

Prog. Theor. Phys. Vol. 39 (1968), No. 1

Energy Flow in Harmonic Linear Chain

Hiroshi NAKAZAWA

*Department of Physics
Kyoto University, Kyoto*

October 30, 1967

Recently Rieder et al.¹⁾ analyzed the stationary state of a harmonic linear chain with fixed ends under the influence of heat reservoirs. Here we discuss the stationary state of the same system but with "free" ends. Consider a linear harmonic chain with nearest neighbour force and free ends, and let the particles be numbered from one end to the other as 1, 2, ..., N . The displacement of the n -th particle from its equilibrium position and its velocity are denoted by x_n and v_n respectively. Equations of motion are

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{v}_1 &= k(-x_1 + x_2) - \beta_1 v_1 + f_1(t), \\ \dot{v}_i &= k(x_{i-1} - 2x_i + x_{i+1}), \quad i=2, \dots, N-1, \quad (1) \\ \dot{v}_N &= k(x_{N-1} - x_N) - \beta_N v_N + f_N(t).\end{aligned}$$

Here k is the force constant, β_i the friction constant and $f_i(t)$ is the purely random Gaussian process with mean value zero:

$$\langle f_i(t) f_j(t') \rangle = 4\delta_{ij} \beta_i \kappa T_i \delta(t - t').$$

$\langle \dots \rangle$ is the average and κ is Boltzmann's constant. The mass of particles is assumed to be unity but the modification is easy. The pair $(\beta_i, f_i(t))$ represents the heat reservoir of temperature T_i .²⁾ In the matrix form (1) is written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\mathbf{X}} &= \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{F}(t), \quad \mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{v} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{F} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{f}(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \mathbf{K} & \mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

\mathbf{X} and \mathbf{F} are $2N \times 1$ matrices and \mathbf{A} is $2N \times 2N$. \mathbf{K} is $N \times N$ and represents the coefficients of harmonic interaction. \mathbf{B} is

not regular. The formal solution is

$$\mathbf{X}(t) = e^{At}\mathbf{X}(0) + \int_0^t e^{A(t-s)}\mathbf{F}(s)ds. \quad (2)$$

It can now be proved that when at least one of β_i 's is positive [1] among eigenvalues $\{\lambda_i; i=1, \dots, 2N\}$ of A only λ_1 is zero and others have negative real parts, and [2] λ_i 's are distinct in so far as β_i 's are small. The eigenvector \mathbf{e}_1 corresponding to λ_1 represents the center-of-mass coordinate,

$$\mathbf{e}_1^* = (1, 1, \dots, 1; 0, 0, \dots, 0)$$

where * denotes the transpose. Now [2] assures that A can be diagonalized by a regular matrix P as $(PAP^{-1})_{ij} = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$, and $(P^{-1})_{i1}$ is the i -th component of \mathbf{e}_1 .

Let us discuss the correlation matrix

$$C(t) = \langle \mathbf{X}(t)\mathbf{X}(t)^* \rangle.$$

With (2) and [1] it can be established³⁾

$$\begin{aligned} (AC(t) + C(t)A^*)_{ij} &= (Z(t) - G + S)_{ij}, \\ (S)_{ij} &= (P^{-1})_{i1}(PGP^*)_{11}(P^{-1})_{j1} \\ &= \begin{cases} s; & 1 \leq i, j \leq N, \\ 0; & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

$$G = \langle \dot{\mathbf{F}}(t)\mathbf{F}(t')^* \rangle / \delta(t-t'),$$

where $Z(t)$ is the matrix that collects all terms that vanish as $t \rightarrow \infty$, and s is a constant. Equation (3) is the linear equation for $(C)_{ij}$. Let us decompose

$$C(t) = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 & C_2 \\ C_2^* & C_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{aligned} C_1 &= \langle \mathbf{x}(t)\mathbf{x}(t)^* \rangle, \\ C_2 &= \langle \mathbf{x}(t)\mathbf{v}(t)^* \rangle, \\ C_3 &= \langle \mathbf{v}(t)\mathbf{v}(t)^* \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Because of zero the eigenvalue C_1 is $O(t)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, but C_2 and C_3 remain finite. Therefore we rewrite (3) in terms of C_i and put C_1 away. Then, with $t = \infty$ all initial conditions drop out and

$$\begin{aligned} C_2 + C_2^* &= S_1, \\ KC_2B - BC_2^*K + KC_3 - C_3K &= 0, \\ KC_2 + C_2^*K + BC_3 + C_3B &= -D, \\ D &= \langle \mathbf{f}(t)\mathbf{f}(t')^* \rangle / \delta(t-t'), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where S_1 is the $N \times N$ matrix whose elements are all s .

Since we have to operate the non-regular matrix K on (3) to obtain (4), (4) is only a necessary condition of (3). But it can be shown that for $N=2, 3$ and 4 , (4) gives a "unique" solution; that is, its coefficient matrix (which is $N^2 \times N^2$) is regular (for $N=4$ the determinant is $k^3(k + \beta_1\beta_4)^3(\beta_1 + \beta_4)^4$). Therefore it would be safe to consider the solution of (4) to be always that of (3). The solution of (4) is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x_i v_j \rangle &= s + \begin{cases} b, & i < j, \\ 0, & i = j, \\ -b, & i > j, \end{cases} \\ \langle v_i v_j \rangle &= a \delta_{ij} + \begin{cases} \beta_1 b, & i = j = 1, \\ \beta_N b, & i = j = N, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ a &= 2\kappa \frac{(k + \beta_N^2)\beta_1 T_1 + (k + \beta_1^2)\beta_N T_N}{(\beta_1 + \beta_N)(k + \beta_1\beta_N)}, \\ b &= \frac{2\kappa \beta_1 \beta_N (T_1 - T_N)}{(\beta_1 + \beta_N)(k + \beta_1\beta_N)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus particles $2, \dots, N-1$ have all the same mean kinetic energy (temperature) in contrast with the result of 1). The rate of energy flow from i to $i+1$ is kb , and depends only on $T_1 - T_N$. This is a kind of superconduction. Which of the end conditions be more realistic, the fixed or the free? The author believes it is the latter: this point will be discussed more fully in a forthcoming investigation.

This result was reported at the Sugadaira Symposium on Lattice Dynamics held in July 1964. The author would like to express his gratitude to Professor Ei Teramoto for suggesting the problem and to Dr. H. Sabata and all the members of the "Symposium" for valuable discussions.

- 1) Z. Rieder, J. L. Lebowitz and E. Lieb, J. Math. Phys. 8 (1967) 1073.
- 2) H. Nakazawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.

- No. **36** (1966) 172.
- 3) M. C. Wang and G. E. Uhlenbeck, Rev.
Mod. Phys. **17** (1945) 323, § 11.