

Revisión

## Energy expenditure: components and evaluation methods

A. C. Pinheiro Volp<sup>1</sup>, F. C. Esteves de Oliveira<sup>2</sup>, R. Duarte Moreira Alves<sup>3</sup>, E. A. Esteves<sup>4</sup> y J. Bressan<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor. Nutrition School. Federal University of Ouro Preto. Minas Gerais. Brazil. <sup>2</sup>Candidate for Doctoral degree in Food Science and Technology. Federal University of Viçosa. Minas Gerais. Brazil. <sup>3</sup>Candidate for Doctoral degree in Nutrition Science. Federal University of Viçosa. Minas Gerais. Brazil. <sup>4</sup>Assistant Professor. Department of Nutrition. Federal University of Vales do Jequitinhonha and Mucuri. Minas Gerais. Brazil. <sup>5</sup>Associated professor. Nutrition and Health Department. Federal University of Viçosa. Minas Gerais. Brazil.

**Abstract**

**Introduction:** The determination of energy expenditure, considering the physical activity level and health status, is very important to adjust the individuals' nutritional supply. Energy expenditure can be determined by using indirect calorimetry, bioelectrical impedance, doubly labeled water, predictive equations, among others. All these methods have been used in clinical and research areas. However, considering the inconsistency in several research results, there is no consensus yet about the applicability of many of these methods.

**Objectives:** The aim of this review is to describe the components of energy expenditure and the methods for its determination and estimation, summarizing their main advantages and limitations.

**Results and discussion:** Indirect calorimetry and doubly labeled water are considered more accurate methods, but expensive. On the other hand, even though other methods present limitations, they are convenient and less expensive, and can be used with some caution.

(Nutr Hosp. 2011;26:430-440)

DOI:10.3305/nh.2011.26.3.5181

Key words: Energy metabolism. Energy expenditure. Caloric intake. Methods. Equations.

### GASTO ENERGÉTICO: COMPONENTES Y MÉTODOS DE EVALUACIÓN

**Resumen**

**Introducción:** Determinar el gasto energético (GE), considerando la actividad física y el estado de salud, es muy importante para ajustar el cálculo de la necesidad nutricional para cada individuo. Para eso, se pueden utilizar técnicas como la calorimetría indirecta, la bioimpedancia eléctrica, el agua doblemente marcada, las ecuaciones predictivas, entre otras. Estos métodos son utilizados en la práctica clínica y en estudios científicos. Sin embargo, debido a la inconsistencia de los resultados de estas investigaciones, todavía no hay un consenso respecto a su aplicabilidad.

**Objetivos:** De esa forma, esta revisión tiene como objetivo discutir los componentes del gasto energético, así como las técnicas para su determinación y estimativa, señalando sus ventajas y limitaciones.

**Resultados y discusión:** La calorimetría indirecta y el agua doblemente marcada son métodos considerados más acurados, sin embargo onerosos. Los otros métodos presentan limitaciones, pero por su practicidad y bajo coste, algunos de ellos pueden ser usados con cautela.

(Nutr Hosp. 2011;26:430-440)

DOI:10.3305/nh.2011.26.3.5181

Palabras clave: Metabolismo energético. Gasto energético. Ingesta calórica. Métodos. Ecuaciones.

**Abbreviations**

%: Percentage.

A: Age (years).

ATP: Adenosine triphosphate.

BEE: Basal energy expenditure.

BIA: Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis.

BMI: Body Mass Index.

BW: Body weight (kg).

CIC: Circulatory indirect calorimetry.

CO<sub>2</sub>: Carbon dioxide.

DC: Direct calorimetry.

DIT: Diet-induced thermogenesis.

DLW: Doubly labeled water.

EE: Energy expenditure.

EER: Estimated Energy Requirement.

H: Height (m).

h: Hours.

H<sup>2</sup>: Deuterium.

IC: Indirect calorimetry.

**Correspondence:** Ana Carolina Pinheiro Volp.  
Campus Universitario.  
Morro do Cruzeiro, s/n.  
P.O. BOX: 35400-000 Ouro Preto, MG. Brazil.  
E-mail: anavolp@gmail.com

Recibido: 4-I-2011.  
Aceptado: 4-III-2011.

ICU: Intensive care unit.  
kcal: Kilocalories.  
kg: Kilograms.  
kj: Kilojoules.  
M<sup>2</sup>: Square meters.  
METs: Metabolic equivalents.  
Min: Minutes.  
mL: Milliliters.  
O<sup>18</sup>: Oxygen-18.  
O<sub>2</sub>: Oxygen.  
PA: Physical activity.  
PAL: Physical activity level.  
REE: Resting energy expenditure.  
IC: Indirect calorimetry.  
TEE: Total energy expenditure.  
W: Weight (m).

## Introduction

The energy that human body requires to maintain its organic and vital functions is obtained by the oxidation of macronutrients from foods.<sup>1</sup> Energy expenditure (EE) can be considered a process of energy production from energy substrates (carbohydrates, lipids, proteins and alcohol) combustion, in which there is an oxygen consumption (O<sub>2</sub>) and carbon dioxide production (CO<sub>2</sub>). Part of this chemical energy is lost as heat and in urine, and the remain energy is stored in high-energy molecules known as adenosine triphosphates (ATPs).<sup>2</sup>

Total energy expenditure (TEE) is the energy required by the organism daily and it is determined by the sum of 3 components: basal energy expenditure (BEE), diet-induced thermogenesis (DIT) and physical activity (PA).<sup>3</sup>

There are several methods for EE measurements such as indirect calorimetry (IC) and direct calorimetry (DC), bioelectrical impedance (BIA), doubly labeled water (DLW), predictive equations, and others.<sup>4,5</sup> The EE determination is important to adjust the individuals' nutritional offer, and must consider the demand of energy for physical activity and specific health conditions. Most of these methods have been widely used in human studies for different clinical applications (enteral and parenteral nutrition, obesity and others). However, there is no consensus about the applicability of some of them due to different results from literature. Therefore, this review describes the energy expenditure components as well as discusses several methods for energy expenditure estimation, emphasizing their advantages and limitations.

## Methods

This review was performed using a variety of medical and scientific databases including Medline, PubMed, Scielo, and *Lilacs* to identify relevant articles focused on energy expenditure measurement methods. The following key words, in English, Spanish and Portuguese were used: indirect calorimetry, energy expenditure, bioelec-

trical impedance, doubly labeled water, predictive equations, circulatory indirect calorimetry, food intake measurement, portable *Armand* and physical activity questionnaire. Articles were selected after an abstract pre-reading and independently of their publication year, since we were interested in articles which described original methodologies for measuring energy expenditure.

## Components of Total Energy Expenditure (TEE)

### *Basal Energy Expenditure (BEE)*

The BEE is the amount of calories spent per minute or per hour which can be extrapolated to 24 hours, it also represents the minimal energy required for body vital function maintenance.<sup>6</sup> The BEE is one of the most important physiological information in clinical and epidemiological nutritional studies, since it is used to determine the energy requirement of an individual or population.<sup>7</sup>

The BEE contributes for 60% to 70% of daily energy requirement for most sedentary individuals and nearly 50% for those physically active. Its determination is useful to compare the energy metabolism between individuals.<sup>6,8</sup>

This component of TEE must be measured under standardized ambient conditions such as controlled temperature and humidity. Subject must be at complete rest after at least 8 hours of sleep and after a 12-14 hour overnight fast. Also, during the measurement, subject must be kept fully awake, lied down quietly, completely relaxed and breathing normally.<sup>3,9</sup> The value obtained is extrapolated to the 24 hours of the day and, therefore, is referred to basal with minimal influence of DIT and PA in the TEE.<sup>3</sup> However, the measurement of BEE requires the subject to sleep overnight in the metabolic unit. Thus, instead of BEE, the resting energy expenditure (REE) is usually measured, since there is little difference between them.<sup>10</sup>

Many individual factors may affect BEE, such as ethnicity, weight, lean body mass, age, smoking habits, PA, diet, menstrual period and fasting. Room's conditions (temperature, noise and time of resting) and technical factors related to the equipments used may also affect the BEE measurement. For example, the metabolic monitor must be heated and stabilized 30 minutes before each determination and the gas analyzers must be calibrated with a known gas concentration and periodically validated with the use of methanol flame.<sup>1,7,11</sup> Other factors which may also affect BEE at different levels would be thyroid and sexual hormones; growth; fever; sleep; metabolic stress; diseases; and others.<sup>11</sup>

### *Resting Energy Expenditure (REE)*

The REE is a component of EE that is also measured by indirect calorimetry (IC). It can be 3-10% higher than BEE due to DIT and the influence of most recent PA.<sup>10</sup>

The procedures for measuring REE are very similar to those for BEE. The greatest difference between them is that in REE estimation the subjects have to be resting and fasting for shorter time, at least 30-minute rest and 3-hour fasting.<sup>12</sup>

#### *Thermic effect of food or Diet-Induced Thermogenesis (DIT)*

Diet-induced thermogenesis (DIT) is the EE component related to the energy required for the digestion, absorption, usage and storage of nutrients after food intake.<sup>13,14</sup> The DIT represents 5% to 15% of the TEE, and plays an important role in the regulation of energy balance and of body weight.<sup>9,13</sup> The thermic effect of food on TEE varies according to the type of macronutrient intake: 0-3% for lipids, 5-10% for carbohydrates and 20-30% for proteins.<sup>13</sup>

DIT is higher for proteins because their synthesis requires at least four high-energy phosphate bonds (ATP) per amino acid incorporated into a protein molecule, with the dispend of 0,75 kcal/g of synthesized protein, and the high metabolic cost of ureogenesis and gluconeogenesis.<sup>9,15</sup>

DIT can be divided into two distinct phases: the cephalic and the gastrointestinal phases. The first one is related to sympathetic nervous system action which is activated by food sensory properties, while the second is characterized by ATP consumption during the absorption and utilization of nutrients.<sup>16</sup>

There are some factors that may influence and modulate DIT, such as the stimulus to the autonomic nervous system,<sup>13</sup> hormones, diet palatability, PA, body composition, adiposity,<sup>17</sup> and the most important, diet composition.<sup>18,19</sup>

#### *Physical activity (PA)*

Physical activity (PA) represents the thermic effect of any movement that exceeds BEE,<sup>10</sup> which have a great variability inter and intra individual. In active individuals, the energy required for PA can corresponds as one to two times the basal energy expenditure while in sedentary individuals it can represent less than half of the BEE.<sup>3</sup>

#### **Available methods for determination of energy expenditure**

There are many methods for determining EE, but there is no consensus about which is the most accurate one for specific individuals or populations. The table I summarizes the advantages and limitations of each method for assessing energy expenditure.

#### *Direct calorimetry (DC)*

The directly determination of EE represents the measurement of heat exchange between body and envi-

ronment. This method measures the sensible heat released by the body, as well as the water steam released through respiration and skin. It requires an isolation chamber, hermetically sealed, highly sophisticated and large enough to allow some degree of activity.<sup>4,20</sup> Although it is considered a gold standard method, it is not widely used due to its high complexity and cost, moreover, it requires the individual a confinement of 24 hours or more.<sup>21</sup>

#### *Respiratory indirect calorimetry*

Respiratory indirect calorimetry, or only indirect calorimetry (IC) as it is often known by most authors, is a noninvasive and very accurate method which has an error lower than 1%. It has high reproducibility and has been considered a gold standard method. This method allows estimating BEE and REE, and also allows identifying which energy substrates is predominantly being metabolized by body in a specific moment. It is based on the indirect measure of the heat expended by nutrients oxidation, which is estimated by monitoring oxygen consumption (O<sub>2</sub>) and carbon dioxide production (CO<sub>2</sub>) for a certain period of time.<sup>12,22</sup>

The calorimeter has a gas collector that adapts to subject, a canopy and a system that measures the volume and concentrations of O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> minute by minute.<sup>1,20,23,24</sup> Through a unidirectional valve located in the ventilated canopy, the calorimeter collect and quantify the volume and concentration of O<sub>2</sub> inspired and of CO<sub>2</sub> expired by the subject.<sup>20,23,24</sup> After meeting the volumes, EE is calculated by the Weir formula and results are displayed in a *software* attached to the system.<sup>1,20,23,24</sup>

The procedures for using IC requires the same standardized protocol for determining BEE and REE, which includes environmental, individual, and technical aspects.<sup>1</sup> One advantage of using this method is the fact that it allows a short term measurement due to the scarce O<sub>2</sub> body reservoirs and the limited capacity of body of anaerobic ATP synthesis.<sup>2,20</sup> However, it is costly, relatively complex and requires trained personnel for its correct use.

#### *Circulatory Indirect Calorimetry (CIC) or Fick Principle*

REE can also be measured by CIC which is a practical and simple method. The CIC is commonly used to monitor O<sub>2</sub> consumption and EE when an intensive care unit (ICU) does not have IC and patients' nutritional support must be done with caution.<sup>22,25</sup>

This method is based on a thermo dilution technique that requires the insertion of a catheter (Swan-Ganz catheter) into the pulmonary artery for estimating cardiac output.<sup>25</sup> Besides, the use of this catheter allows analyzing the arterial and venous blood gasometry

**Table I**  
*Advantages and limitations of assessment methods of energy expenditure*

<i>Method</i>	<i>Advantages</i>	<i>Limitations</i>
<i>Direct calorimetry</i> <sup>4,20,21</sup>	Highly sophisticated method, considered a gold standard for measuring the total energy expenditure, allows the subject some degree of activity.	High complexity method, high cost and requires the confinement of the subject for 24 hours or more.
<i>Indirect calorimetry</i> <sup>2,12,20,22</sup>	This method is considered a gold standard for measuring REE and BEE. It is a non-invasive method, reasonably accurate and has a high reproducibility. It also allows to quantify and to identify energy substrates oxidation. Allows short-term measurements of EE.	High cost, relatively complex. Requires trained personnel for its correct use.
<i>Circulatory indirect calorimetry</i> <sup>9,22,25</sup>	Practical and simple method. It can be used with caution when there is no other way to assess EE in critically ill patients who have already have a thermo-dilution catheter inserted.	It is Invasive. The use of the catheter may contribute to metabolic complications. It is based on instantaneous measurements. It is not equivalent to CI because it underestimates the REE.
<i>Double labeled water</i> <sup>29,36</sup>	This is a gold standard method which accuracy is 97-99% compared to CI. It measures precisely the TEE in free living subjects and because it uses deuterium (H2) and oxygen-18 (O18), it is a safe method.	It is costly and requires sophisticated equipments as well as trained personnel. It does not provide the information of energy expended on physical activity neither it gives the information about the substrates oxidation.
<i>Bioelectrical impedance analysis</i> <sup>37,38</sup>	This is an affordable and non-invasive method. It quickly estimates the REE based on its estimation of body compartments including the body fluid distribution considering intra and extracellular spaces.	Several factors may influence its results such as hydration state of the subject, prandial/fasting state, exercises, diuretics use, menstrual period, age, ethnicity, body shape or healthy and nutritional condition.
<i>Sensor of heat and movement</i> <sup>41,42,43</sup>	Easy and practical use device that estimates EE.	Studies indicate that the device needs adjusts, especially the equations for obese subjects.
<i>Physical activities records</i> <sup>45,46</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Low cost method that estimates EE from an extremely detailed registry off all physical activity perform daily.</li> <li>• Wide variety of types of activities listed. The list is frequently updated which allows the inclusion or the correction of typical activities from specific regions or country.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The comparison of results between different studies is limited due to various existing codes for activities.</li> <li>• The estimated EE does not take into account inter-individual differences which may affect the energetic cost of a movement.</li> </ul>
<i>Dietary questionnaires</i> <sup>49,50</sup>	Simple and affordable method. It can be viable if properly used.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Subjects can underreport their food intake, which will reduce de accuracy of the method.</li> <li>• This method is valid only for subjects with stable weight, so in a energy balance equilibrium.</li> <li>• Bias can occur because of interferences from the interviewer as well as bias inherent in the chosen method.</li> </ul>
<i>Predictive equations</i> <sup>52,53</sup>	Simple, fast and affordable method. It can be viable if properly used.	It can overestimate or underestimate the GEB GET of subjects of the same population.

which is based on the measurement of the serum hemoglobin concentration and its O<sub>2</sub> saturation. It is possible to calculate O<sub>2</sub> consumption through the artero-venous difference of the O<sub>2</sub> content multiplied by the cardiac output.<sup>22</sup> Thus, REE can be estimated based on the Fick equation. However CIC requires a surgical procedure to insert the catheter, so that this method should only be used when critical patients has already had a catheter inserted in their artery for hemodynamic control.<sup>26</sup>

Similarly to other method, CIC also has some limitations as it is invasive and the usage of catheters may contribute for complications. Furthermore, it is based on instantaneous measures<sup>22</sup>, thus extreme values of cardiac output decrease the specificity of thermo dilution, as well as the omission of the O<sub>2</sub> dissolved in the plasma and exclusion of the pulmonary O<sub>2</sub> mixed to the O<sub>2</sub> coming from other organs can decrease its specificity.<sup>9,25</sup>

Raurish and Ibanez<sup>27</sup> evaluated the EE of 15 critically ill patients on mechanical ventilation through the IC and CIC, and they found no significant difference between these two methods. Despite the lower reproducibility of Fick compared to IC, they concluded that both methods can be used considering the clinical point of view. However, Ogawa et al.<sup>28</sup> evaluated the EE of 40 critically ill patients in ICU and although they did not find a significant difference between IC and CIC, the use of Fick equation on CIC underestimated the absolute values. Similarly, in another study with 36 patients on mechanical ventilation and parenteral nutrition, the Fick equation underestimated significantly REE compared to IC, and these methods had a poor correlation ( $r = 0.31$ ).<sup>25</sup>

The CIC can be a useful tool if used with caution when there is no other way to assess the EE of critically ill patients who already have a thermo dilution catheter inserted. However, it is important to emphasize that this method is not equivalent to IC, because it underestimates REE values.

#### *Doubly Labeled Water (DLW)*

The DLW is an accurate and precise method for measuring TEE of subjects who are not in confinement, and with no change their routine, it also useful for measuring TEE over some days or weeks. It is considered safe because uses deuterium ( $H^2$ ) and oxygen-18 ( $O^{18}$ ), non-radioactive elements which are naturally found in human body. The DLW accuracy is 97-99% compared to IC, and it is also considered a gold standard.<sup>29</sup>

This method is based on the principle of isotope dilution. Subject ingests those elements at a known concentration and volume ( $C1$  and  $V1$ ) that diffuses throughout the body fluid (which has a different volume ( $V2$ ), and the new concentration ( $C2$ ) can be calculated by the formula  $C1 \times V1 = C2 \times V2$ .<sup>30</sup> Thus, the DLW method considers that the  $O_2$  turnover is determined by the body water flow and the inspired  $O_2$  and expired  $CO_2$ , while the  $H_2$  turnover is determined exclusively by the water flow through the body.<sup>31</sup>

To measure the total body water, a pre-established volume and concentration of the  $H^2$  and  $O^{18}$  isotope is orally administered, which diffuses throughout the body over 2 to 6 hours. As the energy is spent by the body,  $CO_2$  and water<sup>30,32</sup> are produced. The  $CO_2$  is eliminated by the lungs, and the water, by lungs, skin and urine.<sup>33</sup> The  $H^2$  and  $O^{18}$  disappearance rate is determined by measuring repeatedly their concentrations in the body fluids (saliva, urine or blood). The difference between the disappearance rate of the two isotopes is used to estimate the  $CO_2$  production rate and, thus, determine the EE, based on the equation of Weir.<sup>30,32</sup>

Many studies have used DLW to validate other methods.<sup>34,35</sup> However, this method is expensive, requires sophisticated equipments and trained person-

nel. Besides, it does not provide information of performed physical activity and substrate oxidation.<sup>36</sup>

#### *Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis (BIA)*

BIA is a fast and noninvasive method that estimates body composition, including the distribution of body fluids of intra and extracellular spaces. It also estimates REE by predictive equations based on the lean body mass.

This method can be performed by devices with 2, 4 or 8 electrodes. It is based on the principle that tissues have different electrical properties such as large at small opposition to the flow of an electric current. Lean tissues have a high conductivity of electric current, due to the large amount of water and electrolytes. On the other hand, adipose tissue (fat body mass), bones and skin have low conductivity.<sup>37</sup> This method measures the level of resistance (measure of pure opposition to the electric current flow through the body) and reactance (opposition to the electric current flow caused by the capacitance produced by the cell membrane) of the body to a low intensity electric current. By doing so, the analyzer evaluates the total body water, assuming a constant hydration, predicts the amount of lean body mass and estimates REE based on this value.

The usage of BIA has some limitations related to individuals' hydration status. In case of hyperhydration or fluid retention, both lean body mass and REE will be overestimated.<sup>38</sup> Besides, other factors may affect the results of BIA, such as diet, physical activity, use of diuretics, menstrual period, age, ethnic group, body shape or clinical and nutritional status.<sup>37,38</sup>

Korth et al.<sup>39</sup> reported that EE estimation through equations based on the lean body mass may be more accurate than those that the estimation is mainly based on body weight, assuming that the lean body mass is the responsible for 60-70% of the REE variation.

Strain et al.<sup>40</sup> studied severe obese adults and evaluated body composition by BIA and DLW, and EE by BIA and IC. The BIA and DLW methods showed high correlation ( $r = 0.92$ ) for estimation of total body water and lean body mass, as well as equivalence by the Bland and Altman analysis. The REE values obtained by BIA and IC did not differ significantly, and showed high correlation ( $r = 0.88$ ). Therefore, those authors suggested the use of bipolar BIA to estimate the body composition and REE of obese individuals.<sup>40</sup> However, for normal weight and overweight individuals, Oliveira et al.<sup>8</sup> found that comparing to IC, tetrapolar BIA significantly underestimated the BEE of healthy women, but the same did not occur to men.

Korth et al.<sup>39</sup> evaluated lean body mass of 104 normal weight adults by different methods, and the EE by IC and equations which consider body composition. Lean body mass estimated by those several methods did not differ significantly, and all methods were highly correlated ( $r = 0.95-0.99$ ). The variations



observed for REE estimated by equations were better explained by the differences on their mathematical model and data that used in their determination than the method for body composition itself. Those authors conclude that there is no advantage in using a more accurate method for body composition<sup>39</sup> when the objective is to estimate the EE based on the lean body mass. But it is important to use the appropriate equation for a specific population.

The REE estimation by BIA is valid for clinical practice, when the right protocol for this method is respected, mainly because it is a noninvasive and less expensive when compared to IC.

#### *Sensor of heat and movement*

The heat and movement sensor *SenseWear Pro 2 Armband* (SWA; BodyMedia, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA) is a practical device recently developed.<sup>41</sup> This device estimates the EE through equations developed by the manufacturer which considers several parameters (heat flow, accelerometer, galvanic skin response, skin temperature, temperature close to the body) and characteristics of each subject (sex, age, height, body weight, right-handed or left-handed and smoker and non-smoker).<sup>42,43</sup>

St-Onge et al.<sup>43</sup> measured the TEE and EE considering physical activity of individuals in free-living conditions, by using *Armband* and compared the results with the DLW technique. The authors observed a slight underestimation in the TEE (117 kcal/day) compared to the DLW, and a good correlation between these methods ( $r = 0.81$ ;  $P < 0.01$ ). On the other hand, the EE considering physical activity estimated by *Armband*, were less accurate, showing a 218 kcal/day underestimation compared to the DLW and both had a correlation of 46% ( $P < 0.01$ ). However, it is well known that EE considering physical activity measured by DLW is obtained from a derived value. So that there is a potential error associated with the addition or subtraction of other components (BEE and DIT). Therefore, it is unclear if the lower accuracy in the determination of the EE considering physical activity is due to a limitation of *Armband* to capture different types of physical activity, or the inaccuracy of DLW for physical activity.<sup>43</sup>

Papazoglou et al.<sup>41</sup> tested the reliability and validity of the *SenseWear Pro 2 Armband*, during rest and exercise compared to the IC in obese people. They found poor accuracy of *Armband* in the measurement of the EE, both at rest and in exercise, mainly in obese with higher EE values. According to those authors, it is necessary to incorporate new algorithms specific for obesity to the software in order to improve its accuracy. Similarly, a low concordance between these two methods to estimate the REE was found by Bertoli et al.<sup>44</sup> in a study carried out in 169 adults of which 48% were obese. The device significantly overestimated the REE

compared to IC for both gender. Through the Bland Altman analysis, the authors concluded that these methods are not equivalent. Thus, until this moment, studies showed that the sensor of heat and movement device needs adjustments for estimating more accurately the EE.

#### *Physical Activity Records*

Physical activity records estimates EE from a very detailed report of all physical activities (PA) performed daily. Most of the times, it is considered a complementary method, due to its subjectivity.<sup>45</sup>

The PA data are encoded according to its type and intensity and is used to describe a population physical activity pattern and to study its determinants. Moreover, through these records it is possible to investigate the relationships between PA, health and disease. It also can be used to evaluate the contribution of several types of PA to TEE, providing additional categories for the type of activities routinely performed.<sup>46</sup>

Among the lists of codes that exist, there is The Compendium of Physical Activity, published in 1993.<sup>47</sup> The compendium consists of five-digit codes that represent specific activities carried out in several situations with their respective levels of intensity expressed in metabolic equivalent units (METs).<sup>45</sup>

The EE is expressed in kcal.kg<sup>-1</sup> of body weight.h<sup>-1</sup>; kcal.min<sup>-1</sup>; kcal.h<sup>-1</sup> or kcal.24 h<sup>-1</sup>. It is possible to estimate individual EE (kcal) by multiplying body weight (kg) by the duration of the PA (minutes) and by MET value obtained in the compendium.<sup>45</sup>

Generally, it is assumed that the REE of any individual is equal to 1 MET. Therefore, in this case, the EE with physical activities must be expressed in resting METs. The steps for calculating EE is showed below:

$$\begin{aligned} 1,000 \text{ ml O}_2 &= 5 \text{ kcal.} \\ 200 \text{ ml O}_2 &= 1 \text{ kcal.} \\ 1 \text{ MET} &= 3.5 \text{ mL O}_2 / \text{kg} / \text{min} (\text{VO}_2 \text{ at rest}). \\ 3.5 \text{ mL O}_2 / \text{kg} / \text{min} : 200 \text{ ml O}_2 &= 0.0175 / \text{kg} / \text{min} \text{ or} \\ \text{Equation: } 0.0175 \times \text{weight (kg)} \times \text{METs} &= \text{kcal/min.} \end{aligned}$$

The O<sub>2</sub> consumption varies with age resulting in different values of METs. For example, for teenagers between 16 and 17 years, 1 MET corresponds to 4.0 mL O<sub>2</sub>/kg/min. For individuals between 12 and 13 years of age, 1 MET corresponds to 4.58 mL O<sub>2</sub>/kg/min and for children below 5 years of age is 7.0 mL O<sub>2</sub>/kg/min.<sup>48</sup>

Conway et al.<sup>35</sup> in a study with 24 adult men with Body Mass Index (BMI) of  $25.1 \pm 0.5 \text{ kg/m}^2$ , compared the TEE measured by DLW, with 7-day physical activity records and with a 7-day physical activity recalls. They found a good correlation between the physical activity records and the DLW, while the physical activity recalls had a limited application in estimating daily energy due to its overestimation of 30.6%.<sup>35</sup>

However, the major problem of this method is that different authors use different codes for the same type and intensity of physical activities. Although there are similarities in some publications, the comparison of results among the several studies is limited.<sup>45</sup> Another important limitation is that EE estimated through this method does not consider the individuals' differences that can influence the energy cost of the movement. Therefore, a correction factor would be necessary for individual adjustments considering gender, age, physiological status, body composition, and others, which does not exist yet.<sup>45</sup>

On the other hand, the major advantage of using this method is the wide variety of activities listed that have constant updates because of studies that include this method, which allow the inclusion or correction of specific activities to a particular region or country. When using this method, it is recommended to record physical activity instead of a recall.

#### *Food Intake Questionnaires*

The use of food intake questionnaires to estimate TEE has been widely discussed, mainly because people usually under-report their intake.<sup>49,50</sup> Furthermore, the use of these methods would only be valid for individuals with stable weight which means in an energy balance.

A study carried out by Tooze et al.<sup>34</sup> compared the TEE obtained by DLW and by evaluating caloric intake by a food frequency questionnaire and 24-hour recalls. This study involved 484 subjects between 40 and 69 years old. Authors notice that, for men the TEE was underestimated in 11% by 24-hour recalls and 30% by food frequency questionnaire and for women, these underestimated in 17% and 34%, respectively, when compared to the TEE measured by DLW.<sup>34</sup> The use of a 7-day food record to estimate EE was tested in elderly people by Goris et al.<sup>51</sup> by comparing the EE estimated through the food records with the results of DLW and with EE estimated by IC associated with an accelerometer. The results showed that food records underestimated the EE in 18%.

Therefore, the methods of dietary intake may provide an estimate of the calorie intake and indirectly from the TEE when subject is in an energy balance state. However, it should be interpreted with caution, due to the underestimation or overestimation of food intakes reported by individuals, as well as errors inherent to the interviewers. The estimation of EE by a food intake questionnaire must be used in conjunction with other methods of assessing the TEE in order to obtain a more reliable result.

#### *Predictive Equations*

Several predictive equations for EE determination can be found in literature.<sup>52</sup> Most of them were devel-

oped from groups of healthy individuals by using regression analysis involving weight, height, gender and age as independent variables, and the measurement of EE by IC as dependent variable.<sup>52,53</sup>

The first ones were published in 1919 by Harris and Benedict (table II) and they are based on data from a normal weight population.<sup>54</sup> Therefore, these equations have shown an underestimation of the REE of obese individuals when using the ideal body weight and an overestimation when using the actual body weight.<sup>55,56</sup> On the other hand, when adjusted weight is used it can reduce the risk of overestimation, but it increases the maximum error of underestimation. Carrasco et al.<sup>55</sup> notice that the Harris and Benedict equation using actual body weight has a 64% of agreement with the IC, while using the adjusted weight it dropped to 26%, considering severe and morbid obese women.

Based on a compilation of BEE data of 114 studies, Schofield<sup>57</sup> developed predictive equations (table II), that were considered appropriate for international use. These were later adopted by FAO/WHO/UNU (1985)<sup>58</sup> after few modifications based on an expanded database. These equations were mainly based on information from Europeans and north Americans.<sup>12,57</sup>

According to the study of Oliveira et al.,<sup>8</sup> which evaluated healthy men and women, a significant underestimation among the predictive equation of FAO/WHO/UNU of 1985 and 2001 compared to IC was observed for both genders. However, in Cuerda-Compés et al. study<sup>59</sup> it was verified an overestimation of 18% of BEE by the use of FAO/1985 equations compared to IC, consequently leading to an overestimation of TEE.

Henry and Rees<sup>60</sup> proposed new equations (table II), based on the evidences that Schofield's equations overestimated BEE of subjects who live in tropics region. Although Henry and Rees equations provide lower values of estimated BEE compared to those obtained from FAO/WHO/UNU (1985), the values estimated by them still seem to overestimate BEE in tropical regions.<sup>12</sup> Cruz et al.<sup>61</sup> evaluated the BEE of female university students of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil and found an overestimation of 7.2% in BEE obtained from Henry & Rees' equation compared to the results of IC. However, the superestimation observed for Henry & Rees' equation was lower than those 12.5% of superestimation for equation from FAO/WHO/UNU compared to IC.

In 1989, Ireton-Jones et al., developed an equation (table II) to estimate the energy requirements of obese patients. Alves et al.,<sup>62</sup> in a study with overweight and obese individuals (using or not mechanic ventilation), found correlation between EE estimated by Ireton-Jones' equation and the EE measured by IC. However, it was observed a wide variability for maximum and minimum values. Therefore, these authors did not recommend the use of these equations for hospitalized obese patients.

Another existing equation is the one developed by Mifflin-st Jeor<sup>63</sup> (table II), which was derived from a

**Table II**  
Predictive equations for basal energy expenditure

Author	Age (years)	Sex	Equation
<i>Harris and Benedict (1919)<sup>54</sup> in kcal/day</i>	15-74	Male	$66.4730 + 13.7516(W) + 5.0033(H) - 6.7550(A)$
	15-74	Female	$655.0955 + 9.5634(W) + 1.8496(H) - 4.6756(A)$
<i>Schofield (1985)<sup>57</sup> in MJ/day</i>	10-17	Male	$0.074(W) + 2.754$
		Female	$0.056(W) + 2.898$
	18-29	Male	$0.063(W) + 2.896$
		Female	$0.062(W) + 2.036$
	30-59	Male	$0.048(W) + 3.653$
		Female	$0.034(W) + 3.538$
>=60	Male	$0.049(W) + 2.459$	
Female	$0.038(W) + 2.755$		
<i>FAO/WHO/UNU (1985)<sup>58</sup> in MJ/day</i>	10-17	Male	$0.0732(W) + 2.72$
		Female	$0.0510(W) + 3.12$
	18-29	Male	$0.0640(W) + 2.84$
		Female	$0.0615(W) + 2.08$
	30-60	Male	$0.0485(W) + 3.67$
		Female	$0.0364(W) + 3.47$
>=60	Male	$0.0565(W) + 2.04$	
Female	$0.0439(W) + 2.49$		
<i>Henry and Rees (1991)<sup>60</sup> in MJ/day</i>	10-17	Male	$0.084(W) + 2.122$
		Female	$0.047(W) + 2.951$
	18-29	Male	$0.056(W) + 2.800$
		Female	$0.048(W) + 2.562$
	30-59	Male	$0.046(W) + 3.160$
		Female	$0.048(W) + 2.448$
<i>*Ireton-Jones (1989)<sup>68</sup> in kcal/day</i>			<i>Spontaneous Breathing</i>
		Male	$629 - (11 \times H) + (25 \times W) - 609 \times O$
		Female	$629 - (11 \times H) + (25 \times W) - 609 \times O$
			<i>Mechanical Ventilation</i>
	Male	$606 + (9 \times W) - (12 \times H) + (400 \times MV) + 1,444$	
	Female	$(9 \times W) - (12 \times H) + (400 \times MV) + 1,444$	
<i>Mifflin-St Jeor (1990)<sup>63</sup> in kcal/day</i>	19-78	Male	$10 \times W + 6,25 \times H - 5 \times A + 5$
	19-78	Female	$10 \times W + 6,25 \times H - 5 \times A - 161$
<i>Owen (1986)<sup>66</sup> and Owen (1987)<sup>65</sup></i>	18-65	Female	$795 + 7.18 \times W$ (kcal/day)
	18-65	Male	$879 + 10.2 \times W$ (kcal/day)

Adapted from Oliveira et al. (2010)<sup>62</sup>. Abbreviations: W= body weight (kg); H= Height (cm); A= Age (years); O = obesity; O absent = 0; O present = 1; MV = Mechanical Ventilation; MV absent = 0; MV present = 1. \* Age group is not available. NOTE: to convert MJ into kcal, multiply the result by 239.

sample of normal weight, overweight, obese and very obese individuals. The study does not specify the ethnicity of the individuals and a limitation is that the representation of elderly people was small.<sup>63</sup>

In a validation study of 27 equations for overweight and obese people from the United States and The Netherlands, it was showed that the Mifflin's equation have the best accuracy in the estimation of REE (79%) for men and women from the United States compared to the values obtained by IC. For the overweight sample from The Netherlands, the FAO/UNU/WHO equation showed the best accuracy in predicting REE (68%) compared to IC.<sup>64</sup> Thus, it seems that the geographic location, the body composition and the ethnicity of individuals are factors that must always be considered while choosing the method for REE estimation.

Owen et al.<sup>65</sup> and Owen et al.<sup>66</sup> developed REE equations based on women and men data (table II). The sample included whites, blacks and Asian men, with BMI ranged from normal weight to obesity. Again, the elderly were not well represented. The female sample included extremely obese, obese, normal weight and malnourished women. Data from athletes and elderly women were excluded. Additionally, there is no information about the ethnicity of these women.

Fett et al.<sup>4</sup> evaluated REE measured by IC compared to that estimated by equations of sedentary women, most of them with overweight. They showed that the Owen equation was inadequate for obese women because this equation underestimated REE in approximately 16%. Similarly, Wilms et al.,<sup>67</sup> evaluated the accuracy of 11 predictive equations for REE in obese women. It was



**Table III**  
Predictive equations for total energy expenditure for adults older than 19 years old according to nutritional status

	Men	Women
Normal weight (a)	EER = 662 – 9.53 x A + PA x (15.91 x W + 539.6 x H) PA = 1.00 if sedentary PA = 1.11 if low active PA = 1.25 if active PA = 1.48 if very active	EER = 354 – 6.91 x A + PA x (9.36 x W + 726 x H) PA = 1.00 if sedentary PA = 1.12 if low active PA = 1.27 if active PA = 1.45 if very active
Overweight obesity (b)	EER = 1086 – 10.1 x A + PA x (13.7 x W + 416 x H) PA = 1.00 if sedentary PA = 1.12 if low active PA = 1.29 if active PA = 1.59 if very active	EER = 448 – 7.95 x A + PA x (11.4 x W + 619 x H) PA = 1.00 if sedentary PA = 1.16 if low active PA = 1.27 if active PA = 1.44 if very active
Normal Weight Overweight Obesity (c)	EER = 864 – 9.72 x A + PA x (14.2 x W + 503 x H) PA = 1.00 if sedentary PA = 1.12 if low active PA = 1.27 if active PA = 1.54 if very active	EER = 387 – 7.31 x A + PA x (10.9 x W + 660.7 x H) PA = 1.00 if sedentary PA = 1.14 if low active PA = 1.27 if active PA = 1.45 if very active

Source: Oliveira et al. (2010) and IOM (2002)<sup>35</sup>. Abbreviations: EER = estimated energy requirement; W= body weight (kg); H = height (m); A = age (years); PA = physical activity; Sedentary if the category physical activity level (PAL) is estimated to be  $^1 1.0 < 1.4$ ; low active if PAL is estimated to be  $^1 1.4 < 1.6$ ; Active if PAL is estimated to be  $^1 1.6 < 1.9$ ; Very active if PAL is estimated to be  $^1 1.9 < 2.5$ .  
if PAL is estimated to be  $\geq 1.0 < 1.4$ .

observed that the Owen equation showed one of the highest underestimation of REE ( $-317.6 \pm 221.0$  kcal/day) compared to values obtained by using IC.

In 2002, new equations for estimated energy requirement (EER) were published by the *Institute of Medicine* (IOM),<sup>3</sup> in which authors developed equations for normal weight individuals (BMI from 18.5 to 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), from 0 to 100 years of age based on EE data measured by the DLW method (table III (a)). Considering that EERs were defined to maintain the health state for a long period they are not applicable to overweight or obese people so that, new equations were developed (table III (b)). Moreover, combined equations for normal weight, overweight or obese individuals were proposed (table III (c)).

According to the results of Oliveira et al.,<sup>8</sup> the EER has a lower overestimation compared to the 1985 and 2001 FAO/WHO/UNU's predictive equations. This results are probably due to the fact that IOM's equations had been based in the DLW method, and also because FAO equations were based on data from mainly North American and European individuals with different pattern of food intake, physical activity level, physical characteristics and climatic conditions from other populations.

## Conclusion

After reviewing the components of the energy metabolism, as well as their assessment methods for humans, it is possible to verify the existence of several factors that may affect its determination. Yet, even the most sophisticated methods can not accurately reproduce the number and the complexity of the activities performed by individuals daily.

Methods to estimate the energy expenditure, such as respiratory indirect calorimetry and doubly labeled water have a higher accuracy, but they are more expensive and require trained personnel. Bioelectrical impedance is a practical and noninvasive method that provides good results when the right protocol is followed. The use of predictive equations, a simple, fast and low cost method, can be viable if correctly used. These equations have some limitations, but are also the starting point to determining individual energy requirements. It is important to point out that the average values of the BEE estimated by equations can be overestimated or underestimated in individuals of the same population.

The evaluation of the energy expenditure of critically ill patients hospitalized is still a challenge when the institution does not have the equipment for IC. The suitability of using the circulatory indirect calorimetry method should be discussed case by case. Measuring TEE and the energy used during a physical activity is also a challenging, since heat and movement sensor devices are not yet validated. The use of questionnaires to evaluate the EE, based on the daily activities or on food intake is not reliable, due to over or under-reports.

Therefore, analysis of a sample of people from a particular country or region, when extrapolated for other populations, should be evaluated with caution in order to reduce bias since individuals from the same population may have different energy expenditure due to the complexity of multiple factors that affect it. Thereby, studies for the mayor countries population are necessary, with specific equations focused on the context and needs of the different regions of the country.

## References

- Diener JRC. Calorimetria indireta. *Rev Assoc Med Bras* 1997; 43 (3): 245-53.
- Labayen I, Lopes-Marqués J, Martínez JA. Métodos de medida del gasto energético. *Nutr Clin* 1997; 6 (16): 203.
- IOM. Institute of Medicine and Food & Nutrition Board. Dietary Reference Intakes - Energy, Carbohydrate, Fiber, Fat, Fatty Acids, Cholesterol, Protein, and Amino Acids (Macronutrients). Washington D.C.: National Academy Press; 2002.
- Fett CA, Fett WCR, Marchini JS. Gasto energético de repouso medido vs. estimado e relação com a composição corporal de mulheres. *Arq Bras Endocrinol Metabol* 2006; 50: 1050-8.
- Volp ACP, Monteiro JBR, Priore SE, Franceschini SCC. Métodos e equações de predição para avaliação do metabolismo energético. *Rev Bras Nutr Clin* 2005; 20: 278-86.
- Guyton A, Hall J. Energética e metabolismo. In: Guyton A, Hall J, Eds. *Tratado de fisiologia médica*. 10 ed: Editora ABP-DEA 2002: 762-8.
- Wahrlich V, Anjos LA. Aspectos históricos e metodológicos da medição e estimativa da taxa metabólica basal: uma revisão da literatura. *Cad Saude Publica* 2001; 17: 801-17.
- Oliveira FCE, Cruz ACM, Oliveira CG, Cruz ACRF, Nakajima VM, Bressan J. Gasto energético de adultos brasileiros saudáveis: uma comparação de métodos. *Nutr Hosp* 2008; 23 (6): 554-61.
- Rocha EEM. A Determinação do gasto energético em pacientes críticos. In: Ferro HC, Azevedo JRA, Loss SH, Eds. *Nutrição parenteral e enteral em UTI*: Editora Atheneu 2001: 1-23.
- Rodrigues AE, Marostegan PF, Mancini MC et al. Análise da taxa metabólica de repouso avaliada por calorimetria indireta em mulheres obesas com baixa e alta ingestão calórica. *Arq Bras Endocrinol Metabol* 2008; 52 (1): 76-84.
- Klausen B, Toubro S, Astrup A. Age and sex effects on energy expenditure. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1997; 65 (4): 895-907.
- Schneider P, Meyer F. As equações de predição da taxa metabólica basal são apropriadas para adolescentes com sobrepeso e obesidade? *Rev Bras Med Esp* 2005; 11 (30): 193-6.
- Tappy L. Thermic effect of food and sympathetic nervous system activity in humans. *Reprod Nutr Dev* 1996; 36 (4): 391-7.
- Prat-Larquemín L, Oppert JM, Bellisle F, Guy-Grand B. Sweet taste of aspartame and sucrose: effects on diet-induced thermogenesis. *Appetite* 2000; 34 (3): 245-51.
- Johnston CS, Day CS, Swan PD. Postprandial thermogenesis is increased 100% on a high-protein, low-fat diet versus a high-carbohydrate, low-fat diet in healthy, young women. *J Am Coll Nutr* 2002; 21 (1): 55-61.
- Hermisdorff HHM, Monteiro JBR, Mourão DM, Leite MCT. Termogênese induzida pela dieta: uma revisão sobre o papel no balanço energético e no controle de peso. *Rev Bras Nutr Clin* 2003; 18 (1): 37-41.
- Weststrate JA. Resting metabolic rate and diet-induced thermogenesis: a methodological reappraisal. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1993; 58 (5): 592-601.
- Labayen I, Martínez JA. Distribution of macronutrients from the diet and regulation of weight and body composition: role of lipids intake in obesity. *An Sist Sanit Navar* 2002; 25 (Suppl. 1): 79-90.
- De Jonge L, Bray GA. The thermic effect of food and obesity: a critical review. *Obes Res* 1997; 5 (6): 622-31.
- Schutz Y. The basis of direct and indirect calorimetry and their potentials. *Diabetes Metab Rev* 1995; 11 (4): 383-408.
- Melo CM, Tirapegui J, Ribeiro SML. Gasto energético corporal: conceitos, formas de avaliação e sua relação com a obesidade. *Arq Bras Endocrinol Metabol* 2008; 52 (3): 452-64.
- Marson F, Martins MA, Coletto FA, Campos AD, Basile-Filho A. Correlation between oxygen consumption calculated using Fick's method and measured with indirect calorimetry in critically ill patients. *Arq Bras Cardiol* 2003; 82 (1): 77-81, 72-6.
- Ravussin E, Swinburn BA. Energy metabolism. In: Stunkard AJ, Wadden TA, Eds. *Obesity-Theory and Therapy*. 2ed: Raven Press, 1993.
- Merilainen PT. Metabolic monitor. *Int J Clin Monit Comput* 1987; 4 (3): 167-77.
- Flancbaum L, Choban PS, Sambucco S, Verducci J, Burge JC. Comparison of indirect calorimetry, the Fick method, and prediction equations in estimating the energy requirements of critically ill patients. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1999; 69 (3): 461-6.
- Frankenfield D, Hise M, Malone A, Russell M, Gradwell E, Compher C. Prediction of resting metabolic rate in critically ill adult patients: results of a systematic review of the evidence. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2007; 107 (9): 1552-61.
- Raurich Puigdevall JM, Ibanez Juve J. Energy expenditure at rest: indirect calorimetry vs the Fick principle. *Nutr Hosp* 1998; 13 (6): 303-8.
- Ogawa AM, Shikora SA, Burke LM, Heetderks-Cox JE, Bergren CT, Muskat PC. The thermolimitation technique for measuring resting energy expenditure does not agree with indirect calorimetry for the critically ill patient. *J Parenter Enteral Nutr* 1998; 22 (6): 347-51.
- Scagliusi FB, Lancha-Júnior AH. Estudo do gasto energético por meio da água duplamente marcada: fundamentos, utilização e aplicações. *Rev Nutr* 2005; 18 (4): 541.
- Salazar G. Medición de agua corporal total mediante dilución isotópica. *I Simposio Internacional de Obesidad* 1998: 1-8.
- Speakman JR. The history and theory of the doubly labeled water technique. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1998; 68 (4): 932S-8S.
- Bray GA. Energy expenditure using doubly labeled water: the unveiling of objective truth. *Obes Res* 1997; 5 (1): 71-7.
- Matthews DE, Gilker CD. Impact of 2H and 18O pool size determinations on the calculation of total energy expenditure. *Obes Res* 1995; (3 Suppl. 1): 21-9.
- Toozé JA, Subar AF, Thompson FE, Troiano R, Schatzkin A, Kipnis V. Psychosocial predictors of energy underreporting in a large doubly labeled water study. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2004; 79 (5): 795-804.
- Conway JM, Seale JL, Jacobs DR, Jr., Irwin ML, Ainsworth BE. Comparison of energy expenditure estimates from doubly labeled water, a physical activity questionnaire, and physical activity records. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2002; 75 (3): 519-25.
- Paul DR, Novotny JA, Rumpler WV. Effects of the interaction of sex and food intake on the relation between energy expenditure and body composition. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2004; 79 (3): 385-9.
- Barbosa AR, Santarém JM, Jabob-Filho W, Meirelles ES, Marucci MFN. Comparação da gordura corporal de mulheres idosas segundo antropometria, bioimpedância e DEXA. *Arch Latinoam Nutr* 2001; 51 (1): 48-54.
- Kamimura MA, Draibe SA, Sigulem DM, Cuparri L. Métodos de avaliação da composição corporal em pacientes submetidos à hemodiálise. *Rev Nutr* 2004; 17 (1): 97-105.
- Korth O, Bösny-Westphal A, Zschoche P, Gluer CC, Heller M, Müller MJ. Influence of methods used in body composition analysis on the prediction of resting energy expenditure. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2007; 61 (5): 582-9.
- Strain GW, Wang J, Gagner M, Pomp A, Inabnet WB, Heymsfield SB. Bioimpedance for severe obesity: comparing research methods for total body water and resting energy expenditure. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2008; 16 (8): 1953-6.
- Papazoglou D, Augello G, Tagliaferri M et al. Evaluation of a multisensor armband in estimating energy expenditure in obese individuals. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2006; 14 (12): 2217-23.
- Jakicic JM, Marcus M, Gallagher KI, et al. Evaluation of the SenseWear Pro Armband to assess energy expenditure during exercise. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2004; 36 (5): 897-904.
- St-Onge M, Mignault D, Allison DB, Rabasa-Lhoret R. Evaluation of a portable device to measure daily energy expenditure in free-living adults. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2007; 85 (3): 742-9.
- Bertoli S, Posata A, Battezzati A, Spadafranca A, Testolin G, Bedogni G. Poor agreement between a portable armband and indirect calorimetry in the assessment of resting energy expenditure. *Clin Nutr* 2008; 27 (2): 307-10.
- Amorim PR, Gomes TNP. Gasto Energético na Atividade Física. Rio de Janeiro: Shape 2003.
- Ainsworth BE, Haskell WL, Whitt MC et al. Compendium of physical activities: an update of activity codes and MET intensities. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2000; 32 (9 Suppl.):S498-504.

47. Ainsworth BE, Haskell WL, Leon AS et al. Compendium of physical activities: classification of energy costs of human physical activities. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1993; 25 (1): 71-80.
48. Corder K, van Sluijs EM, Wright A, Whincup P, Wareham NJ, Ekelund U. Is it possible to assess free-living physical activity and energy expenditure in young people by self-report? *Am J Clin Nutr* 2009; 89 (3): 862-70.
49. Bellisle F. The doubly-labeled water method and food intake surveys: a confrontation. *Rev Nutr* 2001; 14 (2): 125-33.
50. Johnson RK, Soultanakis RP, Matthews DE. Literacy and body fatness are associated with underreporting of energy intake in US low-income women using the multiple-pass 24-hour recall: a doubly labeled water study. *J Am Diet Assoc* 1998; 98 (10): 1136-40.
51. Goris AH, Meijer EP, Kester A, Westerterp KR. Use of a triaxial accelerometer to validate reported food intakes. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2001; 73 (3): 549-53.
52. Oliveira FCE, Alves RDM, Volp ACP. Equações preditivas para estimar o gasto energético de adultos. *Nutrição em Pauta* 2010; 3: 22-5.
53. Weijs PJ, Kruijenga HM, van Dijk AE et al. Validation of predictive equations for resting energy expenditure in adult outpatients and inpatients. *Clin Nutr* 2008; 27 (1): 150-7.
54. Harris JA, Benedict FG. A Biometric Study of the Basal Metabolism in Man. . In: Washington CIO, ed. Publication No 279. Washington, DC: 1919.
55. Carrasco F, Rojas P, Ruz M et al. Concordância entre gasto energético y reposo medido y estimado por fórmulas predictivas en mujeres con obesidad severa y mórbida. *Nutr Hosp* 2007; 22 (4): 410-6.
56. Daly JM, Heymsfield SB, Head CA et al. Human energy requirements: overestimation by widely used prediction equation. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1985; 42 (6): 1170-4.
57. Schofield WN. Predicting basal metabolic rate, new standards and review of previous work. *Hum Nutr Clin Nutr* 1985; 39 (Suppl. 1): 5-41.
58. FAO/WHO/UNU. Energy and protein requirements: Report of a joint FAO/WHO/UNU Expert Consultation, 1985, pp. 1-126.
59. Cuerda Compés MC, Ruiz Sancho A, Moreno Rengel C, et al. Estudio del gasto energético en la anorexia nerviosa: concordancia entre calorimetría indirecta y diferentes ecuaciones. *Nutr Hosp* 2005; 20: 371-7.
60. Henry CJ, Rees DG. New predictive equations for the estimation of basal metabolic rate in tropical peoples. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 1991; 45 (4): 177-85.
61. Cruz CM, Silva AF, Anjos LA. A taxa metabólica basal é superestimada pelas equações preditivas em universitárias do Rio de Janeiro, Brasil. *Arch Latinoam Nutr* 1999; 49 (3): 232-7.
62. Alves VG, da Rocha EE, Gonzalez MC, Da Fonseca RB, Silva MH, Chiesa CA. Assesment of resting energy expenditure of obese patients: comparison of indirect calorimetry with formulae. *Clin Nutr* 2009; 28 (3): 299-304.
63. Mifflin MD, St Jeor ST, Hill LA, Scott BJ, Daugherty SA, Koh YO. A new predictive equation for resting energy expenditure in healthy individuals. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1990; 51 (2): 241-7.
64. Weijs PJ. Validity of predictive equations for resting energy expenditure in US and Dutch overweight and obese class I and II adults aged 18-65 y. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2008; 88 (4): 959-70.
65. Owen OE, Holup JL, D'Alessio DA et al. A reappraisal of the caloric requirements of men. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1987; 46 (6): 875-85.
66. Owen OE, Kavlé E, Owen RS et al. A reappraisal of caloric requirements in healthy women. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1986; 44 (1): 1-19.
67. Wilms B, Schmid SM, Ernst B, Thurnheer M, Mueller MJ, Schultes B. Poor prediction of resting energy expenditure in obese women by established equations. *Metabolism* 2009.
68. Ireton-Jones CS. Evaluation of energy expenditures in obese patients. *Nutr Clin Pract* 1989; 4 (4): 127-9.