

Received December 22, 2019, accepted January 9, 2020, date of publication January 13, 2020, date of current version January 21, 2020.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2966052

# Enhanced-Performance Circularly Polarized MIMO Antenna With Polarization/Pattern Diversity

UBAID ULLAH<sup>1</sup>, ISMAIL BEN MABROUK<sup>1</sup>, (Senior Member, IEEE),  
AND SLAWOMIR KOZIEL<sup>2</sup>, (Senior Member, IEEE)

<sup>1</sup>Networks and Communication Engineering Department, Al Ain University, Al Ain 112612, United Arab Emirates

<sup>2</sup>Engineering Optimization and Modeling Center, Reykjavik University, 101 Reykjavik, Iceland

Corresponding author: Ubaid Ullah (ubaid.ullah@aau.ac.ae)

This work was supported in part by the ADEK Award for Research Excellence under Grant AARE18-203 2018, in part by the Icelandic Centre for Research (RANNIS) under Grant 174114051, and in part by the National Science Centre of Poland under Grant 2017/27/B/ST7/00563.

**ABSTRACT** Design of a compact wideband circularly polarized (CP) multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) antenna with polarization diversity is proposed and characterized for off-body communication. The antenna is based on a simple coplanar waveguide (CPW)-fed monopole extension of the microstrip line. The orthogonal field components required by CP are induced using a simply modified right/left side ground plane. In particular, a stub extending from the ground plane along the length of the microstrip line generates the vertical component, whereas the current along the width of the ground plane contributes to the horizontal components. To obtain a unidirectional radiation pattern in the off-body direction and to reduce the sensitivity to the human body loading effects, a flat reflector printed on a high permittivity flexible substrate is applied. The simple topology of the antenna can be described by a few adjustable parameters, which facilitates its EM design closure. Prior to the experimental validation in the free space and on the body, the antenna is optimized at the full-wave level of description for all major performance figures. The overall footprint of the antenna radiator is only  $L_s \times W_s = 0.24 \lambda_0 \times 0.64 \lambda_0 = 0.15 \lambda_0^2$ . The proposed MIMO antenna features  $|S_{11}| \leq -10$  dB, average isolation  $|S_{21}| \leq -22$  dB, and axial ratio (AR)  $\leq 3$  dB from 5.2 GHz to 6.3 GHz with 100% bandwidth overlap between the impedance and axial ratio bandwidths. The envelope correlation coefficient (ECC) is less than 0.004 with the maximum diversity gain (DG) of approximately 9.99 dB. Moreover, the antenna maintains a high efficiency of up to 90% when loaded on the body, and a low specific absorption rate (SAR).

**INDEX TERMS** Circular polarization antennas, MIMO antennas, compact antennas, wideband antennas, simulation-driven design.

## I. INTRODUCTION

This to the multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) technology, the performance of wireless communication systems has been significantly improved over recent years [1], [2]. The MIMO technology has been standardized and currently it is an essential part of the consumer electronics operating within the 2.4 GHz and 5.8 GHz WiFi, WiMax, 4G and LTE technologies. The MIMO technology utilizes the multipath propagation concept by employing multiple antennas

The associate editor coordinating the review of this manuscript and approving it for publication was Diego Masotti<sup>1</sup>.

to transmit and receive more than one signal simultaneously over the same radio channel. In comparison to the single-input single-output (SISO) standard, MIMO systems allow for high capacity, improved spectral efficiency and highly reliable communication link between the transmitter and the receiver [2], [3].

To further enhance the performance of the MIMO systems, it is important to minimize co-channel interference and multipath fading. It is well known that highly isolated antennas can improve the performance of the system by reducing coupling between the antennas [3]. A variety of isolation techniques have been adopted to ensure the

independent operation of the antenna in MIMO communication. The most commonly used decoupling technique is to place the two antennas orthogonally. However, this technique adds to the complexity of the feeding circuit [4]–[6]. In addition, the integration of electromagnetic bandgap (EBG) structures, adding parasitic elements and/or implementing neutralization lines could also improve the isolation between the MIMO antennas [3]. Nevertheless, when using these approaches, additional elements are added which increases the overall footprint of the antenna [7]. On the other hand, polarization and pattern diversities are promising techniques to reduce the correlation between the antenna far-fields without increasing the size of the circuit [8], [9]. In [10], [11], it has been shown that the performance of the MIMO system in terms of channel capacity and diversity gain (DG) can be dramatically improved when both the polarization and pattern diversities are incorporated.

Modern portable communication devices, especially those used for wearable applications, require the system to be compact, low profile, lightweight, low cost and highly efficient [12]–[17]. When the antenna is worn on the human body, it is exposed to a large volume of lossy material in its immediate vicinity. This degrades the performance by converting the near electric field of the antenna into the heat energy [18], [19]. Moreover, the polarization mismatch losses further degrade the antenna performance in body-centric applications, especially when linearly polarized (LP) antennas are involved. On the other hand, circular polarization (CP) antennas have the advantage of reduced signal attenuation, multipath fading, and absorption losses [20]–[23]. Furthermore, signal transmission/reception is independent of the orientation/positioning of the CP antenna [24]. Due to these characteristics, CP antennas have been widely used in the currently available technologies and are to be applied in the emerging ones. As of now, most of the antennas proposed in the literature for wearable applications are LP; they are prone to detuning and may lead to unreliable data communication [15], [25]–[28]. To date, limited work has been done on CP antennas for body-centric applications, especially in the 5 GHz band. It appears that a reasonable approach to meet the challenges associated with wearable antennas is the design of a multiple antenna system with circular polarization and polarization diversity.

In this paper, a compact and geometrically simple circularly polarized MIMO antenna with polarization diversity is presented. A single-element antenna is first designed with the right-hand circular polarization (RHCP). The antenna comprises a simple coplanar waveguide (CPW)-fed monopole extension of the microstrip line and a modified coplanar ground plane. The vertical component of the CP is induced through a protruded stub in the right-side ground plane; the horizontal components are excited along the width of the left-side ground plane. Having in mind wearable off-body communication and reduction of the loading effects, a high permittivity reflector is placed a quarter wavelength away from the antenna. The proposed topology allows for easy

mirroring of the structure and hence its sense of polarization. The MIMO antenna is implemented in a parallel configuration with polarization diversity achieved by switching the positions of the coplanar ground planes. The pattern diversity is realized by mechanically bending the backside flexible reflector. The geometrical simplicity of the structure can be described by a few parameters, which facilitates its electromagnetic (EM) design closure. All parameters are optimized at the full-wave level of description. The total footprint of the MIMO antenna is only  $(13.7 \text{ mm} \times 36.2 \text{ mm}) 0.15 \lambda_0^2$  at the lowest operating frequency.

The antenna prototype is fabricated and the numerical results are validated experimentally in the free space and on the body. The antenna features excellent impedance matching and axial ratio from 5.2 GHz to 6.3 GHz in both free space and on-body with average isolation  $|S_{21}| \leq -20 \text{ dB}$ . The envelope correlation coefficient (ECC) is less than 0.004 with the maximum DG of approximately 10 dB. The average total efficiency of the antenna is 90% when worn on the body with the specific absorption rate (SAR) of 0.133 W/Kg and 0.058 W/kg for 1 g and 10 g of human tissue respectively. The antenna can be effectively used for ISM bands in 5 GHz range and Unlicensed National Information Infrastructure (U-NII). The contributions of this work include: (i) design of a new unidirectional CP MIMO antenna with polarization/pattern diversity covering U-NII worldwide band and ISM 5.8 GHz band; (ii) obtaining reduced loading effects of the human body owing to the unidirectional topology and high permittivity substrate used as a reflector; (iii) demonstrably improved overall performance as compared to the range of comparable CP state-of-the-art structures reported in the literature.

## II. ANTENNA DESIGN AND ANALYSIS

### A. SINGLE ELEMENT DESIGN

The parameterized front and side views of the single element design are shown in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), respectively. Figure 1(c) shows the MIMO configuration. The antenna structure is implemented on a rigid laminated Rogers substrate RO4003C ( $\epsilon_r = 3.38$ ,  $\tan\delta = 0.0027$ , thickness  $h = 0.813$ ) with external dimensions  $L_s \times W_s = 13.7 \times 13.2 = 0.24 \lambda_0 \times 0.23 \lambda_0$ . The front side of the radiating element consists of a 50-ohm CPW feed and a quarter wavelength long microstrip line extension.

The initial single element antenna is designed for RHCP by protruding a stub from the right-side ground plane along the length  $L_m$  of the microstrip line. The vertical electric field component  $E_v$  is induced through the stub of the length  $L_1$  extending from the right-side ground plane along the  $y$ -direction. The orthogonal component  $E_H$  is excited by the current along the  $x$ -direction on the left-side ground plane. The stub extension generates the orthogonal components for CP and also improves the impedance matching by lengthening the current path within the ground plane.

The CP mechanism of the antenna will be further explained in the next section using the surface current distribution. At the bottom of the antenna, a large size flat reflector

implemented using a flexible high permittivity substrate Rogers RT3010 ( $\epsilon_r = 10.2$ ,  $\tan\delta = 0.0027$ , thickness  $h = 0.635$ ) is placed a quarter wavelength away from the antenna. The reflector serves two purposes: it directs the radiated power in the off-body direction and reduces the performance-degrading effects of the human body.


Moreover, the flexibility of the reflector substrate makes it easy to bend and adjust to the human body curvatures. The computational model of the antenna is implemented in CST Microwave Studio and the adjustable parameters are optimized at the full-wave EM level of description. For reliable experimental characterization and validation of the antenna, the model incorporates the SMA connector.

### B. MIMO DESIGN AND CP MECHANISM

The MIMO antenna system is implemented with polarization diversity, according to the configuration shown in Fig. 1(c). The total area of the antenna is only 13.7 mm × 36.2 mm.

The two antennas are positioned in parallel with the distance  $D = 10.5$  mm, equivalent to  $0.18\lambda_0$  at the lowest operating frequency of the antenna. To achieve RHCP and LHCP, the right-side and left-side ground planes of the CPW are extended in the y-direction respectively. The inverse correlation of the two antennas is shown by highlighting the direction of the current on the surface of the antennas.

In order to induce circular polarization, simultaneous excitation of two orthogonal field components with equal amplitude is needed. To explain the CP operation of the proposed antenna, the surface current distribution is shown in Fig. 2 for one sense of polarization at the center frequency. Note that the surface current distribution is calculated from the magnetic field vectors. As seen from the surface current distribution, two orthogonal components are generated in the conducting coplanar ground planes. The direction of the arrows indicates the orientations of the dominant field components at different angular time intervals. At zero degrees, the current is predominantly located in the +y-direction along the length of the stub extension from the right-side ground plane which corresponds to the  $E_v$ . At 90-degrees, the field position changes to +x-direction and the dominant field components are located along the width of the left-side ground plane contributing to the horizontal  $E_H$  component. Similarly, at 180-degrees and 270-degrees, the direction of the current changes to -y-direction and -x-direction respectively. As it can be observed from the current path, the electric field vector field is rotating in the clockwise direction, therefore, the sense of polarization of this topology is RHCP. The proposed antenna structure can be easily re-designed for LHCP by simply switching the positions of the coplanar ground planes. The total footprint of the single element is only  $0.05\lambda_0^2$ , with the maximum linear dimension of 13.74 mm. According to the formula of the far-field region  $2D^2/\lambda$ , the two antennas are placed in the far-field region where the electromagnetic fields are dominated by the radiating fields, therefore, spatial diversity comes into effect. This improves the antenna isolation. Note that generally, the minimum distance between the two antennas



**FIGURE 1. Geometry of the proposed CP antenna (all parameter values in mm): (a) top view (b) side view.  $L_1 = 6.39$ ,  $L_{g1} = 6.1$ ,  $L_{g2} = 5.39$ ,  $L_m = 13.74$ ,  $g = 0.6325$ ,  $L_s = 13.77$ ,  $H = 13.626$  and  $W_s = 12.99$  (c) MIMO configuration.**

is  $0.5\lambda$ , but due to the small size of the proposed structure, excellent isolation has been achieved with only  $0.18\lambda$ . Additionally, there is no physical ground plane or metallization connecting both the antenna elements and also the inherent characteristics of polarization and pattern diversities add to the improvement of isolation [32], [33]. To clarify this further, surface current distributions are illustrated in Fig. 2(e) when port 1 is excited and Fig. 2 (b) when port 2 is excited.

### C. PARAMETER TUNING


All antenna parameters are optimized at the full-wave level of description. This is necessary in order to improve the performance as much as possible, while handling all relevant antenna characteristics, including the reflection response, element isolation, and axial ratio. Due to the simple topology, the structure is described using only a few variables, denoted as  $x = [L_1 L_{g1} L_{g2} L_m L_s W_1 W_{g1} W_{g2}]^T$ .

Here,  $W_1$  corresponds to the width of the extended stub,  $W_{g1}$  and  $W_{g2}$  are the widths of the left-side and right-side ground planes respectively. The width  $W_s$  of the antenna is dependent on  $W_{g1}$ , and  $W_{g2}$ . To ensure the maximum in-band reflection remains below -10 dB and AR below 3 dB, a multi-stage optimization procedure is adopted. The optimization task is formulated as

$$x^* = \arg \min_x U(BW_S(x), S(x), AR(x)) \quad (1)$$

where  $U$  is the objective function,  $BW_S(x)$  is the symmetric -10 dB bandwidth around 5.5 GHz,  $S(x)$  is the maximum in-band reflection for the bandwidth of interest (here, the range covering the U-NII and ISM 5 GHz bands), whereas  $AR(x)$  is the maximum in-band axial ratio (within the same bandwidth of interest).

Two separate sub-problems are considered. At the first stage, the goal is to maximize  $BW_S$  in order to obtain a good initial design for the next optimization stage. Here,



**FIGURE 2. Circular polarization mechanism of the proposed antenna. Current distributions at 5.6 GHz for (a) 0-degrees (b) 90-degrees (c) 180-degrees (d) 270-degrees (e) Surface current Port 1 (f) Surface current Port 2.**

the objective function is defined as

$$U_S(BW_S(x), S(x), AR(x)) = -BW_S(x) + \beta_S c_S(S(x))^2 \quad (2)$$


where  $c_S(S(x)) = \max\{(S(x) + 10, 0)\}/10$  is a penalty function that “measures” a relative violation of the condition  $S(x) \leq -10$  dB, and  $\beta_S$  is a penalty factor. The second task is to minimize  $AR(x)$ , for which the cost function is defined as

$$U_{AR}(BW_S(x), S(x), AR(x)) = AR(x) + \beta_S c_S(S(x))^2 \quad (3)$$

which allows for reducing the in-band axial ratio while retaining the acceptable level of antenna reflection.

Both sub-problems are solved using a trust-region gradient search with numerical derivatives [34]. The algorithm yields a series  $\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots$ , of approximations to the optimum design  $\mathbf{x}^*$  of (1), generated as

$$\mathbf{x}^{(i+1)} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{x}; -\mathbf{d}^{(i)} \leq \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \leq \mathbf{d}^{(i)}} U(\mathbf{L}^{(i)}(\mathbf{x})) \quad (4)$$



**FIGURE 3. Antenna optimization: initial design (—), and optimized design (---). (a)  $|S_{11}|$ , (b) AR (c)  $|S_{21}|$ .**

where

$$\mathbf{L}^{(i)}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \mathbf{J}_R(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{(i)}) \quad (5)$$

In (5),  $\mathbf{R}$  stands for the aggregated antenna outputs, here, evaluated using the time-domain solver of CST Microwave Studio, whereas  $\mathbf{J}_R$  is the Jacobian matrix estimated using finite differentiation. The search process in (5) is restricted to the vicinity of the current design, determined by the trust region size vector  $\mathbf{d}^{(i)}$ , so that  $\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \mathbf{d}^{(i)} \leq \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \mathbf{d}^{(i)}$ . The inequality is understood component-wise. The trust region size is adjusted adaptively (cf. [34]).


Figure 3 shows the antenna characteristics at the initial and the optimized design. Notice that the isolation  $|S_{12}|$  can be further improved by simply increasing the separation  $D$  between the antennas, which, however, leads to an increase of the system size. The final optimized values corresponding the vector  $\mathbf{x}$  are  $\mathbf{x}^{(0)} = [6.39 \ 6.18 \ 5.39 \ 13.74 \ 13.77 \ 1.05 \ 5.04 \ 5.18]^T$ .

### III. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND EXPERIMENTAL VALIDATION


#### A. S-PARAMETERS AND AXIAL RATIO

The proposed MIMO antenna has been fabricated and characterized in the free space and on the body of a human volunteer (22 years of age, weight 83 kg, height 180 cm). The prototype and the on-body experimental setup (arm and chest) are shown in Fig. 4. The experimental characterization of the antenna has been carried out in the anechoic chamber of Reykjavik University, Iceland.


The measurement was performed on Port 1 while Port 2 was terminated with a 50-ohm load. The simulated and measured  $S_{11}$  of the antenna are shown in Figs. 5. The reflection response shows that the antenna provides the impedance bandwidth ( $|S_{11}| \geq -10$  dB) from 5.2 GHz to 6.3 GHz. It can be observed that the on-body measured  $S_{11}$  of the antenna shows certain improvement, i.e., reduced mismatch losses. This is due to the presence of the human body which absorbs some of the input energy. The  $S_{21}$  response illustrated in Fig. 6 shows that the average isolation is better than  $-20$  dB within the antenna operating bandwidth.



**FIGURE 4.** Antenna prototype and characterization setup: (a) Front view, (b) Perspective view (c) on chest characterization (d) on arm characterization.




**FIGURE 5.** Simulation (gray) and measured (black) antenna  $|S_{11}|$  characterization, free-space (—), on-arm (---), and on chest (-o-).




**FIGURE 6.** Simulation and measured  $S_{21}$ . Simulation free-space (---), simulation on-arm (---), and measured (—).

The AR responses of the antenna in the broadside direction are depicted in Fig. 7. The axial ratio of the antenna is measured using the polarization-pattern method. The simulation and measurement result in the free space shows that the antenna maintains AR below 3 dB in the antenna operating bandwidth with 100% bandwidth overlap between  $S_{11}$  and AR.


The on-body measurement, especially on the chest, shows a slight deviation of AR from the 3 dB requirement at certain frequency points. The reason for this deviation could be the foreseeable slight movements of the volunteer during the measurement process.



**FIGURE 7.** Simulation (gray) and measured (black) antenna AR characterization. free-space (—), on-arm (---), and on chest (-o-).




**FIGURE 8.** Simulation (gray) and measured (black) antenna AR characterization. free-space (—), on-chest (---), and on-arm (-o-).



**FIGURE 9.** Simulation (gray) and measured (black) antenna efficiencies. Total efficiency free-space (—), total efficiency on-body (---), radiation efficiency (o-o), radiation efficiency on body (-o-), and radiation efficiency free-space (---).

### B. REALIZED GAIN AND EFFICIENCY

The simulated and measured realized gain of the antenna is illustrated in Fig. 8. The peak gain of the antenna is approximately 5.8 dBic with a discrepancy of 1.7 dB between the free-space and on body measurements. When the antenna is loaded on the chest it is surrounded by the lossy material in all directions which results in a degradation of the gain, especially in the upper frequency band. Another important performance figure of the antenna is the efficiency which has been shown in Fig. 9. The simulated and measured radiation efficiency of the antenna in free space is more than 95% in the entire operating band, while it drops to approximately 90% when simulated on-body. Moreover, the total efficiency of the antenna also shows similar trend for both free space and on-body analysis. Unlike the traditional textile based antenna (with low conductivity), the proposed antenna retains



**FIGURE 10.** The normalized radiation pattern of the proposed MIMO antenna in the  $xz$ -plane (left-hand-side panel) and the  $yz$ -plane (right-hand-side panel) (a) 5.5 GHz, (b) 5.6 GHz, and (c) 5.7 GHz.

high efficiency in the presence of the human body and no significant overall performance degradation.


**C. RADIATION PATTERN**

The antenna radiation pattern is characterized in the  $xz$ - and the  $yz$ -planes as shown in Fig. 10. As mentioned before, the antenna is characterized for one port, therefore only one sense of polarization is considered, which is RHCP in this case. The normalized RHCP and LHCP patterns are shown at three different frequency points for both simulation and measurements.

The difference between the RHCP and LHCP in the broadside direction is more than 17 dB for both planes and the antenna maintains a steady radiation pattern in the  $+z$ -direction.

**D. BENDING EFFECTS ON S-PARAMETER AND AR**

When the antenna is worn on the human body, the person may change the posture resulting in a certain amount of structural deformation. Therefore, for body-worn applications, the antenna needs to retain acceptable performance when



**FIGURE 11.** Bending effects on the antenna characteristics (a)  $|S_{11}|$ ,  $r = 0$  mm bend (o-o),  $r = 15$  mm bend (-), and  $|S_{12}|$ ,  $r = 15$  mm bend (-), and  $r = 35$  mm bend (-x-), (b) AR,  $r = 0$  mm bend (...),  $r = 15$  mm bend (-), and  $r = 35$  mm bend (-).

bended to some extent. It should be noticed that a flat reflector behind the antenna is implemented using a high permittivity substrate which is flexible.

To evaluate the antenna conformability to the human body, the antenna is tested for different curvature radii ( $r = 15$ mm, and 35 mm) and the major performance figures are analyzed. It is important to mention here that the direction of the excitation line and the surface current orientation is mostly along the  $y$ -direction (cf. Fig. 2). To avoid frequency detuning, the ground plane reflector is bended only in the  $x$ -direction which also happens to be the largest dimension of the antenna. The reflection response indicates that bending has an almost negligible effect on the antenna impedance bandwidth, but the impedance matching is deteriorated by roughly 6 dB. Still, the antenna impedance matching is well below  $-10$  dB acceptance level throughout the operating band as illustrated in Fig. 11. The axial ratio characteristics exhibit similar behavior. In particular, AR below 3 dB is maintained for 100 percent of the impedance bandwidth. The  $S_{12}$  characteristic is also shown in Fig. 11(a), which indicates that the isolation of between the two elements is not affected with the reflector deformation. Clearly, stable  $S_{21}$  follows from the fact that that bending of the reflector does affect the physical separation between the two parallel ports. However, compared to the results without reflector deformation (cf. Fig. 6), the isolation has improved by roughly 2 dB which is attributed to the inherent characteristics of pattern diversity.

**E. PATTERN DIVERSITY**

Pattern diversity can significantly enhance the performance of the MIMO system. Along with the polarization diversity, the proposed design has the advantage of achieving pattern diversity by mechanically bending the reflector. As mentioned in the preceding section, the antenna maintains excellent impedance bandwidth and AR characteristics when the backside reflector is bended to a certain degree.




FIGURE 12. LHCP (blue) and RHCP (black) radiation pattern diversity of the antenna for chosen radii,  $r = 15$  mm (—), and  $r = 35$  mm (---).




FIGURE 13. Envelop correlation coefficient. Simulated (—), and calculated (---).

Another noteworthy effect of bending the reflector is the diversification of the radiation pattern. Figure 12 shows the simulated radiation pattern of the antenna at the center frequency with different bending curvature radii of 15 mm, and 35mm. The analysis was performed for several bending radii (15 mm, 20 mm, 25 mm and 35 mm) but only two values are shown for brevity. As it can be clearly observed from the patterns, the main beam direction of the antenna changes from  $\theta = 0$  degrees to  $\theta = 60$  degrees with the progressive decrease in the bending radii.

The effective pattern diversity between the two antennas of 120-degree is easily achieved without serious degradation of other performance figures of the antenna. The pattern diversity of the antenna can be realized when the antenna is in bent condition, in particular when worn on wrist, arm or ankle.

### F. ENVELOPE CORRELATION COEFFICIENT AND DIVERSITY GAIN

One of the major figures of the MIMO system is ECC, which quantifies the diversity performance of the antenna. ECC can be calculated from the scattering parameters or from the far-field radiation pattern. For high-efficiency antennas, the  $S$ -parameter-based calculation is generally valid [25]. Therefore, in this paper, we show the simulated and measured ECC obtained this way, i.e.,

$$\rho_{e, ij} = \frac{|\iint_{4\pi} [\vec{F}_i(\theta, \phi) * \vec{F}_j(\theta, \phi)] d\Omega|^2}{\iint_{4\pi} |\vec{F}_i(\theta, \phi)|^2 * \iint_{4\pi} |\vec{F}_j(\theta, \phi)|^2} \quad (6)$$




FIGURE 14. Simulated (—) and calculated (---) diversity gain.




FIGURE 15. SAR analysis on the human arm for 10 grams of tissue.

as well as the simulated ECC determined from the radiation pattern. Another important characteristic is DG which is calculated as

$$DG = 10\sqrt{1 - |\rho_{e}|^2} \quad (7)$$

The corresponding results are depicted in Fig. 13 and Fig. 14. It can be seen that the ECC of the proposed antenna is below 0.002 which indicates high diversity up to 9.99 dB.

### G. SAR EVALUATION

The SAR of the antenna is evaluated for 1 g and 10 g of the human tissue based on the on-body simulation. The permittivity, conductivity, blood flow and other biological characteristics of the human phantom are set according to the CST Microwave Studio voxel data. SAR is a factor that measures the amount of energy absorbed by the per-unit mass of the human tissue. To compare the performance of the proposed antenna, the safety standard of 1.56 W/kg and 1.96 W/kg are used as benchmarks. The SAR evaluation on the body is shown in Fig. 15. The result indicates that the maximum SAR for 1g and 10 g of human tissue is 0.134 W/kg and 0.058 W/kg respectively which is well below safety limits defined by the United States and Europe.

### H. BENCHMARKING

The proposed design has been compared with state-of-the-art antennas from the literature in terms of the type of diversity employed, polarization, radiator size, isolation, free-space analysis, on-body analysis, axial ratio, and impedance bandwidth are compared. It should be emphasized that the

TABLE 1. Comparison with state-of-the-art MIMO antennas.

Reference	Operating frequency (GHz)	Diversity	Polarization	Size [ $\lambda_0^2$ ]	Isolation (dB)	On Body Analysis	Gain (Free Space) (dBi)	Gain (On-body) (dBi)	%AR	%BW
[4]	5.5	Polarization / Pattern	Circular	0.25	13	No	~4.5	----	4.7	----
[5]	5.3	Polarization / Pattern	Linear/Circular	0.43	18	No	2.85	----	2.32	16.28
[29]	3.3	Polarization / Spatial	Linear	0.61	25	No	4	----	----	28.5
[30]	2.4	Polarization / Pattern	Dual	0.09	12	Yes	2.79	1.67	----	20
[31]	2.4/5.15	---	Linear	0.26	15	No	----	----	----	8.2
Proposed	5.2	Polarization / Pattern	Circular	0.16	22	Yes	5.8	4.1	18.3	18.3

literature is sparse concerning MIMO antennas for wearable applications, therefore the comparison table (Table 1) contains the designs that might only partially overlap with the proposed one in terms of the operating conditions and the performance figures. For fair comparison, the antenna operating frequencies are also included in the table; the antenna sizes are calculated for the lowest free-space operating frequency. As it can be observed from the table, the proposed antenna is competitive with respect to almost all considered figures.

In particular, compared to the antennas of [4] and [5] employing the same types of diversity and implementing circular polarization, our design offers a significantly smaller size, better isolation, higher gain, and considerably wider axial ratio bandwidth. It is also worth noticing that unlike in [4] and [5], the AR bandwidth is consistent with the impedance bandwidth which emphasizes a proper parameter tuning (cf. Section II. C) as a keystone for boosting the antenna performance with respect to all relevant figures of interest. Furthermore, the proposed antenna demonstrably exhibits excellent on-body characteristics, including relatively high gain (not reported for the benchmark cases). The remaining competitive structures, [29]–[31], are also shown inferior despite being designed for linear polarization only.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

In the paper, a simple topology, circularly polarized MIMO antenna with polarization and pattern diversity is presented and validated for wearable applications. The single-element design of the proposed antenna comprises a CPW-fed monopole radiator and a modified ground plan for achieving one sense of circular polarization. For implementing the MIMO design, two antennas are allocated in parallel, and the positions of the coplanar ground planes are mirrored to achieve polarization diversity.

The antenna is tested for body-worn application with different bending curvature radii which further improves the performance of the antenna by introducing the pattern diversity in the antenna. The simple geometry of the antenna is only described by a few adjustable parameters, which were fully optimized at the full-wave level of description. The total foot-print of the antenna radiator is

only  $0.24\lambda_0 \times 0.64\lambda_0 = 0.15\lambda_0^2$ . The proposed design maintains stable performance in both free-space and in body-worn scenario. The antenna impedance bandwidth and AR bandwidth is 18%, isolation  $|S_{21}| \leq -22$  dB, efficiency  $\sim 90\%$  (on body), ECC  $< 0.04$ , and SAR 0.134 W/kg for 1 gram of human tissue. The proposed antenna is suitable for a number of applications including wearable applications in U-NII band and ISM 5.8 GHz band. Compared to the single-layer designs, multi-layer structures are not convenient to implement, but they effectively improve the performance of the antenna in the proximity of the human body.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank Dassault Systemes, France, for making CST Microwave Studio available.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] F. A. Dicandia, S. Genovesi, and A. Monorchio, "Analysis of the performance enhancement of MIMO systems employing circular polarization," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 65, no. 9, pp. 4824–4835, Sep. 2017.
- [2] M. Jensen and J. Wallace, "A review of antennas and propagation for MIMO wireless communications," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 52, no. 11, pp. 2810–2824, Nov. 2004.
- [3] M. A. Ul Haq and S. Koziel, "Ground plane alterations for design of high-isolation compact wideband MIMO antenna," *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 48978–48983, 2018.
- [4] J. Malik, A. Patnaik, and M. V. Kartikeyan, "Novel printed MIMO antenna with pattern and polarization diversity," *IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett.*, vol. 14, pp. 739–742, 2015.
- [5] Y. Sharma, D. Sarkar, K. Saurav, and K. V. Srivastava, "Three-element MIMO antenna system with pattern and polarization diversity for WLAN applications," *IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett.*, vol. 16, pp. 1163–1166, 2017.
- [6] I. Ahamed and M. Vijay, "Comparison of different diversity techniques in MIMO antennas," in *Proc. 2nd Int. Conf. Commun. Electron. Syst. (ICCES)*, Oct. 2017, pp. 47–50.
- [7] S. M. Mikki and Y. M. M. Antar, "On cross correlation in antenna arrays with applications to spatial diversity and MIMO systems," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 63, no. 4, pp. 1798–1810, Apr. 2015.
- [8] P.-Y. Qin, Y. J. Guo, and C.-H. Liang, "Effect of antenna polarization diversity on MIMO system capacity," *IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett.*, vol. 9, pp. 1092–1095, 2010.
- [9] L.-C. Chang, C.-H. Tsai, P. Hsu, and C.-C. Liu, "A polarization diversity MIMO antenna design for WiMAX dongle application," in *Proc. Asia-Pacific Microw. Conf.*, 2010, pp. 762–765.
- [10] J. Zhu, S. Li, B. Feng, L. Deng, and S. Yin, "Compact dual-polarized UWB quasi-self-complementary MIMO/diversity antenna with band-rejection capability," *IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett.*, vol. 15, pp. 905–908, 2016.



- [11] D. Sibal, M. P. Abegaonkar, and S. K. Koul, "Highly isolated compact planar dual-band antenna with polarization/pattern diversity characteristics for MIMO terminals," *IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett.*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 762–766, Apr. 2019.
- [12] A. Boukarkar, X. Q. Lin, Y. Jiang, L. Y. Nie, P. Mei, and Y. Q. Yu, "A Miniaturized extremely close-spaced four-element dual-band MIMO antenna system with polarization and pattern diversity," *IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett.*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 134–137, Jan. 2018.
- [13] K. N. Paracha, S. K. A. Rahim, P. J. Soh, M. R. Kamarudin, K.-G. Tan, Y. C. Lo, and M. T. Islam, "A low profile, dual-band, dual polarized antenna for indoor/outdoor wearable application," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 33277–33288, 2019.
- [14] X. Y. Liu, Z. T. Wu, Y. Fan, and E. M. Tentzeris, "A miniaturized CSRR loaded wide-beamwidth circularly polarized implantable antenna for subcutaneous real-time glucose monitoring," *IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett.*, vol. 16, pp. 577–580, 2017.
- [15] Z. H. Jiang, Z. Cui, T. Yue, Y. Zhu, and D. H. Werner, "Compact, highly efficient, and fully flexible circularly polarized antenna enabled by silver nanowires for wireless body-area networks," *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Circuits Syst.*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 920–932, Aug. 2017.
- [16] X.-Q. Zhu, Y.-X. Guo, and W. Wu, "Miniaturized dual-band and dual-polarized antenna for MBAN applications," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 64, no. 7, pp. 2805–2814, Jul. 2016.
- [17] H. Xiaomu, S. Yan, and G. A. E. Vandenbosch, "Wearable button antenna for dual-band WLAN applications with combined on and off-body radiation patterns," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 65, no. 3, pp. 1384–1387, Mar. 2017.
- [18] B. S. Abirami and E. F. Sundarsingh, "EBG-backed flexible printed Yagi-Uda antenna for on-body communication," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 65, no. 7, pp. 3762–3765, Jul. 2017.
- [19] X. Hu, S. Yan, and G. A. E. Vandenbosch, "Compact circularly polarized wearable button antenna with broadside pattern for U-NII world-wide band applications," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 67, no. 2, pp. 1341–1345, Feb. 2019.
- [20] U. Ullah and S. Koziel, "A novel coplanar-strip-based excitation technique for design of broadband circularly polarization antennas with wide 3 dB axial ratio beamwidth," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 67, no. 6, pp. 4224–4229, Jun. 2019.
- [21] U. Ullah and S. Koziel, "A geometrically simple compact wideband circularly polarized antenna," *IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett.*, vol. 18, no. 6, pp. 1179–1183, Jun. 2019.
- [22] J. K. Pakkathillam and M. Kanagasabai, "Circularly polarized broadband antenna deploying fractal slot geometry," *IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett.*, vol. 14, pp. 1286–1289, 2015.
- [23] M. Ain, U. Ullah, and Z. Ahmad, "Bi-polarized dual-segment rectangular dielectric resonator antenna," *IETE J. Res.*, vol. 59, no. 6, pp. 739–743, 2013.
- [24] U. Ullah and S. Koziel, "A broadband circularly polarized wide-slot antenna with a miniaturized footprint," *IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett.*, vol. 17, no. 12, pp. 2454–2458, Dec. 2018.
- [25] Z. H. Jiang and D. H. Werner, "A compact, wideband circularly polarized co-designed filtering antenna and its application for wearable devices with low SAR," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 63, no. 9, pp. 3808–3818, Sep. 2015.
- [26] K. Kamardin, M. K. A. Rahim, P. S. Hall, N. A. Samsuri, T. A. Latif, and M. H. Ullah, "Planar textile antennas with artificial magnetic conductor for body-centric communications," *Appl. Phys. A, Mater. Sci. Process.*, vol. 122, no. 4, p. 363, 2016.
- [27] S. Zhu and R. Langley, "Dual-band wearable textile antenna on an EBG substrate," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 57, no. 4, pp. 926–935, Apr. 2009.
- [28] S. Agneessens and H. Rogier, "Compact half diamond dual-band textile HMSIW on-body antenna," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 62, no. 5, pp. 2374–2381, May 2014.
- [29] H. T. Chattha, "4-Port 2-element MIMO antenna for 5G portable applications," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 96516–96520, 2019.
- [30] H. Li, S. Sun, B. Wang, and F. Wu, "Design of compact single-layer textile MIMO antenna for wearable applications," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 66, no. 6, pp. 3136–3141, Jun. 2018.
- [31] J. Deng, J. Li, L. Zhao, and L. Guo, "A dual-band inverted-F MIMO antenna with enhanced isolation for WLAN applications," *IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett.*, vol. 16, pp. 2270–2273, 2017.
- [32] X.-Z. Lai, Z.-M. Xie, Q.-Q. Xie, and X.-L. Cen, "A dual circularly polarized RFID reader antenna with wideband isolation," *IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett.*, vol. 12, pp. 1630–1633, 2013.
- [33] D. S. Chandu and S. Karthikeyan, "A novel broadband dual circularly polarized microstrip-fed monopole antenna," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 65, no. 3, pp. 1410–1415, Mar. 2017.
- [34] J. Nocedal and S. Wright, *Numerical Optimization*, 2nd ed. New York, NY, USA: Springer, 2006.



**UBAID ULLAH** received the M.Sc. and Ph.D. degrees in electrical and electronic engineering from the Universiti Sains Malaysia, in 2013 and 2017, respectively. He was with Engineering Optimization and Modeling Center, School of Science and Engineering, Reykjavik University, Iceland, from late 2017 to early 2019. He is currently affiliated with Al Ain University, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. His research interests include antenna theory, small antennas, antenna polarization, dielectric resonators, waveguides, millimeter-wave antenna designs, multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) antenna systems, EM-simulation-driven design, numerical analysis, and microwave circuit design and optimization. During his Ph.D., he was awarded the Prestigious Global Fellowship and the Outstanding Student Award.



**ISMAIL BEN MABROUK** (Senior Member, IEEE) received the B.A.Sc. and M.A.Sc. degrees in electrical engineering from the University of Lille, Lille, France, in 2006 and 2007, respectively, and the Ph.D. degree in electrical engineering from the University of Quebec, Canada, in 2012. From 2007 to 2009, he was with Huawei Technologies, Paris, France. In 2012, he joined the Wireless Devices and Systems (WiDeS) Group, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA.

He is currently an Assistant Professor with the Al Ain University of Science and Technology, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. His research activities have been centered on propagation studies for multiple-input and multiple-output (MIMO) systems, measurement campaigns in special environments, WBAN, and antenna design at the millimeter-wave and THz frequencies.



**SLAWOMIR KOZIEL** (Senior Member, IEEE) received the M.Sc. and Ph.D. degrees in electronic engineering from the Gdansk University of Technology, Poland, in 1995 and 2000, respectively, the M.Sc. degree in theoretical physics and the M.Sc. and Ph.D. degrees in mathematics from the University of Gdansk, Poland, in 2000, 2002, and 2003, respectively. He is currently a Professor with the School of Science and Engineering, Reykjavik University, Iceland. His research interests include CAD and modeling of microwave and antenna structures, simulation-driven design, surrogate-based optimization, space mapping, circuit theory, analog signal processing, evolutionary computation, and numerical analysis.

• • •