhibitor concentration for the splicing reactions shown in (a).

is halted at an A-like complex in the presence of increasing amounts of (2) (Figure 2b). The block in complex assembly correlates with loss of splicing catalysis, and is consistent with the activity of other compounds that target SF3B1, such as spliceostatin A.

## Conclusion

We have accomplished an enantioselective synthesis of thailanstatin A methyl ester and carried out an evaluation of its *in vitro* splicing activity. The convergent synthesis of thailanstatin A methyl ester involved construction of both highly functionalized tetrahydropyran rings utilizing commercially available inexpensive tri-*O*-acetyl-D-glucose as



the key starting material. The synthesis of tetrahydropyran segment **9** was carried out using a highly stereoselective Claisen rearrangement to set the C13 stereocenter. Installation of the C14 methyl center was accomplished by a Cu(I)-mediated conjugate addition of MeLi. Selective formation of a triisopropylbenzene sulfonate derivative of the C17 primary alcohol, followed by LAH reduction installed the C17 methyl center. Stereoselective construction of epoxy ester segment **8** was carried out by a highly stereoselective anomeric C-allylation of tri-*O*-acetyl-D-glucal derived methyl glycoside, a Peterson olefination to install the C5 methylene group and a vanadium(II)-catalyzed highly selective allylic epoxidation to install the C5 oxirane ring. A cross metathesis of two segments using Grubbs' 2<sup>nd</sup> generation catalyst afforded thailanstatin A methyl ester in good yield. Our attempted hydrolysis of the methyl ester under selective hydrolytic conditions resulted in the decomposition of the product.

Since the methyl ester was reported to have significantly potent cytotoxic activity<sup>26</sup>, we carried out *in vitro* splicing studies of the synthetic thailanstatin A methyl ester. The methyl ester derivative showed an IC<sub>50</sub> value of ~0.4  $\mu$ M, which is close to the value reported for natural product thailanstatin A (~0.65  $\mu$ M).<sup>25</sup> Thailanstatin A methyl ester blocks spliceosome assembly at an A-like complex like other compounds that target SF3B1, suggesting that it targets the spliceosome by a similar mechanism.<sup>33</sup> Further studies of thailanstatin A derivatives are in progress in our laboratory.

## Experimental Section

**General Experimental Details.** Those reactions which required anhydrous conditions were carried out under an argon atmosphere using oven-dried glassware (120 °C). All

chemicals and reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. Anhydrous solvents were obtained as follows: anhydrous tetrahydrofuran and diethyl ether were distilled from sodium metal under argon, anhydrous dichloromethane was dried via distillation from CaH<sub>2</sub> immediately prior to use under argon, and anhydrous methanol and ethanol were distilled from activated magnesium under argon. All other solvents were reagent grade. TLC analysis was conducted using glass-backed Thin-Layer Silica Gel Chromatography Plates (60 Å, 250 µm thickness, F-254 indicator). Flash chromatography was performed using 230-400 mesh, 60 Å pore diameter silica gel. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded at 400, 500, or 800 MHz. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded at 100 or 150 MHz. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million and are referenced to the deuterated residual solvent peak. NMR data is reported as: δ value (chemical shift, *J*-value (Hz), integration, where s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, brs = broad singlet). IR spectra were recorded on a Varian 2000 Infrared spectrophotometer and are reported as cm<sup>-1</sup>. LRMS and HRMS spectra were recorded at the Purdue University Department of Chemistry Mass Spectrometry Center. Melting points were measured on a melting point apparatus and was uncorrected.

**(4a*R*,8*R*,8a*S*)-2,2-Di-*tert*-butyl-8-(vinyl-oxo)-4,4a,8,8a-tetrahydropyrano[3,2-*d*][1,3,2]dioxasiline (14).** To a solution of glucal **13** (10 g, 36.73 mmol, 1 equiv) in MeOH (40 mL) was added K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (508 mg, 3.67 mmol, 0.1 equiv) at room temperature and the reaction was set to stir. After 12 h, the reaction was concentrated and the crude residue was purified by column chromatography (5% MeOH in EtOAc) to give 5.20 g (97% yield) of the corresponding triol

derivative as a white solid.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (300 MHz,  $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}-d$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 6.34 (dd,  $J = 6.1, 1.7$  Hz, 1H), 4.67 (dd,  $J = 6.0, 2.3$  Hz, 1H), 4.10 (dt,  $J = 7.1, 2.0$  Hz, 1H), 3.93 – 3.64 (m, 2H), 3.55 (dd,  $J = 9.6, 7.1$  Hz, 1H), 3.33 – 3.28 (m, 1H).

To a solution of the above triol derivative (5.20 g, 35.60 mmol, 1 equiv) dissolved in dry DMF (15 mL) was added pyridine (14.4 mL, 178.00 mmol, 5 equiv). The reaction was cooled to  $-30\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  and  $^t\text{Bu}_2\text{Si}(\text{OTf})_2$  (12.70 mL, 39.14 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added dropwise. The reaction was slowly warmed to room temperature. After 1.5 h, the reaction was diluted with EtOAc and the organic layer was washed with a 10% solution of  $\text{CuSO}_4$  (x2), water (x3) and brine (x3). The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (10% EtOAc/Hexanes) to give 8.67 g (85% yield) of the resulting dioxasiline derivative as a white solid. Experimental data are consistent with the data reported in literature<sup>1</sup>.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (300 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 6.27 (ddd,  $J = 6.1, 1.9, 0.5$  Hz, 1H), 4.76 (dd,  $J = 6.1, 1.9$  Hz, 1H), 4.36 – 4.23 (m, 1H), 4.23 – 4.05 (m, 1H), 4.01 – 3.77 (m, 3H), 2.35 (br, 1H), 1.07 (s, 9H), 1.00 (s, 9H).

To a solution of the alcohol (1.66 g, 5.79 mmol, 1 equiv) in ethyl vinyl ether (7.5 mL), in an oven dried sealed tube was added  $\text{Hg}(\text{OAc})_2$  (551 mg, 1.73 mmol, 0.3 equiv). The mixture was heated to  $65\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ , and  $\text{Hg}(\text{OAc})_2$  (551 mg, 1.73 mmol, 0.3 equiv) was added every 24 h for an additional four times. After five days, the reaction was diluted with EtOAc and the organic layer was washed with water (x2), brine and then dried over anhydrous  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ . Purification by column chromatography (2% EtOAc/Hexanes) gave 1.18 g (65% yield) of vinyl ether **14** as a white solid. Experimental data are consistent with the data reported in literature<sup>1</sup>.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (300 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 6.53 (dd,  $J = 14.0, 6.5$  Hz, 1H), 6.38 – 6.24

(m, 1H), 4.78 (dd,  $J = 6.1, 2.0$  Hz, 1H), 4.46 – 4.34 (m, 2H), 4.25 – 4.07 (m, 2H), 4.07 – 3.93 (m, 2H), 3.87 (dt,  $J = 10.2, 5.1$  Hz, 1H), 1.06 (s, 9H), 1.00 (s, 9H).

**2-((4a*R*,6*S*,8a*S*)-2,2-Di-*tert*-butyl-4,4a,6,8a-tetrahydropyrano[3,2-*d*][1,3,2]dioxasilin-6-yl)acetaldehyde (15).** A solution of vinyl ether **14** (4.20 g, 13.46 mmol, 1 equiv) in toluene (40 mL) was heated at 190 °C in a sealed tube. After 12 h, the reaction was concentrated and the resulting residue was purified by column chromatography (10% EtOAc/Hexanes) to give 4.00 g (95% yield) of aldehyde **15** as a white solid. Experimental data are consistent with the data reported in literature<sup>1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 9.75 (t,  $J = 2.1$  Hz, 1H), 5.93 (d,  $J = 10.4$  Hz, 1H), 5.64 (dt,  $J = 10.4, 1.8$  Hz, 1H), 4.72 (m, 1H), 4.39 (m, 1H), 4.16 (dd,  $J = 10.0, 5.1$  Hz, 1H), 3.84 (t,  $J = 10.2$  Hz, 1H), 3.54 (ddd,  $J = 10.2, 8.5, 5.0$  Hz, 1H), 2.58 (d,  $J = 2.1$  Hz, 1H), 2.56 (d,  $J = 2.1$  Hz, 1H), 1.05 (s, 9H), 0.99 (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 200.6, 131.4, 128.0, 74.8, 71.1, 70.1, 67.2, 48.4, 27.6, 27.2, 22.8, 20.2.

**(4a*R*,6*S*,8a*S*)-2,2-di-*tert*-Butyl-6-((*E*)-3-methylpenta-2,4-dien-1-yl)-4,4a,6,8a-tetrahydropyrano[3,2-*d*][1,3,2]dioxasiline (16).** To a solution of aldehyde **15** (3.35 g, 10.70 mmol, 1 equiv) in dry toluene (70 mL) was added 2-(triphenylphosphoranylidene)propanal (4.08 g, 12.80 mmol, 1.2 equiv) and the reaction was set to stir at 110 °C. After 12 h, the reaction was concentrated and the crude residue was purified by column chromatography (4.5% EtOAc/Hexanes) to give 3.40 g (90% yield) of the resulting  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated aldehyde as a colorless oil.  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -58.6$  ( $c$  1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 9.41 (s,

1H), 6.52 (t,  $J = 7.0$  Hz, 1H), 5.93 (d,  $J = 10.0$  Hz, 1H), 5.59 (d,  $J = 10.3$  Hz, 1H), 4.49 – 4.32 (m, 2H), 4.17 (dd,  $J = 9.9, 5.0$  Hz, 1H), 3.84 (t,  $J = 10.1$  Hz, 1H), 3.52 (ddd,  $J = 10.5, 8.3, 5.0$  Hz, 1H), 2.68 – 2.44 (m, 2H), 1.74 (s, 3H), 1.05 (s, 9H), 0.99 (s, 9H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 195.0, 149.1, 141.2, 131.4, 128.4, 74.8, 74.1, 70.2, 67.2, 34.7, 27.6, 27.2, 22.8, 20.2, 9.6; LRMS-ESI (+)  $m/z$  375.2  $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$ .

To a suspension of methyl triphenylphosphonium bromide (5.65 g, 15.81 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in dry THF (100 mL) at 0 °C was added  $t\text{BuOK}$  (15.81 mL, 15.81 mmol, 2.5 equiv, 1 M in THF). The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min and then a solution of the above aldehyde (2.23 g, 6.33 mmol, 1 equiv) in dry THF (50 mL) was added via cannula and the reaction was slowly warmed to room temperature. After 2 h, the reaction was quenched with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , extracted with EA (x3), washed with brine and dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ . Purification by column chromatography (4.5% EtOAc/Hexanes) gave 1.86 g (84% yield) of diene **16** as a pale-yellow oil.  $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20} = +66.1$  ( $c$  9.0,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ );  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (300 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 6.37 (dd,  $J = 17.4, 10.7$  Hz, 1H), 5.86 (d,  $J = 10.4$  Hz, 1H), 5.61 (dt,  $J = 10.4, 2.0$  Hz, 1H), 5.49 (t,  $J = 6.8$  Hz, 1H), 5.11 (d,  $J = 17.4$  Hz, 1H), 4.96 (d,  $J = 10.8$  Hz, 1H), 4.40 (m, 1H), 4.26 (m, 1H), 4.18 (dd,  $J = 10.0, 5.1$  Hz, 1H), 3.87 (t,  $J = 10.2$  Hz, 1H), 3.50 (ddd,  $J = 10.5, 8.4, 5.0$  Hz, 1H), 2.37 (t,  $J = 6.9$  Hz, 2H), 1.73 (s, 3H), 1.06 (s, 9H), 0.99 (s, 9H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (75 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 141.2, 136.3, 130.2, 129.2, 127.3, 111.3, 75.3, 74.8, 70.4, 67.4, 34.4, 27.7, 27.3, 22.9, 20.3, 12.2; LRMS-ESI (+)  $m/z$  351.2  $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ .

**((2*R*,3*S*,6*S*)-3-Hydroxy-6-((*E*)-3-methylpenta-2,4-dien-1-yl)-3,6-dihydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)**

**methyl2,4,6-triisopropylbenzenesulfonate (17).** To a solution of diene **16** (1.86 g, 5.31

mmol, 1 equiv) dissolved in dry THF (40 mL) at 0 °C was added TBAF (10.60 mL, 10.60 mmol, 2 equiv, 1 M in THF) and the reaction was warmed to room temperature. After 12 h, the reaction was diluted with H<sub>2</sub>O, extracted with EtOAc (x3), washed with brine and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Purification by column chromatography (50% EtOAc/Hexanes) gave 1.08 g (97% yield) of the corresponding diol as a colorless oil.  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = + 99.8$  (*c* 6.9, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 6.35 (dd, *J* = 17.4, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.84 – 5.63 (m, 2H), 5.48 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 5.10 (d, *J* = 17.4 Hz, 1H), 4.95 (d, *J* = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.26 – 4.06 (m, 2H), 3.94 – 3.69 (m, 2H), 3.40 – 3.30 (m, 1H), 3.25 (br, 1H), 2.90 (br, 1H), 2.45 – 2.27 (m, 2H), 1.72 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 141.0, 136.2, 130.6, 129.3, 127.1, 111.3, 78.9, 74.4, 64.1, 63.1, 34.3, 12.1; HRMS-ESI (+) *m/z* calc'd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>19</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 211.1334, found 211.1328.

To a solution of the above diol (1.14 g, 5.4 mmol, 1 equiv) in dry DCM (10 mL) and dry pyridine (6 mL) at 0 °C was added 2,4,6-triisopropylbenzenesulfonyl chloride (2.13 g, 7.03 mmol, 1.3 equiv). The reaction was heated at 45 °C for 4 h, then cooled to room temperature and another portion of 2,4,6-triisopropylbenzenesulfonyl chloride (0.49 g, 1.62 mmol, 0.3 equiv) was added. After stirring at 45 °C for another 12 h, the reaction was diluted with H<sub>2</sub>O and DCM, extracted with DCM (x3), washed with 1 N HCl (x2), satd. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (x2) and brine (x3) and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Purification by column chromatography (15 % EtOAc/Hexanes) provided 1.80 g (97% yield) of sulfonate **17** as a white amorphous solid.  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = + 44.0$  (*c* 4.4, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 7.18 (s, 2H), 6.34 (dd, *J* = 17.4, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.74 (q, *J* = 10.2 Hz, 2H), 5.44 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 5.10 (d, *J* = 17.5 Hz, 1H), 4.95 (d, *J* = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.35 – 4.22 (m, 2H), 4.20 – 4.08 (m, 5H), 3.52 (ddd, *J* = 8.5,

5.3, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 2.91 (quint,  $J = 6.9$  Hz, 1H), 2.34 – 2.29 (m, 2H), 1.71 (s, 3H), 1.28 – 1.23 (m, 18H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (75 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 153.7, 150.8, 141.2, 136.3, 131.1, 129.3, 128.7, 127.1, 123.8, 111.4, 74.7, 69.0, 63.8, 60.6, 34.5, 34.2, 29.9, 25.0, 23.8, 14.4, 12.2; HRMS-ESI (+)  $m/z$  calc'd for  $\text{C}_{27}\text{H}_{41}\text{O}_5\text{S}$   $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ : 477.2675, found 477.2671.

**(2*R*,3*S*,6*S*)-2-Methyl-6-((*E*)-3-methylpenta-2,4-dien-1-yl)-3,6-dihydro-2*H*-pyran-3-ol**

**(18).** To a suspension of  $\text{LiAlH}_4$  (716 mg, 18.90 mmol, 5 equiv) in dry THF (70 mL) at 0 °C was slowly added a solution of sulfonate **17** (1.80 g, 3.80 mmol, 1 equiv) in dry THF (20 mL) via cannula. The reaction was heated at 65 °C for 1 h, then cooled to 0 °C and quenched with EtOAc. A 1 N NaOH solution was carefully added to the mixture and the crude product was filtered through celite. The filtrate was dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  and purification by column chromatography (30% EtOAc/Hexanes) furnished 700 mg (95% yield) of dihydropyran **18** as a colorless oil.  $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20} = +115.7$  ( $c$  8.4,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ );  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (300 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 6.37 (dd,  $J = 17.2, 10.8$  Hz, 1H), 5.77 – 5.68 (m, 2H), 5.51 (t,  $J = 7.3$  Hz, 1H), 5.10 (d,  $J = 17.3$  Hz, 1H), 4.95 (d,  $J = 10.7$  Hz, 1H), 4.13 (m, 1H), 3.85 (m, 1H), 3.33 (m, 1H), 2.45 – 2.29 (m, 2H), 1.98 (br, 1H), 1.73 (s, 3H), 1.32 (d,  $J = 6.2$  Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (75 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 141.2, 136.2, 131.2, 129.3, 127.4, 111.3, 75.7, 74.4, 69.7, 34.5, 18.7, 12.2; HRMS-ESI (+)  $m/z$  calc'd for  $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{19}\text{O}_2$   $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ : 195.1385, found 195.1381.

**(2*R*,5*S*,6*S*)-2,5-Dimethyl-6-((*E*)-3-methylpenta-2,4-dien-1-yl)dihydro-2*H*-pyran-3(4*H*)-one (19).**

To a solution of **18** (350 mg, 1.80 mmol, 1 equiv) in dry DCM (20 mL) at 0 °C was added DMP (1.15 g, 2.70 mmol, 1.5 equiv) and the reaction was slowly warmed to room

temperature. After stirring at room temperature for 1.5 h, the reaction was quenched with a 1:1 mixture of satd. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and satd. Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, extracted with DCM (x3), washed with brine and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Purification by column chromatography (10% EtOAc/Hexanes) gave 260 mg (75% yield) of the corresponding enone as a colorless oil.  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +88.1$  (*c* 8.3, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 6.87 (dd, *J* = 10.3, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.35 (dd, *J* = 17.4, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 6.05 (dd, *J* = 10.3, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 5.51 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 5.11 (d, *J* = 17.4 Hz, 1H), 4.96 (d, *J* = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.43 – 4.31 (m, 1H), 4.09 (dd, *J* = 12.8, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 2.59 – 2.41 (m, 2H), 1.74 (s, 3H), 1.35 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 196.7, 150.6, 140.7, 136.9, 126.7, 126.0, 111.8, 76.9, 73.9, 33.6, 15.5, 12.1; HRMS-ESI (+) *m/z* calc'd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>17</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 193.1229, found 193.1225.

To a suspension of CuBr·SMe<sub>2</sub> (835 mg, 4.06 mmol, 3 equiv) in dry Et<sub>2</sub>O (12 mL) at –78 °C, was added MeLi (2.62 mL, 8.31 mmol, 6.2 equiv, 3.1 M solution) dropwise. The reaction was stirred at this temperature for 1 h and then a solution of the above enone (260 mg, 1.35 mmol, 1 equiv) in Et<sub>2</sub>O (4 mL) was added dropwise. The reaction then continued stirring at –78 °C. After 2 h, the reaction was quenched with H<sub>2</sub>O, extracted with EtOAc (x3), washed with brine and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Purification by column chromatography (10% EtOAc/Hexanes) gave 240 mg (85% yield) of ketone **19** as a colorless oil in a 10:1 diastereomeric ratio.  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -33.4$  (*c* 1.1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 6.39 (dd, *J* = 17.2, 10.4 Hz, 1H), 5.50 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.13 (d, *J* = 14.8 Hz, 1H), 4.98 (d, *J* = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.00 – 3.86 (m, 2H), 2.64 (dd, *J* = 15.2, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.48 (m, 1H), 2.37 – 2.27 (m, 3H), 1.78 (s, 3H), 1.28 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.98 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm) 208.7, 141.2, 135.9, 127.9, 111.4, 79.5, 78.8, 46.7, 34.9, 31.4, 15.0,



13.1, 11.9; HRMS-ESI (+)  $m/z$  calc'd for  $C_{13}H_{20}O_2Na$   $[M+Na]^+$ : 231.1361, found 231.1355.

**(2*R*,3*R*,5*S*,6*S*)-2,5-Dimethyl-6-((*E*)-3-methylpenta-2,4-dien-1-yl)tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-3-**

**amine (9).** To a solution of ketone **19** (120 mg, 0.58 mmol, 1 equiv) in THF (12 mL) was added  $NH_4OAc$  (888 mg, 11.52 mmol, 20 equiv),  $NaBH(OAc)_3$  (610 mg, 2.88 mmol, 5 equiv) and TFA (44  $\mu$ L, 0.58 mmol, 1 equiv). The reaction was set to stir at room temperature. After 12 h, the reaction was quenched with satd.  $NaHCO_3$ , extracted with DCM (x3), washed with brine and dried over  $Na_2SO_4$ . Purification by column chromatography (5% MeOH/DCM) afforded 77 mg (63% yield) of amine **9** as a colorless oil in an 8.5:1 diastereomeric ratio.  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -7.0$  ( $c$  7.7,  $CHCl_3$ );  $^1H$  NMR (500 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 6.33 (dd,  $J = 17.4, 10.6$  Hz, 1H), 5.43 (t,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 1H), 5.06 (d,  $J = 17.3$  Hz, 1H), 4.91 (d,  $J = 10.7$  Hz, 1H), 4.26 (brs, 2H), 3.56 (m, 1H), 3.45 (td,  $J = 7.1, 2.4$  Hz, 1H), 3.39 (s, 1H), 2.83 (brs, 1H), 2.36 (m, 1H), 2.22 (m, 1H), 1.93 (m, 2H), 1.72 (s, 3H), 1.18 (d,  $J = 6.5$  Hz, 3H), 1.07 (d,  $J = 7.4$  Hz, 3H);  $^{13}C$  NMR (125 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 141.4, 135.6, 128.5, 111.0, 80.8, 76.0, 49.3, 37.1, 31.9, 28.9, 17.8, 14.9, 12.0; LRMS-ESI (+)  $m/z$  210.2  $[M + H]^+$ .

**(*S*,*Z*)-5-(((2*R*,3*R*,5*S*,6*S*)-2,5-Dimethyl-6-((*E*)-3-methylpenta-2,4-dien-1-yl)tetrahydro-2*H*-**

**pyran-3-yl)amino)-5-oxopent-3-en-2-yl acetate (7).** To a stirred solution of (*S*,*Z*)-4-acetoxypent-2-enoic acid **10** (62 mg, 0.39 mmol, 1.2 equiv) in anhydrous acetonitrile (2 mL) at 0 °C was added HATU (150 mg, 0.39 mmol, 1.2 equiv) and DIPEA (230  $\mu$ L, 1.32 mmol, 4 equiv). The resulting mixture was then transferred via cannula to a stirred solution of crude amine **9** (68 mg, 0.33 mmol, 1 equiv) in acetonitrile (2 mL) at 0 °C, rinsing with

additional acetonitrile (1 mL). After stirring for 24 h at room temperature, the reaction was quenched by the addition of satd.  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$  and then diluted with EtOAc. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (x3), washed with brine and dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ . Purification by silica gel chromatography (25 % EtOAc/Hexanes) afforded 90 mg (78% yield) of amide derivative **7** as a colorless oil.  $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20} = -58.6$  ( $c$  1.05, DCM);  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 6.36 (dd,  $J = 17.6, 10.8$  Hz, 1H), 6.26 (dt,  $J = 13.6, 6.8$  Hz, 1H), 6.00 (d,  $J = 8.8$  Hz, 1H), 5.89 (dd,  $J = 11.6, 8.0$  Hz, 1H), 5.70 (d,  $J = 11.6$  Hz, 1H), 5.46 (t,  $J = 6.8$  Hz, 1H), 5.10 (d,  $J = 17.6$  Hz, 1H), 4.95 (d,  $J = 10.8$  Hz, 1H), 3.94 (t,  $J = 3.2$  Hz, 1H), 3.67 (dd,  $J = 6.4, 2.0$  Hz, 1H), 3.54 (dt,  $J = 7.6, 2.8$  Hz, 1H), 2.39 (m, 1H), 2.24 (m, 1H), 2.04 (s, 3H), 2.00 – 1.86 (m, 2H), 1.80 (m, 1H), 1.75 (s, 3H), 1.39 (d,  $J = 6.4$  Hz, 3H), 1.15 (d,  $J = 6.4$  Hz, 3H), 1.02 (d,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 170.4, 164.8, 143.6, 141.3, 135.7, 128.1, 122.5, 111.1, 80.8, 76.0, 68.9, 47.1, 35.8, 31.9, 28.9, 21.2, 20.0, 17.8, 15.0, 11.9; FT-IR (neat)  $\nu_{\text{max}} = 3358, 2977, 2934, 1739, 1668, 1634, 1520, 1369, 1243, 1049, 1011\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ; HRMS-ESI (+)  $m/z$  calc'd for  $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{31}\text{NO}_4\text{Na}$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$ : 372.2151, found 372.2152.

**(2R,3S,4R,6R)-2-(acetoxymethyl)-6-allyltetrahydro-2H-pyran-3,4-diylldiacetate (22).** To glucal **13** (10.0 g, 36.73 mmol, 1, equiv) in acetonitrile (100 mL) was sequentially added MeOH (7.4 mL, 183.65 mmol, 5 equiv), NaI (8.26 g, 55.1 mmol, 1.5 equiv) and  $\text{CeCl}_3 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (20.53 g, 55.1 mmol, 1.5 equiv). The reaction was set to reflux overnight at 100 °C. After 12 h, the brown solution was diluted with EtOAc and water. The crude product was extracted with EA (x3), washed with brine and dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ . Purification by flash chromatography (20% to 50% EtOAc/Hexanes) gave 6.06 g (54% yield) of methyl acetal as a

dark oil.

To the above methyl acetal (6.06 g, 19.92 mmol, 1 equiv) in acetonitrile (60 mL) at 0 °C was added allyltrimethylsilane (9.5 mL, 59.76 mmol, 3 equiv) followed by dropwise addition of TMSOTf (1.8 mL, 9.96 mmol, 0.5 equiv) and the reaction was slowly warmed to room temperature. After 12 h, the black solution was slowly quenched with sat. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> until the effervescence ceased. The crude product was extracted with EtOAc (x3), washed with brine and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Purification by flash chromatography (20% to 30% EtOAc/Hexanes) provided 4.07 g (65% yield) of glucal **22** as a clear oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm): 5.76 (m, 1H), 5.08-5.15 (m, 2H), 4.86 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (dd, *J* = 12.0, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 4.03-4.09 (m, 2H), 3.88-3.92 (m, 1H), 2.51 (m, 1H), 2.28 (m, 1H), 2.05-2.08 (m, 9H), 1.98 (dt, *J* = 13.6, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 1.85 (ddd, *J* = 13.7, 8.9, 4.8 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm): 170.9, 170.2, 169.9, 133.9, 117.8, 70.8, 70.0, 68.9, 68.8, 62.2, 36.8, 32.2, 21.2, 21.0, 20.9; LRMS-ESI (+) *m/z* [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>: 337.0.

**(4*aR*,6*R*,8*R*,8*aS*)-6-allyl-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)hexahydropyrano[3,2-*d*][1,3]dioxin-8-ol**

**(23).** To a pale yellow solution of glucal **22** (2.99 g, 9.52 mmol, 1 equiv) in methanol (10 mL) was added potassium carbonate (132 mg, 0.95 mmol, 0.1 equiv) at room temperature. After 1 h, the orange solution was concentrated and filtered through a silica plug rinsing with 10% MeOH/EtOAc. The crude product was placed under high-vacuum overnight at 40 °C to remove any trace of methanol. The crude product was directly used for the subsequent reaction.

To the above crude triol (9.52 mmol, 1 equiv) and anisaldehyde dimethyl acetal (3.2 mL,

19.0 mmol, 2 equiv) in dry DMF (12 mL) was added CSA (442 mg, 1.90 mmol, 0.2 equiv) at room temperature. After 10 min, the reaction was neutralized with NEt<sub>3</sub> (0.74 mL, 5.33 mmol). The crude product was extracted with EtOAc (x3), washed with brine and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Purification by flash chromatography (30% to 70% EtOAc/Hexanes) gave 2.17 g (74% yield over 2-steps) of benzyldiene acetal **23** as a white amorphous solid.  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +26.6$  (*c* 0.92, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm): 7.42 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.90 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 5.77 (m, 1H), 5.52 (s, 1H), 5.09-5.16 (m, 2H), 4.20 (dd, *J* = 10.0, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 4.02-4.12 (m, 2H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.67 (t, *J* = 10 Hz, 1H), 3.60 (td, *J* = 9.8, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 3.43 (t, *J* = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 2.60 (m, 1H), 2.53 (d, *J* = 2 Hz, 1H), 2.32 (m, 1H), 2.04 (ddd, *J* = 13.3, 5.2, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 1.89 (ddd, *J* = 13.4, 11.4, 6.2 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm): 160.4, 134.4, 130.0, 127.7, 117.6, 113.9, 102.1, 84.7, 73.5, 69.5, 66.3, 64.2, 55.5, 36.2, 35.1; FT-IR (neat)  $\nu_{\max}$  = 3315, 3224, 2940, 2892, 2870, 1615, 1519, 1253, 1102, 1033, 1002, 827 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS-ESI (+) *m/z* calc'd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>5</sub>Na [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>: 329.13649, found 329.13217.

**(2*R*,3*S*,4*R*,6*R*)-6-allyl-2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-3-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy) tetrahydro-2H-pyran-4-ol (24).** To a solution of benzyldiene acetal **23** (500 mg, 1.63 mmol, 1 equiv) in dry DCM (10 mL) at -15 °C was added DIBAL-H (7.30 mL, 7.30 mmol, 4.5 equiv, 1 M solution) over 30 min. After stirring at -15 °C for 12 h, the reaction was quenched with MeOH and satd. sodium potassium tartrate. The mixture was stirred for 2 h, extracted with DCM (x3), washed with brine and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Purification by column chromatography (50% EtOAc/Hexanes) gave 477 mg (95% yield) of the resulting alcohol as a colorless oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm): 7.29 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.90 (d, *J* =

8.6 Hz, 2H), 5.75 (m, 1H), 5.21 – 4.95 (m, 2H), 4.70 (d,  $J = 11.2$  Hz, 1H), 4.61 (d,  $J = 11.2$  Hz, 1H), 4.06 (m, 1H), 3.90 (d,  $J = 11.4$  Hz, 1H), 3.81 (m, 5H), 3.55 – 3.45 (m, 1H), 3.28 (t,  $J = 8.9$  Hz, 1H), 2.51 (m, 1H), 2.23 (m, 1H), 1.95 (m, 2H), 1.74 (m, 1H).

To the above alcohol (500 mg, 1.63 mmol, 1 equiv) in dry DCM (9 mL) at 0 °C was added TBS-Cl (221 mg, 1.47 mmol, 0.9 equiv), imidazole (199 mg, 2.93 mmol, 1.8 equiv) and DMAP (40 mg, 0.33 mmol, 0.2 equiv) and the reaction was slowly warmed to room temperature. After stirring for 5 h, the reaction was diluted with H<sub>2</sub>O and DCM, extracted with DCM (x3), washed with brine and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Purification by column chromatography (30% EtOAc/Hexanes) gave 620 mg (90% yield) of silyl ether **24** as a colorless oil.  $[\alpha]_D^{20} +20.3$  ( $c$  1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 7.28 (d,  $J = 8.6$  Hz, 2H), 6.89 (d,  $J = 8.6$  Hz, 2H), 5.77 (m, 1H), 5.08 – 5.02 (m, 2H), 4.67 (d,  $J = 11.3$  Hz, 1H), 4.59 (d,  $J = 11.3$  Hz, 1H), 4.03 (m, 1H), 3.91 (m, 1H), 3.84 (dd,  $J = 5.5, 2.6$  Hz, 2H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.51 (m, 1H), 3.35 (t,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 1H), 2.55 (d,  $J = 4.1$  Hz, 1H), 2.44 (m, 1H), 2.20 (m, 1H), 1.89 (dt,  $J = 13.1, 4.3$  Hz, 1H), 1.68 (ddd,  $J = 13.1, 9.8, 5.3$  Hz, 1H), 0.92 (s, 9H), 0.09 (d,  $J = 4.8$  Hz, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 159.5, 135.0, 130.7, 129.7, 116.9, 114.1, 79.4, 74.0, 73.7, 71.1, 68.4, 63.8, 55.4, 36.9, 34.8, 26.1, 18.5, –5.1, –5.4; LRMS-ESI (+)  $m/z$  445.1.2 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>.

## Methyl

**2-((2*S*,4*R*,5*S*,6*R*)-6-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-4-hydroxy-5-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)acetate (25).** To a solution of **24** (540 mg, 1.19 mmol, 1 equiv) in DCM (9 mL) was added NaOH (2.38 mL, 5.95 mmol, 5 equiv, 2.5 M in MeOH)

which was then cooled to  $-78\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Ozone was bubbled through the reaction until the characteristic blue color developed. The reaction was then diluted with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and EtOAc, warmed to room temperature, extracted with EtOAc (x3), washed with brine and dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ . Purification by column chromatography (20% EtOAc/Hexanes) provided 209 mg (36% yield) of methyl ester **25** as colorless oil.  $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20} +25.1$  ( $c$  1.0,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ );  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 7.27 (d,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 2H), 6.88 (d,  $J = 8.6$  Hz, 2H), 4.67 (d,  $J = 11.4$  Hz, 1H), 4.57 (d,  $J = 11.4$  Hz, 1H), 4.49 (m, 1H), 3.90 – 3.84 (m, 3H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 3.54 (m, 1H), 3.38 (t,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 1H), 2.72 – 2.66 (m, 2H), 2.46 (dd,  $J = 14.7, 6.3$  Hz, 1H), 1.90 (dt,  $J = 13.4, 4.5$  Hz, 1H), 1.75 (ddd,  $J = 13.4, 9.3, 5.1$  Hz, 1H), 0.91 (s, 9H), 0.09 (d,  $J = 6.3$  Hz, 6H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 171.5, 159.6, 130.7, 129.7, 114.2, 78.7, 74.5, 73.5, 68.3, 68.0, 63.8, 55.4, 51.9, 38.2, 35.1, 26.1, 18.5,  $-5.0, -5.3$ ; LRMS-ESI (+)  $m/z$  477.2  $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$ .

## Methyl

**2-((2*S*,5*S*,6*R*)-6-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-5-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)-4-methylenetetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)acetate (26).** To a solution of alcohol **25** (152 mg, 0.33 mmol, 1 equiv) in dry DCM (5 mL) at  $0\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ , was added  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  (166 mg, 1.98 mmol, 6 equiv) and DMP (284 mg, 0.66 mmol, 2 equiv) and the reaction was slowly warmed to room temperature. After 1 h, the reaction was quenched with a 1:1 mixture of satd.  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  and satd.  $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$ . After vigorously stirring for 10 min, the mixture was extracted with DCM (x3), washed with brine and dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ . Purification by column chromatography (15% EtOAc/Hexanes) gave 141 mg (95% yield) of the corresponding ketone as a colorless oil.  $^1\text{H}$

NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 7.28 (d,  $J$  = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.85 (d,  $J$  = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 4.85 – 4.76 (m, 1H), 4.72 (d,  $J$  = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (d,  $J$  = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.05 (d,  $J$  = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 3.89 – 3.83 (m, 1H), 3.83 – 3.80 (m, 2H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.66 (s, 3H), 2.78 – 2.58 (m, 2H), 2.58 – 2.38 (m, 2H), 0.88 (s, 9H), 0.05 (d,  $J$  = 3.9 Hz, 6H).

To a suspension of methyltriphenylphosphonium bromide (354 mg, 1.00 mmol, 3 equiv) in dry THF (2 mL) at 0 °C was added <sup>t</sup>BuOK (830  $\mu$ L, 0.83 mmol, 2.5 equiv, 1 M in THF). After stirring at 0 °C for 1 h, a solution of the ketone (150 mg, 0.33 mmol, 1 equiv) in THF (1 mL) was added via cannula. After 10 min at 0 °C, the reaction was quenched with satd. NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, extracted with EA (x3), washed with brine and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Purification by column chromatography (15% EtOAc/Hexanes) afforded 113 mg (76% yield) of olefin **26** as a colorless oil.  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  +30.8 ( $c$  1.3, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 7.25 (d,  $J$  = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.85 (d,  $J$  = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 5.05 (d,  $J$  = 21.8 Hz, 2H), 4.56 (d,  $J$  = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.31 (d,  $J$  = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.08 (m, 1H), 3.86 – 3.79 (m, 5H), 3.69 – 3.67 (m, 5H), 2.63 (dd,  $J$  = 15.3, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 2.44 (dd,  $J$  = 15.3, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 2.38 – 2.24 (m, 2H), 0.82 (s, 9H),  $\square$  0.00 (d,  $J$  = 6.1 Hz, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 171.7, 159.3, 140.7, 130.5, 129.6, 113.9, 113.8, 78.1, 75.9, 70.0, 61.4, 55.4, 51.8, 39.9, 36.6, 25.9, 18.3, –5.3; LRMS-ESI (+)  $m/z$  473.1 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**(4a*R*,6*R*,8*R*,8a*S*)-6-(2-((tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)oxy)ethyl)-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)hexahydropyrano[3,2-*d*][1,3]dioxin-8-ol (29).** To a stirred solution of olefin **23** (2.74 g, 8.95 mmol, 1 equiv) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH (1:1, 40 mL) at –78 °C, ozone was bubbled through until the characteristic blue color developed. The reaction was quenched with PPh<sub>3</sub> (3.52 g, 13.43

mmol, 1.5 equiv) and warmed to 0 °C. After 30 min, NaBH<sub>4</sub> (677 mg, 17.9 mmol, 2 equiv) was added. After another 30 min, the reaction was slowly quenched with satd. NH<sub>4</sub>Cl until the effervescence ceased. The crude product was extracted with DCM (x3), washed with brine and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Purification by flash chromatography (60% ethyl acetate/hexanes to 100% EtOAc/Hexanes) gave 2.94 g (quantitative) of the corresponding alcohol as an amorphous white solid.  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +16.0$  (*c* 0.56, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm): 7.41 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.90 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 5.52 (s, 1H), 4.25-4.31 (m, 1H), 4.19 (dd, *J* = 9.7, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 4.06 – 3.98 (m, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.74 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.68 (t, *J* = 9.9 Hz, 1H), 3.61 (td, *J* = 9.8, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 3.43 (t, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 2.64 (brs, 1H), 2.20 (m, 1H), 1.94-1.98 (m, 2H), 1.85 (brs, 1H), 1.62 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm): 160.4, 129.9, 127.7, 113.9, 102.1, 84.6, 72.0, 69.4, 66.4, 64.3, 60.3, 55.5, 36.3, 33.5; FT-IR (neat)  $\nu_{\max}$  = 3415, 2958, 2926, 2852, 1612, 1588, 1517, 1464, 1380, 1302, 1250, 1102, 1035, 1006, 830, 728 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS-ESI (+) *m/z* calc'd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Na [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>: 333.13141, found 333.12733.

To the above primary alcohol (2.78 g, 8.96 mmol, 1 equiv) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (45 mL) was added imidazole (1.20 g, 17.92 mmol, 2 equiv) at room temperature. The solution was cooled to 0 °C upon which TBDPS-Cl (2.1 mL, 8.06 mmol, 0.9 equiv) was added. After 30 min, the reaction was diluted with water and the crude product was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (x3), washed with brine and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Purification by flash chromatography (20% to 60% EtOAc/Hexanes) gave 2.78 g (76% yield) of silyl ether **29** as a white foam.  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +19.2$  (*c* 2.3, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm): 7.69 – 7.66 (m, 4H), 7.44 – 7.37 (m, 8H), 6.90 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 5.51 (s, 1H), 4.31 (m, 1H), 4.09 (dd, *J* = 10.3, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 4.01 –



3.96 (m, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.78 – 3.62 (m, 3H), 3.43 – 3.41 (m, 2H), 2.46 (d,  $J = 2.2$  Hz, 1H), 2.08 (m, 1H), 2.01 – 1.90 (m, 2H), 1.75 (m, 1H), 1.06 (s, 9H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (125 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 160.3, 135.7, 135.7, 133.8, 133.7, 130.0, 129.9, 129.8, 127.8, 127.8, 127.7, 113.9, 102.1, 84.8, 70.8, 69.5, 66.5, 64.1, 60.6, 55.5, 36.0, 34.0, 27.0, 19.3; FT-IR (neat)  $\nu_{\text{max}} = 3468$ , 3070, 2954, 2929, 2855, 1616, 1588, 1521, 1464, 1426, 1380, 1302, 1250, 1172, 1088, 1038, 999, 827, 739, 704, 616, 503  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; HRMS-ESI (+)  $m/z$  calc'd for  $\text{C}_{32}\text{H}_{40}\text{O}_6\text{SiNa}$   $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$ : 571.24919, found 571.24933.

***Tert*-butyl(2-((4*aR*,6*S*,8*aS*)-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-8-methylenehexahydropyrano[3,2-*d*][1,3]dioxin-6-yl)ethoxy)diphenylsilane (30).** To a two-neck flask charged with flame-dried 4 Å MS was added alcohol **29** (1.06 g, 1.93 mmol, 1 equiv) in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (8 mL) and NMO (452 mg, 3.86 mmol, 2 equiv) in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (2 mL) via cannula at room temperature. After stirring for 20 min, TPAP (68 mg, 0.193 mmol, 0.1 equiv) was added to the reaction flask. After 30 min, the black mixture was filtered over celite, rinsing with  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  and the filtrate was concentrated. Purification by flash chromatography (30% to 40% EtOAc/Hexanes) gave 863 mg (80% yield) of the corresponding ketone as a white foam.  $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20} = +30.3$  ( $c$  0.57,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ );  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 7.68 – 7.64 (m, 4H), 7.45 – 7.37 (m, 8H), 6.88 (d,  $J = 8.8$  Hz, 2H), 5.52 (s, 1H), 4.70 (m, 1H), 4.31 (dd,  $J = 9.3, 1.1$  Hz, 1H), 4.18 (dd,  $J = 9.8, 4.2$  Hz, 1H), 3.82 – 3.73 (m, 6H), 3.67 (m, 1H), 2.96 (ddd,  $J = 14.3, 7.5, 1.4$  Hz, 1H), 2.43 (dd,  $J = 14.3, 1.5$  Hz, 1H), 1.98 (m, 1H), 1.76 (m, 1H), 1.05 (s, 9H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (125 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 200.2, 160.4, 135.7, 135.7, 133.5, 133.5, 130.0, 129.9, 129.3, 127.9, 127.9, 127.8, 113.8, 102.2, 83.8, 73.0, 69.9, 67.6, 59.8, 55.4, 45.4, 34.6, 29.9, 27.0, 19.3.; FT-IR (neat)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$

= 3070, 2959, 2928, 2856, 1736, 1615, 1516, 1464, 1428, 1250, 1129, 1111, 824, 704, 504  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; HRMS-ESI (+)  $m/z$  calc'd for  $\text{C}_{32}\text{H}_{38}\text{O}_6\text{SiNa}$   $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$ : 569.23354, found 569.23345.

To the above ketone (2.30 g, 4.28 mmol, 1 equiv) in  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  (16 mL) at 0 °C was added  $\text{TMSCH}_2\text{MgCl}$  (6.0 mL, 6.0 mmol, 1.4 equiv, 1M in  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$ ) dropwise. After 10 min, the reaction was quenched with satd.  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$ . The crude product was extracted with  $\text{EtOAc}$  (x3), washed with brine and dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ . Purification by flash chromatography (10% to 20%  $\text{EtOAc}$ /Hexanes) gave 2.40 g (88% yield) of the corresponding quaternary alcohol as a white foam.  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +24.1$  ( $c$  0.72,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ );  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 7.70 – 7.67 (m, 4H), 7.42 – 7.37 (m, 8H), 6.91 (d,  $J$  = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 5.55 (s, 1H), 4.21 (m, 1H), 4.13 (dd,  $J$  = 10.2, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.86 (m, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.80 – 3.76 (m, 1H), 3.72 – 3.68 (m, 1H), 3.63 (t,  $J$  = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 3.37 (d,  $J$  = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 2.49 (m, 1H), 1.99 – 1.86 (m, 4H), 1.25 (d,  $J$  = 14.7, 1H), 1.05 (s, 9H), 0.89 (d,  $J$  = 14.9 Hz, 1H), 0.06 (s, 9H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (125 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 160.3, 135.8, 135.7, 134.1, 134.0, 130.3, 129.7, 129.7, 127.8, 127.7, 127.6, 113.8, 101.9, 84.5, 71.8, 69.8, 61.1, 60.9, 55.4, 40.2, 34.9, 30.0, 29.9, 27.0, 19.4, 0.6; FT-IR (neat)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  = 3482, 2957, 2927, 2855, 1616, 1520, 1466, 1429, 1249, 1104, 836, 703, 501  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; HRMS-ESI (+)  $m/z$  calc'd for  $\text{C}_{36}\text{H}_{50}\text{O}_6\text{Si}_2\text{Na}$   $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$ : 657.30436, found 657.30479.

To the above alcohol (2.39 g, 3.76 mmol, 1 equiv) in freshly distilled THF (19 mL) at 0 °C was added  $\text{KHMDs}$  (9 mL, 4.52 mmol, 1.2 equiv, 0.5 M in  $\text{PhMe}$ ) dropwise. The yellow solution was warmed to room temperature. After 1.5 h, the reaction was quenched with satd.  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$ . The crude product was extracted with  $\text{EtOAc}$  (x3), washed with brine and dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ . Purification by flash chromatography (10% to 20%  $\text{EtOAc}$ /Hexanes) afforded 1.96 g (96% yield) of olefin **30** as a viscous clear oil.  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +43.6$  ( $c$  0.75,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ );

$^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 7.69 – 7.66 (m, 4H), 7.46 – 7.37 (m, 8H), 6.90 (d,  $J$  = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 5.60 (s, 1H), 5.13 (d,  $J$  = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 4.85 (d,  $J$  = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 4.32 (m, 1H), 4.07 (dd,  $J$  = 10.3, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (d,  $J$  = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.77 – 3.64 (m, 3H), 3.43 (td,  $J$  = 9.6, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 2.72 (dd,  $J$  = 13.8, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 2.25 (d,  $J$  = 13.8 Hz, 1H), 2.06 (m, 1H), 1.72 (m, 1H), 1.06 (s, 9H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (125 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 160.2, 139.9, 135.8, 135.7, 133.9, 133.8, 130.4, 129.8, 129.8, 127.8, 127.8, 127.7, 113.7, 107.5, 101.6, 80.7, 71.6, 70.0, 67.5, 60.5, 55.4, 38.2, 33.1, 29.9, 27.0, 19.3; FT-IR (neat)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  = 3073, 2956, 2928, 2856, 1615, 1519, 1461, 1428, 1250, 1099, 1036, 824, 704, 504  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; HRMS-ESI (+)  $m/z$  calc'd for  $\text{C}_{33}\text{H}_{40}\text{O}_5\text{SiNa}$   $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$ : 567.25427, found 567.25495.

**((2*R*,3*S*,6*S*)-6-(2-((*tert*-butyldiphenylsilyl)oxy)ethyl)-3-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)-4-methylenetetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)methanol (31).** To benzylidene acetal **30** (1.95 g, 3.58 mmol, 1 equiv) in toluene (36 mL) at  $-78\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  was added DIBAL-H (10.7 mL, 10.74 mmol, 3 equiv, 1 M in Hexanes) dropwise. The reaction was warmed to  $0\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  and after 1 h added a few drops of MeOH, diluted with EA and quenched with sodium potassium tartrate. After warming to room temperature and stirring vigorously for 1 h, the crude product was extracted with EtOAc (x3), washed with brine and dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ . Purification by flash chromatography (10% to 50% EtOAc/Hexanes) afforded 1.36 g (69% yield) of alcohol **31** as a clear oil.  $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20}$  = +44.3 ( $c$  0.41,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ );  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 7.67 – 7.64 (m, 4H), 7.44 – 7.36 (m, 6H), 7.26 (d,  $J$  = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.88 (d,  $J$  = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 5.06 (s, 1H), 4.99 (s, 1H), 4.60 (d,  $J$  = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.34 (d,  $J$  = 11.5 Hz, 1H), 4.10 (m, 1H), 3.86 – 3.80 (m, 4H), 3.71 – 3.64 (m, 4H), 3.53 (m, 1H), 2.32 (qd,  $J$  = 13.2, 4.6 Hz, 2H), 1.93 (dd,  $J$  = 7.7, 4.2 Hz, 1H),

1.84 (m, 1H), 1.64 (m, 1H), 1.04 (s, 9H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (125 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 159.4, 141.7, 135.7, 133.9, 133.8, 130.2, 129.8, 129.7, 127.8, 114.0, 111.6, 76.7, 76.2, 70.9, 69.1, 61.1, 60.3, 55.4, 37.7, 35.4, 27.0, 19.3; FT-IR (neat)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  = 3449, 3069, 2951, 2930, 2855, 1613, 1514, 1426, 1249, 1110, 1086, 1038, 824, 739, 700, 504  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; HRMS-ESI (+)  $m/z$  calc'd for  $\text{C}_{33}\text{H}_{42}\text{O}_5\text{SiNa}$   $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$ : 569.26992, found 569.27013.

***Tert*-butyl(2-((2*S*,5*S*,6*R*)-5-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)-4-methylene-6-vinyltetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)ethoxy)diphenylsilane (32).** To a two-neck flask charged with flame-dried 4 Å MS was added alcohol **31** (756 mg, 1.38 mmol, 1 equiv) in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (12 mL) and NMO (243 mg, 2.07 mmol, 1.5 equiv) in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (2mL) via cannula at room temperature. After stirring for 20 min, TPAP (49 mg, 0.14 mmol, 0.1 equiv) was added to the reaction flask. After 15 min, the reaction was quenched with DMS, filtered over a silica plug, rinsing with EtOAc and concentrated. The crude aldehyde was used directly for the next reaction.

To  $\text{Ph}_3\text{PCH}_3\text{Br}$  (2.46 g, 6.90 mmol, 5 equiv) in freshly distilled THF (7 mL) was added  $t\text{BuOK}$  (5.5 mL, 5.52 mmol, 4 equiv, 1 M in THF) dropwise at 0 °C. After stirring for 1 h at 0 °C, a portion of the yellow stock solution (3.5 mL, 1.52 mmol, 1.1 equiv, 0.44 M) was added via syringe dropwise to the crude aldehyde (1.38 mmol, 1 equiv) in THF (7 mL) at 0 °C. After 10 min, the reaction was quenched with satd.  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$ . The crude product was extracted with EtOAc (x3), washed with brine and dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ . Purification by flash chromatography (5% to 20% EtOAc/Hexanes) provided 231 mg (31% yield) olefin **32** as a clear oil.  $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20}$  = +36.0 ( $c$  0.37,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ );  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 7.67 – 7.65 (m, 4H), 7.43 – 7.35 (m, 6H), 7.26 (d,  $J$  = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d,  $J$  = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 5.84 (ddd,  $J$

= 17.2, 10.8, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 5.28 (d,  $J$  = 17.5 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (d,  $J$  = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 5.05 (s, 1H), 4.97 (s, 1H), 4.56 (d,  $J$  = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (m, 1H), 4.30 (d,  $J$  = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 4.04 (m, 1H), 3.87 – 3.80 (m, 4H), 3.70 (m, 1H), 3.64 (d,  $J$  = 3.1 Hz, 1H), 2.32 (m, 1H), 2.19 (dd,  $J$  = 13.1, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 1.86 (m, 1H), 1.71 (m, 1H), 1.03 (s, 9H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (125 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 159.3, 141.3, 135.7, 135.7, 135.0, 134.1, 134.0, 130.5, 129.7, 127.7, 118.3, 113.9, 113.3, 79.3, 78.2, 69.6, 68.7, 60.3, 55.4, 38.0, 37.3, 29.9, 27.0, 19.3; FT-IR (neat)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  = 3072, 2956, 2925, 2856, 1612, 1513, 1464, 1428, 1247, 1111, 1087, 821, 737, 704, 613, 501  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; HRMS-ESI (+)  $m/z$  calc'd for  $\text{C}_{34}\text{H}_{42}\text{O}_4\text{SiNa}$   $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$ : 565.27501, found 565.27640.

**Methyl-2-((2*S*,5*S*,6*R*)-5-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)-4-methylene-6-vinyltetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)acetate (27).** To silyl ether **32** (398 mg, 0.73 mmol, 1 equiv) in THF (7 mL) at 0 °C was added TBAF (1.5 mL, 1.47 mmol, 2 equiv, 1 M in THF). After 2 h, the orange solution was concentrated and purification by flash chromatography (30% to 70% EtOAc/Hexanes) afforded 213 mg (95% yield) of the corresponding alcohol as a clear oil.  $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20}$  = +55.8 ( $c$  0.36,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ );  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 7.26 (d,  $J$  = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d,  $J$  = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 5.83 (ddd,  $J$  = 17.5, 10.8, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 5.31 – 5.25 (m, 2H), 5.09 (s, 1H), 4.96 (s, 1H), 4.55 (d,  $J$  = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 4.48 (m, 1H), 4.28 (d,  $J$  = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 3.95 (m, 1H), 3.74-3.80 (m, 5H), 3.66 (d,  $J$  = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 2.43 (m, 2H), 2.12 (dd,  $J$  = 13.1, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 1.90 (m, 1H), 1.67 (m, 1H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (125 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 159.3, 140.5, 134.4, 130.3, 129.6, 119.0, 114.2, 113.9, 78.9, 78.5, 71.8, 69.3, 61.3, 55.4, 37.4, 37.0; FT-IR (neat)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  = 3409, 2924, 2855, 1613, 1514, 1466, 1300, 1249, 1173, 1056, 1035, 908, 821  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; HRMS-ESI (+)  $m/z$  calc'd for  $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{24}\text{O}_4\text{Na}$   $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$ : 327.15723, found 327.15583.

To the above alcohol (205 mg, 0.67 mmol, 1 equiv) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O (1:1, 6 mL) was added BAIB (651 mg, 2.02 mmol, 3 equiv) and TEMPO (32 mg, 0.20, 0.3 equiv) at room temperature. An orange color developed. After 4 h, the reaction was quenched with sodium thiosulfate. The crude product was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (x3), washed with brine and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Purification by flash chromatography (40% EtOAc/Hexanes to 10% MeOH/EtOAc) gave 218 mg (quantitative) of the corresponding acid as a clear oil.  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +47.9$  (*c* 0.33, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm): 7.24 (d, 2H), 6.87 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 5.81 (ddd, *J* = 17.4, 10.8, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 5.33 (dt, *J* = 17.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.29 (dt, *J* = 10.8, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.13 (s, 1H), 5.02 (s, 1H), 4.55 (d, *J* = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.50 (m, 1H), 4.28 (d, *J* = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.19 (m, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.67 (d, *J* = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 2.69 (dd, *J* = 15.9, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 2.55 (dd, *J* = 15.9, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 2.43 (t, *J* = 12.9 Hz, 1H), 2.24 (dd, *J* = 13.1, 3.1 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm): 174.6, 159.3, 139.6, 133.7, 130.1, 129.7, 119.5, 114.9, 114.0, 78.8, 78.7, 69.5, 68.3, 55.4, 40.1, 36.2, 29.9; FT-IR (neat)  $\nu_{\max}$  = 3075, 2956, 2924, 2854, 1711, 1612, 1514, 1302, 1248, 1173, 1067, 1033, 915, 820 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS-ESI (–) *m/z* calc'd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>21</sub>O<sub>5</sub> [M–H]<sup>–</sup>: 317.13890, found 317.13528.

To the above acid (212 mg, 0.67 mmol, 1 equiv) in PhMe/MeOH (3:2, 5 mL) at 0 °C was slowly added TMSCHN<sub>2</sub> (1 mL, 2.00 mmol, 3 equiv, 2 M in Hexanes) and the reaction was warmed to room temperature. After 2 h, the yellow solution was diluted with additional MeOH and concentrated. Purification by flash chromatography (10% to 30% EtOAc/Hexanes) gave 195 mg (88% yield) of methyl ester **27** as a clear oil.  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +56.4$  (*c* 0.33, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm): 7.24 (d, 2H), 6.87 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 5.80 (ddd, *J* = 17.5, 10.8, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 5.32 (dt, *J* = 17.5, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 5.26 (dt, *J* = 10.8, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 5.09 (s, 1H),

5.00 (s, 1H), 4.55 (d,  $J = 11.8$  Hz, 1H), 4.43 (m, 1H), 4.29 (d,  $J = 11.8$  Hz, 1H), 4.22 (m, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 3.64 (d,  $J = 3.0$  Hz, 1H), 2.70 (dd,  $J = 15.4, 7.7$  Hz, 1H), 2.46 (dd,  $J = 15.4, 5.7$  Hz, 1H), 2.38 (m, 1H), 2.24 (dd,  $J = 13.0, 3.1$  Hz, 1H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (125 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 171.7, 159.3, 140.3, 134.4, 130.3, 129.6, 118.7, 114.2, 113.9, 78.9, 78.4, 69.6, 68.6, 55.4, 51.8, 40.2, 36.6; FT-IR (neat)  $\nu_{\text{max}} = 3074, 2954, 2926, 2855, 1739, 1655, 1612, 1584, 1514, 1461, 1436, 1380, 1302, 1246, 1154, 1066, 1031, 1006, 911, 820\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ; HRMS-ESI (+)  $m/z$  calc'd for  $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{24}\text{O}_5\text{Na}$   $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$ : 355.15214, found 355.15003.

**Methyl 2-((3*R*,5*S*,7*R*,8*R*)-8-hydroxy-7-vinyl-1,6-dioxaspiro[2.5]octan-5-yl)acetate (8).**

To PMB ether **27** (189 mg, 0.57 mmol, 1 equiv) in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{pH } 7$  buffer (10:1, 6 mL) at  $0\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  was added DDQ (129 mg, 0.57 mmol, 1 equiv). After stirring 1 h at  $0\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ , another portion of DDQ (129 mg, 0.57 mmol, 1 equiv) was added. After stirring for 1 h at  $0\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ , the last portion of DDQ (129 mg, 0.57 mmol, 1 equiv) was added. After 1 h, the reaction was quenched with satd.  $\text{NaHCO}_3$ . The crude product was extracted with  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (x3), washed with brine and dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ . Purification by flash chromatography (20% to 60% EtOAc/Hexanes) gave 99 mg (76% yield) of the corresponding alcohol as a clear oil.  $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20} = +40.8$  ( $c$  0.13,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ );  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 5.86 (ddd,  $J = 17.1, 10.7, 6.1$  Hz, 1H), 5.37 (d,  $J = 17.4$  Hz, 1H), 5.33 (d,  $J = 10.7$  Hz, 1H), 5.12 (s, 1H), 4.96 (s, 1H), 4.34 (m, 1H), 4.12 (t,  $J = 5.8$  Hz, 1H), 3.93 (d,  $J = 4.9$  Hz, 1H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 2.68 (dd,  $J = 15.2, 7.7$  Hz, 1H), 2.50 (dd,  $J = 15.2, 6.0$  Hz, 1H), 2.45 – 2.36 (m, 2H), 2.04 (brs, 1H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (125 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 171.5, 142.1, 134.9, 119.6, 111.3, 79.0, 72.9, 69.2, 51.9, 38.5, 36.8; FT-IR (neat)  $\nu_{\text{max}} = 3440, 2958, 2923, 2853, 1737, 1655, 1461, 1440, 1380, 1320, 1264, 1207, 1161, 1092,$

1003, 908  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; HRMS-ESI (+)  $m/z$  calc'd for  $\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{16}\text{O}_4\text{Na}$   $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$ : 235.09463, found 235.09315.

To the above alcohol (96 mg, 0.45 mmol, 1 equiv) in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (5 mL) was added  $\text{VO}(\text{acac})_2$  (24 mg, 0.09 mmol, 0.2 equiv) at room temperature. After stirring for 10 min, the reaction flask was cooled to 0 °C and diluted TBHP (0.27 mL, 1.36 mmol, 3 equiv, 5-6 M in decane, 0.27 mL in 3 mL  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ ) was added dropwise and the resulting dark red solution was warmed to RT. After 2 hr, the dark red reaction solution had faded to a light orange and the reaction did not progress past 1:1 starting material:product, therefore, the reaction was quenched with DMS and concentrated. Purification by flash chromatography (30% to 70% EtOAc/Hexanes) gave 56 mg (55% yield) of a single diastereomer of epoxide **8** as a clear oil.  $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20} = +66.0$  ( $c$  0.35,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ );  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 5.92 (ddd,  $J = 17.4$ , 10.8, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 5.39 (dt,  $J = 17.4$ , 1.6 Hz, 1H), 5.30 (dt,  $J = 10.7$ , 1.5 Hz, 1H), 4.48 (m, 1H), 4.21 (m, 1H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 3.48 (dd,  $J = 8.1$ , 6.6 Hz, 1H), 2.95 (d,  $J = 4.6$  Hz, 1H), 2.89 (dd,  $J = 15.5$ , 8.2 Hz, 1H), 2.65 (d,  $J = 4.6$  Hz, 1H), 2.64 (dd,  $J = 15.4$ , 6.1 Hz, 1H), 1.98-2.03 (m, 2H), 1.82 (dd,  $J = 14.0$ , 5.3 Hz, 1H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (125 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 171.5, 134.7, 118.5, 76.2, 69.9, 68.7, 57.3, 51.9, 50.3, 38.5, 34.4; FT-IR (neat)  $\nu_{\text{max}} = 3458$ , 2958, 2926, 2855, 1736, 1440, 1327, 1278, 1260, 1204, 1161, 1095, 1073, 1006, 933, 813  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; HRMS-ESI (+)  $m/z$  calc'd for  $\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{16}\text{O}_5\text{Na}$   $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$ : 251.08954, found 251.08462.

**Methyl-2-((3*R*,5*S*,7*R*,8*R*)-7-((1*E*,3*E*)-5-((2*S*,3*S*,5*R*,6*R*)-5-((*S*,*Z*)-4-acetoxypent-2-enamido)-3,6-dimethyltetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)-3-methylpenta-1,3-dien-1-yl)-8-hydroxy-1,6-dioxaspiro[2.5]octan-5-yl)acetate (2).** In three separate flasks were added diene **7** (8.0 mg,



0.023 mmol, 1 equiv), epoxide **8** (5.23 mg, 0.023 mmol, 1 equiv) and Grubbs II (3.9 mg, 0.0046, 0.2 equiv) and each were dissolved in 1 mL of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. Approximately 1/3 of the epoxide and Grubbs II solutions (0.33 mL) were sequentially added to the diene flask and set to reflux. After 1.5 h, a second 1/3 portion (0.33 mL) of the epoxide and Grubbs II solutions were added to the reaction flask. After an additional 1.5 h, the last portion of the epoxide and Grubbs II solutions were added, rinsing the flasks with a small amount of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. After 2 h, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and concentrated. Purification by flash chromatography (30% to 80% EtOAc/Hexanes) provided 5.9 mg (46% yield) of thailanstatin A methyl ester **2** as a clear oil.  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +4.8$  (*c* 0.08, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 6.37 (d, *J* = 15.8 Hz, 1H), 6.25 (m, 1H), 6.00 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 5.89 (dd, *J* = 11.6, 7.9 Hz, 1H), 5.70 (dd, *J* = 11.6, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.62 (dd, *J* = 15.7, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 5.51 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.50 (m, 1H), 4.20 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 3.94 (m, 1H), 3.66-3.69 (m, 4H), 3.51-3.54 (m, 2H), 2.99 (d, *J* = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 2.94 (dd, *J* = 15.5, 7.9 Hz, 1H), 2.69 (dd, *J* = 15.5, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 2.64 (d, *J* = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 2.39 (m, 1H), 2.24 (m, 1H), 2.16 (dd, *J* = 14.2, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 2.04 (s, 3H), 1.93-1.96 (m, 2H), 1.82 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 1.73-1.79 (m, 5H), 1.39 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 1.15 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 1.01 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 171.6, 170.6, 165.0, 143.8, 138.6, 134.7, 129.6, 123.1, 122.6, 80.9, 76.1, 75.8, 69.8, 69.1, 68.9, 57.3, 52.0, 49.8, 47.2, 38.1, 36.0, 34.6, 32.1, 29.0, 21.4, 20.1, 18.0, 15.2, 12.8; HRMS-ESI (+) *m/z* calc'd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>43</sub>NO<sub>9</sub>Na [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>: 572.2836, found 572.2831.

**In vitro splicing reactions**— In vitro splicing reactions consist of a pre-mRNA substrate incubated in nuclear extract from human cells, which provides the splicing machinery. The template DNA for the pre-mRNA splicing substrate is a derivative of the Adenovirus Major

Late gene (REF: O. Gozani, J.G. Patton, R. Reed, EMBO J. 13 (1994) 3356–3367), and capped RNA splicing substrate was generated by standard *in vitro* T7 RNA polymerase "run-off" transcription including  $^{32}\text{P}$ -UTP label and G(5')ppp(5')G-cap analog. Nuclear extract was prepared from HeLa cells grown in DMEM/F12 1:1 media supplemented with 5% (v/v) newborn calf serum using the method by Dignam et al (REF: J.D. Dignam, R.M. Lebovitz, R.D. Roeder, Nucleic Acids Res. 11 (1983) 1475–1489). Splicing reactions were carried out by incubating 10 nM pre-mRNA substrate in 60 mM potassium glutamate, 2 mM magnesium acetate, 2 mM ATP, 5 mM creatine phosphate, 0.05 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> tRNA, and 50% (v/v) HeLa nuclear extract at 30°C for 30 minutes, and then analyzed by both denaturing polyacrylamide and native agarose gel electrophoresis.

**Denaturing gel analysis**— RNA was extracted from *in vitro* splicing reaction and separated on a 15% (v/v) denaturing polyacrylamide gel.  $^{32}\text{P}$ -labeled RNA species were visualized by phosphorimaging and quantified with ImageQuant software (Molecular Dynamics). Splicing efficiency is calculated as the amount of mRNA relative to total substrate RNA and normalized to a DMSO control reaction. IC<sub>50</sub> values for inhibitors are the concentration of inhibitor that causes 50% decrease of splicing efficiency, which were derived from averaged plots of splicing efficiency vs. compound concentration from three independent assays.

**Native gel analysis**— Splicing reactions were set up as described, and after 30 minutes 10 µL of splicing reactions were mixed with 10 µL native gel loading buffer (20 mM Trizma base, 20 mM glycine, 25% (v/v) glycerol, 0.1% (w/v) cyan blue, 0.1% (w/v) bromophenol blue, 1 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> heparin sulfate) and incubated at room temperature for five minutes before

loading onto a 2.1% (w/v) low-melting temperature agarose gel. Gels were run at 72 V for 3.5 hours, dried onto Whatman paper and exposed to phosphorimaging screens, which were digitized with a Typhoon Scanner (Molecular Dynamics).

### Supporting Information

Full spectroscopic data for all compounds are available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

### Acknowledgment

Financial support of this work was provided by the National Institutes of Health (GM122279) and Purdue University.

### References

- (1) Wang, E. T.; Sandberg, R.; Luo, S.; Khrebtkova, I.; Zhang, L.; Mayr, C.; Kingsmore, S. F.; Schroth, G. P.; Burge, C. B. *Nature* **2008**, 456, 470–476.
- (2) Roybal, G. A.; Jurica, M. S. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2010**, 38, 6664–6672.
- (3) Pan, Q., Shai, O., Lee, L. J., Frey, B. J.; Blencowe, B. J. *Nat. Genet.* **2008**, 40, 1413–1415.
- (4) Raymond, B. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **2007**, 3, 533–535.
- (5) Wahl, M. C.; Will, C. L.; Lührmann, R. *Cell* **2009**, 136, 701–718.
- (6) Nilsen, T. W.; Graveley, B. R. *Nature* **2010**, 463, 457–463.
- (7) Cooper, T. A.; Wan, L.; Dreyfuss, G. *Cell* **2009**, 136, 777–793.
- (8) Lee, S. C.-W.; Abdel-Wahab, O. *Nat. Med.* **2016**, 22, 976–986.

- (9) Pal, S.; Gupta, R.; Kim, H.; Wickramasinghe, P.; Baubet, V.; Showe, L. C.; Dahmane, N.; Davuluri, R. V. *Genome Research* **2011**, 21, 1260-1272.
- (10) Nguyen, T. H. D.; Galej, W. P.; Bai, X.-C.; Oubridge, C.; Newman, A. J.; Schere, S. H. W.; Nagai, K. *Nature* **2016**, 530, 298–302.
- (11) Wan, R.; Yan, C.; Bai, R.; Wang, L. Huang, M.; Wong, C. C. L.; Shi, Y. *Science* **2016**, 351, 466–475.
- (12) Mizui, Y.; Sakai, T.; Iwata, T.; Uenaka, T.; Okamoto, K.; Shimizu, H.; Yamori, T.; Yoshimatsu, K.; Asada, M. *J. Antibiot. (Tokyo)* **2004**, 57, 188–196.
- (13) Nakajima, H.; Sato, B.; Fujita, T.; Takase, S.; Terano, H.; Okuhara, M. *J. Antibiot. (Tokyo)* **1996**, 49, 1196–1203.
- (14) Sakai, T., Asai, N., Okuda, A., Kawamura, N.; Mizui, Y. *J. Antibiot. (Tokyo)* **2004**, 57, 180–187.
- (15) (a) Lagiseti, C.; Yermolina, M. V.; Sharma, L. K.; Palacios, G.; Prigaro, B. J.; Webb, T. R. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **2014**, 9, 643-648. (b) Gao, Y.; Vogt, A.; Forsyth, C. J.; Koide, K. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **2012**, 14, 49-52. (c) Ghosh, A. K.; Lv, K.; Ma, N.; Cárdenas, E. L.; Effenberger, K. A.; Jurica, M. S. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2016**, 14, 5263-5271.
- (16) Yokoi, A.; Kotake, Y.; Takahashi, K.; Kadowaki, T.; Matsumoto, Y.; Minoshima, Y.; Sugi, N. H.; Sagane, M. H.; Iwata, M.; Mizui, Y. *FEBS J.* **2011**, 278, 4870–4880.
- (17) Kaida, D.; Motoyoshi, H.; Tashiro, E.; Nojima, T.; Hagiwara, M.; Ishigami, K.; Watanabe, H.; Kitahara, T.; Yoshida, T.; Nakajima, H.; Tani, T.; Horinouchi, S.; Yoshida, M. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **2007**, 3, 576–583.
- (18) Effenberger, K. A., Urabe, V. K., Prichard, B. E., Ghosh, A. K.; Jurica, M. S.

*RNA* **2016**, 22, 350–359.

- (19) Bonnal, S.; Vigevani, L.; Valcárcel, J. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **2012**, 11, 847–859.
- (20) Sato, M.; Muguruma, N.; Nakagawa, T.; Okamoto, K.; Kimura, T.; Kitamura, S.; Yano, H.; Sannomiya, K.; Goji, T.; Miyamoto, H.; Okahisa, T.; Mikasa, H.; Wada, S.; Iwata, M.; Takayama, T. *Cancer Sci.* **2014**, 105, 110-116.
- (21) Butler, M. A. Natural Product-Derived Compounds in Late State Clinical Development at the End of 2008. Buss, A. Butler, M. eds. The Royal Chemical Society of Chemistry: Cambridge, UK, 2009, p. 321-354.
- (22) Bonnal, S.; Vigevani, L.; Valcárcel, J. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery* **2012**, 11, 847-859.
- (23) Bessire, A. J.; Ballard, T. E.; Charati, M.; Cohen, J.; Green, M.; Lam, M.-H.; Loganzo, F.; Nolting, B.; Pierce, B.; Puthenveetil, S.; Roberts, L.; Schildknecht, K.; Subramanyam, C. *Bioconj. Chem.* **2016**, 17, 1645-1654.
- (24) Beck, A.; Goetsch, L.; Dumontet, C.; Corvaia, N. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery* **2017**, 16, 315-337.
- (25) Liu, X.; Biswas, S.; Berg, M. G.; Antapli, C. M.; Xie, F.; Wang, Q.; Tang, M.-Ch.; Tang, G.-L.; Zhang, L.; Dreyfuss, G.; Cheng, Y.-Q. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2013**, 76, 685-693.
- (26) He, H.; Ratnayake, A. S.; Janso, J. E.; He, M.; Yang, H. Y.; Loganzo, F.; Shor, B.; O'Donnell, C. J.; Koehn, F. E. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2014**, 77, 1864-1870.
- (27) Eustáquio, A. S.; Chang, L.-P.; Steele, G. L.; O'Donnell, C. J.; Koehn, F. E. *Metab. Eng.* **2016**, 33, 67-75.
- (28) Dirico, K. J.; Eustáquio, A. S.; Green, M. E.; He, H.; He, M.; Koehn, F. E.; O'Donnell, C. J.; Puthenveetil, S.; Ratnayake, A. S.; Subramanyam, C.; Teske, J. A.; Yang, H. Y.

International Patent WO 068443 A1, 2014.

- (29) (a) Thompson, C. F.; Jamison, T. F.; Jacobsen, E. N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, 122, 10482-10483. (b) Thompson, C. F.; Jamison, T. F.; Jacobsen, E. N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, 123, 9974 -9983. (c) Horigome, M.; Motoyoshi, H.; Watanabe, H.; Kitahara, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, 42, 8207-8210. (d) Motoyoshi, H.; Horigome, M.; Watanabe, H.; Kitahara, T. *Tetrahedron* **2006**, 62, 1378 -1389.
- (30) (a) Albert, B. J.; Koide, K. *Org. Lett.* **2004**, 6, 3655–3658. (b) Albert, B. J.; Sivaramakrishnan, A.; Naka, T.; Koide, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, 128, 2792-2793. (c) Albert, B. J.; Sivarama-krishnan, A.; Naka, T.; Czaicki, N. L.; Koide, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, 129, 2648-2659.
- (31) (a) Ghosh, A. K.; Chen, Z. H. *Org. Lett.* **2013**, 15, 5088-5091. (b) Ghosh, A. K.; Chen, Z. H.; Effenberger, K. A.; Jurica, M. S. *J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, 79, 5697-5709.
- (32) Ghosh, A. K.; Cheng, X. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, 13, 4108-4111.
- (33) Effenberger, K. A.; Anderson, D. D.; Bray, W. M.; Prichard, B. E.; Ma, N.; Adams, M. S.; Ghosh, A. K.; Jurica, M. S. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2014**, 289, 1938-1947.
- (34) Ghosh, A. K., Ma, N., Effenberger, K. A., Jurica, M. S. *Org. Lett.* **2014**, 16, 3154–3157.
- (35) Fan, L., Lagiseti, C., Edwards, C. C., Webb, T.R.; Potter, P. M. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **2011**, 6, 582–589.
- (36) Nicolaou, K. C.; Rhoades, D.; Lamani, M.; Pattanayak, M. R.; Kumar, S. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, 138, 7532-7535.
- (37) Pazos, G.; Pérez, M.; Gándara, Z.; Gómez, G.; Fall, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2009**, 50,

- 5285-5287.
- (38) Hoberg, J. O. *Carbohydr. Res.* **1997**, 300, 365-367.
- (39) Ghosh, A. K.; Reddy, G. C.; MacRae, A. J.; Jurica, M. S. *Org. Lett.* **2017**, ASAP.
- (40) Abdel-Magid, A. F.; Carson, K. G.; Harris, B. D.; Maryanoff, C. A.; Shah, R. D. *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, 61, 3849-3862.
- (41) Yadav, J. S., Reddy, B.V.S., Reddy, K. B., Satyanarayana, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, 43, 7009-7012.
- (42) Fujiwara, K.; Sato, D.; Watanabe, M.; Morishita, H.; Murai, A.; Kawai, H.; Suzuki, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2004**, 45, 5243-5246.
- (43) Marshall, J. A.; Garofalo, A. W. *J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, 58, 3675-3680.
- (44) Sharpless, K. B.; Michaelson, R. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1973**, 95, 6136-6137.
- (45) Sheng, M. N.; Zajacek, J. G.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1970**, 35, 1839-1843.
- (46) Peterson, D. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1968**, 33, 780-784.
- (47) Magnus, P. *Aldrichimica Acta* **1980**, 13, 43-51.
- (48) Chatterjee, A. K.; Choi, T.-L.; Sanders, D. P.; Grubbs, R. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, 125, 11360-11370.
- (49) Artman III, G. D.; Grubbs, A. W.; Williams, R. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, 129, 6336-6342.
- (50) Nicolaou, K. C.; Estrada, A. A.; Zak, M.; Lee, S. H.; Safina, B. B. *Ang. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **2005**, 44, 1378-1382.

