

# Endpoint Formulas for Interpolatory Cubic Splines\*

By Thomas I. Seidman and Robert J. Korsan

**Abstract.** In the absence of known endpoint derivatives, the usual procedure is to use a "natural" spline interpolant which Kershaw has shown to have  $\mathcal{O}(h^4)$  error except near the endpoints. This note observes that either the use of appropriate finite-difference approximations for the endpoint derivatives or a proposed modification of the interpolation algorithm leads to  $\mathcal{O}(h^4)$  error uniformly in the interval of approximation.

We consider the interpolation to a function  $x \in C^4[a, b]$  by cubic splines. Let  $\{a = t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n = b\}$  be a set of knots and, throughout, let  $y$  denote a cubic spline interpolant to  $x$  so that  $y(t_j) = x_j = x(t_j)$  for  $j = 0, \dots, N$ . The construction of  $y$  may be given in terms of the values  $\{x_j\}$  and  $\{\kappa_j\}$  with  $\kappa_j = y''(t_j)$  for  $j = 0, \dots, N$ . Kershaw [1] provides the estimates

$$(1) \quad |x^{(k)}(t) - y^{(k)}(t)| \leq c_k h^{2-k} \left[ h^2 M + 8 \max_i \{|x''(t_i) - \kappa_i|\} \right],$$

for  $a \leq t \leq b$  and  $k = 0, 1, 2$ ; here,  $h = \max_i \{t_{i+1} - t_i\}$  and  $M = \sup\{|x^{(4)}(t)|: a \leq t \leq b\}$ . For  $y$  to be a cubic spline, the values  $\{\kappa_j\}$  must satisfy

$$(2) \quad \alpha_j \kappa_{j-1} + 2\kappa_j + (1 - \alpha_j) \kappa_{j+1} = 6d_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, N - 1,$$

where

$$h_j = t_{j+1} - t_j, \quad \alpha_j = h_{j-1} / (h_{j-1} + h_j),$$

$$d_j = [(1 - \alpha_j)x_{j-1} - x_j + \alpha_j x_{j+1}] / h_{j-1} h_j.$$

Kershaw has shown [1] that the Eqs. (2), together with either

$$(3.1) \quad y'(a) = x'(a), \quad y'(b) = x'(b)$$

(giving the  $D - 1$  spline interpolant) or

$$(3.2) \quad \kappa_0 = x''(a), \quad \kappa_N = x''(b)$$

(giving the  $D - 2$  spline interpolant), are sufficient to determine all the values  $\{\kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_N\}$ , and hence  $y$ , in such a way that

$$(4) \quad \max_i \{|x''(t_i) - \kappa_i|\} = \mathcal{O}(h^2 M)$$

Received November 15, 1971.

*AMS 1970 subject classifications.* Primary 41A05, 41A15, 41A25; Secondary 65D15.

*Key words and phrases.* Interpolation, cubic splines, endpoint formula.

\* The results reported in this paper were obtained while the senior author (T.I.S.) was at Carnegie-Mellon University and the junior author (R.J.K.) was at the Westinghouse Research Laboratories. Acknowledgement and thanks are due to the Westinghouse Research Laboratories for their support.

Copyright © 1972, American Mathematical Society

which, with (1), gives the estimates

$$(5) \quad |x^{(k)}(t) - y^{(k)}(t)| \leq \mathcal{O}(h^{4-k}M), \quad k = 0, 1, 2,$$

uniformly on  $[a, b]$ .

The standard practice in the absence of information about  $x'(a)$ ,  $x'(b)$  or  $x''(a)$ ,  $x''(b)$  is to use the “natural spline” interpolant, using (2) together with

$$(3.3) \quad \kappa_0 = 0, \quad \kappa_N = 0,$$

to determine the  $\{\kappa_i\}$  and therefore  $y$ . In this case, it is shown in [1] that the estimate (5) still holds for  $t$  in the interior of the interval but that the error is of lower order, in general, for  $t$  within  $\mathcal{O}(h \log h)$  of the endpoints. It is our present intention to provide two methods for retaining the estimate (5) uniformly on  $[a, b]$  without *a priori* knowledge of endpoint derivatives.

Observe, first, that (3.1) is equivalent, in conjunction with the system (2) to the pair of equations

$$(6) \quad 2\kappa_0 + \kappa_1 = 6d_0, \quad \kappa_{N-1} + 2\kappa_N = 6d_N$$

with

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} d_0 &= [x_1 - x_0 - h_0p]/h_0^2, \\ d_N &= -[x_N - x_{N-1} - h_{N-1}q]/h_{N-1}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $p = x'(a)$  and  $q = x'(b)$ . The combined system (2) + (6) can be written in the form  $\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\kappa} = \mathbf{d}$  with

$$(8) \quad \mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \alpha_1 & 2 & 1 - \alpha_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2 & 2 & 1 - \alpha_2 & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\kappa} = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_0 \\ \vdots \\ \kappa_N \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{d} = \begin{pmatrix} d_0 \\ \vdots \\ d_N \end{pmatrix}$$

and we note that  $\|\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{I}\|_\infty = \frac{1}{2}$ , so that

$$\|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\|_\infty = \frac{1}{2}\|(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{A})^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{2}/[1 - \|\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{I}\|_\infty] = 1.$$

It follows that if we replace  $p$  by  $p_*$  and  $q$  by  $q_*$  in (7), leaving the vector  $\mathbf{d}$  otherwise unchanged, no component of  $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$  is altered by more than

$$6\|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\|_\infty \|d - d_*\| \leq 6 \max\{|p - p_*|/h_0, |q - q_*|/h_{N-1}\}.$$

Thus, the estimate (4) continues to hold—and so (5) holds uniformly on  $[a, b]$ —if we take  $p_*$ ,  $q_*$  to be approximations to  $p$ ,  $q$  with accuracy  $\mathcal{O}(h^3M)$ . This can be done using appropriate four-point difference formulas; to be precise, we may define  $p_*$  by

$$p_* = a_0x_0 + a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + a_3x_3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_1 &= (h_0 + h_1)(h_0 + h_1 + h_2)/h_0h_1(h_1 + h_2), \\
 a_2 &= -(h_0 + h_1 + h_2)h_0/h_1h_2(h_0 + h_1), \\
 a_3 &= (h_0 + h_1)h_0/(h_0 + h_1 + h_2)(h_1 + h_2)h_2, \\
 a_0 &= -(a_1 + a_2 + a_3),
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{9}$$

and similarly for  $q_*$ .

One could, alternatively, use four-point difference formulas to obtain approximations to  $x''(a)$ ,  $x''(b)$  of accuracy  $\mathcal{O}(h^2M)$  for use in (3.2). A simpler method, modifying the system (6) for  $j = 1, N - 1$  rather than directly approximating  $\kappa_0, \kappa_N$ , depends on the observation that

$$(10) \quad (1 - \alpha_j)x''(t_{j-1}) - x''(t_j) + \alpha_jx''(t_{j+1}) = \mathcal{O}(h^2M), \quad j = 1, \dots, N - 1,$$

and, further, that

$$(11) \quad \alpha_jx''(t_{j-1}) + 2x''(t_j) + (1 - \alpha_j)x''(t_{j+1}) = 6d_j + \mathcal{O}(h^2M),$$

$$j = 1, \dots, N - 1.$$

For  $j = 1$ , one may eliminate  $x''(t_{j-1}) = x''(a)$  between (10) and (11) to obtain

$$(12) \quad (2 - \alpha_1)x''(t_1) + (1 - 2\alpha_1)x''(t_2) = 6(1 - \alpha_1)d_1 + \mathcal{O}(h^2M)$$

and, proceeding similarly for  $j = N - 1$ ,

$$(13) \quad (2\alpha_{N-1} - 1)x''(t_{N-2}) + (1 + 2\alpha_{N-1})x''(t_{N-1}) = 6\alpha_{N-1}d_{N-1} + \mathcal{O}(h^2M).$$

We may divide (12) by  $(1 - \alpha_1)$  and (13) by  $\alpha_{N-1}$  and then combine these with (11), for  $j = 2, \dots, N - 2$ , to obtain the system  $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{k} = 6\mathbf{d}' + \mathcal{O}(h^2M)$  where we have set

$$(14) \quad \mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 + r & 1 - r & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \alpha_2 & 2 & 1 - \alpha_2 & & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \alpha_{N-2} & 2 & 1 - \alpha_{N-2} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 - r' & 2 + r' \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{k} = \begin{pmatrix} x''(t_1) \\ \vdots \\ x''(t_{N-1}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{d}' = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 \\ \vdots \\ d_{N-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $r = \alpha_1/(1 - \alpha_1)$ ,  $r' = (1 - \alpha_{N-1})/\alpha_{N-1}$ . Note that  $\mathbf{B}$  is diagonally dominant and that imposing a bound on  $r, r'$  imposes a uniform bound (i.e., independent of  $N, h$ ) on  $\|\mathbf{B}^{-1}\|_\infty$ . If we obtain  $\mathbf{\kappa}$  by solving the system  $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{\kappa}' = 6\mathbf{d}'$  [ $\mathbf{\kappa}' = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_{N-1})^*$ ] and subsequently setting

$$(15) \quad \kappa_0 = 6d_1 - \kappa_1 - \kappa_2, \quad \kappa_n = 6d_{N-1} - \kappa_{N-1} - \kappa_{N-2}$$

then the boundedness of  $\|\mathbf{B}^{-1}\|_\infty$  together with (10), (11) imply the estimate (4) and the estimates (5) follow uniformly on  $[a, b]$  from (1).

Observe that if  $h_0 = h_1$ , then  $\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2}$  and (12) gives  $\kappa_1$  by the usual three-point difference formula (accurate to  $\mathcal{O}(h^2M)$  with this spacing); similarly for  $\kappa_{N-1}$  if  $h_{N-2} = h_{N-1}$  so  $\alpha_{N-1} = \frac{1}{2}$ . In this case the original system (6) can be used for  $j = 2, \dots, N - 2$  with  $\kappa_1 = 2d_1$ ,  $\kappa_{N-1} = 2d_{N-1}$  and, subsequently,  $\kappa_0 = 2\kappa_1 - \kappa_2$  and

$\kappa_N = 2\kappa_{N-1} - \kappa_{N-2}$ . Under normal circumstances, this last would seem to be the method of choice.

University of Maryland, Baltimore County  
Baltimore, Maryland 21228

Scientific Time-Sharing Corporation  
1420 Avon Place  
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15235

1. D. KERSHAW, "A note on the convergence of interpolatory cubic splines," *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, v. 8, 1971, pp. 67-74.