

Published in final edited form as:

Pharm Res. 2009 January ; 26(1): 51–60. doi:10.1007/s11095-008-9704-2.

ENHANCED CORE HYDROPHOBICITY, FUNCTIONALIZATION AND CELL PENETRATION OF POLYBASIC NANOMATRICES

Omar Z. Fisher¹, Timothy Kim¹, Stephen R. Dietz¹, and Nicholas A. Peppas^{1,2,3,*}

¹Department of Biomedical Engineering, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78752

²Department of Chemical Engineering, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78752

³Division of Pharmaceutics, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78752

Abstract

PURPOSE—In this work a novel pH-responsive nanoscale polymer network was investigated for potential applications in nanomedicine. These consisted of a polybasic core surface stabilized with poly(ethylene glycol) grafts. The ability to control swelling properties via changes in core hydrophobicity and crosslinking density was assessed. The nanomatrices were also evaluated in vitro as nanocarriers for targeted intracellular delivery of macromolecules.

METHODS—Photo-emulsion polymerization was used to synthesize poly[2-(diethylamino)ethyl methacrylate-co-*t*-butyl methacrylate-g-poly(ethylene glycol)] (PDBP) nanomatrices. These were characterized using NMR, dynamic and electrophoretic light scattering, electron microscopy. The cytocompatibility and cellular uptake of nanomatrices was measured using the NIH/3T3 and A549 cell lines.

RESULTS—PDBP nanomatrices had a dry diameter of 40–60 nm and a hydrodynamic diameter of 70–90 nm in the collapsed state. Maximum volume swelling ratios from 10–23 were obtained depending on crosslinking density. Controlling the hydrophobicity of the networks allowed for control over the critical swelling pH without a significant loss in maximal volume swelling. The effect of PDBP nanomatrices on cell viability and cell membrane integrity depended on crosslinking density. Cell uptake and cytosolic delivery of FITC-albumin was enhanced from clathrin-targeting nanocarriers. The uptake resulted in nuclear localization of the dye in a cell type dependent fashion.

CONCLUSIONS—The results of this work indicate that PDBP nanomatrices have tunable swelling properties. The networks were cytocompatible and proved to be suitable agents for intracellular delivery.

Keywords

Nanogel; pH-responsive; Photopolymerization; Cell uptake; Endosomolytic agent

1. Introduction

The emergence and growth of nanomedicine has spurred the development of a wide variety of nanostructured devices for the treatment and detection of disease. As biomaterials these new devices must meet minimum standards of biocompatibility as well as possess advanced

* Corresponding Author Prof. Nicholas A. Peppas Department of Chemical Engineering The University of Texas at Austin 1 University Station C0400 Austin, TX 78712-1062 USA Phone number: 512 471 6644 Fax number: 512 471 8227 peppas@che.utexas.edu.

functionality. Ideally they should also be durable, fabricated with scalable processing methods and possess tunable properties. Photopolymerized polymer networks have been proven to meet all these criteria as macroscale biomaterials, and could potentially do the same on the nanoscale. This work was an attempt to further evaluate a novel photopolymerized hydrogel for applications in nanomedicine.

Nanogels are platform technologies with diverse biomedical applications. They can be tailor made for imaging, biosensing, therapeutic delivery, biomolecular recognition or multimodal purposes. Because they are covalently crosslinking they have integrity that cannot be achieved in purely self-assembled systems. They can be easily fabricated on the nanoscale using diverse techniques such as nanolithography, nanofluidics, emulsions or the principles of self-assembly (1).

Much of the biomedical work on synthetic nanoscale polymers has centered on self-assembled systems such as liposomes or biodegradable thermoplastics such as PLGA or polyanhydrides for drug delivery. These systems can be loaded with drugs with low aqueous solubility and locally delivered to target tissue. Macromolecular therapeutics, such as nucleic acids or proteins, present additional challenges in drug delivery. These compounds are typically water soluble and degraded in the harsh processing conditions used to fabricate many synthetic polymer nanocarriers. Aqueous phase biomacromolecules can be encapsulated into hydrogels by simple partitioning. Also, networks can be made to respond to a range of environmental stimulus, such as pH, light, or temperature.

Photopolymerized poly[2-(diethylamino)ethyl methacrylate-g-poly(ethylene glycol)] nanomatrices are a novel polymer networks that undergoes a pH-dependent hydrophobe-to-hydrophile phase shift. This unique property qualifies these polymer matrices as nanogels only at low pH. It also makes these networks useful for the delivery of a wider variety of compounds including small lipophilic drugs as well as large biomolecules. These nanomatrices can be fabricated using free radical oil-in-water emulsion polymerization. They have been used as drug and gene delivery agents as well as platforms for the synthesis of nanocomposites (2-4).

The biocompatibility of PDGP nanostructures can be tuned by modifying surface and bulk properties. Polybasic polymers with conjugated poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) chains are known to have increased biocompatibility both in vivo and in vitro (5,6). On PDGP nanogels the length of surface grafted PEG chains (2) as well as crosslinking density (4) were shown to modulate biocompatibility in vitro. As the crosslinking density increases the network provides increased resistance to swelling forces and thereby a decreased pH needed to swell. Another strategy to lower the critical swelling pH is to increase the hydrophobicity of the matrix core (7). In this work PDGP networks were fabricated with enhanced core hydrophobicity to determine the effect on swelling properties, in vitro biocompatibility and cell uptake.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 List of Reagents

The chemicals 2-(diethylamino)ethyl methacrylate, *tert*-butyl methacrylate, 2-aminoethyl methacrylate, tetraethylene glycol dimethacrylate, poly(ethylene glycol) monomethyl ether monomethacrylate (Mn=2080, 50wt% aqueous solution), Brij-30, myristyltrimethylammonium bromide, fluorescein labeled bovine serum albumin and fluorescamine were all obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Corporation. Brefeldin A, deuterium chloride (DCI; 20% in D₂O), hydrochloric acid 1N solution (HCl), sodium hydroxide 1N solution (NaOH), fetal bovine serum (FBS) and calf serum (CS) were obtained from

Thermo-Fisher Scientific Inc.. Irgacure® 2959 was obtained from Ciba Chemical Company. Dulbecco's Modified Eagles Media (DMEM), non-essential amino acids, and antibiotic/antimycotics were obtained from Mediatech Inc. NIH/3T3 mouse fibroblasts and A549 human lung carcinoma cells were obtained from ATCC. Deuterium oxide (D₂O) was obtained from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories Inc. (Andover, MA).

2.2 Nanomatrix synthesis

PDGP and PDBP nanomatrices were polymerized by photo-emulsion polymerization as described below. All monomers were passed through a column of basic alumina powder to remove inhibitor prior to use. In a round bottom flask, a mixture of TEGDMA, DEAEM and BMA was added to an aqueous solution of 5 wt% PEGMMA, Irgacure 2959 at 0.5 wt% of total monomer, 1.144 mg/ml MyTAB, and 4 mg/ml Brij-30 in deionized distilled water (ddH₂O). TEGDMA was used at mole feed ratios of 0.01, 0.025, 0.05, 0.10. The DEAEM content was kept constant at 5 wt% monomer in water and BMA was used at 20 mol% of DEAEM. For NMR studies uncrosslinked PDEAEM homopolymer, PDGP and PDBP copolymers were prepared using the same conditions but without TEGDMA. Nanomatrices with primary amines were prepared with 5 mol% of total monomer content. AEM pre-dissolved in aqueous phase just prior to the reaction.

The mixture was emulsified for 10 min using a Misonix Ultrasonicator (Misonix Inc., Farmingdale, NY, USA) at 88 W while partially submerged in a stirred ice water bath. The emulsion was then purged with nitrogen gas for ten minutes, capped, and then exposed to a UV light source (Dymax BlueWave™ 200 UV, Dymax, Torrington, CT, USA) for 2.5 h at 140 mW/cm² with the light guide directed at the top of the emulsion, all with constant stirring. The removal of unreacted reagents and surfactants was performed by repeatedly inducing polyelectrolyte-to-ionomer collapse. First, the pH of the suspension was lowered to 3 using 1 N HCl. Then acetone was added to 70 vol.%. This caused destabilization of the suspension followed by flocculation and sedimentation. The supernatant containing unreacted reagents and surfactants was separated by centrifuging the sediment into a pellet at 3,200 rcf. Following this, the pellet was resuspended in 0.5 N HCl and the process repeated four more times. The final centrifugation was followed by resuspension in ddH₂O and lyophilization. Nanomatrices were stored dry and desiccated in this protonated form until use.

2.3 ¹H-NMR Spectroscopy

The BMA and PEG content were determined using ¹H NMR spectroscopy. Uncrosslinked polymers were dissolved in D₂O in 0.1 N DCl and NMR spectra were recorded using a 300 MHz Varian UNITY+ 300s spectrometer. The integrated intensity of the methylamine proton peak at 3.2 ppm was compared to the *tert*-butyl peak from BMA at 1.3 ppm, and the oxyethylene proton peak from the PEGMMA grafts at 3.6 ppm.

2.4 Scanning Electron Microscopy

Nanomatrices were imaged using a LEO 1530 scanning electron microscope (SEM). Dried nanomatrices were resuspended in ddH₂O and combined with 2 week old colloidal gold. The suspension was dried on an aluminum stage prior to imaging. Au colloid was prepared as described by Duff and coworkers. (8). Average diameters in the dry state were obtained by digitally fitting transverse lines across a minimum of 30 particles and comparing pixel lengths to the scale bar.

2.5 Light Scattering

The hydrodynamic diameter in aqueous suspension and effective surface ζ -potential of the polymer networks were measured using a Brookhaven ZetaPlus instrument (Brookhaven Instruments Corp.) operating with a 659 nm diode laser source. DLS measurements of particle size were conducted as follows: Dry particles were resuspended at 1 mg/ml in ddH₂O and brought up to final volume in 1x PBS with a 10x PBS. The same was then diluted with PBS to attain a scattering intensity between 200-800 kcps. The suspension pH was adjusted to 10 using microliter amounts of 1 N NaOH and gradually lowered using small amounts of 1 N HCl. Each measurement was taken in sextuplicate at room temperature.

Electrophoretic light scattering measurements of the surface ζ -potential were taken from nanomatrices suspended in 5mM sodium phosphate at pH 7.4. All measurements were taken at 22°C with a scattering angle of 15°.

2.6 Bioconjugation

The primary amine content of functionalized nanomatrices was quantified using a fluorescamine assay. The fluorescence intensity from aqueous nanomatrix suspensions at 0.3 mg/ml was compared to AEM standard solutions.

For conjugation, 2 mg/ml suspensions of functionalized and non-functionalized particles were prepared in PBS with pH adjusted to 7.6. Either RGDS (American Peptide Company Inc.) or human holo-transferrin (Sigma-Aldrich Corporation) concentrates were added to the suspension to a final protein-amine mole ratio of 1:10. EDC and sulfo-NHS were used at 20× the protein molarity and 5 mM, respectively. The reaction proceeded for 1 hr, in the dark at room temperature while stirring. Conjugation was verified by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) of suspension filtrates passed through a 0.02 μ m syringe filter. After reaction, the suspensions were dialyzed against 4°C PBS for 48 hours, with the wash solution changed twice daily.

2.7 Cell Culture

NIH/3T3 murine fibroblasts were grown on 75 cm² tissue culture flasks in DMEM with 10% CS, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 μ g/ml streptomycin. A549 human lung carcinoma cells were maintain in DMEM with 4.5 g/L glucose & L-glutamine, 1% non-essential amino acids, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 μ g/ml streptomycin and 10% FBS. The cells were kept at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂.

2.8 Cytocompatibility

NIH/3T3 cells were seeded in 96-well plates at 2,500 cells/cm² and grown to 85-90% confluency. A volume of 200 μ L of fresh media was placed in each well 1 hr before experimentation. Particle suspensions were prepared in PBS. A volume of 50 μ L of each suspension was placed in each well, each plate was then shaken for 30 sec at 550 rpm atop a thermomixer (Eppendorf), and incubated for 24 hr. The media was then removed and used to quantify lactate dehydrogenase leakage (LDH) using a resazurin based fluorometric assay (Cytotox-ONE™ Homogeneous Membrane Integrity Assay, Promega Corp.). To measure cell metabolic activity the media was replaced with a standard MTS assay solution (CellTiter 96 AQueous, Promega Corp.) and incubated for 90 minutes. The fluorescence and absorbance were measured using a microplate reader (Synergy HT, BioTek Instruments, Inc.).

2.9 Cell Uptake

Transferrin conjugated, RGDS conjugated and control nanomatrices were resuspended in PBS at 1 mg/ml. They were then combined with an equal volume of FITC-albumin solution at 0.5 mg/ml and the pH adjusted to 6.5 using 1N HCl. The mixtures were stirred for 30 minutes at room temperature. The carriers were collapsed by raising the pH to 7.4 using 1N NaOH. Complete loading was confirmed by HPLC.

NIH/3T3 cells were seeded in 96-well plates at 2,500 cells/cm² and grown to 85-90%. One hour prior to uptake experiments the media was replaced with 160 μL fresh media. FITC-albumin loaded nanomatrix suspensions were added at a volume of 40 μL to each well to a final concentration of 100 μg/ml. Prior to adding to cell wells, BFA was added to the appropriate suspension to obtain a final well concentration of 5 μg/ml. A 150 mg/ml solution of RGDS in PBS was added to the appropriate suspensions at 100× conjugated peptide. Control wells received 40 μL of PBS. The suspensions were allowed to incubate for 1 hr, rinsed twice with warm PBS then FITC fluorescence measured using a microplate reader.

A549 cells were seeded in 96-well plates at 14,000 cells/cm² and grown to confluency. For uptake experiments the same procedure was followed as for NIH/3T3's.

2.10 Optical Microscopy

A549 and NIH/3T3 cells were grown on 8-well chamber slides under the same conditions used for uptake measurements. After the 1 hr exposure to nanomatrix suspensions the slides were rinsed in warm PBS and fixed in 10% buffered formalin for 15 minutes. They were then rinsed in water, stained with DAPI, rinsed again and dried at room temperature. Images were taken with a Nikon ME600 microscope using reflected light and epifluorescence and overlaid using MetaMorph® Imaging Software.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Nanomatrix Characterization

Poly[2-(diethylamino)ethyl methacrylate-co-*t*-butyl methacrylate] nanomatrices surface grafted with poly(ethylene glycol) chains (PDBP) were successfully prepared using UV-initiated photo-emulsion polymerization. The reactions went to 60-67% conversion, based on mass yield, and resulted in fairly monodisperse spherical nanostructures 51±7 nm in diameter. In the pH range 7.4-7.55 the suspended particles were all 71-73 nm in diameter except for the lowest crosslinking density which was 90 nm in diameter (Fig.1) .

Using the collapsed diameters from SEM measurements, the maximum volume swelling ratio can be computed for the nanogels at four different crosslinking mole feed ratios as

$$Q = \frac{d_s^3}{d_d^3} \quad (1)$$

where d_s and d_d are the particle diameters in the swollen state and dry state, respectively. The average maximum swelling ratios (\pm standard deviation, $n=6$) were 22±1, 12±0.04, 7±0.2, and 6±0.1 for crosslinking mole feed ratios of 0.01, 0.025, 0.05 and 0.10 respectively. In all cases maximal swelling was reached at a pH of 6.75 and lower. These swelling ratios are essentially the same as those obtained for PDGP nanomatrices (4), suggesting that the same constraints on encapsulation apply. This was verified by the encapsulation of both insulin and bovine serum albumin (BSA), which both had 100% loading efficiency in networks with 2.5% crosslinking density. This is higher than what could be loaded into

PDGP nanomatrices which entrapped only 27.7% BSA and 83.1% insulin at the same crosslinking ratio. This suggests that the more hydrophobic network is more efficient at trapping compounds at physiological pH.

From the volume swelling plot it is clear that by varying crosslinking density and hydrophobicity the swelling properties of the networks can be controlled. (Fig. 2). The increased core hydrophobicity also had the effect of tightening the loosely crosslinked networks in the collapsed state. The 1% crosslinked PDGP deswells to a much lesser extent than PDBP. PDEAEM homopolymer is insoluble in water above its pK_a yet is known to take on some water (9), due to the high density of hydrogen bond accepting amines. The additional lipophilic units allow the network to exclude more water in the collapsed state.

The incorporation of *t*-BMA into the network was verified and quantified using proton NMR (Fig. 3). The spectra show a new peak at 1.3 ppm corresponding to the *tert*-butyl groups. The *t*-BMA/DEAEM mole ratio in the polymer was 30 mol% of the DEAEM fraction as obtained by comparing the new peak area with the methylamine peak at 3.2 ppm. The PEG/DEAEM mole ratio was 4%. When the PEG fraction calculated from NMR and polymer yield are taken into account the bulk of unreacted monomer is mostly PEG grafts. The lipophilic comonomers all go to near complete conversion.

The use of polyamines is known to enhance cellular adhesion of biomaterials (10). The cell membrane glycocalyx is a molecular forest of branched, highly sulfated proteoglycans, which are strongly attracted to polycations (11-14). For macroscale materials this can lead to an enhanced biological interface. For nanoscale biomaterials this can lead to increased toxicity due to cell lysis. As a PDEAEM based, material PDBP could affect cellular membranes in the same way as the similar tertiary amine methacrylate polymer poly[2-(dimethylamino)ethyl methacrylate]. This polymer was shown to cause red blood cell lysis and in vivo toxicity (15). The potential for damage is dependent on the amount of charge available at the polymer surface. ζ -potential measurements taken at physiological pH showed a slight decline in surface charge as crosslinking density increased. The average values (\pm SD, $n=10$) were 3.8 ± 6 mV at 1% crosslinking, 3.9 ± 4 mV at 2.5%, 1.3 ± 3.6 mV at 5% and 0.9 ± 3.4 mV. None of the nanostructures were significantly cationized, especially when compared with the 15-30 mV ζ -potentials needed for other polybasic carriers to induce nonspecific cell uptake (16-18).

3.2 Cell Viability

Wolff and Rozema described the positive surface charge on most intracellular delivery agents as a 'double edged sword,' required for uptake yet responsible for significant toxicity (19). The amount of surface charge of a polybasic material is related to the pK_a of the ionizable groups. The gold standard in intracellular delivery, polyethyleneimine (PEI), has a pK_a of 5.5, leaving only about 1/6 of the available amines protonated at physiological pH (20) yet it can reduce cell viability by up to 85% (21). PDEAEM has a pK_a of 7.3-7.5 (20). PDBP appeared to have a maximum buffering capacity at pH values only slightly below this, meaning that it has potentially much greater cationization than PEI at physiological pH. To determine how this affected toxicity, in vitro biocompatibility was measured using confluent NIH/3T3 fibroblasts exposed to PDBP nanomatrix suspensions for 24 hours. The viability of these tissue mimics was measured using a metabolic cell viability assay complexed with a cytotoxicity assay which measured LDH leakage from damaged cell membranes.

The two assays provided different assessments of cell-material response. The concentration range of 0.125-1 mg/ml chosen was to obtain an upper limit on biocompatibility. When concentrations in the 1-20 μ g/ml range were used, typical for polyplex gene delivery

carriers, no toxicity was detected. Based on the metabolic assay, the two lowest crosslinking densities show moderate to severe cytotoxicity above 0.25 mg/ml, while the other samples show no cytotoxicity at any concentration (Fig. 4A). Exposure to PDBP for 24 hr had much less effect on cell metabolism than PDGP exposure for 2 hr. The reason for this is most likely the lower critical swelling pH. This has a twofold effect: it decreases cationization and increases PEG surface graft density by tightening the networks. Increased crosslinking density would have the same effect on surface graft density.

A different relationship between crosslinking density and cytocompatibility was obtained from looking at the LDH leakage assay (Fig. 4B). The results showed that the 10% crosslinked sample had the only insignificant effect on plasma membrane integrity, and only below 0.25 mg/ml ($P < 0.05$). The membrane damage at higher concentrations appeared negligible. At 5% crosslinking the carriers caused moderate membrane leakage above 0.25 mg/ml and a small amount of membrane disruption at lower concentrations. At the two lower crosslinking densities severe membrane disruption occurs that corresponds with the metabolic activity.

Taken together, the results of the two assays show that there is a certain degree of carrier induced membrane disruption that is either not harmful to cells or only transiently so. The two techniques would clearly provide very different IC_{50} values.

3.3 Bioconjugation

To introduce specificity into the carrier it was first functionalized with primary amines by including AEM into the aqueous phase of the reaction. The presence of primary amines was confirmed and quantified using a fluorescamine assay. The measured amine content between three batches was consistently 26-27 $\mu\text{mol/g}$, representing a 16.9% incorporation efficiency. It is important to note that the monomer AEM worked well in this initial application but the use of it in other reaction schemes may be complicated by its tendency to quickly rearrange, resulting in a loss of primary amines (22). The polymerized form is stable. In this work it was added just prior to the reaction, helping to preserve more of the original structure. Also, the presence of any primary amines during the polymerization can lead to Michael addition.

There are different pathways by which nanoscale intracellular delivery agents have been shown to enter the cell. The availability of each pathway varies by cell type and particle size (16). Phagocytosis and macropinocytosis are both actin driven processes resulting in large endosomal compartments (23). For carriers $>200\text{nm}$ these are the main pathways (24). Phagocytosis is normally limited to certain cell types, such as macrophages, that function to clear infections and debris, yet certain pathogens are able to induce phagocytosis in non-phagocytic cells (25). Macropinocytosis can be triggered by various growth factors, such as epidermal growth factor (26). This pathway can either result in lysosomal fusion or recycling to the cell membrane. Carriers below 200nm can enter the cell through macropinocytosis, clathrin-coated pits, caveolin-dependent or lipid raft-dependent endocytosis. Uptake through caveolae or lipid rafts results in a neutral pH compartment (27), useless for an acid swellable carrier. Only entry via clathrin coated-pits is an assured way for a carrier to encounter the influx of protons responsible for the success of many polybasic cell delivery agents.

To target clathrin-coated pits PDBP nanomatrices were conjugated to human holo-transferrin using 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide hydrochloride and sulfo-NHS. Elution fractions passed through a 0.02 μm filter confirmed no binding of Tf to nonfunctional, EDC/Sulfo-NHS treated controls while no Tf eluted from functional gels. Transferrin is known to be endocytosed through the clathrin-dependent pathway by cells (28). Vinogradov and coworkers (29,30) showed that transferrin conjugated nanogels could

be used for tumor targeting and crossing the blood-brain barrier. Ogris and coworkers (31) showed that transferrin conjugated PEG/PEI/DNA nanoparticles had targeting specificity with reduced serum protein adsorption. However, in vivo the circulation half-life was fairly short. This was likely due to the inherent instability of particles formed by polycomplexation.

3.4 A549 Cell Uptake

Cell uptake was investigated using the A549 human pulmonary epithelial cell line. FITC-albumin was loaded into the nanocarriers as an imaging probe and the fluorescence was quantified. The unconjugated carriers showed significant uptake compared to FITC-albumin alone (Fig. 5). In this case the unconjugated control carriers were functionalized with AEM to account for any non-specific uptake caused by free amines. Conjugation with transferrin approximately doubled the uptake of the carrier. Shen and coworkers (32) showed that treatment with BFA caused an increase in transferrin uptake in Madin-Darby canine kidney cells. To determine if it had the same effect on PDBP uptake it was co-delivered with them, resulting in a 3-fold increase in uptake. Interestingly, co-delivery of transferrin in solution had a similar effect (data not shown). During conjugation the transferrin:amine ratio was kept low, at 1:10, to limit protein-protein conjugation. Lim and Shen (33) showed that oligo-Tf resulted in greater cell uptake than monomeric Tf. This suggests that the bioconjugate ratio used here could be increased 30-fold without any reduction in the biological activity of transferrin.

Gene delivery carriers face the barrier of cell entry, endosomal escape and also the nuclear membrane. Inefficient release of nucleic acids from the carrier can inhibit the transfection efficiency of non-viral gene delivery agents. Fluorescence microscopy was used to look at the ability of PDBP nanomatrices to deliver FITC-albumin to the nucleus (Fig. 6). In A549 cells exposed PDBP-Tf conjugates fluorescence is strong in the vicinity around the nucleus but poor inside, similar to when nuclear pores are blocked with an antibody (34). The uptake and targeting potential of the carrier was clearly enhanced by transferrin conjugation. But the lack of nuclear dye localization leaves doubt about the release of payload into the cytosol. There is a discrepancy in the literature on size exclusion limit of the nuclear pores. Some authors claim that compounds larger than 60 kDa can not cross through nuclear pores unassisted (35,36). Wang and Brattain (37) claim that much larger compounds, up to 110 kDa, pass through nuclear pore complexes passively. Bovine serum albumin, the 67 kDa compound chosen as a model drug in this work, is the molecule that set the 60 kDa limit in earlier work due to its inefficient entry through nuclear pores (38,39). Wang and Brattain also noted the inconsistency in nuclear pore size exclusion between cell types.

3.5 NIH/3T3 Cell Uptake

In order to account for cell type variability, PDBP nanomatrices were clathrin targeted for uptake in NIH/3T3 cells. First, the RGDS peptide sequence was conjugated to PDBP nanocarriers. The carrier was then loaded with FITC-albumin and incubated with NIH/3T3 fibroblasts. RGDS conjugation essentially made the nanocarriers viral mimics. Many adenoviruses use a pH-triggered endosomolysis to enter the cytosol of infected cells. To infect the cell they display an RGD sequence on their capsid surface to target specific integrins for clathrin mediated uptake (40,41).

All of the nanocarrier formulations displayed significantly higher uptake over the control solution (Fig 7). Uptake was significantly increased with the use of RGD, and RGD with BFA. And in contrast to the A549 results the uptake caused nuclear localization of the dye in the murine fibroblasts. Despite the increase, when the BFA treated cells were viewed under the microscope there was mostly perinuclear fluorescence (Fig. 8). Brefeldin A is known to

interfere with the formation of clathrin coats around endosomes (42). This may interfere with the endosome maturation, blocking the drop in pH required to swell the nanocarriers. The greatest enhancement was achieved by co-delivering soluble RGDS at a 100-fold excess. Instead of competing for binding the soluble peptide seemed to allow the nanocarrier to piggyback into the cell, similar to the result with transferrin.

4. Conclusions

The general nanoparticle size range for extended circulation half-life in the blood is between 50-200 nm in diameter (43). Tissue targeting can be achieved passively by tailoring nanoparticle size to exploit the enhanced permeation of pathological vasculature such as in tissue rheumatoid arthritis, tumorigenesis or infarction (44). pH-responsive nanostructures can also be used to target low pH compartments such as tumor tissue or intracellular compartments (45-49). PDGP nanomatrices are of the ideal size and possess the appropriate pH-responsiveness needed for these applications. This work has also shown that exploiting hydrophobicity along with crosslinking properties can make these properties tunable.

Polybasic endosomolytic agents can be uptaken into cells by nonspecific surface attraction, a process that is not well suited for in vivo applications and comes with significant toxicity. PDBP nanomatrices have been designed as surface neutralized proton sponges with very low cytotoxicity. Cell delivery agents that make use of the proton sponge effect are best targeted to cell uptake pathways that lead to lysosomes. This work showed that PDBP nanomatrices can be clathrin-targeted, making them useful as lysosomotropic drug delivery agents. Further work is needed to determine the efficacy of these structures in vivo.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge support from the National Institutes of Health, grant number EG-000246, a National Science Foundation Integrative Graduate Education and Research Traineeship (IGERT) Fellowship (to O.Z.F.), DGE-03-33080, and the University of Texas at Austin Undergraduate Research Fellowships to T.K. and S.R.D. The authors would also like to acknowledge the assistance of the University of Texas at Austin Institute for Cellular and Molecular Biology, the Texas Materials Institute and Professor Lisa Brannon-Peppas, Ph.D. for the use of their facilities.

Abbreviations

AEM	2-aminoethyl methacrylate hydrochloride
BFA	brefeldin A
BMA	tert-butyl methacrylate
DEAEM	2-(diethylamino)ethyl methacrylate)
DLS	dynamic light scattering
FITC	fluorescein isothiocyanate
HPLC	high performance liquid chromatography
LDH	lactate dehydrogenase
MyTAB	myristyltrimethylammonium bromide
PDBP	poly[2-(diethylamino)ethyl methacrylate-co-t-butyl methacrylate-g-poly(ethylene glycol)]
PDEAEM	poly[2-(diethylamino)ethyl methacrylate]
PDGP	poly[2-(diethylamino)ethyl methacrylate-g-poly(ethylene glycol)]

PEGMMA	poly(ethylene glycol) monomethyl ether monomethacrylate
RGDS	arginine-glycine-aspartate-serine
TEGDMA	Tetraethylene glycol dimethacrylate
Tf	holo-transferrin

References

- Oh JK, Drumright R, Siegwart DJ, Matyjaszewski K. The development of microgels/nanogels for drug delivery applications. *Prog. Polym. Sci* 2008;33:448–477.
- Oishi M, Hayashi H, Itaka K, Kataoka K, Nagasaki Y. pH-responsive PEGylated nanogels as targetable and low invasive endosomolytic agents to induce the enhanced transfection efficiency of nonviral gene vectors. *Colloid Polymer Sci* 2007;285:1055–1060.
- Hayashi H, Iijima M, Kataoka K, Nagasaki Y. pH-sensitive nanogel possessing reactive PEG tethered chains on the surface. *Macromolecules* 2004;37:5389–5396.
- Fisher OZ, Peppas NA. Polybasic Nanomatrices Prepared By UV-initiated Photopolymerization. *Small*. 2008 in press.
- Lee M, Kim SW. Polyethylene glycol-conjugated copolymers for plasmid DNA delivery. *Pharm. Res* 2005;22:1–10. [PubMed: 15771224]
- Petersen H, Fechner PM, Martin AL, Kunath K, Stolnik S, Roberts CJ, Fischer D, Davies MC, Kissel T. Polyethylenimine-graft-poly(ethylene glycol) copolymers: influence of copolymer block structure on DNA complexation and biological activities as gene delivery system. *Bioconjug. Chem* 2002;13:845–54. [PubMed: 12121141]
- Siegel RA, Cornejo-bravo JM. Hydrophobic Polyelectrolytes - Effect of Hydrophobicity on Buffering and Colloid Osmotic-Pressure. *ACS Symposium Series* 1992;480:131–145.
- Duff DG, Baiker A, Edwards PP. A New Hydrosol of Gold Clusters .1. Formation and Particle-Size Variation. *Langmuir* 1993;9:2301–2309.
- Cornejo-Bravo JM, Siegel RA. Water vapour sorption behaviour of copolymers of N,N-diethylaminoethyl methacrylate and methyl methacrylate. *Biomaterials* 1996;17:1187–1193. [PubMed: 8799503]
- Kishida A, Iwata H, Tamada Y, Ikada Y. Cell behaviour on polymer surfaces grafted with non-ionic and ionic monomers. *Biomaterials* 1991;12:786–92. [PubMed: 1799655]
- Ziegler A, Nervi P, Durrenberger M, Seelig J. The cationic cell-penetrating peptide CPP(TAT) derived from the HIV-1 protein TAT is rapidly transported into living fibroblasts: optical, biophysical, and metabolic evidence. *Biochemistry* 2005;44:138–48. [PubMed: 15628854]
- Boussif O, Lezoualch F, Zanta MA, Mergny MD, Scherman D, Demeneix B, Behr JP. A Versatile Vector for Gene and Oligonucleotide Transfer into Cells in Culture and in-Vivo - Polyethylenimine. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1995;92:7297–7301. [PubMed: 7638184]
- Behr JP. The proton sponge: A trick to enter cells the viruses did not exploit. *Chimia* 1997;51:34–36.
- Ziegler A, Seelig J. Binding and clustering of glycosaminoglycans: a common property of mono- and multivalent cell-penetrating compounds. *Biophys. J* 2008;94:2142–9. [PubMed: 18065465]
- Moreau E, Domurado M, Chapon P, Vert M, Domurado D. Biocompatibility of polycations: In vitro agglutination and lysis of red blood cells and in vivo toxicity. *J. Drug Target* 2002;10:161–173. [PubMed: 12074543]
- von Gersdorff K, Sanders NN, Vandenbroucke R, De Smedt SC, Wagner E, Ogris M. The internalization route resulting in successful gene expression depends on polyethylenimine both cell line and polyplex type. *Mol. Ther* 2006;14:745–753. [PubMed: 16979385]
- Howard KA, Rahbek UL, Liu XD, Damgaard CK, Glud SZ, Andersen MO, Hovgaard MB, Schmitz A, Nyengaard JR, Besenbacher F, Kjems J. RNA interference in vitro and in vivo using a chitosan/siRNA nanoparticle system. *Mol. Ther* 2006;14:476–484. [PubMed: 16829204]

18. Erbacher P, Bettinger T, Belguise-Valladier P, Zou SM, Coll JL, Behr JP, Remy JS. Transfection and physical properties of various saccharide, poly(ethylene glycol), and antibody-derivatized polyethylenimines (PEI). *J. Gene Med* 1999;1:210–222. [PubMed: 10738569]
19. Wolff JA, Rozema DB. Breaking the bonds: non-viral vectors become chemically dynamic. *Mol. Ther* 2008;16:8–15. [PubMed: 17955026]
20. De Smedt SC, Demeester J, Hennink WE. Cationic polymer based gene delivery systems. *Pharm. Res* 2000;17:113–26. [PubMed: 10751024]
21. Nishiyama N, Iriyama A, Jang WD, Miyata K, Itaka K, Inoue Y, Takahashi H, Yanagi Y, Tamaki Y, Koyama H, Kataoka K. Light-induced gene transfer from packaged DNA enveloped in a dendrimeric photosensitizer. *Nat. Mater* 2005;4:934–41. [PubMed: 16299510]
22. Geurts JM, Gottgens CM, Van Graefscupe MAI, Welland RWA, Van Es JGG, German AL. Syntheses of new amino-functionalized methacrylates and their use in free radical polymerizations. *J. Appl. Polymer Sci* 2001;80:1401–1415.
23. Amyere M, Mettlen M, Van Der Smissen P, Platek A, Payrastra B, Veithen A, Courtoy PJ. Origin, originality, functions, subversions and molecular signalling of macropinocytosis. *Int. J. Med. Microbiol* 2002;291:487–94. [PubMed: 11890548]
24. Grosse S, Aron Y, Thevenot G, Francois D, Monsigny M, Fajac I. Potocytosis and cellular exit of complexes as cellular pathways for gene delivery by polycations. *J. Gene Med* 2005;7:1275–86. [PubMed: 15906406]
25. Corr S, Hill C, Gahan CG. An in vitro cell-culture model demonstrates internalin- and hemolysin-independent translocation of *Listeria monocytogenes* across M cells. *Microb. Pathog* 2006;41:241–50. [PubMed: 17049432]
26. West MA, Bretscher MS, Watts C. Distinct endocytotic pathways in epidermal growth factor-stimulated human carcinoma A431 cells. *J. Cell Biol* 1989;109:2731–9. [PubMed: 2556406]
27. Pelkmans L, Helenius A. Endocytosis via caveolae. *Traffic* 2002;3:311–20. [PubMed: 11967125]
28. Miller K, Shipman M, Trowbridge IS, Hopkins CR. Transferrin receptors promote the formation of clathrin lattices. *Cell* 1991;65:621–32. [PubMed: 1903330]
29. Vinogradov SV. Colloidal microgels in drug delivery applications. *Curr. Pharm. Des* 2006;12:4703–12. [PubMed: 17168773]
30. Vinogradov SV, Batrakova EV, Kabanov AV. Nanogels for oligonucleotide delivery to the brain. *Bioconjug. Chem* 2004;15:50–60. [PubMed: 14733583]
31. Ogris M, Brunner S, Schuller S, Kircheis R, Wagner E. PEGylated DNA/transferrin-PEI complexes: reduced interaction with blood components, extended circulation in blood and potential for systemic gene delivery. *Gene. Ther* 1999;6:595–605. [PubMed: 10476219]
32. Wan J, Taub ME, Shah D, Shen WC. Brefeldin A enhances receptor-mediated transcytosis of transferrin in filter-grown Madin-Darby canine kidney cells. *J. Biol. Chem* 1992;267:13446–50. [PubMed: 1618847]
33. Lima CJ, Shen WC. Comparison of monomeric and oligomeric transferrin as potential carrier in oral delivery of protein drugs. *J. Contr. Release* 2005;106:273–286.
34. Trotman LC, Mosberger N, Fornerod M, Stidwill RP, Greber UF. Import of adenovirus DNA involves the nuclear pore complex receptor CAN/Nup214 and histone H1. *Nat. Cell Biol* 2001;3:1092–100. [PubMed: 11781571]
35. Talcott B, Moore MS. Getting across the nuclear pore complex. *Trends Cell Biol* 1999;9:312–8. [PubMed: 10407410]
36. Lechardeur D, Lukacs GL. Intracellular barriers to non-viral gene transfer. *Curr. Gene Ther* 2002;2:183–94. [PubMed: 12109215]
37. Wang R, Brattain MG. The maximal size of protein to diffuse through the nuclear pore is larger than 60kDa. *FEBS Lett* 2007;581:3164–70. [PubMed: 17588566]
38. Paine PL, Feldherr CM. Nucleocytoplasmic exchange of macromolecules. *Exp. Cell Res* 1972;74:81–98. [PubMed: 4342186]
39. Paine PL. Nucleocytoplasmic movement of fluorescent tracers microinjected into living salivary gland cells. *J. Cell Biol* 1975;66:652–7. [PubMed: 1158974]

40. Smith AE, Helenius A. How viruses enter animal cells. *Science* 2004;304:237–42. [PubMed: 15073366]
41. Shayakhmetov DM, Eberly AM, Li ZY, Lieber A. Deletion of penton RGD motifs affects the efficiency of both the internalization and the endosome escape of viral particles containing adenovirus serotype 5 or 35 fiber knobs. *J. Virol* 2005;79:1053–61. [PubMed: 15613334]
42. van Dam EM, Stoorvogel W. Dynamin-dependent transferrin receptor recycling by endosome-derived clathrin-coated vesicles. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 2002;13:169–82. [PubMed: 11809831]
43. Goldberg M, Langer R, Jia X. Nanostructured materials for applications in drug delivery and tissue engineering. *J. Biomater. Sci. Polym. Ed* 2007;18:241–68. [PubMed: 17471764]
44. Moghimi SM, Hunter AC, Murray JC. Long-circulating and target-specific nanoparticles: theory to practice. *Pharmacol. Rev* 2001;53:283–318. [PubMed: 11356986]
45. Sethuraman VA, Lee MC, Bae YH. A biodegradable pH-sensitive micelle system for targeting acidic solid tumors. *Pharm. Res* 2008;25:657–66. [PubMed: 17999164]
46. Shenoy D, Little S, Langer R, Amiji M. Poly(ethylene oxide)-modified poly(beta-amino ester) nanoparticles as a pH-sensitive system for tumor-targeted delivery of hydrophobic drugs: Part 2. In vivo distribution and tumor localization studies. *Pharm. Res* 2005;22:2107–2114. [PubMed: 16254763]
47. Ganta S, Devalapally H, Shahiwala A, Amiji M. A review of stimuli-responsive nanocarriers for drug and gene delivery. *J. Contr. Release* 2008;126:187–204.
48. Devalapally H, Shenoy D, Little S, Langer R, Amiji M. Poly(ethylene oxide)-modified poly(beta-amino ester) nanoparticles as a pH-sensitive system for tumor-targeted delivery of hydrophobic drugs: part 3. Therapeutic efficacy and safety studies in ovarian cancer xenograft model. *Canc. Chemother. Pharmacol* 2007;59:477–484.
49. Potineni A, Lynn DM, Langer R, Amiji MM. Poly(ethylene oxide)-modified poly(beta-amino ester) nanoparticles as a pH-sensitive biodegradable system for paclitaxel delivery. *J. Contr. Release* 2003;86:223–234.




Figure 1. (Left) Scanning Electron Microscope image of PDBP nanomatrices. (Right) The diameters of nanomatrices suspended in PBS over a range of pH values. Four different crosslinking feed ratios are shown. The data is expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation (n=6).




Figure 2. Volume swelling ratios vs pH for PDGP nanomatrices with crosslinking densities of 1% (▲) and 2.5% (●) and PDBP nanomatrices with densities of 1% (△) and 2.5% (○). The curves represent best Gompertz fits for the PDBP (solid lines) and PDGP (dashed lines) data. Data points are represented by the mean with errors equal to \pm standard deviation ($n=6$).




Figure 3. ^1H NMR spectra for uncrosslinked PDBP (A), PDGP (B) and PDEAEM homopolymer (C).




Figure 4. Cytocompatibility of NIH/3T3 cells exposed to PDBP nanomatrices with crosslinking ratios of 0.01 (●), 0.025 (▲), 0.05 (■) and 0.1 (○). (A) Cell viability measurements using a metabolic assay. Data is expressed as percentage of control viability. (B) Cytotoxicity measurements using an LDH leakage assay. Data is expressed as percentage of Triton X-100 (+)-control. Data is represented as mean \pm SD (n=6). Asterisks represent statistically significant difference from control (* $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.005$).




Figure 5. FITC-albumin loaded nanomatrix uptake into A549 cells after 1 hr. Carriers without conjugated transferrin (-Tf), with (+Tf), and with transferrin co-delivered with BFA (+Tf +BFA) were used. Control cells were exposed to FITC-albumin alone at equal concentration. Each bar represents the mean \pm SD (n=8). Asterisks represent statistically significant difference from the control ($*P < 0.0005$)




Figure 6. (A & B) FITC-albumin delivered into A549 cells by PDBP-Tf conjugates 1hr. The intensity of the dye is mostly perinuclear. (C & D) Control A549 cells exposed to FITC-albumin solution.




Figure 7. FITC-albumin loaded nanomatrix uptake into NIH/3T3 cells after 1 hr. Carriers without RGDS (-RGDS), conjugated (+RGDS), conjugated to RGDS co-delivered with BFA (+RGDS +BFA), and conjugates with 100x excess RGDS in solution. Control cells were exposed to FITC-albumin alone at equal concentration. Each bar represents the mean \pm SD (n=8). Asterisks represent statistically significant difference from the control ($*P < 0.0001$)




Figure 8.
(A & B) NIH/3T3 uptake of PDBP-RGDS conjugates loaded with FITC-albumin after 1hr.
(C & D) Codelivery of BFA and PDBP-RGDS conjugates loaded with FITC-albumin. BFA appears to inhibit nuclear localization of the dye.