

 Open access • Posted Content • DOI:10.1101/536136

Ensuring meiotic DNA break formation in the mouse pseudoautosomal region

— [Source link](#) 

Laurent Acquaviva, Michiel Boekhout, Mehmet E. Karasu, Kevin Brick ...+6 more authors

Institutions: Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, National Institutes of Health

Published on: 31 Jan 2019 - bioRxiv (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory)

Topics: Pseudoautosomal region, Chromosome segregation, Sister chromatids, Chromosomal crossover and Meiosis

Related papers:

- [Ensuring meiotic DNA break formation in the mouse pseudoautosomal region](#)
- [Mouse ANKRD31 Regulates Spatiotemporal Patterning of Meiotic Recombination Initiation and Ensures Recombination between X and Y Sex Chromosomes](#)
- [ANKRD31 regulates spatiotemporal patterning of meiotic recombination initiation and ensures recombination between heterologous sex chromosomes in mice](#)
- [How do small chromosomes know they are small? Maximizing meiotic break formation on the shortest yeast chromosomes.](#)
- [Zip it up to shut it down](#)

Share this paper:    

View more about this paper here: <https://typeset.io/papers/ensuring-meiotic-dna-break-formation-in-the-mouse-11h9rmae8v>

<https://helda.helsinki.fi>

Ensuring meiotic DNA break formation in the mouse pseudoautosomal region

Acquaviva, Laurent

2020-06

Acquaviva , L , Boekhout , M , Karasu , M E , Brick , K , Pratto , F , Li , T , van Overbeek , M , Kauppi , L , Camerini-Otero , R D , Jasin , M & Keeney , S 2020 , ' Ensuring meiotic DNA break formation in the mouse pseudoautosomal region ' , Nature , vol. 582 , no. 7812 , pp. 426 - 431 . <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2327-4>

<http://hdl.handle.net/10138/325615>

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2327-4>

acceptedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.

Ensuring meiotic DNA break formation in the mouse pseudoautosomal region

Laurent Acquaviva^{1*}, Michiel Boekhout^{1†}, Mehmet E. Karasu^{1,2††}, Kevin Brick³, Florencia Pratto³, Tao Li^{1,5}, Megan van Overbeek^{1‡}, Liisa Kauppi^{1§}, R. Daniel Camerini-Otero³, Maria Jasin^{2,4*} and Scott Keeney^{1,2,5*}.

¹Molecular Biology Program, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA.

²Louis V. Gerstner, Jr., Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA.

³Genetics & Biochemistry Branch, NIDDK, NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA.

⁴Developmental Biology Program, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA.

⁵Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA.

*Correspondence to acquavil@mskcc.org, m-jasin@ski.mskcc.org or s-keeney@ski.mskcc.org

† Current address: UMC Utrecht, Oncode Institute, Utrecht University, Utrecht, Netherlands.

†† Current address: ETH Zurich, Switzerland.

‡ Current address: Caribou Biosciences, Inc., Berkeley, CA, USA.

§ Current address: Faculty of Medicine, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland.

Sex chromosomes in males of most eutherian species share only a diminutive homologous segment, the pseudoautosomal region (PAR), wherein double-strand break (DSB) formation, pairing, and crossing over must occur for correct meiotic segregation^{1,2}. How cells ensure PAR recombination is unknown. Here we delineate an unexpected dynamic ultrastructure of the PAR and identify controlling cis- and trans-acting factors that make this the hottest area of DSB formation in the male mouse genome. Before break formation, multiple DSB-promoting factors hyper-accumulate in the PAR, its chromosome axes elongate, and the sister chromatids separate. These phenomena are linked to heterochromatic mo-2 minisatellite arrays and require MEI4 and ANKRD31 proteins but not axis components REC8 or HORMAD1. We propose that the repetitive PAR sequence confers unique chromatin and higher order structures crucial for recombination. Chromosome synapsis triggers collapse of the elongated PAR structure and, remarkably, oocytes can be reprogrammed to display spermatocyte-like PAR DSB levels simply by delaying or preventing synapsis. Thus, sexually dimorphic behavior of the PAR rests in part on kinetic differences between the sexes for a race between maturation of PAR structure, DSB formation, and completion of pairing and synapsis. Our findings establish a mechanistic paradigm of sex chromosome recombination during meiosis.

During meiotic recombination, DSBs must occur within the tiny (~700 kb^{3,4}) mouse PAR²⁻⁶. Since on average one DSB forms per ten megabases, the PAR would risk frequent recombination failure if it behaved like a typical autosomal segment². Consequently, the PAR

42 has disproportionately frequent DSBs and recombination^{2,6-8} (Supplementary Discussion).
43 Mechanisms promoting such frequent DSBs are unknown in any species.

44 DSBs arise concomitantly with linear axial structures that anchor chromatin loops
45 wherein DSBs occur^{9,10}. Axes begin to form during replication and become assembly sites for
46 proteins that promote SPO11 DSBs¹¹⁻¹³. PAR chromatin in spermatocytes forms relatively short
47 loops on a long axis². However, only a low-resolution view of PAR structure was available and
48 the controlling cis- and trans-acting factors were unknown. Moreover, it was unclear how
49 spermatocytes but not oocytes make the PAR so hyperrecombinogenic.

50 51 **A distinctive PAR ultrastructure**

52 X and Y usually pair late, with PARs paired in less than 20% of spermatocytes at late
53 zygonema when most autosomes are paired^{2,14}. At this stage, unsynapsed PAR axes (SYCP2/3)
54 appeared thickened relative to other unsynapsed axes and had bright HORMAD1/2 staining (**Fig.**
55 **1a and Extended Data Fig. 1a,b**)¹⁵. Moreover, the PAR was highly enriched for REC114,
56 MEI4, MEI1, and IHO1—essential for genome-wide DSB formation¹⁶⁻¹⁹—plus ANKRD31, a
57 REC114 partner essential for PAR DSBs^{20,21}.

58 All five proteins (RMMAI) colocalized in several bright “blobs” for most of prophase I
59 (**Fig. 1a and Extended Data Fig. 1c**). Two blobs were on X and Y PARs and others highlighted
60 specific autosome ends (**Fig. 1a, Extended Data Fig. 1d**), revisited below. Similar blobs in
61 published micrographs were uncharacterized^{16,17,19,22}. The proteins also colocalized in smaller
62 foci along unsynapsed axes^{16,17,19-22} (**Extended Data Fig. 1c**). Enrichment on the PAR was
63 already detectable in pre-leptonema (**Extended Data Fig. 1e**)^{17,22} but not in spermatogonia
64 (**Extended Data Fig. 1f**). Mass spectrometry of testis immunoprecipitates identified ZMYM3
65 and PTIP as new ANKRD31 interactors also enriched on the PAR (**Extended Data Fig. 1g-i**).

66 Structured illumination microscopy (SIM) resolved the thickened PAR as two axial cores
67 (**Fig. 1b and Extended Data Fig. 2a,b**) decorated with RMMAI (**Fig. 1c**). PAR axes were
68 extended and separated in late zygonema before X and Y synapsis, then collapsed during X–Y
69 synapsis in early pachynema (**Fig. 1b**). Each axial core is a sister chromatid, with a “bubble”
70 from near the PAR boundary almost to the telomere (**Extended Data Fig. 2c-h**). This PAR
71 structure is distinct from what is seen at chromosome ends later in prophase I (Supplementary
72 Discussion). Axis splitting and REC114 enrichment occurred independently of DSB formation
73 (**Extended Data Fig. 2i**).

74 75 **Dynamic remodeling of PAR structure**

76 We investigated temporal patterns of axis differentiation, RMMAI composition, and
77 chromatin loop configuration on the PAR using SIM or conventional microscopy (**Fig. 1d and**
78 **Extended Data Fig. 3a,b**). The SYCP3-defined axis was already long as soon as it was
79 detectable in leptoneuma, and the PARb FISH signal was compact and remained so while the axis
80 lengthened further through late zygonema, when the sister axes separated. Throughout, abundant
81 ANKRD31 and REC114 signals stretched along the PAR axes, decorating the compact
82 chromatin (**Extended Data Fig. 3a chromosomes a-h, and Extended Data Fig. 3b i-ii**). After
83 synapsis, the axes shortened and chromatin loops decompact, with concomitant RMMAI
84 dissociation. A focus of the meiotic cohesin subunit REC8 was juxtaposed to ANKRD31 blobs

85 at pre-leptonema; REC8 was mostly restricted to the borders of the PAR as its axes elongated
86 and split, and remained highly enriched on the short axis after RMMAI proteins disappeared
87 (**Extended Data Fig. 3a chromosomes i-o, and Extended Data Fig. 3b iii-iv**). Collapse of the
88 loop-axis structure and REC114 dissociation also occurred when the PAR underwent non-
89 homologous synapsis in a *Spo11*^{-/-} mutant (**Extended Data Fig. 3c**), so synapsis without
90 recombination is sufficient for PAR reconfiguration. DSB formation without synapsis may also
91 be sufficient (Supplementary Discussion). These findings delineate large-scale reconfiguration of
92 loop-axis structure and establish spatial and temporal correlations between RMMAI proteins and
93 association of a long axis with compact PAR chromatin.

94 **Heterochromatic mo-2 minisatellites**

96 We deduced that specific DNA sequences might recruit RMMAI proteins because
97 autosomal blobs also hybridized to the PARb probe (**Extended Data Fig. 1d**). This repetitive
98 probe includes a ~20-kb tandem array of a minisatellite called mo-2, with a 31-bp repeat^{23,24}
99 (**Fig. 2a**). Clusters of mo-2 are also present at the non-centromeric ends of chr4, chr9, and chr13
100 (**Fig. 2a,b and Extended Data Fig. 4a,b**)^{23,24}. FISH with an mo-2 oligonucleotide probe showed
101 that RMMAI blobs colocalize completely with mo-2 arrays (**Fig. 2b and Extended Data Fig.**
102 **4c,d**). Mo-2 arrays become enriched at the onset of meiosis for heterochromatic histone
103 modifications (H3K9me3, H4K20me3) and proteins (HP1β, HP1γ, and others), independent of
104 DSB formation (**Extended Data Fig. 5**).

105 To test if mo-2 arrays are cis-acting determinants of RMMAI recruitment, we exploited
106 the fact that the *Mus musculus molossinus* subspecies has substantially lower mo-2 copy
107 number²⁴. The MSM/MsJ strain (MSM) showed less hybridization signal than B6 with the mo-2
108 FISH probe and had lower REC114 intensity in blobs (**Extended Data Fig. 4e**).

109 To avoid confounding strain effects, we examined spermatocytes of F1 hybrids (**Fig. 2c**
110 **and Extended Data Fig. 4f,g**). Less ANKRD31 accumulated on MSM PARs: the Y^{MSM} PAR
111 had 8-fold less ANKRD31 than the X^{B6} PAR in offspring from B6 mothers and MSM fathers
112 (**Fig. 2c and Extended Data Fig. 4g**), and the X^{MSM} PAR had 6.5-fold less than the Y^{B6} PAR in
113 the reciprocal cross (**Extended Data Fig. 4f,g**). Relative ANKRD31 levels matched mo-2 FISH.
114 Nevertheless, MSM PARs support sex chromosome pairing efficiency and timing similar to B6
115 (**Extended Data Fig. 4h**), not surprisingly since MSM is fertile. Interestingly, the ssDNA
116 binding protein RPA2 was present at lower intensity on MSM PARs (**Fig. 2c and Extended**
117 **Data Fig. 4f**), revisited below.

118 **Trans-acting determinants**

120 To identify factors important for PAR behavior, we eliminated RMMAI or axis
121 proteins^{16,20,25,26}. Requirements for RMMAI blobs overlap with but are distinct from those for
122 smaller RMMAI foci, for which *Hormad1* is important and *Mei4* even more so, but *Ankrd31*
123 contributes only partially^{17,20,22} (**Fig. 3a**). HORMAD1 and REC8 were dispensable for RMMAI
124 assembly on mo-2 regions, PAR axis elongation, splitting of sister axes, and formation of short
125 loops (i.e., compact mo-2 and REC114 signals) (**Fig. 3a,b,c and Extended Data Fig. 6a,b**).
126 Distal PAR axes were separated in *Rec8*^{-/-} (**Fig. 3c and Extended Data Fig. 6c**), so REC8 is
127 essential for cohesion at the PAR end.

128 The smaller MEI4 and REC114 foci still formed in *Ankrd31*^{-/-}, but fewer and weaker
129 (**Fig. 3a and Extended Data Fig. 6a,d,e**)²⁰. On mo-2 in contrast, RMMAI proteins did not
130 accumulate detectably in *Mei4*^{-/-} and *Ankrd31*^{-/-} (**Fig. 3a and Extended Data Fig. 6a,b**).
131 ANKRD31 was dispensable for enrichment of heterochromatin factors (**Extended Data Fig. 6f**).
132 REC114, although not IHO1, is similarly essential for RMMAI blobs²¹. Normal PAR
133 ultrastructure was also absent in *Mei4*^{-/-} and *Ankrd31*^{-/-}: axes were short with no sign of splitting
134 and mo-2 was decompacted (**Fig. 3b,c and Extended Data Fig. 6b**). We conclude that PAR
135 RMMAI blobs share genetic requirements with autosomal mo-2 blobs, and presence of blobs
136 correlates with normal PAR structural differentiation.

137 138 **PAR(-like) axis remodeling and mo-2**

139 If mo-2 arrays are cis-acting determinants of high-level RMMAI recruitment that in turn
140 governs PAR structural dynamics, then autosomal mo-2 should also form PAR-like structures.
141 Indeed, the distal end of chr9 underwent splitting in spermatocytes where this region was late to
142 synapse (**Fig. 4a**) and showed a PAR-like pattern of extended axes and compact chromatin
143 dependent on *Ankrd31* (**Extended Data Fig. 7a**). Thus, mo-2 (and/or linked elements) may be
144 sufficient for both RMMAI recruitment and axis remodeling. Less axis remodeling for MSM
145 PARs (**Extended Data Fig. 7b**) reinforced the correlation between mo-2 copy number, RMMAI
146 levels, and PAR ultrastructure.

147 148 **DSB formation in spermatocytes**

149 We hypothesized that RMMAI recruitment and axis remodeling create an environment
150 conducive to high-level DSB formation. This idea predicts that mutations should affect all of
151 these processes coordinately and that autosomal mo-2 regions should experience PAR-like DSB
152 formation. We counted axial RPA2 foci as a proxy for global DSB numbers and assessed mo-2
153 overlap with RPA2 (**Fig. 4b and Extended Data Fig. 7c-f**).

154 In wild-type zygotene spermatocytes, RPA2 foci overlapped on average 35% of each
155 cell's mo-2 regions, increasing to 70% at pachynema (**Extended Data Fig. 7e**). Similar to the
156 PAR², autosomal mo-2 often acquired DSBs late (**Extended Data Fig. 7g**). In contrast, *Ankrd31*^{-/-}
157 mutants had starkly reduced overlap of RPA2 foci with mo-2, so X and Y paired in only 6% of
158 mid-pachytene spermatocytes (**Fig. 4b and Extended Data Fig. 7e,h**). This is distinct from
159 autosomes: global RPA2 foci were only modestly reduced (**Extended Data Fig. 7d**) and most
160 *Ankrd31*^{-/-} cells pair and synapse all autosomes^{20,21}. (*Ankrd31*^{-/-} mutants form fewer RPA2 foci
161 at leptonema and early zygonema, but normal numbers thereafter^{20,21}.)

162 *Rec8* deficiency did not reduce RPA2 focus formation on mo-2 or more globally relative
163 to a synapsis-deficient control (*Syce1*^{-/-}) (**Extended Data Fig. 7c-e**). However, X-Y pairing was
164 reduced (**Extended Data Fig. 7h**), presumably because REC8 promotes interhomolog
165 recombination²⁷. *Hormad1*^{-/-} spermatocytes had comparable or higher frequencies of mo-2-
166 overlapping RPA2 foci and X-Y pairing as the *Syce1*^{-/-} control (**Extended Data Fig. 7e,h**). The
167 high frequency of mo-2 RPA2 foci was striking given the global reduction in RPA2 foci
168 (**Extended Data Fig. 7d,f**) and DSBs²⁸, but consistent with HORMAD1 dispensability both for
169 RMMAI recruitment to mo-2 and for PAR ultrastructure (**Fig. 3a-c**).

170 These findings establish a tight correlation of RMMAI recruitment and axis remodeling
171 with high-frequency DSB formation. Further strengthening this correlation, we noted above that
172 MSM PARs display lower RPA2 intensity (**Fig. 2c**), perhaps reflecting a lesser tendency to make
173 multiple DSBs. Indeed, multiple PAR RPA2 foci were resolved by SIM more frequently in B6
174 than MSM (**Extended Data Fig. 7i,j**).

175 We used maps of ssDNA bound by the strand-exchange protein DMC1 (ssDNA
176 sequencing, or SSDS)^{7,29,30} to test more directly whether autosomal mo-2 regions experience
177 PAR-like DSB formation, i.e., dependent on ANKRD31 but largely independent of the histone
178 methyltransferase PRDM9 (**Fig. 4c and Extended Data Fig. 8a**)^{7,20,21}. Indeed, the region
179 encompassing the chr9 mo-2 cluster displayed accumulation of SSDS reads that was
180 substantially reduced in *Ankrd31*^{-/-} but not in *Prdm9*^{-/-}. A modest ANKRD31-dependent,
181 PRDM9-independent peak was also observed near the mo-2 cluster on chr13 (**Extended Data**
182 **Fig. 8a**). Thus, autosomal mo-2 regions not only accumulate PAR-like levels of RMMAI
183 proteins and undergo PAR-like axis remodeling in spermatocytes, they frequently form DSBs in
184 a PAR-like manner.

185 186 **Mo-2 regions in oocytes**

187 In females, recombination between the two X chromosomes is not restricted to the PAR,
188 so oocytes do not require PAR DSBs like spermatocytes³¹. We therefore asked whether the PAR
189 undergoes spermatocyte-like structural changes in oocytes. RMMAI proteins robustly
190 accumulated on PAR and autosomal mo-2 regions from leptonema to pachynema (**Extended**
191 **Data Fig. 9a**), consistent with studies of MEI4 and ANKRD31^{16,21}. Oocytes also displayed an
192 extended PAR axis and compact PARb FISH signal from leptonema to zygonema and
193 transitioned to a shorter axis and more extended PARb signal in pachynema, with loss of
194 REC114 signal upon synapsis (**Extended Data Fig. 9b**). Heterochromatin factors were also
195 enriched (**Extended Data Fig. 9c**). However, we did not detect spermatocyte-like thickening or
196 splitting of the PAR axis or REC8 accumulation (**Extended Data Fig. 9d**), even in the absence
197 of synapsis in *Syce1*^{-/-} mutants (**Extended Data Fig. 9e**). Moreover, similar to the PAR³¹,
198 autosomal mo-2 regions showed little enrichment for SSDS signal in wild-type ovaries
199 (**Extended Data Fig. 8b,c**).

200 Low SSDS signal despite RMMAI enrichment and long axes could indicate that oocytes
201 lack a critical factor(s) that promotes PAR DSBs in spermatocytes. Alternatively, oocyte PARs
202 may not realize their full DSB potential because of negative feedback tied to homolog
203 engagement^{32,33}: perhaps synapsis that initiated elsewhere on X often spreads into the PAR and
204 disrupts the PAR ultrastructure before DSBs can form. To test this idea, we tested effects of
205 delaying or blocking PAR synapsis using sex-reversed XY females³⁴ and *Syce1*^{-/-} mutants.

206 XY oocytes pair and synapse their PARs relatively late: only 28% of late zygotene cells
207 had X and Y paired and/or synapsed (25 of 90 cells from two mice), increasing to 66% at
208 pachynema (115 of 174 cells). This late pairing and synapsis is reminiscent of spermatocytes, but
209 appears less efficient. Most pachytene XY oocytes that synapsed their PARs had a PAR-
210 associated RPA2 focus, at twice the frequency and with higher immunofluorescence intensity
211 than in XX oocytes (**Fig. 4d, Extended Data Fig. 9f**). RPA2 foci were also seen on most PARs
212 that failed to synapse (**Extended Data Fig. 9g**). In contrast, chr9 and chr13 had lower RPA2

213 frequency and intensity that was comparable to XX PARs and that did not differ between XY
214 and XX (**Extended Data Fig. 9f**).

215 These findings suggest that delayed PAR synapsis allows oocytes to more efficiently
216 form DSBs. Supporting this conclusion, absence of synapsis in *Syce1*^{-/-} oocytes was
217 accompanied by an increase in both the frequency and intensity of RPA2 on PARs and
218 autosomal mo-2 regions alike (**Extended Data Fig. 9h**). Our results do not exclude the
219 possibility of spermatocyte-oocyte differences in trans-acting factors, but we infer that the ability
220 to manifest high-level DSB formation depends substantially on the result of a race between DSB
221 formation and completion of synapsis (Supplementary Discussion).

222

223 **Discussion**

224 We demonstrate that the PAR in male mice undergoes a striking rearrangement of loop-
225 axis structure prior to DSB formation involving recruitment of RMMAI proteins, dynamic axis
226 elongation, and splitting of sister chromatid axes (**Extended Data Fig. 10**). Most of these
227 behaviors also occur in oocytes and can support high-level DSB formation if synapsis is delayed.
228 The mo-2 array may be a key cis-acting determinant and RMMAI proteins are crucial trans-
229 acting determinants. Although the function of sister axis splitting is unclear (Supplementary
230 Discussion), the full suite of PAR behaviors appears essential for pairing, recombination, and
231 segregation of heteromorphic sex chromosomes.

232 Budding yeast also uses robust recruitment of Rec114 and Mer2 (the IHO1 ortholog) to
233 ensure that its smallest chromosomes incur DSBs³⁵. Thus, such preferential recruitment is an
234 evolutionarily recurrent strategy for mitigating risk of recombination failure when the length of
235 chromosomal homology is limited.

236 RMMAI hyper-accumulation may reflect binding of one or more of these proteins to an
237 mo-2-associated chromatin structure and/or direct binding to mo-2 repeats or another tightly
238 linked DNA element. We note that the repetitive mo-2 array imposes risks of unequal
239 exchange^{23,36}. Thus, paradoxically, the PAR DNA structure stabilizes the genome by supporting
240 sex chromosome segregation but also promotes the rapid evolution of mammalian PARs⁴.

241

References:

- 243 1 Raudsepp, T. & Chowdhary, B. P. The eutherian pseudoautosomal region. *Cytogenet*
244 *Genome Res* **147**, 81-94 (2015).
- 245 2 Kauppi, L. *et al.* Distinct properties of the XY pseudoautosomal region crucial for male
246 meiosis. *Science* **331**, 916-920 (2011).
- 247 3 Perry, J., Palmer, S., Gabriel, A. & Ashworth, A. A short pseudoautosomal region in
248 laboratory mice. *Genome Res* **11**, 1826-1832 (2001).
- 249 4 Raudsepp, T., Das, P. J., Avila, F. & Chowdhary, B. P. The pseudoautosomal region and
250 sex chromosome aneuploidies in domestic species. *Sex Dev* **6**, 72-83 (2012).
- 251 5 Palmer, S., Perry, J., Kipling, D. & Ashworth, A. A gene spans the pseudoautosomal
252 boundary in mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **94**, 12030-12035 (1997).
- 253 6 Soriano, P. *et al.* High rate of recombination and double crossovers in the mouse
254 pseudoautosomal region during male meiosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **84**, 7218-7220
255 (1987).
- 256 7 Brick, K., Smagulova, F., Khil, P., Camerini-Otero, R. D. & Petukhova, G. V. Genetic
257 recombination is directed away from functional genomic elements in mice. *Nature* **485**,
258 642-645 (2012).
- 259 8 Lange, J. *et al.* The landscape of mouse meiotic double-strand break formation,
260 processing, and repair. *Cell* **167**, 695-708 e616 (2016).
- 261 9 Kleckner, N. Chiasma formation: chromatin/axis interplay and the role(s) of the
262 synaptonemal complex. *Chromosoma* **115**, 175-194 (2006).
- 263 10 Zickler, D. & Kleckner, N. Meiotic chromosomes: integrating structure and function.
264 *Annu Rev Genet* **33**, 603-754 (1999).
- 265 11 Panizza, S. *et al.* Spo11-accessory proteins link double-strand break sites to the
266 chromosome axis in early meiotic recombination. *Cell* **146**, 372-383 (2011).
- 267 12 Lam, I. & Keeney, S. Mechanism and regulation of meiotic recombination initiation.
268 *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* **7**, a016634 (2014).
- 269 13 de Massy, B. Initiation of meiotic recombination: how and where? Conservation and
270 specificities among eukaryotes. *Annu Rev Genet* **47**, 563-599 (2013).
- 271 14 Kauppi, L., Jasin, M. & Keeney, S. The tricky path to recombining X and Y
272 chromosomes in meiosis. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* **1267**, 18-23 (2012).
- 273 15 Page, J. *et al.* Inactivation or non-reactivation: what accounts better for the silence of sex
274 chromosomes during mammalian male meiosis? *Chromosoma* **121**, 307-326 (2012).
- 275 16 Kumar, R., Bourbon, H. M. & de Massy, B. Functional conservation of Mei4 for meiotic
276 DNA double-strand break formation from yeasts to mice. *Genes Dev* **24**, 1266-1280
277 (2010).
- 278 17 Stanzione, M. *et al.* Meiotic DNA break formation requires the unsynapsed chromosome
279 axis-binding protein IHO1 (CCDC36) in mice. *Nat Cell Biol* **18**, 1208-1220 (2016).
- 280 18 Reinholdt, L. G. & Schimenti, J. C. *Mei1* is epistatic to *Dmc1* during mouse meiosis.
281 *Chromosoma* **114**, 127-134 (2005).
- 282 19 Kumar, R. *et al.* Mouse REC114 is essential for meiotic DNA double-strand break
283 formation and forms a complex with MEI4. *Life Sci Alliance* **1**, e201800259 (2018).
- 284 20 Boekhout, M. *et al.* REC114 partner ANKRD31 controls number, timing, and location of
285 meiotic DNA breaks. *Mol Cell* **74**, 1053-1068 e1058 (2019).

- 286 21 Papanikos, F. *et al.* Mouse ANKRD31 regulates spatiotemporal patterning of meiotic
287 recombination initiation and ensures recombination between X and Y sex chromosomes.
288 *Mol Cell* **74**, 1069-1085 e1011 (2019).
- 289 22 Kumar, R. *et al.* MEI4 - a central player in the regulation of meiotic DNA double-strand
290 break formation in the mouse. *J Cell Sci* **128**, 1800-1811 (2015).
- 291 23 Harbers, K., Francke, U., Soriano, P., Jaenisch, R. & Muller, U. Structure and
292 chromosomal mapping of a highly polymorphic repetitive DNA sequence from the
293 pseudoautosomal region of the mouse sex chromosomes. *Cytogenet Cell Genet* **53**, 129-
294 133 (1990).
- 295 24 Takahashi, Y. *et al.* Methylation imprinting was observed of mouse mo-2 macrosatellite
296 on the pseudoautosomal region but not on chromosome 9. *Chromosoma* **103**, 450-458
297 (1994).
- 298 25 Shin, Y. H. *et al.* *Hormad1* mutation disrupts synaptonemal complex formation,
299 recombination, and chromosome segregation in mammalian meiosis. *PLoS Genet* **6**,
300 e1001190 (2010).
- 301 26 Bannister, L. A., Reinholdt, L. G., Munroe, R. J. & Schimenti, J. C. Positional cloning
302 and characterization of mouse *mei8*, a disrupted allele of the meiotic cohesin Rec8.
303 *Genesis* **40**, 184-194 (2004).
- 304 27 Kim, K. P. *et al.* Sister cohesion and structural axis components mediate homolog bias of
305 meiotic recombination. *Cell* **143**, 924-937 (2010).
- 306 28 Daniel, K. *et al.* Meiotic homologue alignment and its quality surveillance are controlled
307 by mouse *HORMAD1*. *Nat Cell Biol* **13**, 599-610 (2011).
- 308 29 Brick, K., Pratto, F., Sun, C. Y., Camerini-Otero, R. D. & Petukhova, G. Analysis of
309 meiotic double-strand break initiation in mammals. *Methods Enzymol* **601**, 391-418
310 (2018).
- 311 30 Khil, P. P., Smagulova, F., Brick, K. M., Camerini-Otero, R. D. & Petukhova, G. V.
312 Sensitive mapping of recombination hotspots using sequencing-based detection of
313 ssDNA. *Genome Res* (2012).
- 314 31 Brick, K. *et al.* Extensive sex differences at the initiation of genetic recombination.
315 *Nature* **561**, 338-342 (2018).
- 316 32 Thacker, D., Mohibullah, N., Zhu, X. & Keeney, S. Homologue engagement controls
317 meiotic DNA break number and distribution. *Nature* **510**, 241-246 (2014).
- 318 33 Kauppi, L. *et al.* Numerical constraints and feedback control of double-strand breaks in
319 mouse meiosis. *Genes Dev* **27**, 873-886 (2013).
- 320 34 Arnold, A. P. Mouse models for evaluating sex chromosome effects that cause sex
321 differences in non-gonadal tissues. *J Neuroendocrinol* **21**, 377-386 (2009).
- 322 35 Murakami, H., Lam, I., Song, J., van Overbeek, M. & Keeney, S. Multilayered
323 mechanisms ensure that short chromosomes recombine in meiosis. *bioRxiv doi:*
324 <https://doi.org/10.1101/406892> (2018).
- 325 36 Harbers, K., Soriano, P., Muller, U. & Jaenisch, R. High frequency of unequal
326 recombination in pseudoautosomal region shown by proviral insertion in transgenic
327 mouse. *Nature* **324**, 682-685 (1986).

328

329

330 **Fig. 1: Ultrastructure of the PAR during male meiosis. (a)** Axis thickening (SYCP2 and
331 SYCP3) and ANKRD31 accumulation on X and Y PARs (arrowheads) in late zygonema. The
332 asterisk shows an autosomal ANKRD31 blob. Scale bar: 2 μm . **(b)** Ultrastructure of the PAR
333 before and after synapsis (montage of representative SIM images). Dashed lines indicate where
334 chromosomes are cropped. SIM: Structured Illumination Microscopy. Scale bar: 1 μm . **(c)**
335 RMMAI enrichment along split PAR axes in late zygonema. Scale bar: 1 μm . **(d)** Schematic
336 showing the dynamic remodeling of the PAR loop–axis ensemble during prophase I. See
337 measurements in **Extended Data Fig. 3b** and **Data File S1**. Scale bar: 1 μm .

338 **Fig. 2: Arrays of the mo-2 minisatellite are sites of RMMAI protein enrichment in the PAR**
339 **and on autosomes. (a)** Left panel: Self alignment of the PARb FISH probe. The circled block is
340 a 20-kb mo-2 cluster. Right panel: Schematic showing the non-centromeric chromosome ends
341 identified by BLAST search using the mo-2 consensus sequence. **(b)** Colocalization of REC114
342 blobs with mo-2 oligonucleotide FISH signal (zygotene spermatocyte). Scale bar: 2 μm . **(c)** PAR
343 enrichment for ANKRD31 and RPA2 correlates with mo-2 copy number. Top panels: late
344 zygotene spermatocyte from F1 hybrid from crosses of B6 \times MSM. Scale bars: 1 μm . Bottom
345 panels: PAR-associated signals (A.U., arbitrary units) on B6-derived (X^B) and MSM-derived
346 chromosomes (Y^M) from the indicated number of spermatocytes (N). Red lines: means \pm SD.
347 Differences between X and Y PAR intensities are significant for both proteins and for mo-2
348 FISH ($p < 10^{-6}$, paired t-test; exact two-sided p values are in **Data File S2**).

349 **Fig. 3: Requirements for RMMAI recruitment and PAR axis remodeling. (a)** Quantification
350 of REC114, ANKRD31, MEI4, and IHO1 foci along unsynapsed axes in leptotene/early
351 zygotene spermatocytes. Error bars: means \pm SD. Comparisons to wild type are indicated (two-
352 sided Student's t test): * = $p < 0.02$, ** = $p \leq 10^{-7}$, ns = not significant ($p > 0.05$); exact p values are
353 in **Data File S3**. Representative micrographs of REC114 staining are shown; other proteins are in
354 **Extended Data Fig. 6a**. Presence of mo-2 associated blobs (arrowheads) is indicated in the
355 bottom panel. Scale bars: 2 μm . **(b)** Genetic requirements for PAR loop–axis organization
356 (length of REC114 and mo-2 FISH signals along the PAR axis and axis-orthogonal extension of
357 mo-2). Error bars: means \pm SD. **(c)** Representative SIM images of Y-PAR loop–axis structure in
358 each mutant at late zygonema. Scale bar: 1 μm .

359 **Fig. 4: PAR-like structural reorganization and DSB formation on autosomal mo-2 arrays.**
360 **(a)** The mo-2 region of chr9 undergoes axis elongation and splitting similar to PARs (SIM image
361 of a wild-type zygotene spermatocyte). Scale bar: 1 μm . **(b)** ANKRD31 is required for high-level
362 DSB formation in mo-2 regions and XY pairing. Immuno-FISH for RPA2 and mo-2 was used to
363 detect DSBs. Illustration from **Extended Data Fig. 7c**. **(c)** PAR-like DSB formation near
364 autosomal mo-2 regions. Excerpt from **Extended Data Fig. 8a**. SSDS coverage^{6,19} is shown for
365 the Y PAR (left) and the mo-2-adjacent region of chr9 (right). Positions of mo-2 repeats are
366 shown below. **(d)** Early pachytene XY oocyte showing bright RPA2 focus in the PAR. Scale bar:
367 2 μm .
368

369
370

METHODS

Mice

371 Mice were maintained and sacrificed under U.S.A. regulatory standards and experiments
372 were approved by the Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center (MSKCC) Institutional Animal
373 Care and Use Committee (IACUC, protocol number 01-03-007). Animals were fed regular
374 rodent chow with *ad libitum* access to food and water. The *Ankrd31* knockout allele
375 (*Ankrd31^{em1Sky}*) is a single base insertion mutation (+A) in exon 3; its generation and phenotypic
376 characterization are described elsewhere²⁰. Mice with the *Mei4* knockout allele¹⁶ were kindly
377 provided by B. de Massy (IGH, Montpellier, France). All other mouse strains were purchased
378 from the Jackson Laboratory: C57BL/6J (stock #00664), MSM/MsJ (stock #003719), B6N(Cg)-
379 *Syce1^{tm1b(KOMP)Wtsi}*/2J (stock #026719), B6;129S7-*Hormad1^{tm1Rajk}*/Mmjax (stock #41469-JAX),
380 B6;129S4-*Rec8^{mei8}*/JcsMmjax (stock #34762-JAX), B6.Cg-Tg(Sry)2Ei *Sry^{d11Rlb}*/ArnoJ (stock
381 #010905). Mice were genotyped using Direct Tail lysis buffer (Viagen) following the
382 manufacturer's instructions.

383 B6.Cg-Tg(Sry)2Ei *Sry^{d11Rlb}*/ArnoJ males have a Y chromosome with a deletion of the sex-
384 determining *Sry* gene and also have an *Sry* transgene integrated on an autosome. When these
385 males are crossed with C57BL/6J females, those XY and XX animals that do not inherit the *Sry*
386 transgene develop as females.

387
388

Generation of REC8 and REC114 antibodies

389 To produce antibodies against REC8, a fragment of the mouse *Rec8* gene encoding amino
390 acids 36 to 253 (NCBI Reference Sequence: NP_001347318.1) was cloned into pGEX-4T-2
391 vector. The resulting fusion of the REC8 fragment fused to glutathione S transferase (GST) was
392 expressed in *E. coli*, affinity purified on glutathione Sepharose 4B, and cleaved with Precision
393 protease. Antibodies were raised in rabbits by Covance Inc. (Princeton NJ) against the purified
394 recombinant REC8 fragment, and antibodies were affinity purified using GST-REC836-253 that
395 had been immobilized on glutathione sepharose by crosslinking with dimethyl pimelimidate;
396 bound antibodies were eluted with 0.1 M glycine, pH 2.5. Purified antibodies were tested in
397 western blots of testis extracts and specificity was validated by immunostaining of spread
398 meiotic chromosomes from wild type and *Rec8^{-/-}* mice.

399 To produce antibodies against REC114, a fragment of the mouse *Rec114* gene encoding a
400 truncated polypeptide lacking the N-terminal 110 amino acids (NCBI Reference Sequence:
401 NP_082874.1) was cloned into pET-19b expression vector. The resulting hexahistidine-tagged
402 REC114₁₁₁₋₂₅₉ fragment was insoluble when expressed in *E. coli*, so the recombinant protein was
403 solubilized and affinity purified on Ni-NTA resin in the presence of 8 M urea. Eluted protein was
404 dialyzed against 100 mM NaH₂PO₄, 10 mM Tris-HCl, 6 M urea, pH 7.3 and used to immunize
405 rabbits (Covance Inc.). Antibodies were affinity purified against purified recombinant His₆-
406 REC114₁₁₁₋₂₅₉ protein immobilized on cyanogen bromide-activated sepharose and eluted in 0.2
407 M glycine pH 2.5. The affinity purified antibodies were previously used by Stanzione et al.¹⁷
408 who reported detection of a band of appropriate molecular weight in western blots of testis
409 extracts. However, subsequent analysis showed that this band is also present in extracts of
410 *Rec114^{-/-}* testes, and thus is non-specific (C. Brun and B. de Massy, personal communication).
411 Importantly, however, Stanzione et al. also reported detection of immunostaining foci on spread
412 meiotic chromosomes similar to findings reported here and by Boekhout et al.²⁰. This
413 immunostaining signal is absent from chromosome spreads prepared from *Rec114^{-/-}* mutant mice

414 (C. Brun and B. de Massy, personal communication). Moreover, this immunostaining signal is
415 indistinguishable from that reported using independently generated and validated anti-REC114
416 antibodies¹⁹. We conclude that our anti-REC114 antibodies are highly specific for the cognate
417 antigen when used for immunostaining of meiotic chromosome spreads.

418 **Chromosome spreads**

420 Testes were dissected and deposited after removal of the tunica albuginea in 1× PBS pH
421 7.4. Seminiferous tubules were minced using forceps to form a cell suspension. The cell
422 suspension was filtered through a 70-µm cell strainer into a 15 ml Falcon tube pre-coated with
423 3% (w/v) BSA, and was centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 5 min. The cell pellet was resuspended in
424 12 ml of 1× PBS for an additional centrifugation step at 1000 rpm for 5 min and the pellet was
425 resuspended in 1 ml of hypotonic buffer containing 17 mM sodium citrate, 50 mM sucrose, 30
426 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 5 mM EDTA pH 8, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 10 µl of 100× Halt
427 protease inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Scientific), and incubated for 8 min. Next, 9 ml of 1× PBS
428 was added and the cell suspension was centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 5 min. The cell pellet was
429 resuspended in 100 mM sucrose pH 8 to obtain a slightly turbid cell suspension, and incubated
430 for 10 min. Superfrost glass slides were divided into two squares using an ImmEdge
431 hydrophobic pen (Vector Labs), then 110 µl of 1% paraformaldehyde (PFA) (freshly dissolved
432 in presence of NaOH at 65°C, 0.15% Triton, pH 9.3, cleared through 0.22 µm filter) and 30 µl of
433 cell suspension was added per square, swirled three times for homogenization, and the slides
434 were placed horizontally in a closed humid chamber for 2 h. The humid chamber was opened for
435 1 h to allow almost complete drying of the cell suspension. Slides were washed in a Coplin jar 2
436 × 5 min in 1× PBS on a shaker, and 2 min with 0.4% Photo-Flo 200 solution (Kodak), air dried
437 and stored in aluminum foil at -80°C.

438 Ovaries were extracted from 14.5–18.5 d post-coitum mice, and collected in 1× PBS pH
439 7.4. After 15 min incubation in hypotonic buffer, the ovaries were placed on a slide containing
440 30 µl of 100 mM sucrose pH 8, and dissected with forceps to form a cell suspension. The
441 remaining tissues were removed, 110 µl of 1% paraformaldehyde-0.15% Triton was added, and
442 the slides were gently swirled for homogenization, before incubation in a humid chamber as
443 described above for spermatocyte chromosome spreads.

444 **Immunostaining**

446 Slides of meiotic chromosome spreads were blocked for 30 min at room temperature horizontally
447 in a humid chamber with an excess of blocking buffer containing 1× PBS, pH 7.4 with 0.05%
448 Tween-20, 7.5% (v/v) donkey serum, 0.5 mM EDTA, pH 8.0, and 0.05% (w/v) sodium azide,
449 and cleared by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 15 min. Slides were incubated with primary
450 antibody overnight in a humid chamber at 4°C, or for at least 3 hours at room temperature. Slides
451 were washed 3 × 5 min in 1× PBS, 0.05% Tween-20, then blocked for 10 min, and incubated
452 with secondary antibody for 1–2 hours at 37°C in a humid chamber. Slides were washed 3 × 5
453 min in the dark on a shaker with 1× PBS, 0.05% Tween-20, rinsed in H₂O, and mounted before
454 air drying with Vectashield (Vector Labs). Antibody dilutions were centrifuged at 13,000 rpm
455 for at least 5 min before use. Primary antibodies used were rabbit and guinea pig anti-
456 ANKRD31²⁰ (1:200 dilution), rabbit anti-HORMAD2 (Santa Cruz, sc-82192, 1:50), guinea pig
457 anti-HORMAD2 (1:200) and guinea pig anti-IHO1 (1:200) (gifts from A. Toth (Technical

458 University of Dresden)), goat anti-MEI1 (Santa Cruz, sc-86732, 1:50), rabbit anti-MEI4 (gift
459 from B. de Massy, 1:200), rabbit anti-REC8 (this study, 1:100), rabbit anti-REC114 (this study,
460 1:200), rabbit anti-RPA2 (Santa Cruz, sc-28709, 1:50), goat anti-SYCP1 (Santa Cruz, sc-20837,
461 1:50), rabbit anti-SYCP2 (Atlas Antibodies, HPA062401, 1:100), mouse anti-SYCP3 (Santa
462 Cruz, sc-74569, 1:100), goat anti-SYCP3 (Santa Cruz, sc-20845, 1:50), rabbit anti-TRF1 (Alpha
463 Diagnostic, TRF12-S, 1:100), rabbit anti-H4K20me3 (Abcam, ab9053, 1:200), rabbit anti-
464 H3K9me3 (Abcam, ab8898, 1:200), mouse anti-macroH2A1.2 (Active motif, 61428, 1:100),
465 mouse anti-HP-1 gamma (Millipore, MAB3450, 1:100), mouse anti-HP1-beta (Millipore,
466 MAB3448, 1:100), rabbit anti-HP1-beta (Genetex, GTX106418, 1:100), rabbit anti-Mi2
467 (recognizes CHD3 and CHD4; Santa Cruz, sc-11378, 1:50), rabbit anti-ATRX (Santa Cruz, sc-
468 15408, 1:50), mouse anti-DMRT1 (Santa Cruz, sc-377167, 1:50), rabbit anti-ZMYM3 (Abcam,
469 ab19165, 1:300), rabbit anti-PAXIP1 (EMD Millipore, ABE1877, 1:300). Secondary antibodies
470 used were CF405S anti-guinea pig (Biotium, 20356), CF405S anti-rabbit (Biotium, 20420),
471 CF405S anti-mouse (Biotium, 20080), Alexa Fluor488 donkey anti-mouse (Life technologies,
472 A21202), Alexa Fluor488 donkey anti-rabbit (Life technologies, A21206), Alexa Fluor488
473 donkey anti-goat (Life technologies, A11055), Alexa Fluor488 donkey anti-guinea pig (Life
474 technologies, A11073), Alexa Fluor568 donkey anti-mouse (Life technologies, A10037), Alexa
475 Fluor568 donkey anti-rabbit (Life technologies, A10042), Alexa Fluor568 goat anti-guinea pig
476 (Life technologies, A11075), Alexa Fluor594 donkey anti-mouse (Life technologies, A21203),
477 Alexa Fluor594 donkey anti-rabbit (Life technologies, A21207), Alexa Fluor594 donkey anti-
478 goat (Life technologies, A11058), Alexa Fluor647 donkey anti-rabbit (Abcam, ab150067), Alexa
479 Fluor647 donkey anti-goat (Abcam, ab150131), all at 1:250 dilution.

480

481 **ImmunoFISH and DNA probe preparation**

482 All steps were performed in the dark to prevent loss of fluorescence from prior
483 immunostaining. After the last washing step in the immunostaining protocol, slides were placed
484 horizontally in a humid chamber and the chromosome spreads were re-fixed with an excess of
485 2% (w/v) paraformaldehyde in 1× PBS (pH 9.3) for 10 min at room temperature. Slides were
486 rinsed once in H₂O, washed for 4 min in 1× PBS, sequentially dehydrated with 70% (v/v) ethanol
487 for 4 min, 90% ethanol for 4 min, 100% ethanol for 5 min, and air dried vertically for 5-10 min.
488 Next, 15 µl of hybridization mix was applied containing the DNA probe(s) in 70% (v/v)
489 deionized formamide (Amresco), 10% (w/v) dextran sulfate, 2× SSC buffer (saline sodium
490 citrate), 1× Denhardt's buffer, 10 mM EDTA pH 8 and 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4. Cover glasses
491 (22 x 22 mm) were applied and sealed with rubber cement (Weldwood contact cement), then the
492 slides were denatured on a heat block for 7 min at 80°C, followed by overnight incubation (>14
493 h) at 37°C. Cover glasses were carefully removed using a razor blade, slides were rinsed in 0.1×
494 SSC buffer, washed in 0.4× SSC, 0.3% NP-40 for 5 min, washed in PBS-0.05% Tween-20 for 3
495 min, rinsed in H₂O, and mounted with Vectashield before air drying.

496 To generate FISH probes, we used the nick translation kit from Abbott Molecular following
497 the manufacturer's instructions and using CF dye-conjugated dUTP (Biotium), on BAC DNA
498 from the clones RP24-500I4 (maps to the region of the PAR boundary, PARb probe) CH25-
499 592M6 (maps to the distal PAR, PARd probe), RP23-139J18, RP24-136G21, and CH36-200G6
500 (centromere-distal ends of chr4, chr9, and chr13, respectively). BAC clones were obtained from
501 the BACPAC Resource Center (CHORI). Labeled DNA (500 ng) was precipitated during 30 min
502 incubation at -20°C after adding 5 µl of mouse Cot-1 DNA (Invitrogen), 0.5 volume of 7.5 M

503 ammonium acetate and 2.5 volumes of cold 100% ethanol. After washing with 70% ethanol and
504 air drying in the dark, the pellet was dissolved in 15 μ l of hybridization buffer.

505 Mo-2 oligonucleotide probes were synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies, with 6-
506 FAM or TYE™ 665 fluorophores added to both 5' and 3' ends of the oligonucleotide. The DNA
507 sequence was designed based on the previously defined consensus sequence²⁴, and the probe was
508 used at a final concentration of 10 pmol/ μ l in hybridization buffer without Cot-1 DNA. The Y-
509 chromosome paint probe was purchased from IDLabs and used at 1:30 dilution in hybridization
510 buffer without Cot-1 DNA.

511
512

EdU incorporation

513 Seminiferous tubules were incubated in DMEM with 10% FCS and 10 μ M EdU at 37°C for
514 1 h for *in vitro* labeling. EdU incorporation was detected using the Click-iT EdU Alexa Fluor
515 647 imaging kit (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

516
517

Image acquisition

518 Images of spread spermatocytes were acquired on a Zeiss Axio Observer Z1 Marianas
519 Workstation, equipped with an ORCA-Flash 4.0 camera and DAPI, CFP, FITC, TEXAS red and
520 Cy5 filter sets, illuminated by an X-Cite 120 PC-Q light source, with either 63 \times /1.4 NA oil
521 immersion objective or 100 \times /1.4 NA oil immersion objective. Marianas Slidebook 5.0
522 (Intelligent Imaging Innovations) software was used for acquisition.

523 Structured illumination microscopy (3D-SIM) was performed at the Bio-Imaging Resource
524 Center in Rockefeller University using an OMX Blaze 3D-SIM super-resolution microscope
525 (Applied Precision), equipped with 405 nm, 488nm and 568 nm lasers, and 100 \times /1.40 NA
526 UPLSAPO oil objective (Olympus). Image stacks of several μ m thickness were taken with
527 0.125 μ m z-steps, and were reconstructed in Deltavision softWoRx 6.1.1 software with a
528 Wiener filter of 0.002 using wavelength specific experimentally determined OTF functions.
529 Slides were prepared and stained as described above, except that chromosomes were spread only
530 on the central portion of the slides, and the slides mounted using 18 \times 18 mm coverslips (Zeiss).

531
532

Image analysis

533 3D-SIM images are shown either as a z-stack using the sum slices function in Fiji/ImageJ,
534 or as a unique slice. The X and/or Y chromosomes were cropped, rotated and further cropped for
535 best display. For montage display, the X and Y chromosome images were positioned on a black
536 background using Adobe Illustrator 2020 (version 24.1). In the instances where the axes of the X
537 and Y chromosomes were cropped, the area of cropping was labeled with a light gray dotted line.
538 Loop/axis measurements, foci counts, and fluorescence intensity quantification were only
539 performed on images from conventional microscopy using the original, unmodified data.

540 To measure the colocalization between RMMAI proteins, we costained for SYCP3 and
541 ANKRD31 along with either MEI4, REC114, or IHO1, and manually counted the number of
542 ANKRD31 foci overlapping with SYCP3 and colocalizing or not with MEI4, REC114 or IHO1.
543 These counts were performed in 16 spermatocytes from leptoneuma to early/mid zygonema.

544 To quantify the total number of RPA2, MEI4, REC114, ANKRD31, and IHO1 foci, single
545 cells were manually cropped and analyzed with semi-automated scripts in Fiji³⁷ (version 2.0.0-
546 rc-69/1.52p) as described in detail elsewhere²⁰. Briefly, images were auto-thresholded on SYCP3

547 staining, which was used as a mask to use ‘Find Maxima’ to determine the number of foci.
548 Images were manually inspected to determine that there were no obvious defects in determining
549 SYCP3 axes, that no axes from neighboring cells were counted, that no artifacts were present,
550 and that no foci were missed by the script.

551 To test for colocalization between RPA2 and mo-2 FISH signals, we manually scored the
552 percentage of mo-2 FISH signals colocalizing at least partly with RPA2. Depending on the
553 progression of synapsis during prophase I, between eight and four discrete mo-2 FISH signals
554 could be detected, corresponding to (with increasing signal intensity) the chr4, chr13, chr9, and
555 the PAR (two signals for each when unpaired, or a single signal for each after homologous
556 pairing/synapsis). Notably, the RPA2 focus was most often found in a slightly more centromere-
557 proximal position compared to the bulk of mo-2 FISH signals, and therefore colocalized partly
558 with mo-2 FISH signals. In the case of the PAR, this position corresponds closely to the region
559 of the PAR boundary (PARb probe). A similar trend was observed on autosomal mo-2 clusters.

560 For estimates of chromatin extension, we measured the maximal axis-orthogonal distance
561 between the FISH signal and the center of the PAR axis, or the centromere-distal axis for chr9
562 stained by SYCP3. In mutant mice defective for RMMAI protein recruitment in the mo-2
563 regions, the PAR axis was defined as the nearest SYCP3 segment adjacent to the telomeric
564 SYCP3 signal.

565 For quantification of RPA2, ANKRD31, REC8, and mo-2 signal intensity in B6 × MSM
566 and MSM × B6 F1 hybrids, late zygotene spermatocytes with at least one RPA2 focus on X or Y
567 PAR were analyzed. We used the elliptic selection tool in Fiji to define a region of interest
568 around the largest signal in the PAR, and the same selection tool was then positioned on the
569 other PAR axis for comparison. The fluorescence intensity was measured as the integrated
570 density with background subtraction.

571

572 **Prophase I sub-staging and identification of the PAR**

573 Nuclei were staged according to the dynamic behavior of the autosome and sex
574 chromosome axes during prophase I, using SYCP3 staining. Leptonema was defined as having
575 short stretches of SYCP3 but no evidence of synapsis, early/mid-zygonema as having longer
576 stretches of SYCP3 staining and some synapsis, and late zygonema as having fully assembled
577 chromosome axes and substantial (>70%) synapsis. The X and Y chromosomes generally can be
578 identified at this stage, and the PAR axis is distinguishable because it appears thicker than the
579 centromeric end, particularly near the end of zygonema when autosomes are almost fully
580 synapsed. Early pachynema was defined as complete autosomal synapsis, whereas the X and Y
581 chromosomes could display various configuration: i) unsynapsed, with thickened PAR axes, ii)
582 engaged in PAR synapsis, iii) synapsed in the PAR and non-homologously synapsed along the
583 full (or nearly full) Y chromosome axis. Mid pachynema was defined as showing bright signal
584 from autosome axes, desynapsing X and Y axes remaining synapsed only in the PAR, with short
585 PAR axis. During this stage, the autosomes and the non-PAR X and Y axes are initially short and
586 thick, and progressively become longer and thinner. Late pachynema was defined as brighter
587 autosome axes with a characteristic thickening of all autosome ends. The X and Y non-PAR axes
588 are then long and thin and show excrescence of axial elements. Diplonema was defined as
589 brighter axes and desynapsing autosome, associated with prominent thickening of the autosome
590 ends, particularly the centromeric ends. In early diplonema, the non-PAR axes of X and Y
591 chromosomes are still long and thin and progressively condense to form bright axes, associated
592 with bulges. Most experiments were conducted using SYCP3 in combination with a RMMAI

593 protein, which allows easier distinction between synapsing and desynapsing X and Y
594 chromosomes.

595 By using only SYCP3 staining, the PARs can only be identified unambiguously from the
596 late zygonema-to-early pachynema transition through to diplonema. From pre-leptonema to
597 mid/late-zygonema, the PARs were identified as the two brightest RMMAI signals, the two
598 brightest mo-2 FISH signals, the two brightest PARb FISH signals, or the two FISH signals from
599 the PARd probe. The Y PAR could be distinguished from the X PAR using the PARb probe, as
600 this probe also weakly stains the chromatin of the non-PAR portion of the Y chromosome.

601 PAR loop/axis measurements in oocytes were performed on two 14.5–15.5 dpc (days post-
602 coitum) (enriched for leptotene and zygotene oocytes) and two 18.5 dpc female fetuses (enriched
603 for pachytene oocytes).

604 We found significant variability in the X or Y PAR axis length between different animals in
605 our mouse colony maintained in a C57BL/6J congenic background, and even between different
606 C57BL/6J males obtained directly from the Jackson Laboratory. This is in agreement with
607 previous reports about the hypervariable nature of the mo-2 minisatellite and its involvement in
608 unequal crossing over in the mouse^{6,24,36,38,39} (mo-2 was also named DXYmov15 or Mov15
609 flanking sequences). However, the RMMAI signal intensity/elongation and the PAR axis length
610 were always correlated with mo-2 FISH signal intensity. Importantly, despite this variability,
611 mo-2 and RMMAI proteins were enriched in the PAR and autosome ends of all mice analyzed.
612

613 **Analysis of SSDS data**

614 SSDS sequencing data were from previously described studies^{7,20,31} and are all available at
615 the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) repository under accession numbers GSE35498,
616 GSE99921, GSE118913. To define enrichment values presented in **Extended Data Fig. 8b**, the
617 SSDS coverage was summed across the indicated coordinates adjacent to the mo-2 repeats. A
618 chromosomal mean and standard deviation for chr9 was estimated by dividing the chromosome
619 into 4-kb bins, summing the SSDS coverage in each bin, and calculating the mean and standard
620 deviation after excluding those bins that overlapped a DSB hotspot. The enrichment score was
621 then defined as the difference between the coverage in the mo-2-adjacent region and the chr9
622 mean coverage, divided by the chr9 standard deviation.
623

624 **Immunoprecipitation/mass spectrometry**

625 Immunoprecipitations were carried out on samples from wild type and *Ankrd31*^{-/-} animals
626 using two separate polyclonal anti-ANKRD31 antibodies raised in rabbit and guinea pig²⁰ (four
627 samples total). Two additional immunoprecipitations were performed using an anti-Cyclin B3
628 antibody on either wild-type or *Ccnb3* knockout testes^{40,41}; these samples serve as additional
629 negative controls for the ANKRD31 interaction screen. For each sample, protein extracts were
630 prepared from testes of three 12-dpp mice in 1 ml of RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM
631 NaCl, 0.1% SDS, 0.5% Na-deoxycholate, 1% NP-40, 10 mM MgCl₂, 100 units of Benzonase for
632 1h at 4°C. After centrifugation at 13,000rpm for 20 min at 4°C, the lysate was pre-cleared using
633 30µl of a slurry of protein A/G Dynabeads for 1h at 4°C. Next, 50µl of protein A/G beads
634 coupled for 30 min with 10µg of anti-ANKRD31 or anti-Cyclin B3 antibody (monoclonal
635 antibody #5 from ref. 43) were added and the solution incubated overnight at 4°C on a rotating
636 rack. Beads were washed 3 times in 1 ml of RIPA buffer and once with 1 ml of 50 mM
637 ammonium bicarbonate. Samples were then digested overnight with 2µg trypsin in 80 µl of 50

638 mM ammonium bicarbonate at 37°C on a thermo mixer (850 rpm). Peptides were desalted using
639 C18 zip tips, and then dried by vacuum centrifugation. Each sample was reconstituted in 10 µl
640 0.1% (vol/vol) formic acid and 4 µl was analyzed by microcapillary liquid chromatography with
641 tandem mass spectrometry using the NanoAcquity (Waters) with an ACQUITY UPLC BEH
642 C18 Column (Waters) configured with an ACQUITY UPLC M-Class Symmetry C18 trap
643 column (Waters) coupled to a QExactive Plus mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific).
644 Peptides were eluted with a linear gradient of 0–35% acetonitrile (0.1% formic acid) in water
645 (0.1% formic acid) over 150 min with a flow rate of 300 nl/min. The QE Plus was operated in
646 automatic, data dependent MS/MS acquisition mode with one MS full scan (380–1800 m/z) at
647 70,000 mass resolution and up to ten concurrent MS/MS scans for the ten most intense peaks
648 selected from each survey scan. Survey scans were acquired in profile mode and MS/MS scans
649 were acquired in centroid mode at 17,500 resolution and isolation window of 1.5 amu and
650 normalized collision energy of 27. AGC was set to 1×10 for MS1 and 5×10 and 100 ms IT for
651 MS2. Charge exclusion of unassigned and greater than 6 enabled with dynamic exclusion of 15
652 s. All MS/MS samples were analyzed using MaxQuant (Max Planck Institute of Biochemistry,
653 Martinsried, Germany; version 1.5.3.3) at default settings with a few modifications.

654

655 **Yeast two-hybrid assay**

656 Mouse testis cDNAs for *Ptip*, *Zmym3*, and *Ankrd31* were amplified and cloned in vectors to
657 generate fusion proteins with the Gal4 DNA-binding domain (Gal4BD) or activation domain
658 (Gal4AD). Assays were conducted according to manufacturer's instructions (Clontech). Briefly,
659 Y2HGold and Y187 (Clontech) yeast haploid strains were transformed with constructs encoding
660 Gal4BD and Gal4AD fusion proteins. After mating on YPD plates, diploid cells expressing
661 Gal4BD and Gal4AD fusion proteins were selected on double dropout medium (DDO) lacking
662 leucine and tryptophan. Protein interactions were assayed by spotting diploid cell suspensions on
663 selective medium lacking leucine, tryptophan, histidine, and adenine (quadruple dropout, QDO),
664 and QDO containing X- α -gal (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl α -D-galactopyranoside) and
665 aureobasidin A and growing for 3 days at 30°C.

666

667 **Statistical analysis**

668 All statistical tests were performed in R (version 3.4.4)⁴² and RStudio (Version 1.1.442).
669 Negative binomial regression was calculated using the `glm.nb` function from the MASS package
670 (version 7.3-49)⁴³.

671

672 **Statistics and reproducibility**

673 The pictures shown in this article are representative images that aim to illustrate the findings
674 in the clearest manner. Any conclusion or statement regarding the results that is not associated
675 with explicit quantification is based on the imaging and analysis of at least 20 cells, sometimes
676 hundreds, usually from multiple mice. Details for main figures are as follows.

677 **Fig. 1a:** The thickening of the PAR axis (using SYCP3 staining) and the elongation of the
678 RMMAI signal along the PAR axis have been observed in more than three different mice in
679 hundreds of late zygotene spermatocytes using mostly our homemade antibodies against
680 REC114 and ANKRD31. Other antibodies such as anti-SYCP2 and anti-HORMAD2 were used
681 to confirm the PAR axis thickening, and anti-MEI1, anti-MEI4 and anti-IHO1 were used to

682 confirm the elongation of the REC114/ANKRD31 signal along the PAR axis, in more than 20
683 spermatocytes for each antibody.

684 **Fig. 1b:** The PAR axis splitting, the extension of the RMMAI signal and the collapse of the
685 PAR structure during X-Y synapsis have been observed by SIM in more than 60 spermatocytes
686 in more than 3 different mice.

687 **Fig. 2b:** The colocalization between REC114 blobs (or RMMAI blobs in general) and mo-2
688 FISH signals has been observed in all spermatocytes analyzed (N>200), from leptotene to early
689 pachytene in more than three different mice.

690 **Fig. 3c:** Axis splitting on the Y PAR has been observed by SIM in more than 100 late
691 zygotene spermatocytes and in more than 20 zygotene-like spermatocytes from *Hormad1*^{-/-}
692 mice. The fork-shaped PAR structure in *Rec8*^{-/-} mice has been observed in more than 20
693 spermatocytes. The absence of PAR differentiation and decompaction of mo-2-containing
694 chromatin was observed in more than 30 *Ankrd31*^{-/-} spermatocytes and 20 *Mei4*^{-/-}
695 spermatocytes. This specific pattern has been confirmed in at least three different mice of each
696 genotype using conventional microscopy. The differentiation of the PAR axis becomes hardly
697 detectable in *Hormad1*^{-/-} at later stage in some pachytene-like spermatocytes as cells enter
698 apoptosis, similar to *Spo11*^{-/-}.

699 **Fig. 4a:** The differentiation of the non-centromeric end of the chr9 was observed in 6
700 spermatocytes by SIM and was observed in more than 20 late zygotene spermatocytes by
701 conventional microscopy in three different mice.

702

703

Data and code availability

704 Image analysis scripts are available on Github: <https://github.com/Boekhout/ImageJScripts>.
705 SSDS data are publicly available at GEO under the accession numbers indicated above. The
706 mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium
707 via the PRIDE partner repository⁴⁴ with the dataset identifier PXD017191.

708

- 709 37 Schindelin, J. *et al.* Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat*
710 *Methods* **9**, 676-682 (2012).
- 711 38 Kipling, D. *et al.* Structural variation of the pseudoautosomal region between and within
712 inbred mouse strains. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **93**, 171-175 (1996).
- 713 39 Kipling, D., Salido, E. C., Shapiro, L. J. & Cooke, H. J. High frequency de novo
714 alterations in the long-range genomic structure of the mouse pseudoautosomal region.
715 *Nat Genet* **13**, 78-80 (1996).
- 716 40 Karasu, M. E. & Keeney, S. Cyclin B3 is dispensable for mouse spermatogenesis.
717 *Chromosoma* **128**, 473-487 (2019).
- 718 41 Karasu, M. E., Bouftas, N., Keeney, S. & Wassmann, K. Cyclin B3 promotes anaphase I
719 onset in oocyte meiosis. *J Cell Biol* **218**, 1265-1281 (2019).
- 720 42 R Core Team. *R: A language and environment for statistical computing.*, (R Foundation
721 for Statistical Computing, 2018).
- 722 43 Venables, W. N. & Ripley, B. D. *Modern Applied Statistics with S*. 4 edn, (Springer,
723 2002).
- 724 44 Perez-Riverol, Y. *et al.* The PRIDE database and related tools and resources in 2019:
725 improving support for quantification data. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**, D442-D450 (2019).

726

727

728

Main Text Statements

729

Acknowledgments: We thank Attila Tóth (Technische Universität Dresden, Germany) and Bernard de Massy (Institut Génétique Humaine, Montpellier, France) for antibodies, mice, discussions, and sharing of unpublished information. We thank Alison North and the Bio-Imaging Resource Center at Rockefeller University for assistance with SIM (supported by Award Number S10 RR031855 from the National Center For Research Resources). We thank Ron Hendrickson, Rajesh Soni, and Zhuoning Li (MSKCC Proteomics Core) for assistance with mass spectrometry. This work utilized the computational resources of the NIH HPC Biowulf cluster (<http://hpc.nih.gov>). MSKCC core facilities are supported by Cancer Center Support Grant P30 CA008748. LA was supported in part by a fellowship from the Lalor Foundation. MB was supported in part by a Rubicon fellowship from the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research. MvO was supported in part by National Institutes of Health (NIH) fellowship F32 GM096692. This work was supported by NIGMS grants R35 GM118092 (SK) and R35 GM118175 (MJ).

730

731

732

733

734

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

Author contributions: LA designed and conducted all of the cytogenetic experiments presented and analyzed the data. MEK generated *Ankrd31* mutant mice and anti-ANKRD31 antibodies. MB and MEK provided *Ankrd31* mutant mice and unpublished data. MEK performed the IP/MS experiment and TL validated the ANKRD31 interacting proteins. KB and FP performed SSDS and analyzed the data under the supervision of RDC with input from LA and SK. MvO generated REC8 and REC114 antibodies. LK performed initial characterization and provided unpublished data on PAR ultrastructure and cohesin enrichment. MJ and SK designed and supervised the research, analyzed data, and secured funding. LA and SK wrote the manuscript with input from MJ. All authors edited the manuscript.

743

744

745

746

747

748

749

750

751

Author Information: Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints. Authors declare no competing interests. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to s-keeney@ski.mskcc.org.

752

753

754

Data and code availability: Image analysis scripts are available on Github: <https://github.com/Boekhout/ImageJScripts>. SSDS data are publicly available at GEO under the accession numbers indicated in Methods. Underlying data for all graphs in figures are provided in Data Files S1, S2, S3, and S4. The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE partner repository⁴⁴ with the dataset identifier PXD017191. Processed mass spectrometry data are provided in Data File S5.

755

756

757

758

759

760

Supplementary Materials:

761

Extended Data Figures 1–10

762

Data File S1: Excel file containing underlying data for Fig. 1c,d and Extended Data Fig. 1c,d, 3b,c

763

764

Data File S2: Excel file containing underlying data for Fig. 2c and Extended Data Fig. 4f,g.

765

Data File S3: Excel file containing underlying data for Fig. 3a,b and Extended Data Fig. 6b,d.

766

Data File S4: Excel file containing underlying data for Fig. 4 and Extended Data Fig. 7a,d,e and 9b,f,h.

767

768 Data File S5: Excel file containing results of anti-ANKRD31 immunoprecipitation/mass
769 spectrometry.

770 Supplemental Information: PDF file containing Supplementary Discussion and Supplementary
771 References.

772

773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818

Extended Data Fig. 1: PAR axis thickening and accumulation of RMMAI proteins.

(a) Axis thickening (SYCP3 and HORMAD2 staining) on the PAR (arrowhead) in a late zygotene spermatocyte. Scale bar: 2 μ m. HORMAD2 staining in the PAR at late zygonema mimics SYCP3 staining in all late zygonema spermatocytes analyzed (N>20) in three mice. (b) Image adapted under Creative Commons CC-BY license from ref.⁴⁵ showing enrichment of HORMAD1 on the thick PAR axis of the Y chromosome. (c) Colocalization of ANKRD31 and MEI4, REC114, IHO1, and MEI1. Representative zygotene spermatocytes are shown. Arrowheads indicate densely staining blobs. Areas indicated by dashed boxes are shown at higher magnification. The graphs show the total number of foci colocalized in leptotene/zygotene spermatocytes (error bars are mean \pm SD). N.D., not determined: The low immunofluorescence signal for MEI1 did not allow us to quantify the colocalization with ANKRD31, although MEI1 showed clear colocalization with ANKRD31 in the blobs and at least some autosomal foci (insets). Scale bars: 2 μ m. Underlying data for all graphs are in Data Files S1-4. Further evidence for extensive colocalization with ANKRD31 is documented in separate studies^{20,21}. (d) PARb FISH probe colocalizes with REC114 blobs. Two blobs are on PAR, as judged by chromosome morphology and bright fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) with a PAR boundary probe (PARb) and others highlight specific autosome ends. Scale bar: 2 μ m. The colocalization between REC114 blobs and PARb FISH signals has been observed in all spermatocytes analyzed (N>60), from pre-leptonema to early pachynema, in more than three mice. (e) ANKRD31, REC114, and MEI1 immunostaining starts to appear in pre-leptonema. Seminiferous tubules were cultured with 5-ethynyl-3'-deoxyuridine (EdU) to label replicating cells, then chromosome spreads were stained for SYCP3 and either MEI1 plus REC114 or ANKRD31 plus PARb FISH. Colocalized foci appear in pre-leptonema (EdU-positive cells that are weakly SYCP3-positive), as previously shown for MEI4 and IHO1^{17,22}. Because we can already detect ANKRD31 accumulation at sites of PARb-hybridization, we infer that the stronger sites of accumulation of MEI1 and REC114 also include PARs. Scale bars: 2 μ m. PARb colocalized with ANKRD31 blobs (top panel) and MEI1 with REC114 (bottom panel) in all preleptotene spermatocytes analyzed (N>20) in one mouse. (f) REC114 is not detected in the mo-2 regions in spermatogonia. Seminiferous tubules were cultured with EdU, and chromosome spreads were stained for DMRT1 (a marker of spermatogonia⁴⁶) and REC114 plus mo-2 FISH. REC114 blobs colocalized with mo-2 FISH signals in the preleptotene spermatocyte (bottom) but were not apparent in the DMRT1-positive spermatogonium (top). Both cells shown were captured in a single microscopic field. Scale bar: 2 μ m. Mo-2 FISH signals do not colocalize with REC114 signal in all the spermatogonia analyzed (N>20) in one mouse. (g) Candidate ANKRD31 interacting proteins. To identify other PAR-associated proteins, ANKRD31 was immunoprecipitated from extracts made from whole testes of 12-dpp-old mice using two different polyclonal antibodies. This table shows a subset of proteins that were identified by mass spectrometry in immunoprecipitates from wild-type testes but not from *Ankrd31*^{-/-} animals, and not from immunoprecipitates using an irrelevant antibody (anti-Cyclin B3). Full results are in Data File S5. LFQ, label-free quantification. REC114, MEI4, and MEI1 were recovered, confirming specificity. REC114 is known to interact directly with ANKRD31²⁰ and MEI4 is a direct partner of REC114^{16,47}. MEI1 colocalizes with ANKRD31 on chromatin (panel c). We also identified ZMYM3 and PTIP. ZMYM3 (zinc finger, myeloproliferative, and mental retardation-type 3) is a component of LSD1-containing transcription repressor complexes⁴⁸ and has incompletely understood functions in DNA repair in somatic cells⁴⁹. Mutation of *Zmym3* results in adult male infertility from unknown causes⁵⁰. However, the spermatocyte metaphase I

819 arrest in this mutant⁵⁰ may be consistent with presence of achiasmate chromosomes, possibly
820 including X and Y. PTIP (Pax transactivation domain interacting protein; also known as
821 PAXIP1) contains multiple BRCT (BRCA1 C-terminal) domains and regulates gene
822 transcription, class switch recombination, and DNA damage responses in somatic cells⁵¹⁻⁵³.
823 Conditional knockout of *Ptip* causes spermatogenic arrest, but the function of PTIP during
824 meiosis remains unclear⁵⁴. Neither ZMYM3 nor PTIP was implicated previously in sex
825 chromosome recombination. **(h)** Enrichment of ZMYM3 (top) and PTIP (bottom) on the PAR.
826 Sex chromosomes of representative early pachytene spermatocytes are shown. Scale bars: 1 μ m.
827 ZMYM3 and PTIP were enriched in the PAR in all spermatocytes analyzed (N>20) in three
828 mice. **(i)** Yeast two-hybrid assays testing interaction of full-length ANKRD31 fused to the Gal4
829 activating domain (AD) with either full-length PTIP or the C-terminal 191 amino acids of
830 ZMYM3 fused to the Gal4 DNA binding domain (BD). (Full-length ZMYM3 autoactivates in
831 this assay.) DDO (double dropout) medium selects for presence of both the AD and BD vectors
832 (positive control for growth); QDO (quadruple dropout) and QXA (QDO plus X- α -gal and
833 aureobasidin A) media select for a productive two-hybrid interaction at lower and higher
834 stringency, respectively. Image is representative of two experiments using the same yeast strains.

835 **Extended Data Fig. 2: PAR ultrastructure.**

836 **(a)** Comparison of conventional microscopy and SIM, showing that the thickened PAR axis in
837 conventional microscopy is resolved as separated axial cores (arrowheads). Scale bars: 2 μ m.
838 The thickening of the PAR axis in conventional microscopy and the splitting of the PAR axis in
839 SIM was observed in more than 60 spermatocytes at late zygonema in at least three mice. **(b)**
840 Ultrastructure of axis proteins SYCP2, SYCP3, and HORMAD2 in the PAR. Scale bars: 1 μ m.
841 SYCP2 (left) and HORMAD2 (right) staining mimic SYCP3 staining in late zygonema by
842 conventional microscopy in all cells analyzed (N>30) in at least three mice, and by SIM (N=5,
843 one mouse) (except that HORMAD2 appears rather depleted at the telomeres compared to
844 SYCP3 and SYCP2). **(c-d)** Ruling out a crozier configuration. In principle, sister chromatid axes
845 could be split apart or the PAR could adopt a crozier configuration in which a single conjoined
846 axis for both sister chromatids is folded back on itself. A crozier (cartooned in **c**) was ruled out
847 because the telomere binding protein TRF1⁵⁵ decorates the tip of the PAR bubble **(d)** and FISH
848 signal for the PARb probe is arrayed relatively symmetrically on both axial cores **(e)**, consistent
849 with separated sister chromatid axes (a bubble configuration). Scale bars: 1 μ m. We conclude
850 that each axis is a sister chromatid, with a “bubble” from near the PAR boundary almost to the
851 telomere. The presence of TRF1 at the distal tip of the PAR was observed in all spermatocytes
852 analyzed, in one mouse (by conventional microscopy, N>20; by SIM, N=3). PARb FISH signals
853 were relatively symmetrically arranged along the split PAR axes (by conventional microscopy,
854 N>100 in at least three mice, or by SIM, N=9 in three mice). **(f)** Schematic of PAR ultrastructure
855 and distribution of axis and RMMAI proteins at late zygonema. **(g, h)** Paired PARs with
856 elongated and split axes occur in late zygonema to early pachynema. Shown are electron
857 micrographs adapted with permission from ref.⁵⁶ in comparison with SIM immunofluorescence
858 images of spermatocytes at early pachynema (panel **g**) or late zygonema (panel **h**; cyan
859 arrowheads indicate examples of incomplete autosomal synapsis). The spermatocytes in the
860 electron micrographs were originally considered to be in mid-to-late pachynema⁵⁶. However, in
861 our SIM experiments, we can only detect this structure (paired X and Y with elongated and split
862 axes, resembling a crocodile’s jaws) around the zygotene-to-pachytene transition, when RMMAI
863 proteins are still highly abundant on the PAR axes, and when most or all autosomes are

864 completely synapsed. Moreover, other published electron micrographs from mid-to-late
865 pachytene spermatocytes show diagnostic ultrastructural features that are not present in the
866 electron micrographs reproduced here, including a short PAR axis length, multi-stranded
867 stretches of axis on non-PAR portions of the X and Y chromosomes with excrescence of axial
868 elements, and a clear thickening of autosomal telomeres^{15,57}. These observations allow us to
869 conclude definitively that the elongation and splitting of PAR axes are a hallmark of cells from
870 late zygonema into early pachynema. Scale bars in SIM images: 1 μm in panel **g**, 2 μm in panel
871 **h**. Extended and split PAR axes were observed by SIM (N>30 spermatocytes) around the
872 zygonema-pachynema transition in more than three mice. **(i)** REC114 enrichment and axis
873 splitting occurs in the absence of SPO11, thus neither is provoked by DSB formation. Scale bar:
874 1 μm . PAR axis splitting and extension of the RMMAI signal were observed by SIM in *Spo11*^{-/-}
875 mice in more than 20 late zygotene-like spermatocytes in more than three mice. The
876 differentiation of the PAR axis became hardly detectable at later stages in some pachytene-like
877 spermatocytes as cells entered apoptosis.

878 **Extended Data Fig. 3: Time course of the spatial organization of the PAR loop-axis**
879 **ensemble.**

880 **(a)** Time course of REC8 and ANKRD31 immunostaining along the PAR axis from pre-
881 leptonema (preL, left) to mid pachynema (right). A montage of representative SIM images is
882 shown. Chromosomes a–e are presumptive X or Y, but could be the distal end of chr9.
883 Chromosomes at later stages were unambiguously identified by morphology. Chromosomes i–k
884 show examples where the initial pairing (probably synaptic) contact between X and Y is (i)
885 centromere-proximal (that is, closer to the PAR boundary), (k) distal (closer to the telomere), or
886 (j) interstitial. Scale bar: 1 μm . The preferential enrichment of REC8 at the border of the PAR
887 split axes was observed in more than 30 zygotene spermatocytes by SIM in more than three
888 mice. **(b)** We collected three measurements of conventional immuno-FISH images from
889 leptonema through mid-pachynema: length of the REC114 signal along the PAR axis; maximal
890 distance from the PARb FISH signal to the distal end of the SYCP3-defined axis; and axis-
891 orthogonal extension of FISH signal for the PARb probe (a proxy for loop sizes). Data were
892 collected on three males. Insets show examples of each type of measurement at each stage.
893 Horizontal black lines indicate means. Means of each measurement for each mouse at each stage
894 are given below, along with the means across all three mice. Means are rounded to two
895 significant figures; the grand means were calculated using unrounded values from individual
896 mice. The number of cells of each stage from each mouse is given (N). Modest variability in the
897 apparent dimensions of the Y chromosome PAR between different mice may be attributable to
898 variation in copy number of mo-2 and other repeats because of unequal exchange during meiosis.
899 Nonetheless, highly similar changes in spatial organization over time in prophase were observed
900 in all mice examined, namely progressive elongation then shortening of axes and concomitant
901 lengthening of loops. Scale bar: 1 μm .

902 Briefly, panels a and b show the following. At pre-leptonema, ANKRD31 blobs had a
903 closely juxtaposed focus of the meiotic cohesin subunit REC8 (chromosome a). In leptonema
904 and early zygonema, ANKRD31 and REC114 signals stretched along the presumptive PAR axes,
905 with REC8 restricted to the borders (panel a, chromosomes b–e). The SYCP3-defined axis was
906 already long as soon as it was detectable (0.73 μm) and the PARb FISH signal was compact
907 (0.52 μm) (panel bi). At late zygonema, the PAR axis had lengthened still further (1.0 μm),
908 while the PARb signal remained compact (panel bii). The PAR split into separate axes during

909 this stage, each with abundant RMMAI (panel a, chromosomes f–h). The split was a REC8-poor
910 zone bounded by REC8 foci (panel a, chromosomes f–h and Extended Data Fig. 2f). After
911 synapsis, axes shortened and chromatin loops decompacted, with concomitant RMMAI
912 dissociation. As cells transitioned into early pachynema and the X and Y PARs synapsed (panel
913 a, chromosomes i–m), the PAR axes began to shorten slightly (0.85 μm) while the PARb signal
914 expanded (0.85 μm) (panel biii). Meanwhile, the elongated ANKRD31 signals progressively
915 decreased in intensity, collapsed along with the shortening axes, and separated from the axis
916 while remaining nearby (panel a, chromosomes l–m). By mid-pachynema, PAR axes collapsed
917 still further, to about half their zygotene length (0.50 μm) and the PARb chromatin expanded to
918 more than twice the zygotene measurement (1.3 μm). ANKRD31 and REC114 enrichment
919 largely disappeared, leaving behind a bright bolus of REC8 on the short remaining axis (panel a,
920 chromosomes n–o and panel biv).

921 (c) Non-homologous synapsis appears sufficient to trigger collapse of the PAR loop-axis
922 structure. We measured REC114 signal length along the PAR axis and extension of mo-2
923 chromatin orthogonal to the axis in *Spo11*^{-/-} spermatocytes in which the X PAR had non-
924 homologously synapsed with an autosome while the Y PAR remained unsynapsed. Within any
925 given cell, the unsynapsed Y PAR maintained the characteristic late zygotene configuration
926 (long axis, short loops) whereas the synapsed X PAR adopted the configuration characteristic of
927 mid-pachynema (short axis, long loops). Error bars are mean \pm SD. Scale bar: 2 μm . We do not
928 exclude that DSB formation without synapsis may also be sufficient (Supplementary
929 Discussion).

930 **Extended Data Fig. 4: RMMAI enrichment at mo-2 minisatellite arrays in the PAR and on**
931 **specific autosomes.**

932 (a) Top panel: Self alignment of the PARb FISH probe (reproduced from Fig. 2a). The circled
933 block is a 20-kb mo-2 cluster. Bottom panel: Schematic depicting the last 1.4 Mb of the non-
934 centromeric ends of the indicated chromosomes, showing the positions of mo-2 repeats (green)
935 adjacent to assembly gaps (mm10); mo-2 repeats were identified by BLAST search using the
936 mo-2 consensus sequence. Mo-2 repeats also appear at the distal end of chr4 in the Celera
937 assembly (Mm_Celera, 2009/03/04). PARb and PARd BAC clones are indicated. (b)
938 Confirmation that autosomal mo-2 FISH signals match the chromosomal locations indicated by
939 mm10 or Celera genome assemblies. FISH was performed using an oligonucleotide probe
940 containing the mo-2 consensus sequence in combination with BAC probes for adjacent segments
941 of chromosomes 13, 9 and 4, as indicated. Magenta arrows point to concordant FISH signals.
942 The chr9 BAC probe also hybridizes to the PAR. Scale bars: 2 μm . The colocalization of mo-2
943 and the three autosomal FISH signals was observed in two mice (N>20 spermatocytes). (c)
944 Comparison of mo-2 FISH with REC114 localization relative to the PAR boundary (PARb FISH
945 probe) and the distal PAR (PARd probe). In mid zygonema, the mo-2 FISH signal colocalizes
946 well with REC114 staining in between the PARb and PARd FISH signals. In late zygonema, mo-
947 2 and REC114 are similar to one another and are elongated along the thickened SYCP3 staining
948 of the PAR axis. From early to mid pachynema, REC114 progressively disappears, whereas the
949 mo-2 FISH signal becomes largely extended away from the PAR axes. Note that the relative
950 positions of the PARb and PARd probes reinforce the conclusion that the PAR does not adopt a
951 crozier configuration. Scale bar: 1 μm . The different positioning of PARb and PARd FISH
952 signals compared to mo-2 or REC114 signals was observed in more than 30 spermatocytes in at
953 least three mice. (d) Illustration of the compact organization of the PAR chromatin (mo-2 FISH

954 signal) compared to a whole-Y-chromosome paint probe. Scale bar: 2 μm . The costaining of mo-
955 2 and full chrY probe was evaluated in one mouse ($N > 20$ spermatocytes). **(e)** Lower mo-2 copy
956 number in the *M. m. molossinus* subspecies correlates with lower REC114 staining in mo-2
957 regions. The left panels compare MSM and B6 mice for the colocalization between REC114
958 immunostaining and mo-2 FISH in leptotene spermatocytes. The REC114 and SYCP3 channels
959 are shown at equivalent exposure for the two strains, whereas a longer exposure is shown for the
960 mo-2 FISH signal in the MSM spermatocyte. Note that the mo-2-associated REC114 blobs are
961 much brighter relative to the smaller dispersed REC114 foci in the B6 spermatocyte than in
962 MSM. The right panel shows representative pachytene spermatocytes to confirm the locations of
963 mo-2 clusters at autosome ends and the PAR in the MSM background. Scale bars: 2 μm . The
964 lower intensity of REC114 blobs in MSM compared to B6 was observed in $N > 30$ spermatocytes
965 in three different pairs of mice. **(f)** PAR enrichment for ANKRD31 and RPA2 correlates with
966 mo-2 copy number. Top panel: late zygotene spermatocytes from MSM x B6 F1 hybrid. Scale
967 bar: 1 μm . Bottom panel: PAR-associated signals (A.U., arbitrary units) on B6-derived (Y^B) and
968 MSM-derived chromosomes (X^M) from the indicated number of spermatocytes (N). Red lines:
969 means \pm SD. Differences between X and Y PAR intensities are significant for both proteins and
970 for mo-2 FISH in both F1 hybrids ($p < 10^{-13}$, paired t-test; exact two-sided p values are in **Data**
971 **File S2**). **(g)** Representative micrographs of late zygotene spermatocytes from reciprocal F1
972 hybrid males from crosses of B6 (high mo-2 copy number) and MSM (low mo-2 copy number)
973 parents. Scale bar: 1 μm . **(h)** Frequency of paired X and Y at late zygonema and mid pachynema
974 analyzed in three MSM and three B6 males. Differences between strains were not statistically
975 significant at either stage ($p = 0.241$ for late zygonema and $p = 0.136$ for mid pachynema; two-
976 sided Student's t test). Note also that MSM X and Y are late-pairing chromosomes, as in the B6
977 background. The similar pairing kinetics indicates that the lower intensity of RMAI staining on
978 the MSM PAR is not attributable to earlier PAR pairing and synapsis in this strain. The number
979 of spermatocytes analyzed is indicated (N).

980 **Extended Data Fig. 5: Mo-2 regions accumulate heterochromatin factors.**

981 **(a)** Costaining of ANKRD31 or mo-2 with the indicated proteins and histone marks known to
982 localize at the pericentromeric heterochromatin (mouse major satellite), in zygotene
983 spermatocytes (left) and pre-leptotene spermatocytes (right). Each of the heterochromatin factors
984 shows locally enriched signal coincident with mo-2 regions (arrowheads), in addition to broader
985 staining of other sub-nuclear regions. Scale bars: 2 μm . The CHD3/4 antibody recognizes both
986 proteins⁵⁸. The colocalization of ANKRD31 blobs with heterochromatin blobs was observed in
987 all zygotene spermatocytes analyzed ($N > 20$) in at least three mice for each antibody (left panel)
988 and in one mouse for pre-leptotene spermatocytes ($N > 10$) for each antibody (right panel). **(b)**
989 CHD3/4, ATRX, HP1 β , H4K20me3, H3K9me3 and macroH2A1.2 are not detectably enriched at
990 mo-2 regions in spermatogonia (small, DMRT1-positive cells). These factors may be present at
991 mo-2 regions in these cells, but do not appear to accumulate to elevated levels. Scale bars: 2 μm .
992 The absence of colocalization between mo-2 FISH signals and heterochromatin factors was
993 noted in all spermatogonia analyzed ($N > 30$) from one mouse. **(c)** Heterochromatin factors can be
994 detected in the PAR up to late pachynema. Each of the assayed proteins and histone marks
995 showed staining on the autosomal and X-specific pericentromeric heterochromatin, the sex body,
996 and euchromatin, albeit with variations between sites in the timing and level of accumulation.
997 Importantly, however, they also showed enriched staining at all mo-2 regions up to early/mid-
998 pachynema, as shown for H4K20me3 (top panel). By mid-to-late pachynema, as shown for

999 H3K9me3 here, the signal persisted in the PAR but was usually barely detectable on chr9 or
1000 chr13 mo-2 regions. This observation indicates that, at least for the PAR, the heterochromatin
1001 factors can continue to be enriched on mo-2 chromatin after RMMAI proteins have dissociated.
1002 These results substantially extend previous observations about CHD3/4 colocalizing with PAR
1003 FISH signals; H4K20me3 being localized in the PAR and other chromosome ends; and
1004 H3K9me3, HP1 β and macroH2A1.2 detection in the PAR in late pachynema⁵⁸⁻⁶¹. Scale bars: 2
1005 μ m. The colocalization between Maj sat and H4K20me3 and H3K9me3 was observed in all
1006 spermatocytes analyzed (N>20) in one mouse. The colocalization between H4K20me3 and mo-2
1007 FISH signals was observed in all spermatocytes analyzed (N>60), from preleptotene to mid
1008 pachytene in more than three mice. **(d)** Enrichment of the heterochromatin factors is independent
1009 of SPO11. Representative images of Y chromosomes from a *Spo11*^{-/-} mouse are shown. Scale
1010 bar: 1 μ m. The colocalization between PAR mo-2 FISH signals and heterochromatin factors was
1011 observed in all *Spo11*^{-/-} spermatocytes analyzed (N>30) in more than three mice for CHD3/4 and
1012 at least one mouse each for ATRX, HP1 β , HP1 γ , macroH2A1.2, H3K9me3, and H4K20me3.

1013 **Extended Data Fig. 6: Genetic requirements for RMMAI assembly on chromosomes and**
1014 **for PAR loop-axis organization.**

1015 **(a)** Representative micrographs of ANKRD31, MEI4, IHO1 and MEI1 staining in wild type and
1016 the indicated mutants (quantification is in **Fig. 3a**). Scale bars: 2 μ m. **(b)** Measurements of PAR
1017 loop-axis organization, as in **Fig. 3b**, on two additional males. Data from mouse 1 are
1018 reproduced from **Fig. 3b** to facilitate comparison. Means of each measurement for each mouse at
1019 each stage are given below, along with the means across all three mice. Means are rounded to
1020 two significant figures; the grand means were calculated using unrounded values from individual
1021 mice. The number of cells of each stage from each mouse is given (N). **(c)** REC8 is dispensable
1022 for splitting apart of PAR sister chromatid axes, but is required to maintain the connection
1023 between sisters at the distal tip of the chromosome. A representative SIM image is shown of a Y
1024 chromosome from a late zygotene *Rec8*^{-/-} spermatocyte. The SYCP3-labeled axes adopt an
1025 open-fork configuration. Note that the distal FISH probe (PARd) shows that there are clearly
1026 disjointed sisters whereas the PAR boundary (PARb) shows only a single compact signal
1027 comparable to wild type. The disposition of the probes and SYCP3 further rules out the crozier
1028 configuration as an explanation for split PAR axes. Scale bar: 1 μ m. The Y or X PAR structure
1029 was resolved by SIM as “fork-shaped” in all spermatocytes analyzed (N>20) from three mice.
1030 **(d)** Quantification of REC114 and MEI4 foci in two additional pairs of wild-type and *Ankrd31*^{-/-}
1031 mice. Horizontal lines indicate means. Fewer foci were observed in the *Ankrd31*^{-/-} mutant (two-
1032 sided Student’s t tests for each comparison of mutant to wild type: p = 5.6×10^{-6} (2nd set,
1033 REC114); p = 1.1×10^{-5} (2nd set, MEI4); p = 2.1×10^{-6} (3rd set, REC114); p = 0.017 (3rd, MEI4)).
1034 **(e)** Reduced REC114-staining intensity of axis-associated foci in *Ankrd31*^{-/-} mutants. To
1035 rigorously control for slide-to-slide and within-slide variation in immunostaining, we mixed
1036 together wild-type and *Ankrd31*^{-/-} testis cell suspensions before preparing chromosome spreads.
1037 A representative image is shown of a region from a single microscopic field containing two wild-
1038 type zygotene spermatocytes (left) and two *Ankrd31*^{-/-} spermatocytes of equivalent stage (right).
1039 Note the diminished intensity of REC114 foci in the *Ankrd31*^{-/-} spermatocytes. Scale bar: 2 μ m.
1040 REC114 (non-blob) foci showed lower fluorescence intensity in *Ankrd31*^{-/-} compared to wild
1041 type in all pairs of spermatocytes captured in the same imaging field (N=8 pairs), from one pair
1042 of mice. **(f)** PAR enrichment of heterochromatin-associated factors is independent of ANKRD31.
1043 Representative images of the Y chromosome at late zygonema/early pachynema showing

1044 colocalization between the decompacted mo-2 chromatin and the indicated proteins. Note that
1045 both the FISH and immunofluorescence signals are localized mostly off the axis. Compare with
1046 the same signals in absence of SPO11 (**Extended Data Fig. 5d**). Scale bar: 1 μm . Mo-2 FISH
1047 signal colocalized off the axis with the heterochromatin factors in *Ankrd31*^{-/-} mice in all
1048 spermatocytes analyzed (N>30) in more than three mice for CHD3/4 and at least one mouse for
1049 ATRX, HP1 β , HP1 γ , macroH2A1.2, H3K9me3, and H4K20me3.

1050 **Extended Data Fig. 7: PAR-associated RPA2 foci.**

1051 **(a)** Loop-axis organization of the mo-2 region of chr9 in late zygonema. Compare with the PAR
1052 (**Fig. 3b**). Scale bars: 1 μm . Error bars: means \pm SD. **(b)** Low mo-2 copy number correlates with
1053 less loop-axis reorganization (SIM images of late-zygotene F1-hybrid spermatocytes). Scale
1054 bars: 1 μm . The differentiation of the B6 PAR was observed in both hybrids B6 \times MSM and
1055 MSM \times B6 in 3 and 4 spermatocytes, respectively by SIM (1 mouse for each) and in more than
1056 20 spermatocytes by conventional microscopy in two mice of each genotype. **(c,d,e)** Immuno-
1057 FISH for RPA2 and mo-2 was used to detect DSBs cytologically in wild type and the indicated
1058 mutants. To analyze *Rec8* and *Hormad1* mutations, we compared to mutants lacking SYCE1 (a
1059 synaptonemal complex central element component⁶²) because *Syce1*^{-/-} mutants show similar
1060 meiotic progression defects without defective RMMAI recruitment. Panel **c** shows representative
1061 images. Scale bars: 2 μm , inset 1 μm . Panel **d** shows the global counts of RPA2 foci for
1062 zygotene (zyg) or zygotene-like cells and for pachytene (pach) or pachytene-like cells. Panel **e**
1063 shows, for each cell, the fraction of mo-2 regions that had a colocalized RPA2 focus. Red lines:
1064 means \pm SD. Statistical significance is indicated in panels **c** and **d** for comparisons (two-sided
1065 Student's t tests) of wild type to *Ankrd31*^{-/-} or of *Syce1*^{-/-} to either *Rec8*^{-/-} or *Hormad1*^{-/-} for
1066 matched stages. Exact p values are in **Data File S4**. Note that the number of discretely scorable
1067 mo-2 regions in panel **e** varied from cell to cell depending on pairing status. **(f)** Frequent DSB
1068 formation at mo-2 regions in the PARs and on autosomes does not require HORMAD1.
1069 Micrograph at left shows two adjacent spermatocytes (boundary indicated by dashed line). Scale
1070 bar: 2 μm . Insets at right show higher magnification views of the numbered mo-2 regions, all of
1071 which are associated with RPA2 immunostaining of varying intensity. This picture illustrates the
1072 preferential RPA2 focus formation in mo-2 regions in a *Hormad1*^{-/-} mouse; quantification is in
1073 panel **e**. **(g)** Autosomal mo-2 regions often form DSBs late. Immuno-FISH for RPA2, mo-2, and
1074 PARb was used to detect DSBs cytologically in wild type from leptonema to mid-pachynema,
1075 and to distinguish the X or Y PAR from chromosomes 9 and 13. Chr4 was not assayed because
1076 the mo-2 FISH signal was often barely detectable. The top panel shows the global number of
1077 RPA2 foci per cell. Black lines are means \pm SD. The bottom panel shows the percentage of
1078 spermatocytes with an RPA2 focus overlapping the PAR (X, Y, or both) or overlapping chr9 or
1079 chr13. A representative image of an early pachytene spermatocyte is shown. Note that, as
1080 previously shown for the PAR², autosomal mo-2 regions continue to accumulate RPA2 foci
1081 beyond the time when global RPA2 foci have largely or completely ceased accumulating. Scale
1082 bar: 2 μm . **(h)** X-Y pairing status, quantified by immuno-FISH for SYCP3 and the PARd probe.
1083 **(i)** Montage of SIM images from a B6 male showing that multiple, distinct RPA2 foci can be
1084 detected from late zygonema to mid pachynema, suggesting that multiple PAR DSBs can be
1085 formed during one meiosis (see also ref. ² for further discussion). Scale bar: 1 μm . The presence
1086 of multiple RPA2 foci in the PAR was observed by SIM in more than 20 spermatocytes from late
1087 zygonema to mid pachynema in one mouse. **(j)** Percentage of spermatocytes at the zygotene-
1088 pachytene transition with no (0), 1, 2 or 3 distinguishable RPA2 foci on the unsynapsed Y

1089 chromosome PAR of MSM and B6 mice. The difference between the strains is statistically
1090 significant (negative binomial regression, $p = 7.2 \times 10^{-5}$). N indicates the number of
1091 spermatocytes analyzed. A representative picture is shown for each genotype, with one RPA2
1092 focus on the MSM PAR and two apparent sites of RPA2 accumulation on the B6 PAR. The
1093 detection of multiple foci is consistent with reported double crossovers⁶. Scale bar: 1 μm .

1094 **Extended Data Fig. 8: DSB maps on the PAR and autosomal mo-2 regions.**

1095 (a) SSDS sequence coverage (data from refs.^{7,20}) is shown for the X PAR (shown previously in
1096 different form in ref.²⁰), the Y PAR, and the mo-2-adjacent regions of chr9 and chr13. The
1097 dashed segments indicate gaps in the mm10 genome assembly. We did not assess chr4 because
1098 available assemblies are too incomplete. (b) Regions adjacent to the mo-2 region on chr9 show
1099 SSDS signal that is reproducibly elevated relative to chr9 average in wild-type testis samples but
1100 not in maps from *Ankrd31*^{-/-} testes or wild-type ovaries. Two of the SSDS browser tracks are
1101 reproduced from panel a. The bar graph shows enrichment values from individual SSDS maps
1102 (T1–T9 are maps from wild-type testes; O1 and O2 are from wild-type ovaries³¹). Enrichment
1103 values are defined as coverage across the indicated coordinates relative to mean coverage for
1104 chr9 (see Methods for details). Note that ovary sample O1 and the *Ankrd31*^{-/-} adult sample are
1105 known to have poorer signal:noise ratios than the other samples^{20,31}. For all SSDS coverage
1106 tracks, reads mapping to multiple locations are included after random assignment to one of their
1107 mapped positions. However, the same conclusions are reached about ANKRD31-dependence
1108 and PRDM9-independence of signal on chr9 and chr13 if only uniquely mapped reads are used.
1109 (c) Oocytes incur substantially less DSB formation than spermatocytes near the mo-2 region on
1110 chr9. SSDS signal is from ref.³¹ (samples T1 and O2). The X-PAR is shown for comparison
1111 (previously shown to be essentially devoid of DSBs in ovary samples³¹). See panel b for
1112 quantification.

1113 **Extended Data Fig. 9: RMMAI accumulation and low-level DSB formation on mo-2**
1114 **regions in oocytes.**

1115 (a) Examples of zygotene oocytes showing the colocalization between blobs of IHO1 and
1116 REC114, MEI4 and MEI1, or ANKRD31 and mo-2 FISH signal (arrowheads). Scale bars: 2 μm .
1117 RMMAI blobs colocalized with mo-2 FISH signals in all zygotene oocytes analyzed (N>30)
1118 from at least three mice. (b) PAR ultrastructure in oocytes, quantified as in **Extended Data Fig.**
1119 **3b**. Late zygotene cells with PAR synapsis are compiled separately from other zygotene cells.
1120 Error bars: means \pm SD. Scale bar: 1 μm . (c) Examples of zygotene oocytes showing
1121 colocalization of ANKRD31 blobs with enrichment for heterochromatin factors. Scale bars: 2
1122 μm . ANKRD31 colocalized with heterochromatin factors blobs in all zygotene oocytes analyzed
1123 (N>20) from one mouse. (d) Representative SIM image of a wild-type late zygotene oocyte
1124 showing neither detectable splitting of the PAR axis nor REC8 enrichment. Scale bar: 2 μm . The
1125 absence of spermatocyte-like differentiation of the PAR axis was observed (N>30 zygotene
1126 oocytes) in more than three mice. A modest degree of differentiation was observed in a minority
1127 of oocytes (5/45) analyzed by SIM, but this did not resemble the typical PAR axis splitting found
1128 in spermatocytes. (e) Prolonged asynapsis does not allow axis splitting to occur in oocytes.
1129 Because synapsis appears sufficient to trigger collapse of PAR ultrastructure in spermatocytes
1130 (**Extended Data Fig. 3b**), we asked if preventing synapsis (i.e., in a *Syce1*^{-/-} mutant) could
1131 reveal a cryptic tendency toward axis splitting in oocytes. However, whereas axis splitting was
1132 clearly observed by SIM in *Syce1*^{-/-} mutant spermatocytes, PAR axes were not detectably split in

1133 oocytes. Scale bars: 2 μm for main micrograph, 1 μm for insets. Axis splitting of chr9 was
1134 observed by SIM in multiple (N>20) *Syce1*^{-/-} spermatocytes from three different mice. The
1135 chr13 or chr4 centromere-distal axes were also occasionally seen to be split, but we did not
1136 quantify this for these chromosomes. In males, the differentiation of the PAR or the chr9 axes
1137 becomes hardly detectable at later stages in some pachytene-like spermatocytes as cells enter
1138 apoptosis, similar to *Spo11*^{-/-} or *Hormad1*^{-/-} mice. However, in *Syce1*^{-/-} oocytes, no significant
1139 axis differentiation or splitting was observed by conventional microscopy or by SIM in multiple
1140 spermatocytes (N>30) from three different mice, similar to what we observed in wild-type
1141 oocytes. **(f,h)** Delaying synapsis promotes PAR DSB formation in oocytes. Top panels:
1142 representative micrographs of pachytene XY **(f)** and *Syce1*^{-/-} XX oocytes **(h)**. Middle panels:
1143 RPA2 fluorescence intensity at the border of mo-2 FISH signals from PAR, chr9, and chr13.
1144 Bottom panels: Percentage of oocytes with RPA2 focus colocalizing with mo-2 regions on PAR,
1145 chr9, and chr13. Graphs show data only for pachytene oocytes in which PARs are synapsed (two
1146 mice of each genotype). Error bars: means \pm SD. Scale bars: 2 μm . **(g)** Percentage of pachytene
1147 oocytes with one or more RPA2 foci colocalizing with mo-2 FISH signal from PAR, chr9 and
1148 chr13 in XY pachytene oocytes that had unsynapsed X and Y chromosomes. Scale bar: 2 μm ,
1149 inset: 1 μm .

1150 **Extended Data Fig. 10: Summary of PAR ultrastructure and molecular determinants of**
1151 **axis remodeling and DSB formation.**

1152 Schematic representation of the meiotic Y chromosome loop/axis structure before X–Y
1153 pairing/synapsis at the transition between zygonema and pachynema. The chromosome axis
1154 comprises the meiosis-specific axial proteins SYCP2, SYCP3, HORMAD1, and HORMAD2;
1155 cohesin subunits (only REC8 is represented); and the RMMAI proteins (REC114, MEI4, MEI1,
1156 ANKRD31, and IHO1). On the non-PAR portion of the Y chromosome axis (left), RMMAI
1157 protein loading and DSB formation are partly dependent on HORMAD1 and ANKRD31, and
1158 strictly dependent on MEI4, REC114¹⁹, IHO1²¹, and presumably MEI1¹⁸. The DNA is organized
1159 into large loops, with a low number of axis-associated RMMAI foci. By contrast, in the PAR
1160 (right), the hyper-accumulation of RMMAI proteins at mo-2 minisatellites (possibly spreading
1161 into adjacent chromatin) promotes the elongation and subsequent splitting of the PAR sister
1162 chromatid axes. Short mo-2-containing chromatin loops stretch along this extended PAR axis,
1163 increasing the physical distance between the PAR boundary and the distal PAR sequences,
1164 including the telomere. The degree of RMMAI protein loading, PAR axis differentiation, and
1165 DSB formation are proportional to the mo-2 FISH signal (which we interpret as reflecting mo-2
1166 copy number), and depend on MEI4, ANKRD31, and presumably REC114.
1167

1168
1169
1170
1171
1172
1173
1174
1175
1176
1177
1178
1179
1180
1181
1182
1183
1184

Data S1.(Separate file)

Excel file containing underlying data for Fig. 1c,d and Extended Data Fig. 1c,d, 3b,c

Data S2.(Separate file)

Excel file containing underlying data for Fig. 2c and Extended Data Fig. 4f,g.

Data S3.(Separate file)

Excel file containing underlying data for Fig. 3a,b and Extended Data Fig. 6b,d.

Data S4.(Separate file)

Excel file containing underlying data for Fig. 4 and Extended Data Fig. 7a,d,e and 9b,f,h.

Data S5.(Separate file)

Excel file containing results of anti-ANKRD31 immunoprecipitation/mass spectrometry analysis.







